ON THE SUPERCRITICAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION ON THE EXTERIOR OF A BALL

PIERO D'ANCONA

ABSTRACT. We consider the mixed problem on the exterior of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, for a defocusing Schrödinger equation with a power nonlinearity $|u|^{p-1}u$, with zero boundary data. Assuming that the initial data are non radial, sufficiently small perturbations of *large* radial initial data, we prove that for all powers p > n + 6 the solution exists for all times, its Sobolev norms do not inflate, and the solution is unique in the energy class.

1. INTRODUCTION

The literature on the defocusing semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \qquad u(0,x) = u_0(x)$$
(1.1)

is extensive and we mention [4], [16] and [8] for an introduction and detailed bibliographies. Restricting to large H^1 (energy class) data, Problem (1.1) is well posed for large data below the critical value $p < p_0(n)$ where $p_0(n) = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ for n > 2 and $p_0(n) = +\infty$ if n = 1, 2 ([9]). The problem is well posed also in the critical case $p = p_0(n)$ as proved in a series of important papers ([1], [2], [10], [15], [5], [13], [18]). Well or ill posedness in the *supercritical* case $p > p_0(n)$ has been for many years a completely open problem. A recent breaktrhough was obtained in [12], where finite time blow up was established for a class of large, radially symmetric, localized initial data and suitable ranges of (n, p).

Here we consider the supercritical case $p > p_0(n)$ from a different perspective. It is not difficult to check that for radial data the first blow up must occur at the origin, or, equivalently, that if the solution remains bounded near the origin then no blow up can occur. This is an immediate consequence of the bound, valid for spherically symmetric functions,

$$|x|^{\frac{n}{2}-1}|u(x)| \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2},\tag{1.2}$$

usually called *Strauss' Lemma*. Inequality (1.2) is a special case of the family of inequalities

$$|x|^{\frac{n}{p}-\sigma}|u(x)| \lesssim ||D|^{\sigma}u||_{L^{p}_{|x|}L^{r}_{\omega}}, \qquad \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} < \sigma < \frac{n}{p}$$
(1.3)

(see [7]), where the norm $L^p_{|x|}L^r_{\omega}$ is an L^p norm in the radial direction of the L^r_{ω} norm on spheres centered at 0.

Exploiting the previous remark, one can remove the singularity, by considering the mixed problem

$$iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \qquad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \qquad u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$
 (1.4)

Date: July 1, 2021.

on the exterior of the unit ball

$$\Omega = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > 1 \}.$$

One obtains that for radial initial data the solution must exist for all times and all values of p, n. The precise statement is the following:

Proposition 1.1. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B(0,1)}$, $n \geq 2$, p > 1 and let $u_0 \in H_0^1 \cap H^2(\Omega)$ be radially symmetric. Then the mixed problem (1.4) has a global solution $u \in C^2 L^2 \cap C^1 H_0^1 \cap C H^2$, satisfying the conservation of mass $||u(t)||_{L^2} = ||u_0||_{L^2}$ and of energy

$$E(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x u(t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^{p+1} dx = E(u_0)$$
(1.5)

and the uniform bound

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{+}\times\Omega)} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{H^{1}}.$$
(1.6)

If $v \in C^2 L^2 \cap C^1 H_0^1 \cap CH^2$ is a second solution of (1.4) with the same data, which is radially symmetric or, more generally, bounded on any strip $0 \le t \le T$, then $v \equiv u$.

Assume in addition p > 2N for some integer $N \ge 1$ and (u_0, f) with $f(z) = |z|^{p-1}z$ satisfy the nonlinear compatibility conditions of order N. Then $u \in C^N L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in C^k(H^{2(N-k)}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ for $0 \le k \le N-1$.

The proof of Proposition 1.1 is given in Section 4.1; see Definition 3.1 for the meaning of the nonlinear compatibility conditions. In principle, one can not expect that the radial solution thus constructed is unique; there might exist other non radial solutions with the same data, since the problem is supercritical. Uniqueness is discussed in detail below.

Once a global radial solution is available, a natural question concerns the stability for non radial perturbations of the initial data. In view of the blow up result mentioned above, one may expect that for large non radial data the solution blows up also for the supercritical exterior problem. However, using a pseudoconformal transform argument, one verifies that radial solutions decay as $t \to +\infty$, and the decay is good enough to work out a perturbative argument:

Proposition 1.2 (Decay of the radial solution). Let u be the solution constructed in Proposition 1.1 for a radially symmetric $u_0 \in H_0^1 \cap H^2(\Omega)$. Assume in addition that $xu_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then, for all t > 0, $|x| \ge 1$, u satisfies the decay estimate

$$|u(t,x)| \le C(||u_0||_{H^1} + ||xu_0||_{L^2}) \cdot \langle t \rangle^{-1} |x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}}.$$
(1.7)

Proposition 1.2 is proved in Section 4.2. If u_0 is smoother (and $xu_0 \in L^2$) then regularity propagates, and Sobolev norms do not inflate but remain bounded for all times (see Corollary 4.1 in Section 4.3).

Since the radial solution decays, a perturbative argument is sufficient to obtain the following global existence result, proved in Section 5, which is the main result of the paper. Consider again the problem on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$

$$iv_t + \Delta v = f(v), \qquad v(0, x) = v_0, \qquad v(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$
 (1.8)

Then we have:

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \ge 2$, p > n + 6, and $u_0 \in H^{2m}(\Omega)$ with $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ a radial function such that (u_0, f) with $f(z) = |z|^{p-1}z$ satisfy the compatibility conditions of order

m. Assume in addition that $xu_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(u_0) > 0$ such that the following holds.

If $v_0 \in H^{2m}(\Omega)$, with (v_0, f) satisfying the nonlinear compatibility conditions of order N, and $||u_0 - v_0||_{H^{2m}} < \epsilon$, then Problem (1.8) has a global solution $v \in C^m L^2(\Omega) \cap C^k(H^{2(m-k)}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ for $0 \le k \le m-1$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we use a Strichartz estimate for the exterior problem in presence of an integrable potential. This is proved in Section 2 as a consequence of the Strichartz estimates on the exterior of convex obstacles proved in [11].

It remains to consider the problem of uniqueness. A well known strategy allows to establish uniqueness of energy class solutions to dispersive equations under the assumption that a smooth solution exists (weak–strong uniqueness). This is particularly convenient in the present situation since Theorem 1.3 yields a smooth solution to (1.8). By adapting the arguments in [14] we get:

Theorem 1.4. Suppose all the assumptions in Theorem 1.3 are satisfied and let v be the solution constructed there. Let I be an open interval containing [0,T] and $\underline{v} \in C(I; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1(I; L^2(\Omega))$ a distributional solution for $0 \le t \le T$ to Problem (1.8) with the same initial data as v, which satisfies an energy inequality $E(\underline{v}(t)) \le E(\underline{v}(0))$ (see (1.5)). Then we have $\underline{v}(t) = v(t)$ for $0 \le t \le T$.

It is clear that a similar strategy can be applied to other dispersive equations. Indeed, for the supercritical nonlinear wave equation on the exterior of a ball, we proved the global well posedness for quasi radial initial data in the companion paper [6]. For wave equations the pseudoconformal transform is not available, however the decay of radial solutions can be proved using the Penrose transform.

The plan of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we recall the linear theory for exterior problems and we prove energy and Strichartz estimates for derivatives of solutions, also for Schrödinger equations perturbed by a well behaved potential V(t, x). Section 3 is devoted to the general nonlinear theory and local existence results. In Section 4 the global radial solution is studied in detail. The main result, Theorem 1.3, is proved in Section 5, and the weak-strong uniqueness is proved in the final Section 6.

2. The linear exterior problem

Consider the linear mixed problem with $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = F(t,x)$$
 $u(0,x) = u_0(x),$ $u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$ (2.1)

Denote by Δ_D or simply Δ the selfadjoint Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω with domain $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, by Λ its (nonnegative selfadjoint) square root

$$\Lambda = (-\Delta_D)^{1/2}, \qquad D(\Lambda) = H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and by $e^{it\Delta}$ the flow defined via the spectral theorem. Note that $\Lambda^{2k} = (-\Delta)^k$ for integer $k \ge 0$, and

$$D(\Lambda^{2k}) = D(\Delta^{k}) = \{ f \in H^{2k}(\Omega) : f, \Delta f, \dots, \Delta^{k-1} f \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) \},\$$
$$D(\Lambda^{2k+1}) = \{ f \in H^{2k+1}(\Omega) : f, \Delta f, \dots, \Delta^{k} f \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) \}$$

that is to say

$$D(\Lambda^k) = \{ f \in H^k(\Omega) \colon \Delta^j f \in H^1_0(\Omega), \ 0 \le 2j \le k-1 \}$$

Then for all data $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ there exists a unique solution $u(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$, which can be written in the form

$$u(t,x) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 + i\int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F(s,\cdot)dx.$$

To formulate estimates of u we need some notations. Given an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, a Banach space X of functions on Ω and T > 0, we shall write

$$L_{I}^{p}X = L^{p}(I;X), \qquad L_{T}^{p}X = L_{[0,T]}X, \qquad L^{p}X = L_{[0,+\infty)}X$$

with the obvious norms. Moreover we shall write for $N\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\|u\|_{X_{I}^{q,r;N}} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \|\partial_{t}^{N-j}u\|_{L_{I}^{p}W^{2j,q}} = \sum_{2k+|\alpha| \le 2N} \|\partial_{t}^{k}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}u\|_{L_{I}^{p}L^{q}}$$

where $W^{k,q} = W^{k,q}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space with norm $\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \|\partial^{\alpha} u\|_{L^q}$ and $H^k = W^{2,k}$. Note that the order of spatial regularity of functions in $X_I^{q,r;N}$ is 2N. When I = [0,T] or $I = [0,+\infty)$ we use the notations

$$X_T^{q,r;N} = X_{[0,T]}^{q,r;N} \qquad X^{q,r;N} = X_{[0,+\infty)}^{q,r;N}.$$

From the integral representation of u and the unitarity of the group we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{I}L^{2}} \le \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}} + \|F\|_{L^{1}_{I}L^{2}}$$

$$(2.2)$$

for any interval I containing 0. Higher regularity estimates require compatibility conditions. Given the data (u_0, F) we define recursively a sequence of functions h_j as follows:

$$h_{0} = u_{0}, \qquad h_{j}(x) = \partial_{t}^{j} u(0, x) = i^{-1} (\partial_{t}^{j-1} F(0, x) - \Delta h_{j-1}(x)) \qquad j \ge 1.$$
(2.3)

An explicit computation gives $h_j = (-i\Delta)^j u_0 - i \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} (-i\Delta)^{j-\ell-1} \partial_t^\ell F(0,x).$

Definition 2.1 (Linear compatibility conditions). We say that the data (u_0, F) satisfy the *linear compatibility conditions of order* $N \ge 1$ if $u_0 \in H^{2N}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, $F \in C^k H^{2(N-k-1)}(\Omega) \cap C^N L^2(\Omega)$ for $0 \le k \le N-1$, and

$$h_j \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le j \le N - 1.$$
 (2.4)

Then one has the following standard result.

Theorem 2.2. Assume (u_0, F) satisfy the linear compatibility conditions of order Nfor some $N \ge 1$. Then the global solution u to Problem (2.1) satisfies $u \in C^N L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in C^k(H^{2(N-k)}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$ for $0 \le k < N$. Moreover, for any interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ containing 0 and of length $\gtrsim 1$ we have the estimate

$$\|u\|_{X_{I}^{\infty,2;N}} \le C(N) \left[\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2N}} + \|F\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;N}} \right].$$
(2.5)

Proof. The result is classical (see e.g. [17]) and is proved by differentiating the equation w.r.to time and estimating spatial derivatives inductively. We depart from standard results only in the formulation of the energy estimate (2.5): the right hand side is usually expressed in the form

$$\|F\|_{X^{\infty,2;N-1}_{r}} + \|\partial_t^N F\|_{L^1_I L^2},$$

$$\|G\|_{L^{\infty}_{I}L^{2}} \lesssim \|G\|_{L^{1}_{I}L^{2}} + \|\partial_{t}G\|_{L^{1}_{I}L^{2}}.$$

Consider next the equation with a time dependent potential V(t, x)

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = V(t, x)u + F(t, x), \qquad u(t_0, x) = f(x), \qquad u(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$
 (2.6)

If we assume that for some interval I containing 0 (possibly $I = \mathbb{R}$)

$$u_0 \in L^2$$
, $F \in C_I L^2$, $V \in L_I^1 L^\infty$,

then the existence of a unique solution $u \in C_I L^2$ is proved by a simple contraction argument for the map $v \mapsto u$, where u is defined as the solution to

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = V(t, x)v + F(t, x), \qquad u(t_0, x) = f(x), \qquad u(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

followed by a continuation argument. The solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L_{I}^{\infty}L^{2}} \leq C(\|V\|_{L_{I}^{1}L^{\infty}}) \left[\|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}} + \|F\|_{L_{I}^{1}L^{2}} \right].$$

$$(2.7)$$

Note that it is not necessary to modify the compatibility conditions in the higher regularity case. Indeed, the conditions should be

$$h_j = i^{-1} (\partial_t^{j-1} F(0, x) + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} {j-1 \choose k} \partial_t^{j-1-k} V(0, x) h_k - \Delta h_{j-1}(x)), \qquad j \ge 1$$

but if the potential V is sufficiently smooth, the term $\partial_t^{j-1-k}V(0,x)h_k$ belongs to H_0^1 by the recursive assumption and can be omitted.

We denote the solution of (2.6), with initial data at $t = t_0$, by

$$u(t,x) = S(t;t_0)f.$$

Note that $S(t; t_0)$ fails to be a group since the potential V depends on time. Regarding the term Vu as a forcing term and applying Duhamel's formula we can write $S(t; t_0)$ as a perturbation of the free flow:

$$S(t;t_0) = e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} - i \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} V(s,x) S(s,t_0) ds.$$
(2.8)

We impose a rather restrictive condition on V in order to obtain a uniform energy estimate for all times:

$$\|V\|_{X_{I}^{1,\infty;N}} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \|\partial_{t}^{N-j}V\|_{L_{I}^{1}W^{2j,\infty}} < \infty.$$
(2.9)

Note that by standard embeddings we have

$$\|V\|_{X_{I}^{1,\infty;N}} \lesssim \|V\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;N+N_{2}}}, \qquad N_{2} = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1.$$

By the usual recursive argument (repeated differentiation with respect to time) we obtain the regularity result:

Theorem 2.3 (Perturbed energy estimate). Assume (u_0, F) satisfy the compatibility conditions of order N for some integer $N \ge 1$. Then problem (2.6) has a unique global solution, which satisfies $u \in C^N L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in C^k(H^{2(N-k)}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ for $0 \le k < N$. Moreover, for any interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ containing 0

$$\|u\|_{X_{I}^{\infty,2;N}} \le C(N, \|V\|_{X_{I}^{1,\infty;N}}) \left[\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2N}} + \|F\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;N}} \right].$$
(2.10)

We next consider the decay properties of the linear solution. Strichartz estimates for the exterior problem are available from [11]. Recall that a couple of indices (q, r) is *admissible* if $(q, r) \in [2, \infty] \times [2, \infty)$ and it satisfies the scaling condition

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{r} = \frac{n}{2}.$$

The *endpoint* is the couple $(2, \frac{2n}{n-2})$; the restriction $r < \infty$ means that the endpoint is not admissible when n = 2. Note that in the following result Ω could be more generally the exterior of any smooth convex obstacle in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2.4. Let $n \ge 2$, (q, r) a non endpoint admissible couple, and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval containing 0. Assume (u_0, F) satisfy the linear compatibility conditions of order m for some $m \ge 1$. Then the solution u to Problem (2.1) satisfies the Strichartz estimate

$$\|u\|_{X_{I}^{q,r;m}} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2m}} + \|F\|_{X^{\frac{1}{2},2;m}}.$$
(2.11)

Proof. We can assume $I = \mathbb{R}$. If m = 0 and F = 0, the result is Theorem 1.7 in [11], and if F is nonzero the result follows by a standard Christ-Kiselev argument. If m = 1, we apply ∂_t and use the estimate just obtained; this gives

$$\|u_t\|_{L^q L^r} \lesssim \|\Delta u_0\|_{L^2} + \|F_t\|_{L^1 L^2} \le \|\Delta u_0\|_{L^2} + \|F\|_{X^{1,2;1}}.$$

Since $\Delta u = F - iu_t$ this implies

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^q L^r} \lesssim \|\Delta u_0\|_{L^2} + \|F\|_{X^{1,2;1}} + \|F\|_{L^q L^r}.$$
(2.12)

Note that $||F||_{L^{\infty}L^2} \leq ||F||_{X^{1,2;1}}$; moreover, $X^{1,2;1}$ embeds into L^1H^2 and into $L^{\infty}L^2$, hence by complex interpolation it embeds into L^2H^1 . If $n \geq 3$, this embeds into the endpoint $L^2L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$ and hence in all admissible spaces L^qL^r by interpolation with the embedding into $L^{\infty}L^2$. This proves the L^qL^r estimate for Δu , and by L^q elliptic regularity (see e.g. [3]) this gives (2.11) for $m = 1, n \geq 1$. The same argument works for the case m = 1, n = 2 using the embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow BMO$. Finally, for larger values of m > 1, the usual recursion argument and the embedding $X^{1,2;m} \hookrightarrow X^{q,r;m-1}$ just proved for admissible (q, r) allows to conclude the proof. \Box

Combining (2.11) with the perturbed energy estimate (2.5), we obtain a similar result for the perturbed linear problem

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u - V(t, x)u = F, \qquad u(t_0, x) = f(x), \qquad u(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$
(2.13)

Proposition 2.5 (Perturbed Strichartz estimate). Let $n \ge 2$, (q, r) a non endpoint admissible couple, and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval containing 0. Assume (u_0, F, V) satisfy the perturbed compatibility conditions of order m for an $m \ge 1$. Then the solution u to Problem (2.13) satisfies the Strichartz estimate

$$\|u\|_{X_{I}^{q,r;m}} \le C(m, p, \|V\|_{X_{I}^{1,\infty;m}}) \cdot \left[\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2m}} + \|F\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;m}}\right].$$
(2.14)

Proof. Write $u = e^{it\Delta}u_0 + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (Vu+F) dx$ and apply (2.11) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_{I}^{q,r;m}} &\lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2m}} + \|Vu\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;m}} + \|F\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;m}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2m}} + \|V\|_{X_{I}^{1,\infty;m}} \|u\|_{X_{I}^{\infty,2;m}} + \|F\|_{X_{I}^{1,2;m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) we obtain (2.14).

Remark 2.6. Note that the previous arguments can be obviously applied without modification to the more general equations of the form

$$iu_t + \Delta u = V_1(t, x)u + V_2(t, x)\overline{u}.$$

3. The nonlinear theory

We consider now the nonlinear mixed problem on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$

$$iu_t + \Delta u = f(u), \qquad u(0,x) = u_0, \qquad u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$
 (3.1)

The compatibility conditions must be modified as follows. Define recursively the sequence of functions $\psi_j(x)$ for $j \ge 0$ as

$$\psi_0 = u(0, x) = u_0, \qquad \psi_j = \partial_t^j u(0, x) = \iota^{-1}(\partial_t^{j-1} f(u)|_{t=0} - \Delta \psi_{j-1}(x))$$

where in the expansion of $\partial_t^{j-1} f(u)$ we replace $\partial_t^k u(0, x)$ with ψ_k for k < j.

Definition 3.1 (Nonlinear compatibility conditions). We say that the data (u_0, f) satisfy the nonlinear compatibility conditions of order $N \ge 1$ if $u_0 \in H^{2N}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, $f \in C^N$, f(0) = 0 and

$$\psi_j \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le j \le N - 1. \tag{3.2}$$

We get a local smooth solution to (3.1) by a standard contraction argument:

Proposition 3.2 (Local existence in $H^{\frac{n}{2}+}$). Assume (u_0, f) in (3.1) satisfy the nonlinear compatibility condition of order N for some integer N > n/2. Then there exists a time T > 0 and a unique solution of (3.1) on $[0,T] \times \Omega$ such that $u \in C^N([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and $u \in C^k([0,T]; H^{2(N-k)}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$ for $0 \le k \le N-1$.

Proof. Let T > 0 and denote by Z_T the space of functions v(t, x) such that

$$v \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{N-1} C^k([0,T]; H^{2(N-k)}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap C^N([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)), \quad v(0,x) = u_0(x)$$

endowed with the metric $d(v, w) = \|v - w\|_{X^{\infty,2;N}_{T}}$. Consider the linearized problem

$$iu_t + \Delta u = f(v(t, x)), \qquad u(0, x) = u_0, \qquad u(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$
 (3.3)

If (u_0, f) satisfy (3.2) and $v(t, x) \in Z_T$, then setting F(t, x) = f(v(t, x)) we see that the data (u_0, F) satisfy the linear compatibility condition of order N (2.4) (note that u_0 is bounded since 2N > n/2). Thus the solution of (3.3) is uniquely defined on $[0, T] \times \Omega$ and has the properties listed in Theorem 2.2. Hence the map $\Phi : v \mapsto u$ which takes v into the solution u of the linearized problem (3.3) operates on the metric space Z_T . If $v_1, v_2 \in Z_T$ then $w = \Phi(v_1) - \Phi(v_2)$ solves the problem

$$iw_t + \Delta w = f(v_1) - f(v_2), \qquad w(0, x) = 0, \qquad w(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Since (0, G) with $G = f(v_1) - f(v_2)$ satisfy the linear compatibility conditions, we can apply (2.5):

$$\|\Phi(v_1) - \Phi(v_2)\|_{X_T^{\infty,1;N}} \le C(N) \|f(v_1) - f(v_2)\|_{X_T^{1,2;N}} \le C(N)T \|f(v_1) - f(v_2)\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}}.$$

Moreover, for N > n/2 we have the Moser type estimates

$$||f(v)||_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}} \le \phi_N(||v||_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}}),$$

$$\|f(v_1) - f(v_2)\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}} \le \phi_N(\|v_1\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}} + \|v_2\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}})\|v_1 - v_2\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;N}}$$

where ϕ_N is a suitable nondecreasing function depending only on N and f.

Now let $\underline{v}(t,x) = u_0(x)$ for all t; note that $\underline{v} \in Z_T$, and let B_M be the closed ball in Z_T centered at \underline{v} of radius M. By the previous estimates, it is trivial to check that $\Phi: B_M \to B_M$ and $\|\Phi(v_1) - \Phi(v_2)\|_{Z_T} \leq C(M)T \leq \frac{1}{2}$ provided M is large enough w.r.to $\|\underline{v}\|_{Z_T} = \|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}$ and T is sufficiently small w.r.to M. A contraction argument then implies the claim. \Box

4. The radial solution

4.1. Existence: Proof of Proposition 1.1. Consider the equation

$$iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \qquad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \qquad u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$
(4.1)

with radial initial data $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$. The existence of a local solution for $t \in [0,T]$ is standard and similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2. We use the space Y_T of functions v(t,x), radial in x, such that

$$v \in C^1([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T]; H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)), \quad v(0,x) = u_0.$$

We endow Y_T with the distance $d(v, w) = ||v - w||_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}}$. By radiality and (1.2), functions in Y_T are bounded. Hence the map $\Phi : v \mapsto u$, defined as above via the linearization (3.3), operates on Y_T and satisfies

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^2} + \||v|^{p-1}v\|_{X_T^{1,2;1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^2} + \|v\|_{L_T^{\infty}L^{\infty}}^{p-1} \|v\|_{X_T^{1,2;1}}^{1.2;1}.$$

Estimate (1.2) implies that $||v||_{L^{\infty}_T L^{\infty}} \lesssim ||v||_{X^{\infty,2;1}_T}$ so that

$$\|\Phi(v)\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^2} + \|v\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}}^{p-1} \|v\|_{X_T^{1,2;1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^2} + T\|v\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}}^p.$$

In a similar way,

$$\|\Phi(v_1) - \Phi(v_2)\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}} \lesssim (\|v_1\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}} + \|v_2\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}})^{p-1} \cdot T \|v_1 - v_2\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;1}}^p.$$

Thus taking T sufficiently small with respect to $||u_0||_{H^2}$ we obtain a local solution with the required regularity.

The local solution satisfies the energy conservation (1.5), which implies the uniform bound (1.6) for $0 \le t \le T$. In particular, $||u(t)||_{H^2 \cap L^{p+1}}$ remains bounded on [0, T] by a constant depending only on $||u_0||_{H^2 \cap L^{p+1}}$. A standard continuation argument allows to extend u to a global solution, which satisfies (1.5) and hence (1.6) for all times. The claim about uniqueness follows immediately from energy estimates.

Assume now that the data satisfy the compatibility conditions of order $N \ge 2$. Differentiating the equation w.r.to t we see that $v = \partial_t u$ satisfies an equation of the form

$$iv_t + \Delta v = a(t, x)v + b(t, x)\overline{v}$$

with $|a| + |b| \leq |u|^{p-1}$ bounded. By the linear theory we get $v \in CL^2$ i.e. $u \in C^1L^2$. Further differentiating w.r.to t, by a recursive argument we get $u \in C^NL^2$, and using the equation itself we obtain that $u \in C^k(H^{2(N-k)}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$ for $0 \leq k \leq N-1$. 4.2. Decay: Proof of Proposition 1.2. Apply to *u* the pseudoconformal transform

$$u(t,x) = t^{-\frac{n}{2}} U(-\frac{1}{t},\frac{x}{t}) e^{\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}.$$

If u(t, x) is defined on the domain $t \ge 1$, $|x| \ge 1$, then U(T, X) is defined for $-1 \le T < 0$, $|X| \ge |T|$ and we have

$$iu_t + \Delta_x u - |u|^{p-1}u = t^{-\frac{n}{2}-2}(iU_T + \Delta_X U - (-T)^{\nu}|U|^{p-1}U)e^{\frac{i|x|^2}{4t}}$$

with $\nu = \frac{n}{2}(p-1) - 2$. The energy density

$$e_0(T,X) = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_X U|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |U|^{p+1}$$

satisfies the identity

$$\partial_T e_0 = \Re \nabla \cdot \{ \overline{U}_T \nabla U \} - \frac{\nu(-T)^{\nu-1}}{p+1} |U|^{p+1}.$$

Since U is a radial function we have

$$R^{n-1}\nabla_X \cdot \{\overline{U}_T \nabla U\} = \partial_R \{R^{n-1} U_R \overline{U}_T\}$$

where $\partial_R U = U_R = \frac{X}{|X|} \cdot \nabla_X U$ denotes the radial derivative of U and R = |X|. Introduce the radial energy density

$$e(T,R) = R^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} |U_R|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} |U|^{p+1} \right)$$

so that

$$\partial_T e(T, R) = \Re \partial_R \{ R^{n-1} U_R \overline{U}_T \} - \frac{\nu(-T)^{\nu-1}}{p+1} R^{n-1} |U(T)|^{p+1}.$$
(4.2)

We now integrate the identity (4.2) on the (T, R) domain $T_1 \leq T \leq T_2$, $R \geq -T$ for some $-1 \leq T_1 < T_2 < 0$. Note that the exterior normal on the line R = -T (for T < 0) is given by $\mathbf{n} = (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$. Writing

$$\mathcal{E}(T) = \int_{-T}^{+\infty} e(T, R) dR$$

after integration of (4.2) we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(T_2) - \mathcal{E}(T_1) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} e(T, -T) dT =$$

= $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Re \int_{T_1}^{T_2} U_R \overline{U}_T |T|^{n-1} dT - \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{-T}^{+\infty} \frac{\nu(-T)^{\nu-1} |U|^{p+1}}{p+1} dR dT$

since -T = |T| = R at the points of the cone. Writing (with a slight abuse) U(T, |X|) instead of U(T, X), the Dirichlet condition implies U(T, -T) = 0, so that

$$e(T, -T) = |T|^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} |U_R|^2.$$

On the other hand, differentiating U(T, -T) = 0 we get $U_T(T, -T) = U_R(T, -T)$. Hence the previous estimate reduces to

$$\mathcal{E}(T_2) - \mathcal{E}(T_1) + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{-T}^{+\infty} \frac{\nu(-T)^{\nu-1} |U|^{p+1}}{p+1} dR dT \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \left(\frac{|U_R|^2}{2} - |U_R|^2 \right) |T|^{n-1} dT \le 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is nonincreasing as $T \uparrow 0$ and in particular $\mathcal{E}(T) \leq \mathcal{E}(-1)$ for -1 < T < 0. This implies

$$\int_{-T}^{+\infty} |U_R|^2 R^{n-1} dR \le 2\mathcal{E}(-1) \quad \text{for} \quad -1 < T < 0$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{|X|>-T} |\nabla_X U|^2 dX \le 2\mathcal{E}(-1) \quad \text{for} \quad -1 < T < 0.$$

Note that, if we extend U as zero in the region |X| < -T, the extended function $\widetilde{U}(T, \cdot)$ is $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and radial, hence we can apply (1.2) and we obtain

$$|U(T,X)|^2 \lesssim |X|^{2-n} \int |\nabla_X \tilde{U}|^2 dX = |X|^{2-n} \int_{|X|>-T} |\nabla_X U|^2 dX \le 2|X|^{2-n} \mathcal{E}(-1).$$
(4.3)

We convert (4.3) into an estimate for u(t, x). We have

$$\mathcal{E}(-1) = \int_{|X|>1} \left(\frac{|\nabla U(-1,X)|^2}{2} + \frac{|U(-1,X)|^{p+1}}{p+1} \right) dX.$$

Since

$$U(T,X) = (-T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}u(-\frac{1}{T},\frac{X}{T})e^{\frac{i|X|^2}{4T}}$$

we compute

$$\nabla_X U(T,X) = (-T)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\frac{i|X|^2}{4T}} \left[\nabla_x u(-\frac{1}{T},\frac{X}{T}) \cdot \frac{1}{T} + u(-\frac{1}{T},\frac{X}{T}) \cdot i\frac{X}{2T} \right]$$

so that

$$|\nabla_X U(-1,X)| \le |\nabla u(1,-X)| + |Xu(1,-X)|$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(-1) \le \int_{|x|>1} \left[|\nabla u(1,x)|^2 + |xu(1,x)|^2 + |u(1,x)|^{p+1} \right] dx.$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, changing variables $(t, x) = (-\frac{1}{T}, \frac{X}{T})$ in $\mathcal{E}(T)$ and writing

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) = \mathcal{E}(-\frac{1}{t})$$

we get, after a standard computation,

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) = \int_{|x|>1} \left[\frac{1}{8} |(x+2it\nabla)u(t,x)|^2 + \frac{|t|^{\frac{n}{2}(p-1)}}{p+1} |u(t,x)|^{p+1} \right] dx$$

and $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ is nonincreasing in t by the previous computation; note this is a proof of the pseudoconformal energy conservation on an exterior domain. Hence we have

$$\|xu(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1}(t) + (t + t^{\frac{n}{2}(p-1)})E(u(t)) \le \mathcal{E}_{1}(0) + \langle t \rangle^{\frac{n}{2}(p-1)}E(u_{0})$$

and in conclusion

$$\|xu(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C(t) \left[\|xu_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + E(u(0)) \right]$$

Combined with the conservation of E(u(t)) and (4.4) this gives

$$\mathcal{E}(-1) \lesssim ||xu_0||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + E(u(0))$$

and, recalling (4.3), we have proved

$$|U(T,X)| \lesssim |X|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \left[||xu_0||_{L^2(\Omega)} + E(u(0))^{1/2} \right].$$

Writing $|U(T,X)| = |T|^{-n/2} |u(-\frac{1}{T}, \frac{X}{T})|$ we finally obtain

$$t^{\frac{n}{2}}|u(t,x)| \le C|x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \cdot t^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\|xu_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + E(u(0))^{1/2} \right]$$

that is to say

$$|u(t,x)| \le C \left[\|xu_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + E(u(0))^{1/2} \right] \cdot |x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} t^{-1}.$$
(4.5)

Note that, using (1.2), we have

$$E(u(0)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} \int |u_0|^{p+1} \le \|\nabla_x u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-1} \int |u_0|^2 \le C(\|u_0\|_{H_1}).$$

Using (4.5) for t > 1, and the inequality

$$|u(t,x)| \le C|x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}$$

for $t \leq 1$, we obtain as claimed

$$|u(t,x)| \le C \cdot |x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

with a constant depending on $||xu_0||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||u_0||_{H^1}$.

4.3. Non inflation of Sobolev norms. We now consider the issue of regularity; we prove that if the data are smoother the solution remains smooth and Sobolev norms remain bounded, for any order of regularity.

Corollary 4.1. Let $N \ge 1$, p > 2N - 1, and assume (u_0, f) with $f(z) = |z|^{p-1}z$ satisfy the compatibility conditions of order N. Assume in addition that $xu_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then the radial solution constructed in Proposition 1.1 satisfies the uniform bound on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{X^{\infty,2;N}} \le C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}, \|xu_0\|_{L^2}).$$
(4.6)

Recall that for all times t we have $||u(t)||_{L^2} = ||u_0||_{L^2}$. We next prove uniform bounds for the derivatives of u; in the following proof we write for brevity

$$C(||u_0||_{H^M}) = C(||u_0||_{H^M}, ||xu_0||_{L^2}).$$

leaving the dependence on $||xu_0||_{L^2}$ implicit.

Differentiating the equation once with respect to t we see that $v = u_t$ solves

$$iv_t + \Delta v = \partial_t (|u|^{p-1}u)$$

and we have by (1.7)

$$\|\partial_t (|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L^1_T L^2} \lesssim \int_0^T \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1} \|v\|_{L^2} dt \lesssim \int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{1-p} \|v\|_{L^2} dt.$$

Thus we can write

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{2}} = \|u_{t}\|_{L^{\infty}L^{2}} \lesssim \|u_{t}(0)\|_{L^{2}} + \int_{0}^{T} \langle t \rangle^{1-p} \|v\|_{L^{2}} dt$$

and by Gronwall's Lemma, if p > 2 we get

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}L^{2}} = \|u_{t}\|_{L^{\infty}L^{2}} \le C\|u_{t}(0)\|_{L^{2}}$$

with $C = C(||u_0||_{H^1} + ||xu_0||_{L^2})$; since $|u_t(0)| \le |\Delta u_0| + |u_0|^p$ we have

$$||u_t(0)||_{L^2} \le ||u_0||_{H^2} + ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}^{p-1} ||u_0||_{L^2} \le C(||u_0||_{H^2})$$

which gives the estimate

$$||u_t||_{L^{\infty}L^2} \le C(||u_0||_{H^2}).$$

Using the equation for u we can estimate also

 $\|\Delta u\|_{L^{\infty}L^{2}} \leq \|u_{t}\|_{L^{\infty}L^{2}} + \||u|^{p}\|_{L^{\infty}L^{2}} \leq C(\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}}) + \|u\|_{L^{\infty}L^{\infty}}^{p-1} \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}} \leq C(\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}}).$ By elliptic regularity we have then

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}H^2} \le C(||u_0||_{H^2})$$

and summing up we have proved

$$||u||_{X^{\infty,2;1}} \le C(||u_0||_{H^2}). \tag{4.7}$$

For higher order derivatives we proceed in a similar way by induction. Applying ∂_t^j to the equation we have

$$i(\partial_t^j u)_t + \Delta(\partial_t^j u) = \partial_t^j (|u|^{p-1} u).$$

The right hand side satisfies

$$|\partial_t^j(|u|^{p-1}u)| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{1-p} |\partial_t^j u| + L.O.T.$$

where the lower order terms are products of derivatives $\partial_t^h u$ with h < j, which are bounded by the induction hypothesis (recall that u is radial in x, hence L^{∞} norms are bounded by L^2 norms of the gradient), times a power of u of order at least p - j, which decays like $\langle t \rangle^{j-p}$ and is integrable provided p > j + 1. This implies

$$|L.O.T.||_{L^{1}_{T}L^{2}} \lesssim \int_{0}^{T} \langle t \rangle^{p-j} C(||u_{0}||_{H^{2j}}) dt \lesssim C(||u_{0}||_{H^{2j}})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^{\infty}_T L^2} &\leq \|\partial_t^j u(0)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^T \|\partial_t^j (|u|^{p-1}u)\|_{L^2} dt \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t^j u(0)\|_{L^2} + C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2j}}) + \|\langle t \rangle^{1-p} \partial_t^j u\|_{L^1_T L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus again by Gronwall's Lemma, if p > j + 1, we have

$$\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^{\infty}L^2} \le C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2j}}).$$
(4.8)

Using the equation, this shows that if p > N + 1 one has

$$\|\partial_t^N u\|_{L^{\infty}L^2} + \|\partial_t^{N-1} \Delta u\|_{L^{\infty}L^2} \le C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2j}})$$

and by elliptic regularity we have also

$$\|\partial_t^N u\|_{L^{\infty}L^2} + \|\partial_t^{N-1} u\|_{L^{\infty}H^2} \le C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2j}})$$

provided p > N + 1. Derivatives $\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u$ can be estimated using the equation and elliptic regularity by the usual recursive procedure; this requires to estimate $\Delta^j(|u|^{p-1}u)$ with $j = 1, \ldots, N - 1$, and in order to get an integrable factor $\langle t \rangle^{p-2j}$ we must assume p - 2(N - 1) > 1 i.e. p > 2N - 1. We finally arrive at the estimate

$$\|u\|_{X^{\infty,2;N}} \le C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}) \tag{4.9}$$

provided p > 2N - 1.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Denote by u(t, x) the global radial solution with $u(0, x) = u_0$ constructed in Propositions 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 4.1, and let v(t, x) be the local solution with $v(0, x) = v_0$ given by Proposition 3.2. Then w = v - u satisfies the equation

$$iw_t + \Delta w = |u + v|^{p-1}(u + v) - |u|^{p-1}u$$

which can be written in the form

 $iw_t + \Delta w - V(t, x)w = w^2 \cdot F[u, w], \qquad w(0) = w_0 := v_0 - u_0, \qquad w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ (5.1) where

$$V(t,x) = p|u|^{p-1}, \qquad F[u,w] = p(p-1)\int_0^1 |u+\sigma w|^{p-3}(u+\sigma w)(1-\sigma)d\sigma w^{p-3}(u+\sigma w)(1-\sigma)d\phi w^{p-3}(u+\sigma w)(1-\sigma)d\phi w^{p-3}(u+\sigma w)(1-\sigma)d\phi w^{p-3}(u+\sigma w)(1-\sigma)d\phi w^{p-3}(u+\sigma w)$$

We have

$$\|V\|_{X_T^{1,\infty;m}} \lesssim \sum_{2j+|\alpha| \le 2m} \|\partial_t^j \partial^\alpha |u|^{p-1}\|_{L^1_T L^\infty}$$

and if p-1 > 2m we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^j \partial^{\alpha} |u|^{p-1} \|_{L^1_T L^{\infty}} &\lesssim \sum \int_0^T \|u\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-1-\nu} \|\partial_t^{j_1} \partial^{\alpha_1} u\|_{L^{\infty}} \dots \|\partial_t^{j_\nu} \partial^{\alpha_\nu} u\|_{L^{\infty}} dt \\ &\lesssim \sum \|u\|_{X^{\infty,\infty;m}}^{p-1-\nu} \cdot \int_0^T \|u\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-1-\nu} dt \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is extended over $j_1 + \cdots + j_{\nu} = j$, $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu} = \alpha$ and $\nu \leq j + |\alpha|$, so that $\nu \leq 2m$. By the decay estimate (1.7) we have $||u||_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ hence the last integral is convergent provided p > 2m + 2. By Sobolev embedding and the bound (4.6) we get

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{\infty,\infty;m}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;m+N_2}} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2(m+N_2)}}, \|xu_0\|_{L^2}), \qquad N_2 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$$

and in conclusion we have proved

$$\|V\|_{X^{1,\infty;m}} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H^{2(m+N_2)}}, \|xu_0\|_{L^2}) < \infty$$
(5.2)

provided p > 2m + 2. Thus we are in position to apply Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.5, and we get that the linear equation

$$iw_t + \Delta w - V(t, x)w = F(t, x)$$

satisfies for all admissible non endpoint (q, r) and all $m \geq 1$ the perturbed energy-Strichartz estimates

$$\|w\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;m}} + \|w\|_{X_T^{q,r;m}} \lesssim \|w_0\|_{H^{2m}} + \|F\|_{X_T^{1,2;m}}$$
(5.3)

provided p > 2m + 2, $u_0 \in H^{2(m+N_2)}$ and compatibility conditions of suitable order are satisfied. The implicit constant in (5.3) depends on $||u_0||_{H^1} + ||xu_0||_{L^2}$ but not on T.

We now apply (5.3) to the equation (5.1). For an admissible couple (q, r) and an integer m to be chosen we write

$$M_m(T) = \|w\|_{X_T^{\infty,2;m}} + \|w\|_{X_T^{q,r;m}}$$

and by (5.3) we have

$$M_m(T) \lesssim \|w_0\|_{H^{2m}} + \|w^2 F[u,w]\|_{X_T^{1,2;m}}.$$
(5.4)

We must estimate

$$\|w^2 F[u,w]\|_{X^{1,2;m}_T} = \sum_{2j+|\alpha| \le 2m} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} (w^2 F[u,w])\|_{L^1_T L^2}$$

The derivative can be expanded as a finite sum

$$\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha (w^2 F[u, w]) = \sum \int_0^1 G \cdot W_1 W_2 U_1 \cdot \dots \cdot U_\nu d\sigma$$

where $0 \leq \nu \leq 2m$ and

- $W_1 = \partial_t^{h_1} \partial_x^{\alpha_1} w$ and $W_2 = \partial_t^{h_2} \partial_x^{\alpha_2} w$ $U_k = \partial_t^{j_k} \partial_x^{\beta_k} (u + \sigma w)$
- $h_1 + h_2 + j_1 + \dots + j_{\nu} = j, \ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{\nu} = \alpha$
- it is not restrictive to assume that $2j_{\nu} + |\beta_{\nu}| \geq 2j_k + |\beta_k|$ for all k and that $2h_2 + |\alpha_2| \ge 2h_1 + |\alpha_1|$
- G satisfies $|G| \lesssim |u + \sigma w|^{p-\nu-2}$ so that $||G||_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim (||u||_{L^{\infty}} + ||w||_{L^{\infty}})^{p-\nu-2}$.

We take the L^2 norm in x of each product. We consider two cases.

FIRST CASE: $2j_{\nu} + |\beta_{\nu}| \ge 2h_2 + |\alpha_2|$. Then we write

$$\|GW_1W_2U_1\dots U_{\nu}\|_{L^2} \le \|G\|_{L^{\infty}} \|W_1\|_{L^{\infty}} \|W_2\|_{L^{\infty}} \|U_1\|_{L^{\infty}}\dots \|U_{\nu-1}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|U_{\nu}\|_{L^2}$$

 $\lesssim \|G\|_{L^{\infty}} \|W_1\|_{W^{N_r,r}} \|W_2\|_{W^{N_r,r}} \|U_1\|_{H^{N_2}} \dots \|U_{\nu-1}\|_{H^{N_2}} \|U_{\nu}\|_{L^2}$

by Sobolev embedding, where

$$N_r = \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor + 1, \qquad N_2 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1;$$

note that since the maximal order of derivation is $2j_{\nu} + |\beta_{\nu}| \leq 2m$, we have $2j_k + |\beta_k| \leq m$ for $k < \nu$ and $2h_i + |\alpha_i| \leq m$, i = 1, 2, so that, using (4.6), we have

 $\|U_i\|_{L^{\infty}_T H^{N_2}} \le \|u\|_{X^{\infty,2;(m+N_2)/2}} + \|w\|_{X^{\infty,2;(m+N_2)/2}} \le \|u\|_{X^{\infty,2;m}} + \|w\|_{X^{\infty,2;m}}$

$$\leq C_m + M_m(T)$$

provided $m \ge N_2$, where $C_m = C(||u_0||_{H^{2m}}, ||xu_0||_{L^2})$. Moreover we can estimate

$$||G||_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim (||u||_{L^{\infty}} + ||w||_{L^{\infty}})^{p-\nu-2} \lesssim (\langle t \rangle^{-1} + ||w||_{L^{\infty}})^{p-\nu-2}.$$

This gives, for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|GW_1W_2U_1\dots U_{\nu}\|_{L^2} \le (C_m + M_m(T))^{\nu} (\langle t \rangle^{-1} + \|w\|_{L^{\infty}})^{p-\nu-2} \|W_1\|_{W^{N_r,r}} \|W_2\|_{W^{N_r,r}}.$$

We now take the L^1 norm in $t \in [0,T]$. Since $2h_i + |\alpha_i| \le m, i = 1, 2$, we can write

$$\int_0^T \langle t \rangle^{2+\nu-p} \| W_1 \|_{W^{N_r,r}} \| W_2 \|_{W^{N_r,r}} dt \le \| \langle t \rangle^{2+\nu-p} \|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-2}}} \| w \|_{X_T^{q,r;(m+N_r)/2}}^2$$

and if $m \ge N_r$ (which is implied by $m \ge N_2$) this gives

$$\leq \|\langle t \rangle^{2+\nu-p}\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-2}}} M_m(T)^2.$$

We choose $q \in (2, \infty)$ such that (q, r) is admissible, i.e. $q = \frac{4r}{n(r-2)}$. Since $\nu \leq 2m$, we see that

 $\|\langle t\rangle^{2+\nu-p}\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-2}}} \leq \|\langle t\rangle^{2+2m-p}\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-2}}} < \infty \quad \text{provided} \quad p>2m+3-n\frac{r-2}{2r}$ and in this case

$$\int_{0}^{T} \langle t \rangle^{2+\nu-p} \|W_1\|_{W^{N_r,r}} \|W_2\|_{W^{N_r,r}} dt \lesssim M_m(T)^2.$$
(5.5)

With a similar computation we can write

$$\int_0^T \|w\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-\nu-2} \|W_1\|_{W^{N_r,r}} \|W_2\|_{W^{N_r,r}} dt \lesssim \left\| \|w\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-\nu-2} \right\|_{L_T^{\frac{q}{q-2}}} M_m(T)^2$$

and

$$\left\| \|w\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-\nu-2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-2}}_{T}} \le \|w\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}}^{p-q-\nu} \|w\|_{L^{q}_{T}L^{\infty}}^{q-2} \lesssim \|w\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}H^{N_{2}}}^{p-q-\nu} \|w\|_{L^{q}_{T}W^{N_{r,r}}}^{q-2} \lesssim M_{m}(T)^{p-q-\nu}$$

provided p > 2m + q. In conclusion we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \|w\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-\nu-2} \|W_{1}\|_{W^{N_{r,r}}} \|W_{2}\|_{W^{N_{r,r}}} dt \lesssim M_{m}(T)^{p-q-\nu}.$$
(5.6)

Combining (5.5), (5.6) we conclude

$$\|GW_1W_2U_1\dots U_{\nu}\|_{L^2} \lesssim M_m(T)^2 + M_m(T)^p \tag{5.7}$$

provided p > 2m + q + 2 (so that $p - q - \nu > 2$).

SECOND CASE: $2j_{\nu} + |\beta_{\nu}| < 2h_2 + |\alpha_2|$. Then we estimate the product as follows

$$\begin{split} \|GW_1W_2U_1\dots U_{\nu}\|_{L^2} &\leq \|G\|_{L^{\infty}} \|W_1\|_{L^{\infty}} \|W_2\|_{L^2} \|U_1\|_{L^{\infty}}\dots \|U_{\nu-1}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|U_{\nu}\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \|G\|_{L^{\infty}} \|W_1\|_{W^{N_r,r}} \|W_2\|_{L^2} \|U_1\|_{H^{N_2}}\dots \|U_{\nu-1}\|_{H^{N_2}} \|U_{\nu}\|_{H^{N_2}} \end{split}$$

and in this case the maximal order of derivation is $2h_2 + |\alpha_2|$ so that we have $2j_k + |\beta_k| \leq m$ for all k and $2h_1 + |\alpha_1| \leq m$. Proceeding in a similar way as in the first case, we get again (5.7).

Summing up, and recalling (5.4), we have proved

$$M_m(T) \lesssim \|w_0\|_{H^{2m}} + M_m(T)^2 + M_m(T)^p \tag{5.8}$$

with an implicit constant depending on $||u_0||_{H^{2m}} + ||xu_0||_{L^2}$, provided

$$m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1, \qquad p > 2m + q + 2 \tag{5.9}$$

and (q, r) is admissible with $q \in (2, \infty)$. We can take q > 2 arbitrarily close to 2, so that it is sufficient to assume

$$p > n + 6$$

to achieve (5.9). Finally, a standard continuation argument shows that if $||w_0||_{H^{2m}}$ is sufficiently small with respect to $||u_0||_{H^{2m}} + ||xu_0||_{L^2}$, the (maximal) local solution w to the equation (5.1) can be continued for all times, and this proves the claim.

6. Weak-strong uniqueness

We recall the definition of the energy E(u(t))

$$E(u(t)) = E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx$$

of a solution u(t, x) to the Cauchy problem

$$iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, \qquad u(0,x) = u_0, \qquad u(t,\cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$
 (6.1)

Following [14], we prove a general stability result for local solutions of (6.1), from which the uniqueness Theorem 1.4 follows immediately.

Theorem 6.1. Let I be an open interval containing [0,T], T > 0. Let u, v be two distributional solutions to (6.1) on $I \times \Omega$ such that

$$\begin{split} u \in C(I; H^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1(I; H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap C^2(I; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(I \times \Omega), \quad \Delta u \in C(I; H^1_0(\Omega)), \\ v \in C(I; H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap C^1(I; L^2(\Omega)). \end{split}$$

Assume in addition that v satisfies an energy inequality

$$E(v(t)) \le E(v(0)).$$

Then the difference w = v - u satisfies the energy estimate

$$E(w(t)) \le Ce^{Ct}(E(w(0)) + \|w(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2), \qquad t \in [0,T]$$

where C is a constant depending on

$$C = C(p, T, ||u||_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \Omega)}).$$
(6.2)

To prove Theorem 6.1, consider the difference w = v - u, which satisfies the equation

$$iw_t + \Delta w = |u + w|^{p-1}(u + w) - |u|^{p-1}u_t$$

We prepare an estimate for the L^2 norm of w. Using the multiplier $i\overline{w}$ we get

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 =& 2\Im \int_{\omega} (|u+w|^{p-1}(u+w) - |u|^{p-1}u)\overline{w}dx \\ \leq & C(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_I L^{\infty}}) \cdot \int_{\Omega} (|w|^2 + |w|^{p+1})dx \\ \leq & C[\|w\|_{L^2}^2 + E(w(t))] \end{split}$$

and by Gronwall's Lemma

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C \|w(0)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \int_{0}^{t} e^{C(t-s)} E(w(s)) ds, \qquad t \in [0,T]$$
(6.3)

with $C = C(T, ||u||_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}}).$

Next, we split

$$E(v) = E(u) + A(t) + B(t)$$

where

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_x w|^2 dx + \int \left(\frac{|u+w|^{p+1} - |u|^{p+1}}{p+1} - |u|^{p-1} \Re(u\overline{w})\right) dx$$
$$B(t) = \Re \int (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{w} + |u|^{p-1} u\overline{w}) dx.$$

Since E(u) = E(u(0)) and $E(v) \le E(v(0))$ we have

$$0 \le E(v(0)) - E(v(t)) = A(0) - A(t) + B(0) - B(t).$$
(6.4)

Writing

$$\phi(t) = \frac{|t|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}{p+1}$$
 so that $\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1} = \phi(|u|^2),$

we see that

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\sigma}\phi(|u+\sigma w|^{2}) &= \phi'(|u+\sigma w|^{2})2\Re((u+\sigma w)\overline{w}) = \Re(|u+\sigma w|^{p-1}(u+\sigma w)\overline{w}),\\ \partial_{\sigma}^{2}\phi(|u+\sigma w|^{2}) &= (p-1)|u+\sigma w|^{p-3}\Re((u+\sigma w)\overline{w})^{2} + |u+\sigma w|^{p-1}|w|^{2}\\ &\geq |u+\sigma w|^{p-1}|w|^{2} \geq 2^{2-p}\sigma^{p-1}|w|^{p+1} - |u|^{p-1}|w|^{2} \end{split}$$

(since $p \ge 3$). We get easily

$$\frac{|u+w|^{p+1}-|u|^{p+1}}{p+1} - |u|^{p-1} \Re(u\overline{w}) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\sigma \partial_\tau^2 \phi(|u+\tau w|^2) d\tau d\sigma \\ \ge \frac{2^{2-p}}{p(p+1)} |w|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2} |u|^{p-1} |w|^2$$

which implies

$$A(t) \ge \frac{1}{p2^p} E(w(t)) - C \|w\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad C = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}_T L^{\infty}}^{p-1}.$$

Recalling (6.3), this gives for $t \in [0, T]$

$$A(t) \ge \frac{1}{p^{2^p}} E(w(t)) - C \int_0^t E(w(s)) ds - C ||w(0)||_{L^2}^2$$

for some $C = C(T, ||u||_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}})$. On the other hand

$$\frac{|u+w|^{p+1}-|u|^{p+1}}{p+1} - |u|^{p-1}\Re(u\overline{w}) \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}})(|w|^{p+1} + |w|^{2})$$

which implies

$$A(0) \le CE(w(0)) + C \|w(0)\|_{L^2}^2$$

and in conclusion

$$A(0) - A(t) \le -\frac{1}{p2^p} E(w(t)) + C \int_0^t E(w(s)) ds + C \|w(0)\|_{L^2}^2$$
(6.5)

with $C = C(T, ||u||_{L^{\infty}_T L^{\infty}}).$

In order to estimate B(t), we first remark the following. If W(t, x), U(t, x) satisfy

$$iW_t + \Delta W = F, \qquad iU_t + \Delta U = G$$

with Dirichled boundary conditions, then for any $\chi(t) \in C_c^{\infty}((0,T))$ we have formally

$$\iint_{\Omega} \chi'(t) (\nabla U \cdot \nabla \overline{W}) dx dt = \iint_{\Omega} \chi(t) (U_t \overline{F} + \overline{W}_t G) dx dt$$
(6.6)

Identity (6.6) is obvious for smooth U, W, by integration by parts. By approximation, (6.6) holds also if W is a solution of $iW_t + \Delta W = F$ in $\mathscr{D}'((0,T) \times \Omega)$, with

$$W \in C^1([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T]; H^1_0(\Omega))$$

so that $F \in C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Omega))$, and

$$U \in C^{1}([0,T]; H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T]; H^{2}(\Omega)) \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta U \in C([0,T]; H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))$$

so that $G \in C([0,T]; H_0^1(\Omega)))$. Consider now a sequence of test functions $\chi_k(t) \in C_c^{\infty}((0,T))$, non negative, such that $\chi_k \uparrow \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$ pointwise, $t \in (0,T]$, and write

$$B(t) - B(0) = \lim_{k \to \infty} I_k, \qquad I_k := \Re \iint_{\Omega} \chi'_k(t) (\nabla u \cdot \overline{\nabla w} + |u|^{p-1} u \overline{w}) dx dt.$$

Using (6.6) with the choices W = w, U = u, $F = |u + w|^{p-1}(u + w) - |u|^{p-1}u$ and $G = |u|^{p-1}u$, we get

$$\begin{split} I_k &= \Re \iint_{\Omega} \chi_k(t) \left[u_t(|u+w|^{p-1}(\overline{u}+\overline{w}) - |u|^{p-1}\overline{u}) + \overline{w}_t |u|^{p-1}u - \partial_t(|u|^{p-1}u\overline{w}) \right] \\ &= \Re \iint_{\Omega} \chi_k(t) \left[u_t(|u+w|^{p-1}(\overline{u}+\overline{w}) - |u|^{p-1}\overline{u}) - \overline{w}\partial_t(|u|^{p-1}u) \right] dxdt. \end{split}$$

We compute

$$\partial_t (|u|^{p-1}u) = |u|^{p-3} (|u|^2 u_t + \frac{p-1}{2} u \overline{u}_t + \frac{p-1}{2} \overline{u} u_t)$$

so that

$$\Re[\overline{w}\partial_t(|u|^{p-1}u)] = \Re(Hu_t), \qquad H = \frac{1}{2}|u|^{p-3}((p+1)|u|^2\overline{w} + (p-1)\overline{u}^2w)$$

and

$$I_k = \Re \iint_{\Omega} \chi_k(t) \left[|u + w|^{p-1} (\overline{u} + \overline{w}) - |u|^{p-1} \overline{u} - H \right] u_t dx dt.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} u + w|^{p-1}(\overline{u} + \overline{w}) &- |u|^{p-1}\overline{u} - H \\ &= (|u + w|^{p-1} - |u|^{p-1})(\overline{u} + \overline{w}) - \frac{p-1}{2}|u|^{p-3}(|u|^2w + \overline{u}^2w) \\ &= \frac{p-1}{2} \int_0^1 (|u + \sigma w|^{p-3} - |u|^{p-3})(|u|^2\overline{w} + \overline{u}^2w)d\sigma + R \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R = \frac{p-1}{2} \int_0^1 |u + \sigma w|^{p-3} [2\sigma |w|^2 (\overline{u} + \overline{w}) + u\overline{w}^2 + \overline{u} |w|^2] d\sigma.$$

(if $n \ge 4$)

We have easily (if $p \ge 4$) $|R| \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}}^{p-1})(|w|^{2} + |w|^{p}), \qquad ||u + \sigma w|^{p-3} - |u|^{p-3}| \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}_{T}L^{\infty}}^{p-1})(|w| + |w|^{p-3})$ and summing up $|U| \le C(||u||^{p-1}) \quad \text{if } an (|uv|^{2} + |uv|^{p+1})$

$$|I_k| \le C(||u||_{L_T^{\infty}L^{\infty}}^{p-1}) \iint_{\Omega} \chi_k(|w|^2 + |w|^{p+1})$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ we deduce

$$|B(t) - B(0)| \le C \int_0^t [E(w(s)) + ||w(s)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2] ds, \qquad C = C(||u||_{L^\infty_T L^\infty})$$

and using (6.3) we have

$$B(0) - B(t) \le C \int_0^t E(w(s)) ds + C ||w(0)||_{L^2}^2.$$

Recalling (6.4) and (6.5) we obtain

$$E(w(t)) \le C \int_0^t E(w(s)) ds + CE(w(0)) + C ||w(0)||_{L^2}^2$$

with $C = C(||u||_{L^{\infty}_{\tau}L^{\infty}})$ as usual, and by Gronwall's Lemma we conclude the proof.

References

- J. Bourgain. Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (5):253–283, 1998.
- J. Bourgain. Global wellposedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12(1):145–171, 1999.
- [3] F. E. Browder. On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators. I. Math. Ann., 142:22–130, 1960/61.
- [4] T. Cazenave. Semilinear Schrödinger equations, volume 10 of Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics. New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2003.
- [5] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in ℝ³. Ann. of Math. (2), 167(3):767–865, 2008.
- [6] P. D'Ancona. On the supercritical defocusing NLW outside a ball. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:1912.13216, Dec. 2019.
- [7] P. D'Ancona and R. Lucà. Stein-Weiss and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities with angular integrability. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 388(2):1061–1079, 2012.
- [8] B. Dodson. Defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations, volume 217 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [9] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. I. The Cauchy problem, general case. J. Funct. Anal., 32(1):1–32, 1979.
- [10] M. G. Grillakis. On nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 25(9-10):1827–1844, 2000.
- [11] O. Ivanovici. On the Schrödinger equation outside strictly convex obstacles. Anal. PDE, 3(3):261– 293, 2010.
- [12] F. Merle, P. Raphael, I. Rodnianski, and J. Szeftel. On blow up for the energy super critical defocusing non linear Schrödinger equations. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:1912.11005, Dec. 2019.
- [13] E. Ryckman and M. Visan. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^{1+4} . Amer. J. Math., 129(1):1–60, 2007.
- [14] M. Struwe. On uniqueness and stability for supercritical nonlinear wave and Schrödinger equations. Int. Math. Res. Not., pages Art. ID 76737, 14, 2006.
- [15] T. Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the higher-dimensional energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data. New York J. Math., 11:57–80, 2005.
- [16] T. Tao. Nonlinear dispersive equations, volume 106 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. Local and global analysis.
- [17] Y. Tsutsumi. Global solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in exterior domains. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 8(12):1337–1374, 1983.
- [18] M. Visan. The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. Duke Math. J., 138(2):281–374, 2007.

Piero D'Ancona: Dipartimento di Matematica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy

 $Email \ address: \ \tt dancona@mat.uniroma1.it$