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Abstract We denote by $\pi_k(R_n)$ the $k$-th homotopy group of the $n$-th rotation group $R_n$ and $\pi_k(R_n : 2)$ the 2-primary components of it. We determine the group structures of $\pi_k(R_n : 2)$ for $k = 23$ and 24 by use of the fibration $R_{n+1} \to S^n$. The method is based on Toda’s composition methods.

1 Introduction and statements of results

Steenrod [34], Sugawara [35], Kervaire [17] and Mimura [21] had studied the $k$-th homotopy groups $\pi_k(R_n)$ of the $n$-th rotation group $R_n$. The group structures of $\pi_k(R_n)$ for $k \leq 22$ had been determined in this period [34], [35], [17], [41], [18], [14], [15], [16] and [11].

According to [24] and [21], the group structures of $\pi_{23}(R_n)$ for $n \leq 9$ are known up to extensions in $7 \leq n \leq 9$ [21]. By inspecting [18], the group structures of $\pi_{24}(R_n)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 6$ are obtained.

In this paper, we determine the 23, 24-th homotopy groups $\pi_{23}(R_n)$ and $\pi_{24}(R_n)$, respectively.

Let $\pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ stand for the $(n+k)$-th the homotopy groups of $S^n$. Our method is the composition methods [36]. We freely use generators and relations in the 2-primary components $\pi_{n+k}(S^n)$ for $k \leq 24$ [36], [23], [20], [30].

We denote by $\iota_n$ the homotopy class of the the identity map of $S^n$ and by $\iota_{R_n}$ the homotopy class of the identity map of $R_n$. For elements $\alpha_i \in \pi_k(R_n)$
for $i = 1, 2$ and $\beta \in \pi_h(S^k)$, we have
\[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\beta = \alpha_1\beta + \alpha_2\beta.\]

In particular, for an integer $t$, we have
\[t\iota \circ \alpha = t\alpha = \alpha \circ t\iota \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \pi_k(R_n).\]

We denote by $R^n_k$ the $2$-primary components $\pi_k(R_n : 2)$. Our main tool is to use the following exact sequence induced from the fibration $p_{n+1} : R_{n+1} \to S^n$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(k) & \pi_{k+1} & \Delta \rightarrow R^n_k \rightarrow R^{n+1}_k \rightarrow \pi^n_k \rightarrow R^n_{k-1},
\end{array}
\]

where $i = i_{n+1} : R_n \to R_{n+1}$ is the inclusion, $p = p_{n+1} : R_{n+1} \to S^n$ is the projection and $\Delta$ is the connecting map.

For an element $\alpha \in \pi^n_k$, we denote by $[\alpha] \in R^n_{k+1}$ an element satisfying $p_*[\alpha] = \alpha$. Though $[\alpha]$ is only determined modulo $\text{Im } i_* = i_{n+1,*}(R^n_k)$, we will sometimes give restrictions on $[\alpha]$ to fix it more concretely. For the inclusion $i_{n+1,m} : R_{n+1} \to R_m$ for $n+1 \leq m$, we set $[\alpha]_m = i_{n+1,m,*}[\alpha] \in R^m_k$.

In this paper, we will be able to improve some relations in $\pi_{n+k}^n$ for $k = 23$ and $24$. For example, we obtain the relation $\Delta(\nu^2_{19}) = [\sigma^2_{19}]_{19} \eta_{23}$ (Proposition 6.14). This implies $P(\nu^2_{39}) = \psi_{19} \eta_{42} \equiv \bar{\sigma}^2_{19} + \delta_{19} \mod \sigma_{19}\mu_{26}$ which improves [22] (5.39).

To see that calculation is affected by the choice of Toda brackets where the generator lives, let us raise a generator $[\varepsilon_{15}]$ as an example. We recall $\varepsilon_{15} \in \{\eta_{15}, 2\eta_{16}, \nu^2_{16}\}$ mod $\eta_{15}\sigma_{16}$ [36] (6.1). We can take $[\varepsilon_{15}]$ in $\{\eta_{15}, 2\eta_{16}, \nu^2_{16}\}$.

By using this choice, we have $\Delta(\nu^2_{18}) = [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18}$ (Proposition 4.13).

For any element $[\varepsilon_{15}] \in R^0_{23}$, we have $\Delta(\nu^2_{18}) \equiv [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18} \mod [\sigma^2_{0}]_{18}$. At best we will be able to obtain the relation $[\varepsilon_{15}]_{22} = 0$.

We also reconsider the choice of $[\varepsilon_9] \in R^0_{24}$. We take this element in a certain matrix Toda bracket as in the proof of Proposition 6.4, which has also been developed responding to these calculations.

The group structures of $\pi_{23+k}(R_n)$ for $k + 19 \leq n \leq k + 24$ with $0 \leq k \leq 1$ are obtained by [17].

We recall the splitting [5] for $k \leq 2n - 3$ and $n \geq 13$:
\[\pi_k(R_n) \cong \pi_k(R_\infty) \oplus \pi_{k+1}(V_{2n,n}),\]
where $V_{m, r} = R_m / R_{m-r}$ for $m \geq r$ is the Stiefel manifold. By use of this splitting, [7] and the group structures of $\pi_{k+1}(V_{2n, n})$ [12], we obtain the group structures of $\pi_{k+23}(R_n)$ for $n \geq k + 13$ with $k = 0$ or 1.

For the element $\kappa_7$, we adopt the renamed one which satisfies the equation $2\kappa_7 = \nu_7 \nu_3^2$ [23] (15.5). Our result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (1)  $\pi_{23}(R_3 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_2] \nu' \tilde{\mu}_6] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_2] \nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}];$
\[
\pi_{23}(R_4 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_2] \nu' \tilde{\mu}_6] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_2] \nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_3] \nu' \tilde{\mu}_6] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_3] \nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}];
\]
\[
\pi_{23}(R_5 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_4]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 \eta_7 \xi_8]];\]
\[
\pi_{23}(R_6 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\zeta_5] \sigma_{16}] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_5] \xi_8] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_4]];\]
\[
\tau_{23}(R_7 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\zeta_5] \sigma_{16}] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_4]];\]
\[
\tau_{23}(R_8 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\zeta_5] \sigma_{16}] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_4]];\]
\[
\tau_{23}(R_9 : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\zeta_5] \sigma_{16}] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_4]];\]
\[
\tau_{23}(R_{10} : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_0^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[\bar{\nu}_0 \nu_7^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]]_{10},
\]
where $\Delta(\sigma_{10}^2) = 2x[\sigma_0^2]$ for odd $x$, $8\Delta(\sigma_{10}^2) = 16[\sigma_0^2] = [\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16}$ and $\Delta(\kappa_{10}) = [\nu_0 \nu_7^2] + [P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10}$ mod $16[\sigma_0^2];$
\[
\tau_{23}(R_{11} : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_0^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]]_{11},\]
where $[\bar{\nu}_0 \nu_7^2]_{11} = [P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{11} = [\nu_0 \nu_7^2] + [P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10}$ mod $16[\sigma_0^2];$
\[
\tau_{23}(R_{12} : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_0^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]]_{12},\]
where $\Delta(E \theta') = [P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{12};$
\[
\tau_{23}(R_{13} : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_0^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]]_{13};\]
\[
\tau_{23}(R_{14} : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_0^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]]_{14};\]
\[
\tau_{23}(R_{15} : 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_0^2]] + \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]]_{15},\]
where $\eta_4 \in \{[\eta_4^2], 2\nu_6, \nu_6^2\}_{11};$
\[\pi_23(R_{16} : 2) = Z\{[32[t_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{16}\} + Z_2\{[\sigma^2_3]_{16}\} + Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\varepsilon_{15}]_{16}\} + Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}]_{16}\} + Z_2\{[\eta_{15}]_{16}\} + Z_2\{[\varepsilon_{15}]\}, \text{ where } [\varepsilon_{15}] \in \{[[\eta_{15}], 2, \iota_{16}, \nu_{16}^2]\}.
\]
\[\Delta(\varepsilon_{16}) = [\eta_{14}\varepsilon_{15}]_{16} \text{ and } \Delta(\eta_{16}\sigma_{17}) = [\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}]_{16}\eta_{22};\]
\[\pi_23(R_{17} : 2) = Z\{[32[t_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{17}\} + Z_2\{[\sigma^2_0]_{17}\} + Z_2\{[\eta_{15}]_{17}\sigma_{16}\} + Z_2\{[\varepsilon_{15}]_{17}\};\]
\[\pi_23(R_{18} : 2) = Z\{[32[t_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{18}\} + Z_2\{[\sigma^2_0]_{18}\} + Z_2\{[\varepsilon_{15}]_{18}\}, \text{ where } \Delta(\nu_{18}) = [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18};\]
\[\pi_23(R_n : 2) = Z\{[32[t_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_n\} + Z_2\{[\sigma^2_0]_n\} \text{ for } n = 19, 20, 21, \text{ where } \Delta(\nu_{21}) = [\sigma^2_3];\]
\[\pi_23(R_{24} : 2) = Z\{\Delta(\varepsilon_{24})\} + Z\{[32[t_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{24}\};\]
\[\pi_23(R_{22+n} : 2) = Z\{[32[t_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{22+n}\} \text{ for } n = 0, 1 \text{ and } n \geq 3.\]
\[ \tau_{24}(R_{12}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\theta']\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{11}]\theta] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]_{12}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\varepsilon_9]_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]_{12}\eta_{23}], \text{ where } \Delta((E\theta')\eta_{24}) = [P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]_{12}\eta_{23}; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{13}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{11}]_{13}\theta] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]_{13}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\varepsilon_9]_{13}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{13}\mu_7], \text{ where } \Delta(E\theta) = [\eta_{11}]_{13}\theta; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{14}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_8[[\xi_{13}]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]_{14}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\varepsilon_9]_{14}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{14}\mu_7]; \]

(4) \[ \tau_{24}(R_{15}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{14}]_{15}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\xi_{13}]_{15}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]_{15}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\varepsilon_9]_{15}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{15}\mu_7]; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{16}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\mu_{15}]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\xi_{15}]\epsilon_{26}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_{15}]\nu_{16}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9]_{16}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_9]_{16}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{16}\mu_7], \text{ where } \Delta(\eta_{16}\xi_{17}) = [\xi_{13}]_{16}, \Delta(\nu_{16}^2) \equiv [\varepsilon_9]_{16} \text{ mod } [\nu_7]_{16}\mu_7 \text{ and } \Delta\mu_{16} \equiv [\eta_{14}]_{15} \text{ mod } \Delta(\eta_{16}\xi_{17}), \Delta(\nu_{16}^2); \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{17}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\mu_{15}]_{17}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\xi_{15}]_{17}\epsilon_{16}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_{15}]_{17}\nu_{17}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9]_{17}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{17}\mu_7], \text{ where } \Delta(\epsilon_{17}) = [\eta_{15}]_{17}\epsilon_{16} \text{ and } \Delta(\nu_{17}) = [\eta_{15}]_{17}\nu_{16}; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{18}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_{16}[[\Delta\sigma_{18}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9]_{18}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{18}\mu_7], \text{ where } 8\Delta\sigma_{18} = [\mu_{15}]_{18}; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{19}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9]_{19}\eta_{23}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{19}\mu_7], \text{ where } \Delta(\nu_{19}^2) = [\sigma_9]_{19}\eta_{23}; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{22}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_4[[\Delta\nu_{22}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{22}\mu_7]; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{23}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{22}^2]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{23}\mu_7]; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{24}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{23}]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{22}^2]_{24}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{24}\mu_7], \text{ where } \Delta\eta_{24} = [\eta_{22}]_{24}; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{25}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{23}]_{25}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{25}\mu_7], \text{ where } \Delta\nu_{25} = [\eta_{23}]_{25}; \]

\[ \tau_{24}(R_{20+n}: 2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_7]_{20+n}\mu_7] \text{ for } n = 0, 1 \text{ and } n \geq 6. \]

2 Recollection of fundamental facts

First of all we recall a formula \[ \Delta(\alpha \circ E\beta) = \Delta\alpha \circ \beta. \]

(2.1) \[ \Delta(\alpha \circ E\beta) = \Delta\alpha \circ \beta. \]

Suppose given elements \( \alpha \in \pi_k(S^n) \) and \( \beta \in \pi_m(S^k) \) such that \( \Delta\alpha = 0 \). Since \( \Delta(\alpha\beta) = \Delta(p_{n+1,*}([\alpha]\beta)) = 0 \), we obtain

(2.2) \[ \Delta(\alpha\beta) = 0 \text{ (} \Delta\alpha = 0 \text{).} \]
By [37, Theorem 4], we have the relation
\begin{equation}
(2.3) \quad p_{n^*} \Delta \iota_n = (1 + (-1)^n) \iota_{n-1}.
\end{equation}
So, for an element \( \alpha \in \pi_k(S^n) \), we obtain a formula
\begin{equation}
(2.4) \quad p_{n+1} \Delta (E\alpha) = 0 \ (n: \text{even}); \quad p_{n+1} \Delta (E\alpha) = 2\iota_n \circ \alpha \ (n: \text{odd}).
\end{equation}

Let \( J : \pi_k(R_n) \to \pi_{k+n}(S^n) \) be the \( J \) homomorphism [38]. For \( \alpha \in \pi_m(R_n) \) and \( \beta \in \pi_k(S^n) \), we have a formula
\[
J(\alpha \beta) = J(\alpha) E^n \beta.
\]

We use the following formulas without referring to them explicitly.
\begin{align*}
EJ(\alpha) &= -J(i_{n+1} \circ \alpha) \text{ for } \alpha \in \pi_k(R_n) [39 (2.1)],
J\Delta(\gamma) &= [\gamma, \iota_n] \text{ for } \gamma \in \pi_k(S^n) [39 (3.6)],
HJ(\beta) &= E^n(p_n \circ \beta) \text{ for } \beta \in \pi_k(R_n) [13 \text{ Corollary 15.9}].
\end{align*}

We have the relation \( 3[\iota_n, [\iota_n, \iota_n]] = 0 \) from the Jacobi identity for Whitehead products. Hence, for \( \gamma \in \pi^n \), by the formula [3 Corollary (7.4)], we have the relation
\begin{equation}
(2.5) \quad J\Delta(\gamma) = (-1)^{kn} [\iota_n, \gamma] = (-1)^{kn} [\iota_n, \iota_n] \circ E^{n-1} \gamma \in \pi^n_{k+n-1}.
\end{equation}

Concerning the exact sequence \((k)\), we obtain the commutative diagram up to sign:
\begin{equation}
(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{cccccc}
R^n_k & \xrightarrow{i^*} & R^{n+1}_k & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \pi^n_k & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & R^n_{k-1} \\
J & \downarrow & J & \downarrow & E^{n+1} & \downarrow & J \\
\pi^n_{k+n} & \xrightarrow{E} & \pi^{n+1}_{k+n+1} & \xrightarrow{H} & \pi^{2n+1}_{k+n+1} & \xrightarrow{P} & \pi^n_{k+n-1},
\end{array}
\end{equation}
where the lower sequence is the EHP sequence. This diagram is also a direct consequence of [36] (11.4)].

The following formula about Toda brackets is useful [26]:
\begin{equation}
(2.7) \quad \Delta\{\alpha, E^n \beta, E^n \gamma\}_n \subset (-1)^n \{\Delta(\alpha), E^{n-1} \beta, E^{n-1} \gamma\}_{n-1}.
\end{equation}

By [27 Lemma 5.1], we know \((\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}(S^n))\):
\begin{equation}
(2.8) \quad J\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subset \{J\alpha, E^n \beta, E^n \gamma\}_n.
\end{equation}
We need the following:

\[(2.9) \quad \eta_3 \nu_4 = \nu' \eta_6, \: \eta_5 \nu_6 = 0, \: P(\iota_{11}) = \nu_5 \eta_8, \: \nu_6 \eta_9 = 0 \quad \text{[36 (5.9), (5.10)]} \]
\[(2.10) \quad \eta_9 \sigma_{10} = \nu_9 + \varepsilon_9, \: \eta_9 \sigma_{10} + \sigma_9 \eta_{16} = P(\iota_{19}) \quad \text{[36 Lemma 6.4, (7.1)]} \]
\[(2.11) \quad \sigma' \nu_{14} = x \nu_7 \sigma_{10} \: (x: \text{odd}) \quad \text{[36 (7.19)]} \]
\[(2.12) \quad \nu_{11} \sigma_{14} = 0, \: \sigma_{12} \nu_{19} = 0 \quad \text{[36 (7.20)]} \]
\[(2.13) \quad \varepsilon_3 \nu_{11} = \nu' \nu_6, \: \varepsilon_4 \nu_{12} = P(\nu_9) \quad \text{[36 (7.12), (7.13)]} \]
\[(2.14) \quad \nu_6 \nu_9 = \nu_6 \varepsilon_9 = P(\nu_9^2) \quad \text{[36 (7.17), (7.18)]} \]
\[(2.15) \quad \bar{\nu}_9 \nu_{17} = P(\nu_9) \quad \text{[36 (7.22)]} \]

Next we show relations in the homotopy groups of spheres which are necessary for our calculations.

By [36 Lemma 5.14], we know
\[(2.16) \quad 2\sigma'' = E \sigma''' \quad 2\sigma' = E \sigma'' \]

By [36 (7.10), (7.20)], we obtain
\[(2.17) \quad \eta_9^2 \varepsilon_{11} = 4(\nu_9 \sigma_{12}) = 0 \]

By [36 (10.23)], we know
\[(2.18) \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_6 = \eta_6 \kappa_7. \]

By \(\sigma' \nu_{14} = x \nu_7 \sigma_{10}\) for odd \(x\) (2.11) and (2.13), we have
\[(2.19) \quad \nu_7 \sigma_{10}^2 = 0. \]

By [32 Proposition 2.13(7)] and [36 Lemma 12.3], we have
\[(2.20) \quad \mu_5 \varepsilon_{14} = \varepsilon_5 \mu_{13} = \eta_5 \mu_6 \sigma_{15}. \]

We recall the relations from ([14 Table 3], [15 Lemma 1.1(i)]):
\[(2.21) \quad \Delta \iota_4 = 2[\iota_3] - [\eta_2]_4, \]
\[(2.22) \quad \Delta \iota_5 = [\iota_3]_5 \eta_3, \]
\[(2.23) \quad \Delta \iota_8 = 2[\iota_7] - [\eta_6]_8, \]
\[(2.24) \quad \Delta \nu_5 = 0, \]
\[(2.25) \quad \Delta \iota_9 = [\nu_5]_9 + [\iota_7]_9 \eta_7, \]
\[(2.26) \quad \Delta \iota_{11} = [\iota_7]_{11} \nu_7, \]
\[(2.27) \quad \Delta \nu_6 = 2[\nu_5], \]
\[(2.28) \quad \Delta \nu_4 \equiv [\iota_3] \nu' \mod [\eta_2]_4 \nu'. \]
We take

\begin{align}
J[\tau_3] &= \nu_4, \\
J[\eta_2] &= \nu'
\end{align}

We also recall the relations from [15, Lemma 1.1(ii)]:

\begin{align}
J[\iota_7] &= \sigma_8, \\
J[\nu_5] &= \bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6, \\
J[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] &= -\sigma'' \sigma_{13} + \bar{\nu}_6 \nu_{14}^2, \\
J[\eta_6] &= \sigma', \\
J[\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6] &= \sigma' \sigma_{14}, \\
[\eta_6] \sigma' &= 4[\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6] + [\nu_5] \nu_{14}^2 + [\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \tau.
\end{align}

For a group \( G \), let \( \alpha \in G \). Denote by \( \sharp \alpha \) the order of \( \alpha \). By [9, (3.2)] and the fact that \( 2\pi_{4n+4}(R_{4n+4}) = 0 \) [17], we have

\begin{align}
\Delta(\eta_{4n+3}) &= 0; \ \sharp[\eta_{4n+3}] = 2 \ (n \geq 2).
\end{align}

By [9, (4.2)], [2.1] and the fact that \( 2R_{4n+4}^{4n+3} = 0 \) [17], we have

\begin{align}
\Delta(\eta_{4n+2}^2) &= 0; \ \sharp[\eta_{4n+2}^2] = 2.
\end{align}

By [2.37] and [9, (4.1), (4.4)], we obtain

\begin{align}
\Delta_{4n+1} &= [\eta_{4n-1}]_{4n+1} \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\end{align}

By [17] p. 161, Table], we know \( R_{4n+2}^{4n+2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \) for \( n \geq 2 \). So, we have

\begin{align}
\Delta(\eta_{4n+2}) \in 2R_{4n+2}^{4n+2} \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\end{align}

In particular, by [16] p. 96], we have

\begin{align}
\Delta(\eta_{10}) &= 2[\iota_7]_{10} \nu_7.
\end{align}

By [9, p. 410, the proof of Lemma 3.5(1)], we have

\begin{align}
\Delta(\nu_{8n-1}) &= 0; \ \sharp[\nu_{8n-1}] = 8.
\end{align}

By [9, (4.1)-(4.5)], we have

\begin{align}
\Delta(\eta_{8n+1}^2) &= 4[\nu_{8n-1}]_{8n+1}, \ \Delta(\eta_{8n+2}) = 2[\nu_{8n-1}]_{8n+2},
\end{align}
\begin{equation}
\Delta(t_{8n+3}) = [\nu_{8n-1}]_{8n+3}.
\end{equation}

We recall from [11, (1.8)],

\begin{equation}
\Delta \eta_{4n+4} = [\eta_{4n+2}^2]_{4n+4} \text{ for } n \geq 2.
\end{equation}

By [27, Lemma 5.2], we have

\begin{equation}
\{p_{n+1}, i_{n+1}, \Delta t_n\} \ni t_n \mod 2t_n.
\end{equation}

We need the following.

\textbf{Lemma 2.1} Suppose that \( \alpha \in \pi_{n-2+k}^n \) satisfies \( \Delta(E\alpha) = 0 \). Then, \( [E\alpha] \in \{-i_n, \Delta t_{n-1}, \alpha\} \mod i_n R_{n-1+k} + R_{n-1} \circ E\alpha \). In particular, 

\( \Delta t_2n \in \{-i_{2n}, \Delta t_{2n-1}, 2t_{2n-2}\} \mod i_{2n} R_{2n-1} + R_{2n-1} \circ 2t_{2n-1} \).

\textbf{Proof.} By (2.46), we obtain

\[ p_n \circ (-\{i_n, \Delta t_{n-1}, \alpha\}) = \{p_n, i_n, \Delta t_{n-1}\} \circ E\alpha \ni E\alpha \mod p_n \circ R_{n-1} \circ E\alpha. \]

This and \( p_n[E\alpha] = E\alpha \) imply the relation

\[ [E\alpha] \in \{-i_n, \Delta t_{n-1}, \alpha\} \mod i_n R_{n-1+k} + R_{n-1} \circ E\alpha \]

by using the exact sequence \((n-1+k)_{n-1}\), and we obtain the assertion.

By considering \( [2t_{2n-1}] = \Delta t_{2n} \), we have the rest. This completes the proof.

Suppose that \( n \geq 2 \). By [36, Theorem 7.4, Lemma 9.1], we know \( \zeta_{4n+1} \in \{2^{4n+1}, \eta_{4n+1}^2, \varepsilon_{4n+3}\} \mod \nu_{4n+1} \nu_{4n+9}. \) By relations \( \Delta t_{4n+2} \circ \eta_{4n+1}^2 = \Delta(\eta_{4n+2}^2) = 0 \) \( (2.38) \) and \( \eta_{4n+1} \circ \varepsilon_{4n+3} = 0 \) \( (2.17) \), the Toda bracket \( \{\Delta t_{4n+2}, \eta_{4n+1}^2, \varepsilon_{4n+3}\} \) is defined. By [16, p. 96], we have \( \Delta(\zeta_9) = 0 \) and \( \Delta(\tilde{\nu} \nu_{17}) = [\nu_9] \tilde{\nu} \nu_{16} \neq 0. \) We define

\[ [\zeta_{4n+1}] \in \{\Delta t_{4n+2}, \eta_{4n+1}^2, 4n+3\}. \]

By [36, (7.14)], we have

\begin{equation}
4\zeta_5 = \eta_{37}^2 \mu_7.
\end{equation}

We show the following.

\textbf{Lemma 2.2} \( (1) \) \( \Delta \zeta_{4n+2} = \pm 2[\zeta_{4n+1}] \) \text{ and } \#[\zeta_{4n+1}] = 8 \text{ for } n \geq 2. \)
(2) \([\zeta_{4n+1}]_{4n+4} \equiv \Delta(\eta_{4n+4}\varepsilon_{4n+5}) \mod i_{4n+2}R_{4n+4}^{4n+2} \circ \varepsilon_{4n+4}\) for \(n \geq 2\).

In particular, \(\Delta(\eta_{8n+4}\varepsilon_{8n+5}) = [\zeta_{8n+1}]_{8n+4}\) for \(n \geq 1\).

**Proof.** We have
\[
-2[\zeta_{4n+1}] \in \{\Delta t_{4n+2}, \eta_{4n+1}^2, \varepsilon_{4n+3}\} \circ (-2t_{4n+12})
= \Delta t_{4n+2} \circ \{\eta_{4n+1}^2, \varepsilon_{4n+3}, 2t_{4n+11}\}
\ni \Delta t_{4n+2} \circ (\zeta_{4n+1}) = \Delta \zeta_{4n+2}.
\]

Since the indeterminacy of this relation is \(\Delta t_{4n+2} \circ \pi_{4n+2} \circ 2\zeta_{4n+1} = \{\Delta \zeta_{4n+2} \circ 2\zeta_{4n+1} \} = \{2\Delta \zeta_{4n+2}\}\), we have \(2[\zeta_{4n+1}] \equiv -\Delta \zeta_{4n+2} \mod 2\Delta \zeta_{4n+2}\). By relations (2.47) and (2.38), we have \(4\Delta \zeta_{4n+2} = \Delta(\eta_{4n+2}\mu_{4n+4}) = 0\). Hence we obtain the first of (1). We also have \(8[\zeta_{4n+1}] = 4\Delta \zeta_{4n+2} = 0\). On the other hand, by the fact that \(\zeta_{4n+1}\) has order \(8\), \([\zeta_{4n+1}]\) has order at least \(8\). This leads to the second of (1).

By the definition of \([\zeta_{4n+1}]\) and Lemma 2.1, we have
\[
[\zeta_{4n+1}]_{4n+3} \in \{i_{4n+3}, \Delta t_{4n+2}, \eta_{4n+1}^2\} \circ \varepsilon_{4n+4}
\ni \eta_{4n+2}^2 \circ \varepsilon_{4n+4}\]
\[\ni R_{4n+4}^{4n+2} \circ \varepsilon_{4n+4}\].

This and (2.45) imply the first of (2).

By [17] p. 161, Table; Theorem 3, \(R_{4n+4}^{4n+2} \cong Z_{12}\) for \(n\) even and \(\cong Z_{12} \oplus Z_2\) for \(n\) odd and the direct summand \(Z_{12}\) is generated by \(\Delta(\nu_{4n+2})\), where \(\nu_{4n+2}\) stands for the generator of \(\pi_{4n+5}(S^{4n+2}) \cong Z_{24}\). Since \(\nu_{4n+2} \circ \varepsilon_{4n+5} = 0\) \((2.14)\), we have the second of (2). This completes the proof. □

The assertion for \(n = 2\) is obtained by [16] Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.9(1), the last page.

We define \([\zeta_6] \in \{[\nu_5], 8t_8, E\sigma'\} \cup 2t_{R_6} \circ \{[\nu_5], 8t_8, E\sigma'\} = \{[\nu_5], 8t_8, E\sigma'\} \circ 2t_{16}\)
\[\ni 2[\zeta_5] \mod 2[\nu_5] \circ E\pi_{15}^7 + R_9^6 \circ 2\sigma_9\].

We know \(\pi_{15}^7 \cong (Z_2)^3\) [36] Theorem 7.1 and \(R_9^6 \cong Z_2\) [17] p. 162, Table]. So, the above indeterminacy is trivial and \(\Delta \zeta_6 = 2[\zeta_5]\).

**Conjecture 1** \(\Delta(\eta_{4n+4}\varepsilon_{4n+5}) = [\zeta_{4n+1}]_{4n+4}\) for \(n \geq 3\).
Recall from [36, Lemma 6.5] the relation

(2.48) \[ \mu_n \in \{ \eta_n, 2t_{n+1}, E^{n-4} \sigma'' \}_n - 4 + \{ \nu_n^3 \} \] for \( n \geq 4 \).

By [36, Lemma 12.10], we have

(2.49) \[ \varepsilon_3^2 = \varepsilon_3 \tilde{\nu}_{11} = \eta_3 \bar{\varepsilon}_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_5 \sigma_8 \nu_1^2 = \eta_5 \bar{\varepsilon}_6. \]

By [36, Lemma 12.12], we have

(2.50) \[ \sigma' \zeta_{14} = x \zeta_7 \sigma_{18} \quad (x: \text{odd}). \]

We show

**Lemma 2.3**

(1) \( \tilde{\nu}_6^2 = \tilde{\nu}_6 \varepsilon_{14} = 0. \)

(2) \( (\tilde{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6) \tilde{\nu}_{14} = (\tilde{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6) \varepsilon_{14} = \eta_6 \bar{\varepsilon}_7. \)

(3) \( \sigma' \eta_{14} \tilde{\nu}_{15} = \sigma' \nu_{14}^3 = \nu_7 \sigma_{10}^2 \nu_7^2 = \eta_7 \bar{\varepsilon}_8 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_9 \varepsilon_{10} = 0. \)

(4) \( \nu_5 \sigma_8 \tilde{\nu}_{15} = \nu_5 \sigma_8 \varepsilon_{15} = \nu_5 \bar{\varepsilon}_8. \)

(5) \( \zeta_5 \sigma_{16} \in \{ \nu_5 \sigma_8, 8t_{15}, 2\sigma_{13} \}_1 \mod \nu_5 \bar{\varepsilon}_8, 2\zeta_5 \sigma_{16}. \)

**Proof.** (1) is just [32, Proposition 2.8(2)]. By relations (1) and (2.49), we have (2). By [36, (2.56), (2.11), (2.49) and (2.12)], we have (3). The assertion (4) is obtained by [31, Theorem 2].

By [36, Theorem 12.8], we know \( \pi^5_{23} = \{ \zeta_5 \sigma_{16}, \nu_5 \bar{\varepsilon}_8, \eta_5 \bar{\varepsilon}_6 \} \cong Z_8 \oplus (Z_2)^2 \) and \( E^2 : \pi^5_{23} \to \pi^7_{25} \) is a split epimorphism and Ker \( E^2 = \{ \nu_5 \bar{\varepsilon}_8 \}. \)

By the relations \( \sigma' \nu_{14} = x \nu_7 \sigma_{10} \quad (x: \text{odd}) \) (2.11) and \( \sigma' \zeta_{14} = y \zeta_7 \sigma_{18} \) (y: odd) (2.50), we have

\[ E^2 \{ \nu_5 \sigma_8, 8t_{15}, 2\sigma_{15} \}_1 \subset \{ \nu_7 \sigma_{10}, 8t_{17}, 2\sigma_{17} \}_1 = \{ x \sigma' \nu_{14}, 8t_{17}, 2\sigma_{17} \}_1 \]
\[ \supset x \sigma' \circ \{ \nu_{14}, 8t_{17}, 2\sigma_{17} \}_1 \supset x \sigma' \zeta_{14} = xy \zeta_7 \sigma_{18} \mod x \sigma' \nu_{14} \circ E \pi^5_{16} + \pi^7_7 \circ 2\sigma_{17} = \{ 2\zeta_7 \sigma_{18} \}, \]

where \( x \) and \( y \) are odd. This completes the proof.

Next we show

**Lemma 2.4**

(1) \( \mu_3 \sigma_{12} \in \{ \varepsilon_3, \sigma_{11}, 16t_{18} \}_1 = \{ \varepsilon_3, 2\sigma_{11}, 8t_{18} \}_1 \)
\[ = \{ \varepsilon_3, 2t_{11}, 8\sigma_{11} \}_1 \mod \eta_3 \bar{\varepsilon}_4. \]
(2) \( \zeta' \in \{ \tilde{\nu}_6, 8\iota_{14}, 2\sigma_{14} \} \) mod \( \eta_6 \bar{\varepsilon}_7 \) and
\( \zeta' \in \{ \tilde{\nu}_6, \sigma_{14}, 16\iota_{21} \} \) \( = \{ \tilde{\nu}_6, 2\sigma_{14}, 8\iota_{21} \} \) mod \( 2\zeta', \eta_6 \bar{\varepsilon}_7 \).

(3) \( \zeta' + \mu_6 \sigma_{15} \in \{ \tilde{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6, \sigma_{14}, 16\iota_{21} \} \) \( = \{ \tilde{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6, 2\sigma_{14}, 8\iota_{21} \} \) \( = \{ \tilde{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7, 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15} \} \) mod \( 2\zeta', \eta_6 \bar{\varepsilon}_7 \),
\( E \zeta' + \mu_7 \sigma_{16} \in \{ \tilde{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7, 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15} \} \) mod \( \eta_7 \bar{\varepsilon}_8 \).

**Proof.** By [30, I-Proposition 3.2(1), its proof], we have
\( \mu_3 \sigma_{12} \in \{ \varepsilon_3, 2\iota_{11}, 8\sigma_{11} \} \) mod \( \eta_3 \bar{\varepsilon}_4 \).

We have
\( \{ \varepsilon_3, \sigma_{11}, 16\iota_{18} \} \subset \{ \varepsilon_3, 2\sigma_{11}, 8\iota_{18} \} \supset \{ \varepsilon_3, 2\iota_{11}, 8\sigma_{11} \} \) mod \( \varepsilon_3 \circ E^{10}_{18} + 8\pi^3_{19} \).

We know \( E^{10}_{18} = \{ \tilde{\nu}_{11}, \varepsilon_{11} \} \) and \( 8\pi^3_{19} = 0 \) [30, Theorems 7.1 and 12.6]. So, by (2.49), we have (1).

The first of (2) is just [21, Lemma 5.4(iv)]. We have
\( \{ \tilde{\nu}_6, 8\iota_{14}, 2\sigma_{14} \} \subset \{ \tilde{\nu}_6, 8\sigma_{14}, 2\iota_{21} \} \)
\( \supset \{ \tilde{\nu}_6, \sigma_{14}, 16\iota_{21} \} \) mod \( \tilde{\nu}_6 \circ E^{13}_{21} + 2\pi^6_{22} \).

We know \( \tilde{\nu}_6 \circ E^{13}_{21} = \{ \tilde{\nu}_6^2, \tilde{\nu}_6 \varepsilon_{14} \} = 0 \) (36, Theorem 7.1], Lemma [23(1)] and \( 2\pi^6_{22} = \{ 2\zeta' \} \) [36, Theorem 12.6]. This leads to the second of (2).

The first of (3) are obtained directly from (1) and (2). We have
\( E\{ \tilde{\nu}_6, 2\sigma_{14}, 8\iota_{21} \} \subset \{ \tilde{\nu}_7, 2\sigma_{15}, 8\iota_{22} \} \subset \{ \tilde{\nu}_7, 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15} \} \)
\( \text{mod} \ \tilde{\nu}_7 \circ E^{14}_{22} + 8\pi^7_{23} \).

We know \( \tilde{\nu}_7 \circ E^{14}_{22} = 0 \) (36, Theorem 7.1], Lemma [23(1)] and \( 8\pi^7_{23} = 0 \) [36, Theorem 12.6]. This leads the second of (3) and completes the proof. \( \square \)

By [36 (7.4)], we have
(2.51) \( \eta_7 \sigma_8 = \sigma' \eta_{14} + \tilde{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7 \).

We recall [11 (4.1)]:
(2.52) \( \Delta \sigma_9 = [\iota_7]_9 (\tilde{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7) \).

By this and [15, Lemma 1.2(v)], we have
(2.53) \( [\nu_5]_9 \sigma_8 = [\iota_7]_9 \sigma' \eta_{14} \).
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We know $\Delta(\nu_{10}^2) = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7 + x[\nu_5]_{10} \sigma_8$ for some $x \in \{0, 1\}$ [14, p. 22]. Since $[\nu_5]_{10} = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7$ from (2.25), we have $\Delta(\nu_{10}^2) = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7 + x \eta_7 \sigma_8$. By composing this equation with $\eta_7$ on the right and using the relation $\nu_7 \eta_15 = \nu_7^2$ (2.56), we get that $0 = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7 + x \eta_7 \sigma_8 \eta_{15}$. We know $[\nu_{10}] \nu_7^2 \neq 0$ [14, Proposition 4.1]. This implies that $x = 1$. Hence, by (2.51), we obtain

$$\Delta(\nu_{10}^2) = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7 + \eta_7 \sigma_8 = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7 (\varepsilon_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14}) = [\nu_{10}] \nu_7 + [\nu_5]_{10} \sigma_8. \tag{2.54}$$

From the last relation, we have $[\nu_{11}] \nu_7 = [\nu_5]_{11} \sigma_8$. We know $\Delta(\nu_{13}) \neq 0$ (L7, p. 161, Table, [14, (3.13)]), $R_{15}^{13} = \{[\nu_5]_{13} \sigma_8, [\eta_{12}^3]\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $R_{15}^{14} = \{\eta_{12}^4, [\eta_{12}^3]\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ [14, Proposition 2.1]. So, by (15)_{13} and (2.52), we have

$$\Delta(\nu_{13}) = [\nu_5]_{13} \sigma_8 = [\nu_{13}] \nu_7 = [\nu_{13}] \varepsilon_7. \tag{2.55}$$

Finally we need

**Lemma 2.5** Suppose that $\alpha \in \pi_k^{4n+2}$ for $n \geq 2$ be an element satisfying the relations $2\alpha = 0$, $(E^2 \alpha) \eta_{k+2} = 0$ and $\eta_{4n+2}^2 (E^3 \alpha) = 0$. Then, for an element $\beta \in \{\eta_{4n+2}, 2\eta_{4n+3}, E\alpha\}_{1} \subset \pi_{k+2}^{4n+2}$, there exists a lift $[\eta_{4n+2}(E\beta)] \in R_{k+2}^{4n+3}$ of $\eta_{4n+2}(E\beta)$ which is of order 2.

**Proof.** By (2.40), we have

$$\Delta(\eta_{4n+2}(E\beta)) \in R_{4n+2}^{4n+2} \circ 2\eta_{4n+2} \circ \beta$$

$$\subseteq -R_{4n+2}^{4n+2} \circ \{2\eta_{4n+2}, \eta_{4n+2}, 2\eta_{4n+3}\}_1 \circ (E^2 \alpha)$$

$$= -R_{4n+2}^{4n+2} \circ \eta_{4n+2}^2 (E^3 \alpha) = 0.$$

So, $\eta_{4n+2}(E\beta)$ has a lift. We set

$$[\eta_{4n+2}(E\beta)] \in \{[\eta_{4n+2}^2], 2\eta_{4n+3}, E^2 \alpha\}_2.$$

Then, by (2.38), we have

$$2[\eta_{4n+2}(E\beta)] \in \{[\eta_{4n+2}^2], 2\eta_{4n+3}, E^2 \alpha\}_2 \circ 2\eta_{k+3}$$

$$= -[\eta_{4n+2}^2] \circ \{2\eta_{4n+3}, E^2 \alpha, 2\eta_{k+2}\}_2$$

$$\ni [\eta_{4n+2}^2](E^2 \alpha) \eta_{k+2} \equiv 0 \mod [\eta_{4n+2}^2] \circ E^2 \eta_{k+1} \circ 2\eta_{k+3} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \[\square\]
By [36] Lemma 6.3, (7.3)], we have (2.56) \( \eta_5 \nu_6 = \nu_6^3, \ \nu_6 \eta_4 = \nu_6^3. \)

We know \( \varepsilon_n \in \{ \eta_n, 2t_{n+1}, \nu_n^2 \} \) for \( n \geq 5 \) [36] (6.1). By the relation \( \eta_n \nu_{n+1} = \nu_n^3(n \geq 5) \) [23] and by (2.48), we have \( \mu_n \in \{ \eta_n, 2t_{n+1}, 8s_{n+1} \} \) for \( n \geq 9. \) So, by Lemma 2.5, we have

**Example 2.6** \( \sharp [\eta_{4n+2} \varepsilon_{4n+3}] = \sharp [\eta_{4n+2} \mu_{4n+3}] = 2 \) for \( n \geq 2. \)

### 3 Determination of \( \pi_{23}(R_n : 2) \) \( (n \leq 9) \)

Let \( n \geq 10. \) By [36] Corollary 3.7, Theorem 7.1], we have \( \{2t_n, 8s_n, 2t_{n+1}\} \not\in (8s_n)\eta_n \equiv 0 \mod \ 2t_n \circ E^{n-1} + 2t_n^n = 0 \) and \( \{8t_n, 2s_n, 8t_{n+1}\} \equiv 0 \mod 8t_n \circ E^{n-1} + 8t_n^n = 0. \) Since \( E^{n-1} = \pi_n^n = 0, \) we have \( \{2t_n, 8s_n, 2t_{n+1}\} = \{8t_n, 2s_n, 8t_{n+1}\} = \{8t_n, 2s_n, 8t_{n+1}\}. \) Hence we obtain

(3.1) \( \{2t_n, 8s_n, 2t_{n+1}\} = \{8t_n, 2s_n, 8t_{n+1}\} = 0 \) for \( n \geq 10. \)

We show

**Lemma 3.1** (1) If \( i_{5*} R_n^4 = 0, \) where \( n \geq 4, \) then \( p_{5*} : R_n^5 \to \pi_n^4 \) is a monomorphism.

(2) If \( \pi_n^3 = \nu' \circ \pi_n^6 \) for \( n \geq 6, \) then \( i_{5*} R_n^4 = 0. \)

**Proof.** (1) is a direct consequence of \( (n)_4. \)

(2) Recall that \( R_n^4 = [\eta_2]_4 \oplus [\delta]_3 \oplus [\pi_n^3]_4 \) ([14] p. 14, [34] p. 116]). We know \( \pi_n(R_3) \cong \pi_n(S^3), \) \( \pi_n(R_4) \cong \pi_n(R_3) \oplus \pi_n(S^3). \) We know \( \pi_6(R_5) \cong \pi_6(Sp(2)) = 0. \) Then, \( i_{5*}([\eta_2]_4 \nu') = [\eta_2]_5 \nu' = 0 \) and \( i_{5*}([\delta]_3 \nu') = [\delta]_5 \nu' = 0. \)

By [23], \( \pi_3 R_{23}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu' \bar{\mu}_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}\}. \) So we obtain

\[
R_{23}^3 = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_2]_4 \nu' \bar{\mu}_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_2]_4 \nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}\}
\]

and

\[
R_{23}^4 = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_2]_4 \nu' \bar{\mu}_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_2]_4 \nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\delta]_3 \nu' \bar{\mu}_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\delta]_3 \nu' \eta_6 \mu_7 \sigma_{16}\}.
\]

By applying Lemma 3.1 for \( n = 23, \) we have

(3.2) \( i_{5*}(R_{23}^4) = 0. \)
We recall from [24, Theorem 5.1] that \( \pi_{23}(Sp(2 : 2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma \mu_{14}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[E\zeta']\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta \bar{\epsilon}_8]\} \). So, by [11, Lemma 1.2], we obtain

\[
R^5_{23} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4 (E\zeta')]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4 \eta \bar{\epsilon}_8]\}.
\]

By the fact that \( \mu_{14} \in \{\eta_{14}, 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15}\}_1 \bmod \eta_{14} \bar{\epsilon}_{15}, \nu_{14}^3 \) \((2.48)\) and \( i_5 \cdot R^5_{23} = 0 \), we obtain

\[
(3.3) \quad [\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}] \in \{[\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}], 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15}\}_1 \bmod [\nu_4 (E\zeta')], [\nu_4 \eta \bar{\epsilon}_8].
\]

Since \( 2[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}] \in [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \circ \{2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15}, 2\iota_{23}\} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \circ 0 = 0 \) \((3.1)\), it follows that \( 2[\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}] = 2 \).

Making use of the exact sequence \((23)_5\), by the fact that \( \pi^5_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_8\{\zeta_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu_5 \mu_8 \sigma_{17}\} \) and \( \pi^6_{25} = \mathbb{Z}_8\{\zeta_5 \sigma_{16}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu_5 \bar{\epsilon}_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\eta_6 \bar{\mu}_6\} \) \([36\, Theorems\, 12.8-9]\), we obtain

\[
(3.4) \quad R^6_{23} = \{[\zeta_5] \sigma_{16}, [\nu_6] \bar{\epsilon}_8, [\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}]_6, [\nu_4 (E\zeta')]_6, [\nu_4 \eta \bar{\epsilon}_8]_6 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4.
\]

We see that \( \pi^3_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7\} \) \([20]\). This yields the groups \( R^3_{24} \) and \( R^4_{24} \):

\[
R^3_{24} = \{[\eta_2] \nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2; \quad R^4_{24} = \{[\eta_2]_4 \nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7, [\nu_3] \nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2.
\]

By applying Lemma \([3.1]\) for \( \pi^3_{24} \), we have

\[
(3.5) \quad i_{5^*}(R^4_{24}) = 0.
\]

We show the following.

\[
R^5_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4^2] \kappa_{10}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \mu_{15}\}.
\]

**Proof.** In the exact sequence \((24)_5\):

\[
\pi^4_{24} \Delta \rightarrow R^4_{24} \rightarrow \pi^3_{25} \rightarrow \pi^4_{24} \Delta \rightarrow R^4_{23},
\]

we know that \( \ker \{\Delta : \pi^4_{24} \rightarrow R^4_{23}\} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu_4^2 \kappa_{10}, \nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14} \mu_{15}\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \) and

\[
\pi^4_{25} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[E\nu'] \eta_7 \bar{\mu}_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\nu_4 \eta_7 \bar{\mu}_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8\{\nu_4 \zeta_7 \sigma_{18}\} \quad (20\, \text{Theorem A}).
\]

By relations \((2.21), (2.28)\) and \( \nu' \zeta_6 = 0 \) \([32\, \text{Proposition 2.4(i)}]\), we have

\[
\Delta([E\nu'] \eta_7 \bar{\mu}_8) = [\eta_2]_4 \nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7; \quad \Delta(\nu_4 \eta_7 \bar{\mu}_8) = [\iota_3] \nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7 \bmod [\eta_2]_4 \nu' \eta_6 \bar{\mu}_7
\]
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and $\Delta(\nu_4\zeta_{18}) \equiv [\iota_3]\nu^0\zeta_6 = 0 \mod [\eta_2]4\nu^0\zeta_6 = 0$. This completes the proof.

We recall from [36, p. 137] that 

$$\tilde{\zeta}_5 \in \{\zeta_5, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\} \subset \pi_5.$$

Since the order of $[\zeta_5] \in R^6_{16}$ is 8 [14, Proposition 4.1], we define a lift of $\tilde{\zeta}_5$ as

$$(3.7) \quad [\tilde{\zeta}_5] \in \{[\zeta_5], 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\} \subset R^6_{24}.$$  

We show

**Proposition 3.2** $R^6_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\tilde{\zeta}_5]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_5]\mu_8\sigma_{17}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4\sigma^0\eta_{14}]_6\mu_{15}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu^0_1]\kappa_{10}\}.$

**Proof.** We consider the exact sequence (24)6:

$$\pi^5_{25} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^5_{24} \xrightarrow{i^*} R^6_{24} \xrightarrow{p^*} \pi^5_{24} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^5_{23}.$$  

Since $\pi^5_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\tilde{\zeta}_5]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_5]\mu_8\sigma_{17}\}$ [30, Theorem 12.9] and $\Delta p_5 = 0$ (2.24), we have $\Delta(\pi^5_{24}) = 0$. We know $\pi^5_{25} = \{[\nu^0_5]\kappa_{11}, [\nu_5]\mu_8, [\nu_5]\eta_8\mu_7\sigma_{18}\}$ [23, p. 46]. So, we have $\Delta(\pi^5_{25}) = 0$.

By (3.7) and (3.1), we have

$$8[\tilde{\zeta}_5] \in \{[\zeta_5], 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\} \circ 8\nu_{24} = -[\zeta_5] \circ E\{8\nu_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}, 8\nu_{22}\} = 0.$$  

This implies $[\tilde{\zeta}_5] = 8$ and completes the proof.  

Let $r_3 : SU(3) \to R_6$ be the canonical inclusion. By [2] Corollaries 5.3, 5.4, Theorem 5.5 and [30], the fibrations $G_2 \xrightarrow{p_G} G_2/SU(3) = S^6$ and $R_7 \xrightarrow{p_T} S^6$ give a commutative diagram

$$SU(3) \xrightarrow{i_G} G_2 \xrightarrow{p_G} S^6$$  

$$(3.8) \quad r_3 \quad \downarrow \quad h \quad \downarrow \quad = \quad \downarrow$$  

$$R_6 \xrightarrow{i_T} R_7 \xrightarrow{p_T} S^6.$$  

By [14, Table 2, Proposition 4.1], [15, Theorem 2] and the relation $\tilde{\nu}_{15} = \eta_7\sigma_8\nu_{15} (2.9), (2.51), (2.13))$,

$$R^7_6 = \{[\nu_5]_7, [\eta_7]\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2,$$

$$R^7_{16} = \{[\zeta_5]_7, [\nu_4\sigma^0\eta_{14}]_7\mu_{15}, [\nu^0_1]_7\nu^0_5, [\nu_5]_7\nu_8, [\nu_5]_7\nu_8, [\nu_6]_7\eta_8\nu_5, [\eta_6]_7\nu_5\mu_7 \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^7,$$

$$R^7_{18} = \{[2P_{i_1}](\sigma_{11}, [\nu_4\zeta_7]_7, [\nu_5]_7\sigma_8\nu_{15})\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$
Since $\pm [t_8, t_8] = 2\sigma_8 - E\sigma'$ and the Whitehead product is trivial in $\pi_{15}(R_5)$, we have

\[(3.9) \quad 2[\nu_5]\sigma_8 = [\nu_5](E\sigma').\]

**Lemma 3.3** $[\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]\eta_{23} = [\nu_4\sigma'\eta_{14}]\mu_{15}, \ [\nu_4(E\zeta')]\eta_{23} = [\nu_4\eta_{7}\bar{\varepsilon}_8]\eta_{23} = 0$ and $[\nu_5]\eta_8\bar{\varepsilon}_9 = 0$.

**Proof.** Since $\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}\eta_{23} = \nu_4\sigma'\eta_{14}\mu_{15}$, we have $[\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]\eta_{23} - [\nu_4\sigma'\eta_{14}]\mu_{15} \in \iota_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.

By Lemma 2.3(4) and the group structure of $R_{23}^5$, we have (3.10) \[ [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \in \{[\nu_5][\sigma_{8}], 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\} \mod 2, \]

where $i_{5\ast}R_{24}^4 = 0 \ (3.5)$. This leads to the first relation.
Proposition 3.4 \( R_{23}^6 = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\zeta_5\zeta_16]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}\mu_7]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4(E\zeta')_7]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\nu_4\eta_7\xi_8]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\kappa_6\eta_7\pi_16]] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4[[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]], \) where 2[\( P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9 \)] \( \equiv [\nu_5\pi_8] \mod [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}\mu_7], [\nu_4(E\zeta')] \). 

**Proof.** In the exact sequence (23), we know Ker\{ \( \Delta : \pi_{23}^6 \to R_{22}^6 \) \} = \{ \( P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9 \), \( \eta_6\mu_7\pi_16 \) \} \( \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \) \[11, p. 12-3\]. We know \( \pi_{24}^6 = \{ \zeta_6\sigma_{17}, P(E\theta)\eta_23, \eta_6\mu_7 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \) \[36, Theorem 12.8\]. We have \( \Delta(\zeta_6\sigma_{17}) = 2[\zeta_5\sigma_{16} \) Lemma 2.2]. We have \( \Delta(\eta_6\mu_7) = 0 \) by (2.2). Since \( P(E\theta)\eta_23 = (P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9)\eta_23 \), we have \( \Delta(P(E\theta)\eta_23) = 0 \).

We consider the natural map up to sign between two exact sequences:

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_{24}^6 &\xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^6 \xrightarrow{i_{23}^*} R_{23}^6 \xrightarrow{p_{23}^*} \pi_{23}^6 \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{22}^6 \\
E' &\xrightarrow{J} J & J &\xrightarrow{E} J
\end{align*}
\]

(3.12)

So, by (3.4) and (3.11), we have the desired assertion. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

By Lemma 3.3, we have \([\nu_5\pi_8\eta_23] = 0 \). Since \([\nu_4\eta_7\xi_8]\eta_23 \in i_{5}\, R_{24}^6 = 0 \) \( (3.5) \), we have \([\nu_4(E\zeta')\eta_23] = 0 \). Finally, we have \([\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]\eta_23 \equiv [\nu_4\sigma'\eta_{14}]\mu_{15} \mod i_{5}\, R_{24}^6 = 0 \). This and (3.11), we have the following:

If \([\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]\eta_23 = [\nu_4\sigma'\eta_{14}]\mu_{15} \neq 0 \), we obtain

\[2[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9] \equiv [\nu_5\pi_8] \mod [\nu_4\eta_7\xi_8], [\nu_4(E\zeta')] \]

The relation in Proposition 3.4 which is (3.11) will be improved after knowing the group structure of \( R_{24}^7 \).

Here, we remark the following.

**Remark 3.5** \( R_{k}^7 \cong h_\ast\pi_{k}(G_2 : 2) \oplus [\kappa_6] \circ \pi_{k}^7 \) for \( k \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( k \geq 2 \). For a double covering map \( q : \text{Spin}(7) \to R_7 \), we know \( R_{k}^7 \cong q_\ast\pi_{k}(G_2 : 2) \). We consider the fibration \( G_2 \xrightarrow{i'} \text{Spin}(7) \xrightarrow{\nu'} S_7 \). We recall from \[21\] Proposition 9.1] the following:

\[ \pi_{k}(\text{Spin}(7) : 2) \cong i'_{\ast}\pi_{k}(G_2 : 2) \oplus \chi\pi_{k}^7, \]

where \( \chi : \pi_{k}^7 \to \pi_{k}(\text{Spin}(7) : 2) \) is a homomorphism satisfying \( p'_{\ast} \circ \chi = \text{id}_{\pi_{k}^7} \). Therefore, since \( h = q \circ i' \) by the construction of \( h \), we obtain

\[ R_{k}^7 \cong h_{\ast}\pi_{k}(G_2 : 2) \oplus (q_{\ast} \circ \chi)\pi_{k}^7. \]
For $k = 7$, by the fact that $R_{2}^{7} = \mathbb{Z}\{[\eta_6]\}$ \cite[Table 2]{14}, $\pi_7(G : 2) = 0$ \cite[Theorem 6.1]{21} and $\pi_{7}^{2} = \mathbb{Z}\{\iota_7\}$, we have $[\eta_6] = \pm (q_0 \circ \chi)(i_7)$ and hence, we have $[\eta_6] = \pm q_0 \circ \chi$. Thus, for $k \geq 2$, the relation $R_{k}^{7} \equiv h_{\kappa} \pi_{k}(G_{2} : 2) \oplus [\eta_6] \circ \pi_{k}^{7}$ holds. This completes the proof. 

By (2.20) and (2.47), we have

\begin{equation}
\eta_5 \epsilon_6 \mu_{14} = 4 \zeta_8 \sigma_{16}.
\end{equation}

Recall that, if $i_{5*} R_{23}^{4} = 0$, $p_{5*} : R_{23}^{4} \to \pi_{23}^{4}$ is a monomorphism (Lemma 3.1).

**Lemma 3.6**  
1. $[\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \tilde{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8] = [\nu_4^{2}]_{\sigma 10} \nu_7^{2}$ and $[\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \epsilon_{15} = [\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]$.

2. $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \nu_{3}^{4} = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]_{\sigma 6}$, $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} \epsilon_{15} = [\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]_{\sigma 6}$, $[\eta_6] \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8 = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]_{\sigma 7}$, $[\eta_6] E' \zeta' = [\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]_{\sigma 7}$.

3. $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \mu_{14} + [\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}]_{\sigma 6} = 4[\zeta_5]_{\sigma 16} \text{ mod } [\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]_{\sigma 6}$, $[\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]_{\sigma 6}$ and $[\eta_6] \sigma' \mu_{14} = [\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \mu_{14} + 4[\zeta_5]_{\sigma 16} \text{ mod } [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]_{\sigma 7}$, $[\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]_{\sigma 7}$.

**Proof.** We recall $i_{5*} R_{23}^{4} = 0$ \cite{32}. So, by Lemma \cite{23}(3), we obtain $[\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \tilde{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}] \tilde{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]$ and $[\nu_4^{2}]_{\sigma 10} \nu_7^{2} = [\nu_4^{2} \sigma 10] \nu_7^{2} = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]$. Similarly, by \cite{36} (12.4), we have the second relation of (1).

By \cite{15} Lemma 1.1(v), we know

\begin{equation}
[\eta_6] \sigma' = 4[\nu_6 + \epsilon_6] + [\nu_5] \epsilon_6^{2} + [\eta_5 \epsilon_6]_{\sigma 7}
\end{equation}

By \cite{15} Lemma 1.2(iii), we know

\begin{equation}
[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} = 4[\nu_5] \sigma_8 + [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 6}$, $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 7}$
\end{equation}

By \cite{31} and \cite{256}, we have $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \nu_4^{4} = [\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} \tilde{v}_{15} = 4[\nu_5] \sigma_8 \tilde{v}_{15} + [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 6} \tilde{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 6} \tilde{v}_{15}$ and $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} \epsilon_{15} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 6} \epsilon_{15}$. So, by (1), we obtain $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \nu_4^{4} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 6} \tilde{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]_{\sigma 6}$ and $[\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} \epsilon_{15} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_{\sigma 6} \epsilon_{15} = [\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]_{\sigma 6}$. By Lemma \cite{23}(3) and relations $[\eta_6] \nu_7 = b[\nu_4^{2}]$ for $b$ odd \cite{15} Lemma 1.1(iv)), $2[\eta_6] \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8 = 0$ and (1), we obtain $[\eta_6] \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8 = [\eta_6] \nu_7 \sigma 10 \nu_7^{2} = [\nu_4^{2}] \sigma 10 \nu_7^{2} = [\nu_4 \eta_7 \tilde{e}_8]_{\sigma 7}$. By \cite{31} and \cite{259}, we have $[\eta_6] \sigma' \eta_{14} = 4[\nu_6 + \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} + [\nu_5] \nu_5^{2} \eta_{14} + [\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} = [\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14}$. So, by relations $E' \zeta' = \sigma' \eta_{14} \epsilon_{15}$ \cite{36} (12.4) and (1), we obtain $[\eta_6] E' \zeta' = [\eta_6] \sigma' \eta_{14} \epsilon_{15} = [\eta_5 \epsilon_6] \eta_{14} \epsilon_{15} = [\nu_4 (E' \zeta')]_{\sigma 7}$. Hence we obtain (2).
By the relation \( p_{6,5}([\eta_5 \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}}) = p_{6,4}(4[\zeta_5]_{\sigma_{16}}) \) from (3.13), we have
\[
[\eta_5 \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}} \equiv 4[\zeta_5]_{\sigma_{16}} \mod i_{6,5} R_{23} = \{ [\nu_4 \sigma'_{\mu_{14}}]_6, [\nu_4 (E\zeta')]_6, [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_6 \}.
\]
By (3.3), (3.14) and (3.15), we have
\[
[\eta_6 \sigma'_{\mu_{14}}] = [\eta_5 \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}} \equiv \{ [\nu_4 \sigma'_{\eta_{14}}]_7, [\nu_4 \eta]_7 \} \mod [\nu_4 \sigma'_{\eta_{14}}]_7 = \{ [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7, [\nu_4 (E\zeta')]_7 \}.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We remark that the following.
\[
[\eta_6] \circ \pi_{23}^7 = \{ [\eta_6]_{\mu_7} \sigma_{16}, [\nu_4 \sigma'_{\mu_{14}}], [\nu_4 (E\zeta')]_7, [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7 \},
\]
where \([\eta_6]_7 = [\nu_4 \sigma'_{\eta_{14}}]_7, [\eta_6]_{\eta_7} \varepsilon_8 = [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7 \) and \([\eta_6]_7 \equiv [\nu_4 \sigma'_{\mu_{14}}]_7 \mod [\nu_4 (E\zeta')]_7, [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7 \).

By relations (2.18), \( \eta_5 \varepsilon_6 \kappa_{14} = 4 \nu_5 \kappa_8 \) [30] I-Proposition 3.1(2) and \( 4 \kappa_7 = \nu_7^2 \kappa_{13} \) [23] Lemma 15.4, we have
\[
(3.16) \quad \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_13 = \varepsilon_5 \eta_{13} \kappa_{14} = \eta_5 \varepsilon_6 \kappa_{14} = 4 \nu_5 \kappa_8 = \nu_5^2 \kappa_{14}.
\]
So, by \( \tilde{\nu}_6 \eta_{14} = \nu_6^3 \) (2.56), we have
\[
\tilde{\nu}_6 \varepsilon_{14} = \nu_6^3 \kappa_{15} = \varepsilon_6 \varepsilon_{14}.
\]
By the relation \( J[\nu_5] = \tilde{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6 \) [15] Lemma 1.1(ii)], we have
\[
J([\nu_5] \varepsilon_8) = J[\nu_5] \circ \varepsilon_{14} = (\tilde{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6) \varepsilon_{14}.
\]
So, we obtain
\[
(3.17) \quad J([\nu_5] \varepsilon_8) = 0.
\]

By the fact that \( \pi_{27}^7 = \{ \kappa_7 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \) [23] p. 48 and the proof of [36] (12.16)], we have
\[
(3.18) \quad H(\kappa_7) \equiv \kappa_{13} \mod 8 \sigma_{13}^2.
\]

We show

**Lemma 3.7** \( J[P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9] = \kappa_7 \nu_{27} - \nu_7 \kappa_{10} \).
Proof. Since $HJ[\nu_4'\mu_{14}] = E_3^0(\nu_4'\mu_{14}) = 0$, we have $J[\nu_4'\mu_{14}] \equiv 0 \mod E\pi_{17}^0 = \{\nu_5\kappa\}$ [22, p. 19]. $\nu_5\kappa$ does not in the J-image, we have $J[\nu_4'(E\zeta')] = 0$ and $J[\nu_4\eta_7\zeta_8] = 0$. Hence, by (3.11) and (3.17), we obtain

$$2J[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9] = 0.$$

We recall from [22, Theorem 1.1(a), (3.2), (4.9)] that

$$2\bar{\rho}_{11} = E_4^2\bar{\rho}', E\pi_{29}^6 = \{2\bar{\rho}', \nu_7\kappa_{10}, \phi_7\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

and

$$\pi_{23}^6 = \{\bar{\rho}, \nu\kappa, \phi\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

We have

$$HJ[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9] = E_7^0(P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9) = \kappa_{13}\nu_{27} = H(\kappa_7\nu_{27})$$

by (3.18). So, by [22, Theorem 1.1(a), (3.2)], we obtain

$$J[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9] \equiv \kappa_7\nu_{27} \mod E\pi_{29}^6 = \{2\bar{\rho}', \nu_7\kappa_{10}, \phi_7\}.$$

We set

$$J[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9] = \kappa_7\nu_{27} + a\nu_7\kappa_{10} + 2b\bar{\rho}' + c\phi_7,$$

where $a \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 7\}$, $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $c \in \{0, 1\}$. We know $[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]_{10} = 0$ and $\kappa_7\nu = \nu_\kappa$. This implies $a = 7$, $b = 0$ and $c = 0$. Hence, we obtain this lemma. □

Since $R_{23}^8 \cong R_{23}^7 \oplus \pi_{23}^7$ and $\pi_{23}^7 = \{\sigma'_{14}, E\zeta', \eta_7\zeta_8, \mu_7\sigma_{16}\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ [36], we have the following.

**Proposition 3.8** $R_{23}^8 = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\zeta_5\sigma_{16}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4'\mu_{14}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_4(E\zeta')]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_7\eta_7\zeta_8]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_6\eta]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_6\mu_{14}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_7\zeta_{16}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_7\mu_7\sigma_{16}]\}$.

By [36] (7.25), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \nu_6\mu_9 = 8P(\sigma_{13}).$$

We consider $J : R_{14}^9 \rightarrow \pi_{23}^9$. We know $R_{14}^9 = \{[\nu_6 + \epsilon_6], [\nu_6]\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ [14, Table 2] and $\pi_{23}^9 = \{\sigma_{23}^9, \kappa_9\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$ [36, Theorem 10.3]. So, $J$ is a monomorphism. By (2.31) and (2.35), we have

$$J([\nu_7]_{\sigma'}) = 2\sigma_{23}^9 = J([\nu_6 + \epsilon_6]_{\sigma}).$$
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Hence, we have
\[ [t_7]_9 \sigma' = [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_9. \]
This implies
\[ [t_7]_8 \sigma' - [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_8 \in \Delta \pi_{15}^8. \]
We know \( \pi_{15}^8 = \{ \sigma_8, E \sigma' \} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \) Proposition 5.15. So, by \[15\] Lemma 1.2(ii), we have
\[ \Delta \sigma_8 \equiv [t_7] \sigma' - [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_8 \mod \Delta(E \sigma'). \]
We have \( \Delta(E \sigma') = 2[t_7] \sigma' - [\eta_6]_8 \sigma'. \) So, by (3.14), we obtain
\[ \Delta \sigma_8 \equiv x[t_7] \sigma' + y[\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_8 \mod [\nu_5]_7 \nu_8^2 + [\eta_5 \varepsilon_6]_7 \text{ for odd } x, y. \]
By \[15\] Lemma 2.3(ii), we also know
\[ [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_6 \nu_1 \equiv [\eta_6]_6 (\bar{v}_7 + \varepsilon_7) + [\nu_5]_7 \sigma_8 \mod [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_1]_7. \]
By \[32\] Proposition 2.13(8), we know
\[ \bar{v}_6 \mu_{14} = 0 \]
Next we show the following.

**Lemma 3.9**

1. \( [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \bar{v}_{15} \equiv [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \varepsilon_{15} \equiv [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8 \mod [\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}]_6, [\nu_4 (E \zeta')]_6, [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_6. \)

2. \( [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6] \nu_1^3 \equiv [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6] \eta_1 \varepsilon_{15} \equiv [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8 \mod [\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}]_7, [\nu_4 (E \zeta')]_7, [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7. \)

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3(4), \( \nu_5 \sigma_8 \bar{v}_{15} - [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8 \in i_6^* R_{23}^5 \) and \( [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \varepsilon_{15} - [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8 \in i_6^* R_{23}^5. \) This leads to (1).

By relations \( \nu_1^3 = \eta_1 \bar{v}_{15} (2.56) \) and (3.21), we have
\[ [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6] \nu_1^3 = [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6] \eta_1 \varepsilon_{15} \equiv [\eta_6] (\bar{v}_7 + \varepsilon_7) \bar{v}_{15} + [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \bar{v}_{15} \mod [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_7 \bar{v}_{15}. \]
By Lemma 2.3(2)-(3), (3.14), (3.15) and Lemma 3.3(1), we have
\[ [\nu_5] (\bar{v}_7 + \varepsilon_7) \bar{v}_{15} = [\eta_6] \varepsilon_8 \equiv [\eta_6] \sigma' \nu_1^3 = [\eta_5 \varepsilon_6] \varepsilon_8 = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_7 \bar{v}_{15} \]
\[ = [\nu_5 \varepsilon_6] \eta_1 \bar{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_7 \bar{v}_{15} = [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7. \]
So, by (1), we have
\[ [\bar{v}_6 + \varepsilon_6] \nu_1^3 \equiv [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8 \mod [\nu_4 \sigma' \mu_{14}]_7, [\nu_4 (E \zeta')]_7, [\nu_4 \eta \varepsilon_8]_7. \]

The rest is obtained by the parallel arguments. This completes the proof.

We show the following.

□
Lemma 3.10 \([\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}} \equiv [\eta_6]_{\mu_7, \sigma_{16}} + [\zeta_5]_{7, \sigma_{16}} \mod [\nu_5]_{7, \xi_8}, [\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_7, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]_7, [\nu_4\eta_7\xi_8]_7\).

Proof.
By (3.20) and (3.22), we have \((\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6)_{\mu_{14}} = \eta_6\mu_7, \sigma_{16}\). So, we have
\[\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}} \equiv [\eta_6]_{\mu_7, \sigma_{16}} \mod i_7^* R_{23}^6.\]

Hence, by (3.4), we obtain
\[\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}} \equiv [\eta_6]_{\mu_7, \sigma_{16}} \mod [\zeta_5]_{7, \sigma_{16}}, [\nu_5]_{7, \xi_8}, [\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_7, [\nu_4\eta_7\xi_8]_7, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]_7.\]

By (3.21), (2.48), (3.10) and (3.3), we have
\[\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_{\mu_{14}} \in \{[\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]_{\eta_{14}, 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}} + [\nu_5]_{7, \sigma_{15}} + [\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_{7, 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}} + 2\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}\}
\subset \{[\nu_6]_{(\bar{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7), 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}} + \{[\nu_5]_{7, \sigma_{15}} + [\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_{7, 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}} + \{\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_{7, 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}}\}
\supset \{\eta_6\}_{(E\zeta' + \mu_7, \sigma_{16}) + [\zeta_5]_{7, \sigma_{16}} + [\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_{7, 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}} + \{\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_{7, 8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}} + \{x \in \{0, 1\}\).\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

By (36) p. 77, we have
\[(3.23)\] \(\nu_5 = P(\bar{\nu}_{11}).\)

Now we show the following.

Proposition 3.11 \(R_{23}^0 = \mathbb{Z}_4\{P(E\theta + \nu_6\kappa_9)\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\epsilon_7]_{9, \mu_7, \sigma_{16}}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\zeta_5]_{9, \sigma_{16}}\},\) where \(2[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]_9 = [\nu_6]_{9, \xi_8}.\)

Proof. In the exact sequence (23)\(\_8\):
\[\pi_{24}^8 \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^0 \xrightarrow{i^*} R_{23}^0 \xrightarrow{\nu_*} \pi_{23}^8 \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{22}^8.\]
\(\Delta : \pi_{23}^8 \rightarrow R_{22}^8\) is a monomorphism and
\[\pi_{24}^8 = \{\sigma_8\nu_7\zeta_{15}, \sigma_8\eta_{15}\xi_{16}, \sigma_8\mu_{15}, (E\sigma')_{\mu_{15}}, E^2\zeta', \mu_{8\sigma_{17}}, \eta_{8\xi_9}\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^7.\]

By Lemma 3.6(3) and the relation \(\Delta t_8 = 2[t_7] - [\eta_6]_8 (2.23)\), we obtain the following:
\[\Delta((E\sigma')_{\mu_{15}}) = [\eta_6]_{8, \sigma'_{14}} \equiv [\nu_4\sigma'_{14}]_{8} \mod [\nu_4(E\zeta')]_8, [\nu_4\eta_7\xi_8]_8.\]
Thus we obtain the group $R\bar{\nu}_{15}$. By Lemma 3.10,

$$\Delta(E^2\zeta') + [\nu_6]sE\zeta' + [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s; \Delta(\mu_8\sigma_{17}) = [\eta_6]s\mu_7\sigma_{16};$$

$$\Delta(\eta_8\bar{\epsilon}_9) = [\eta_6]s\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8 = [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

By (3.20), we have

$$\Delta(\sigma_8\eta_{15}\epsilon_{16}) = [\iota_7]E\zeta' + [\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\eta_{14}\epsilon_{15} \mod [\eta_5\epsilon_6]_7\eta_{14}\epsilon_{15}.$$

So, by Lemmas 3.6(1) and 3.9(2),

$$\Delta(\sigma_8\eta_{15}\epsilon_{16}) = [\iota_7]E\zeta' + [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s + [\nu_5]\bar{\epsilon}_8 \mod [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]s, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s, [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

By the fact that $\sigma'\nu_{14}^3 = \eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8$ (Lemma 2.3(3)) and $\nu_{14}^3 = 0$ (3.23), we have

$$\Delta(\sigma_8\nu_{15}^3) = [\iota_7]\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8 + [\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\nu_{14}^3 \mod [\eta_5\epsilon_6]\nu_{14}^3.$$

By Lemma 3.9(2),

$$[\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\nu_{14}^3 = [\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\eta_{14}\epsilon_{15} \equiv [\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\eta_{14}\epsilon_{15} \mod [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]s, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s, [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

By Lemma 3.6(2), $[\eta_5\epsilon_6]\nu_{14}^3 = [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s$. Hence we obtain

$$\Delta(\sigma_8\nu_{15}^3) = [\iota_7]\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8 + [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s + [\nu_5]\bar{\epsilon}_8 \mod [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]s, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s, [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

Next, by (3.20), we have

$$\Delta(\sigma_8\mu_{15}) = [\iota_7]\sigma'\mu_{14} + [\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\mu_{14} \mod [\eta_5\epsilon_6]\mu_{14}.$$

By Lemma 3.10

$$[\bar{\nu}_6 + \epsilon_6]\mu_{14} = [\eta_6]s(\epsilon'\mu' + \mu_7\sigma_{16}) + [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} \mod [\nu_5]\bar{\epsilon}_8, [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]s, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s, [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

By Lemma 3.6(3),

$$[\eta_5\epsilon_6]\mu_{14} \equiv 0 \mod [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]s, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s, [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Delta(\sigma_8\mu_{15}) = [\iota_7]\sigma'\mu_{14} + [\zeta_5]\sigma_{16} + [\eta_6]s\mu_7\sigma_{16} \mod [\nu_5]\bar{\epsilon}_8, [\nu_4\sigma'\mu_{14}]s, [\nu_4(E\zeta')]s, [\nu_4\eta_7\bar{\epsilon}_8]s.$$

Thus we obtain the group $R_{23}^9$, completing the proof. □

In the exact sequence (23)g:

$$\pi_{24}^9 \Delta R_{23}^9 \stackrel{i_\ast}{\rightarrow} \pi_{23}^9 \stackrel{p_\ast}{\rightarrow} \pi_{23}^9 \Delta R_{22}^9.$$
we know
\[ \pi_{24}^9 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \rho' \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \sigma_9 \nu_6 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \sigma_9 \varepsilon_{16} \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \varepsilon_9 \} \].

By (2.52), Lemmas 2.3 (2) and 3.12 (2), we have
\[ \Delta(\sigma_9 \nu_6) = [\nu_7 \varepsilon_7] \nu_7 + \varepsilon_7 \nu_15 = [\nu_7 \eta_7 \varepsilon_8] = [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8, \]
\[ \Delta(\sigma_9 \varepsilon_{16}) = [\nu_7 \varepsilon_7] \varepsilon_{15} + \varepsilon_7 \varepsilon_{15} = [\nu_7 \eta_7 \varepsilon_8] = [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8. \]

That is,
\[ \Delta(\sigma_9 \nu_6) = \Delta(\sigma_9 \varepsilon_{16}) = [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8. \]

Summarizing the above results, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 3.12**

1. \[ [\eta_5 \varepsilon_6] \mu_{14} = [\eta_5 \varepsilon_6] \nu_{14} = [\eta_5 \varepsilon_6] \eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15} = [\eta_6] \mu_7 \sigma_{16} = [\nu_4] \sigma' \mu_{14} \equiv [\nu_4] \eta_7 \varepsilon_8 \equiv [\nu_4] (E \zeta'). \]
2. \[ [\nu_6 + \varepsilon_6] \eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15} = [\nu_5] \varepsilon_8 = [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \nu_15 = [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \varepsilon_{15} = [\nu_6 + \varepsilon_6] \eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15} = [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \varepsilon_{15} = \]
3. \[ [\nu_6 + \varepsilon_6] \eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15} = [\nu_5] \sigma_8 \varepsilon_{15} = \]

4. **Determination of** \( \pi_{23}(R_n : 2)(n \geq 10) \)

By [36] Lemma 10.7, we have
\[ \varepsilon_3 \sigma_{11} = 0, \quad \nu_6 \sigma_{14} = 0. \]

By [14] Proposition 4.1] and its proof, we know
\[ R_{16}^{10} = \{ \Delta \sigma_{10}, [\nu_7] \sigma_{10} \} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2. \]

We recall \( \pi_{23}^9 = \{ \sigma_9^2, \kappa_9 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \) [36] Theorem 10.3]. Since \( 2 \Delta \kappa_9 = 2 \Delta (\nu_9 \nu^2_7) = 2 \Delta \kappa_9 = 0 \) in \( R_{22}^9 \), there exists a lift \( [\bar{\nu}_9 \nu^2_7] \) of \( \nu_9 \nu^2_7 \).
By relations (2.52) and (4.1), we have $\Delta(\sigma^2_9) = [\nu_7](\nu_7 + \varepsilon_7)\sigma_{15} = 0$. So, in $R_{23}^{10}$, there exist a lift $[\sigma^2_9]$ of $\sigma^2_9$. By (2.46), we have

$$p_{10,4}\{[\nu_7]_{10}, \nu_7 + \varepsilon_7, \sigma_{15}\} = -\{p_{10}, [\nu_7]_{10}, \nu_7 + \varepsilon_7\} \circ \sigma_{16}$$

$$\supset -\{p_{10}, i_{10}, \Delta t_9\} \circ \sigma^2_9 \equiv \sigma^2_9 \mod 2\sigma^2_9.$$  

So we define

$$[\sigma^2_9] \in \{[\nu_7]_{10}, \nu_7 + \varepsilon_7, \sigma_{15}\}.$$  

Since $p_{10,\Delta(\sigma^2_{10})} = 2\sigma^2_9$ by (2.4), we have

$$\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) - 2[\sigma^2_9] \in i_{10,*}R_{23}^0 = \{[P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_0]_{10}, [\nu_7]_{10}\mu_7\sigma_{16}\}.$$  

We show the following.

**Lemma 4.1** $8\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) = 16[\sigma^2_{10}] = [\nu_7]_{10}\mu_7\sigma_{16} \neq 0$ and $[\zeta]_{10}\sigma_{16} = 0$.

**Proof.** By Lemmas (2.4) (3) and (3.12) (4), we have

$$16[\sigma^2_9] = -[\nu_7]_{10} \circ \{\nu_7 + \varepsilon_7, \sigma_{15}, 16\nu_{22}\} \ni [\nu_7]_{10}\mu_7\sigma_{16} + [\nu_7]_{10}E\zeta' = [\nu_7]_{10}\mu_7\sigma_{16}$$

$$\mod [\nu_7]_{10}(\nu_7 + \varepsilon_7) \circ \pi_{23}^{15} + 16[\nu_7]_{10}\pi_{23}^{15} = 0.$$  

We will examine the image of a map $J\Delta : \pi_{24}^{10} \to \pi_{32}^{10}$, where $\pi_{24}^{10} = \{\rho', \sigma_9\nu_{16}, \sigma_9\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_9\}$.

By Lemma 10.9 and 2\nu_{19} = 0, we have $J\Delta(\rho') = [\nu_9, \rho'] = [\nu_9, t_9] \circ E^8\rho' = 0.$

By the relations (3.21) and (3.17), we have $J\Delta(\sigma_9\nu_{16}) = J\Delta(\sigma_9\varepsilon_{16}) = J([\nu_5]_{9}\varepsilon_{8}) = 0$. By (2.25) and Lemma 3.12 (2), we have

$$\Delta\varepsilon_{9} = [\nu_5]_{9}\varepsilon_{8} + [\nu_7]\eta_{7}\varepsilon_{8} = 2[\nu_5]_{9}\varepsilon_{8} = 0.$$  

Hence we have $J\Delta\pi_{24}^{10} = 0$. By (2.31) and [22, Theorem 1.1(a)], we have

$$J([\nu_7]_{9}\mu_7\sigma_{16}) = \sigma_9\mu_{16}\sigma_{25} = \sigma^2_9\mu_{23} \neq 0.$$  

This implies $[\nu_7]_9\mu_7\sigma_{16} \notin \Delta\pi_{24}^{10}.$

By (4.3), Proposition (3.11) and Lemma (3.12) (4), we have $2(\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) - 2[\sigma^2_9]) = 0$. This leads to the first assertion.

By (14) (4.7), p. 28, we know

$$[\zeta]_{10} \equiv [\nu_7]_{10}\mu_7 + 8\Delta\sigma_{10} \mod [\nu_7]_{10}\nu^2.$$  
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So, we have \( [\zeta_3]_{10} \sigma_{16} = [\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16} + 8 \Delta(\sigma_{10}^2) \). This and the first lead to the second assertion \( [\zeta_3]_{10} \sigma_{16} = 0 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Next, we show

\[
(4.7) \quad [8 \sigma_8]_{10} \eta_{15} \equiv 8 \Delta(\sigma_{10}) \mod [\nu_7]_{10} \nu_3^2.
\]

**Proof.** By the relation \( HJ[8 \sigma_8] = 8 \sigma_{17} = H(\rho') \) from \[36\] (10.12), we have \( J[8 \sigma_8] \equiv \rho' \mod E \pi_{23}^8 \). We know \( E \pi_{23}^8 = \{ E^2 \rho'', \sigma_9 \bar{\nu}_{16}, \sigma_9 \eps_{16}, \bar{\eps}_9 \} \) and \( E^2 \rho'' = 2 \rho' \) \[36\] p. 107]. Hence, we have

\[
J[8 \sigma_8] \equiv \rho' \mod 2 \rho', \sigma_9 \bar{\nu}_{16}, \sigma_9 \eps_{16}, \bar{\eps}_9.
\]

By composing \( \eta_{24} \) to this relation on the right and using Lemma 2.3 (3), we have

\[
J([8 \sigma_8] \eta_{15}) \equiv \rho' \eta_{24} \mod \sigma_9 \bar{\nu}_{16} \eta_{24}, \sigma_9 \eps_{16} \eta_{24}.
\]

By (2.59), \( \sigma_{10} \nu_{17}^2 = \sigma_{10} \eta_{17} \eps_{18} = 0 \) and \( E(\rho' \eta_{24}) = 8[\nu_{10}, \sigma_{10}] \) \[32\] Proposition 2.8(5), (6)], we have

\[
J([8 \sigma_8]_{10} \eta_{15}) = E(\rho' \eta_{24}) = 8P(\sigma_{21}) = J(8 \Delta(\sigma_{10})).
\]

We know \( \pi_{26}^0 = \{ P(\sigma_{21}), \sigma_{10} \mu_{17} \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) \[36\] Theorem 12.16]. So, by (4.2) and (2.31), \( J : R_{16}^{10} \rightarrow \pi_{26}^0 \) is a split epimorphism and Ker \( J = \{ [\nu_7]_{10} \nu_3^2 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

This implies the desired relation. \( \square \)

By using \[27\] Lemma 5.1 and (2.31), we have

\[
J[\sigma_{10}^2] \subset J([\nu_7]_{10}, \bar{\nu}_7 + \eps_7, \sigma_{15}) \subset \{ J[\nu_7]_{10}, \bar{\nu}_7 + \eps_7, \sigma_{25} \} = \{ \sigma_{10}, \bar{\nu}_7 + \eps_7, \sigma_{25} \}.
\]

By \[22\] (4.27)] and its proof, \( \psi_{10} \) is taken as

\[
\psi_{10} \in \{ \sigma_{10}, \bar{\nu}_7 + \eps_7, \sigma_{25} \}.
\]

So we can set

\[
(4.8) \quad J[\sigma_{10}^2] = \psi_{10}.
\]

By \[11\] Theorem 0.1(ii)-(iii), pp. 15-16], we have

\[
(4.9) \quad R_{21}^0 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \{ [\nu_7]_{10} \kappa_7 \}, \quad R_{21}^{10} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [\nu_7]_{10} \kappa_7 \} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{21}^{11} = \{ [\nu_7]_{11} \kappa_7, [\nu_{10}^2] \mu_{12} \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2.
\]

Since \( \bar{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2 = [\nu_7, \nu_9^2] \) in \[36\] p. 102] and \( \nu_{17}^2 = \{ \eta_{17}, \nu_{18}, \eta_{21} \} \) \[36\] Lemma 5.12, its proof], we have \( \bar{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2 \in [\nu_7, \nu_9] \circ \{ \eta_{17}, \nu_{18}, \eta_{21} \}. \) By (4.9), we have
\([\iota_9, \eta_9] \circ \nu_{18} \in R_{23}^{10} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{[\iota_7]_{10} \kappa_7 \}. \) Since \( J([\iota_9, \eta_9]) \circ \nu_{18} = \zeta_{10} \sigma_{19} \nu_{26} = 0 \) [15, p. 343] and \( J([\iota_7]_{10} \kappa_7) = \sigma_{10} \kappa_{17} \neq 0 \) [20, Theorem A], we obtain \([\iota_9, \eta_9] \circ \nu_{18} = 0. \) Hence, the Toda bracket \{[[\iota_9, \eta_9], \nu_{18}, \eta_{21}]_1 \} is well-defined. We set

\begin{equation}
(4.10) \quad [\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2] \in \{[[\iota_9, \eta_9], \nu_{18}, \eta_{21}]_1. \]
\end{equation}

By [32, Proposition 2.4(2)] and [36, (10.7)], we also know \( \nu_9 \zeta_9 = \zeta_6 \nu_{17} = 2(\sigma' \sigma_{13}). \) So, by (2.16), we have \( \nu_7 \zeta_{18} = 4(\sigma' \sigma_{14}), \nu_9 \zeta_{12} = 8 \sigma_{5}^2. \) Hence, by the fact that \( \eta(\sigma_{14}^2) = 8 \) [36, Theorem 10.3], we have

\begin{equation}
(4.11) \quad \nu_7 \zeta_{18} = \zeta_7 \nu_{18} = 4(\sigma' \sigma_{14}), \nu_9 \zeta_{12} = 8 \sigma_{5}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{14} \zeta_{17} = 0. \]
\end{equation}

We show

**Lemma 4.2** \( \{\zeta_{14}, \nu_{25}, \eta_{28}\}_1 \equiv 4 \omega_{21} \mod \sigma_{14} \mu_{21} \) and
\( \{\zeta_{n+14}, \nu_{n+25}, \eta_{n+28}\}_1 \equiv 0 \mod \sigma_{n+14} \mu_{n+21} \) for \( n \geq 1. \)

**Proof.** Since \( \zeta_{13} \nu_{24} = 8 \sigma_{13}^2 = P(\eta_{27}) \) (\( (4.11), \quad 36, \quad (10.10) \)), we obtain \( H(\zeta_{14}, \nu_{25}, \eta_{28})_1 = -P^{-1}(8 \sigma_{13}^2) \circ \eta_{29} = \{\eta_{29}\} = \{4 \nu_{27}\}. \) Together with the fact \( H(\omega_{14}) = \nu_{27} \) and [36, Proposition 2.6], it implies that \( \{\zeta_{14}, \nu_{25}, \eta_{28}\}_1 \equiv 4 \omega_{21} \mod E_{29}^{13} = \{\sigma_{14} \mu_{21}\}. \) Since \( 4 \omega_{15} = 0 \) from [36, Theorem 12.16], we have the second relation. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Now we show the following.

**Proposition 4.3** \( R_{23}^{10} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{\sigma_9^2\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{[P(E \theta) + \nu_9 \kappa_9]_{10}\} \) with relations \( \Delta' \equiv [\zeta_5]_9 \sigma_{16} \mod [\nu_5]_9 \varepsilon_8 \) and \( 16[\sigma_9^2] = 8 \Delta(\sigma_{10}) = [\iota_7]_{10} \kappa_7 \sigma_{16}. \)

**Proof.** The order of \( [\sigma_9^2] \) and the last relation follow from the first relation of Lemma 4.1. We know \( 2[P(E \theta) + \nu_9 \kappa_9]_{10} = [\nu_5]_{10} \varepsilon_8 = 0 \) by Lemma 5.12.

By the second of Lemma 4.1, we know \( [\zeta_5]_{10} \sigma_{16} = 0. \) So, we have \( [\zeta_5]_9 \sigma_{16} \in \Delta \pi_{24}^9. \) By the fact that \( \pi_{24}^9 = \{\rho', \sigma_9 \nu_{16}, \sigma_9 \varepsilon_{16}, \varepsilon_9\}, \) [3.22] and \( \Delta \varepsilon_9 = 0, \) we have \( \Delta \pi_{24}^9 = \{\Delta \rho', [\nu_5]_9 \varepsilon_8\}. \) This implies the first relation.

By (4.10), we have

\[ 2[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2] \in \{[[\iota_9, \eta_9], \nu_{18}, \eta_{21}] \circ 2 \nu_{23} = -[[\iota_9, \eta_9]] \circ \nu_{18}, \eta_{21}, 2 \nu_{22} \}
\]

\( \subset [[\iota_9, \eta_9]] \circ \pi_{23}^{18} = 0. \)
So the order of $[\breve{\nu}_9 \nu^2_{17}]$ is 2. This implies the group $R^{10}_{23}$. This completes the proof. □

By [22, p. 22], we know

\begin{equation}
(4.12) 
P(\rho_{19}) = \sigma^2_9 \mu_{23}.
\end{equation}

Next we show the following.

**Proposition 4.4** $\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) = 2x[\sigma^2_9]$ for odd $x$, $\Delta \kappa_{10} \equiv [\breve{\nu}_9 \nu^2_{17}] + [P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10} \mod 16[\sigma^2_9]$ and $R^{11}_{23} = \mathbb{Z}_{2}\{[P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{11}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}\{[\sigma^2_9]_{11}\}$.

**Proof.** In the exact sequence (23)$_{10}$:

\[ \pi^{10}_{24} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{10}_{23} \overset{i^*}{\xrightarrow{\pi^{10}_{23}}} R^{11}_{23} \overset{P^*}{\xrightarrow{\pi^{10}_{23}}} \Delta : R^{10}_{22} \xrightarrow{\pi^{10}_{22}}. \]

$\Delta : \pi^{10}_{23} \rightarrow R^{10}_{22}$ is a monomorphism and $\pi^{10}_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_{16}\{\sigma^2_{10}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}\{\kappa_{10}\}$.

We recall $\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) - 2[\sigma^2_9] \in i_{10}, R^{10}_{23} = \{[P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10}, [\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16}\}$ (4.4). We know $J \Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) = [\nu_{10}, \sigma^2_{10}] = 2\psi_{10} (\nu_{10}, \sigma^2_{10})$ (4.27). By Lemma 3.7 and (22), (4.16), we know

\begin{equation}
(4.13) 
J[P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10} = \breve{\kappa}_{10} \nu_{30} - \nu_{10} \breve{\kappa}_{13} = P(\kappa_{21}) = J \Delta \kappa_{10}.
\end{equation}

Hence we take $\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) \equiv 2[\sigma^2_9] \mod [\nu_{10}]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16} = 16[\sigma^2_9]$ (Lemma 4.1). This leads to the first relation.

Since $\pi_{10}, \Delta \kappa_{10} = 2\nu_9 = \breve{\nu}_9 \nu^2_{17}$ by (2.4), we have

\begin{equation}
(4.14) 
\Delta \kappa_{10} - [\breve{\nu}_9 \nu^2_{17}] \in i_{10}, R^{10}_{23} = \{[P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10}, [\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16}\}. 
\end{equation}

By relations (4.10), $J[[\nu_{10}, \eta_9]] = \pm \sigma_{10} \zeta_{17}$ [15, p. 343] and $\zeta_{17} \nu_{28} = \nu_{17} \zeta_{20} = 0$ from (4.11), we have

\[ J[\nu^2_{17}] \in J\{[\nu_{10}, \eta_9], \nu_{18}, \nu_{21}\} \subset J[[\nu_{10}, \eta_9]], \nu_{28}, \eta_{31}\} \]
\[ = \{\pm \sigma_{10} \zeta_{17}, \nu_{28}, \eta_{31}\} \supset \pm \sigma_{10} \circ \{\zeta_{17}, \nu_{28}, \eta_{31}\}. \]

By Lemma 4.2 we have

\[ \sigma_{10} \circ \{\zeta_{17}, \nu_{28}, \eta_{31}\} \equiv 0 \mod \sigma^2_{10} \mu_{24} = 0. \]

Hence, by the fact that $\pi^{28}_{33} = 0$ [36, Proposition 5.9] and $\pi^{10}_{32} = \{\sigma_{10} \zeta_{17}, \nu_{18} \kappa_{18}, \nu_{10} \sigma_{13}\}$ [20, Theorem B], we have

\[ J[\nu^2_{17}] \equiv 0 \mod \sigma_{10} \zeta_{17} \circ \pi^{28}_{33} + \pi^{10}_{32} \circ \eta_{32} = \{\sigma_{10} \zeta_{17} \eta_{32}, \nu_{10} \sigma_{13} \eta_{32}\}. \]
We know $\sigma_{10} \rho_{17} \eta_{32} = \sigma_{10} \mu_{24} = 0$, $\varepsilon_{10} \kappa_{18} \eta_{32} = 4 \nu_{10} \kappa_{13}$ by (3.14) and $\nu_{10} \sigma_{13} \eta_{32} = \nu_{10} \eta_{13} \sigma_{14} = 0$ by (22, (2.7)). Since $4 \nu_{10} \kappa_{13}$ is not $J$-image, we have $J[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2] = 0$. Hence, applying $J$ to (4.14), we have

$$J \Delta_\kappa_{10} \equiv 0 \mod J[\{P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9\}]_{10}. J([\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16})$$

Furthermore, by (4.13), $J([\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16}) = \sigma_{10}^2 \mu_{24} = 0$ from (4.5), we have

$$\Delta_\kappa_{10} \equiv [\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2] + [P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{10} \mod [\nu_7]_{10} \mu_7 \sigma_{16}.$$

Thus, by Proposition 4.3, we obtain the second relation and the group $R_{23}^1$. This completes the proof.

We show the following.

**Lemma 4.5** $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}] \nu_{18} = 0$ and $[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2]_{11} = [P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{11} = [[\eta_{11}, \nu_{10}]] \eta_{22}$.

**Proof.** Since $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11} \in R_{18}^1 = Z_{8}[\{\varepsilon_{10}\}]$. Theorem 2(iii), we have $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11} = 2y[\varepsilon_{10}]$ for $y \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Assume that $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}] \nu_{18} \neq 0$. Then, by (4.9), we have $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}] \nu_{18} = [\nu_7]_{11} \kappa_7$. So, by the group structure of $R_{21}^1$, we have $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11} \nu_{18} = [\nu_7]_{11} \kappa_7 \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11} \nu_{18} = 2y[\varepsilon_{10}] \nu_{18} \in 2R_{21}^1 = 0$. This implies a contradiction and hence we obtain $[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}] \nu_{18} = 0$.

By Proposition 4.4, we have $[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2]_{11} = [P(E\theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9]_{11}$. By the relation $\Delta[\eta_{10}, \nu_{10}] = [[\eta_9, \eta_{10}] \eta_{17}] + 2[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]$ [15, p. 343], we have

$$[[\eta_9, \eta_{10}]_{11} = -2[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11}.$$

So, by the relation (4.10) and the fact that $2R_{23}^1 = 0$ (Proposition 4.4), we see that

$$[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2]_{11} \in \{-2[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11}, \nu_{18}, \eta_{21}\} \cap -2[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11}, \nu_{18}, \eta_{21} = 0$$

with the indeterminacy $-2[\eta_9 \varepsilon_{10}]_{11} \circ \pi_{23}^{18} + R_{22}^{11} \circ \eta_{22} = R_{22}^{11} \circ \eta_{22}$. We recall that $R_{22}^{11} = \{[[\nu_{10}, \nu_{10}], [\sigma_8]_{11}\sigma_{15}\}$. [11, Theorem 0.2]. Then, by the relation $[\sigma_8]_{11}\sigma_{15}\eta_{22} = 0$ [4.14], we obtain $R_{22}^{11} \circ \eta_{22} = \{[[\nu_{10}, \nu_{10}]\eta_{22}\}. This implies $[\tilde{\nu}_9 \nu_{17}^2]_{11} = [[\nu_{10}, \nu_{10}]\eta_{22}$ and completes the proof.

By relations (4.13) and $\psi_{n} \neq 0$ for $10 \leq n \leq 21$ [22, Theorem 1.1(a)], we have

$$\sharp_0^2 \sigma_{n}^2 = 2 (11 \leq n \leq 21).$$

By the relation $p_{12}, \Delta \theta = \theta'$ by [11, Lemma 6.2(ii)], we take $[\theta'] = \Delta \theta \in R_{23}^{12}$. We show the following.
Proposition 4.6 $R_{23}^{12} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\theta']\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\bar{\nu}_9\nu_7^2]_{12}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_9^2]_{12}\}$, where $[\theta'] = \Delta \theta$.

Proof. In the exact sequence (23)$_{11}$:

$$
\pi_{11}^{12} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{11} \xrightarrow{i_*} R_{23}^{12} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{23}^{11} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{22}^{11},
$$

we know $\pi_{23}^{11} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\theta']\}$ and $\pi_{24}^{11} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\theta'\eta_{23}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{\sigma_{11}\nu_{18}\}$ \[36\] Theorems 7.6 and 7.7. We recall the relation $\eta_{11}\theta = \sigma_{11}\nu_{18} + \theta'\eta_{23}$ by \[32\] Proposition 2.2(8)]. So, we can take $\pi_{23}^{11} = \{\theta'\eta_{23}, \eta_{11}\theta\}$. We have $2[\theta'] = \Delta(2\theta) = 0$ by \[36\] Lemma 7.5]. We have $\Delta(\theta'\eta_{23}) = \Delta\theta' \circ \eta_{22} = 0$ and $\Delta(\eta_{11}\theta) = 0$ by (2.2) and (2.37). So Proposition 4.4 implies the conclusion. \qed

We know Mahowald’s result $\#\Delta([\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]) = 11! / 8$ \[11\] Theorem 1.3. In the 2-primary components, we have $\#\Delta([\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]) = 32$. We show the following.

Proposition 4.7 $R_{23}^{13} = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_9^2]_{13}\}$, where

$$
\Delta(E\theta') = [\bar{\nu}_9\nu_7^2]_{12} = [P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]_{12} = [[\iota_{10}, \nu_{10}]]_{12}\eta_{22}.
$$

Proof. In the exact sequence (23)$_{12}$:

$$
\pi_{24}^{12} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{12} \xrightarrow{i_*} R_{23}^{13} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{23}^{12} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{22}^{12},
$$

Ker $\{\Delta : \pi_{23}^{12} \rightarrow R_{22}^{12}\} = \{32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]\}$. Notice that $\#P(\zeta_{25}) = 8$ \[20\] p. 320] implies $\#\Delta(\zeta_{12}) = 8$. We know $\pi_{23}^{12} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\theta']\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{E\theta'\}$ \[36\] Theorem 7.6]. $\Delta \theta = [\theta']$ by Proposition 4.6 and $J[\sigma_9^2]_{13} = \psi_{13}$ by \[13\]. By relations $E\theta' = [\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}] \circ \eta_{23}$ \[36\] (7.30)], \[11\] Lemma 6.3(ii)] and (4.7), we have

$$
\Delta(E\theta') = \Delta([\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]) \circ \eta_{22} \equiv [[\iota_{10}, \nu_{10}]]_{12}\eta_{22} \text{ mod } [8\sigma_8]_{12}\sigma_{15}\eta_{22} = 0.
$$

So, by Lemma 4.5 we obtain

$$
\Delta(E\theta') = [[\iota_{10}, \nu_{10}]]_{12}\eta_{22} = [P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_9]_{12} = [\bar{\nu}_9\nu_7^2]_{12}.
$$

This implies the group $R_{23}^{13}$, completing the proof. \qed

In the exact sequence (23)$_{13}$:

$$
\pi_{24}^{13} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{13} \xrightarrow{i_*} R_{23}^{14} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{23}^{13} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{22}^{13},
$$

$\Delta : \pi_{23}^{13} \rightarrow R_{22}^{13}$ is a monomorphism \[11\] Lemma 6.7], $\pi_{24}^{13} = \{[\zeta_{13}]\}$ \[36\] Theorem 7.4] and $\Delta \zeta_{13} = 0$ by Lemma 2.2. So we obtain the following.
Proposition 4.8 \( R_{23}^{14} = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{14}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_0^2]_{14}\} \).

By the facts \( R_{23}^n \cong \mathbb{Z} \) \([7]\) and \([12, \text{Table 1}]\), we have Table 1.

| \( R_{23}^{14} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) | \( R_{23}^{14} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) | \( R_{23}^{15} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \) |
| \( R_{23}^{18} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \) | \( R_{23}^{14} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \) | \( R_{23}^{18} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \) |

Table 1: \( R_{23}^n \) for \( 13 \leq n \leq 18 \)

On the other hand, we have Table 2 from \([17]\).

| \( R_{23}^{19} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) | \( R_{23}^{22} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) | \( R_{23}^{24} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) |
| \( R_{23}^{23} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \) | \( R_{23}^{23} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \) | \( R_{23}^{24} \) | \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) |

Table 2: \( R_{23}^n \) for \( 19 \leq n \leq 24 \)

By Tables 1 and 2, we have the group structure of \( R_{23}^n \) for \( n \geq 13 \). So, hereafter our main work is to research the generators and the relations in \( R_{23}^n \) for \( n \geq 13 \).

We define \([\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}] \in \{[\eta_{14}^2], 2\iota_{16}, \nu_{16}^2\}_1\) \(1\). By Lemma 2.5 and Example 2.6, \( \# [\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}] = 2 \). We show the following.

Proposition 4.9 \( R_{23}^{15} = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{15}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_0^2]_{15}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{14} \sigma_{15}]\} \).

Proof. In the exact sequence (23)_{14}:

\[ \pi_{14}^{14} \Delta \rightarrow R_{23}^{14} \xrightarrow{i_*} R_{23}^{15} \xrightarrow{p} \pi_{23}^{14} \Delta \rightarrow R_{22}^{14}, \]

we know \( \pi_{24}^{14} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{14} \mu_{15}]\} \) \([36, \text{Theorem 7.3}]\), \( \text{Ker} \{\Delta : R_{23}^{14} \rightarrow R_{22}^{14}\} = \{[\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}], \eta_{14}^2 \sigma_{16}\} \) and \( \Delta([\eta_{14} \mu_{15}] = \Delta \mu_{14} \circ \eta_{22} = 8[\eta_{14}^2 \sigma_{15}] \eta_{22} = 0 \) by \([11, \text{the proof of Lemma 6.8}]\). From the fact that \( \Delta(\nu_{14}) = \Delta(\varepsilon_{14}) \) \([11, \text{p.17}]\), we know that the element \([\eta_{14} \sigma_{15}] \in R_{22}^{15}\). By Example 2.6(1), we have \( 2[\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}] = 0 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We recall \([36, (6.1)]\):

\[ \varepsilon_{15} \in \{[\eta_{15}, 2\iota_{16}, \nu_{16}^2\}_1 = \{[\eta_{15}, 2\nu_{16}, \nu_{19}\}_1. \]

By the fact that \( \# [\eta_{15}] = 2 \) \([2.37]\), we can define

\[ [\varepsilon_{15}] \in \{[\eta_{15}, 2\iota_{16}, \nu_{16}^2\}_1. \]
Since \( R_{23}^{16} = 0 \) [17] p. 161, Table, we have \( R_{20}^{16} \circ \nu_{20}^2 = R_{17}^{16} \circ \nu_{17}^2 = 0 \). Hence, we have
\[
\{[\eta_{15}], 2\nu_{16}, \nu_{16}^2\}_1 = \{[\eta_{15}], 2\nu_{16}, \nu_{19}\}_1.
\]

We show the following.

**Proposition 4.10** \( R_{23}^{16} = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{16}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_{21}]_{16}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{14}\iota_{15}]_{16}\} \)
\[\oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}]_{16}\eta_{22}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{15}\sigma_{16}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\varepsilon_{15}]\}.\]

**Proof.** We have
\[
2[\varepsilon_{15}] \in -\{2R_{16}, [\eta_{15}], 2\iota_{16}\} \circ \nu_{17}^2 < R_{17}^{16} \circ \nu_{17}^2 = 0.
\]

We know \( \pi_{24}^{15} = \{\eta_{15}\varepsilon_{16}, \eta_{15}\nu_{16}, \mu_{15}\} \) by (2.36) and [36] Theorem 7.2]. By (2.2) and (2.37),
\[
\Delta(\eta_{15}\varepsilon_{16}) = 0 \text{ and } \Delta(\eta_{15}\nu_{16}) = 0.
\]

By the fact that \( R_{16}^{15} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \) [17] p. 161, Table, we have
\[
\Delta(\mu_{15}) \in \Delta\{\eta_{15}, 2\iota_{16}, 8\sigma_{16}\}_1 \subset \{0, 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{16}\} = R_{16}^{15} \circ 8\sigma_{16} = 0.
\]

That is, \( \Delta(\mu_{15}) = 0 \). So, by use of the exact sequence (23)_{15}, we have a split exact sequence:
\[
0 \rightarrow R_{23}^{15} \xrightarrow{i^*} R_{23}^{16} \xrightarrow{p^*} \pi_{23}^{15} \rightarrow 0.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We recall that \( J[\eta_{14}^2] \equiv \eta^r \mod \mu_{15}\sigma_{24} \) [11] Lemma 2.2(i)] and \( J[\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}] \equiv x\sigma^r \mod \omega_{15}\nu_{25}^2 \) for \( x \) odd [11] p. 26]. Since \( \eta_{14}^2\sigma_{16} = (\eta_{14}\sigma_{15})\eta_{22} \), we have \( [\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}]\eta_{22} \equiv [\eta_{14}^2]\sigma_{16} \mod i_{15}R_{23}^{14} \). So, we can set \( [\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}]\eta_{22} = [\eta_{14}^2]\sigma_{16} + y[\sigma_{25}^2]_{15} \) for \( y \in \{0, 1\} \). By Taking the \( J \)-image of this relation, we have \( \sigma^r\eta_{37} = \sigma^r\sigma_{31} + y\psi_{15} \).

By the relation \( \pm P(\sigma_{33}) \equiv 2\sigma_{16} - E\sigma^r \mod \rho_{16}\sigma_{31} \), we have \( (E\sigma^r)\eta_{38} \equiv P(\sigma_{33}\eta_{40}) \mod \rho_{16}\sigma_{31}\eta_{38} \). Since \( \rho_{16}\sigma_{31}\eta_{38} = \rho_{16}\theta_{31}\sigma_{32} = \mu_{16}\sigma_{25}^2 = 0 \), we obtain \( (E\sigma^r)\eta_{38} = P(\sigma_{33}\eta_{40}) \). On the other hand, we know \( P(\eta^r\sigma_{31} + y\psi_{16}) = P(\eta_{33}\sigma_{34}) + y\psi_{16} \) by [32] Lemma 2.10] and (4.12). This implies \( y = 0 \), and hence we obtain
\[
[\eta_{14}\sigma_{15}]\eta_{22} = [\eta_{14}^2]\sigma_{16} \text{ and } \sigma^r\eta_{37} = \eta^r\sigma_{31}.
\]

We show the following.
**Proposition 4.11** \(R_{23}' = \mathbb{Z}[[\ell_{12}, \ell_{12}]]_{17} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]]_{17} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{15}]]_{17}, \) where \(\Delta(\eta_{15} \sigma_{17}) = [\eta_{14} \sigma_{15}]_{16} [\nu_{16}]_{22} \) and \(\Delta(\varepsilon_{16}) = [\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}]_{16} \).

**Proof.** In the exact sequence \((23)\)_{16}:

\[
\pi_{24}^{16} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{16} \xrightarrow{i_2} R_{23}^{17} \xrightarrow{p_2} \pi_{23}^{16} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{22}^{16},
\]

the second \(\Delta\) is a monomorphism \[36, \text{the proof of Lemma 6.4}\] and \(\pi_{24}^{16} = \mathbb{Z}_2[[\eta_{16} \sigma_{17}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\varepsilon_{16}]]\). \[36, \text{Theorem 7.1, Lemma 6.4}\]. By \((4.15)\), we have \(\Delta(\eta_{16} \sigma_{17}) = [\eta_{14} \sigma_{15}]_{16} [\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}]_{16} [\nu_{16}]_{22}\). We have

\[
\Delta(\varepsilon_{16}) \in \Delta(\eta_{16}, 2 \ell_{17}, \nu_{17}^2)_{12} \subseteq \{-[\eta_{14}^2_{16}, 2 \ell_{16}, \nu_{16}^2]\}_{11} \supseteq [\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}]_{16}
\]

\[
\text{mod } [\eta_{14}^2_{16}] \circ E_{17}^{16} \subseteq [\eta_{14}^2]_{16} \circ \pi_{23}^{16} = 0.
\]

This implies \(\Delta(\varepsilon_{16}) = [\eta_{14} \varepsilon_{15}]_{16}\) and completes the proof. \(\square\)

Next we show

**Proposition 4.12** \(R_{23}' = \mathbb{Z}[[\ell_{12}, \ell_{12}]]_{18} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]]_{18} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\varepsilon_{15}]]_{18}, \) where \([\varepsilon_{15}]_{18} = [\bar{\nu}_{18}]_{18}\).

**Proof.** Since \(P(\nu_{35}^2) = \omega_{17} \nu_{33}^2 \neq 0\) \[20, \text{p. 323}\], \(\Delta : \pi_{33}^{17} \rightarrow R_{22}^{17}\) is a monomorphism. So, we have \(i_{18} \circ R_{23}^{17} = R_{23}^{18}\). By relations \(\Delta(\nu_{17}) = [\eta_{15}]_{17}\) \[2,39\] and \([\eta_{15}] \sigma_{16} = [\bar{\nu}_{15}] + [\varepsilon_{15}]\), we obtain \(\Delta(\sigma_{17}) = [\eta_{15}]_{17} \sigma_{16} = [\bar{\nu}_{15}]_{17} + [\varepsilon_{15}]_{17}\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Next we show

**Proposition 4.13** \(R_{23}^{19} = \mathbb{Z}[[\ell_{12}, \ell_{12}]]_{19} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[[\sigma_9^2]]_{19}, \) where \(\Delta(\nu_{18}) = [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18}\).

**Proof.** It suffices to show the relation. By the relation \([\eta_{15}] = \Delta(\nu_{17})\) \[2,39\], Lemma \[2.1\] and the fact that \(R_{17}^{17} \circ \nu_{17} = R_{20}^{17} = 0\) \[17, \text{p. 161, Table}\], we have

\[
[\varepsilon_{15}]_{18} = i_{18, \Delta(\nu_{17}), 2 \ell_{16}, \nu_{16}^2} \supseteq \{i_{18, \Delta(\nu_{17}), 2 \ell_{16}, \nu_{16}^2} \circ \nu_{17}^2\} \\
\supseteq \Delta(\nu_{18}) = [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18} \mod i_{18, \Delta(\nu_{17}), 2 \ell_{16}, \nu_{16}^2} = 0.
\]

This implies \(\Delta(\nu_{18}) = [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18}\). \(\square\)

Since \(\pi_{23-i}^{19} = 0\) for \(i = 0\) and \(1\), we have \(R_{23}^{19} \cong R_{23}^{20}\). Since \(\pi_{24}^{20} = 0\) and \(\pi_{24}^{21} = 8\) \[20, \text{Lemma 8.3, Theorem B}\], we have \(R_{23}^{20} \cong R_{23}^{21}\).
We consider the exact sequence (22)$_{23}$:

\[ \pi_{24}^{21} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{21} \xrightarrow{i_*} R_{23}^{22} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{23}^{21} \]

By [17, Table], \( R_{23}^{22} \cong \mathbb{Z} \). We know \( P(\eta_{43}) = 4\sigma_{21}^* \neq 0 \) [20, Lemma 8.3, Theorem B], we get that \( i_{22*} \) is a split epimorphism. Hence, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 4.14** \( \Delta(\nu_{21}) = [\sigma_{21}^2]_{21} \) and \( R_{23}^{22} = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{22}\} \).

Obviously we obtain

\[ R_{23}^n = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_n\} (n = 23, n \geq 25) \]

and

\[ R_{23}^{24} = \mathbb{Z}\{[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{22}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\{\Delta_{24}\} \]

We recall the relation \( P(\nu_{43}) = \sigma_{21}\omega_{28} + \omega_{21}\sigma_{37} \) [30, III-Proposition 2.1(3)].

So, by the fact that \( P(\nu_{43}) = \psi_{21} \) and that \( E^7 : \pi_{37}^{14} \to \pi_{44}^{21} \) is isomorphic onto [22, Theorem 1.1(a)], we obtain \( \omega_{14}\sigma_{30} + \sigma_{14}\omega_{21} = \psi_{14} \).

Finally we can generalize of the equality \( \Delta(\nu_{18}^2) = [\varepsilon_{15}]_{18} \). We define

\[ [\varepsilon_{8n-1}] \in \{[[\eta_{8n-1}], 2t_{8n}, \nu_{8n}^2]_1 \} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \]

Then, by the quite parallel argument to Proposition 4.15, we obtain

\[ \Delta(\nu_{8n+2}^2) = [\varepsilon_{8n-1}]_{8n+2} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \]

This implies the relation \( P(\nu_{16n+5}^2) = E^2 J[\varepsilon_{8n-1}] \) and it is regarded as an example of [36, Proposition 11.11(ii)].

By [11] and [7], we have \( J[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]]_{\infty} = \bar{\rho} \). So, by [22, Theorem 1.1(b)], we have

\[ J[32[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}]] \equiv \bar{\rho}_{13} \ mod \ \psi_{13}. \] (4.16)

5 **Determination of** \( R_{24}^n \ (7 \leq n \leq 9) \)

By the same argument as the proof of [30, I-Proposition 3.4(3)], we can take

\[ \sigma_6 \in \{\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6, \sigma_{14}, \nu_{21}\}_1 \ mod \ 2\sigma_6. \] (5.1)
We need the following [14, Table 2]:

\[ R_7^6 = \mathbb{Z}\{[\eta_5^2]\}, \quad R_{10}^5 = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\nu_4^2]\} \quad \text{and} \quad i_6 \ast R_{10}^5 = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\nu_4^2]_6\}. \]

We recall the relations \( J[\eta_5^2] = \pm \sigma'' \) [11, Lemma 2.1(i)] and \( J[\nu_4^2] = \nu_5 \sigma_8 \) [15, Lemma 1.1(ii)]. By [32, Proposition 2.2(1)], we know \( \sigma'' \nu_{13} = \pm 2 \nu_6 \sigma_9 \). So we obtain

\[ (5.2) \quad [\eta_5^2] \nu_7 = \pm 2[\nu_4^2]_6. \]

By the fact that \( [\nu_4^2]_6 \sigma_{10}^2 \in i_6 R_{24}^5 \) and \( R_{24}^5 \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \), we have

\[ (5.3) \quad 2[\nu_4^2]_6 \sigma_{10}^2 = 0. \]

By [32, Proposition 2.3(2)], we have

\[ (5.4) \quad \kappa_7 \nu_{21} = \nu_7 \kappa_{10}. \]

We show the following.

**Proposition 5.1** \( R_{24}^5 = \{ [\bar{\zeta}_5]_7, [\nu_5]_7 \mu_8 \sigma_{17}, [\nu_4 \sigma' \eta_{14}]_7 \mu_{15}, [\nu_4^2]_7 \kappa_{10}, [P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9] \eta_{23}, [\bar{\eta}_6] \bar{\nu}_7, [\bar{\eta}_6] \nu_7 \mu_8 \sigma_{17} \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^7 \), where \( \Delta \bar{\zeta}_6 = 2[\bar{\zeta}_5] \).

**Proof.** In the exact sequence \((24)_6^5: \)

\[ \pi_{25}^6 \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{24}^6 \xrightarrow{\ast} i_6 R_{24}^7 \xrightarrow{\nu} \pi_{24}^6 \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^6, \]

We know \( \text{Ker} \{ \Delta : \pi_{24}^6 \rightarrow R_{23}^6 \} = \{ (P(E \theta) + \nu_6 \kappa_9) \eta_{23}, \bar{\eta}_6 \bar{\nu}_7, \eta_6^2 \mu_8 \sigma_{17} \} \) and \( \pi_{25}^6 = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\bar{\zeta}_6]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\sigma_6]\} \) [36, Theorem 12.9]. By using the relation \( (2.7) \), Lemma \( 2.2 \) and \( (3.7) \), we have

\[ \Delta \bar{\zeta}_6 \in \Delta\{\zeta_6, S_{17}, 2 \sigma_{17}\}_2 \subset \{2[\bar{\zeta}_5], 8 \bar{\zeta}_{16}, 2 \sigma_{16}\}_1 \]

\[ \equiv 2[\bar{\zeta}_5] \mod 2[\zeta_5] \circ \pi_{24}^6 + R_{17}^6 \circ 2 \sigma_{17}. \]

As \( \pi_{24}^6 \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \) [36] and \( R_{17}^6 = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\nu_4^2]_6 \sigma_{10}\} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \) [15], the indeterminacy is equal to \( \{2[\nu_4^2]_6 \sigma_{10}\} = 0 \) \((3.3)\). Hence the indeterminacy is trivial. This implies \( \Delta \bar{\zeta}_6 = 2[\bar{\zeta}_5] \).

We show \( \Delta \bar{\sigma}_6 = 0 \). By \( (5.1) \), it suffices to prove \( \Delta\{\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6, \sigma_{14}, \nu_{21}\}_1 = 0 \).

Since \( \Delta(\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6) = 0 \), we have

\[ \Delta\{\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6, \sigma_{14}, \nu_{21}\}_1 \subset \{0, \sigma_{13}, \nu_{20}\} = R_{21}^6 \circ \nu_{21}. \]
By Theorem 0.1(i), we know $R_{21}^{6} = \{[\nu_{4}\sigma',\sigma_{21}]_6, [\eta_8]_{\kappa_7}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. We have $[\nu_{4}\sigma',\sigma_{21}]_6, \nu_{21} \in i_5^{*}, R_{24}^{4} = 0$ (3.5). This implies $[\nu_{4}\sigma',\sigma_{21}]_6, \nu_{21} = 0$. By (3.2) and the relation $\kappa_7, \nu_{21} = \nu_{7}, \kappa_{10}$ (6.4), we have $[\eta_8^2]_{\kappa_7}, \nu_{21} = [\eta_8^2]_{\nu_{7}}, \kappa_{10} = 2[\nu_4^2], \kappa_{10} = 0$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2 we obtain the group structure of $R_{21}^{7}$. 

Since $[\nu_{4}\sigma',\mu_{14}]_7, \eta_{23} = [\nu_{4}\sigma',\eta_{14}]_7, \mu_{15} \neq 0$, we obtain

$$2[P(E\theta) + \nu_{6}, \kappa_9] = [\nu_3^7], \xi_8 \mod [\nu_4, \eta_{7}, \xi_8], [\nu_4, (E\zeta')],_{7}.$$ 

Since $R_{24}^{8} \cong R_{24}^{7} \oplus \pi_{24}^7$ and $\pi_{24}^7 = \{\sigma', \eta_{14}, \mu_{15}, \nu_{7}, \kappa_{10}, \mu_{7}, \eta_{7}, \sigma_{17}\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$, we have the following.

**Proposition 5.2** $R_{24}^{8} = Z_2[[\zeta],_{8}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_5],_{8} \sigma_{17}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_{4}, \eta_{14}],_{8} \mu_{15}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_{4}, \eta_{14}],_{8} \mu_{15}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_{7}],_{9} \kappa_{10}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_6],_{9} \mu_{7}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_6],_{9} \eta_{7}, \sigma_{17}] \oplus Z_2[[P(E\theta) + \nu_{6}, \kappa_9],_{9} \eta_{23}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_{7}],_{9} \sigma', \eta_{14}, \mu_{15}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_{7}],_{9} \nu_{7}, \kappa_{10}] \oplus Z_2[[\nu_{7}],_{9} \nu_{7}, \kappa_{10}] = [\eta_8^2],_{9} \kappa_{10} = 0 \text{ and } [\nu_6 + \xi_8],_{9} \eta_{14}, \mu_{15} = [\nu_7],_{9} \sigma', \eta_{14}, \mu_{15} = [\nu_5],_{9} \eta_{7}, \sigma_{17}.$

**Proof.** In the exact sequence (24):  

$$\pi_{25}^8 \Delta \rightarrow R_{24}^{8} \xrightarrow{i^*} R_{24}^{9} \xrightarrow{\nu^*} \pi_{24}^{8} \Delta \rightarrow R_{23}^{8},$$

we know that $\Delta : \pi_{24}^{8} \rightarrow R_{23}^{8}$ is a monomorphism and that 

$$\pi_{25}^{8} = \{\sigma_8, \eta_{15}, \mu_{16}, (E\sigma'), \eta_{15}, \mu_{16}, \nu_{7}, \kappa_{11}, \mu_{8}, \eta_{8}, \mu_{9}, \sigma_{18}\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^5 \text{ [36] Theorem 12.7].}$$

By (3.20), we obtain the following: for odd $x, y,$

$$\Delta(\sigma_{8}, \eta_{15}, \mu_{16}) \equiv x[\nu_{7}], (E\sigma') \eta_{15}, \mu_{16}, \nu_{7}, \kappa_{11}, \mu_{8}, \eta_{8}, \mu_{9}, \sigma_{18} \mod (\nu_{5}), \nu_{8}^2, [\eta_{5}, \xi_8], \circ \eta_{14}, \mu_{15} \equiv [\nu_{7}], (E\sigma') \eta_{15}, \mu_{16}, \nu_{6}, \xi_8, \eta_{14}, \mu_{15} \mod [\eta_{5}, \xi_8], \eta_{14}, \mu_{15}.$$

By Lemma 3.10 and the relation $\zeta', \eta_{22} = 0 \text{ [32, Proposition 2.13(5)]}, we have 

$$[\nu_{6} + \xi_8], \eta_{14}, \mu_{15} \equiv [\nu_6], (E\zeta') \eta_{15}, \mu_{7}, \sigma_{16}, \eta_{23} \equiv [\eta_8], \mu_{7}, \sigma_{16}, \eta_{23} \equiv [\nu_5], \xi_8, \eta_{23}, [\nu_4, \sigma', \mu_{14}], \eta_{23}, [\nu_4, (E\zeta')], \eta_{23}, [\nu_4, \xi_8], \eta_{23}.$$
The elements respectively. Remark 5.4

\[ \text{By [15, Lemmas 1.1(v), 1.2(iii)] and Lemma 3.3, we obtain} \]
\[ \text{Hence, we have} \]
\[ \text{We recall the relation } \Delta(\nu) = [\eta_6]s\eta_14\mu_{15} = [\nu_4]s\eta_14\mu_{15}. \]

We also get
\[ \Delta((E\sigma')\eta_15\mu_{16}) = [\eta_6]s\sigma'\eta_14\mu_{15} = [\nu_4]s\sigma'\eta_14\mu_{15}; \]
\[ \Delta(\nu_8\kappa_{11}) = [\nu_2]s\kappa_{10}; \Delta\mu_8 = [\eta_6]s\mu_7; \Delta(\eta_8\mu_9\sigma_{18}) = [\eta_6]s\eta_8\mu_9\sigma_{17}. \]

Thus we obtain the group \( R_9^3 \) and the equation
\[ [\nu_4]s\sigma'\eta_14\mu_{15} = [\nu_2]s\mu_7 = [\nu_2]s\eta_8\mu_9\sigma_{17} = 0. \]

Furthermore, we obtain \([\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]s\eta_14\mu_{15} = [\nu_5]s\mu_9\sigma_{17} \) by the relation
\[ [\bar{\nu}_6 + \varepsilon_6]s\eta_14\mu_{15} = [\eta_6]s\eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{17} + [\nu_5]s\mu_9\sigma_{17} \mod [\nu_2]s\kappa_{10}, [\nu_4]s\eta_14\mu_{15}. \]

By (5.5), we also obtain \([\bar{\nu}_7]s\sigma'\eta_14\mu_{15} = [\nu_5]s\mu_9\sigma_{17}. \) This completes the proof.

By the proof of Propositions 3.11, 5.3, [14, Proposition 2.1] and [11, Theorem 5.4], we notice the following.

Remark 5.4 \( p_{9*} : R_9^9 \to \pi_n^8 \) is trivial for \( n \leq 25 \), except for \( n = 15 \) and 22. The elements \([8\sigma_8]\) and \([8\sigma_8]\sigma_{15}\) are the candidates for the non-trivialities, respectively.

6 Determination of \( \pi_24(R_n : 2) (n \geq 10) \)

First we note that \( \sigma_9(\bar{\nu}_{16} + \varepsilon_{16}) = \sigma_9^2\eta_{23} \) by (2.10). In the exact sequence (24)\(_n\):
\[ \pi_9^9 \to R_9^9 \to R_9^9 \to \pi_9^9 \to R_9^9 \to R_9^9, \]
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we know \( \text{Ker } \Delta = \{ 2\rho', \sigma_7^2\eta_{23}, \bar{\varepsilon}_9 \} \) from the proof of Proposition 4.3. So there exist elements \( \Delta(E^6'), \sigma_7^5[\eta_{23}, [\bar{\varepsilon}_9] \in R_{24}^{10} \).

We need the following.

**Lemma 6.1**

(1) \( \bar{\varepsilon}_5 = \{ 2\nu_5, \nu_8, \bar{\nu}_11 \} \).

(2) \( \{ \sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23} \} = \nu_{10} \kappa_{10} + \{ \sigma' \eta_{14}\mu_{15} + \eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{15} \} \).

**Proof.** Since \( \pi_{19}^7 = 0 \) [36 Theorem 7.6], we have \( \{ 2\nu_5, \nu_8, \bar{\nu}_11 \} = \{ 2\nu_5, \nu_8, \bar{\nu}_11 \} \). By [19 Theorem 2(2)] and [36 (3.9)-i], we know \( \langle 2\nu, \nu, \bar{\nu} \rangle = \langle \bar{\nu}, \nu, 2\nu \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon} \).

So, by the fact that \( E^\infty : \pi_{20}^5 \to \pi_{15}^S \) is a monomorphism [36 Theorems 10.5 and 10.10], we obtain (1).

Next, the Toda bracket \( \{ \sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23} \} \) is defined by \( (\sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7)\bar{\nu}_{15} = 0 \) (2.49), Lemma (2.3.3)). It has the indeterminacy \( (\sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7) \circ \pi_{23}^{14} + 2\pi_{27}^{14} = (\sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7) \circ \{ \nu_{15}^2, \mu_{15}, \eta_7\varepsilon_{16} \} \).

By [36 Theorems 7.2 and 12.7], we have \( (\sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7)\nu_{15}^2 = 0 \) (2.20). We have \( (\sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7)\mu_{15} = \sigma' \eta_{14}\mu_{15} + \eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{15} \). We also have \( (\sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7)\eta_7\varepsilon_{16} = 0 \) (2.17) and \( \varepsilon_7\eta_{15}\varepsilon_{16} = \eta_7\varepsilon_8^2 = \eta_7\varepsilon_{11}\varepsilon_{18} = 0 \) (2.49). Hence, the indeterminacy of \( \{ \sigma' \eta_{14} + \varepsilon_7, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23} \} \) is \( \{ \sigma' \eta_{14}\mu_{15} + \eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{15} \} \).

By [30 III-Proposition 6.1(2)] and the fact that \( \varepsilon_{10} \circ \pi_{27}^{18} + 2\pi_{27}^{10} = \{ \eta_{10}\mu_{11}\sigma_{20} \} \), we have

\[
\{ \varepsilon_{10}, \nu_{18}, 2\nu_{26} \} = \nu_{10} \kappa_{10} + \{ \eta_{10}\mu_{11}\sigma_{20} \}.
\]

This and the fact that \( \text{Ker} \{ E^3 : \pi_{24}^7 \to \pi_{27}^{10} \} = \{ \sigma' \eta_{14}\mu_{15} \} \) [36 Theorems 12.7 and 12.17] imply (2). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

By [32 Proposition 2.4(2)], we have

\[
(1) \quad \zeta_{5}\nu_{16} \equiv \nu_5\zeta_8 \pmod{\nu_5\nu_8\nu_{16}}.
\]

We show

**Lemma 6.2**

(1) \( \nu_5\sigma_8\mu_{15} = \nu_5\mu_8\sigma_{17} \).

(2) \( [\zeta_5]_{\sigma_{16}\eta_{23}} \equiv [\nu_5]_{\sigma_8\mu_{15}} \equiv [\nu_5]_{\mu_8\sigma_{17}} \pmod{\nu_4\sigma'\eta_{14}]_{\mu_{15}} \text{ and } [\zeta_5]_{\sigma_{16}\eta_{23}} = [\nu_5]_{\sigma_8\mu_{15}} = [\nu_5]_{\mu_8\sigma_{17}} .}

(3) \( \zeta_5\bar{\nu}_{16} = 0 \text{ and } [\zeta_5]_{\varepsilon_{16}} = [\zeta_5]_{\eta_{16}\sigma_{17}} .}

**Proof.** By \( \nu_5\sigma_8\eta_{15} = \nu_5\varepsilon_8 \) [36 p. 152] and Lemma (2.4.1), we have

\[
\nu_5\sigma_{8}\mu_{15} \equiv \nu_5\sigma_8 \circ \{ \eta_{15}, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16} \} \subset \{ \nu_5\varepsilon_8, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16} \} \subset \nu_5\sigma_8 \circ \{ \varepsilon_8, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16} \} \equiv \nu_5\mu_8\sigma_{17} \pmod{\nu_5\varepsilon_8 \circ \pi_{23}^{15} + \pi_{17}^{15} \circ \pi_{23}^{17} + \nu_5\pi_{23}^{\sigma_8} = 0 .}
\]
This leads to (1).

By (3.10) and the fact that \( \mu_{15} \in \{8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}, \eta_{22}\} \), we have

\[
[\zeta_5]\sigma_{16}\eta_{23} \in [\nu_5]\sigma_8 \circ \{8\iota_{15}, 2\sigma_{15}, \eta_{22}\} \ni [\nu_5]\sigma_8 \eta_{15} \mod [\nu_5]\varepsilon_{8}\eta_{23}, [\nu_4]\sigma_\mu_{14}\nu_{6}\eta_{23}, [\nu_4](E\zeta')\nu_{6}\eta_{23}, [\nu_4]\eta_7\varepsilon_8\nu_{6}\eta_{23}.
\]

We have \([\nu_5]\varepsilon_{8}\eta_{23} = [\nu_5](E\sigma')\nu_{15}^3 = 0\) (3.9). By Lemma 3.3 we have \([\nu_4]\sigma_\mu_{14}\nu_{6}\eta_{23} = [\nu_4]\sigma_\eta_{14}\nu_{6}\mu_{15}, [\nu_4](E\zeta')\nu_{23} = 0\) and \([\nu_4]\eta_7\varepsilon_8\nu_{6}\eta_{23} = 0\). This leads to the first of (2).

By (1) and (3.6), we obtain \([\nu_5]\sigma_\mu_{15} = [\nu_5]\mu_8\sigma_{17} \mod [\nu_4]^2_6\kappa_{10}, [\nu_4]\sigma_\eta_{14}\nu_{6}\mu_{15}\).

By (2.32), we have \(J([\nu_5]\sigma_\mu_{15}) = J([\nu_5]\mu_8\sigma_{17}) = 0\). By the fact that \(J[\nu_4^2] = \nu_5\sigma_8\), we know \(J([\nu_4^2]_6\kappa_{10}) = \nu_6\sigma_9\kappa_{16} \neq 0\) [22 Theorem 1.1(a)]. This leads to the second of (2).

The third of (2) follows from the fact that \([\nu_4]\sigma_\eta_{14}\eta_{9} = 0\).

By [32 Proposition 2.2(6)], we know \(\zeta_6\eta_{17} = 8P(\sigma_{13})\). We consider the matrix Toda bracket.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\zeta_6 \\
[\iota_6, \iota_4] \\
\eta_{17} \\
[\iota_6, \iota_4] \\
8\sigma_{11} \\
[\iota_6, \iota_4] \\
\nu_{18} \\
[\iota_6, \iota_4]
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since \(H\begin{pmatrix}
\zeta_6 \\
[\iota_6, \iota_4] \\
\eta_{17} \\
8\sigma_{11} \\
\nu_{18} \\
[\iota_6, \iota_4]
\end{pmatrix} \in \{2\iota_{11}, 8\sigma_{11}, \nu_{18}\}_1 = \zeta_11\), this is regarded as \(\zeta'\). We have \(E\zeta' = \{\zeta_7, \eta_{18}, \nu_{19}\}_1\) and \(\{\zeta_8, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1 = 0\).

By (6.1) and [16 Theorem 2.1], we have

\([\zeta_5]\nu_{16} = \pm [\nu_5]\zeta_8 \mod [\nu_5]\bar{\nu}_8\nu_{16}\).

So, by the fact that \(\bar{\nu}_{16} = \{\nu_{16}, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1\) and \(\bar{\nu}_8^2 = 0\) (Lemma 2.3), for \(a \in \{0, 1\}\), we have

\([\zeta_5]\bar{\nu}_{16} = [\zeta_5] \circ \{\nu_{16}, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1 \subset \{\nu_{16}, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1\}

\(= \{\pm [\nu_5]\zeta_8 + a[\nu_5]\bar{\nu}_8\nu_{16}, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1 \subset \{\pm [\nu_5]\zeta_8, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1 + \{a[\nu_5]\bar{\nu}_8\nu_{16}, \eta_{19}, \nu_{20}\}_1 \equiv 0 \mod [\nu_5] \circ \nu_{21}\.

By [11 Theorem 0.1(i)], we have \(R_{21}^6 \circ \nu_{21} = \{[\nu_4]\sigma_\eta_{14}\nu_{21} + \nu_{21}\}\kappa_{17}\nu_{21}\} . By (3.5) and (5.2), we have \([\nu_4]\sigma_\eta_{14}\nu_{21} \in i_5\, R_{24}^4 = 0\) and \([\nu_{21}^2]\kappa_{17}\nu_{21} = [\nu_{21}^2]\nu_{7}\kappa_{10} = 0\). This leads to the first of (3).

The second of (3) follows from the fact that \(\bar{\nu}_{16} = \eta_{16}\sigma_{17} + \varepsilon_{16}\). This completes the proof. \(\Box\)

Here, we need
Lemma 6.3  

(1) \([\nu_7]_{10} \sigma' \eta_{14} \mu_{15} = [\nu_7]_{10} \eta \mu_8 \sigma_{17} = 0.\)

(2) \(\Delta(\sigma_{10} \nu_{17}) = 0.\)

Proof. We recall from (2.52) and (3.22):

\[
\Delta \sigma_9 = [\nu_7]_{10} (\bar{\nu}_7 + \epsilon_7), \quad \bar{\nu}_6 \mu_{14} = 0.
\]

So, by (2.20), we have

\[
\Delta(\sigma_{10} \bar{\nu}_{17}) = [\nu_7]_{10} (\bar{\nu}_7 + \epsilon_7) \mu_{15} = [\nu_7]_{9} \eta \mu_8 \sigma_{17}.
\]

This leads to the relation \([\nu_7]_{10} \eta \mu_8 \sigma_{17} = 0.\)

By (2.53), Lemma 6.2(2) and the relation \([\nu_5]_{10} = [\nu_7]_{10} \eta_7,\) we have

\[
[\nu_7]_{10} \sigma' \eta_{14} \mu_{15} = [\nu_5]_{10} \sigma_8 \mu_{15} = [\nu_5]_{10} \mu_8 \sigma_{17} = [\nu_7]_{10} \eta_7 \mu_8 \sigma_{17} = 0.\]

This leads to (1).

Since \(\sigma_{10} \bar{\nu}_{17} = [\nu_7, \nu_{10}] \quad (36) \quad (10.20)\) and \(\Delta[\nu_{10}, \nu_{10}] = 0 \quad (11, (4.4)),\) we have

\[
\Delta(\sigma_{10} \bar{\nu}_{17}) = \Delta([\nu_{10}, \nu_{10}] \circ \nu_{22}) = \Delta[\nu_{10}, \nu_{10}] \circ \nu_{21} = 0.
\]

This leads (2) and completes the proof. \(\square\)

By (22), we know

\[
H(\tilde{\epsilon}_{10}) = \bar{\epsilon}_{19} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \tilde{\epsilon}_{10} = \sigma_{10} \nu_{17} \kappa_{20}.
\]

This implies the relation

\[
J[\tilde{\epsilon}_{10}] \equiv \tilde{\epsilon}_{10} \mod E_{33}^9.
\]

By (2.4), we have \(\nu_{12} \bar{\nu}_{15} = 0.\) We also have \((\epsilon_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14}) \bar{\nu}_{15} = 0\) since \(\epsilon_3 \bar{\nu}_{11} = \eta_3 \bar{\epsilon}_{4} \quad (2.49)\) and \(\sigma' \eta_{14} \bar{\nu}_{15} = \eta_7 \bar{\epsilon}_{8} \quad (\text{Lemma 2.3(3)}).\) Therefore, by (2.54), the following matrix Toda bracket \((20, 19, 28)\) is well defined:

\[
A = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Delta(\nu_{10}) \\ [\nu_{12}]_{10} \\ \nu_{12} \\ \nu_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14} \end{array}, \quad \bar{\nu}_{15} \right\}.
\]

Then, by (2.3) and (28 Lemma 4.1(2)), we have

\[
p_{10*}(A) \in \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2\nu_9 \\ \nu_{12} \\ \nu_{15} \\ 2 \nu_9, \nu_{12}, \bar{\nu}_{15} \end{array} \right\} = \{2 \nu_9, \nu_{12}, \bar{\nu}_{15}\}.
\]
We see that \( \bar{\varepsilon}_9 \in \{2\nu_9, \nu_{12}, \bar{\nu}_{15}\} \) mod \(2\nu_9 \circ \pi_{24}^\theta + \pi_{16}^\theta \circ \nu_{16} = 0\) by Lemma 6.1(1). Therefore, \( \Delta \) is a lift of \( \bar{\varepsilon}_9 \). So, we take

\[
(6.2) \quad [\bar{\varepsilon}_9] = \left\{ \Delta(\nu_{10}), \nu_{12}, \varepsilon_7 + \sigma'\eta_14, \bar{\nu}_{15} \right\}.
\]

We will determine the indeterminacy of this matrix Toda bracket. It is \(\Delta(\nu_{10}) \circ \pi_{24}^\theta + [\nu_{10}]_{10} \circ \pi_{24}^\theta \circ \nu_{16} \circ R_{16}^{10} \circ \nu_{16} \). We know \(\pi_{24}^\theta = \{\theta, E\theta'\} \cong (Z_2)^2\). (6.1) Theorem 7.6. Since \(E\theta = [\kappa_13, \kappa_14]\) and \(E\theta' = [\kappa_12, \kappa_11]\), we have \(\nu_{10}(E\theta) = [\kappa_10, \nu_{10}]\nu_{22}\) and \(\nu_{12}(E\theta') = 0\). By (4.4), we have \(\Delta(\nu_{10})\theta = 0\). This implies \(\Delta(\nu_{10}) \circ \pi_{24}^\theta = 0\). We know \(\pi_{24}^\theta = \{\sigma'\eta_{14}\mu_{15}, \nu_7\kappa_{10}, \mu_7, \eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{17} \cong (Z_2)^4\). (36) Theorem 12.7. So, by Lemma 6.3, we have

\[
[\kappa_7]_{10} \circ \pi_{24}^\theta = \{[\kappa_7]_{10} \nu_7\kappa_{10}, [\kappa_7]_{10} \bar{\nu}_{17}\}.
\]

By the fact that \(R_{16}^{10} = \{\Delta(\kappa_{10}), [\kappa_7]_{10} \nu_{15}^\theta, [\kappa_7]_{10} \mu_7\} \), the relation \(\kappa_{13} \bar{\nu}_{16} = 0\), \(\mu_7 \nu_{16} = 0\) and \(\sigma_{10} \nu_{17} = [\kappa_10, \nu_{12}]\), we have \(R_{16}^{10} \circ \nu_{16} = 0\). This shows that the indeterminacy of \([\bar{\varepsilon}_9]\) is \(\{[\kappa_7]_{10} \nu_7\kappa_{10}, [\kappa_7]_{10} \bar{\nu}_{17}\}\).

Now we show the following.

**Proposition 6.4** \(R_{24}^{10} = \mathbb{Z}_4\{\Delta(E\theta')\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_4\{[\bar{\varepsilon}_9]\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_0^3]_{12}\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_0^3]_{10}\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\kappa_7]_{10} \bar{\nu}_{17}\} \). where \(\mathbb{Z}_2\{[\kappa_7]_{10} \pi_{24}^\theta\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\kappa_7]_{10} \nu_{17}\} \). By (2.11), we have \(\Delta(\kappa_{10}) \otimes \eta_{23} = \Delta(\eta_{10}\kappa_{11}) = 2\nu_{10} \circ \nu_{16} \). So we have the first relation \(\mathbb{Z}_2\{[\kappa_7]_{10} \nu_7\kappa_{10}\} = [\nu_9 N_{17}]\eta_{23}\). The second relation is obtained by Lemma 6.3(1).

We consider the exact sequence (24):

\[
\pi_{25}^\theta \rightarrow R_{24}^{10} \rightarrow R_{24}^{10} \rightarrow R_{24}^{10} \rightarrow R_{24}^{10} \rightarrow \cdots.
\]

Since \(J \Delta(\nu_{10}) = 2P(\nu_{21}) \) and \(\bar{\varepsilon}(P(\nu_{21})) = 32\) (5.15), we have \(\bar{\varepsilon}(\Delta(E\theta')) = 16\). We know \(\pi_{25}^\theta = \{\sigma_9\nu_{16}, \sigma_9\mu_{16}, \sigma_9\eta_{16}\varepsilon_{17}, \mu_9\sigma_{18}\} \cong (Z_2)^4\). (36) Theorem 12.6.

We recall

\[
\Delta \nu_9 = [\nu_9(\nu_7 + \varepsilon_7) \quad (2.52), \quad \kappa_7 \nu_{21} = \nu_7 \kappa_{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nu}_6 \mu_{14} = 0 \quad (5.4), \quad (3.22).
\]
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So, by (2.13) and the fact that $2\kappa_7 = \bar{\nu}_7\nu^2_{15}$, and (2.20), we have
\[
\Delta(\sigma_9\nu^3_{16}) = [\nu_7](\bar{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7)\nu^3_{15} = [\nu_7]\bar{\nu}_7\nu^3_{15} = 2[\nu_7]9\nu_7\kappa_{10} = 0.
\]
By Lemma 2.3 (2), (3) and (2.9), we have
\[
\Delta(\sigma_9\eta_16\varepsilon_{17}) = \Delta(\sigma_9\varepsilon_{16}\eta_{24}) = [\nu_7](\bar{\nu}_7 + \varepsilon_7)\varepsilon_{15}\eta_{23} = [\nu_7]\sigma_9\nu^3_{14}\eta_{23} = 0.
\]
In the proof of Lemma 6.3 (1), we have
\[
\Delta(\sigma_9\mu_{16}) = [\nu_7]\eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{17}.
\]
By (2.25), we have $\Delta(\mu_9\sigma_{18}) = [\nu_7]\eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{17} + [\nu_5]9\mu_8\sigma_{17}$, and hence, we obtain
\[(6.3) \quad i_{10}, R_{24}^3 = \{(\bar{\zeta})_{10}, [P(E\theta) + \nu_6\kappa_6]_{10}\eta_{23}, [\nu_7][\bar{\nu}_7][\kappa_{10}], [\nu_7]_{10}\bar{\mu}_7 \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4.\]

We will show the relation $8\Delta(E\rho') = (\bar{\zeta})_{10} + [\nu_7]_{10}\bar{\mu}_7$.

Since $[\zeta]_{11} \equiv [\nu_7]_{11}\mu_7 \mod [\nu_7]_{11}\nu^2_7$ (4.6), we have
\[
[\bar{\zeta}]_{11} \in \{[\zeta]_{11}, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1} \equiv \{[\nu_7]_{11}\mu_7, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1} \mod \{[\nu_7]_{11}\nu^2_7, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1}.
\]

By relations $\zeta_13 = \{\nu_{13}, 16\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}$ and (2.14), we have
\[
\{[\nu_7]_{11}\nu^2_7, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1} \supset [\nu_7]_{11}\nu^2_7 \circ \{\nu_{13}, 16\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1} \supset [\nu_7]_{11}\nu^2_7\zeta_13 = 0
\]
with the indeterminacy $[\nu_7]_{11}\nu^2_7 \circ E\pi_{23}^{15} + R_{17}^{11} \circ 2\sigma_{17} = 0$.

We have
\[
\{[\nu_7]_{11}\mu_7, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1} \supset [\nu_7]_{11} \circ \{\mu_7, 8\nu_{16}, 2\sigma_{16}\}_{1} \supset [\nu_7]_{11}\bar{\mu}_7
\]
\mod $[\nu_7]_{11}\mu_7 \circ E\pi_{23}^{15} + R_{17}^{11} \circ 2\sigma_{17} = 0$.

Notice that $[\nu_7]_{11}\mu_7 \circ E\pi_{23}^{15} = \{[\nu_7]_{11}\eta_7\mu_8\sigma_{17}\} = 0$. This implies
\[
[\bar{\zeta}]_{11} = [\nu_7]_{11}\mu_7.
\]
So, by the fact that $\Delta(\bar{\varepsilon}_{10}) = 0$, we have $[\bar{\zeta}]_{10} + [\nu_7]_{10}\bar{\mu}_7 \in \Delta(\pi_{23}^{10}) = \{\Delta(E\rho')\}$. This and (6.3) implies
\[
8\Delta(E\rho') = [\bar{\zeta}]_{10} + [\nu_7]_{10}\bar{\mu}_7.
\]
By (6.2) and [10] p. 406, the first formula, we have

$$2[\xi_9] \in -\Delta(\nu_{10}) \circ \{\nu_{12}, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23}\} + [\nu_7]_{10} \circ \{\xi_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14}, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23}\}.$$ 

Here, \(\{\nu_{12}, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23}\} \supset E^3(\nu_{12}, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23}) = 0\) mod \(\nu_{12} \circ \pi_{24}^0 + 2\pi_{24}^{12} = 0\) by Proposition 6.1(2)] and \(\varepsilon_{10} \circ \pi_{27}^7 + 2\pi_{27}^{10} = 0\). Therefore, we have \(\{\xi_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14}, \bar{\nu}_{15}, 2\nu_{23}\} \supset \varepsilon_{10} \circ \eta_{13}\) by [30] III-Proposition 6.6(1) and \([36\) Theorems 12.7 and 12.17, p. 146 and (12.21)]. Hence, by Lemma 6.3(1), we have

$$2[\xi_9] \equiv [\nu_7]_{10} \nu_7 \kappa_{10} \text{ mod } [\nu_7]_{10} \sigma' \eta_{14} \mu_{15} = 0.$$ 

This completes the proof. \(\Box\)

Next we show the following.

**Proposition 6.5** \(R_{24}^{11} = \mathbb{Z}_4\{[\xi_9]_{11}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_9]_{11} \eta_{23}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_7]_{11} \bar{\mu}_7\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[P(E\theta)] + \nu_6 \kappa_9, \sigma_9 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\xi_9]_4\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\xi_9]_{11}\}\), where \([\xi_9]_{11} = [\nu_7]_{11} \bar{\mu}_7\).

**Proof.** In the exact sequence (24)$_{10}$:

$$\pi_{25}^{10} \Delta \rightarrow R_{24}^{10} \rightarrow R_{24}^{11} \nu \rightarrow \pi_{24}^{10} \Delta \rightarrow R_{23}^{10},$$

We know that \(\Delta : \pi_{24}^{10} \rightarrow R_{24}^{10}\) is a monomorphism and \(\pi_{23}^{10} = \mathbb{Z}_16\{[\eta_\rho]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\xi_9]\}\).

By Lemma 6.3(2), we have \(\Delta(\sigma_{10} \bar{\nu}_7) = 0\). By relations (2.18) and (2.41), we have \(\Delta \xi_{10} = \Delta(\eta_{10}) \kappa_{10} = 2[\nu_7]_{10} \nu_7 \kappa_{10} = 0\). This completes the proof. \(\Box\)

We show

**Lemma 6.6** (1) \([\xi_9]_{24} \equiv 0 \text{ mod } [\nu_7]_{10} \xi_7 \sigma_{18}, [\nu_7]_{10} \eta_7 \bar{\mu}_8\).

(2) \([\xi_9]_{11} \eta_{24} \equiv 0 \text{ mod } [\nu_7]_{11} \eta_7 \bar{\mu}_8\).

**Proof.** By the definition of \([\xi_9]\) (6.2), (2.56), (2.13) and (28) Lemma 4.1(2), we have

\[
[\xi_9]_{24} \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Delta(\nu_{10}) \\
[\nu_{12}]_{10}, \xi_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14}, \bar{\nu}_{15} \end{array} \right\} \circ \eta_{24} \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Delta(\nu_{10}) \\
[\nu_{12}]_{10}, \xi_7 + \sigma' \eta_{14}, \bar{\nu}_{15} \\
\nu_{12}^3, \nu_{21}^3 \\

\end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\Delta(\nu_{10}), \nu_{12}^3, \nu_{21}^3 \end{array} \right\} + [\nu_{10}]_{10} \circ \pi_{25}^{10}.
\]
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By (2.15), we have
\[ \{ \Delta(\nu_{10}), \nu_{12}, \nu_{21} \} = \{ \Delta(\nu_{10}), \eta_{12}\bar{\nu}_{13}, \nu_{21} \} \]
\[ \supset \{ \Delta(\nu_{10}), \eta_{12}, 0 \} \cong 0 \mod \Delta(\nu_{10}) \circ \pi_{25}^{12} + R_{22}^{10} \circ \nu_{22}. \]

Since \( \pi_{25}^{12} = \{ \theta \eta_{24}, (E\theta')\eta_{24} \} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2 \), \( E\pi_{25}^{12} = \pi_{26}^{13} = \{(E\theta)\eta_{25}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and \( E\theta = P(\eta_{27}) \) [36, Theorem 7.7, (7.30)], we have \( \Delta(\nu_{10}) \circ \pi_{25}^{12} \subset \Delta(\nu_{10} \circ P(\eta_{27})) = 0 \). So, we have
\[ \{ \Delta(\nu_{10}), \nu_{12}, \nu_{21} \} = R_{22}^{10} \circ \nu_{22}. \]

By the fact that \( R_{22}^{10} = \{ [8\sigma_{8}]\sigma_{15}, [\iota_7]_0\rho'', [\iota_7]_0\bar{\epsilon}_7 \} \) [11, Theorem 0.2(iii)], (2.18), (5.4) and (2.12), we obtain
\[ [\bar{\epsilon}_9]_1\eta_{24} \in R_{22}^{10} \circ \nu_{22} + [\iota_7]_0 \circ \pi_{25}^7 = [\iota_7]_0 \circ \pi_{25}^7 \]

By the fact that \( \pi_{25} = \{ \zeta_7\sigma_{18}, \eta_7\bar{\mu}_8 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) [36, Theorem 12.8], we obtain
\[ [\iota_7]_0 \circ \pi_{25}^7 = [\iota_7]_0 \zeta_7\sigma_{18}, [\iota_7]_0 \eta_7\bar{\mu}_8 \} \]

This leads to (1).

(1) implies (2) if we show \([\iota_7]_1 \zeta_7\sigma_{18} = 0 \). By [32, Proposition 2.17(6)], we have
\[ \rho''\nu_{22} = \sigma' \zeta_{14}. \]

We know \( \pi_{20}^{11} = \mathbb{Z}_{16} \{ E^2\rho' \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ \bar{\epsilon}_11 \} \) [36, Theorem 10.5]. By [33, Lemma 6], we have
\[ \Delta(E^2\rho') = [\iota_7]_1 \nu_7(E\rho') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\bar{\epsilon}_{11}) = \Delta(\eta_{11})\kappa_{11} = 0. \]

So it suffices to show \([\iota_7]_{12}\rho''\nu_{22} = 0 \). By [11, Lemma 6.3] and the fact that \( P(\nu_{25}) = 4 \) [36, Theorem 10.3], we have
\[ [\iota_7]_{12} \rho''\nu_{22} = 4[P(\nu_{21})]_{12} \nu_{22} = 4\Delta(P(\nu_{25})) = 0. \]

This leads to (2) and completes the proof. \( \square \)

We show the following.

**Proposition 6.7** \( R_{24}^{12} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [\theta]_{\eta_{23}} \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [\eta_{11}]_1 \theta \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [\epsilon_9]_{12} \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [\sigma_9^2]_{12} \eta_{23} \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [\iota_7]_{12} \bar{\mu}_7 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \{ [P(\theta') + \nu_6\kappa_9]_{12} \eta_{23} \}. \)
Proof. In the exact sequence (24)$_{11}$:
\[
\pi^{11}_{25} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{11}_{24} \xrightarrow{i_*} R^{12}_{24} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi^{11}_{24} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{11}_{23},
\]
we know \(\pi^{11}_{25} = \{\sigma^2_{11}, \kappa_{11}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\) [36, Theorem 10.3] and
\[
\text{Ker } \{\Delta : \pi^{11}_{24} \to R^{11}_{23}\} = \pi^{11}_{24} = \{\theta' \eta_{23}, \eta_{11} \theta\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2
\]
from the proof of Proposition 4.6. By (2.26), (2.19) and Proposition 6.4, we have \(\Delta(\sigma^2_{10}) = [\iota_7]_{11} \nu_{11} \sigma_{10} = 0\) and \(\Delta \kappa_{11} = [\iota_7]_{11} \nu_{11} \kappa_{10} = 2[\bar{\epsilon}_9]_{11}\). So Proposition 6.5 implies the conclusion. □

Next we show the following.

Proposition 6.8 \(R^{13}_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{11}]_{13} \theta\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\bar{\epsilon}_9]_{13}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma^2_9]_{13} \eta_{23}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\iota_7]_{13} \bar{\mu}_7\}\), where \(\Delta(E\theta) = [\eta_{11}]_{13} \theta\).

Proof. In the exact sequence (24)$_{12}$:
\[
\pi^{12}_{25} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{12}_{24} \xrightarrow{i_*} R^{13}_{24} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi^{12}_{24} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{12}_{23},
\]
\(\Delta : \pi^{12}_{24} \to R^{12}_{23}\) is a monomorphism by the proof of Lemma 4.7 and \(\pi^{12}_{25} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\theta \eta_{24}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{(E\theta')\eta_{24}\}\).

As \(\Delta \theta = [\theta']\) and \(\Delta(E\theta') = [P(E\theta) + \nu_{6} \kappa_{9}]_{12}\) by Lemma 4.7, we have
\[
\Delta(\theta \eta_{24}) = [\theta']\eta_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta((E\theta')\eta_{24}) = [P(E\theta) + \nu_{6} \kappa_{9}]_{12}\eta_{23}.
\]

By the fact that \(\Delta_{13} = [\eta_{11}]_{13}\) ([14, Table 3], (2.39)), we have \(\Delta(E\theta) = [\eta_{11}]_{13} \theta\). This completes the proof. □

Next we show the following.

Proposition 6.9 \(R^{14}_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_8\{[\zeta_{13}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\bar{\epsilon}_9]_{14}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma^2_9]_{14} \eta_{23}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\iota_7]_{14} \bar{\mu}_7\}\), where \(J[\zeta_{13}] \equiv \pm \zeta^*\mod E\pi^{13}_{37}\).

Proof. In the exact sequence (24)$_{13}$:
\[
\pi^{13}_{25} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{13}_{24} \xrightarrow{i_*} R^{14}_{24} \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi^{13}_{24} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R^{13}_{23},
\]
we know that \(\Delta : \pi^{13}_{24} \to R^{13}_{23}\) is trivial by Lemma 2.2 and \(\pi^{13}_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_8\{\zeta_{13}\}\) [36, Theorem 7.4]. We also know \(\pi^{13}_{25} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{E\theta\}\) [36, Theorem 7.6]. By Lemma
2.2 we have $\Delta \zeta_{14} = \pm 2[\zeta_{13}]$ and $\sharp[\zeta_{13}] = 8$. This implies the group $R_{24}^{14}$. By [22] (3.10), $H(\zeta^*) = \pm \zeta_{27}$. So we have $J[\zeta_{13}] \equiv \pm \zeta^* \mod E\pi_{37}^{13}$. This completes the proof. □

By the facts $R_{24}^{14} \cong Z_2^7$ and [12, Table 1], we have Table 3.

| $R_{24}^{14}$ | $Z_2 \oplus Z_8 \oplus (Z_2)^2$ | $R_{24}^{15}$ | $Z_2 \oplus (Z_2)^4$ |
| $R_{24}^{16}$ | $Z_2 \oplus Z_{16} \oplus Z_2$ | $R_{24}^{17}$ | $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ |

Table 3: $R_{24}^n$ for $14 \leq n \leq 19$

By [17], we also have Table 4.

| $R_{24}^{15}$ | $Z_2$ | $R_{24}^{16}$ | $Z_2$ |
| $R_{24}^{17}$ | $(Z_2)^2$ | $R_{24}^{18}$ | $(Z_2)^3$ |
| $R_{24}^{19}$ | $(Z_2)$ | $R_{24}^{20}$ | $(Z_2)^2$ |

Table 4: $R_{24}^n$ for $20 \leq n \leq 25$

By Tables 3 and 4, we have the group structure of $R_{24}^n$ for $n \geq 14$. So, hereafter our main work is to research the generators and the relations in $R_{24}^n$ for $n \geq 14$.

Now we show the following.

**Proposition 6.10** $R_{24}^{15} = Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\mu_{15}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\zeta_{13}]_{15}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\zeta_{13}]_{15}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\sigma_9]_{15}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\sigma_9]_{15}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\mu_{16}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\mu_{16}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\mu_{16}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\mu_{16}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{14}\mu_{16}]\}$, where $J[\eta_{14}\mu_{15}] \equiv \mu^* \mod E\pi_{38}^{14}$.

**Proof.** In the exact sequence (24)_{14}:

$\pi_{24}^{14} \rightarrow \pi_{24}^{14} \rightarrow \pi_{24}^{15} \rightarrow \pi_{24}^{15}$

$\Delta : \pi_{24}^{14} \rightarrow \pi_{24}^{14}$ is trivial by Proposition [19] and $\pi_{25}^{14} = Z_8\{\zeta_{14}\}$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\Delta \zeta_{14} = \pm 2[\zeta_{13}]$.

By Example 2.6, $\sharp[\eta_{14}\mu_{15}] = 2$. Since $H.J[\eta_{14}\mu_{15}] = \eta_{29}\mu_{30} = H(\mu^*)$ [22] (3.11), we have the relation. This completes the proof. □

Next we show the following.

**Proposition 6.11** $R_{24}^{16} = Z_2\{[\zeta_{13}]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\zeta_{13}]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\sigma_9]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\sigma_9]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{15}]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{15}]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\mu_{15}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\mu_{15}]\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{15}]_{16}\} \oplus Z_2\{[\eta_{15}]_{16}\}$, where $J[\mu_{15}] \equiv \mu_{16} \mod E\pi_{39}^{15}$. 47
Proof. In the exact sequence (24)_{15}:

\[ \pi_{25}^{15} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{24}^{15} \xrightarrow{i^*} R_{24}^{16} \xrightarrow{p^*} \pi_{24}^{15} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{14}, \]

we know \( \Delta : \pi_{21}^{15} \to R_{23}^{14} \) is trivial. Since \( [\eta_{15}] \) is of order 2 \cite{14}, Proposition 4.1, the Toda bracket \( \{ [\eta_{15}], 2\iota_{16}, 8\sigma_{16} \} \) is well-defined. We define

\[ [\mu_{15}] \in \{ [\eta_{15}], 2\iota_{16}, 8\sigma_{16} \}. \]

Then, by (3.1), we have

\[ 2[\mu_{15}] \in \{ [\eta_{15}], 2\iota_{16}, 8\sigma_{16} \} \circ 2\iota_{24} = [\eta_{15}] \circ E\{ 2\iota_{15}, 8\sigma_{15}, 2\iota_{22} \} = 0. \]

Hence, we obtain \( \sharp[\mu_{15}] = 2 \).

By the relation \( HJ[\mu_{15}] = \mu_{31} = H(\mu^*) \) \cite{22}, (3.11), we have the relation. This completes the proof. □

We show the following.

Proposition 6.12

\[ R_{24}^{17} = Z_2\{ [\mu_{15}] \} \oplus Z_2\{ [\eta_{15}]\vartriangleleft \varepsilon_{16} \} \oplus Z_2\{ [\eta_{15}]\vartriangleleft \varepsilon_{16} \} \oplus Z_2\{ [\sigma_{2}^{17}] \} \oplus Z_2\{ [\eta_{15}]\vartriangleleft \varepsilon_{16} \}, \]

where \( \Delta \mu_{16} \equiv [\eta_{4}\mu_{15}]_{16} \mod \Delta(\nu_{16}^3), \Delta(\eta_{16}\varepsilon_{17}), \Delta(\eta_{16}\varepsilon_{17}) = [\zeta_{13}]_{16} \) and \( \Delta(\nu_{16}^3) \equiv [\varepsilon_{9}]_{16} \mod [\vartheta_{7}]_{16}\bar{\mu}_{7}. \)
Proof. In the exact sequence (24): 

$$\pi_{25}^{16} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{24}^{16} \xrightarrow{i_*} R_{24}^{17} \xrightarrow{\nu_*} \pi_{24}^{16} \xrightarrow{\Delta} R_{23}^{16},$$

\(\Delta : \pi_{24}^{16} \to R_{23}^{16}\) is a monomorphism by the proof of Proposition 4.11. By inspecting the calculation of \(\pi_{40}^{16}\) [22, (5.21)] and [22, (3.10), p. 39], we know \(P : \pi_{42}^{16} \to \pi_{40}^{16}\) is a monomorphism. So is \(\Delta : \pi_{25}^{16} \to R_{24}^{16}\). By (2.45), we have

$$\Delta \mu_{16} \in \Delta \{\eta_{16}, 2\mu_{17}, 8\sigma_{17}\}_1 \subset \{[\eta_{14}]_{16}, 2\mu_{16}, 8\sigma_{16}\} \mod [\eta_{14}]_{16} \pi_{24}^{16} + R_{17}^{16} \circ 8\sigma_{17}.$$

Since \(R_{16}^{16} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3[15\text{ Theorem 1(iv)}], we have \(R_{16}^{16} \circ 8\sigma_{17} = 0\) and \([\eta_{14}]_{16} \pi_{24}^{16} = \{[\eta_{14}]_{16} \nu_{16}, [\eta_{14}]_{16} \nu_{16}\}. \) So we have

$$\Delta \mu_{16} \equiv [\eta_{14} \mu_{15}]_{16} \mod \Delta(\eta_{16} \nu_{17}), \Delta(\eta_{16} \varepsilon_{17}).$$

By (6.5), we have \(\Delta(\eta_{16} \varepsilon_{17}) = \{\zeta_{13}\}_{16}\).

By the relation \(\eta_{33} \nu_{34} = \nu_{33}^2 (2.55)\) and (6.4), we have

$$J \Delta(\eta_{16} \nu_{17}) = P(\eta_{33} \nu_{34}) = P(\nu_{33}^2) \equiv \bar{\varepsilon}_{16} \mod \delta_{16}, \sigma_1 \mu_{16} \sigma_{33}.$$

We recall from [22 (3.9)] that \(H(\bar{\varepsilon}_{10}) = \bar{\varepsilon}_{19} = 2\bar{\varepsilon}_{10} = \sigma_{10} \nu_{17} \kappa_{20}\). By using the EHP sequence and the relation \(H(\bar{\varepsilon}_{10}) = \bar{\varepsilon}_{19} = HJ[\bar{\varepsilon}_9]\), we have

$$J[\bar{\varepsilon}_9] \equiv \bar{\varepsilon}_{10} \mod E\pi_{33}^9. \) So we obtain

$$J[\bar{\varepsilon}_9]_{12} \equiv \bar{\varepsilon}_{12} \mod \delta_{12}, \sigma_{12} \mu_{19}, \mu_{12}.$$

By Lemma 2.21 we have \([\eta_{14}]_1 \nu_{16} \in i_{15}*\{\Delta \mu_{14}, \eta_{13}^2, \nu_{15}\}. \) We obtain

$$p_{14,*}\{\Delta \mu_{14}, \eta_{13}^2, \nu_{15}\} \subset \{2\nu_{13}, \eta_{13}, \nu_{15}\} \supset \{2\nu_{13}, \eta_{13}, \nu_{14}^2\} \supset \{2\nu_{13}, \eta_{13}, \nu_{14}\} \circ \nu_{18} \not\equiv 0 \mod 2\pi_{24}^{13} = \{2\zeta_{13}\}.$$

This implies

$$\{\Delta \mu_{14}, \eta_{13}^2, \nu_{15}\} \not\equiv 0 \mod \{2\zeta_{13}\} + i_{14,*} R_{24}^{13} = \{[\bar{\varepsilon}_9]_{14}, [\sigma_9]_{14} \eta_{23}, [\eta_{14}]_{14} \mu_{7}\}.$$

This yields

$$\Delta(\eta_{16} \nu_{17}) \equiv [\bar{\varepsilon}_9]_{16} \mod [\sigma_9]_{16} \eta_{23}, [\mu_7]_{16} \mu_7.$$

By Lemma 6.6(2), we know \([\bar{\varepsilon}_9]_{11} \eta_{24} \equiv 0 \mod [\mu_7]_{11} \eta_7 \mu_8. \) Since \(J([\sigma_9]_{16} \eta_{23}^2) = \psi_{14} \eta_{39}^2 = 4\xi_{16} \sigma_{34} \not\equiv 0 \) [28, Lemma 3.5], we obtain

$$\Delta(\eta_{16} \nu_{17}) \equiv [\bar{\varepsilon}_9]_{16} \mod [\mu_7]_{16} \mu_7.$$
This completes the proof. □

By (6.5) and [22, (3.10)], we have

$$J[\zeta_{13}]_{16} = J(\eta_{16}\varepsilon_{17}) = P(\eta_{33}\varepsilon_{34}) = E^2\zeta^*.$$  

Since $E^3 : \pi^{13}_{37} \to \pi^{16}_{40}$ is a monomorphism [22, Theorem 1.1(b)], the relation $J[\zeta_{13}] \equiv \pm\zeta^* \pmod{E\pi^{13}_{37}}$ from Proposition 6.9 implies

$$J[\zeta_{13}] = \pm\zeta^*.$$  

We show the following.

**Proposition 6.13**  

$R^{18}_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_{16}\{\Delta\sigma_{18}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma^2_5]_{18}\eta_{23}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\tau_7]_{18}\bar{\mu}_7\}$, where $8\Delta\sigma_{18} = [\mu_{15}]_{18}$.

**Proof.** In the exact sequence (24)$_{17}$, we know $\text{Ker}\{\Delta : \pi^{17}_{24} \to R^{17}_{33}\} = \{2\sigma_{17}\}$ by the proof of Proposition 4.12. By (2.3), $\Delta\sigma_{18}$ is of order 16 by [22, (5.36)]. Thus, $\Delta\sigma_{18} = 16$. By the relation (2.39), we obtain

$$\Delta\varepsilon_{17} = [\eta_{15}]_{17}\varepsilon_{16} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta\bar{\nu}_{17} = [\eta_{15}]_{17}\bar{\nu}_{16}.$$  

Therefore we have $i_{18}\circ R^{17}_{14} = \{[\mu_{15}]_{18}, [\sigma^2_5]_{18}\eta_{23}, [\tau_7]_{18}\bar{\mu}_7\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$.

By the definition of $[\mu_{15}]$ and (2.39), we have

$$[\mu_{15}]_{18} \in i_{18} \circ i_{17} \circ \{[\eta_{15}], 2\varepsilon_{16}, 8\sigma_{16}\} \subset i_{18} \circ \{[\eta_{15}]_{17}, 2\varepsilon_{16}, 8\sigma_{16}\}$$

$$= i_{18} \circ \{\Delta\varepsilon_{17}, 2\varepsilon_{16}, 8\sigma_{16}\} = -\{i_{18}, \Delta\varepsilon_{17}, 2\varepsilon_{16}\} \circ 8\sigma_{17} \cong 8\Delta\sigma_{18}.$$  

The indeterminacy is $i_{18} \circ R^{17}_{14} \circ 8\sigma_{17} = 0$ by [7]. That is, $8\Delta\sigma_{18} = [\mu_{15}]_{18}$. This completes the proof. □

We know $8\Delta\sigma_{14} = [\mu_{11}]_{14}$ [16, p. 111]. We propose

**Conjecture 2**  

$8\Delta\sigma_{4n+2} = [\mu_{4n-1}]_{4n+2}$ for $n \geq 5$.

We know that the stable $J$-image in $\pi^S_{24}(S^0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by $\sigma\bar{\mu} = \eta\bar{\rho}$. So, from the fact that $\pi^S_k(S^0) = 0$ ($k = 4, 5$), by using the exact sequence (24)$_n$ ($n = 20, 21$) and [17], we obtain the following.

(6.6)  

$R^n_{24} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\tau_7]_{18}\bar{\mu}_7\}$ for $n = 20$ and 21.

Since $\Delta(\nu_{21}) = [\sigma^2_{19}]$, we have $[\sigma^2_{19}]_{21}\eta_{23} = 0$ and $[\sigma^2_{19}]_{20}\eta_{23} \in \Delta(\pi^{20}_{25}) = 0$. This implies $[\sigma^2_{19}]_{19}\eta_{23} \in \Delta(\pi^{20}_{25}) = \{\Delta(\nu^2_{19})\}$. By [39, II-Proposition 2.1(10)] and [22, Theorem 1.1(b)], we have $J([\sigma^2_{19}]_{19}\eta_{23}) = \psi_{19}\eta_{42} \equiv \bar{\sigma}_{19} + \delta_{19} \pmod{\mu_{19}\sigma_{36}}$. This yields $\Delta(\nu^2_{19}) = [\sigma^2_{19}]_{19}\eta_{23}$. So, using the exact sequence (24)$_{18}$, we have the following.
Proposition 6.14 \( R_{24}^{19} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{19}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\sigma_{20}]_{19}\eta_{23}\} \), where \( \Delta(\nu_{19}) = [\sigma_{20}]_{19}\eta_{23} \).

The following result is easily obtained and it overlaps with that of [17].

Proposition 6.15 (1) \( R_{24}^{22} = \mathbb{Z}_4\{\Delta\nu_{22}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{22}]_{22}\} \).

(2) \( R_{24}^{23} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\eta_{23}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{22}]_{23}\} \).

(3) \( R_{24}^{24} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{24}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{22}]_{24}\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{24}]_{23}\} \), where \( \Delta\eta_{24} = [\eta_{22}]_{24} \).

(4) \( R_{24}^{25} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{25}]\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\{[\nu_{24}]_{25}\} \), where \( \Delta(\nu_{25}) = [\eta_{23}]_{25} \).

(5) \( R_{24}^{2n} = \mathbb{Z}_2\{[n]\} \) for \( n \geq 26 \).

By using the parallel argument to (6.5), we obtain
\[ \Delta(\eta_{24}\nu_{25}) = [\zeta_{21}]_{24}. \]

7 Determination of odd components

We shall state the group structure of the \( p \)-primary components \( \pi_{23+k}(R_n : p) \) for \( p \) an odd prime for \( k = 0, 1 \). We know isomorphisms for all \( k \);

\begin{align*}
(7.1) & \quad \pi_k(R_{2n+1} : p) \cong \pi_k(Sp(n) : p) \tag{10} \tag{3}; \\
(7.2) & \quad \pi_k(R_{2n+2} : p) \cong \pi_k(R_{2n+1} : p) \oplus \pi_k(S^{2n+1} : p) \tag{6} \text{ Proposition 18.2}. 
\end{align*}

The result is the following.

Proposition 7.1 The non-zero part of the odd prime components of \( \pi_k(R_n) \) for \( k = 23 \) and 24 is isomorphic to the following group;

(1) For \( k = 23 \), \( \mathbb{Z}_3(n = 6); \mathbb{Z}_3(n = 14) \);

(2) For \( k = 24 \), \( \mathbb{Z}_{33}(n = 6); \mathbb{Z}_3(n = 7); \mathbb{Z}_3(n = 8); \mathbb{Z}_{15}(n = 10); \mathbb{Z}_3(n = 12); \mathbb{Z}_{63}(n = 14); \mathbb{Z}_{15}(n = 18); \mathbb{Z}_3(n = 22) \).

Proof. We have \( \pi_k(R_1) = \pi_k(R_2) = 0 \) for \( k = 23 \) and 24 since \( R_1 \) is a point and \( R_2 = S^1 \). Let be \( m \geq 1 \) and \( p \) an odd prime. We will show (1). By using the relation (7.1) and the fact that \( \pi_{23}(Sp(m) : p) = 0 \) from [26] and [7], we have \( \pi_{23}(R_{2m+1} : p) = 0 \). By [36] and [23], we know that the non-zero
part of the odd prime components of $\pi_{23}(S^{2m+1})$ are $\pi_{23}(S^5 : 3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\pi_{23}(S^{13} : 3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Thus by using the relation (7.2), we have (1).

Next, we will show (2). By using the relation (7.1), we have $\pi_{24}(R_3 : p) = \pi_{24}(S_2^m + 1 : p) = 0$ from $\pi_{24}(Sp(1) : p) \cong \pi_{24}(Sp(3) : p) = 0$ [20] and $\pi_{24}(Sp(2) : p) = 0$ [8]. We recall that $\pi_{24}(Sp(2)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ and $\pi_{23}(Sp(2)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ by [8] and [23]. By the following exact sequence

$$\pi_{24}(Sp(2)) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{24}(Sp(3)) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_{24}(S_{11}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{23}(Sp(2)),$$

we have $\pi_{24}(Sp(3) : p) \cong \pi_{24}(S_{11} : p)$. So, by the fact that $\pi_{24}(S_{11}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $\pi_{24}(Sp(3) : 3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\pi_{24}(Sp(3) : p) = 0$ for $p \geq 5$. Thus we have $\pi_{24}(R_7 : 3) \cong \pi_{24}(Sp(3) : 3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\pi_{24}(R_7 : p) = 0$ for $p \geq 5$. Similarly, we have $\pi_{24}(R_{2m+1} : p) = 0$ for $m \geq 4$ by relations $\pi_{24}(Sp(4) : p) = \pi_{24}(Sp(5) : p) = 0$ from [25] and $\pi_{24}(Sp(m) : p) = 0$ for $m \geq 6$ from [7]. Thus we have (2) for $n = 2m + 1$. By [26], for $m \geq 2$, we know that the non-zero part of the odd prime components of $\pi_{24}(S^{2m+1})$ are $\mathbb{Z}_{33}(m = 2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{15}(m = 4)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3(m = 5)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{63}(m = 6)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{15}(m = 8)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3(m = 10)$. Hence by using (7.2) and (2) for $n = 2m + 1$, we obtain (2) for $n = 2m + 2$. This leads to (2) and completes the proof.

Acknowledgement: The third author was supported in part by funding from Fukuoka University (Grant No. 195001)

References


[8] Y. Furukawa: The homotopy groups $\pi_i(SU(3))$, $\pi_i(SU(4))$ and $\pi_i(Sp(2))$ for $I = 24, 25, 26$ and 27, Bull. Aichi Univ. of Education, 27 (1978), 71–79.


54

[34] N. E. Steenrod: The topology of the fiber bundles, Princeton Univ. 1951.


Yoshihiro Hirato
Department of General Education, Nagano National College of Technology
716 Tokuma, Nagano 381-8550, Japan
hirato@nagano-nct.ac.jp

Jin-ho Lee
DATA SCIENCE, PULSE9. Inc.
122, Mapo-daero, Mapo-gu, Seoul, Korea
jhlee@pulse9.net

Toshiyuki Miyauchi
Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University
8-19-1 Nanakuma, Jonan-ku, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan
tmiyauchi@fukuoka-u.ac.jp
Juno Mukai
Shinshu University
3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan
jmukai@shinshu-u.ac.jp

Mariko Ohara
National Institute of Technology, Oshima College
1091-1 Komatsu, Suo-Oshima, Oshima, Yamaguchi 742-2193, Japan
primarydecomposition@gmail.com