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Abstract

In the paper, we propose a class of faster adaptive gradient descent ascent methods for solving the nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax problems by using unified adaptive matrices used in the SUPER-ADAM [Huang et al., 2021]. Specifically, we propose a fast adaptive gradient decent ascent (AdaGDA) method based on the basic momentum technique, which reaches a low sample complexity of $O(\kappa^4 \epsilon^{-4})$ for finding an $\epsilon$-stationary point without large batches, which improves the existing result of adaptive minimax optimization method by a factor of $O(\sqrt{\kappa})$. Moreover, we present an accelerated version of AdaGDA (VR-AdaGDA) method based on the momentum-based variance reduced technique, which achieves the best known sample complexity of $O(\kappa^3 \epsilon^{-3})$ for finding an $\epsilon$-stationary point without large batches. Further assume the bounded Lipschitz parameter of objective function, we prove that our VR-AdaGDA method reaches a lower sample complexity of $O(\kappa^2.5 \epsilon^{-3})$ with the mini-batch size $O(\kappa)$. In particular, we provide an effective convergence analysis framework for our adaptive methods based on unified adaptive matrices, which include almost existing adaptive learning rates.

1 Introduction

In the paper, we consider studying the following stochastic nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax problem

$$\min_{x \in X} \max_{y \in Y} \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim D}[f(x, y; \xi)] \tag{1}$$

where the function $f(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(x, y; \xi)]$ is nonconvex over $x$ and $\mu$-strongly concave over $y$, and $\xi$ is a random variable following an unknown distribution $D$. Here $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ are the nonempty compact convex sets. In fact, the problem (1) comes from many machine learning problems, such as adversarial training [Goodfellow et al., 2014, Tramèr et al., 2018, Nouiehed et al., 2019], reinforcement learning [Wai et al., 2019] and robust federated learning [Deng et al., 2021]. In the following, we specifically provide two popular machine learning applications that can be formulated as the minimax problem (1).

1) Distributionally Robust Federated Averaging. Federated learning (FL) [McMahan et al., 2017] is a popular learning paradigm for training a centralized model based on decentralized data...
Table 1: Sample complexity comparison of the representative gradient descent ascent methods for finding an $\epsilon$-stationary point of the nonconvex-strongly-concave problem [1], i.e., $E\|\nabla F(x)\| \leq \epsilon$ or its equivalent variants, where $F(x) = \max_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$. ALR denotes adaptive learning rate. Cons$(x, y)$ denotes constraint sets on variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. Here $Y$ denotes the fact that there exists a convex constraint set on variable, otherwise is $N$. $1$ denotes Lipschitz continuous of $\nabla_x f(x, y), \nabla_y f(x, y)$ for all $x, y$; $2$ denotes Lipschitz continuous of $\nabla x f(x, y; \xi), \nabla_y f(x, y; \xi)$ for all $\xi, x, y$; $3$ denotes bounded Lipschitz parameter $L_f$, e.g. if $L_f \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$, our VR-AdaGDA can obtain a lower sample complexity. $4$ denotes the bounded set $\mathcal{Y}$ with a diameter $D \geq 0$. Note that the PDAa only use a specific adaptive learning rate used in Adam algorithm [Kingma and Ba, 2014] for the variable $x$ in solving the problem (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Cons$(x, y)$</th>
<th>Loop(s)</th>
<th>Batch Size</th>
<th>Complexity</th>
<th>ALR</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGDA</td>
<td>Lin et al. [2019]</td>
<td>N, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$1, 4$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREDA</td>
<td>Luo et al. [2020]</td>
<td>N, Y</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc-MDA</td>
<td>Huang et al. [2020b]</td>
<td>Y, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acc-MDA</td>
<td>Huang et al. [2020b]</td>
<td>Y, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$2, 3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDAa</td>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>N, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(\kappa)$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$\checkmark$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdaGDA</td>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>Y, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$\checkmark$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VR-AdaGDA</td>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>Y, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$\checkmark$</td>
<td>$2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VR-AdaGDA</td>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>Y, Y</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
<td>$O(\kappa^2\epsilon^{-2})$</td>
<td>$\checkmark$</td>
<td>$2, 3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

over a network of clients. Specifically, we have $n$ clients in FL framework, and $D_i$ is the data distribution on $i$-th device, and the data distributions $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^n$ generally are different. The goal of FL is to learn a global variable $w$ based on these heterogeneous data from different data distributions. To well solve the data heterogeneity issue in FL, some robust FL methods [Deng et al., 2020, Reisizadeh et al., 2020] have been proposed, which solve the following distributionally robust empirical loss problem:

$$\min_{w \in \Omega} \max_{p \in \Pi} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mathbb{E}_{\xi \sim D_i} [f_i(w; \xi)] - \lambda h(p) \right\},$$

(2)

where $p_i \in (0, 1)$ denotes the proportion of $i$-th device in the entire model, and $f_i(w; \xi)$ is a nonconvex loss function on $i$-th device for all $i \in [n]$, and $\lambda > 0$ is a tuning parameter, and $h(p)$ is a strongly-convex regularization. Here $\Pi = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, p_i \geq 0\}$ is a $n$-dimensional simplex, and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a nonempty convex set.

2). Policy Evaluation. Policy evaluation aims at estimating the value function corresponding to a certain policy, which is a stepping stone of policy optimization and serves as an essential component of many reinforcement learning algorithms such as actor-critic algorithm [Konda and Tsitsiklis, 2000]. Specifically, we consider a Markov decision process (MDP) $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, R, \gamma)$, where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the state space, and $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the action space, and $\mathcal{P}(s'|s, a)$ denotes the transition kernel to the next state $s'$ given the current state $s$ and action $a$, and $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is the discount factor. $R(s, a, s') \in [-r, r]$ (r > 0) is an immediate reward once an agent takes action $a$ at state $s$ and transits to state $s'$. Let $R(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim \mathcal{P}(\cdot|s, a)}[R(s, a, s')]$ is the reward at $(s, a)$. $\pi(s, a) : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes a stationary policy that is the probability of taking action $a \in \mathcal{A}$ given the current state $s \in \mathcal{S}$. We let $V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t, a_t)|s_0 = s, \pi]$ denote state value function. Further let $V(s; \theta)$ denote the parameterized approximate function of $V^\pi(s)$, and $V(s; \theta)$ generally is a smooth nonlinear function. Following [Wai et al., 2019], we can solve the following minimax problem for
finding an optimal approximated value function, defined as
\[
\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_{s,a,s'} \left[ \langle \delta \nabla_\theta V(s; \theta), \omega \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \omega^T (\nabla_\theta V(s; \theta) \nabla_\theta V(s; \theta)^T) \omega \right]
\]
where \( \delta = R(s,a,s') + \gamma V_\theta(s') - V_\theta(s) \), and \( \mathbb{E}_{s,a,s'} \) is taking expectation for \( s \sim d^\pi(\cdot) \) that is stationary distribution of states, \( a \sim \pi(\cdot|s) \) and \( s' \sim P(\cdot|s,a) \). Here matrix \( H_\theta = \mathbb{E} [\nabla_\theta V(s; \theta) \nabla_\theta V(s; \theta)^T] \) is generally positive definite. The above problem (3) is generally nonconvex on variable \( \theta \) when using the neural networks to approximate value function \( V_\pi(s) \).

Since the above minimax problem (1) has been frequently appeared in many machine learning applications, recently some methods have been proposed to solve it. For example, Lin et al. [2019, 2020] proposed a stochastic gradient descent ascent (SGDA) method to solve the problem (1). Subsequently, a class of accelerated SGDA methods [Luo et al., 2020, Huang et al., 2020b] have been presented based on the variance reduced techniques of SPIDER [Fang et al., 2018, Wang et al., 2019] and STORM [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019], respectively. More recently, Guo et al. [2021] have tried to propose an adaptive version of SGDA method by using the adaptive learning rate to update the variable \( x \). Then there exists a natural question:

**Can we develop some faster adaptive gradient decent ascent methods for solving the problem (1), which use adaptive learning rates in updating both variables \( x \) and \( y \)?**

In the paper, we give an affirmative answer to the above question and propose a class of faster adaptive gradient descent ascent methods for solving the problem (1). Our methods can use many types of adaptive learning rates in updating both variables \( x \) and \( y \). Moreover, our methods can flexibly incorporate the momentum and variance-reduced techniques. Our main contributions are three-fold:

1) We propose a class of faster adaptive gradient descent ascent methods for the nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax problem (1) by using the universal adaptive matrices as in SUPER-ADAM [Huang et al., 2021] that include almost existing adaptive learning rates.

2) We propose a fast adaptive gradient descent ascent (AdaGDA) method based on the basic momentum technique used in Adam algorithm [Kingma and Ba, 2014]. Further, we propose an accelerated version of AdaGDA (VR-AdaGDA) method based on the momentum-based variance reduced technique used in STORM algorithm [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019].

3) We provide an effective convergence analysis of our adaptive methods under some mild assumptions. Specifically, we prove that our AdaGDA method has a sample complexity of \( O(\kappa^4 \epsilon^{-4}) \) without large batches, which improves the existing result of adaptive method for solving the problem (1) by a factor of \( O(\kappa^4) \). We also prove that our VR-AdaGDA method has a lower sample complexity of \( O(\kappa^3 \epsilon^{-3}) \) without large batches, which improves the existing adaptive result by a factor of \( O(\kappa^{3/2} \epsilon^{-1}) \) (Please see Table 1).

## 2 Related Works

In this section, we overview the existing first-order methods for minimax optimization and adaptive gradient methods, respectively.
2.1 Minimax Optimization Methods

Minimax optimization has recently been shown great successes in some machine learning applications such as adversarial learning, robust federated learning and policy optimization. At the same time, many first-order methods recently have been proposed to solve the minimax problems. For example, some gradient-based descent ascent methods [Lin et al., 2019, Nouiehed et al., 2018, Yan et al., 2020, Lin et al., 2020, Luo et al., 2020, Lin et al., 2019, Nouiehed et al., 2019, Yan et al., 2020] have been proposed for solving the minimax problems. Subsequently, some accelerated gradient descent ascent algorithms [Luo et al., 2020, Huang et al., 2020a] have been proposed to solve the stochastic minimax problems based on the variance-reduced techniques. In addition, Huang et al. [2020a] have proposed a class of Riemannian gradient descent ascent algorithms to solve the nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax problems on Riemannian manifolds. More recently, Zhang et al. [2021, Li et al. 2021] have studied the lower bound complexities of nonconvex-strongly-concave minimax optimization. At the same time, Guo et al. [2021] have tried to propose an adaptive gradient descent ascent method for solving the minimax problem (1) by using Adam-type learning rate to variable $x$.

2.2 Adaptive Gradient Methods

Adaptive gradient methods are a class of popular optimization tools to solve large-scale machine learning problems such as training deep neural networks (DNNs). Recently, the adaptive gradient methods have been widely studied in machine learning community. Adam [Kingma and Ba, 2014] is one of popular adaptive gradient methods and is a default method of choice for training DNNs. At the same time, some variants of Adam algorithm [Reddi et al., 2019, Chen et al., 2018, Loshchilov and Hutter, 2017] have been presented, which have convergence guarantee. Subsequently, to improve the generalization performance of Adam, some adaptive gradient methods such as AdamW [Loshchilov and Hutter, 2017] and AdaBelief [Zhuang et al., 2020] have been proposed. More recently, some accelerated adaptive gradient methods [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019, Huang et al., 2021] have been proposed based on the variance-reduced techniques. In particular, Huang et al. [2021] proposed a faster and universal adaptive gradient framework, i.e., SUPER-ADAM, based on an universal adaptive matrix.

Notation

Let $[n] = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. For two vectors $x$ and $y$, $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes their inner product. $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the $\ell_2$ norm for vectors and spectral norm for matrices, respectively. $\nabla_x f(x,y)$ and $\nabla_y f(x,y)$ denote the partial derivatives w.r.t. variables $x$ and $y$ respectively. Let $I_d$ denote a $d$-dimensional identity matrix. $a = O(b)$ denotes that $a \leq Cb$ for some constant $C > 0$, and the notation $\tilde{O}(\cdot)$ hides logarithmic terms. Given the mini-batch samples $B = \{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^q$, we let $\nabla f(x; B) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \nabla f(x; \xi_i)$.

3 Faster Adaptive Gradient Descent Ascent Methods

In the section, we propose a class of faster adaptive gradient descent ascent methods for solving the minimax problem (1). Specifically, we propose a fast adaptive gradient descent ascent (AdaGDA) based on the basic momentum technique of Adam [Kingma and Ba, 2014]. We further propose an accelerated version of AdaGDA (VR-AdaGDA) based on the momentum-based variance reduced technique of STORM [Cutkosky and Orabona, 2019].
At the step 4 of Algorithm 1, we generate the adaptive matrices $A_t$ and $B_t$ for variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. Specifically, we use the general adaptive matrix $A_t \geq \rho I_{d_1}$ for variable $x$ as in the SUPER-ADAM [Huang et al. 2021], and the global adaptive matrix $B_t = b_t I_{d_2}$ ($b_t > 0$). For example, we can generate the matrix $A_t$ as in the Adam [Kingma and Ba, 2014], and generate the matrix $B_t$ as in the AdaGrad-Norm [Ward et al. 2019], defined as

\[
\hat{v}_t = \alpha \hat{v}_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha)\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; \xi_t)^2, \quad \hat{v}_0 = 0, \quad A_t = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \rho), \quad t \geq 1
\]

(4)

\[
b_t^2 = b_{t-1}^2 + \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t; \xi_t)\|^2, \quad b_0 > 0, \quad B_t = b_t I_{d_2}, \quad t \geq 1.
\]

(5)

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho > 0$.

At the steps 5 and 6 of Algorithm 1 we apply the generalized projection gradient iteration with Bregman distance to update variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. For example, let $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A_t x$, we define a Bregman distance [Censor and Lent, 1981; Censor and Zenios, 1992] associated with function $\psi_t(x)$ as follows:

\[
D_t(x, x_t) = \psi_t(x) - \langle \psi_t(x_t) - \nabla \psi_t(x_t), x - x_t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_t)^T A_t(x - x_t),
\]

(6)

then the step 5 of Algorithm 1 is equivalent to the following generalized projection gradient iteration with Bregman distance as in [Ghadimi et al., 2016],

\[
\hat{x}_{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in X} \left\{ \langle v_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma} D_t(x, x_t) \right\}.
\]

(7)

At the steps 5 and 6 of Algorithm 1 we use the basic momentum technique used in Adam algorithm to estimate the stochastic gradients $v_t$ and $w_t$. 

---

3.1 AdaGDA Algorithm

In the subsection, we propose a fast adaptive gradient descent ascent (AdaGDA) algorithm for solving the problem (1) based on the basic momentum technique. Algorithm 1 shows the algorithmic framework of the AdaGDA algorithm.

At the step 4 of Algorithm 1 we generate the adaptive matrices $A_t$ and $B_t$ for variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. Specifically, we use the general adaptive matrix $A_t \geq \rho I_{d_1}$ for variable $x$ as in the SUPER-ADAM [Huang et al. 2021], and the global adaptive matrix $B_t = b_t I_{d_2}$ ($b_t > 0$). For example, we can generate the matrix $A_t$ as in the Adam [Kingma and Ba, 2014], and generate the matrix $B_t$ as in the AdaGrad-Norm [Ward et al. 2019], defined as

\[
\hat{v}_t = \alpha \hat{v}_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha)\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; \xi_t)^2, \quad \hat{v}_0 = 0, \quad A_t = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \rho), \quad t \geq 1
\]

(4)

\[
b_t^2 = b_{t-1}^2 + \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t; \xi_t)\|^2, \quad b_0 > 0, \quad B_t = b_t I_{d_2}, \quad t \geq 1.
\]

(5)

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho > 0$.

At the steps 5 and 6 of Algorithm 1 we apply the generalized projection gradient iteration with Bregman distance to update variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. For example, let $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A_t x$, we define a Bregman distance [Censor and Lent, 1981; Censor and Zenios, 1992] associated with function $\psi_t(x)$ as follows:

\[
D_t(x, x_t) = \psi_t(x) - \langle \psi_t(x_t) - \nabla \psi_t(x_t), x - x_t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_t)^T A_t(x - x_t),
\]

(6)

then the step 5 of Algorithm 1 is equivalent to the following generalized projection gradient iteration with Bregman distance as in [Ghadimi et al., 2016],

\[
\hat{x}_{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in X} \left\{ \langle v_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma} D_t(x, x_t) \right\}.
\]

(7)

At the steps 5 and 6 of Algorithm 1 we use the basic momentum technique used in Adam algorithm to estimate the stochastic gradients $v_t$ and $w_t$. 

---

Algorithm 1 AdaGDA Algorithm

1. **Input:** $T$, tuning parameters $\{\gamma, \lambda, \eta, \alpha_t, \beta_t\}$ and mini-batch size $q$;
2. **initialize:** Initial input $x_1 \in X$, $y_1 \in Y$, and draw a mini-batch i.i.d. samples $B_1 = \{\xi_1^1\}_{i=1}^q$, and then compute $v_1 = \nabla_x f(x_1, y_1; B_1) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^q \nabla_x f(x_1, y_1; \xi_1^i)$ and $w_1 = \nabla_y f(x_1, y_1; B_1)$;
3. for $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$ do
   4. Generate the adaptive matrices $A_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_1}$ and $B_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2 \times d_2}$;
   5. $x_{t+1} = x_t + \eta_t (\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t)$ with $\hat{x}_{t+1} = \arg \min_{x \in X} \left\{ \langle v_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\eta_t}(x - x_t)^T A_t (x - x_t) \right\}$;
   6. $y_{t+1} = y_t + \eta_t (\hat{y}_{t+1} - y_t)$ with $\hat{y}_{t+1} = \arg \max_{y \in Y} \left\{ \langle w_t, y \rangle - \frac{1}{2\lambda_t}(y - y_t)^T B_t (y - y_t) \right\}$;
   7. Draw a mini-batch i.i.d. samples $B_{t+1} = \{\xi_{t+1}^i\}_{i=1}^q$, and then compute
   8. $v_{t+1} = \alpha_{t+1} \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) v_t$;
   9. $w_{t+1} = \beta_{t+1} \nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \beta_{t+1}) w_t$;
10. end for
11. **Output:** $x_\zeta$ and $y_\zeta$ chosen uniformly random from $\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T$. 

---
Algorithm 2 VR-AdaGDA Algorithm

1: Input: $T$, tuning parameters $\{\gamma, \lambda, \eta_t, \alpha_t, \beta_t\}$ and mini-batch size $q$;
2: initialize: Initial input $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}, y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}$, and draw a mini-batch i.i.d. samples $B_1 = \{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^q$ and then compute $v_1 = \nabla_x f(x_1, y_1; B_1)$ and $w_1 = \nabla_y f(x_1, y_1; B_1)$;
3: for $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$ do
4: generate the adaptive matrices $A_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_1}$ and $B_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2 \times d_2}$;
5: \hspace{1em} $x_{t+1} = x_t + \eta_t(\bar{x}_{t+1} - x_t)$ with $\bar{x}_{t+1} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{\langle v_t, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\eta_t}(x - x_t)^T A_t (x - x_t)\}$;
6: \hspace{1em} $y_{t+1} = y_t + \eta_t(\bar{y}_{t+1} - y_t)$ with $\bar{y}_{t+1} = \arg\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{\langle w_t, y \rangle - \frac{1}{2\lambda}(y - y_t)^T B_t (y - y_t)\}$;
7: draw a mini-batch i.i.d. samples $B_{t+1} = \{\xi_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^q$, and then compute
8: \hspace{1em} $v_{t+1} = \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) (v_t - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1}))$;
9: \hspace{1em} $w_{t+1} = \nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \beta_{t+1}) (w_t - \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1}))$;
10: end for
11: Output: $x_\zeta$ and $y_\zeta$ chosen uniformly random from $\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T$.

3.2 VR-AdaGDA Algorithm

In the subsection, we propose an accelerated version of AdaGDA (VR-AdaGDA) algorithm based on the momentum-based variance reduced technique. Algorithm 2 shows the algorithmic framework of the VR-AdaGDA algorithm.

At the steps 5 and 6 of Algorithm 2 we also use the generalized projection gradient algorithm with Bregman distance to update variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. At the steps 8 and 9 of Algorithm 2 we apply the momentum-based variance reduced technique to estimate the stochastic gradients $v_t$ and $w_t$. For example, the estimator of gradient $\nabla f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})$ is defined as

$$v_{t+1} = \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) [v_t - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})]$$

$$= \alpha_{t+1} \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) [v_t + \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})].$$

Compared with the estimator $v_{t+1}$ in Algorithm 1, $v_{t+1}$ in Algorithm 2 adds the term $\nabla f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - \nabla f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})$ to reduce variance of gradient estimator.

4 Convergence Analysis

In this section, we study the convergence properties of our algorithms (i.e., AdaGDA and VR-AdaGDA) under some mild assumptions. All related proofs are provided in the Appendix A.

4.1 Some Mild Assumptions

In the subsection, we give some mild assumptions on the problem 1.

Assumption 1. Each component function $f(x, y; \xi)$ has the unbiased stochastic partial derivatives with bounded variance $\sigma^2$, i.e., for all $\xi, x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$

$$E[\nabla_x f(x, y; \xi)] = \nabla_x f(x, y), \quad E[\nabla_x f(x, y; \xi) - \nabla_x f(x, y; \xi)]^2 \leq \sigma^2,$$

$$E[\nabla_y f(x, y; \xi)] = \nabla_y f(x, y), \quad E[\nabla_y f(x, y; \xi) - \nabla_y f(x, y; \xi)]^2 \leq \sigma^2.$$  (8)
Assumption 2. The function $f(x, y)$ is $\mu$-strongly concave in $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, i.e., for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$||\nabla_y f(x, y_1) - \nabla_y f(x, y_2)|| \geq \mu ||y_1 - y_2||.$$  

(9)

Then the following inequality holds

$$f(x, y_1) \leq f(x, y_2) + \langle \nabla_y f(x, y_2), y_1 - y_2 \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} ||y_1 - y_2||^2.$$  

(10)

The function $f(x, y)$ is strongly concave in $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, there exists a unique solution to the problem $\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y)$ for any $x$. Here we let $y^*(x) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y)$ and $F(x) = f(x, y^*(x)) = \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y)$.

Assumption 3. The function $F(x)$ is bounded below in $\mathcal{X}$, i.e., $F^* = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} F(x) > -\infty$.

Assumption 4. In our algorithms, the adaptive matrix $A_t$ for all $t \geq 1$ for updating the variables $x$ satisfies $A_t^T = A_t$ and $\lambda_{\min}(A_t) \geq \rho > 0$, where $\lambda_{\min}(A_t)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $A_t$.

Assumption 4 ensures that the adaptive matrices $A_t$ for all $t \geq 1$ are positive definite as in [Huang et al., 2021]. Since the function $f(x, y)$ is $\mu$-strongly concave in $y$, we can easily obtain the global solution of the subproblem $\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y)$. Without loss of generality, in the following convergence analysis, we consider the adaptive matrices $B_t = b_t I_{d_x}$ for all $t \geq 1$ in updating the variables $y$ satisfies $\tilde{b} \geq b_t \geq b > 0$, as the global adaptive learning rates [Li and Orabona, 2019, Ward et al., 2019, Huang and Huang, 2021].

Assumption 5. The objective function $f(x, y)$ has the $L_f$-Lipschitz partial derivatives, i.e., for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$||\nabla_x f(x_1, y) - \nabla_x f(x_2, y)|| \leq L_f ||x_1 - x_2||,$$

$$||\nabla_y f(x_1, y) - \nabla_y f(x_2, y)|| \leq L_f ||y_1 - y_2||,$$

4.2 A Useful Convergence Metric

In the subsection, we introduce a useful convergence metric to measure convergence of our algorithms for both unconstrained and constrained optimizations. Given the sequence $\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ generated from our algorithms, we define a useful convergence metric as follows:

$$M_t = \frac{b}{\gamma} ||x_t - \tilde{x}_{t+1}|| + ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t|| + L_f ||y_t - y^*(x_t)||,$$  

(11)

where the first two terms of $M_t$ measure the convergence of the iteration solutions $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^T$, and the last term measures the convergence of the iteration solutions $\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T$. In the following, we consider the existing two cases:

1) When $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$ and $A_t = I_{d_x}$, we have $\rho = 1$ and $\tilde{x}_{t+1} = x_t - \gamma v_t$. Then we have

$$M_t = ||v_t|| + ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t|| + L_f ||y_t - y^*(x_t)||$$

$$\geq ||v_t|| + ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t|| + ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y^*(x_t))||$$

$$\geq ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t)|| + ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y^*(x_t))||$$

$$\geq ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y^*(x_t))|| = ||\nabla F(x_t)||,$$  

(12)
where the first inequality holds by the above Assumption 5. Thus, if $\mathcal{M}_t \to 0$, we have $\|\nabla F(x_t)\| \to 0$. Specifically, if $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_t] \leq \epsilon$, we can obtain $\mathbb{E}[\nabla F(x_t)] \leq \epsilon$, i.e., we find an $\epsilon$-stationary point of the problem (1).

2) Otherwise, i.e., $X = \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $A_t \neq I_d$, or $X \neq \mathbb{R}^d_+$. Let $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^TA_tx$, then we define a prox-function (i.e., Bregman distance) associated with $\psi_t(x)$ as in Censor and Zenios, 1992. Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{M}_1 = 0$.

4.3 Convergence Analysis of the AdaGDA Algorithm

In the subsection, we study the convergence properties of our AdaGDA algorithm. The detail proofs are provided in the Appendix A.1.

Theorem 1. Suppose the sequence $\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 2. Under the above Assumptions 1 and 5, given $B_t = b_tI_d$ ($b \leq b_t < b > 0$) for all $t \geq 1$, $\eta_t = \frac{1}{T}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2\eta_t$, $m \geq \max\{k^2, (c_1k)^2, (c_2k)^2\}$, $k > 0$, $\eta_t^2 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k}$, $\frac{75L^2}{2} \leq c_2 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k}$, $0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{15\sqrt{2}L^2\mu^2\rho}{2\sqrt{400L^2\lambda^2 + 24\mu^2\lambda^2 + 9375\mu^2k^2L^2_\mu^2}}, \frac{m^{1/2}\rho}{4L}\right)$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \min\left(\frac{405bL^2\mu^2}{8\sqrt{50L^2 + 9\mu^2}}, \frac{b}{6L}\right)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_t] \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3GMm^{1/4}}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/4}},$$

where $G = \frac{\rho(F(x_1)^2 - F^*)}{k\gamma} + \frac{9b_2L^2\Delta^2}{k\mu^2} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{8\sqrt{3G}} + \frac{2m\sigma^2}{8\sqrt{3G}} \ln(m + T)$ and $\Delta_t^2 = \|y_t - y^*(x_t)^2\|^2$.

Remark 1. Without loss of generality, let $k = O(1)$, $b = O(1)$, $\hat{b} = O(1)$ and $\frac{15\sqrt{2}L^2\mu^2\rho}{2\sqrt{400L^2\lambda^2 + 24\mu^2\lambda^2 + 9375\mu^2k^2L^2_\mu^2}} \leq \frac{m^{1/2}\rho}{4L}$, we have $m \geq (k^2, (c_1k)^2, (c_2k)^2, \frac{225k^2L^2\lambda^2 + 48\mu^2\lambda^2 + 1875\mu^2k^2L^2_\mu^2}{800L^2\lambda^2 + 48\mu^2\lambda^2 + 1875\mu^2k^2L^2_\mu^2})$. At the same time, let $\frac{b}{6L} \leq \frac{b}{6L}$.
4056L_f^2\mu^{3/2} \over 8\sqrt{50L_f^2+9n^2} \), we have $0 < \gamma \leq b \over 6\gamma_f$. Let $\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{15\sqrt{2}\mu^2\rho}{2\sqrt{400L_f^2\lambda^2+24\mu^2\lambda^2+9375k\gamma L_f^2\mu}\lambda}}$, $\lambda = \frac{b}{6\gamma_f}$, $c_1 = \frac{9n^2}{4}$ and $c_2 = \frac{75L_f^2}{2}$, it is easily verified that $\gamma = O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$, $\lambda = O\left(\frac{1}{L_f}\right)$, $c_1 = O(\mu^2)$, $c_2 = O(L_f^2)$. Then we have $m = O(L_f^4)$. Given the mini-batch size $q = O(1)$, we have $G = O(\kappa^2 + \kappa^2 \ln(m + T)) = O(\kappa^2)$. So our AdaGDA algorithm has a convergence rate of $O\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{7\gamma}}\right)$. Let $O\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{7\gamma}}\right) \leq \epsilon$, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[M_t] \leq \epsilon$, we have $T \leq \kappa^4\epsilon^{-4}$. In Algorithm \(\text{[A]}\), it uses $q$ samples to estimate the stochastic gradients $v_t$ and $w_t$, and need $T$ iterations. Thus, our AdaGDA algorithm has a sample complexity of $q \cdot T = O(\kappa^6\epsilon^{-3})$ for finding an $\epsilon$-stationary point.

**Corollary 1.** Suppose the sequence $\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm \(\text{[A]}\). Under the above Assumptions \(\text{[A-E]}\), given $B_t = I_{d_2}$, $\eta t = \frac{k_{t+1}}{(m+t+1)^{1/2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2\eta_t$, $m \geq \max\left(k^2, (c_1k)^2, (c_2k)^2\right)$, $k > 0$, $9k^2 \over 4 \leq c_1 \leq m^{1/2}$, $75\kappa^2 \leq c_2 \leq m^{1/2}$, $0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(1 \over 2\sqrt{50L_f^2+9n^2}, \frac{1}{6L_f}\right)$, we have

\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[M_t] \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3G}m^{1/4}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/4}},
\]

where $G = \sqrt{\frac{\rho(F(x_1)-F^*)}{k}\gamma_f} + \frac{9\kappa^2\Delta^2}{k\lambda\mu} + 2\sigma^2 + 2\sigma^2 \lambda \mu \ln(m + T)$ and $\Delta^2 = \|y_1 - y^*(x_1)\|^2$.

**Remark 2.** Corollary \(\text{[A]}\) shows the convergence properties of our AdaGDA algorithm that only uses the adaptive learning rate for variable $x$ in solving the problem \(\text{[I]}\). When we let $b = \bar{b} = b_t = 1$ for all $t \geq 1$ in Theorem \(\text{[A]}\), this proof of Corollary \(\text{[A]}\) can totally follows the proof of Theorem \(\text{[A]}\). Thus we can obtain the same result in the above Theorem \(\text{[A]}\).

### 4.4 Convergence Analysis of the VR-AdaGDA Algorithm

In the subsection, we study the convergence properties of our VR-AdaGDA algorithm. The detail proofs are provides in the Appendix \(\text{[A]}\). For the convergence analysis, we use the following assumption instead of the above Assumption \(\text{[A]}\).

**Assumption 6.** Each component function $f(x, y; \xi)$ has the $L_f$-Lipschitz partial derivatives, i.e., for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

\[
\|\nabla_x f(x_1, y; \xi) - \nabla_x f(x_2, y; \xi)\| \leq L_f \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \|\nabla_y f(x, y_1; \xi) - \nabla_y f(x, y_2; \xi)\| \leq L_f \|y_1 - y_2\|
\]

\[
\|\nabla_y f(x, y_1; \xi) - \nabla_y f(x, y_2; \xi)\| \leq L_f \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad \|\nabla_y f(x, y_1; \xi) - \nabla_y f(x, y_2; \xi)\| \leq L_f \|y_1 - y_2\|
\]

In fact, Assumption \(\text{[A]}\) includes Assumption \(\text{[A]}\), i.e., Assumption \(\text{[A]}\) is stricter than Assumption \(\text{[A]}\). For example, according to the convexity of $\| \cdot \|$ and Assumption \(\text{[A]}\), we have $\|\nabla_x f(x_1, y) - \nabla_x f(x_2, y)\| = \|\mathbb{E} [\nabla_x f(x_1, y; \xi) - \nabla_x f(x_2, y; \xi)]\| \leq \mathbb{E} [\|\nabla_x f(x_1, y; \xi) - \nabla_x f(x_2, y; \xi)\|] \leq L_f \|x_1 - x_2\|$. Under the above Assumptions \(\text{[A-E]}\), given $B_t = b_t I_{d_2}$ (0 < $b < b_t \leq \bar{b}$) for all $t \geq 1$, $\eta t = \frac{k}{(m+t)^{1/2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1\eta_t$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2\eta_t$, $c_1 \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$, $c_2 \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{75L_f^2}{2}$, $m \geq \max\left(k^3, (c_1k)^3, (c_2k)^3\right)$,
\[ 0 < \lambda \leq \min \left( \frac{27\mu b q}{32}, \frac{b}{6L_f} \right) \text{ and } 0 < \gamma \leq \min \left( \frac{\rho \mu \sqrt{T}}{L_f \sqrt{32} \lambda^2 + 150q \kappa^2 b^2}, \frac{m^{1/3} \rho}{2L^2} \right), \] we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_t] \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3Mm^{1/6}}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2\sqrt{3M}}{T^{1/3}},
\] (18)
where \( L = L_f(1 + \kappa), \kappa = \frac{L_f}{\mu}, \) \( M = \frac{\nu(F(x_t) - F^*)}{T^{1/2}} \) + \( \frac{9L_f^2 b}{4\lambda \mu} \Delta_t^2 \) + \( \frac{2\gamma^2 m^{1/3}}{\kappa^2 q \mu^2} \) + \( \frac{2k^2 (c_1^2 + c_2^2) \mu^2}{\kappa^2 q \mu^2} \ln(m + T) \) and \( \Delta_t^2 = \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 \).

**Remark 3.** Without loss of generality, let \( k = O(1), b = O(1), \) \( \tilde{b} = O(1) \) and \( \frac{\rho \mu \sqrt{T}}{L_f \sqrt{32} \lambda^2 + 150q \kappa^2 b^2} \leq \frac{m^{1/3} \rho}{2L^2} \), \( \gamma = O(1), \lambda = O(1), \) \( c_1 = O(m^2), c_2 = O(L_f^2) \) and \( m = O(L_f^6) \). Then we have \( M = O(k^2 + \kappa^2 + \kappa^2 \ln(m + T)) = O(k^2) \). Thus, our VR-AdaGDA algorithm has a convergence rate of \( \tilde{O}(\frac{\kappa^2}{b}) \). Let \( \tilde{O}(\frac{\kappa^2}{b}) \leq \epsilon, \) i.e., \( \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_t] \leq \epsilon \), we have \( T \leq k^4 \epsilon^{-3} \). In Algorithm 3, it uses q samples to estimate the stochastic gradients \( v_t \) and \( w_t \), and need T iterations. Thus, our VR-AdaGDA algorithm has a sample complexity of \( q \cdot T = \tilde{O}(k^4 \epsilon^{-3}) \) for finding an \( \epsilon \)-stationary point.

**Corollary 2.** Under the same conditions of Theorem 2, given mini-batch size \( q = O(k^2) \) for \( \nu > 0 \) and \( \frac{27\mu b q}{32} \leq \frac{b}{6L_f} \), i.e., \( \kappa^2 \leq \frac{16}{81L_f^2} \), our VR-AdaGDA algorithm has a lower gradient complexity of \( \tilde{O}(k^2 \epsilon^{-3}) \) for finding an \( \epsilon \)-stationary point.

**Proof.** Under the same conditions of Theorem 2, without loss of generality, let \( k = O(1), b = O(1), \) \( \tilde{b} = O(1) \) and \( \frac{\rho \mu \sqrt{T}}{L_f \sqrt{32} \lambda^2 + 150q \kappa^2 b^2} \leq \frac{m^{1/3} \rho}{2L^2} \). Let \( \gamma = O(1), \lambda = O(1), \) \( c_1 = O(m^2), c_2 = O(L_f^2) \) and \( m = O(L_f^6) \). Then we have \( M = O(k^2 + \kappa^2 + \kappa^2 \ln(m + T)) = O(k^2) \). Thus, our VR-AdaGDA algorithm has a convergence rate of \( \tilde{O}(\frac{\kappa^2}{b}) \). Let \( \kappa^2 \leq \frac{16}{81L_f^2} \), we choose \( T \geq k^4 \epsilon^{-3} \). Thus, our VR-AdaGDA algorithm reaches a lower sample complexity of \( q \cdot T = \tilde{O}(k^2 \epsilon^{-3}) \) for finding an \( \epsilon \)-stationary point.

**Remark 4.** Without loss of generality, let \( \nu = 1 \), we have \( q = \kappa = \frac{L_f}{\mu} \leq \frac{16}{81L_f^2} \). Thus, we have \( L_f \leq \frac{4}{9} \). Although the objective function \( f(x, y) \) in the minimax problem (1) may not satisfy this condition \( L_f \leq \frac{4}{9} \), we can easily change the original objective function \( f(x, y) \) to a new function \( \hat{f}(x, y) = \beta f(x, y), \beta > 0 \). Since \( \nabla \hat{f}(x, y) = \beta \nabla f(x, y) \), the gradient of function \( \hat{f}(x, y) \) is \( \tilde{L} \)-Lipschitz continuous \( (\tilde{L} = \beta L_f) \). Thus, we can choose a suitable parameter \( \beta \) to ensure this new objective function \( \hat{f}(x, y) \) satisfies the condition \( L = \beta L_f \leq \frac{4}{9} \).

**Corollary 3.** Suppose the sequence \( \{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T \) be generated from Algorithm 3. Under the above Assumptions (4+5+6), given \( B_t = I_d \) for all \( t \geq 1, \) \( \eta_t = \frac{1}{(m+T)^{1/2}} \) for all \( t \geq 0, \) \( \alpha_{t+1} = c_1 \eta_t^2, \)
\[ \beta_{t+1} = c_2 y_t^2, \quad c_1 \geq \frac{2}{3k_x^2} + \frac{9y_t^2}{4}, \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 \geq \frac{2}{3k_x^2} + \frac{75L^2}{2}, \quad m \geq \max \left( k^3, (c_1k)^3, (c_2k)^3 \right), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \min \left( \frac{27\mu q}{32}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_f}} \right) \] and \( 0 < \gamma \leq \min \left( \frac{\lambda \mu \sqrt{q}}{L_f \sqrt{32}}, \frac{m^{1/3}}{2L_k} \right), \) we have

\[ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{M}_t] \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3M}m^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2\sqrt{3M}}{T^{1/3}}, \quad (19) \]

where \( L = L_f(1 + \kappa), \; \kappa = \frac{L_f}{\mu}, \; M = \frac{\rho(F(x_1) - F^*)}{\gamma k^2} + \frac{9L_f^2}{8\kappa^2} \Delta^2_1 + \frac{2\sigma^2 m^{1/3}}{k^2\mu} + \frac{2k^2(c_1^2 + c_2^2)\sigma^2}{\mu q^2} \ln(m + T) \) and \( \Delta^2_1 = \| y_1 - y^*(x_1) \|^2. \)

**Remark 5.** Corollary 3 shows the convergence properties of our VR-AdaGDA algorithm that only uses the adaptive learning rate for variable \( x \) in solving the problem (1). When we let \( b = \hat{b} = b_i = 1 \) for all \( t \geq 1 \) in Theorem 2, this proof of Corollary 3 can totally follows the proof of Theorem 3. Thus we can obtain the same result in the above Theorem 2.

### 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a class of faster adaptive gradient ascent methods for solving the problem (1) by using unified adaptive matrices for both variables \( x \) and \( y \). In particular, our methods can easily incorporate with both the momentum and variance-reduced techniques. Moreover, we provided an effective convergence analysis framework for our methods.
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Appendix

In this section, we provide the detailed convergence analysis of our algorithms. We first gives some useful lemmas.

Given a \( \rho \)-strongly convex function \( \psi(x) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R} \), we define a Bregman distance \cite{Censor1981, Censor1992, Ghadimi2016} associated with \( \psi(x) \) as follows:

\[
D(z, x) = \psi(z) - \left[ \psi(x) + \langle \nabla \psi(x), z - x \rangle \right], \quad \forall x, z \in \mathcal{X},
\]

(20)

where \( \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is a closed convex set. Assume \( h(x) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a convex and possibly nonsmooth function, we define a generalized projection problem:

\[
x^+ = \arg\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ (v, x) + h(x) + \frac{1}{\gamma} D(z, x) \right\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X},
\]

(21)

where \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \gamma > 0 \). Following \cite{Ghadimi2016}, we define a generalized gradient as follows:

\[
\mathcal{G}_x(x, v, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (x - x^+).
\]

(22)

**Lemma 1.** \((\text{Lemma 1 in } \text{Ghadimi et al.} [2016])\) Let \( x^+ \) be given in (21). Then we have, for any \( x \in \mathcal{X}, v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \gamma > 0 \),

\[
\langle v, \mathcal{G}_x(x, v, \gamma) \rangle \geq \rho \| \mathcal{G}_x(x, v, \gamma) \|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} [h(x^+) - h(x)],
\]

(23)

where \( \rho > 0 \) depends on \( \rho \)-strongly convex function \( \psi(x) \).

Based on Lemma 1, let \( h(x) = 0 \), we have

\[
\langle v, \mathcal{G}_x(x, v, \gamma) \rangle \geq \rho \| \mathcal{G}_x(x, v, \gamma) \|^2.
\]

(24)

**Lemma 2.** \cite{Nesterov2018} Assume function \( f(x) \) is convex and \( \mathcal{X} \) is a convex set. \( x^* \in \mathcal{X} \) is the solution of the constrained problem \( \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) \), if

\[
\langle \nabla f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle > 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.
\]

(25)

where \( \nabla f(x^*) \) denote the gradient of function \( f(x) \) at \( x^* \).

**Lemma 3.** \cite{Lin2019} Under the above Assumptions \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) the function \( F(x) = \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y) = f(x, y^*(x)) \) and the mapping \( y^*(x) = \arg\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y) \) have \( L \)-Lipschitz continuous gradient and \( \kappa \)-Lipschitz continuous respectively, such as for all \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X} \)

\[
\| \nabla F(x_1) - \nabla F(x_2) \| \leq L \| x_1 - x_2 \|, \quad \| y^*(x_1) - y^*(x_2) \| \leq \kappa \| x_1 - x_2 \|.
\]

(26)

where \( L = L_f (1 + \kappa) \) and \( \kappa = L_f / \mu \).

**Lemma 4.** For independent random variables \( \{ \zeta_i \}_{i=1}^n \) with zero mean, we have \( \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \right]^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}\| \zeta_i \|^2 \) for any \( i \in [n] \).

**Lemma 5.** Suppose the sequence \( \{ x_t, y_t \}^T_{t=1} \) be generated from Algorithms \( \mathcal{A} \) or \( \mathcal{B} \). Let \( 0 < \eta_t \leq 1 \) and \( 0 < \gamma \leq \frac{\rho}{2\eta} \), we have

\[
F(x_{t+1}) - F(x_t) \leq \frac{2\gamma L_f^2 \eta_t}{\rho} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \| \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta_t}{2\gamma} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \|^2,
\]

(27)

where \( L = L_f (1 + \kappa) \).
Proof. According to the above Lemma 3, the function $F(x)$ has $L$-Lipschitz continuous gradient. Then we have

$$
F(x_{t+1}) \leq F(x_t) + \langle \nabla F(x_t), x_{t+1} - x_t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \|^2
$$

$$
= F(x_t) + \eta_t \langle \nabla F(x_t), \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle + \frac{L \eta_t^2}{2} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2
$$

$$
= F(x_t) + \eta_t \langle v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle + \eta_t \langle \nabla F(x_t) - v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle + \frac{L \eta_t^2}{2} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2,
$$

(28)

where the first equality holds by $x_{t+1} = x_t + \eta_t(\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t)$.

According to Assumption 4, i.e., $A_t \succ \rho I_d$, for any $t \geq 1$, the function $\phi_t(x) = x^T A_t x$ is $\rho$-strongly convex. By using the above Lemma 4 to the step 5 of Algorithm 1 or 2, we have

$$
\langle v_t, 1/\gamma (x_t - \hat{x}_{t+1}) \rangle \geq \rho \| x_t - \hat{x}_{t+1} \|^2.
$$

(29)

Then we obtain

$$
T_1 = \langle v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle \leq -\frac{\rho}{\gamma} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2.
$$

(30)

Next, we decompose the term $T_2 = \langle \nabla F(x_t) - v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle$ as follows:

$$
T_2 = \langle \nabla F(x_t) - v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle
$$

$$
= (\nabla F(x_t) - \nabla f(x_t, y_t), \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t) + \langle \nabla f(x_t, y_t) - v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle.
$$

(31)

For the term $T_3$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Young’s inequality, we have

$$
T_3 = \langle \nabla F(x_t) - \nabla f(x_t, y_t), \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle
$$

$$
\leq \| \nabla F(x_t) - \nabla f(x_t, y_t) \| \cdot \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2\gamma}{\rho} \| \nabla F(x_t) - \nabla f(x_t, y_t) \|^2 + \frac{\rho}{8\gamma} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2
$$

$$
= \frac{2\gamma}{\rho} \| \nabla f(x_t, y^*(x_t)) - \nabla f(x_t, y_t) \|^2 + \frac{\rho}{8\gamma} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2\gamma L_f^2}{\rho} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{\rho}{8\gamma} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2,
$$

(32)

where the second inequality is due to the last inequality holds by $\langle a, b \rangle \leq \frac{\| a \|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \| b \|^2$ with $\nu = \frac{\gamma}{\rho}$, and the last inequality holds by Assumption 5. For the term $T_2$, similarly, we have

$$
T_3 = \langle \nabla f(x_t, y_t) - v_t, \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \rangle
$$

$$
\leq \| \nabla f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \| \cdot \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2\gamma}{\rho} \| \nabla f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \|^2 + \frac{\rho}{8\gamma} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2.
$$

(33)

Thus, we have

$$
T_2 = \frac{2\gamma L_f^2}{\rho} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\rho} \| \nabla f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \|^2 + \frac{\rho}{4\gamma} \| \hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t \|^2.
$$

(34)
Finally, combining the inequalities \((28)\), \((30)\) with \((34)\), we have

\[
F(x_{t+1}) \leq F(x_t) - \frac{\rho}{\gamma} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma L^2 f}{\rho} \|y^*(x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta}{\rho} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 \\
+ \frac{\rho \eta}{4\gamma} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \frac{L\eta^2}{2} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \\
\leq F(x_t) + \frac{2\gamma L^2 f}{\rho} \|y^*(x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta}{\rho} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 - \frac{\rho \eta}{2\gamma} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2, \tag{35}
\]

where the last inequality is due to \(0 < \gamma \leq \frac{\rho}{2L\eta}\).

**Lemma 6.** Suppose the sequence \(\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T\) be generated from Algorithm 1 or 2. Under the above Assumptions, given \(B_t = b_t I_{d_2} \) (\(b_t \geq b > 0\)) for all \(t \geq 1\), \(0 < \eta_t \leq 1\) and \(0 < \lambda \leq \frac{\rho}{6L_f} \leq \frac{\rho}{6L_f}\), we have

\[
\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \leq (1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t}) \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta}{4} \|y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \\
+ \frac{25\eta \lambda}{6b_t} \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 \eta b_t}{6\mu \lambda} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2, \tag{36}
\]

where \(\kappa = L_f / \mu\).

**Proof.** This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 30 in [Huang et al., 2020]. According to Assumption 2 i.e., the function \(f(x, y)\) is \(\mu\)-strongly concave w.r.t \(y\), we have

\[
f(x_t, y) \leq f(x_t, y_t) + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t), y - y_t \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - y_t\|^2 \\
= f(x_t, y_t) + \langle w_t, y - y_{t+1} \rangle + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y - y_{t+1} \rangle \\
+ \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t), y_{t+1} - y_t \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - y_t\|^2. \tag{37}
\]

According to Assumption 3 i.e., the function \(f(x, y)\) is \(L_f\)-smooth, we have

\[
-\frac{L_f}{2} \|y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \leq f(x_t, y_{t+1}) - f(x_t, y_t) - \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t), y_{t+1} - y_t \rangle. \tag{38}
\]

Summing up the above inequalities \((37)\) with \((38)\), we have

\[
f(x_t, y) \leq f(x_t, y_{t+1}) + \langle w_t, y - y_{t+1} \rangle + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y - y_{t+1} \rangle \\
- \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - y_t\|^2 + \frac{L_f}{2} \|y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2. \tag{39}
\]

By the optimality of the step 6 of Algorithm 1 or 2 and \(B_t = b_t I_{d_2}\), we have

\[
\langle -w_t + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} (y_{t+1} - y_t), y - y_{t+1} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for } y \in \mathcal{Y}. \tag{40}
\]

Then we obtain

\[
\langle w_t, y - y_{t+1} \rangle \leq -\frac{1}{\lambda} (b_t (y_{t+1} - y_t), y - y_{t+1}) \\
= -\frac{1}{\lambda} (b_t (y_{t+1} - y_t), y - y_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (b_t (y_{t+1} - y_t), y - y_t) \\
= \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \|y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} (y_{t+1} - y_t, y - y_t). \tag{41}
\]
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By plugging the inequalities (41) into (39), we have
\[
 f(x_t, y) \leq f(x_t, \tilde{y}_{t+1}) + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} (\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t, y - y_t) + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \rangle
 - \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \| y - y_t \|^2 + \frac{L_f}{2} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2. \tag{42}
\]
Let \( y = y^*(x_t) \) and we obtain
\[
 f(x_t, y^*(x_t)) \leq f(x_t, \tilde{y}_{t+1}) + \frac{b_t}{\lambda} (\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t, y^*(x_t) - y_t) + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y^*(x_t) - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \rangle
 - \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{L_f}{2} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2. \tag{43}
\]
Due to the concavity of \( f(\cdot, y) \) and \( y^*(x_t) = \arg \max_{y \in Y} f(x_t, y) \), we have \( f(x_t, y^*(x_t)) \geq f(x_t, \tilde{y}_{t+1}) \). Thus, we obtain
\[
 0 \leq \frac{b_t}{\lambda} (\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t, y^*(x_t) - y_t) + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y^*(x_t) - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \rangle
 - \frac{b_t}{\lambda} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{L_f}{2} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2. \tag{44}
\]
By \( y_{t+1} = y_t + \eta_t (\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t) \), we have
\[
 \| y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t) \|^2 = \| y_t + \eta_t (\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t) - y^*(x_t) \|^2 \\
 = \| y_t - y^*(x_t) \|^2 + 2 \eta_t \langle \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t, y_t - y^*(x_t) \rangle + \eta_t^2 \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2. \tag{45}
\]
Then we obtain
\[
 \langle \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t, y^*(x_t) - y_t \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2\eta_t} \| y_t - y^*(x_t) \|^2 + \frac{\eta_t}{2} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta_t} \| y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t) \|^2. \tag{46}
\]
Considering the upper bound of the term \( \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y^*(x_t) - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \rangle \), we have
\[
 \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y^*(x_t) - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\
 = \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y^*(x_t) - y_t \rangle + \langle \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t, y_t - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \rangle \\
 \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \| y_t - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \|^2 \\
 = \frac{2}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \| y_t - \tilde{y}_{t+1} \|^2. \tag{47}
\]
By plugging the inequalities (43) and (47) into (44), we obtain
\[
 \frac{b_t}{2\eta_t \lambda} \| y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t) \|^2 \leq \left( \frac{b_t}{2\eta_t \lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4} \right) \| y_t - y^*(x_t) \|^2 + \left( \frac{\eta_t b_t}{2\lambda} - \frac{b_t}{\lambda} + \frac{L_f}{2} \right) \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 \\
 + \frac{2}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2 \\
 \leq \left( \frac{b_t}{2\eta_t \lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4} \right) \| y_t - y^*(x_t) \|^2 + \left( \frac{3L_f}{4} - \frac{b_t}{2\lambda} \right) \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2 \\
 = \left( \frac{b_t}{2\eta_t \lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4} \right) \| y_t - y^*(x_t) \|^2 - \left( \frac{3L_f}{8\lambda} - \frac{b_t}{8\lambda} \right) \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 \\
 + \frac{2}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2 \\
 \leq \left( \frac{b_t}{2\eta_t \lambda} - \frac{\mu}{4} \right) \| y_t - y^*(x_t) \|^2 - \frac{3b_t}{8\lambda} \| \tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t \|^2 + \frac{2}{\mu} \| \nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t \|^2, \tag{48}
\]
where the second inequality holds by $L_f \geq \mu$ and $0 < \eta \leq 1$, and the last inequality is due to $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{b}{\mu \eta^2}$ for all $t \geq 1$. It implies that

$$
\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t)\|^2 \leq (1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{2b_t})\|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta}{4} \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 + \frac{4\eta \lambda}{\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2.
$$

(49)

Next, we decompose the term $\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2$ as follows:

$$
\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \\
\leq \|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \\
= \|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t) + y^*(x_t) - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \\
= \|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t)\|^2 + 2\langle y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t), y^*(x_t) - y^*(x_{t+1}) \rangle + \|y^*(x_t) - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \\
\leq (1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta \mu \lambda})\|y^*(x_t) - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \\
\leq (1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_t)\|^2 + (1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta \mu \lambda})\kappa^2\|x_t - x_{t+1}\|^2,
$$

(50)

where the first inequality holds by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Young’s inequality, and the second inequality is due to Lemma 3 and the last equality holds by $x_{t+1} = x_t + \eta_t (\bar{x}_{t+1} - x_t)$.

By combining the above inequalities (49) and (50), we have

$$
\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \leq (1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})(1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{2b_t})\|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 - (1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{2b_t})\|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \\
+ (1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + (1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta \mu \lambda})\kappa^2\|x_t - x_{t+1}\|^2.
$$

(51)

Since $0 < \eta \leq 1$, $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{b}{\mu \eta^2}$ and $L_f \geq \mu$, we have $\lambda \leq \frac{b}{\mu \eta^2} \leq \frac{b}{\mu^2}$ and $\eta \leq 1 \leq \frac{b}{\mu \eta^2}$. Then we obtain

$$
(1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})(1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{2b_t}) = 1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{2b_t} + \frac{\eta ^2 \mu ^2 \lambda ^2}{4b_t^2} - \frac{3\eta}{4} \leq 1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t},
$$

(52)

$$
- \frac{3\eta}{4} \leq \frac{3\eta}{4},
$$

(53)

$$
(1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 \leq (1 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{25\eta \lambda}{6\mu b_t} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2,
$$

(54)

$$
(1 + \frac{4b_t}{\eta \mu \lambda})\kappa^2 \leq \frac{\kappa^2 b_t}{6\mu \eta \lambda} + \frac{4\kappa^2 b_t}{6\mu \eta \lambda} = \frac{25\kappa^2 b_t}{6\mu \eta \lambda},
$$

(55)

where the second last inequality is due to $\frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \leq \frac{1}{6}$ and the last inequality holds by $\frac{b}{\mu \eta^2} \geq 1$. Thus, we have

$$
\|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 \leq (1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta}{4} \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \\
+ \frac{25\eta \lambda}{6\mu b_t} \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 b_t}{6\mu \lambda \eta_t} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \\
= (1 - \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t})\|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 - \frac{3\eta}{4} \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \\
+ \frac{25\kappa^2 b_t}{6\mu \lambda} \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{25\kappa^2 b_t}{6\mu \lambda} \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2,
$$

(56)

where the equality holds by $x_{t+1} = x_t + \eta_t (\bar{x}_{t+1} - x_t)$.
A.1 Convergence Analysis of the AdaGDA Algorithm

In this subsection, we study the convergence properties of our AdaGDA algorithm for solving the minimax problem \( (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \). We first give a useful Lemma for the gradient estimators.

**Lemma 7.** Assume that the stochastic partial derivatives \( v_{t+1} \) and \( w_{t+1} \) are generated from Algorithm [3], we have

\[
E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) E\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\sigma_{t+1}^2 \alpha_{t+1}^2}{q} + 2L^2 \eta_t^2 \left( E\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + E\|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \right),
\]

or

\[
E\|\nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - w_{t+1}\|^2 \leq (1 - \beta_{t+1}) E\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma_t^2}{q} + 2L^2 \eta_t^2 \left( E\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + E\|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \right).
\]

**Proof.** This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4 in [Huang et al. 2021]. We first consider the term

\[
E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2.
\]

Since \( v_{t+1} = \alpha_{t+1} \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) v_t \), we have

\[
E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \left( E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})\|^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ 1 \left( 1 - \alpha_{t+1} \right)^2 \left( \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) \right)^2
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 (1 + \alpha_{t+1}) E\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}) E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t)\|^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{\sigma_{t+1}^2}{q} (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}) E\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \frac{\sigma_{t+1}^2}{q} (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}) E\|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2
\]

where the third equality is due to \( E B_{t+1} [\nabla f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1})] = \nabla f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) \); the second last inequality holds by 0 \( \leq \alpha_{t+1} \leq 1 \) such that \( (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 (1 + \alpha_{t+1}) = 1 - \alpha_{t+1} \alpha_{t+1} + \alpha_{t+1} \leq 1 - \alpha_{t+1} + 1 \) and \( 1 - \alpha_{t+1}^2 (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}) \leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} \right) = 1 - \alpha_{t+1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} \), and the last inequality holds by Assumption [3] and \( x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta_t (\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t) \), \( y_{t+1} = y_t - \eta_t (\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t) \).

Similarly, we have

\[
E\|\nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - w_{t+1}\|^2 \leq (1 - \beta_{t+1}) E\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma_t^2}{q} + 2L^2 \eta_t^2 \left( E\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + E\|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \right).
\]
Theorem 3. Assume that the sequence \( \{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^{T} \) be generated from the Algorithm \( \mathcal{A} \). Given \( B_t = b_t I_{d_k} \) \((b \leq b_t \leq b > 0)\) for all \( t \geq 1 \), \( \eta_t = \frac{b}{(m+1)^{1/2}} \) for all \( t \geq 0 \), \( \alpha_t + 1 = c_1 \eta_t \), \( \beta_{t+1} = c_2 \eta_t \), \( m \geq \max(k^2, (c_1 k)^2, (c_2 k)^2) \), \( k > 0 \), \( \eta_0^2 \leq c_1 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k} \), \( \frac{75L^2}{2} \leq c_2 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k} \), \( 0 < \gamma \leq \min \left( \frac{15\sqrt{2m} \rho}{\sqrt{16k^2 + 84m^2 + 9576k^2 + 3} \mu^2}, \frac{m^{1/2}}{4Lk} \right) \) and \( 0 < \lambda \leq \min \left( \frac{400kL^2 \rho^{3/2}}{k \sqrt{50k^2 + 64m^2 + 9}}, \frac{b}{b_t} \right) \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(t) \right] - y_t \right] + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| - \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\gamma} \right] \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{5} \rho m^{1/4}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2 \sqrt{3} \rho}{T^{1/4}},
\]

where \( G = \frac{\gamma(F(t) - F^*)}{\gamma} + \frac{6bL^2 \rho^{3/2}}{m \rho} + \frac{2b^2}{m \rho} \frac{2m \rho}{m \rho} \ln(m + T) \) and \( \Delta_t^2 = \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 \).

Proof. Since \( \eta_t = \frac{b}{(m+1)^{1/2}} \) on \( t \) is decreasing and \( m \geq k^2 \), we have \( \eta_t \leq \eta_0 = \frac{b}{m^{1/2}} \leq 1 \) and \( \gamma \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{4Lk} \leq \frac{b}{m^{1/2}} \) for any \( t \geq 0 \). Due to \( 0 < \eta_t \leq 1 \) and \( m \geq (c_1 k)^2 \), we have \( \alpha_t + 1 = c_1 \eta_t \leq \frac{c_1 b}{m^{1/2}} \leq 1 \). Similarly, due to \( m \geq (c_2 k)^2 \), we have \( \beta_{t+1} \leq 1 \). At the same time, we have \( c_1, c_2 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k} \). According to Lemma \( \mathcal{A} \), we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| \leq -\alpha_t + 1 \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + 2L^2 \eta_t^2 / c_1 \left( \|[\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t]^2 \|_2^2 + \|y_{t+1} - x_t \|^2 \right) = -c_1 \eta_t \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + 2L^2 \eta_t^2 / c_1 \left( \|[\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t]^2 \|_2^2 + \|y_{t+1} - x_t \|^2 \right) \leq -\frac{9 \rho^2}{4} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + 8L^2 \eta_t^2 / q \mu^2 \left( \|[\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t]^2 \|_2^2 + \|y_{t+1} - x_t \|^2 \right) + \frac{m \rho^2}{q^2} \sigma^2 / k^2 q.
\]

where the above equality holds by \( \alpha_t + 1 = c_1 \eta_t \), and the last inequality is due to \( \frac{9 \rho^2}{4} \leq c_1 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k} \). Similarly, given \( \frac{75L^2}{2} \leq c_2 \leq \frac{m^{1/2}}{k} \), we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| \leq -\frac{75L^2 \eta_t}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + \frac{4\eta_t}{7} \left( \|[\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t]^2 \|_2^2 + \|y_{t+1} - x_t \|^2 \right) + \frac{m \rho^2 \sigma^2}{k^2 q}.
\]

According to Lemma \( \mathcal{A} \), we have

\[
F(x_{t+1}) - F(x_t) \leq \frac{2\gamma L^2 \eta_t}{\rho} \|[\hat{y}^*(x_t)]^2 - y_t \|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta_t}{\rho} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| - \frac{m \rho^2}{2\gamma} \|[\hat{x}_{t+1} - x_t]^2 \|^2.
\]

According to Lemma \( \mathcal{A} \), we have

\[
\|[y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})]^2 - [y_t - y^*(x_t)]^2 \|^2 \leq -\frac{\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|[y_t - y^*(x_t)]^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} \|[y_{t+1} - y_t]^2 \|^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{25 \eta_t \lambda}{6b_t} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + \frac{25 \eta_t \lambda}{6b_t} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right|.
\]

Next, we define a Lyapunov function, for any \( t \geq 1 \)

\[
\Omega_t = \mathbb{E} \left[ F(x_t) + \frac{9b_t L^2 \gamma}{\lambda \rho t} \||y_t - y^*(x_t)\| + \frac{\gamma}{\rho \mu t} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right| + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E} \right| \mathbb{E} \left| f(t) \right| - v_t \right|.
\]
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Then we have
\[ \Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t \]
\[ = F(x_{t+1}) - F(x_t) + \frac{9b_tL_2^2\gamma}{\lambda\mu} \left( \|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 - \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\rho \mu^2} \left( \mathbb{E} \|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2 - \mathbb{E} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{2\gamma L_2^2 \eta}{\rho} \|y^*(x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{2\gamma \eta}{\rho} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 + \frac{9}{4} \frac{L_2^2 \eta}{\rho} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{50}{4} \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{6 \rho^2 \mu} \|\bar{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \]
\[ + \frac{2\gamma L_2^2 \gamma}{\lambda \mu \rho} \left( \left( \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4b_t} \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 + \frac{25}{4} \frac{L_2^2 \eta}{\rho \mu^2} \|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{25}{8} \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{6 \rho^2 \mu} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \right) \right) \]

where the first inequality holds by the above inequalities \(61\), \(62\), \(63\) and \(64\); the last inequality is due to \(0 < \gamma \leq 15/21 \sqrt{\gamma} \lambda \mu^2 \rho^2 \leq 2/\sqrt{400 L_2^2 \lambda^2 + 24 \mu + 9375 b^2 \times L_2^2 \mu^2}, \) and \(0 < \lambda \leq 405 b_t L_2^2 \rho^{3/2} \leq 405 b_t L_2^2 \rho^{3/2} \leq 405 b_t L_2^2 \rho^{3/2} \leq 405 b_t L_2^2 \rho^{3/2} \) for all \(t \geq 1\). Then we have
\[ \frac{L_2^2 \eta}{4} \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{4} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\eta \mu \lambda}{4 b_t} \|\bar{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \leq \frac{\rho (\Omega_t - \Omega_{t+1})}{\gamma} + \frac{2 \sigma^2}{k^2 \mu_2 q}. \]
Since $\eta_t$ is decreasing on $t$, i.e., $\eta_t^{-1} \geq \eta_t^{-1}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \|y_t - y^* (x_t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4\gamma T} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \right]
\leq \frac{\rho}{T\gamma T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\Phi_t - \Phi_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{T\eta T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2ma^2}{k^2\mu^2 q} \eta_t^2
\leq \frac{\rho}{T\gamma T} \left( F(x_1) - F^* + \frac{9b_1 L^2 f \gamma}{\lambda \mu \eta t} + \frac{2\gamma \sigma^2}{q \mu^2 T} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{T} k^2 d\eta \right) + \frac{1}{T\eta T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2ma^2}{k^2\mu^2 q} \eta_t^2
\leq \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{T\gamma T} + \frac{9b_1 L^2 f \gamma}{k \lambda \mu T} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{q \mu^2 T} + \int_{1}^{T} k^2 d\eta \ln (m + T) + \frac{2ma^2}{k \sigma^2} \ln (m + T)
\leq \left( \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{k \gamma} + \frac{9b_1 L^2 f \gamma}{k \lambda \mu T} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{q \mu^2 T} + \frac{2ma^2}{k \sigma^2} \ln (m + T) \right) \frac{(m + T)^{1/2}}{T},
\]
where the second inequality holds by the above inequality \(\Box\). Let $G = \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{k \gamma} + \frac{9b_1 L^2 f \gamma}{k \lambda \mu T} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{q \mu^2 T} + \frac{2ma^2}{k \sigma^2} \ln (m + T)$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \|y^* (x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4\gamma T} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{G}{T} \left( m + T \right)^{1/2}.
\]
According to Jensen's inequality, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \|y^* (x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4\gamma T} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \right]
\leq \left( \frac{3}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \|y^* (x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4\gamma T} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \right] \right)^{1/2}
\leq \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/2}} \left( m + T \right)^{1/4} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/4}},
\]
where the last inequality is due to $(a + b)^{1/4} \leq a^{1/4} + b^{1/4}$ for all $a, b > 0$. Thus, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} [L_f \|y^* (x_t) - y_t\| + \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\| + \frac{\rho}{\gamma} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|] \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2\sqrt{3G}}{T^{1/4}}.
\]
\[\square\]

A.2 Convergence Analysis of the VR-AdaGDA Algorithm

In the subsection, we study the convergence properties of the VR-AdaGDA algorithm for solving the minimax problem \([1]\). We first provide a useful lemma.

**Lemma 8.** Suppose the stochastic gradients $v_t$ and $w_t$ be generated from Algorithm \([3]\) we have
\[
\mathbb{E} [\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2] \leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \mathbb{E} [\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2] + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q}
+ \frac{4L^2 f\gamma^2}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2),
\]
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\[ E\|\nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - w_{t+1}\|^2 \leq (1 - \beta_{t+1}) E\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q} + \frac{4L^2 \eta_t^2}{q} (\|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2). \]  

(74)

**Proof.** This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3 in [Huang et al., 2021]. We first prove the inequality (73). According to the definition of \(v_t\) in Algorithm 2, we have

\[
v_{t+1} - v_t = -\alpha_{t+1} v_t + (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) (\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})) + \alpha_{t+1} \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}).
\]

(75)

Then we have

\[
E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2 \\
= E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_t - (v_{t+1} - v_t)\|^2 \\
= E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_t + \alpha_{t+1} v_t - \alpha_{t+1} \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) (\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})) + \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})) + \alpha_{t+1} (\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}))\|^2 \\
= (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_t\|^2 + \alpha_{t+1}^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1})\|^2 \\
+ (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})\|^2 \\
+ 2\alpha_{t+1}(1 - \alpha_{t+1}) (\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})) \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_t\|^2 + 2\alpha_{t+1}^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1})\|^2 \\
+ 2(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) + \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})\|^2 \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q} \\
+ \frac{2(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2}{q} E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; \xi_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; \xi_{t+1})\|^2.
\]

(76)

where the fourth equality follows by \(E_{B_{t+1}} [\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1})] = \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) + E_{B_{t+1}} [\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; B_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; B_{t+1})] = \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t);\) the first inequality holds by Young’s inequality; the last inequality is due to Lemma 3 and Assumption 4.

According to Assumption 3, we have

\[
T_1 = E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; \xi_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; \xi_{t+1})\|^2 \\
= E\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; \xi_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}; \xi_{t+1}) - \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t; \xi_{t+1})\|^2 \\
\leq 2L_{t+1}^2 x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + 2L_{t+1}^2 y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2 \\
= 2L_{t+1}^2 \eta_t^2\|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + 2L_{t+1}^2 \eta_t^2\|y_{t+1} - y_t\|^2.
\]

(77)
Plugging the above inequality into (76), we obtain

\[ \begin{align*}
    \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q} \\
    &\quad + \frac{4(1 - \alpha_{t+1})^2 L_f^2 \eta^2}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2) \\
    &\leq (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q} \\
    &\quad + \frac{4L_f^2 \eta^2}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2),
\end{align*} \tag{78} \]

where the last inequality holds by $0 < \alpha_{t+1} \leq 1$.

Similarly, we have

\[ \begin{align*}
    \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - w_{t+1}\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q} \\
    &\quad + \frac{4L_f^2 \eta^2}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2). \tag{79} \end{align*} \]

**Theorem 4.** Suppose the sequence $\{x_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be generated from Algorithm 2. Given $B_t = b_t I_{2q}$ ($0 < b \leq b_t \leq \bar{b}$) $\eta_t = \frac{k}{(m+1)^2}$, $\alpha_{t+1} = c_1 \eta_t^2$, $\beta_{t+1} = c_2 \eta_t^2$, $c_1 \geq \frac{2}{k \sqrt{3} + \frac{9\mu}{32}}$ and $c_2 \geq \frac{2}{k \sqrt{3} + \frac{75L_f^2}{2}}$, $m \geq \max\{k^3, (c_1 k)^3, (c_2 k)^3\}$, $0 < \lambda \leq \min\left(\frac{2\mu \log b}{32}, \frac{b}{6L_f}\right)$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \min\left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{\mu \sigma}}{L_f \sqrt{32k^2 + 150qk^2}}, \frac{m^{1/3} \sqrt{\beta}}{2L_f^2}\right)$, we have

\[ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}\left[L_f \|y^*(x_t) - y_t\| + \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\| + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\| \right] \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3} M \eta_t^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}} + \frac{2\sqrt{3} M}{T^{1/3}}, \tag{80} \]

where $M = \frac{c(F(x_1) - F^*)}{\sqrt{k} \eta_t} + \frac{9L_f^2 b_t}{k \mu} \Delta_1^2 + \frac{2\mu \eta^2}{32q \eta_t^2} + \frac{2k^2(c_1 + c_2) \sigma^2}{q \eta_t^2} \ln(m + T)$ and $\Delta_1^2 = \|y_1 - y^*(x_1)\|^2$.

**Proof.** Since $\eta_t$ is decreasing and $m \geq k^3$, we have $\eta_t \leq \eta_0 = \frac{k}{m+1} \leq 1$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{\mu \sigma}}{L_f \sqrt{32k^2 + 150qk^2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{\mu \sigma}}{L_f \sqrt{32k^2 + 150qk^2}} \leq \frac{m^{1/3} \sqrt{\beta}}{2L_f^2}$ for any $t \geq 0$. Due to $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$ and $m \geq \max\{k^3, (c_1 k)^3, (c_2 k)^3\}$, we have $\lambda_t = c_1 \eta_t^2 \leq c_1 \eta_t \leq \frac{c_1 k}{m+1} \leq 1$ and $\beta_t = c_2 \eta_t^2 \leq c_2 \eta_t \leq \frac{c_2 k}{m+1} \leq 1$. Then we consider the upper bound of the following term:

\[ \begin{align*}
    \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_f^2 \eta_t}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2) + \frac{2\alpha_{t+1}^2 \sigma^2}{q \eta_t} \\
    &\quad + \frac{4L_f^2 \eta_t^2}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2) \tag{81},
\end{align*} \]

where the second inequality is due to $0 < \alpha_{t+1} \leq 1$. Similarly, we have

\[ \begin{align*}
    \frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - w_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} - c_2 \eta_t\right) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t\|^2 + \frac{4L_f^2 \eta_t}{q} (\|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 + \|\tilde{y}_{t+1} - y_t\|^2) + \frac{2c_2^2 \eta_t^2 \sigma^2}{q} \tag{82}.
\end{align*} \]
By $\eta_t = \frac{k}{(m+t)^{2/3}}$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{\eta_t} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{k}((m+t)^{2/3} - (m+t-1)^{2/3}) \\
\leq \frac{1}{3k(m+t)^{1/3}} \leq \frac{2^{2/3}}{3k(2(m+t-1))^{2/3}} \\
\leq \frac{2^{2/3}k^2}{3k^3(m+t)^{2/3}} = \frac{2^{2/3}k^2}{3k^3\eta_t^2} \leq \frac{2}{3k^3\eta_t}, \quad (83)
\]
where the first inequality holds by the concavity of function $f(x) = x^{1/3}$, i.e., $(x+y)^{1/3} \leq x^{1/3} + \frac{y}{3x^{2/3}}$, and the last inequality is due to $0 < \eta_t \leq 1$.

Let $c_1 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + \frac{9\mu^2}{4}$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E}||\nabla_x f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - v_{t+1}||^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E}||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t||^2 \\
\leq -\frac{9\mu^2\eta_t}{4} \mathbb{E}||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t||^2 + \frac{4L^2\eta_t}{q} (||x_{t+1} - x_t||^2 + ||y_{t+1} - y_t||^2) + \frac{2\epsilon^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{q}. \quad (84)
\]

Let $c_2 \geq \frac{2}{3k^3} + \frac{75L^2}{2}$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{\eta_t} \mathbb{E}||\nabla_y f(x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) - w_{t+1}||^2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \mathbb{E}||\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t||^2 \\
\leq -\frac{75L^2\eta_t}{2} \mathbb{E}||\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t||^2 + \frac{4L^2\eta_t}{q} (||x_{t+1} - x_t||^2 + ||y_{t+1} - y_t||^2) + \frac{2\epsilon^2\eta_t^3\sigma^2}{q}. \quad (85)
\]

According to Lemma 5 we have
\[
F(x_{t+1}) - F(x_t) \leq \frac{2\gamma L^2\eta_t}{\rho} ||y^*(x_t) - y_t||^2 + \frac{2\gamma\eta_t}{\rho} ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t||^2 - \frac{\rho\eta_t}{2\gamma} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||^2. \quad (86)
\]

According to Lemma 6, we have
\[
||y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})||^2 - ||y_t - y^*(x_t)||^2 \leq \frac{3\eta_t \mu \lambda}{4b_t} ||y_t - y^*(x_t)||^2 - \frac{3\eta_t}{4} ||y_{t+1} - y_t||^2 \\
+ \frac{25\eta_t \mu \lambda}{6b_t} ||\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t||^2 + \frac{25\epsilon^2 \eta_t^3 \eta_t}{6\mu \lambda} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||^2. \quad (87)
\]

Next, we define a Lyapunov function, for any $t \geq 1$
\[
\Phi_t = F(x_t) + \frac{9\gamma L^2 b_t}{\rho \lambda \mu} ||y_t - y^*(x_t)||^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho \mu^2} (\frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} ||\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t||^2 + \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} ||\nabla_y f(x_t, y_t) - w_t||^2). \quad (88)
\]
Then we have

\[ \Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t \]

\[
= F(x_{t+1}) - F(x_t) + \frac{9\gamma L^2 b_t}{\rho \lambda \mu} \left( \|y_{t+1} - y^*(x_{t+1})\|^2 - \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{\eta_t} \|y_t\| \text{ and } \Delta_t \leq \|y_t - y^*(x_t)\|^2.
\]

Taking average over \( t = 1, 2, \cdots, T \) on both sides of (90), we have

\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \frac{L^2 \eta_t}{4} \|y^*(x_t) - y_t\|^2 + \frac{\eta_t}{4} \|\nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t\|^2 + \frac{\rho^2 \eta_t}{\gamma} \|\tilde{x}_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\rho}{T} \left( \Phi_1 - \Phi_{t+1} \right) + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \frac{2\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \sigma^2}{\mu^2 q} + \frac{2\gamma^2 \eta_t^2 \sigma^2}{\mu^2 q} \right).
\]

Since the initial solution satisfies \( y_1 = y^*(x_1) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{V}} f(x_1, y) \), we have

\[
\Phi_1 = F(x_1) + \frac{9\gamma L^2 b_1}{\rho \lambda \mu} \|y_1 - y^*(x_1)\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\eta_0} \|\nabla_x f(x_1, y_1) - v_1\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho \mu^2 \eta_0} \|\nabla_y f(x_1, y_1) - w_1\|^2
\]

\[
\leq F(x_1) + \frac{9\gamma L^2 b_1}{\rho \lambda \mu} \Delta_1^2 + \frac{2\gamma^2 \sigma^2}{q \rho \mu^2 \eta_0},
\]

where the last inequality holds by Assumption (I) and \( \Delta_1^2 = \|y_1 - y^*(x_1)\|^2 \).
Since $\eta_t$ is decreasing, i.e., $\eta_t^{-1} \geq \eta_{t-1}^{-1}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \frac{L_f^2}{4} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \|^2 + \rho^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{4 \gamma^2} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \|_2^2 \right) 
\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \rho (\Phi_t - \Phi_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2\sigma_t^2 \sigma_t^2}{\eta_t^2 T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2\eta_t^2 \sigma_t^2}{\mu^2 q} 
= \frac{\rho (\Phi_1 - \Phi_{T+1})}{\eta T \gamma} + 2(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\eta_t^3}{\mu^2 q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\eta_t^3}{\mu^2} 
\leq \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{T \eta T \gamma} + 9 L_f^2 b_1 \frac{\eta T \lambda}{\eta T \mu} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2\sigma_t^2}{\eta T \mu^2 \eta_0} + 2(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\eta_t^3}{\mu^2 q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\eta_t^3}{\mu^2} 
\leq \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{T \eta T \gamma} + 9 L_f^2 b_1 \frac{\eta T \lambda}{\eta T \mu} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2\sigma_t^2}{\eta T \mu^2 \eta_0} + 2k^3 \frac{2(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \ln(m + T)}{T \eta T \mu^2} \ln(m + T) 
= \left( \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{T \eta T \gamma} + 9 L_f^2 b_1 \frac{\eta T \lambda}{\eta T \mu} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2\sigma_t^2}{\eta T \mu^2 \eta_0} + 2k^3 \frac{2(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \ln(m + T)}{T \eta T \mu^2} \ln(m + T) \right) \frac{(m + T)^{1/3}}{T}, \tag{92}
\]
where the second inequality holds by the above inequality (91). Let $M = \frac{\rho (F(x_1) - F^*)}{T \eta T \gamma} + 9 L_f^2 b_1 \frac{\eta T \lambda}{\eta T \mu} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{2\sigma_t^2}{\eta T \mu^2 \eta_0} + 2k^3 \frac{2(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_t^2) \ln(m + T)}{T \eta T \mu^2} \ln(m + T)$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \| \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \|^2 + \rho^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{4 \gamma^2} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \|_2^2 \right] \leq \frac{M}{T} (m + T)^{1/3}. \tag{93}
\]
According to Jensen’s inequality, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \| + \frac{\rho}{2 \gamma} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \| \right] 
\leq \left( \frac{3}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{L_f^2}{4} \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4 \gamma^2} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \|^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} 
\leq \sqrt{3M \frac{m + T}{T^{1/2}}} \leq \sqrt{3M \frac{m^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3M \frac{m^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}}} + \sqrt{3M \frac{m^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}}}}{T^{1/3}}, \tag{94}
\]
where the last inequality is due to $(a + b)^{1/6} \leq a^{1/6} + b^{1/6}$. Thus, we have
\[
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ L_f \| y^*(x_t) - y_t \| + \| \nabla_x f(x_t, y_t) - v_t \| + \frac{\rho}{\gamma} \| x_{t+1} - x_t \| \right] \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{3M \frac{m^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}}} + 2 \sqrt{3M \frac{m^{1/6}}{T^{1/2}}}}{T^{1/3}}. \tag{95}
\]