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ABSTRACT. In this article we strengthen the residual result of irregular points by Dowker to that for any transitive system, either all transitive points have no irregular behavior and are contained in a Birkhoff basin of a fixed invariant measure or irregular behavior exists and the problem of any ‘typical’ continuous function is residual in the whole space and so does their intersection. And then we introduce a sufficient condition weaker than classical specification property or gluing property such that the irregular behavior appears and many examples not covered by systems with specification or almost specifications can be applied including systems with approximate product property or gluing orbit property, e.g., S-gap shifts, and several systems without approximate product property, e.g., all minimal but not uniquely ergodic systems etc. In this process we also find that the set of points with some behavior of maximal oscillation is residual in the whole space.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let \((X, d)\) be a compact metric space without isolated point, and \(T : X \to X\) be a continuous map. Such \((X, T)\) is called a dynamical system. Let \(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(X, T), \mathcal{M}^e(X, T)\) denote the spaces of probability measures, \(T\)-invariant, \(T\)-ergodic probability measures, respectively. Let \(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}^+\) denote non-negative integers, positive integers, respectively. Let \(C(X)\) denote the space of real continuous functions on \(X\) with the norm \(\|f\| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|\). In a Baire space, a set is said to be residual if it has a dense \(G_\delta\) subset. For any \(f \in C(X)\), define the \(f\)-irregular set as

\[
I(f, T) := \left\{ x \in X \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) \text{ diverges} \right\}.
\]

The \(f\)-irregular set and the irregular set, the union of \(I(f, T)\) over all continuous functions of \(f\) (denoted by \(IR(T)\)), arise in the context of multifractal analysis and have been studied a lot, for example, see [19, 35, 2, 36, 7, 43, 18]. The irregular points are also called points with historic behavior, see [39, 42].

Note that \(I(f, T) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} \cap_{N \geq N} \cup_{n \geq N} \cup_{m \geq N} \left\{ x \in X \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(T^i x) \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\} \), and \(IR(T) = \bigcup_{f \in C(X)} I(f, T) = \bigcup_{f \in C(X)} \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} I(f_i, T)\) where \(\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+}\) is a dense subset of \(C(X)\). So \(I(f, T)\) and \(IR(T)\) are both Borel sets. From Birkhoff’s ergodic theorem, the irregular set is not detectable from the point of view of any invariant measure. However, the irregular set may have strong dynamical complexity in sense of Hausdorff dimension, Lebesgue positive measure, topological entropy, topological pressure, distributional chaos and residual property etc. Pesin and Pitskel [35] are the first to notice the phenomenon of the irregular set carrying full topological entropy in the case of the full shift on two symbols. There are lots of advanced results to show that the irregular points can carry full entropy in symbolic systems, hyperbolic systems, non-uniformly expanding or hyperbolic systems, and systems with specification-like or shadowing-like properties, for example, see [2, 36, 7, 43, 18, 30, 44]. For topological pressure case see [43], for Lebesgue positive measure see [42, 26], for distributional chaos see [9] and for residual property see [17, 29].

1.1. Main results. In this article, we study complexity of irregular set from the perspective of residual property. Firstly we strengthen Dowker’s theorem [19].

**Theorem 1.1.** [19, Theorem 3] Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system. Let \(\Omega = \{T^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\) for some \(x \in IR(T)\), then \(IR(T|\Omega) \cap \Omega\) is residual in \(\Omega\).
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As a consequence, for transitive dynamical system $(X,T)$, either every transitive point is not in irregular set, or irregular set is residual in $X$. We will strengthen this result to a stronger one (Theorem B) which states either there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)$ such that every transitive point is generic for $\mu$, or there exists a residual set whose each element is transitive and is in almost completely irregular set. We call \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}(X,T)} I(f,T) \) almost completely irregular set where $\mathcal{R}(X,T) := \{ f \in C(X) \mid I(f,T) \text{ is residual in } X \}$.

Before that we introduce some notations. We denote $C(X,T), \mathcal{D}(X,T)$ the set of real continuous functions whose irregular set is nonempty, dense in $X$, respectively:

\[
C(X,T) := \{ f \in C(X) \mid I(f,T) \neq \emptyset \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}(X,T) := \{ f \in C(X) \mid I(f,T) \text{ is dense in } X \}.
\]

Obviously, $\mathcal{R}(X,T) \subset \mathcal{D}(X,T) \subset C(X,T)$. For convenience, we denote $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(X,T)$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(X,T)$, $\mathcal{C} = C(X,T)$.

**Theorem A.** Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system. Let $\Omega = \{ T^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ for some $x \in \mathcal{R}(T)$, then $\mathcal{R}(\Omega, T|_{\Omega})$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(\Omega)$ and \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}(\Omega,T|_{\Omega})} I(f,T|_{\Omega}) \) is residual in $\Omega$.

Given a point $x \in X$, let $V_T(x)$ be the set of accumulation points of the empirical measure of the Birkhoff average $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{T^i y}$, where $\delta_y$ is the Dirac measure at point $y$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be generic for some invariant measure $\mu$ if $V_T(x) = \{ \mu \}$. Let $G_\mu$ denote the set of all generic points for $\mu$, called the Birkhoff basin of $\mu$. For each nonempty compact connected subset $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X,T)$, define $G_K := \{ x \in X \mid V_T(x) = K \}$, called the saturated set of $K$. A dynamical system is said to be transitive if $N(U,V) := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid U \cap T^{-n} V \neq \emptyset \}$ is nonempty for any nonempty open set $U$ and $V$. Denote the set of transitive points of $(X,T)$ by

\[
\text{Trans}(X,T) := \{ x \in X \mid \text{orb}(x,T) \text{ is dense in } X \},
\]

where \( \text{orb}(x,T) = \{ T^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \). It is known that $\text{Trans}(X,T)$ is either empty or residual in $X$ when there is no isolated point in $X$. For any $f \in C(X)$, let

\[
f_{\inf}^T = \inf_{x \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\sup}^T = \sup_{x \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x).
\]

We denote $I_f[a,b] := \{ x \in X \mid \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = a, \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = b \}$ and $I^*[a,b] := I_f[a,b] \cap \text{Trans}(X,T)$ for any $a \leq b$. Define $C_{\text{trans}} := \{ f \in C(X) \mid f_{\inf}^T \neq f_{\sup}^T \}$. Now we state our first result for transitive systems.

**Theorem B.** Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a transitive dynamical system.

1. The subset of points with maximal oscillation in the set of transitive points $X^{\text{trans}} := \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid V_T(x) = \bigcup_{f \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} V_f(y) \}$ is residual in $X$.

2. Either there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)$ such that $\text{Trans}(X,T) \subset G_\mu$ which implies $G_\mu$ is residual in $X$, or

   (a) $\mathcal{R}$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(X)$ and $\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}} I(f,T)$ is residual in $X$;

   (b) $X^{\text{trans}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}} I(f,T)$;

   (c) $C_{\text{trans}} = \mathcal{R}$.

3. $X^{\text{trans}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in C(X)} I_f[f_{\inf}^T, f_{\sup}^T]$ and thus the later set is also residual in $X$.

We also obtain a result in subadditive sequences which can be applicable to cocycles. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of functions from $X$ to $\mathbb{R}$ will be called subadditive if, for each $x \in X$ and $m,n \geq 1$, the inequality $f_{m+n}(x) \leq f_m(T^m x) + f_n(x)$ is satisfied. We denote $C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R}))$ the space of continuous map $A : X \to GL(d,\mathbb{R})$ taking values into the space of $d \times d$ real invertible matrices with the norm $||A|| := \sup \| A(x) \|$. Given $A \in C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R}))$, let $A_n(x) = A(T^{n-1} x) \cdots A(T x) A(x)$. The triple $(T,X,A)$ is a
linear cocycle. For any $x \in X$, denote $\chi(x) = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A_n(x)\|$ and $\chi(x) = \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A_n(x)\|$, if $\chi(x) = \underline{\chi}(x)$, we denote it by $\chi(x)$. We denote $LI_A := \{ x \in X \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A_n(x)\| \text{ diverges } \}$ the set of Lyapunov-irregular points, and denote $C_d$, $R_d$ the set of real continuous matrix functions, whose Lyapunov-irregular set is nonempty, residual in $X$, respectively:

$$C_d := \{ A \in C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R})) \mid LI_A \neq \emptyset \},$$

$$R_d := \{ A \in C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R})) \mid LI_A \text{ is residual in } X \}.$$  

Obviously, $R_d \subseteq C_d$.

**Theorem C.** Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a transitive dynamical system.

(1) Given a subadditive sequence $\mathfrak{F} = \{ f_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $f_n \in C(X)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if we denote $\mathfrak{F}^T_{\sup} = \sup_{x \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n(x)$, then $E_{\mathfrak{F}^T_{\sup}} = \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n(x) = \mathfrak{F}^T_{\sup} \}$ is residual in $X$.

(2) Either there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $\text{Trans}(X,T) \subseteq G_\mu$, or $R_d$ is dense in $C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R}))$.

(3) Given $A \in C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R}))$, if we denote $A^T_{\sup} = \sup_{x \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} \chi(x)$ and $A^T_{\inf} = \inf_{x \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} \chi(x)$, then $E_{A^T_{\sup}} = \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \chi(x) \geq A^T_{\sup} \}$ and $E_{A^T_{\inf}} = \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \chi(x) \leq A^T_{\inf} \}$ are residual in $X$. Moreover, either $A^T_{\inf} = A^T_{\sup}$, or $LI_A$ is residual in $X$.

**Remark 1.1.** For any uniquely ergodic system, one has $C = D = \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. But there exist a uniquely ergodic and minimal system $(X,T)$ and $A \in C(X,GL(d,\mathbb{R}))$ such that $LI_A$ is residual in $X$ by [20].

**Remark 1.2.** In page 264 of his book [31], Ricardo Mañé wrote: “In general, (Lyapunov) regular points are very few from the topological point of view – they form a set of first category.” The third named author proved in [46] that if $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism of a compact metric space $X$ with exponential specification property, $A : X \to GL(d,\mathbb{R})$ is a Hölder continuous matrix function, then either $\int \chi(x) d\mu_1 = \int \chi(x) d\mu_2$ for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}^e(X,T)$ or the Lyapunov-irregular set $LI_A$ is residual in $X$. According to Theorem C, if $(X,T)$ is a transitive dynamical system, then either $\int \chi(x) d\mu_1 = \int \chi(x) d\mu_2$ for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}^e(X,T)$ with $S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2} = X$ or the Lyapunov-irregular set $LI_A$ is residual in $X$, see Corollary 3.I.

Next, we will introduce a new property of dynamical systems which give a sufficient condition for that $\mathcal{R}$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(X)$. Before that, we recall specification-like properties. The specification property ($SP$) introduced by Bowen [5] plays an important role in hyperbolic dynamics and thermodynamical formalism. Based on this property, a number of classical results have been established, including growth rate of periodic orbits [5], uniqueness of equilibrium states [6] and so on. In recent years this notion served as a basis for many developments in the theory of dynamical systems, especially in multifractal analysis [43, 45, 8, 17, 29]. For systems with specification property, Li and Wu showed in [29] that $I(f,T)$ is either empty or residual for any $f \in C(X)$. Dong, Tian and Yuan proved in [17] that for systems with asymptotic average shadowing property and measure center coinciding with $X$, $I(f,T)$ is either empty or residual for any $f \in C(X)$ and $\bigcap_{f \in C(X)} I(f,T)$ is residual in $X$.

Weak variations of specification property, called specification-like properties, have been introduced to study broader classes of systems, such as the almost specification property ($ASP$)[12, 32], the approximate product property ($ApPP$)[37] and the almost product property ($AlPP$)[38, 27]. It is known that (see [48] for more information)

$$SP \Rightarrow ASP \Rightarrow ApPP \text{ and } SP \Rightarrow AlPP \Rightarrow ApPP.$$  

**Definition 1.1.** We say that $(X,T)$ has the approximate product property ($ApPP$), if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$, there exists $N(\varepsilon, \delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for any $n \geq N$ and any sequence $\{ x_i \}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ of $X$, there exist a sequence of integers $\{ h_j \}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ and $x \in X$ satisfying $h_i = 0$, $n \leq h_{i+1} - h_i \leq n(1 + \delta_2)$ and

$$\# \{ 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{h_i+j}x, T^jx) \geq \varepsilon \} \leq \delta_1 n.$$
Now, we will introduce a new property of dynamical systems. It is weaker than most known versions of specification-like properties. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we can define two integer value functions $m = m(\varepsilon, x, y, n) \in \mathbb{N}$, $g = g(\varepsilon, x, y, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(\varepsilon, x, y, n) \leq n$. Denote $\Lambda_n := \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

**Definition 1.2.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system, $Y \subset X$ is a nonempty $T$-invariant Borel set. We say $(X, T)$ has $m$-$g$-product property from $X$ to $Y$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_1 \in X$, $x_2 \in Y$, there exists $N(\varepsilon, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and for any positive integer $n \geq N$, there exist $z \in X$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_n$, such that $0 \leq p \leq m(\varepsilon, x_1, x_2, n)$, $|\Lambda_n \setminus \Lambda| \leq g(\varepsilon, x_1, x_2, n)$, and

$$d(z, x_1) < \varepsilon,$$  $$d(T^{p+j}z, T^jx_2) < \varepsilon$$  for any $j \in \Lambda$.

In particular, when $Y = X$, we say $(X, T)$ has $m$-$g$-product property. If $(X, T)$ has $m$-$g$-product property and is transitive, we say $(X, T)$ is $m$-$g$-transitive. If $m \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$, we will omit $m$ or $g$.

**Remark 1.3.** For any transitive system, we can find an integer function $m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)$ such that it is a $m$-transitive system.

We give a definition of $\kappa$-controlled functions.

**Definition 1.3.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system. For any $f \in C(X)$, we say $f$ is $\kappa$-controlled for some $0 < \kappa < 1$ if

\[
\sup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu - \inf_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu > \kappa \sup_{x \in X} \int f d\mu \]

for any $0 < \kappa < 1$, we denote $C_\kappa(X) := \{f \in C(X) \mid f$ is $\kappa$-controlled $\}$.

Now, we consider $m$-$g$-transitive systems which give a sufficient condition for that $R$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(X)$.

**Theorem D.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system. Let $0 < \kappa < 1$, if $(X, T)$ is not uniquely ergodic and has $m$-$g$-product property with

$$\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} < \kappa$$  and  $$\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$. Then

1. $C_{\frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n}}(X)$ is a nonempty open set and $C_{\frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n}}(X) \subset R$, but $C_{\frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n}}(X)$ is not dense in $C(X)$;
2. when $(X, T)$ is transitive, $R$ is an open and dense set in $C(X)$, $C_{\frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n}}(X) \subseteq R$ and $\bigcap_{f \in C_{\frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n}}(X)} I(f, T)$ is residual in $X$.

Next, we give sufficient conditions for that $C = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R}$. We recall that measure center is $C_T(X) := \bigcup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} S_\mu$ where $S_\mu := \{x \in X : \mu(U) > 0$ for any neighborhood $U$ of $x\}$ is the support of $\mu$. We denote

$$C_{\text{inv}} := \{f \in C(X) \mid \inf_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu \},$$  $$C_{\text{erg}} := \{f \in C(X) \mid \inf_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in M^{\text{erg}}(X, T)} \int f d\mu \}.$$

Obviously, $C_{\text{erg}} \subset C_{\text{inv}}$ by ergodic decomposition and $C \subseteq C_{\text{erg}} = C_{\text{inv}}$.

**Theorem E.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system which is not uniquely ergodic.

1. If $(X, T)$ satisfies $m$-$g$-product property with $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} + \frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$, then $\{x \in X \mid V_T(x) = \bigcup_{y \in X} V_T(y)\}$ is residual in $X$.
2. If $(X, T)$ satisfies $m$-$g$-product property with $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} + \frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} = C_{\text{inv}} = C_{\text{erg}} = \emptyset$, $\{x \in X \mid V_T(x) = \bigcup_{y \in X} V_T(y)\} \subset \bigcap_{f \in C} I(f, T)$ and $\bigcap_{f \in C} I(f, T)$ is residual in $X$. 

\[
\bigcap_{f \in C} I(f, T) \text{ is residual in } X.
\]
It is well-known that \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}} I(f, T) \) is residual in \( X \).

Remark 1.4. In [45], the set \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}} I(f, T) \) is called to be completely-irregular set and is proved to carry full topological pressure for non-uniquely ergodic systems with almost specification property.

Remark 1.5. There exists transitive system which is not uniquely ergodic such that \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} = \emptyset \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \neq \emptyset \). See for instance [25, Section 4] or Theorem J(1).

1.2. Examples.

1.2.1. Examples with approximate product property. First, let’s recall the definition of the gluing orbit property. The gluing orbit property was firstly introduced in [41] with the terminology of transitive specification property and reintroduced in [4] with the terminology of gluing orbit property. See [3] for examples satisfying gluing orbit property. The following definition is proved in [40, Lemma 2.10] to be equivalent to original definition.

Definition 1.4. We say that \( (X, T) \) has the gluing orbit property, if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there is \( M = M(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) such that for any \( \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+}, \{m_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} \), there is \( \{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} \) with \( 1 \leq t_i \leq M \) for any \( i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \). There is \( z \in X \), such that for any \( j \in \mathbb{N}^+ \),

\[ d(T^{s_j + t_j}z, T^{s_j}x_j) < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } l = 0, 1, \cdots, m_j - 1, \]

where

\[ s_1 := 0 \text{ and } s_j := \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (m_i + t_i - 1) \text{ for any } j \geq 2. \]

From the definition, we have that when a dynamical system satisfying the gluing orbit property, it has the approximante product property and it is \( m \)-transitive with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon)}{n} = 0 \).

We recall the definition of almost periodic point. A point \( x \in X \) is almost periodic, if for any open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \), there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( f^k(x) \in U \) for some \( k \in [n, n + N] \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). It is well-known that \( x \) is almost periodic, if and only if \( \overline{\text{orb}(x, T)} \) is a minimal set. Let \( \overline{\text{AP}} \) denote the set of almost periodic points.

Theorem F. Suppose that \( (X, T) \) is a dynamical system.

1. If \( (X, T) \) has approximate product property and \( C_T(X) = X \), then \( \overline{\text{AP}} = X \) and \( (X, T) \) is \( m \)-transitive with

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0 \]

for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x, y \in X \).

2. If \( (X, T) \) has gluing orbit property, then \( (X, T) \) has approximate product property and \( C_T(X) = \overline{\text{AP}} = X \).

3. If \( (X, T) \) has approximate product property. Then \( C_T(X) = \overline{\text{AP}} \) and \( (C_T(X), T|_{C_T(X)}) \) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property.

Obviously, there are many \( m \)-transitive systems with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m(c, x, y, n)}{n} = 0 \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x, y \in X \), such as systems satisfying specification property or gluing orbit property including transitive subshift of finite type, mixing interval map, \( \beta \)-shift, transitive sofic system and examples in [3]. Here we show that \( S \)-gap shift is \( m \)-transitive. For any subshift \( X \) of full shift \( \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{Z} \), denote \( \mathcal{F}(X) \) the set of forbidden words. For any finite set \( A \subset \mathbb{N} \), denote \( \max A \) the max element in \( A \).

Definition 1.5. Suppose that \( S \subset \mathbb{N} \) is a nonempty set. A subshift \( X \) of \( \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{Z} \) is said to be a \( S \)-gap shift if

1. when \( S \) is finite, \( \mathcal{F}(X) = \{10^a1 \mid a \in \{0, 1, \cdots, \max S\} \cup \{0^1 + \max S\}\}; \)
Remark 1.6. Systems in [16] are sets of $(X,T)$.

Theorem H. Every $S$-gap shift has the approximate product property. Furthermore, for any $S$-gap shift $X$, there are $m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)$ and $g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)$ such that $X$ is $m$-transitive with 
\[\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0\]
for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$.

1.2.2. Examples without approximate product property. Next, we consider transitive systems without approximate product property.

Theorem I. Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a minimal system. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $m(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and any $\varepsilon' > 0$ there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in X$ such that $0 \leq l \leq m(\varepsilon)$, and
\[d(z, x_1) < \varepsilon, d(T^{l+j}z, T^jx_2) < \varepsilon'\]
for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, $(X, T)$ is $m$-transitive with 
\[\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon)}{n} = 0\]
Furthermore, when $(X, T)$ is not uniquely ergodic, then it does not satisfy the approximate product property, and $C = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{R} = C_{erg} = C_{inv} \neq \emptyset$ and
\[\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}} I(f, T)\]
is residual in $X$.

Remark 1.6. Dong and Tian gave direct way to prove the existence of irregular points for minimal systems in [16].

Denote $\text{Per}(X, T)$ the set of periodic points of $(X, T)$. Let
\[(Hyp^*): (X, T)\text{ is transitve, non-uniquely ergodic and doesn’t satisfy the conditions in Theorem D.}\]
\[(Hyp^{**}): (X, T)\text{ is transitve, has positive topological entropy, } C_T(X) \neq X\text{ and } \emptyset \neq \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}.\]
Then, we have the following.

Theorem J.
1. There is $(X, T)$ satisfying $(Hyp^*)$ with $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$, $C_{erg} = C_{inv} \neq \emptyset$, $C_T(X) = X$ and $(X, T)$ doesn’t satisfy the approximate product property.
2. There is $(X, T)$ satisfying $(Hyp^*)$ with $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$, positive topological entropy, $C_T(X) = X = \text{Per}(X, T)$ and $(X, T)$ doesn’t satisfy the approximate product property.
3. There is $(X, T)$ satisfying $(Hyp^{**})$ and almost product property and $\text{Per}(X, T) = C_T(X)$.
4. There is $(X, T)$ satisfying $(Hyp^{***)}$ with $\text{Per}(X, T) = \emptyset$ and $(X, T)$ doesn’t satisfy the approximate product property.
5. There is $(X, T)$ satisfying $(Hyp^{**})$ with $\text{Per}(X, T) = C_T(X)$ and $(X, T)$ doesn’t satisfy the approximate product property.

Finally, we describe a kind of classification of non uniquely ergodic transitive systems as Figure 1. Let $DS\mathcal{H}_n$ denote the set of $(X, T)$ satisfying: $(X, T)$ is not uniquely ergodic and is transitive; $DS\mathcal{H}_n$ denote the set of $(X, T)$ satisfying: $(X, T) \in DS\mathcal{H}_n$ and for any $f \in C(X)$ either $\sup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu = \inf_{\mu \in M(X, T)} \int f d\mu$, or $I(f, T)$ is residual in $X$; $DS\mathcal{M}_m$ denote the set of $(X, T)$ satisfying: $(X, T) \in DS\mathcal{M}_m$ and has $m$-product property with 
\[\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,\]
for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$; $DS_{\text{app}}$ denote the set of $(X, T)$ satisfying: $(X, T) \in DS_{\text{app}}$ has approximate product property; $DS_m$ denote the set of $(X, T)$ satisfying: $(X, T) \in DS_m$ and $(X, T)$ is minimal; $DS_{KW}$ denote the $(X, T)$ in Theorem J(1).
1.3. **Maximal oscillation.** First, we define a metric $\rho$ on $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Let $\{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ be a dense subset of $C(X)$ and $||g_i|| \neq 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. For any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$,

$$
\rho(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\left| \int g_i d\mu_1 - \int g_i d\mu_2 \right|}{2^i ||g_i||}.
$$

Define $f_i = g_i/||g_i||$, then $||f_i|| = 1$ and

$$
\rho(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\left| \int f_i d\mu_1 - \int f_i d\mu_2 \right|}{2^i}.
$$

For any $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X,T)$, denote $G^K = \{ x \in X \mid K \subset \text{V}_T(x) \}$, and $G^K_\varepsilon = \{ x \in X \mid \sup_{\mu \in K} \rho(\mu, \text{V}_T(x)) \leq \varepsilon \}$. Now we define some subsets of $\mathcal{M}(X,T)$.

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{full}}^\text{erg} := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}^e(X,T) \mid S_\mu = X \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} := \mathcal{M}^e(X,T),
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{inv}} := \mathcal{M}(X,T),
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{nemp}} := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \mid G^\mu \neq \emptyset \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \mid G^\mu \text{ is dense in } X \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}} := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \mid G^\mu \text{ is residual in } X \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{nemp}}^* := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \mid G^\mu_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0 \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}}^* := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \mid G^\mu_\varepsilon \text{ is dense in } X \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0 \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{res}}^* := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \mid G^\mu_\varepsilon \text{ is residual in } X \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0 \},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} := \bigcup_{y \in X} \text{V}_T(y),
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{max}}^T := \bigcup_{y \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} \text{V}_T(y).
$$

**Theorem K.** Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system. Then

(1) $G_{\text{dense}}^\mu$ is residual in $X$. And

(1.1) for any $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X,T)$, if $G^K_\varepsilon$ is dense in $X$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then $G^K$ is residual in $X$;
(1.2) for any $\mu \subset \mathcal{M}(X,T)$, if $G^\mu_\varepsilon$ is dense in $X$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then $G^\mu$ is residual in $X$;
(1.3) for any $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X,T)$, the following conditions are equivalent:
Proposition 2.2. Suppose that $(X, T)$ satisfies m-g-product property with \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c, x, y, n)}{n} = 0 \), for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x, y \in X \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{B}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \) is residual in \( X \). And

(2.1) for any \( K \in \mathcal{M}(X, T) \), if \( G_{\varepsilon}^{K} \neq \emptyset \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \) is residual in \( X \);

(2.2) for any \( \mu \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X, T) \), if \( G_{\varepsilon}^{\mu} \neq \emptyset \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\mu} \) is residual in \( X \).

(3) if \((X, T)\) satisfies approximate product property and \( C_{T}(X) = X \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{res}} \) is residual in \( X \). And

(3.1) for any \( K \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X, T) \), \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \) is residual in \( X \);

(3.2) for any \( \mu \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X, T) \), \( G_{\text{max}}^{\mu} \) is residual in \( X \).

(4) if \((X, T)\) is not uniquely ergodic, then for any \( K \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X, T) \), \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \subseteq X \) if and only if \( K \neq \emptyset \). In particular, if \( \#K > 1 \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \subseteq IR(T) \subseteq X \).

Remark 1.7. In [22], the authors show that when \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying g-almost product property and \( C_{T}(X) = X \), \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \) is dense in \( X \) for any nonempty compact connected subset \( K \) of \( \mathcal{M}(X, T) \). By Theorem K(3), \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \) is residual in \( X \), so \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \) is not residual in \( X \) when \( K \neq \emptyset \). In contrast, \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \) is residual in \( X \) for any \( K \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X, T) \) by Theorem K(3). It is unknown whether \( G_{\text{max}}^{K} \neq \emptyset \) for any nonempty compact connected subset \( K \) of \( \mathcal{M}(X, T) \) when \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property.

2. Maximal oscillation: proof of Theorem K

We state some more general results which imply Theorem K.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system. Then

1. \( G_{\text{max}}^{f_{\text{ERG}}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \subset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{dense}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{res}} \subset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{T}} \subset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{erg}} \), \( X_{\text{cen}} \) are all Borel sets, where \( X_{\text{cen}} := \{ x \in X \mid C_{T}(x) \subseteq \omega_{T}(x) \} \);

2. \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \) is residual in \( X \);

3. if \((X, T)\) is transitive, then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \) is residual in \( X \);

4. if \((X, T)\) satisfies m-g-product property with \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c, x, y, n)}{n} = 0 \), for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x, y \in X \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \) is residual in \( X \);

5. if \((X, T)\) satisfies m-g-product property from \( X \) to \( Y \) for some nonempty \( T \)-invariant Borel set \( Y \subset X \) with \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c, x, y, n)}{n} = 0 \), for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x \in X \), \( y \in Y \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \) is residual in \( X \);

6. if \((X, T)\) satisfies approximate product property and \( C_{T}(X) = X \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \) is residual in \( X \).

7. if \((X, T)\) satisfies approximate product property, then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \cap C_{T}(X) \) is residual in \( C_{T}(X) \);

Proposition 2.2. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system. Then

1. \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{inv}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{nemp}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{nemp}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{T}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \), and \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \supset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \supset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}} \supset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{dense}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{res}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{T}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{erg}} \).

2. \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{nemp}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{T}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \).

3. if \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}} \neq \emptyset \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}} \) is a nonempty compact connected subset of \( \mathcal{M}(X, T) \);

4. if \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \neq \emptyset \), then \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{nemp}} \) is a nonempty compact connected subset of \( \mathcal{M}(X, T) \).

5. \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{inv}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{nemp}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{T}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \).

6. if \((X, T)\) is transitive, then \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{T}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{T}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\text{max}} \) is a nonempty compact connected subset of \( \mathcal{M}(X, T) \) and \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{dense}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{res}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{T}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{erg}} = G_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}} \).

* \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}} \) is dense in \( X \);

* \( G_{\text{max}}^{\text{max}} \) is residual in \( X \);

* \( K \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text{res}} \).
(5) If \((X, T)\) satisfies \(m\)-g-product property with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c(x, y, n)) + g(c(x, y, n))}{n} = 0\), for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x, y \in X\), then \(M_{\text{emp}}^\ast = M_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{nemp}} = M_{\text{dense}}^\ast = M_{\text{res}} = M_{\text{dense}} = M_{\text{res}}\), and \(G M_{\text{emp}}^\ast = G M_{\text{max}} = G M_{\text{nemp}} = G M_{\text{dense}} = G M_{\text{res}} = G M_{\text{res}} = G M_{\text{res}}\).

(6) If \((X, T)\) satisfies \(m\)-g-product property from \(X\) to \(Y\) for some nonempty \(T\)-invariant Borel set \(Y \subset X\) with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c(x, y, n)) + g(c(x, y, n))}{n} = 0\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x \in X, y \in Y\), then \(\bigcup_{y \in Y} V_T(y) \subset M_{\text{res}}\).

(7) If \(\mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} = M_{\text{inv}}\) and \(C_T(X) = X\), then \(M_{\text{inv}} = M_{\text{emp}}^\ast = M_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{nemp}} = M_{\text{dense}} = M_{\text{res}} = M_{\text{dense}} = M_{\text{res}} = M_{\text{dense}} = M_{\text{res}} = M_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{max}} = G M_{\text{full}}\).

Remark 2.1. \(M_{\text{erg}}\) and \(M_{\text{full}}\) are usually not compact. On one hand, when \((X, T)\) has specification property, one has \(\mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} = M_{\text{inv}}\) and \(M_{\text{inv}} \setminus M_{\text{erg}} \neq \emptyset\), hence \(M_{\text{erg}}\) is not compact. On the other hand, when \((X, T)\) is minimal and \(\mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} < +\infty\), one has \(M_{\text{erg}} = M_{\text{full}}\) is compact. In [14], Example 27.1 is a minimal system with \(\mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} = 2\). It is unknown whether there is \((X, T)\) such that \(M_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{nemp}}\) are not compact.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system. Then \(G M_{\text{inv}} = G M_{\text{inv}}\), \(G M_{\text{max}} = G M_{\text{max}}\) and if \(M_{\text{erg}} \neq \emptyset\), one has \(G M_{\text{erg}} = G M_{\text{max}}\).

2.1. Proof of Proposition 2.1. Before proving the proposition, we need some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. For any nonempty open set \(V \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T)\), let

\[ U(N_0, V) := \bigcup_{N>N_0} \left\{ x \in X \mid \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta_{T_j x} \in V \right\}. \]

(1) If \(\{y \in X \mid V \cap V_T(y) \neq \emptyset\}\) is dense in \(X\), then \(U(N_0, V)\) is an open and dense in \(X\).

(2) If \((X, T)\) satisfies \(m\)-g-product property from \(X\) to \(Y\) for some nonempty \(T\)-invariant Borel set \(Y \subset X\) with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c(x, y, n)) + g(c(x, y, n))}{n} = 0\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x \in X, y \in Y\), and there are \(y' \in Y\) and \(\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)\) such that \(\mu \in V \cap V_T(y')\), then \(U(N_0, V)\) is an open and dense in \(X\).

Proof. It is obvious that \(U(N_0, V)\) is an open set, we only need to prove that \(U(N_0, V)\) is dense in \(X\).

(1) Note that \(\{y \in X \mid V \cap V_T(y) \neq \emptyset\} \subset U(N_0, V)\), one has item (1).

(2) For any \(x_0 \in X, \delta_1 > 0, N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+\), we will show that \(U(N_0, V) \cap B(x_0, \delta_1) \neq \emptyset\) where \(B(x_0, \delta_1) := \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) < \delta_1\}\).

Choose \(\tau > 0\) and \(K \in \mathbb{N}^+\) such that

\[ \forall u \in \mathcal{M}(X), \text{if } \forall 1 \leq k \leq K, \int f_k d\mu - \int f_k d\mu| < \tau, \text{ then } u \in V. \]

Due to the compactness of \(X\), we can find a constant \(\delta_2 > 0\) such that for any \(x_1, x_2 \in X\) satisfying \(d(x_1, x_2) < \delta_2\), one has \([f_k(x_1) - f_k(x_2)] < \frac{\tau}{4}\), for any \(1 \leq k \leq K\). Let \(\varepsilon = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}\). Since

\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c(x_0, y, n)) + g(c(x_0, y, n))}{n} = 0, \]

there exists \(M \in \mathbb{N}^+\) such that for any \(n \geq M\), we have

\[ n \geq N(\varepsilon, x_0, y), n > N_0, \frac{2g}{n} < \frac{\tau}{4} \text{ and } \frac{m(1 + |\alpha_k|)}{n} < \frac{\tau}{4}, \]

for any \(1 \leq k \leq K\).

Since \(\mu \in V_T(y')\), there exists \(n \geq M\), such that \(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_k(T^i y) - \alpha_k < \frac{\tau}{4}\), for any \(1 \leq k \leq K\), where \(\alpha_k = \int f_k d\mu\).

Then by \(m\)-g-product property of \((X, T)\), there is \(z \in X, p \in \mathbb{N}^+, \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_n := \{0, \ldots, n-1\}\) such that \(0 \leq p \leq m, |\Lambda_n \setminus \Lambda| \leq \rho, \) and

\[ d(z, x_0) < \varepsilon \leq \delta_1, d(T^{p+j} z, T^j y') < \varepsilon \leq \delta_2 \text{ for any } j \in \Lambda. \]

Thereby \(|f_k(T^{p+j} z) - f_k(T^j y')| < \frac{\tau}{4}, \forall j \in \Lambda, \forall 1 \leq k \leq K.\)
Then for any $1 \leq k \leq K$, we have
\[
\left| \frac{1}{p+n} \sum_{i=0}^{p+n-1} f_k(T^i z) - \alpha_k \right| \leq \frac{1}{p+n} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} |f_k(T^i z) - \alpha_k| + \frac{n}{p+n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_k(T^{p+i} z) - \alpha_k \right)
\]
\[
\leq p\left( \|f_k\| + |\alpha_k| \right) + \frac{n}{p+n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_k(T^{p+i} z) - f_k(T^i y') \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{n}{p+n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_k(T^i y') - \alpha_k \right)
\]
\[
\leq m\left( \|f_k\| + |\alpha_k| \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (f_k(T^{p+i} z) - f_k(T^i y')) + \frac{n\tau}{4(p+n)}
\]
\[
< m \left( 1 + |\alpha_k| \right) + \frac{\tau}{4} + \frac{2g\|f_k\|}{n} + \frac{\tau}{4}
\]
\[
< \tau,
\]
by (2.1). So one has $\frac{1}{p+n} \sum_{i=0}^{p+n-1} \delta_{T^i z} \in V$ and $U(N_0, V) \cap B(x_0, \delta_1) \neq \emptyset$. As a result, $U(N_0, V)$ is dense in $X$.

Lemma 2.2. [37, Proposition 2.3, Theorem 2.1] Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property, then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ and any neighbourhood $U$ of $\mu$, there is a closed $T$-invariant set $Y \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(Y, T) \subset U$. Furthermore, the ergodic measures of $(X, T)$ are entropy-dense.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system. Then $G^K = G^\mathcal{R}$ for any $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T)$.

Proof. On one hand, by $K \subset \mathcal{R}$, we have $G^K \subset G^\mathcal{R}$. On the other hand, since $V_T(x)$ is a nonempty compact connected set for any $x \in X$ by [14, Proposition 3.8], then $V_T(y) \supset K$ for any $y \in G^K$. This means $G^K \subset G^\mathcal{R}$.

Now, we prove Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1: Let $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ be a nonempty compact set. We can find open balls \{\(V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+}\} and \{\(U_i\)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ such that
\begin{enumerate}
\item \(V_i \subset \overline{V}_i \subset U_i\);
\item \(\text{diam}(U_i) \longrightarrow 0\);
\item \(V_i \cap K \neq \emptyset\);
\item each point of $K$ lies in infinitely many $V_i$.
\end{enumerate}

Put \(P(U_i) := \{x \in X \mid V_T(x) \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}\), then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} P(U_i) = \{x \in X \mid V_T(x) \supset K\}$, and
\[
P(U_i) \supset \bigcap_{N_0=1}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{N>N_0} \left\{ x \in X \mid \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta_{T^j x} \in V_i \right\}.
\]
Let
\[
U(N_0, i) := \bigcup_{N>N_0} \left\{ x \in X \mid \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta_{T^j x} \in V_i \right\}.
\]
Then $U(N_0, i)$ is an open set. By Baire category theorem, if we have
\[
\forall N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^+, \ U(N_0, i) \text{ is dense in } X,
\]
then $\{x \in X \mid V_T(x) \supset K\}$ is residual in $X$. 

10 
XIAOBO HOU, WANSHAN LIN AND XUETING TIAN
Lemma 2.4. Suppose that \( X \) is a dynamical system. Then \( \omega_T(x) \supset \bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_\mu \) for any \( K \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T) \) and \( x \in G^K \).

2.2. Proof of Proposition 2.2.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose that \( (X, T) \) is a dynamical system. Then \( \omega_T(x) \supset \bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_\mu \) for any \( K \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T) \) and \( x \in G^K \).
Proof. For any $x \in G^K$ and $\mu \in K$, there exists $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \delta_{T^jx} = \mu$. Then for any $y \in S_\mu$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one has
\[
\liminf_{i \to \infty} \frac{\#\{0 \leq j \leq n_i - 1 \mid T^jx \in B(y, \varepsilon)\}}{n_i} = \liminf_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \delta_{T^jx}(B(y, \varepsilon)) \geq \mu(B(y, \varepsilon)) > 0
\]
which implies $y \in \omega_T(x)$. Thus $S_\mu \subset \omega_T(x)$ for any $\mu \in K$. Since $\omega_T(x)$ is closed, then $\bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_\mu \subset \omega_T(x)$.

Now, we prove Proposition 2.2.

**Proof of Proposition 2.2:** (1.1) It’s easy to see that $M_{\text{res}} \subset M_{\text{dense}} \subset M^*_\text{dense}$ and $M_{\text{res}} \subset M^*_\text{res} \subset M^*_\text{dense}$. By Proposition 2.1(2), $G^{M_{\text{dense}}}$ is residual in $X$. If $M^*_\text{dense} = \varnothing$, then $M^*_\text{res} = M^*_\text{res} = M^*_\text{dense} = M_{\text{res}} = \varnothing$. Otherwise, for any $\nu \in M_{\text{dense}}$, one has $G^{M_{\text{dense}}} \subset G^\nu$. Then $\nu \in M_{\text{res}}$. So $M_{\text{dense}} = M^*_\text{res} = M^*_\text{dense} = M_{\text{res}}$. Next, we show that $M_{\text{dense}} \subset M^*_\text{dense}$ which implies $M^*_\text{dense}$ is compact. For any $\mu \in M_{\text{dense}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\nu \in M_{\text{dense}}$ such that $\rho(\mu, \nu) < \varepsilon$. Then $G^\nu$ is dense in $X$. For any $x \in G^\nu$, one has $\nu \in \nu_T(x)$. Then $\rho(\mu, \nu_T(x)) < \rho(\mu, \nu) + \rho(\nu, \nu_T(x)) = \varepsilon$. So $G^\nu \subset G^\nu$. This means $\mu \in M^*_\text{dense}$.

(1.2) Since $M^*_\text{nemp} \supset M_{\text{max}} \supset M_{\text{erg}} \neq \varnothing$, one has $M^*_\text{nemp} \neq \varnothing$. Next, we show that $M^*_\text{nemp} \subset M^*_\text{nemp}$ which implies $M^*_\text{nemp}$ is compact. For any $\mu \in M^*_\text{nemp}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\nu \in M^*_\text{nemp}$ such that $\rho(\mu, \nu) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then $G^\nu \neq \varnothing$. For any $x \in G^\nu$, one has $\rho(\nu, \nu_T(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then $\rho(\mu, \nu_T(x)) < \rho(\mu, \nu) + \rho(\nu, \nu_T(x)) < \varepsilon$. So $G^\nu \subset G^\nu$. This means $\mu \in M^*_\text{nemp}$.

(1.3) If $G^{M_{\text{max}}} \neq \varnothing$, take $x \in G^{M_{\text{max}}}$. Then $M_{\text{max}}$ is nonempty compact connected subset of $M(X, T)$. So $\nu_T(x) \subset M_{\text{max}}$. Then $M^*_\text{nemp} \subset M_{\text{max}}$.

(1.4) If $G^{M^*_\text{nemp}} \neq \varnothing$, take $x \in G^{M^*_\text{nemp}}$. Then $M^*_\text{nemp} \subset M_{\text{max}}$.

(2) It is not hard to check and we omit the details.

(3) By Lemma 2.4, $\bigcup_{\mu \in M^*(X, T)} S_\mu \subset \omega_T(x)$ for any $x \in G^M_{\text{erg}}$. Since $\bigcup_{\mu \in M^*(X, T)} S_\mu = \bigcup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} S_\mu$ from [15, Lemma 2.7], one has $\omega_T(x) \supset \bigcup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} S_\mu = \bigcup_{\mu \in M(X, T)} S_\mu$.

(4) If $(X, T)$ is transitive, $M^*_{\text{max}}$ is nonempty. Then $M^*_\text{nemp} = M^*_\text{res} = M^*_\text{dense} = M_{\text{res}}$ is nonempty by $M^*_\text{dense} = M^*_\text{res} = M^*_\text{dense} = M_{\text{res}} \supset M^*_{\text{max}}$. By Proposition 2.1(3), $G^{M^*_\text{dense}} \cap \overline{\text{Trans}(X, T)}$ is residual in $X$. Since $M^{\text{dense}} \supset M^*_{\text{max}}$, we have $G^{M_{\text{dense}}} \subset G^{M^*_{\text{max}}}$. Then $G^{M_{\text{max}}} \cap \overline{\text{Trans}(X, T)}$ is residual in $X$. Then there exists $x \in \text{Trans}(X, T)$ such that $\nu_T(x) = M^*_{\text{max}}$ which implies $M^*_{\text{max}}$ is compact. Then $\nu_T(x)$ is a nonempty compact connected set by [14, Proposition 3.8]. For any $\nu \in M_{\text{res}}$, one has $G^\nu$ is residual in $X$. Thus $G^{M^*_{\text{max}}} \cap \overline{\text{Trans}(X, T)} \cap G^\nu$ is residual in $X$. So $\nu \in M^*_{\text{max}}$. This means $M^{\text{dense}} = M^*_\text{res} = M^*_\text{dense} = M_{\text{res}} = M^*_{\text{max}}$.

(5) We only need to prove that $M^*_\text{nemp} \subset M_{\text{res}}$. For any $\mu \in M^*_\text{nemp}$, one has $G^{M^*_\text{nemp}} \subset G^\mu$. By Proposition 2.1(4), $G^{M^*_\text{nemp}}$ is residual in $X$. So $\mu \in M_{\text{res}}$.

(6) For any $y \in Y$, $\mu \in V_T(y)$, one has $G^{\bigcup_{y \in Y} V_T(y)} \subset G^\mu$. By Proposition 2.1(5), $\bigcup_{y \in Y} V_T(y)$ is residual in $X$. So $\mu \in M_{\text{res}}$.

(7) By the proof of Proposition 2.1(6), $\{\mu \in M^*(X, T) \mid S_\mu = X\}$ is residual in $M(X, T)$. Then $M^*_{\text{erg}} = \bigcup_{\nu \in M_{\text{res}}} \nu$. So by item (1)(2), $M_{\text{erg}}^* = M_{\text{nemp}} = M^*_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{nemp}} = M^*_{\text{dense}} = M^*_{\text{res}} = M^*_{\text{dense}} = M^*_{\text{res}} = M_{\text{res}} = M^*_{\text{max}} = M_{\text{erg}}^* = M_{\text{erg}} = \text{Trans}(X, T)$.

\[\square\]

2.3. Proof of Proposition 2.3.

For any $x \in G^{M_{\text{inv}}}$, one has $M_{\text{inv}} \subset V_T(x) \subset M_{\text{inv}}$, so $V_T(x) = M_{\text{inv}}$. This means $G^{M_{\text{max}}} = G^{M_{\text{inv}}}$.

If $G^{M_{\text{max}}} = \varnothing$, then $G^{M_{\text{max}}} = G^{M_{\text{max}}} = \varnothing$ by $G^{M_{\text{max}}} \subset G^{M_{\text{max}}}$. If $G^{M_{\text{max}}} \neq \varnothing$, then for any $x \in G^{M_{\text{max}}}$, one has $M_{\text{res}} \subset V_T(x) \subset M_{\text{max}}$, so $V_T(x) = M_{\text{max}}$. This means $G^{M_{\text{max}}} = G^{M_{\text{max}}}$.
If \( G^{M_{\max}} = \emptyset \), then \( G_{M_{\max}}^{M_{\max}} = G^{M_{\max}} = \emptyset \) by Proposition 2.1(2). If \( G^{M_{\max}} \neq \emptyset \), then for any \( x \in G^{M_{\max}} \), one has \( \omega_T(x) \supset \bigcup_{\mu \in M_{\max}^{T_{\max}}} S_{\mu} = X \) by Lemma 2.3. Thus \( x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \) which implies \( M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \subset V_T(x) \subset M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \), so \( V_T(x) = M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \). This means \( G_{M_{\max}}^{M_{\max}} = G^{M_{\max}} \).

### 2.4. Proof of Theorem K.

(1) By Proposition 2.1(2), \( G_{M_{\max}} \) is residual in \( X \).

(1.1)(1.2) If \( G^K \) is dense in \( X \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), then \( K \subset M_{\text{dense}}^* \). By Proposition 2.1(2), \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \).

(1.3) If \( K \subset M_{\text{res}} \), then \( G_{M_{\text{res}}} \subset G^K \). Thus \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \) since \( G_{M_{\text{res}}} \) is residual in \( X \) by Proposition 2.1(2) and Proposition 2.2(1). If \( G^K \) is dense in \( X \), then \( K \subset M_{\text{dense}} = M_{\text{res}} \) by Proposition 2.2(1).

(2) By Proposition 2.1(4), \( G_{M_{\text{nemp}}} \) is residual in \( X \). By Proposition 2.3, \( G_{M_{\max}} = G^{M_{\max}} \). Then \( G_{M_{\text{nemp}}} = G_{M_{\text{nemp}}}^{M_{\max}} \) since \( M_{\text{nemp}} = M_{\max} \) by Proposition 2.2(5).

(2.1)(2.2) If \( G^K \neq \emptyset \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), then \( K \subset M_{\text{nemp}}^* \). By Proposition 2.1(4), \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \).

(3) By Proposition 2.1(6), \( G_{M_{\text{inv}}} \) is residual in \( X \). By Proposition 2.3, \( G_{M_{\text{inv}}} = G^{M_{\text{inv}}} \).

(3.1)(3.2) For any \( K \subset M(X,T) \), \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \) by Proposition 2.1(6).

(4) If \( K = \emptyset \), then \( G^K = X \). If \( K = 1 \), then there exists \( \mu \in M^*(X,T) \) such that \( \mu \notin K \). This implies \( G_{\mu} \neq \emptyset \) and \( x \notin G^K \) for any \( x \in G_{\mu} \). So \( G^K \subset X \). If \( \#K > 1 \), then \( G^K \subset IR(T) \subset X \).

### 2.5. General \( G^K \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a dynamical system. Then

1. For any \( K \subset M(X,T) \), if \( K \setminus M_{\max} \neq \emptyset \), then \( G^K = \emptyset \);
2. If \( M_{\max} = M_{\text{dense}}^* \), then for any \( K \subset M(X,T) \), \( G^K \neq \emptyset \) and only if \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \);
3. For any \( K \subset M(X,T) \) with \( \bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_{\mu} = X \), one has \( G^K \subset \text{Trans}(X,T) \) and the following conditions are equivalent:
   - \( G^K \neq \emptyset \);
   - \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \);
   - \( K \subset M_{\text{res}} = M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \).
4. If \((X,T)\) is transitive and \( C_T(X) = X \), then for any \( K \in \{M_{\text{inv}}, M_{\max}, M_{\text{nemp}}^*\} \), the following conditions are equivalent:
   - \( G^K \neq \emptyset \);
   - \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \);
   - \( K = M_{\text{res}} \).
5. If \((X,T)\) is transitive and \( \bigcup_{\mu \in M_{\max}^{T_{\max}}} S_{\mu} = X \), then for any \( K \subset M(X,T) \) with \( M_{\text{dense}}^* \subset K \), one has \( G^K = \emptyset \);
6. If \( M_{\text{erg}}^{\text{full}} \neq \emptyset \), then for any \( K \subset M(X,T) \) with \( M_{\text{dense}}^* \subset K \), one has \( G^K = \emptyset \);
7. If \((X,T)\) is transitive, \( C_T(X) = X \) and \( M_{\text{erg}} \setminus M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \neq \emptyset \), then there exist \( K_1, K_2 \subset M_{\max} \) with \( K_1 \setminus M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \neq \emptyset \) for \( i \in \{1,2\} \) such that \( G^{K_i} = \emptyset \), \( G^{K_2} = \emptyset \).

**Proof.**

1. If \( G^K \neq \emptyset \), take \( x \in G^K \), then one has \( K \subset V_T(x) \subset M_{\max} \). This contradicts \( K \setminus M_{\max} \neq \emptyset \).
2. If \( G^K \neq \emptyset \), then \( K \subset M_{\max} = M_{\text{dense}}^* \) by item (1). So \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \) by Theorem K(1.3) and Proposition 2.2(1).
3. By Lemma 2.3, \( \omega_T(x) \supset \bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_{\mu} = X \) for any \( x \in G^K \). So \( G^K \subset \text{Trans}(X,T) \). If \( G^K \neq \emptyset \), then \( K \subset V_T(x) \subset M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \) for any \( x \in G^K \). Thus \( G^{M_{\max}} \subset G^K \). By Proposition 2.1(2) and Proposition 2.2(4), \( G^{M_{\max}} \) is residual in \( X \). This implies \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \). So \( G^K \neq \emptyset \) if and only if \( G^K \) is residual in \( X \).
4. By [15, Lemma 2.7], one has \( C_T(X) = \bigcup_{\mu \in M^*(X,T)} S_{\mu} \). Since \( M_{\text{inv}} \supset M_{\text{nemp}}^* \supset M_{\max} \supset M_{\text{erg}} \) by Proposition 2.2(1)(2), one has \( \bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_{\mu} = \bigcup_{\mu \in M^*(X,T)} S_{\mu} = C_T(X) = X \) for \( K \in \{M_{\text{inv}}, M_{\max}, M_{\text{nemp}}^*\} \). So by item (3) and \( K \subset M_{\text{res}} \), we complete the proof of item (4).
5. Since \( M_{\max}^* \subset M_{\text{dense}}^* \subset K \), one has \( \bigcup_{\mu \in K} S_{\mu} = X \). Then \( G^K = \emptyset \) by item (3).
6. Since \( M_{\text{erg}}^{\text{full}} \subset M_{\max}^{T_{\max}} \) one has \( \bigcup_{\mu \in M_{\max}^{T_{\max}}} S_{\mu} = X \). Then we have item (6) by item (5).
(7) Take \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\max}^T \neq \emptyset \). Let \( K_1 = \mathcal{M}_{\max}, K_2 = \{ \mu \} \). Since \( \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^T(x,T)} S_\mu = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(x,T)} S_\mu \) from [15, Lemma 2.7], one has \( \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\max}} S_\mu \supset \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^T(x,T)} S_\mu = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(x,T)} S_\mu = C_T(X) = X \) by \( \mathcal{M}^T(x,T) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\max} \). If \( G^K \neq \emptyset \), then \( K \subset \mathcal{M}_{\res} = \mathcal{M}_{\max}^T \) by item (3). This contradicts \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\max}^T \neq \emptyset \). Finally, since \( \mu \) is ergodic, one has \( G^K \supset G_{K_2} \neq \emptyset \).

**Remark 2.2.** In [25, Section 4], Katznelson and Weiss constructed a transitive dynamical system \((X,T)\) such that

1. \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic.
2. Every point in \(X\) is a generic point of an ergodic measure.
3. There exists a uniquely ergodic measure \(\mu\) with \(\mathbb{S}_\mu = X\).
4. For any \(\nu \in \mathcal{M}^T(X,T) \setminus \{ \mu \} \), \(\mathbb{G}_\nu \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) = \emptyset\).

Then \( \mathcal{M}_{\max}^T = \{ \mu \} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}} \). So the system satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.4.(7).

2.6. Connection between maximal oscillation and irregular set. For any \(K \subset \mathcal{M}(X,T)\), we denote \(\mathcal{C}_K := \{ f \in C(X) \mid \inf_{\mu \in K} \int f d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in K} \int f d\mu \} \). Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a dynamical system. Then

1. if \( \sharp \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}}^T > 1 \), then \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \subset \mathcal{R}, G_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}}} I(f,T), \) and thus \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}}} I(f,T) \) is residual in \(X\). If further \((X,T)\) is transitive, then \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}} \subset \mathcal{R}, G_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}}} I(f,T), \) and thus \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}}} I(f,T) \) is residual in \(X\).

2. if \( \sharp K > 1, G^K \neq \emptyset \), then \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_K \subset \mathcal{C} \), and \( G_K \subset \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_K} I(f,T) \).

3. if \( G^K \neq \emptyset \), \( \bigcup_{\mu \in K_{\mathcal{S}_\mu}} X = X \), then \( G^K \) is dense in \(X\).

4. if \( \sharp \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}}^T > 1 \), \( G_{\mathcal{M}_{\ erg}} \neq \emptyset \), then \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}} = \mathcal{C} = D = \mathcal{R}, G_{\mathcal{M}_{\ erg}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}}} I(f,T) \), and thus \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}}} I(f,T) \) is residual in \(X\).

5. if \( G_{\mathcal{M}_{\ erg}} \neq \emptyset \), then \( \mathcal{C}_{T}(X) = X \), then \( G_{\mathcal{M}_{\ erg}} \) is dense in \(X\).

**Proof.** (1) By Proposition 2.2(1), \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} = \mathcal{M}_{\res} \). Then one has \( \sharp \mathcal{M}_{\res} = \sharp \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} > 1 \) which implies \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}}} I(f,T) \) for any \( f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \). By Proposition 2.1(2), \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} \) is residual in \(X\). Thus we have \( \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \) is residual in \(X\) by \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} = \mathcal{M}_{\res} \). So \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \subset \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}}} I(f,T) \), and

\[
\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\res}}} I(f,T) \text{ is residual in } X. \quad \text{If further } (X,T) \text{ is transitive, then } \mathcal{M}_{\max}^T = \mathcal{M}_{\res} \text{ by Proposition 2.2(4).}
\]

Thus \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}} \subset \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}}} I(f,T), \) and \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}}} I(f,T) \) is residual in \(X\). Then we show \( R \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}} \). For any \( f \in R \), \( I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) \neq \emptyset \) by Lemma 3.1. Take \( x \in I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T), \) then there exist \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{V}_f(x) \) such that \( \int f d\mu_1 \neq \int f d\mu_2 \). So \( f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\max}} \) by \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{V}_f(x) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\max}^T \).

(2.1) Since \( \sharp K > 1 \), one has \( \mathcal{C}_K \neq \emptyset \) and \( G^K \subset I(f,T) \) for any \( f \in \mathcal{C}_K \). Combining with \( G^K \neq \emptyset \), \( f \in \mathcal{C} \). So \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_K \subset \mathcal{C} \) and \( G^K \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_K} I(f,T) \).

(2.2) Since \( G^K \) is dense in \(X\), one has \( K \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} \). By Proposition 2.1(2) and Proposition 2.2(1), \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{dense}} \) is residual in \(X\). Then \( G^K \) is residual in \(X\). By \( \sharp K > 1 \), one has \( \mathcal{C}_K \neq \emptyset \) and \( G^K \subset I(f,T) \) for any \( f \in \mathcal{C}_K \). Combining with \( G^K \) is residual in \(X\), \( f \in \mathcal{R} \). So \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_K \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_K} I(f,T), \) and thus \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_K} I(f,T) \) is residual in \(X\). If further \( G^K \) is residual in \(X\), we show \( R \subset \mathcal{C}_K \). For any \( f \in \mathcal{R} \),
$I(f,T) \cap G_K$ is residual in $X$. Take $x \in I(f,T) \cap G_K$, then there exist $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{V}_T(x) = K$ such that $\int fd\mu_1 \neq \int fd\mu_2$. So $f \in \mathcal{C}_K$.

(2.3) By Proposition 2.4, $G^r$ is dense in $X$.

(3) Let $K = \mathcal{M}_{erg}$, we have item (3) by item (2).

\section{Irregular points: proofs of Theorem A, B, C, D and E}

\subsection{Cardinality of $C$, $D$ and $R$}

\begin{lemma}
Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a transitive dynamical system, $f \in C(X)$, then $f \in R$ if and only if $I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) \neq \emptyset$.
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
If $I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) = \emptyset$, then $I(f,T)$ is of the first category and $f \notin R$. If $I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) \neq \emptyset$, we take a point $x \in I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T)$, suppose that

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) < \alpha < \beta < \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x).$$

Let

$$E = \bigcap_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left( \bigcup_{n=j}^{+\infty} \left\{ y \in X \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) < \alpha \right\} \bigcup_{n=j}^{+\infty} \left\{ y \in X \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) > \beta \right\} \right).$$

Then $\text{orb}(x,T) \subset E \subset I(f,T)$, which means that $I(f,T)$ is residual and $f \in R$.
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system. For any $x \in X$, denote $U_x = U_x(X,T) := \{ g \in C(X) \mid x \in I(g,T) \}$. If $U_x \neq \emptyset$, then $U_x$ is an open and dense set.
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
For any $g \in U_x$, suppose that

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(T^i x) < \alpha_g < \beta_g < \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(T^i x).$$

For any $\tilde{g} \in C(X)$ with $||\tilde{g} - g|| < \frac{\beta_g - \alpha_g}{3}$, we have $x \in I(\tilde{g},T)$ and $\tilde{g} \in U_x$, which means that $U_x$ is open. Since $U_x \neq \emptyset$, we can choose $f \in U_x$. For any $h \in C(X)$ with $x \notin I(h,T)$, we have $h + \frac{1}{n} f \in U_x$. Therefore, $U_x$ is dense in $C(X)$.
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system, then

1. if $C \neq \emptyset$, then $C$ is an open and dense set in $C(X)$ and there is an open and dense set $U_1$ in $C(X)$ such that $\bigcap_{f \in U_1} I(f,T)$ is nonempty;

2. if $D \neq \emptyset$, then $D$ is residual in $C(X)$ and there is a residual set $U_2$ in $C(X)$ such that $\bigcap_{f \in U_2} I(f,T)$ is dense in $X$;

3. if $(X, T)$ is transitive and $R \neq \emptyset$, then $R$ is an open and dense set in $C(X)$ and $\bigcap_{f \in R} I(f,T)$ is residual in $X$.
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
(1) Since $C = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_x$ and $C \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 3.2, we have $C$ is an open and dense set in $C(X)$. Choose $f \in C$ and $x \in I(f,T)$, let $U_1 = U_x$, we have $U_1$ is an open and dense set and $\bigcap_{f \in U_1} I(f,T)$ is nonempty.

(2) Since $D \neq \emptyset$ and $X$ is a compact metric space, we can choose $f \in D$ and a countable set $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots \} \subset I(f,T)$, which is dense in $X$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} U_{x_i} \subset D$, which means that $D$ is residual in $C(X)$ and there is a residual set $U_2 := \bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} U_{x_i}$ in $C(X)$ such that $\bigcap_{f \in U_2} I(f,T)$ is dense in $X$.

(3) (i) By Lemma 3.1, we have that $R = \bigcup_{x \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} U_x$. Since $R \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 3.2, we have that $R$ is an open and dense set.
(ii) For any \( f \in \mathcal{R} \), by Lemma 3.1, \( I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) \neq \emptyset \), we take a point \( x_f \in I(f,T) \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) \), suppose that

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x_f) < \alpha_f < \beta_f < \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x_f).
\]

Denote

\[
E_f := \{ y \in X \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) \leq \alpha_f < \beta_f \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) \}.
\]

Then

\[
E_f \supset \bigcap_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left( \bigcup_{n=j}^{+\infty} \{ y \in X \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) < \alpha_f \} \bigcup_{n=j}^{+\infty} \{ y \in X \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) > \beta_f \} \right).
\]

By Lemma 3.1, \( E_f \) is residual in \( X \).

Let \( \mathcal{R}_f := \{ g \in C(X) \mid \| g - f \| < \frac{\beta_f - \alpha_f}{3} \} \), then for any \( g \in \mathcal{R}_f \),

\[
E_f \subset \{ y \in X \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(T^i y) \leq \alpha_f + \frac{\beta_f - \alpha_f}{3} < \beta_f - \frac{\beta_f - \alpha_f}{3} \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(T^i y) \} \subset I(g,T).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{R} \) is a separable metric space, \( \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}_f \), so we can find a sequence \( \{ f_i \}_{i=1}^{+\infty} \) such that \( \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{R}_{f_i} \).

Then

\[
\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} I(f_T) = I(f,T) \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} E_{f_i}.
\]

Therefore, \( \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}} I(f,T) \) is residual in \( X \). \( \square \)

3.2. Proof of Theorem B.

(1) By Proposition 2.1(2) and Proposition 2.2(1), \( G^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{max}}} \) is residual in \( X \). Note that \( \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid V_T(x) = \bigcup_{\mu \in \text{Trans}(X,T)} V_T(y) \} = G^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{max}}} \cap \text{Trans}(X,T) \). Then we complete the proof of item (1).

(2) Suppose that there is no \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \) such that \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \subset G_\mu \). If \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)} G_\mu) \neq \emptyset \), then for any \( x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X,T)} G_\mu) \), there is \( f \in C(X) \) such that \( x \in I(f,T) \) which implies \( f \in \mathcal{R} \) by Lemma 3.1. Otherwise, there are \( x_1, x_2 \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \) and \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X,T) \) such that \( x_1 \in G_{\mu_1} \) and \( x_2 \in G_{\mu_2} \). This means \( G_{\mu_i} \) is dense in \( X \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). By Proposition 2.1(2), one has \( \{ x \in X \mid V_T(x) \supset \{ \mu_1, \mu_2 \} \} \) is residual in \( X \). Then there is \( f \in C(X) \) such that \( \{ x \in X \mid V_T(x) \supset \{ \mu_1, \mu_2 \} \} \subset I(f,T) \) which means \( f \in \mathcal{R} \). So we have item (2)(a) by Lemma 3.3(3).

By Proposition 2.5(1), \( G^{\mathcal{M}_{\text{max}}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}} I(f,T) \). Then we have item (2)(b).

Denote \( E_{\text{sup}} = \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = f_{\text{sup}} \} \) and \( \tilde{E}_{\text{inf}} = \{ x \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = f_{\text{inf}} \} \). For any \( \alpha > f_{\text{inf}} \), denote \( \tilde{E}_\alpha = \cap_{N=0}^{+\infty} \cup_{n=N}^{+\infty} \{ y \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) < \alpha \} \). By \( \alpha > f_{\text{inf}} \), there exists \( x_0 \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \) such that \( x_0 \in \tilde{E}_\alpha \). Since \( f \) is continuous, \( \{ y \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) < \alpha \} \) is open in \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \). Then \( \tilde{E}_\alpha \) is a nonempty \( G_\delta \) set in \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \).

Choose \( \{ \alpha_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{R} \) with \( \alpha_n > f_{\text{inf}} \) and \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \alpha_n = f_{\text{inf}} \). Then \( \tilde{E}_{\alpha_n} \) is a dense \( G_\delta \) set in \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \), and \( \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_{\alpha_n} \subset \tilde{E}_{f_{\text{inf}}} \). So \( \tilde{E}_{f_{\text{inf}}} \) is residual in \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \). Since \( \text{Trans}(X,T) \) is residual in \( X \), we have \( \tilde{E}_{f_{\text{inf}}} \) is residual in \( X \).

Similarly, \( E_{\text{sup}} \) is residual in \( X \). Note that \( \tilde{E}_{f_{\text{inf}}} \cap \tilde{E}_{f_{\text{sup}}} \subset I(f,T) \) when \( f_{\text{inf}} \neq f_{\text{sup}} \). One has \( f \in \mathcal{R} \) if and only if \( f_{\text{inf}} \neq f_{\text{sup}} \) by Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof of item (2)(c).
(3) Since $X^{\text{trans}} \subset \text{Trans}(X,T)$, one has $I^T_f \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} I^T_f (f(T^i x)) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} I^T_f (f(T^i x)) \leq f^T_{\sup}$ for any $x \in X^{\text{trans}}$ and any $f \in C(X)$. By item (2), $E^T_{\inf}$ is residual in $\text{Trans}(X,T)$ for any $f \in C(X)$. Take $y \in E^T_{\inf} \cap \text{Trans}(X,T)$, then there is $\mu \in V_T(y)$ such that $\int f \, d\mu = f^T_{\inf}$. Since $y \in \text{Trans}(X,T)$, $\mu \in V_T(x)$ for any $x \in X^{\text{trans}}$. This means $\liminf_{n \to +\infty} I^T_f (f(T^i x)) \leq \int f \, d\mu = f^T_{\inf}$. Similarly, $f^T_{\sup} \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} I^T_f (f(T^i x))$. So $I^T_f = \liminf_{n \to +\infty} I^T_f (f(T^i x)) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} I^T_f (f(T^i x)) = f^T_{\sup}$ for any $x \in X^{\text{trans}}$ and any $f \in C(X)$ which implies $X^{\text{trans}} \subset \bigcap_{f \in C(X)} I^T_f [f^T_{\inf}, f^T_{\sup}]$. □

3.3. Proof of Theorem A.

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system. Let $\Omega = \{T^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for some $x \in IR(T)$. If $\omega_T(x) \setminus \omega_T(x) \neq \emptyset$, then

1. $U_\epsilon(\Omega) = D(\Omega, T\Omega) = R(\Omega, T\Omega)$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(\Omega)$;
2. $G^{V_T(x)}$ is residual $\Omega$;
3. $G^{V_T(x)} \subset \bigcap_{f \in R(\Omega, T\Omega)} I(f, T\Omega)$.

**Proof.** (1) Since $\{x\}$ is an open set in $\Omega$, then $\{x\} \cap I(f, T\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ for any $f \in D(\Omega, T\Omega)$. This means $f \in U_\epsilon(\Omega, T\Omega)$. Thus $D(\Omega, T\Omega) \subset U_\epsilon(\Omega, T\Omega)$. For any $f \in U_\epsilon(\Omega, T\Omega)$, suppose that

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) < \beta < \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x).$$

Let

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left( \bigcup_{n=j}^{+\infty} \{ y \in \Omega \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) < \alpha \} \bigcup_{n=j}^{+\infty} \{ y \in \Omega \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) > \beta \} \right).$$

Then $\omega_T(x) \subset E \subset I(f, T\Omega)$, which means that $I(f, T\Omega)$ is residual and $f \in R(\Omega, T\Omega)$. Thus $U_\epsilon(\Omega, T\Omega) \subset R(\Omega, T\Omega)$.

(2) By $x \in G^{V_T(x)}$ and $V_T(Tx) = V_T(x)$, one has $\omega(x, T) \subset G^{V_T(x)}$ which implies $G^{V_T(x)}$ is dense in $\Omega$. By Theorem K(1.3), $G^{V_T(x)}$ is residual in $\Omega$.

(3) For any $f \in R(\Omega, T\Omega)$, $x \in I(f, T\Omega)$ by item (1). Then $\inf_{\mu \in V_T(x)} \int f \, d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in V_T(x)} \int f \, d\mu$. For any $y \in G^{V_T(x)}$, $G^{V_T(x)} \subset G^{V_T(y)}$. Then $\inf_{\mu \in V_T(y)} \int f \, d\mu < \sup_{\mu \in V_T(y)} \int f \, d\mu$. This means $y \in I(f, T\Omega)$. So $G^{V_T(x)} \subset \bigcap_{f \in R(\Omega, T\Omega)} I(f, T\Omega)$. □

Now, we prove Theorem A.

**Proof of Theorem A:** If $x \in \omega_T(x)$, then $\Omega = \omega_T(x)$ is transitive. By Theorem B(2), $R(\Omega, T\Omega)$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(\Omega)$ and $\bigcap_{f \in R(\Omega, T\Omega)} I(f, T\Omega)$ is residual in $\Omega$.

If $x \notin \omega_T(x)$, then $\omega(x, T) \setminus \omega_T(x) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.4, $R(\Omega, T\Omega)$ is a nonempty open and dense set in $C(\Omega)$ and $\bigcap_{f \in R(\Omega, T\Omega)} I(f, T\Omega)$ is residual in $\Omega$.

**Remark 3.1.** When $(X,T)$ satisfies $m$-product property with $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(x, y, n) + g(x, y, n)}{n} = 0$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in X$, $G^{M_{\max}}$ is residual in $X$ by Proposition 2.1(4) and Proposition 2.2(5). So if $(X,T)$ is not uniquely ergodic, there exists a residual set $Y := G^{M_{\max}}$ such that $\Omega = \omega(x, T)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem A for any $y \in Y$. 
3.4. Proof of Theorem C.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system. If \(\mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset\), then for any \(d \geq 1\), one has \(\emptyset \neq \mathcal{R}_d \subset C_d\) and \(C_d\) is dense in \(C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\). In particular, if further \((X, T)\) is transitive, then \(\mathcal{R}_d\) is dense in \(C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\).

Proof. For any \(f \in C(X)\), define \(A^f \in C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\) by \(A^f(x) = e^{f(x)}I_d\) where \(I_d\) is \(d \times d\) identity matrix. Note that
\[
\frac{1}{n} \log \|A^f_n(x)\| = \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^f(T^{n-1}x) \cdots A^f(Tx)A^f(x)\| \\
= \frac{1}{n} \log \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{f(T^ix)} \\
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^ix),
\]
one has \(LI_{A^f}= I(f,T)\). Then \(A^f \in \mathcal{R}_d\) for any \(f \in \mathcal{R}\). So \(\mathcal{R}_d \neq \emptyset\).

Next, we show that \(C_d\) is dense in \(C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\). Take \(f \in \mathcal{R}\). For any \(A \in C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\) and \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(A_{(k)} = A \cdot A^{\frac{1}{k}} f = e^{\frac{1}{k} f} A\). Then \(\|A_{(k)} - A\| = \sup \|A_{(k)}(x) - A(x)\| = \sup_{x \in X} |e^{\frac{1}{k} f(x)} - 1|\|A(x)\| \leq \max\{e^{\frac{1}{k} f} - 1, 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{k} f}\}\|A\|\) which implies \(\lim_{k \to \infty} A_{(k)} = A\). Note that
\[
\frac{1}{n} \log \| (A_{(k)})_n(x)\| = \frac{1}{n} \log \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{\frac{1}{k} f(T^ix)} \|A(T^{n-1}x) \cdots A(Tx)A(x)\| \\
= \frac{1}{n} \log \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{\frac{1}{k} f(T^ix)} + \frac{1}{n} \log \|A_n(x)\| \\
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} f(T^ix) + \frac{1}{n} \log \|A_n(x)\|,
\]
then for \(A \in C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R})) \setminus C_d\), one has \(I(f,T) \subset LI_{A_{(k)}}\) by \(LI_A = \emptyset\) and \(I(e^{\frac{1}{k} f}, T) = I(f, T)\). So \(A_{(k)} \in C_d\), this means \(C_d\) is dense in \(C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\).

Finally, if further \((X, T)\) is transitive, then for \(A \in C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R})) \setminus \mathcal{R}_d\), one can take \(x \in I(f, T) \cap Trans(X, T) \cap \{X \cap LI_A\}\) since \(I(f, T) \cap Trans(X, T)\) is residual in \(X\) and \(LI_A\) is not residual in \(X\). Then \(x \in LI_{A_{(k)}} \cap Trans(X, T)\). So \(A_{(k)} \in \mathcal{R}_d\) by Theorem C(2), this means \(\mathcal{R}_d\) is dense in \(C(X, GL(d, \mathbb{R}))\). \(\square\)

Given a subadditive sequence \(\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\), we denote \(LI_{\{f_n\}} := \{x \in X | \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n(x)\} \) the set of irregular points.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a transitive dynamical system. Given a subadditive sequence \(\mathcal{S} = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C(X)\), if for any \(x \in X\) there is \(C_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n(x) = 0\) such that \(f_n(Tx) \leq f_n(x) + C_n(x)\) and there exist transitive points \(x_1, x_2 \in X\) and \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n(x_1) < \alpha < \beta < \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n(x_2),
\]
then \(LI_{\{f_n\}}\) is residual in \(X\).

Before giving the proof of Proposition 3.2, we need some lemmas. We define \(C^*(X) := \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} \mid \text{there is } Y \subset X \text{ such that } f|_Y \text{ is continuous and } Y \text{ is residual in } X\}\). There are many functions in \(C^*(X)\) which may not be continuous. For example, every upper semicontinuous function is in \(C^*(X)\).

By [33, Theorem 7.3], if \(f\) can be represented as the limit of an everywhere convergent sequence of continuous functions, then \(f\) is continuous except at a set of points of first category. This implies that for any differentiable function \(f\), its derivative \(f'\) is in \(C^*(X)\).
Lemma 3.5. Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a transitive dynamical system. Given a subadditive sequence \(\mathfrak{f} = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) with \(f_n \in C^* (X)\) for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), if \(T\)-invariant subset \(Y \subset X\) is residual in \(X\) and \(f_n|_Y\) is continuous for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^T} = \{y \in Trans(X,T) \cap Y \mid \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (y) = \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}\} \) is residual in \(X\).

Proof. For any \(\beta < \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}\), denote \(E_\beta = \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} \cup_{n=N}^{\infty} \{y \in Trans(X,T) \cap Y \mid \frac{1}{n} f_n (y) > \beta\}. \) By \(\beta < \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}\), there exists \(x_0 \in Trans(X,T) \cap Y\) such that \(x_0 \in E_\beta\). Since \(f_n|_Y\) is continuous, \(\{y \in Trans(X,T) \cap Y \mid \frac{1}{n} f_n (y) > \beta\}\) is open in \(Trans(X,T) \cap Y\). Then \(E_\beta\) is a nonempty \(G_\delta\) set in \(Trans(X,T) \cap Y\). By \(f_n+1 (x) \leq f_n(Tx) + f_1 (x)\), we have \(\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (Tx) \geq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (x)\) for any \(x \in X\). Then \(T^n x_0 \in E_{\beta}\) for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) by \(x_0 \in E_\beta\). So \(E_\beta\) is a dense \(G_\delta\) set in \(Trans(X,T) \cap Y\).

Choose \(\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}\) with \(\beta_n < \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}\) and \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \alpha_n = \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}\). Then \(E_{\beta_n}\) is a dense \(G_\delta\) set in \(Trans(X,T) \cap Y\), and \(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\beta_n} \subset E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}}\). So \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}}\) is residual in \(Trans(X,T) \cap Y\). Since \(Trans(X,T) \cap Y\) is residual in \(X\), we have \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}}\) is residual in \(X\).

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a transitive dynamical system. Given a subadditive sequence \(\mathfrak{f} = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C(X)\), denote \(\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}} = \inf_{x \in Trans(X,T)} \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (x)\), if for any \(x \in X\) there is \(C_n (x) \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n (x) = 0\) such that \(f_n (Tx) \leq f_{n+1} (x) + C_n (x)\). Then \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}} = \{y \in Trans(X,T) \mid \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (y) = \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}\} \) is residual in \(X\).

Proof. For any \(\alpha > \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}\), denote \(E_\alpha = \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} \cup_{n=N}^{\infty} \{y \in Trans(X,T) \mid \frac{1}{n} f_n (y) < \alpha\}. \) By \(\alpha > \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}\), there exists \(x_0 \in Trans(X,T)\) such that \(x_0 \in E_\alpha\). Since \(f_n\) is continuous, \(\{y \in Trans(X,T) \mid \frac{1}{n} f_n (y) < \alpha\}\) is open in \(Trans(X,T)\). Then \(E_\alpha\) is a nonempty \(G_\delta\) set in \(Trans(X,T)\). By \(f_n (Tx) \leq f_{n+1} (x) + C_n (x)\), we have \(\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (Tx) \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (x)\) for any \(x \in X\). Then \(T^n x_0 \in E_\alpha\) for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) by \(x_0 \in E_\alpha\). So \(E_\alpha\) is a dense \(G_\delta\) set in \(Trans(X,T)\).

Choose \(\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}\) with \(\alpha_n > \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}\) and \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \alpha_n = \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}\). Then \(E_{\alpha_n}\) is a dense \(G_\delta\) set in \(Trans(X,T)\), and \(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha_n} \subset E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}}\). So \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}}\) is residual in \(Trans(X,T)\). Since \(Trans(X,T)\) is residual in \(X\), we have \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}}\) is residual in \(X\).

Next, we prove Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.2: By \(\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (x_1) < \alpha < \beta < \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n (x_2)\) and \(x_1, x_2 \in Trans(X,T)\), one has \(\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}} < \mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}\). By Theorem B (2) and Lemma 3.6, \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}}\) and \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}}\) are residual in \(X\). Then \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}} \cap E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}}\) is residual in \(X\). Note that \(E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{sup}}} \cap E_{\mathfrak{f}^{T \text{inf}}} \subset LI_{\{f_n\}}\), so \(LI_{\{f_n\}}\) is residual in \(X\).

Now, we prove Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C: (1) Let \(Y = X\) in Lemma 3.5, we complete the proof of item (1).

(2) By Theorem B (2), if there is no \(\mu \in M(X,T)\) such that \(Trans(X,T) \subset G_\mu\), then \(\mathcal{R}\) is a nonempty open and dense set in \(C(X)\). So \(\mathcal{R}\) is dense in \(C(X, GL(d,\mathbb{R}))\) by Proposition 3.1.

(3) Note that \(\|A_n (Tx)\| \leq \|A_{n+1} (x)\| \|A(x)^{-1}\|\) and \(\log \|A_n (x)\|\) is a subadditive sequence. Using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we have item (3).

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a transitive dynamical system. Given \(A \in C(X, GL(d,\mathbb{R}))\), if there exist \(\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \in M_c (X,T)\) with \(S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2} = X\) and \(\int \chi (x) d\mu_1 < \int \chi (x) d\mu_2\), then \(A \in \mathcal{R}\).

Proof. Since \(\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \in M_c (X,T)\) and \(S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2} = X\), one has \(\mu_1 (Trans(X,f)) = \mu_2 (Trans(X,f)) = 1\). Then there exist \(x_1, x_2 \in Trans(X,f)\) such that \(\chi (x_1) < \int \chi (x) d\mu_1 < \int \chi (x) d\mu_2 < \chi (x_2)\). By Theorem C (3), we complete the proof.

Remark 3.2. There are some systems satisfying Corollary 3.1. For examples, (1) \((X,T)\) is minimal and is not uniquely ergodic.

Remark 3.3. Let \(A = e^{f(x)} I_d\) with \(f \in \mathcal{R}\). (2) \((X,T)\) has approximate product property, \(C_f (X) = X\), and is not uniquely ergodic. Then \(\{\mu \in M_c (X,T) \mid S_\mu = X\} \) is residual in \(M(X,T)\) by the proof of Proposition 2.1 (6). Let \(A = e^{f(x)} I_d\) with \(f \in \mathcal{R}\).
3.5. Proof of Theorem D. To prove Theorem D, we need some information about the set \( C_\kappa(X) \).

**Lemma 3.7.** Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a dynamical system. Then for any \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \),

1. if \((X,T)\) is uniquely ergodic, then \( C_\kappa(X) = \emptyset \);
2. if \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic, then
   1. if \( T = 1 \), then every non-constant continuous function is in \( C_\kappa(X) \);
   2. if \( T \neq 1 \), then \( C_\kappa(X) \) is a nonempty open set, but it is not dense in \( C(X) \).

**Proof.** (1) It is obvious since for any \( f \in C(X) \), \( \inf_{\mu \in M(X,T)} \int f d\mu = \sup_{\mu \in M(X,T)} \int f d\mu - \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \).

(2)(a) If \( T = 1 \), then \( M(X,T) = M(X) \). As a result, for any \( f \in C(X) \),

\[
\sup_{\mu \in M(X,T)} \int f d\mu - \inf_{\mu \in M(X,T)} \int f d\mu = \sup_{x \in X} f(x) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x).
\]

Then for any \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \), every non-constant continuous function is in \( C_\kappa(X) \).

(b) If \( T \neq 1 \), for any \( f \in C(X) \), let \( \varphi(f) = \sup_{\mu \in M(X,T)} \int f d\mu \) and \( \psi(f) = \sup_{x \in X} f(x) \), then

\[
C_\kappa(X) = \{ f \in C(X) \mid \varphi(f) + \psi(-f) > \kappa(\varphi(f) + \psi(-f)) \}.
\]

For any \( f_1, f_2, f \in C(X) \), we have \( \varphi(f_1 + f_2) \leq \varphi(f_1) + \varphi(f_2) \), \( \varphi(f_1 + f_2) \leq \psi(f_1) + \psi(f_2) \), \( |\varphi(f)| \leq ||f|| \), \( |\psi(f)| \leq ||f|| \). Therefore, \( |\varphi(f_1) - \varphi(f_2)| \leq ||f_1 - f_2||, |\psi(f_1) - \psi(f_2)| \leq ||f_1 - f_2|| \). By the continuity of \( \varphi(f) \) and \( \psi(f) \), we have \( C_\kappa(X) \) is an open set. Let

\[
D_\kappa(X) = \{ f \in C(X) \mid \varphi(f) + \psi(-f) < \kappa(\varphi(f) + \psi(-f)) \}.
\]

By the continuity of \( \varphi(f) \) and \( \psi(f) \), we have \( D_\kappa(X) \) is an open set. For any \( f \in C(X) \), \( \varphi(f - f \circ T) = 0 \).

If for any \( f \in C(X) \), \( f - f \circ T \) is a constant function. By the compactness of \( X \), for any \( f \in C(X) \), \( f = f \circ T \), therefore \( T = 1 \), a contradiction. So, there is a \( \tilde{f} \in C(X) \), \( \tilde{f} - f \circ T \) is non-constant, then for any \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \), \( \tilde{f} - f \circ T \) is dense in \( C(X) \).

Due to this lemma, we have

**Corollary 3.2.** Suppose that \((X,T)\) has \( m \)-g-product property with \( g(\varepsilon,x,y,n) < n \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x,y \in X \) and some \( n(\varepsilon,x,y) \geq N(\varepsilon,x,y) \). If \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic, then for any \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \), \( C_\kappa(X) \) is a nonempty open set, but it is not dense in \( C(X) \).

**Proof.** Since that \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic, it has at least two points. It can be easily checked that \( T \neq 1 \).

**Lemma 3.8.** Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying \( m \)-g-product property from \( X \) to \( Y \).

Let \( f \in C(X) \).

1. If there are \( y, y' \in Y \) such that

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon,x,z,n)}{n} < \kappa < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\varepsilon,x,z,n)}{n} = 0,
\]

for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x \in X \), any \( z \in \{y,y'\} \) and

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y') - \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) > \frac{2\kappa}{1+\kappa} \left( \sup_{x \in X} f(x) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \right).
\]

Then \( f \in R; \).
(2) If there are \( y, y' \in Y \) such that
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, z, n)}{n} < \kappa < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\varepsilon, x, z, n)}{n} = 0,
\]
for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), any \( x \in X \), any \( z \in \{y, y'\} \) and
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y') - \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) > \frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa} (\sup_{\varepsilon \in \varepsilon} f(x) - \inf_{\varepsilon \in \varepsilon} f(x)).
\]

Proof. (1) Denote \( \beta = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y') \), \( \alpha = \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) \) and \( B = \sup_{x \in X} f(x) \), \( A = \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \). Then \( B > A \) and \( \frac{\beta - \alpha}{B - A} > \frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa} \), we can take \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \frac{\beta - \alpha}{B - A} > \frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa} > \frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa} \). Take \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( 0 < \tau < (1 - \frac{\kappa + \kappa}{\kappa + \kappa})\frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa} \). For any \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), let
\[
U_n = \{ x \in X | \exists N \geq n, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(T^i x) < \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \tau \},
\]
\[
V_n = \{ x \in X | \exists N \geq n, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(T^i x) > \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + \tau \}.
\]

Then \( U_n \) and \( V_n \) are open sets and \( I(f, T) \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} (U_n \cap V_n) \). By Baire category theorem, we only need to prove that \( U_n \) and \( V_n \) are dense in \( X \). Next, we give the proof of the denseness of \( U_n \). The denseness of \( V_n \) can be proved in the same way.

For any \( x_0 \in X \), \( \delta_1 > 0 \) and \( l \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), we will show that \( U_l \cap B(x_0, \delta_1) \neq \emptyset \) where \( B(x_0, \delta_1) = \{ x \in X | d(x, x_0) < \delta_1 \} \). Due to the compactness of \( X \), we can find a constant \( \delta_2 > 0 \), such that for any \( x_1, x_2 \in X \) satisfying \( d(x_1, x_2) < \delta_2 \), one has \( |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \frac{\tau}{4} \). Let \( \varepsilon = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2) \). Since
\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x_0, y, n)}{n} < \kappa < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(x_0, y, n)}{n} = 0.
\]
There exists \( M \in \mathbb{N}^+ \) such that for any \( n \geq M \), one has
\[
|n \leq N(\varepsilon, x_0, y), n \leq l, \frac{m(\varepsilon, x_0, y, n)}{n} < \kappa, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2g(\varepsilon, x_0, y, n)}{n} \| f \| < \frac{\tau}{4}.
\]
Since \( \alpha = \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) \), there exists \( n \geq M \) such that \( |\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) - \alpha| < \frac{\tau}{4} \).

Then by \( m\)-\( g \)-product property of \((X, T)\), there is \( z \in X \), \( 0 \leq p \leq m(\varepsilon, x_0, y, n) \), \( \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_n \) such that
\[
|A_n \setminus \Lambda| \leq g(\varepsilon, x_0, y, n), \quad d(z, x_0) < \varepsilon \leq \delta_1, \quad d(T^{\varepsilon + j} z, T^{\varepsilon} y) < \varepsilon \leq \delta_2 \quad \text{for any } j \in \Lambda.
\]
Suppose that Corollary 3.3. Then $f < \tau < Y$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Denote $\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\epsilon, x, y, n)}{n} < \kappa < 1$ and $\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\epsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0$.

for any $\epsilon > 0$, any $x \in X$, any $y \in Y$, and

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T), \mu(Y) = 1} \int f d\mu - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T), \mu(Y) = 1} \int f d\mu > \frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa} (\sup_{x \in X} f(x) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x)).$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Denote $A := \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T) \mid \mu(Y) = 1\}$, by Birkhoff ergodic theorem, we have $A = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T) \mid \mu(Y \cap (X \setminus I(f, T))) = 1\}$ and for any $\mu \in A$,

$$\int_{Y \cap (X \setminus I(f, T))} \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i d\mu = \int f d\mu.$$

Then

$$\sup_{y \in Y \cap (X \setminus I(f, T))} \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) \geq \sup_{\mu \in A} \int f d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{y \in Y \cap (X \setminus I(f, T))} \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i y) \leq \inf_{\mu \in A} \int f d\mu.$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have $f \in \mathcal{R}$.

By Corollary 3.3, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a dynamical system satisfying $m$-g-product property from $X$ to $Y$ where $Y \subset X$ is a $T$-invariant Borel set satisfying that $\mu(Y) = 1$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$. If

$$\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\epsilon, x, y, n)}{n} < \kappa < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\epsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, any $x \in X$, any $y \in Y$. Then $C_{\mu, x}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 3.8 not only can be used to prove Theorem D, but also can be applied to some examples.
Example 3.1. Suppose that \((X,T)\) is a \(S\)-gap shift with \(\#S > 1\). Then \((X,T)\) has positive topological entropy \([1, \text{Proposition 2.1}]\). Combining with that the set of periodic points is dense in \(X\), we have \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic. By Theorem G, \((X,T)\) is \(m\)-transitive with

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n) + g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ any } x, y \in X.
\]

For any \(x \in X\), let

\[
f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Then \(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = \frac{\#\{0 \leq i \leq n-1 | x_i = 1\}}{n}\) for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}^+\). Since \(\#S > 1\), we have \(I(f,T) \neq \emptyset\). Choose \(x \in I(f,T)\), let \(y = (\cdots, 0, 2, 0, \cdots)\) with \(y_0 = 2\), \(Y = \text{orb}(x,T)\), \(\tilde{X} = X \cup \{y\}\). Define \(\tilde{T} : X \to X\) as

\[
\tilde{T} := \begin{cases} Tz & \text{if } z \in \tilde{X}, \\ x & \text{if } z = y. \end{cases}
\]

Then \(\tilde{T}\) is continuous and \((\tilde{X}, \tilde{T})\) is not transitive. It can be checked that \((\tilde{X}, \tilde{T})\) has \(\tilde{m}\)-\(\tilde{q}\) product property from \(\tilde{X}\) to \(Y\) with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\tilde{m}(\varepsilon, x, y, n) + \tilde{q}(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x \in X\), any \(y \in Y\). Since \(x \in I(f,T)\), by Lemma 3.8, we have that \(I(f, \tilde{T}) := \{x \in \tilde{X} \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) \text{ diverges}\}\) is residual in \(\tilde{X}\).

Now, we prove Theorem D.

Proof of Theorem D: By Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.4, we have \(C_{\tilde{m}}(X)\) is a nonempty open set and \(C_{\tilde{q}}(X)\) is dense in \(C(X)\); when \((X,T)\) is transitive, by Lemma 3.3, \(\mathcal{R}\) is an open and dense set in \(C(X)\), \(C_{\tilde{m}}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\) and \(\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{R}} I(f,T)\) is residual in \(X\). Since \(\bigcap_{f \in C_{\tilde{q}}(X)} I(f,T)\), one has \(\bigcap_{f \in C_{\tilde{q}}(X)} I(f,T)\) is residual in \(X\).

Finally, we prove that transitive homeomorphisms have \(m\)-product property from \(X\) to \(\text{Trans}(X, T^{-1})\).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that \(T : X \to X\) is a homeomorphism on compact metric space and \((X,T)\) is transitive. Then there exists \(m(\varepsilon, x, y, n) \equiv m(\varepsilon, x, y)\) such that \((X,T)\) is \(m\)-transitive from \(X\) to \(\text{Trans}(X, T^{-1})\).

Proof. For any \(x \in X\), \(y \in Y\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\), there is \(m(\varepsilon, x, y) \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(d(T^{-m(\varepsilon, x, y) y}, x) < \varepsilon\). Let \(z = T^{-m(\varepsilon, x, y)} y\), then we have \(d(z, x) < \varepsilon\) and \(d(T^{m(\varepsilon, x, y)+1} z, T^i y) = 0\) for any \(i \in \mathbb{N}\).

3.6. Proof of Theorem E.

(1) By Proposition 2.1(4), \(G_{\text{max}}^\text{comp}\) is residual in \(X\). By Proposition 2.2(1)(5), \(G_{\text{max}}^\text{comp} \subseteq G_{\text{max}}\). Thus \(G_{\text{max}}\) and \(G_{\text{max}}^\text{erg}\) are residual in \(X\). Since \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic, one has \(\sharp M_{\text{erg}} > 1\). Then by Proposition 2.5(2,3), one has \(\emptyset \neq C_{\text{inv}} = C_{\text{erg}} = C = D = \mathcal{R}, G_{\text{max}} \subseteq G_{\text{max}}^\text{erg} \subseteq \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}}} I(f,T)\), and thus \(\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}}} I(f,T)\) is residual in \(X\).

(2) By Proposition 2.1(6), \(G_{\text{inv}}\) is residual in \(X\). By Proposition 2.2(1), \(G_{\text{inv}} \subseteq G_{\text{erg}}\). Thus \(G_{\text{erg}}\) are residual in \(X\). Since \((X,T)\) is not uniquely ergodic, one has \(\sharp M_{\text{erg}} > 1\). Then by Proposition 2.5(2,3), one has \(\emptyset \neq C_{\text{inv}} = C_{\text{erg}} = C = D = \mathcal{R}, G_{\text{max}} \subseteq \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}}} I(f,T)\), and thus \(\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{erg}}} I(f,T)\) is residual in \(X\).

(3) By Theorem 4.3, \((C_T(X), T|_{C_T(X)})\) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. Denote \(Y = C_T(X)\) and \(S = T|_{C_T(X)}\). Then \(C_S(Y) = Y\). By item (3), one has \(C = C_{\text{erg}} = C_{\text{inv}} \neq \emptyset\) and \(\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}} I(f,T) \cap C_T(X)\) is residual in \(C_T(X)\).
4. Examples with ApPP: proofs of Theorem F and G

4.1. Proof of Theorem F.

4.1.1. Proof of Theorem F(1). From the proof of [22, Lemma 3.4], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. Then ergodic measures supported on minimal sets are dense in \(\mathcal{M}(X, T)\).

Next, we show that almost periodic points is dense in measure center \(C_T(X)\) when \((X, T)\) satisfies approximate product property.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. Then \(C_T(X) = \overline{AP}\).

Proof. For any \(x \in AP\) and \(\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\text{orb}(x, T), T)\), we have \(x \in S_\mu\). Then \(\overline{AP} \subset C_T(X)\). To see the reverse direction, by Lemma 4.1, ergodic measures supported on minimal sets are dense in \(\mathcal{M}(X, T)\). Thus for any \(\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)\), there exists \(\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T)\) such that \(S_{\mu_i}\) is minimal for any \(i \in \mathbb{N}^+\) and \(\lim_{i \to \infty} \mu_i = \mu\), which means \(\mu(U_{i=1}^\infty S_{\mu_i}) \geq \limsup_{j \to \infty} \mu_j(U_{i=1}^\infty S_{\mu_i}) = 1\). In other words, \(S_\mu \subset U_{i=1}^\infty S_{\mu_i} \subset \overline{AP}\). So \(C_T(X) = \overline{AP}\). □

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. If \(C_T(X) = X\), then \((X, T)\) is \(m\)-product transitive with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n) + g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x, y \in X\).

Proof. For any \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(x_1, x_2 \in X\), by Lemma 4.2 there is \(y \in AP \cap B(x_1, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\) and \(L_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+\) such that for any \(l \geq 1\), there is \(p \in [l, l + L_0 - 1]\) such that \(f^p(y) \in B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\). For any \(k \in \mathbb{N}^+\), let \(N_k = N(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\) be defined in the Definition 4.1. We assume that \(N_k < N_{k+1}\) for any \(k \in \mathbb{N}^+\). Take \(k_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+\) such that \(2^{k_0-1} \leq L_0 < 2^{k_0}\) and let \(N(\varepsilon, x_1, x_2) = \max\{\frac{L_0+2}{2}, N_{k_0}\}\). Then for any \(n \geq N(\varepsilon, x_1, x_2)\), there exists \(k \geq k_0\) such that \(N_k \leq n < N_{k+1}\). By approximate product property, there is \(z_n \in X\) and \(p \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(1 \leq p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\) and

\[
\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^j z_n, T^j y) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\} \leq \frac{n}{2^k},
\]

and

\[
\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{n+p+j} z_n, T^j x_2) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\} \leq \frac{n}{2^k}.
\]

Let \(\tilde{n} = [L_0(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + 1]\). Then one has

\[
\#\{n - \tilde{n} \leq q \leq n - 1 : d(T^q(y), y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\} \geq \left[\frac{n}{L_0}\right] - [\frac{n}{2^k}] > \frac{n}{2^k},
\]

and

\[
n - \tilde{n} \geq n - L_0(\frac{n}{2^k}) + 1 - 2 \geq n - L_0(\frac{n}{2^k}) + 1 - 2 \geq 0.
\]

which implies \(\tilde{n} \leq n\). Together with (4.1) we get that there is \(q_n \in [n - \tilde{n}, n - 1]\) such that

\[
d(T^{q_n} z_n, T^{q_n} y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\] and \(d(y, T^{q_n} y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},
\]

which implies \(d(T^{q_n} z_n, x_1) < \varepsilon\). Let \(z = T^{q_n} z_n\), one has

\[
d(z, x_1) < \varepsilon\] and \(# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{n-q_n+p+j} z, T^j x_2) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\} \leq \frac{n}{2^k}.
\]

Let \(m(\varepsilon, x, y, n) = \left[\frac{L_0(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + 1}{2}\right] + 2 + \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]\) and \(g(\varepsilon, x, y, n) = \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right] + 1\). Then \((X, T)\) has \(m\)-product property and \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n) + g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x, y \in X\).

By Lemma 4.2, \(C_T(X) = \overline{AP} = X\). Since \(\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T) \mid S_\mu = C_T(X)\}\) is residual in \(\mathcal{M}(X, T)\) by the proof of Proposition 1.6, there is an ergodic measure with full support which implies \((X, T)\) is transitive. So \((X, T)\) is \(m\)-transitive. □
4.1.2. Proof of Theorem $F(2)$.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system satisfying gluing orbit property, then the set of minimal points is dense in $X$.

Proof. For any $x \in X$, let $x_i = x$, $m_i = 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Since that $(X,T)$ has the gluing orbit property, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $M(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there is $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ with $1 \leq t_i \leq M$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. There is $z \in X$ such that $d(x, z) < \varepsilon$, $d(T^{t_1+\cdots+t_n}z, x) < \varepsilon$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Therefore $N(z, B(x, \varepsilon)) \in \mathcal{F}_s$, where $B(x, \varepsilon) := \{x \in X \mid d(x, z) < \varepsilon\}$. Suppose that $A \subset \text{orb}(z, T)$ is a minimal set, we will proof that $A \cap \overline{B(x, \varepsilon)} \neq \emptyset$. If not, $A \cap \overline{B(x, \varepsilon)} = \emptyset$, there are open sets $V \supset A$, $U \supset B(x, \varepsilon)$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $A, \mathcal{T}A, \cdots, T^NA \subset V$. There is an open set $V_N \supset A$, such that $V_N, TV_N, \cdots, T^NV_N \subset V_N$. There is $m_N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ with $T^{m_N}z \in V_N$. Therefore, $m_N, m_N + 1, \cdots, m_N + N \in N(z, V)$. This means that $N(z, U) \notin \mathcal{F}_s$, a contradiction. \hfill \Box

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system satisfying gluing orbit property, then $G^M(X,T)$ is residual in $X$. In particular, if $(X,T)$ is not uniquely ergodic, then $\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{C}} I(f,T)$ is residual in $X$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, the set of minimal points is dense in $X$. Combining with Lemma 4.2, we have $C_T(X) = \overline{AP} = X$. Then we complete the proof by Proposition 2.1(6) and Theorem E(3). \hfill \Box

4.1.3. Proof of Theorem $F(3)$.

Lemma 4.3. [21, Theorem 8.7] Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system. Then for any $x \in X$, there is $y \in AP$, such that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(T^n x, T^n y) = 0$.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$, there exists $N(\varepsilon, \delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for any $n \geq N$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and any $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m \in X$, there exist integers $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_m$ and $x \in AP$ satisfying $h_1 = 0$, $n \leq h_{i+1} - h_i \leq n(1 + \delta_2)$ and

$\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{h_1+j}x, T^j x_i) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq 1$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$. Since that $(X,T)$ has the approximate product property, there is $N(\varepsilon, \delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for any $n \geq N$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and any $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m \in X$, there exist a sequence of integers $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ and $z \in X$ satisfying $h_1 = 0$, $n \leq h_{i+1} - h_i \leq n(1 + \delta_2)$ and

$\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{h_{i+1}}x_i, T^j x_i) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq 1$,

for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.3, there is $y \in AP$ such that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(T^n z, T^n y) = 0$. Therefore, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $h_{m+1} \leq t \leq h_{(t+1)m} + n - 1$, we have $d(T^t z, T^t y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $x = T^{h_{m+1}}y$, $h_i = h_{m+1} - h_{m+1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{h_1+j}x, T^j x_i) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq 1$.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $(X,T)$ is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. Then $(C_T(X), T|_{C_T(X)})$ is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$. By Lemma 4.4, there exists $N(\varepsilon, \delta_1, \delta_2)$, such that for any for any $n \geq N$, any sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ of $X$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exist integers $h_{m,1}, h_{m,2}, \cdots, h_{m,m}$ and $y_m \in AP$ satisfying $h_{m,1} = 0$, $n \leq h_{m,i+1} - h_{m,i} \leq n(1 + \delta_2)$ and

$\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{h_{m,i+1}}y_m, T^j x_i) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq 1$,

for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. We can choose a subsequence of $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$, such that: (1) fix $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, for any $n_s \geq i$, $\# \{0 \leq j \leq n_s - 1 \mid d(T^{h_{s,i+1}}y_m, T^j x_i) \geq \varepsilon\}$ is the same set and $h_{m,i}$ is the same number; (2) $\lim_{i \to +\infty} y_m = y$, which means that $y \in C_T(X)$. Now, $h_1 = h_{m,1}$, then $h_1 = 0$, $n \leq h_{i+1} - h_i \leq n(1 + \delta_2)$ and

$\# \{0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \mid d(T^{h_1+j}y, T^j x_i) \geq \varepsilon\} \leq 1$.

Therefore, $(C_T(X), T|_{C_T(X)})$ is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. \hfill \Box
Remark 4.1. It is still unknown whether the reverse direction of this theorem is correct.

By Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3, we have

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose that \((X, T)\) is a dynamical system satisfying approximate product property. Then \((C_T(X), T|_{C_T(X)})\) is \(m\)-transitive with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c, x, y, n) + m(c, x, y, n)}{n} = 0\) for any \(c > 0\), any \(x, y \in X\).

4.2. **Proof of Theorem G.** When \(S\) is finite, for any words \(v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots \in \mathcal{L}(X)\), there are \(w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots\) such that \(|w^{(i)}| \leq 2 \max S + 1\), for any \(i \in \mathbb{N}^+\) and \(v^{(1)}w^{(1)}v^{(2)}w^{(2)}\ldots \in \mathcal{L}(X)\). Therefore, the conclusions in Theorem G are true.

When \(S\) is infinite, we can choose some \(s_0 \in S\) for every \(n \in \mathbb{N}^+\) such that \(\frac{s_n}{s_0} \to 0\) and \(s_n \to +\infty\). By [10, Section 5.1.2], for any \(z \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)\) there are \(u, v \in \mathcal{L}(X)\) such that \(|u| \leq s_n + 1\), \(|v| \leq s_n + 1\), \(z\) and \(w\) differ on at most \(2\frac{|s_n| + 1}{s_0 + 1}\) letters and \(uvw \in \mathcal{G}\), where \(\mathcal{G} := \{0^{a1}1^{a2} \ldots 0^{a_k}1 \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+, n_i \in S\) for any \(1 \leq i \leq k\}. Therefore, for any words \(z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}, \ldots \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)\), there are \(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, \ldots \in \mathcal{L}(X), v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots \in \mathcal{L}(X), w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots \in \mathcal{L}(X)\), such that \(u^{(1)}w^{(1)}v^{(1)}u^{(2)}w^{(2)}v^{(2)}\ldots \in \mathcal{L}(X)\), which means that \(X\) has the approximate product property.

For any word \(z^{(1)} \in \mathcal{L}(X)\), there is \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(|z^{(1)}|0^k1 \in \mathcal{L}(X)\). For any word \(z^{(2)} \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)\), there are \(u, v \in \mathcal{L}(X), w \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)\) such that \(|u| \leq s_n + 1\), \(|v| \leq s_n + 1\), \(z^{(2)}\) and \(w\) differ on at most \(2\frac{|s_n| + 1}{s_0 + 1}\) letters and \(uvw \in \mathcal{G}\). We have that \(z^{(1)}|0^k1uv \in \mathcal{L}(X)\). Therefore, there are \(m(c, x, y, n)\) and \(g(c, x, y, n)\) such that \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(c, x, y, n) + g(c, x, y, n)}{n} = 0\) and \(X\) is \(m\)-transitive. \(\square\)

5. Examples without ApPP: proofs of Theorem H I and J

5.1. **Proof of Theorem H.** We will construct the examples on full shift \((-1,0,1)^Z\) inspired by the examples given by Ronnie Pavlov in [34].

Denote \(\mathcal{L}(X)\), the language of a subshift \(X\), which is the set of all words which appear in points of \(X\). Denote \(\mathcal{L}_n(X)\) the set of words in \(\mathcal{L}(X)\) with length \(n\). For any word \(w \in \mathcal{L}(X)\), denote \(|w|\) the length of \(w\).

Suppose that \(m(n) = \lfloor n\kappa \rfloor + 1: \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{N}^+\), where \(\kappa > 0\), then \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(n)}{n} = \kappa\) and \(m(n_1) + m(n_2) \leq m(n_1) + m(n_2)\), for any \(n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+\). We define a subshift \(X\) of the full shift \((-1,0,1)^Z\) with the set of forbidden words \(\mathcal{F}(X)\) as follows:

1. any word \(i\) where \(|i| < 0\);
2. any word \(v_10^k v_2 \ldots v_{2k} 0\) where all \(v_i \neq 0\) and \(1 \leq k \leq m(j)\).

First, for any \(w \in \mathcal{L}(X), u \in \mathcal{L}(X), v \in \mathbb{N}^+\) with \(v \geq 1\), we will prove that \(\mathcal{L}(u) = w0^v u \in \mathcal{L}(X)\). It is obvious that \(\mathcal{L}(w) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)\). Therefore, for any \(0 \leq k < \kappa\), \(\mathcal{L}(w) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)\). Then there are at least \(m(n) + 1\) \(\cdot 0^n\) in \(v\), so \(|v| \geq m(n) + 1\). Therefore, for any \(0 \leq k < \kappa\), \(\mathcal{L}(w) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)\). This means that the periodic point is dense in \(X\).

Fourth, since \(X\) contains a \(S\)-gap shift with \(S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq m(1) + 1\}\), we have that \(X\) has positive topological entropy by [1, Proposition 2.1]. Then combining with that the periodic point is dense in \(X\), we have, \(X, T\) is \((m(1), \mathcal{F}(X), T)\) is \(m\)-transitive.

Finally, give \(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_t \in \mathbb{N}^+\), suppose that \(10^{k_1}1^{j_1} \ldots 0^{k_t}1^{j_t} \in \mathcal{L}(X)\) with the most “1”, then we have \(m(j_i) + 1 \leq k_i \leq m(j_i + 1)\) for any \(1 \leq i \leq t\). It means that \(k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_t \geq m(j_1 + j_2 + \ldots + j_t) + 1\). Then \(k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_t \geq m(j_1 + j_2 + \ldots + j_t) + 1\). Suppose that \(f(n): \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{N}^+\) and \(g(n): \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{N}^+\) with \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} < \frac{1}{\kappa}\) and \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} < \frac{1}{\kappa}\). When \(n\) large enough, we have

(a) \(n \geq 2g(n) + 2\);
(b) \(m(n - j - 2g(n)) \geq g(n)\), where \(j = \max\{t \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid g(n) + g(n) + 1 + f(n) + g(n) \geq m(t) + 1\}.


Let \( w = 1^{n-(g(n)+1)\lfloor g(n) + 1 \rfloor} \) and \( u = 1^n \). If there are \( \tilde{w}, v, \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{L}(X) \) such that

1. \( |w| = |\tilde{w}| \), \( w \) and \( \tilde{w} \) are \( g(n) \) letters different at most;
2. \( |u| = |\tilde{u}| \), \( u \) and \( \tilde{u} \) are \( g(n) \) letters different at most;
3. the length of \( v \) is \( f(n) \) at most;
4. \( \tilde{w}v\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{L}(X) \).

Then by (a) and (b), \( \tilde{u} \) contains the letter “0” and the number of “0” is larger than \( m(n-j-2g(n)) + 1 \). It is a contradiction for (b). Therefore, the subshift \( X \) does not have the approximate product property. \( \square \)

5.2. Proof of Theorem I.

**Definition 5.1.** A set \( A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots \} \subset \mathbb{N} \) is said to be syndetic if there is \( N > 0 \), such that for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( a_{k+1} - a_k \leq N \).

Denote \( \mathcal{F}_s \) the set of all syndetic sets. It is well known that for any \( x \in X \), \( x \) is a minimal point if and only if that \( N(x, U) \in \mathcal{F}_s \) for any neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \), where \( N(x, U) : = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | T^n(x) \in U \} \).

Now, we will give the proof of Theorem I.

**Proof.** For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), since \( X \) is a compact metric space, there are \( x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k \in X \) such that \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = X \), where \( B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) : = \{\tilde{y} \in X | d(x_i, \tilde{y}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \} \). Then for any \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), \( N(x_i, B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})) \in \mathcal{F}_s \). Assume that \( N(x_i, B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})) = \{a_{i,1} < a_{i,2} < \cdots \} \), then there is \( N > 0 \), such that

\[
\forall 1 \leq i \leq k, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}^+, \quad a_{i,j+1} - a_{i,j} < N.
\]

Let \( m(\varepsilon) = N \). For any \( y \in X \), any \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), and any \( \varepsilon' > 0 \), denote

\[
B_n(y, \varepsilon') : = \{\tilde{y} \in X | \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d(T^i\tilde{y}, T^iy) < \varepsilon' \}.
\]

For any \( x \in X \), there is \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) such that \( x \in B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \). Since \( (X,T) \) is minimal, we can find \( a > \max\{N, a_{i,1}\} \) such that \( T^n x_i \in B_n(y, \varepsilon') \). There is \( s \leq N \) such that \( T^{a-s} \in B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \). Let \( z = T^{a-s} x_i \), then \( z \in B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \), \( d(z, x) < \varepsilon \) and \( T^s z \in B_n(y, \varepsilon') \).

Due to Lemma 2.2, it can be easily checked that if a minimal system is not uniquely ergodic, then it does not satisfy the approximate product property. By Theorem E(2), \( C = D = R = C_{\text{ erg}} = C_{\text{ inv}} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \int f \in C \) is residual in \( X \). \( \square \)

5.3. Proof of Theorem J. Denote \( (\Sigma_k, \sigma) \) the \( k \)-th one side symbolic dynamical system. Assume \( (\Pi, \sigma) \) is a proper subshift of \( (\Sigma_k, \sigma) \). Due to [23], there is an injective map \( T : \Pi \to \Sigma_k \), such that

1. [23, Proposition 3.1] for any \( y \in \Pi \), \( C_{\sigma}(\omega_\sigma(T(y))) \subseteq \Pi \subseteq \omega_\sigma(T(y)) \);
2. [23, Proposition 3.2] for any \( y \in \Pi \), \( V_\sigma(T(y)) = V_\sigma(y) \).

Suppose that \( y \in \Pi \), let \( (X,T) = (\omega_\sigma(T(y)), \sigma|_X) \), then \( (X,T) \) is transitive, \( \text{Per}(\Pi, \sigma) = \text{Per}(X,T) \) and \( C_{\sigma}(\Pi) = C_T(X) \subset \Pi \subseteq X \). In particular, if \( \text{Per}(\Pi, \sigma) = \Pi \), we have \( \text{Per}(X,T) = C_T(X) ; \) if \( \#V_\sigma(y) > 1 \), we have \( T(y) \in \text{Trans}(X,T) \cap IR(T) \), then \( R \neq \emptyset \).

5.3.1. Proof of Theorem J(1). In [25, Section 4], Katznelson and Weiss constructed a dynamical system \( (X,T) \) such that

1. \( (X,T) \) is not uniquely ergodic;
2. every point in \( X \) is a generic point of an ergodic measure;
3. \( \sharp \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^e(X,T) | S_\mu = X \} = 1 \).

As a result, this dynamical system is transitive and does not satisfy the conditions in Theorem D(2) and \( R = D = C = \emptyset \). Since \( C_T(X) = X \), by Theorem F, we have that this dynamical system does not satisfy the approximate product property.
5.3.2. Proof of Theorem J(2). Throughout the proof of Theorem H, when \( \kappa \) is large enough, for example \( \kappa > 10 \), we have that the example constructed in the proof of Theorem H doesn’t satisfy the conditions in Theorem D(2). For any \( x \in X \), let
\[
f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]
Then \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = \frac{\# \{ 0 \leq i < n-1 \mid x_i = 1 \}}{n} \), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \). Suppose that \( \mathcal{L}(X) = \{ C_1, C_2, C_3, \cdots \} \), such that \( |C_1| \leq |C_2| \leq |C_3| \leq \cdots \). Consider \( x = \cdots 000C_1C_2C_3 \cdots \), where \( x_0 = C_1 \). \( x \) may not in \( X \), but we can adjust it to be a point in \( \text{Trans}(X, T) \) by using the word \( 10^{m(1)+1}10^{m(1)+1} \cdots 10^{m(1)+1}0^N \), where \( N \in \mathbb{N}^+ \). We could construct a point \( y \in \text{Trans}(X, T) \), such that \( y \in I(f, T) \). Therefore, \( f \in \mathcal{R} \) and \( \emptyset \neq \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C} \).

5.3.3. Proof of Theorem J(3). Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a \( \beta \)-shift, then \( (\mathcal{G}, \sigma) \) has positive topological entropy and \( C_\sigma(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \). By [13, Page 934], \( \mathcal{G} \) satisfies the almost product property. Let \( y \in \text{Per}(\mathcal{G}) \). Then \( C_{\sigma}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \) has positive topological entropy and \( \mathcal{C}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \). By [27, Theorem 5.1], if a closed invariant set \( A \) contains the measure center of a compact dynamical system \( (X, f) \) and \( f \) has the almost product property on \( A \), then so does \( f \) on \( X \). Thus \( (X, T) \) satisfies the almost product property. Since \( \text{Per}(\mathcal{G}, \sigma) = \mathcal{G} \), we have \( \text{Per}(X, T) = \text{C}(X) \).

5.3.4. Proof of Theorem J(4). Let \( (\mathcal{G}, \sigma) \) be a minimal and non-uniquely ergodic dynamical system with positive topological entropy. For example, see [14, Example 27.2]. Let \( y \in \text{Per}(\mathcal{G}) \). Then \( C_{\sigma}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \) and \( \text{Per}(X, T) = \text{Per}(\mathcal{G}, \sigma) = \emptyset \). By Theorem I and Theorem 4.3, we have that \( (X, T) \) doesn’t satisfy the approximate product property.

5.3.5. Proof of Theorem J(5). Similar to the example given in the proof of Theorem J(2), we can construct a example in \( \{ 0, 1, 2 \}^\mathbb{N}^+ \), which still satisfies Theorem J(2), we denote it \( \mathcal{G} \). Let \( y \in \text{Per}(\mathcal{G}) \). Then \( C_{\sigma}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G} \) and \( \text{Per}(X, T) = \text{Per}(\mathcal{G}, \sigma) = \mathcal{G} \). By Theorem 4.3, we have that \( (X, T) \) doesn’t satisfy the approximate product property. \( \square \)

6. Further discussion

6.1. Relationship of \( m \)-product property and transitivity.

Proposition 6.1. Suppose that \( (X, T) \) has an \( m \)-product property. If
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(\epsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,
\]
for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), any \( x, y \in X \). Then \( N(U, V) \neq \emptyset \) for any nonempty open set \( U \) and \( V \) with \( V \cap C_T(X) \neq \emptyset \). In particular, if further \( \mathcal{C}(X) = X \), then \( (X, T) \) is transitive.

Proof. Since \( \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^c(X, T)} \mathcal{S}_\mu = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)} \mathcal{S}_\mu \) from [13, Lemma 2.7], then \( C_{\sigma}(T) = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^c(X, T)} \mathcal{S}_\mu \). Thus there exists \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}^c(X, T) \) such that \( \mu(V) > 0 \). Let \( G_{\mu} = \{ x \in X \mid \lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{T^i x} = \mu \} \), then one has \( \mu(G_{\mu} \cap S_\mu) = 1 \) which implies \( \mu(G_{\mu} \cap S_\mu \cap V) > 0 \). We can take \( x \in G_{\mu} \cap S_\mu \cap V \), \( y \in U \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( B(x, \epsilon) \subset V \) and \( B(y, \epsilon) \subset U \) where \( B(x, \epsilon) = \{ y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon \} \). By \( x \in G_{\mu} \cap S_\mu \) one has
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid T^i x \in B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \}}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{T^i x}(B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})) \geq \mu(B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})) > 0.
\]
Together with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(\epsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0 \), there exists \( N \geq N(x, y, \epsilon) \) such that
\[
\frac{g(\epsilon, x, y, N)}{N} < \frac{1}{2} \mu(B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})) < \frac{\# \{ 0 \leq i \leq N-1 \mid T^i x \in B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \}}{N}.
\]
By \( m \)-product property, there exist \( z \in X, p \in \mathbb{N}, \Lambda \subset \Lambda_N \), such that \( 0 \leq p < m(\frac{\epsilon}{2}, x, \Lambda_N) \), \( |\Lambda_N \setminus \Lambda| \leq g(\frac{\epsilon}{2}, y, x, N) \), and
\[
d(z, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, d(T^p z, T^q x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{for any } j \in \Lambda.
\]
for any ε > 0 uniquely ergodic. If x
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By (6.1), there exists 0 ≤ l ≤ N − 1 such that d(T^{p+l}z, T^l x) < \frac{ε}{2} and d(x, T^l x) < \frac{ε}{2} which implies d(T^{p+l}z, x) < ε. So p + l ∈ N(U, V).

The following example is a system which is not transitive and has m-g-product property.

Example 6.1. Let X = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \cdots \}, T(0) = 0, T(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n+1}, then (X, T) is uniquely ergodic with zero topological entropy and is not transitive. For any x_1 < x_2, for any ε > 0, there is k(ε, x_1, x_2), such that T^n x_2 - T^n x_1 < ε for any n ≥ k. Therefore (X, T) has the m-g-product property with

\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(ε, x, y, n) + g(ε, x, y, n)}{n} = 0.

6.2. Asymptotically additive sequences. In this subsection, we will discuss the asymptotically additive sequences in C(X).

Definition 6.1. A sequence \((f_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset C(X)\) is said to be asymptotically additive if

\inf_{f \in C(X)} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \|f_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i\| = 0.

Lemma 6.1. [11, Theorem 1.2] Let \((f_n)_{n \geq 1}\) be an asymptotically additive sequence. Then, there exists f \in C(X) such that

\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \|f_n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i\| = 0.

For any asymptotically additive sequence \((f_n)_{n \geq 1}\), we can define the \((f_n)_{n \geq 1}\)-irregular set as

\(I((f_n)_{n \geq 1}, T) := \left\{ x \in X \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_n(x) \text{ diverges} \right\}.

Denote A(X), the space of all asymptotically additive sequence. Define the equivalence relation \sim on A(X) as

\((f_n)_{n \geq 1} \sim (g_n)_{n \geq 1}\) whenever \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \|f_n - g_n\| = 0.

Denote \([(f_n)_{n \geq 1}]\) the equivalence class. When \((f_n)_{n \geq 1} = (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i)_{n \geq 1}\), we will write \([(f_n)_{n \geq 1}]\) as \([f]\) simply. By Lemma 6.1, A(X)/\sim = \{[f] \mid f \in C(X)\}. Define the metric d_A on A(X)/\sim as

d_A(\[(f_n)_{n \geq 1}\], \[(g_n)_{n \geq 1}\]) = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \|f_n - g_n\|.

Denote

\mathcal{R}_A := \{ \[(f_n)_{n \geq 1}\] \in A(X)/\sim \mid I((f_n)_{n \geq 1}, T) \text{ is residual in } X \}

= \{ [f] \in A(X)/\sim \mid I(f, T) \text{ is residual in } X \}

Similar to Theorem D, we have

Theorem 6.1. Suppose that (X, T) is m-g-transitive and is not uniquely ergodic. If

\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(ε, x, y, n)}{n} < \kappa < 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(ε, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,

for any ε > 0, any x, y \in X. Then \mathcal{R}_A is an open and dense set in A(X)/\sim and \bigcap_{[f] \in \mathcal{R}_A} I(f, T) is residual in X.

Similar to Theorem E, we have

Theorem 6.2. Suppose that (X, T) is a dynamical system satisfying m-g-product property and is not uniquely ergodic. If

\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(ε, x, y, n) + g(ε, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,

for any ε > 0, any x, y \in X. Then \bigcap_{[f] \in A(X)/\sim \setminus I(f, T) \neq \emptyset} I(f, T) is residual in X.
6.3. Lipschitz continuous function space and Hölder continuous function space. In this subsection, we will discuss the irregular set in Lipschitz continuous function space and Hölder continuous function space with holder exponent $\alpha$, denote $\text{Lip}(X)$ and $H_\alpha(X)$, respectively, where $0 < \alpha < 1$. For any $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$, denote

$$||f||_{\text{Lip}} := ||f|| + \sup_{x,y \in X, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$  

For any $f \in H_\alpha(X)$, denote

$$||f||_{\alpha} := ||f|| + \sup_{x,y \in X, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$  

Then $(\text{Lip}(X), || \cdot ||_{\text{Lip}})$ and $(H_\alpha(X), || \cdot ||_{\alpha})$ are Banach spaces. Denote

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lip}} := \{ f \in \text{Lip}(X) \mid I(f, T) \text{ is residual in } X \},$$  

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha := \{ f \in H_\alpha(X) \mid I(f, T) \text{ is residual in } X \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lip}} \subset \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{R}$. Since $\text{Lip}(X)$ is dense in $C(X)$ equipped with $|| \cdot ||$, similar to Lemma 3.3, we have

**Lemma 6.2.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a transitive dynamical system, then

(1) If $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lip}} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lip}}$ is an open and dense set in $\text{Lip}(X)$ equipped with $|| \cdot ||_{\text{Lip}}$;

(2) If $\mathcal{R}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ is an open and dense set in $H_\alpha(X)$ equipped with $|| \cdot ||_\alpha$.

Suppose $\text{diam}(X) > 0$, $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \text{diam}(X)\frac{1}{C}d(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in X$. Then $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq Cd(x, y)^\alpha$ for any $x, y \in X$, which means $f \in H_\alpha(X)$. Therefore, if $\text{diam}(X) > 0$ and $C_\alpha(X) \neq \emptyset$, we have $\text{Lip}(X) \cap C(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $H_\alpha(X) \cap C(X) \neq \emptyset$.

Similar to Theorem D, we have

**Theorem 6.3.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is $m$-$g$-transitive and is not uniquely ergodic. If

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} < \kappa < 1$$  

and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $x, y \in A$. Then

(1) $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lip}}$ is an open and dense set in $\text{Lip}(X)$ equipped with $|| \cdot ||_{\text{Lip}}$;

(2) $\mathcal{R}_\alpha$ is an open and dense set in $H_\alpha(X)$ equipped with $|| \cdot ||_\alpha$.

6.4. $\mathcal{U}_T$ and $\mathcal{R}$. In this subsection, we discuss the relation of $\mathcal{U}_T$ and $\mathcal{R}$ for transitive systems. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{U}_C \subset \mathcal{R}$ for any transitive point $x \in X$.

First, we show there is $(X, T)$ such that $\mathcal{U}_C \subset \mathcal{R}$ for some transitive point $y \in X$. From [22, Theorem 1.4], if $f$ satisfies $g$-almost product property and uniform separation property, $C_T(X) = X$, then $G_K \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \neq \emptyset$ for any compact connected nonempty set $K \subset \mathcal{M}(X, T)$. Let $(X, T)$ be a $\beta$-shift. Then $(X, T)$ satisfies $g$-almost product property [38, Page 934], its maximal entropy measure is full supported [47, Theorem 13 (ii)] which implies $C_T(X) = X$, and $(X, T)$ is expansive which implies uniform separation property by [38, Theorem 3.1]. Let $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in X$ be three periodic points with $\text{orb}(p_1, T) \neq \text{orb}(p_2, T)$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then there exists $x \in C(X)$ such that $f|_{\text{orb}(p_1, T), \text{orb}(p_2, T)} \equiv 0$, $f|_{\text{orb}(p_3, T)} \equiv 1$. Let $f_i$ be the $T$-invariant measure supported on $\text{orb}(p_i, T)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Denote $K_1 = \{ t_\mu | 1 \leq t \leq \mu \}, K_2 = \{ t_\mu + (1 - t)_\mu | 1 \leq t \leq 0 \}$. Then $G_{K_1} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \neq \emptyset$, $G_{K_2} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \neq \emptyset$ by [22, Theorem 1.4]. Since $G_{K_1} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \subset I(f, T)$, then $f \in \mathcal{R}$ by Lemma 3.1. But $G_{K_1} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \cap I(f, T) = \emptyset$, then $f \notin \mathcal{U}_T$ for any $y \in G_{K_1} \cap \text{Trans}(X, T)$.

Next, we give a sufficient condition for that $\mathcal{U}_T = \mathcal{R}$.

**Proposition 6.2.** Suppose that $(X, T)$ is a transitive dynamical system. Let $x \in X$ be a transitive point. If $V_T(x) = \mathcal{M}^T_{\text{max}}$, then $\mathcal{U}_T = \mathcal{R}$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1, $f \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $I(f, T) \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \neq \emptyset$. So $\mathcal{U}_T \subset \mathcal{R}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1(2) and Proposition 2.2(4), $G^T_{\text{max}}$ is residual in $X$. Then $I(f, T) \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \cap G^T_{\text{max}}$ is residual in $X$ by $V_T(x) = \mathcal{M}^T_{\text{max}}$. Take $y \in I(f, T) \cap \text{Trans}(X, T) \cap G^T_{\text{max}}$, then

$$\inf_{\mu \in V_T(y)} \int f \, d\mu < \infty.$$
Question 6.1. Is there a transitive dynamical system $(X, T)$?

Question 6.2. Is there any transitive dynamical system $(X, T)$ such that $X = X^1$ by Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 6.1. Let $f: X \to X$ be a map. Then $f$ is transitive if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $x, y \in X$, there exists $T \in [0, 1]$ such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon$.

6.3. Some questions. We propose some questions for future research.

Proof. The third named author proved in [45] that $f(T, x)$ is not uniquely ergodic.

Proposition 6.3. There is $(X, T)$ such that $h_{top}(T) = 0$.

Next we show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log |f'(x)| = h_{top}(T)$. So

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log |f'(x)| = h_{top}(T).$$

The inverse of Proposition 6.2 is true.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log |f'(x)| = h_{top}(T).$$
6.5.3. Relations of m-g-product property and specification-like properties. It’s easy to see that gluing orbit property implies m-g-product property. However, we don’t know the relation of m-g-product property and approximate product property when C_T(X) \neq X.

**Question 6.4.** Is there a dynamical system (X, T) such that (X, T) has approximate product property and doesn’t satisfy m-g-product property with

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{m(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} < 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{g(\varepsilon, x, y, n)}{n} = 0,$$

for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), any \(x, y \in X\)?

6.5.4. Systems with \(C \setminus D \neq \emptyset\). Finally, we ask the following.

**Question 6.5.** Is there a transitive dynamical system (X, T) and \(f \in C(X)\) such that \(I(f, T)\) is nonempty but is not dense in X?
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