The final version of this manuscript has been accepted for publication in SCIENCE CHIAN Mathematics.

# ON BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO A PETROVSKY EQUATION WITH DAMPING AND VARIABLE-EXPONENT SOURCE 
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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the following Petrovsky equation with damping and nonlinear source $$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t}=|u|^{p(x)-2} u
$$ under initial-boundary value conditions, where $M(s)=a+b s^{\gamma}$ is a positive $C^{1}$ function with parameters $a>0, b>0, \gamma \geq 1$, and $m(x), p(x)$ are given measurable functions. The upper bound of the blow-up time is derived for low initial energy using the differential inequality technique. For $m(x) \equiv 2$, in particular, the upper bound of the blow-up time is obtained by the combination of Levine's concavity method and some differential inequalities under high initial energy. In addition, by making full use of the strong damping, the lower bound of the blow-up time is discussed. Moreover, the global existence of solutions and an energy decay estimate are presented by establishing some energy estimates and by exploiting a key integral inequality.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that nonlinear wave equations can be used to describe a variety of problems in physics, engineering, chemistry, material science and other sciences. The study of nonlinear wave equations has also great significance in mathematical analysis. Guesmia [5] considered the fourth-order wave equation

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u+q(x) u+g\left(u_{t}\right)=0
$$

for a continuous and increasing function $g$ with $g(0)=0$, and a bounded function $q$, and proved a global existence and a regularity result. At the same time, decay results for weak and strong solutions were also established under suitable growth conditions on $g$. Messaoudi [20] studied the nonlinearly damped semilinear Petrovsky equation

$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t}=b|u|^{p-2} u
$$

where $a, b$ are positive constants. He proved the existence of a local weak solution and showed that this solution blows up in finite time if $p>m$ with negative initial energy and showed that the solution is global if $m \geq p$. Wu and Tsai [27] extended the results above, and proved that the solution is global in time under some conditions without the relation between $m$ and $p$. They also proved that the local solution blows up in finite time if $p>m$ and the initial energy is

[^0]nonngeative. The decay estimates of the energy function and the estimates of the lifespan of solutions were given. The blow-up result has been further improved by Chen and Zhou [2], where they proved that the solution blows up in finite time if the positive initial energy satisfies a suitable condition. Li, Sun and Liu [12] studied the following strongly damped Petrovsky system with nonlinear damping
$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-1} u_{t}=b|u|^{p-1} u
$$
where they proved the global existence of the solution under conditions without any relation between $m$ and $p$, and established an exponential decay rate. They also showed that the solution blows up in finite time if $p>m$ and the initial energy is less than the potential well depth. Guo and $\mathrm{Li}[7]$ discussed the lower and upper bounds for the lifespan of solutions to a fourth-order nonlinear hyperbolic equation with strong damping
$$
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta u+\omega \Delta u_{t}+\alpha(t) u_{t}=b|u|^{p-2} u
$$
and extended and improved the results in [29]. Readers can refer to [8, 25, 13, 17, 10] and the references therein for more other results.

To describe the nonlinear vibrations of an elastic string, Kirchhoff [9] first introduced the following equation:

$$
\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left\{p_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+f, \quad 0 \leq x \leq L, t \geq 0
$$

where $u=u(x, t)$ is the lateral deflection, $E$ is the Young's modulus, $\rho$ is the mass density, $h$ is the cross-section area, $L$ is the length, $p_{0}$ is the initial axial tension, $\delta$ is the resistance modulus, and $f$ is the external force. In recent years, the problem with Kirchhoff type has been further developed, see [26, 28, 30, 31]. Zhou [31], in particular considered a Kirchhoff type plate equation

$$
u_{t t}+\alpha \Delta^{2} u-a \Delta u-b\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{\gamma} \Delta u+\lambda u_{t}=\mu|u|^{p-2} u
$$

where they showed the blow-up of solutions and the lifespan estimates for three different ranges of initial energy. Global existence of solutions was proved by the potential well theory, and decay estimates of the energy function were established by using Nakao's inequality.

With the rapid development of the mathematical theory, much attention has been paid to the study of mathematical nonlinear models of hyperbolic, parabolic and elliptic equations with variable exponents of nonlinearity. For instance, Messaoudi, Talahmeh and Al-Smail [21] considered the following nonlinear wave equation with variable exponents

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta u+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t}=b|u|^{p(x)-2} u
$$

they established the existence of a unique weak solution by using the Faedo-Galerkin method under suitable assumptions, and also proved the finite time blow-up of solutions.

Inspired by the works mentioned above, in this paper, we are concerned with the following initial-boundary problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-\Delta u_{t}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t}=|u|^{p(x)-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, t)=\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$, $\nu$ is the unit outward normal vector on $\partial \Omega, u_{0}(x) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), u_{1}(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega) . M(s)=a+b s^{\gamma}$ is a positive $C^{1}$ function with parameters $a>0, b>0, \gamma \geq 1$. The exponents $m(x)$ and $p(x)$ are given measurable functions on $\Omega$ satisfying

$$
m(x) \in\left[m^{-}, m^{+}\right] \subset(1, \infty), \quad p(x) \in\left[p^{-}, p^{+}\right] \subset(1, \infty) \quad \forall x \in \Omega
$$

and the $\log$-Hölder continuity condition i.e. for some $A>0$ and any $0<\delta<1$ :

$$
|q(x)-q(y)| \leq-\frac{A}{\log |x-y|} \quad \text { for all } x, y \in \Omega \text { with }|x-y|<\delta
$$

here

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
m^{-}:=e s s \inf _{x \in \Omega} m(x), \quad m^{+}:=\text {ess } \sup _{x \in \Omega} m(x) \\
p^{-}:=\text {ess } \inf _{x \in \Omega} p(x), \quad p^{+}:=\text {ess } \sup _{x \in \Omega} p(x) .
\end{array}
$$

To the best of our knowledge, there is no general theory known concerned with existence and nonexistence of solutions to problems like (1.1). Antontsev, Ferreira and Pişkin [1] obtained the existence of local weak solutions by using the Banach contraction mapping principle under suitable assumptions, and gave a blow-up result with negative initial energy when $-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u$ in problem (1.1) is absent, but they did not discuss the blow-up phenomena with positive initial energy and other properties of solutions. It seems that one cannot directly apply the classical potential well method to construct some invariant sets and to analyze the behavior of solutions as in $[12,31]$ because for all $f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$
\min \left\{\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\} \leq \int_{\Omega}|f|^{p(x)} d x \leq \max \left\{\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\}
$$

which is different from that $\|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$.
In this paper, we will develop a new technique to discuss bounds of the blow-up time and decay rates. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce the Banach spaces that will be suitable to study problem (1.1), some notations and useful lemmas in the sequel. Sections 3 will be devoted to discussing the lifespan of solutions, i.e. the upper and lower bounds of the blow-up time. In Section 4, some energy estimates and a key integral inequality [19] are used to prove a uniform decay rates of the solution.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ the $L^{p}(\Omega)$ norm for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We will equip $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)}=\|\Delta u\|_{2}$ for $u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$, which is equivalent to the standard one due to Poincaré's inequality. Firstly, let us introduce the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ in $[3,4]$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
p: \Omega \rightarrow(1, \infty) \text { be a measurable function. } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
A_{p(x)}(f)=\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p(x)} d x<\infty
$$

and

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{f \text { is measurable on } \Omega: A_{p(x)}(f)<\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: A_{p(x)}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1\right\}
$$

Let us assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x) \in\left[p^{-}, p^{+}\right] \subset(1, \infty) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let (2.1) and (2.2) be fulfilled. Then for every $f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$

$$
\min \left\{\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\} \leq A_{p(x)}(f) \leq \max \left\{\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ satisfy (2.1) and (2.2). If $p(x) \geq q(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega$, then there is continuous embedding $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ and the embedding constant is less or equal to $1+|\Omega|$.

Let us follow from the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [1] or Theorem 3.3 in [21], the local existence of solutions to problem (1.1) without the proof is as follows.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $u_{0}(x) \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega), u_{1}(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \leq m^{-} \leq m(x) \leq m^{+}< \begin{cases}\infty & \text { for } N \leq 4 \\
2 N /(N-4) & \text { for } N \geq 5\end{cases}  \tag{2.3}\\
2<p^{-} \leq p(x) \leq p^{+}< \begin{cases}\infty & \text { for } N \leq 4 \\
2(N-2) /(N-4) & \text { for } N \geq 5\end{cases} \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

then there exists a unique local weak solution $u:=u(x, t) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ for problem (1.1) with

$$
u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{m^{-}}\left(0, T ; L^{m(x)}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

Define in the sequel

$$
\alpha_{1}:=\left(B_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{-2}{p^{--2}}}, \quad E_{1}:=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{-}}\right) \alpha_{1}^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}
$$

with $B_{1}=\max \{1, B\}$, here $B$ is the embedding constant to the embedding $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, i.e. there exists an optimal constant $B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq B\|\Delta u\|_{2} \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the energy functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct computation implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)} d x-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $E(0)<E_{1}$ and

$$
2<p^{-} \leq p(x) \leq p^{+}< \begin{cases}\infty & \text { for } N \leq 4  \tag{2.8}\\ 2 N /(N-4) & \text { for } N \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

Assume that $u$ is a solution for problem (1.1), then
(1) for $B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}>\alpha_{1}$, there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \geq \alpha_{2} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) for $B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}<\alpha_{1}$, there exists a positive constant $0<\tilde{\alpha_{2}}<\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (2.6), Lemma 2.1 and (2.5) yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{p^{-}} \max \left\{\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}},\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{p^{-}} \max \left\{B^{p^{+}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{p^{+}}, B^{p^{-}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{p^{-}}\right\}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 B_{1}^{2}} \alpha-\frac{1}{p^{-}} \max \left\{\alpha^{\frac{p^{+}}{2}}, \alpha^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}\right\}:=G(\alpha),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha:=\alpha(t)=B_{1}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}$. By direct computations, $G(\alpha)$ satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime}(\alpha) & = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 B_{1}^{2}}-\frac{p^{+}}{2 p^{-}} \alpha^{\frac{p^{+}-2}{2}}<0 & \alpha>1 ; \\
\frac{1}{2 B_{1}^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\frac{p^{-}-2}{2}} & 0<\alpha<1\end{cases} \\
G_{+}^{\prime}(1) & =\frac{1}{2 B_{1}^{2}}-\frac{p^{+}}{2 p^{-}}<0, G_{-}^{\prime}(1)=\frac{1}{2 B_{1}^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}<0 ; \\
G^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) & =0,0<\alpha_{1}<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $G(\alpha)$ is strictly increasing for $0<\alpha<\alpha_{1}$, strictly decreasing for $\alpha_{1}<\alpha$, $G(\alpha) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow+\infty$, and $G\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=E_{1}$. Since $E(0)<E_{1}$, there exist $\alpha_{2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha_{2}}$ with $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}>\tilde{\alpha_{2}}$ such that $G\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=G\left(\tilde{\alpha_{2}}\right)=E(0)$. Set $\alpha_{0}:=B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \leq E(0)=G\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=G\left(\tilde{\alpha_{2}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) if $B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}>\alpha_{1}$, then (2.12) implies $\alpha_{0} \geq \alpha_{2}$. To prove (2.9), we suppose by contradiction that for some $t_{0}>0, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right)<\alpha_{2}$. The continuity of $\alpha(t)$ illustrates that we could choose $t_{0}$ such that $\alpha_{1}<\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)<\alpha_{2}$, we have $E(0)=$ $G\left(\alpha_{2}\right)<G\left(\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \leq E\left(t_{0}\right)$, which contradicts (2.7).
(2) if $B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}<\alpha_{1}$, then (2.12) implies $\alpha_{0} \leq \tilde{\alpha_{2}}$. Similar to (1), we suppose by contradiction that for some $t^{0}>0, \alpha\left(t^{0}\right)>\tilde{\alpha_{2}}$. The continuity of $\alpha(t)$ illustrates that we could choose $t^{0}$ such that $\tilde{\alpha_{2}}<\alpha\left(t^{0}\right)<\alpha_{1}$, we have $E(0)=G\left(\tilde{\alpha_{2}}\right)<$ $G\left(\alpha\left(t^{0}\right)\right) \leq E\left(t^{0}\right)$, which contradicts (2.7).

Lemma 2.5. Set $H(t)=E_{2}-E(t)$ for $t \geq 0$, where $E_{2} \in\left(E(0), E_{1}\right)$ is sufficiently close to $E(0)$. If all conditions of Lemma 2.4(1) hold, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (2.7) implies that $H(t)$ is nondecreasing with respect to $t$, thus for $t \geq 0$, $H(t) \geq H(0)=E_{2}-E(0)>0$. (2.6) and (2.9) illustrate

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & \leq E_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq E_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2 B_{1}^{2}}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \leq E_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 B_{1}^{2}}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.6. In Lemma 2.5, let $H(t)=E_{2}-E(t)$, where $E_{2} \in\left(E(0), E_{1}\right)$ is sufficiently close to $E(0)$ be necessary. This necessity can be seen in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 2.7. Let $p^{-}>2(\gamma+1)$ and $m(x)=2$ hold. If the initial datum $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<E(0)<\frac{C}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the weak solution $u$ to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x-\frac{p^{-}}{C} E(t) \geq\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x-\frac{p^{-}}{C} E(0)\right) e^{C t}>0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0, T)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\min \left\{2+p^{-}, \frac{2 p^{-}\left(p^{-}-2\right) a}{1+\left(2 p^{-}+1\right) S^{2}}\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S$ being the optimal embedding constant of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Proof. The idea of this proof comes from [24, 8, 15]. It is direct that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x=\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} u u_{t t} d x \\
& \quad=\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x-\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x  \tag{2.17}\\
& \geq \frac{p^{-}+2}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p^{-}-2}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p^{-}-2}{2} a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{p^{-}-2(\gamma+1)}{2(\gamma+1)} b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x-\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x-p^{-} E(t)
\end{align*}
$$

by using the first equality for problem (1.1) and (2.6). Taking full advantage of Young's inequality, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x & \leq \frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p^{-}}{C}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{2.18}\\
\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x & \leq \frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p^{-}}{C}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.18) with (2.19), and using the embedding theorem $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \geq & \frac{p^{-}+2}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left[\frac{p^{-}-2}{2} a-\frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{p^{-}}{C}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-p^{-} E(t) \\
\geq & \frac{p^{-}+2}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\{\left[\frac{p^{-}-2}{2} a-\frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\right] \frac{1}{S^{2}}-\frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\right\}\|u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{2.20}\\
& +\frac{p^{-}}{C}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-p^{-} E(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\Psi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x-\frac{p^{-}}{C} E(t)
$$

Recalling (2.16), and then combining (2.20) with (2.7), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \Psi(t) & \geq \frac{p^{-}+2}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\{\left[\frac{p^{-}-2}{2} a-\frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\right] \frac{1}{S^{2}}-\frac{C}{4 p^{-}}\right\}\|u\|_{2}^{2}-p^{-} E(t)  \tag{2.21}\\
& \geq C\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{p^{-}}{C} E(t)\right) \geq C \Psi(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that $\Psi(0)=\int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x-\frac{p^{-}}{C} E(0)>0$, by Gronwall's inequality, thus

$$
\Psi(t) \geq e^{C t} \Psi(0)>0
$$

This proof is complete.
Lemma 2.8. ${ }^{[11,14]}$ Suppose a positive, twice-differentiable function $\psi(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t) \psi(t)-(1+\theta)\left(\psi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

where $\theta>0$. If $\psi(0)>0, \psi^{\prime}(0)>0$, then $\psi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{1} \leq t_{2}=\frac{\psi(0)}{\theta \psi^{\prime}(0)}$.
Lemma 2.9. ${ }^{[19]}$ Let $E: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a non-increasing function and $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a strictly increasing function of class $C^{1}$ such that $\phi(0)=0$ and $\phi(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow$ $+\infty$. Assume that there exist $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\omega>0$ such that:

$$
\int_{t}^{+\infty}(E(s))^{1+\sigma} \phi^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{\omega}(E(0))^{\sigma} E(t)
$$

then $E$ has the following decay property:
(1) if $\sigma=0$, then $E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\omega \phi(t)}$ for all $t \geq 0$;
(2) if $\sigma>0$, then $E(t) \leq E(0)\left(\frac{1+\sigma}{1+\omega \sigma \phi(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

## 3. Blow-up Results

In this section, the blow-up phenomenon will be discusse. Moreover, the lifespan of solutions will be derived as well.
3.1. Blow-up for low initial energy. By constructing an ordinary differential inequality, let us present the blow-up result for low initial energy as follows:
Theorem 3.1. Let (2.8) and $\max \left\{m^{+}, 2(\gamma+1)\right\}<p^{-}$hold. Provided that

$$
B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}>\alpha_{1} \text { and } E(0)<E_{1}
$$

then the solution $u$ of problem (1.1) blows up at some finite time $T^{*}$ in the sense of $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}}\|u\|_{p(x)}=+\infty$ and the blow-up time $T^{*}$ can be estimated from above as follows

$$
T^{*} \leq F^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0) \frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}} \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}
$$

where

$$
0<\sigma \leq \min \left\{\frac{p^{-}-m^{+}}{p^{-}\left(m^{+}-1\right)}, \frac{p^{-}-2}{2 p^{-}}, \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}\right\}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

$M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ will be presented in (3.7) and (3.15), respectively.
Proof. This proof goes back to our previous paper [16]. Let us recall $H(t)=$ $E_{2}-E(t)$ for $t \geq 0$. Define an auxiliary function

$$
F(t)=H^{1-\sigma}(t)+\varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

The proof will be divided into three steps:
Step 1: Estimate for $\boldsymbol{F}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{t})$ Differentiating directly $F(t)$, recalling (1.1), adding and subtracting $\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) H(t)$ with $0<\varepsilon_{1}<1$ is to get

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t)= & (1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\varepsilon b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t} u d x+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
\geq & (1-\sigma) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left[1+\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}\right]\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right]\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon a\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\varepsilon b\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2(r+1)}-1\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}-\varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t} u d x \\
& +\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) H(t)-\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) E_{2}+\varepsilon \varepsilon_{1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Young's inequality with $\varepsilon_{2}>1$ and $H^{\prime}(t)=-E^{\prime}(t)$, Lemma 2.1, the embedding $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{m(x)}(\Omega)$, we easily have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t} u d x \leq \varepsilon_{2} H^{-\sigma}(t) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m--1}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{m(x)} H^{\sigma[m(x)-1]}(t) d x \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon_{2} H^{-\sigma}(t) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)} d x+\frac{C_{1}^{\sigma\left(m^{-}-m^{+}\right)} H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)}(t)}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m^{-}-1}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{m(x)} d x \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon_{2} H^{-\sigma}(t) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)} d x+\frac{C_{2} H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)}(t)}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m^{--1}}} \max \left\{\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{+}},\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{-}}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon_{2} H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\frac{C_{2} H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)}(t)}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m^{-}-1}} \max \left\{\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{+}},\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{-}}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\min \{H(0), 1\}, C_{2}=(1+|\Omega|)^{m^{+}} C_{1}^{\sigma\left(m^{-}-m^{+}\right)}$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5 imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{+}} \leq \max \left\{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{+}}},\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}\right\} \\
\leq \max \left\{\left(p^{-} H(t)\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{+}}-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}, 1\right\}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} \\
\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{-}} \leq \max \left\{\left(p^{-} H(t)\right)^{\frac{m^{-}-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{+}}}{p^{-}}},\left(p^{-} H(t)\right)^{\frac{m^{-}-m^{+}}{p^{-}}}\right\}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}},
\end{gathered}
$$

which illustrate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{+}},\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{-}}\right\} \leq C_{3}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Lemma 2.5, apparently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)}(t) \max \left\{\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{+}},\|u\|_{p(x)}^{m^{-}}\right\} \leq C_{3} H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)}(t)\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} \\
& \quad=C_{3} \frac{H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}-1}(t)}{H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}-1}}(0)} H^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}(t) H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}-1}(0)\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{3}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}\right)^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} H^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}-1}}(0)\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{3}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}\right)^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}} C_{1}^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}-1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) \geq & \left(1-\sigma-\varepsilon \varepsilon_{2}\right) H^{-\sigma}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon\left[1+\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}\right]\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right]\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) H(t)-\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) E_{2} \\
& +\varepsilon a\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon b\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2(r+1)}-1\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& +\varepsilon\left[\varepsilon_{1}-\frac{C_{1}^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}-1} C_{2} C_{3}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}\right)^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m^{-}-1}}\right] \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us choose $0<\varepsilon_{1}<\frac{p^{-}-2(\gamma+1)}{p^{-}}<\frac{p^{-}-2}{p^{-}}$sufficiently small and choose $E_{2} \in$ $\left(E(0), E_{1}\right)$, sufficiently close to $E(0)$ such that

$$
E_{2} \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}\right] \alpha_{1}^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}<E_{1}
$$

therefore, Lemma 2.4(1) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right]\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) E_{2} \geq \varepsilon\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right] \frac{\alpha_{2}}{B_{1}^{2}}-\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) E_{2} \\
& \quad \geq \varepsilon\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right] \alpha_{1}^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}}-\varepsilon p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) E_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us fix the constant $\varepsilon_{2}$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{1}>\frac{C_{1}^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}-1} C_{2} C_{3}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}\right)^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m^{-}-1}}
$$

and then choose $\varepsilon$ so small that $1-\sigma>\varepsilon \varepsilon_{2}$. Therefore, (3.5) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t) \geq M_{1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+H(t)+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}= & \varepsilon \min \left\{1+\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2},\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right) p^{-}, a\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2}-1\right]\right. \\
& \left.b\left[\frac{p^{-}\left(1-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2(r+1)}-1\right],\left[\varepsilon_{1}-\frac{C_{1}^{\sigma\left(m^{+}-1\right)+\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}-1}} C_{2} C_{3}\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}\right)^{1-\frac{m^{+}}{p^{-}}}}{\varepsilon_{2}^{m^{-}-1}}\right]\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2: Estimate for $\boldsymbol{F}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(\boldsymbol{t})$ We are now in a position to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t)=\left[H^{1-\sigma}(t)+\varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, applying Hölder's inequality, embedding $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ and Young's inequality shows

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} & \leq\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}\|u\|_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq(1+|\Omega|)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq C_{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{5}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{\frac{2}{2(1-\sigma)-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
C_{4}=\frac{(1+|\Omega|)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}}{2(1-\sigma)}, C_{5}=\frac{(1+|\Omega|)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}[2(1-\sigma)-1]}{2(1-\sigma)}
$$

Recalling $0<\sigma \leq \frac{p^{-}-2}{2 p^{-}}$, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u\|_{p(x)}^{\frac{2}{2(1-\sigma)-1}} \leq \max \left\{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{p-[2(1-\sigma)-1]}},\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{p+[2(1-\sigma)-1]}}\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left(p^{-} H(t)\right)^{\frac{2-p-[2(1-\sigma)-1]}{p-[2(1-\sigma)-1]}},\left(p^{-} H(t)\right)^{\frac{2-p}{p+[2(1-\sigma)-1]}}\right\} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq C_{6} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

with $C_{6}=\left(\min \left\{p^{-} H(0), 1\right\}\right)^{\frac{2-p^{+}[2(1-\sigma)-1]}{p^{+}[2(1-\sigma)-1]}}$. Inserting (3.10) into (3.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \leq C_{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{5} C_{6} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, there exists a positive constant $C_{7}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{1-\sigma}} \leq C_{7}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us pause to prove this inequality. If $\|\nabla u\|_{2}<1$, it directly follows from the fact $\frac{2}{1-\sigma}>2$ that $\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{1-\sigma}} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}$. If $\|\nabla u\|_{2} \geq 1$, recalling $\sigma<\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}$, we have $\frac{2}{1-\sigma}<2(\gamma+1)$, which implies $\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{1-\sigma}} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}$. Therefore, combining (3.10) (3.12) (3.8) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{m}\right)^{l} \leq 2^{(m-1)(l-1)}\left(a_{1}^{l}+a_{2}^{l}+\cdots+a_{m}^{l}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $a_{i} \geq 0(i=1,2, \cdots, m), l \geq 1, m \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t) \leq 2^{\frac{2 \sigma}{1-\sigma}}\left(H(t)+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{1-\sigma}}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{2 \sigma}{1-\sigma}}\left(H(t)+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{5} C_{6} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right.  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{7}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{7}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right) \\
& \leq \\
& \quad M_{2}\left(H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}=2^{\frac{2 \sigma}{1-\sigma}} \max \left\{1, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{4}, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{5} C_{6},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} C_{7}\right\} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: Blow-up result Combining (3.6) and (3.14), obviously, $F^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(t) \leq$ $\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}} F^{\prime}(t)$, which implies by Gronwall's inequality

$$
F^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{F^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0)-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}} \frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} t}
$$

which yields $F(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ in finite time $T^{*}$ and

$$
T^{*} \leq F^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}(0) \frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}} \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} .
$$

Here we fix some $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
F(0)=H^{1-\sigma}(0)+\varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{1} u_{0} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)>0
$$

In what follows, we will prove

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} F(t) \rightarrow+\infty \Longrightarrow \lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}}\|u\|_{p(x)}=+\infty
$$

Let us consider the following three cases based on the definition of $F(t)$ :
Case 1: $H(t) \rightarrow+\infty$. In this case, Lemma 2.5 yields $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \rightarrow+\infty$. It easily follows Lemma 2.1 that $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}}\|u\|_{p(x)}=+\infty$.
Case 2: $\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x \rightarrow+\infty$. Cauchy's inequality and the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ with the optimal constant $S>0$ illustrate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} S^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling (2.7) and $E(t) \leq E(0)<E_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \\
& \quad=E(t)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq E(0)+\frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

It is direct by combining (3.16) with (3.17) and Lemma 2.1 that if there exists $\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x \rightarrow+\infty$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}}\|u\|_{p(x)}=+\infty$.
Case 3: $\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow+\infty$. Here $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{-*}}\|u\|_{p(x)}=+\infty$ is clear due to (3.17).
3.2. Blow-up for high initial energy. In this section, we are committed to proving the finite time blow-up for high initial energy and to estimating the upper bound of the blow-up time when the exponent $m(x) \equiv 2$.

Theorem 3.2. Let all assumptions in Lemma 2.7 be fulfilled. Then the solution $u$ for problem (1.1) blows up in finite time.

Proof. Let us prove this theorem by contradiction, i.e. assume that the solution $u$ for problem (1.1) is global.

Hölder's inequality and (2.7) indicate that for all $t \in[0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|u\|_{2}=\left\|u_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} u_{\tau} d \tau\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2} d \tau  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad \leq\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}+\sqrt{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \leq\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}+\sqrt{t}(E(0)-E(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u$ is a global solution of problem (1.1), we have $E(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$. Otherwise, there exists $t_{0} \in[0, \infty)$ such that $E\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. Choosing $u\left(x, t_{0}\right)$ as the new initial data, Theorem 3.1 indicates that $u$ blows up in finite time, which is a contradiction. Thus, (2.7) implies $0 \leq E(t) \leq E(0)$. Further, (3.19) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2} \leq\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}+\sqrt{t}(E(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (2.15) indicates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|u\|_{2}^{2}=2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \geq 2 \Psi(0) e^{C t}+\frac{2 p^{-}}{C} E(t) \geq 2 \Psi(0) e^{C t}>0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.20) from 0 to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{2}^{2} & =\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} u u_{\tau} d x d \tau \geq\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} e^{C \tau} \Psi(0) d \tau  \tag{3.21}\\
& =\left\|u_{0}(x)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{2}{C}\left(e^{C t}-1\right) \Psi(0)
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts (3.19) for $t$ sufficiently large. Thus, the solution $u$ for problem (1.1) blows up in finite time.

Theorem 3.3. Let all assumptions in Lemma 2.7 be fulfilled. In addition, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(0) \leq \frac{C}{2 p^{-}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution $u$ for problem (1.1) blows up at some finite time $T^{*}$ in the sense of

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) d s\right)=\infty
$$

and the upper of the blow-up time is given by

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{2\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\rho \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(p^{-}-2\right)\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x+\varrho \omega\right]-2\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}
$$

where $\varrho=\frac{-2 p^{-} E(0)+C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 p^{-}}$and $\omega>0$ is sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p^{-}-2\right)\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x+\rho \omega\right]-2\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}>0 . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Obviously, Theorem 3.2 implies that the solution $u$ for problem (1.1) blows up in finite time. Denote by $T^{*}$ the blow-up time. Now, we need to estimate the upper of $T^{*}$.

Define the auxiliary function

$$
\Upsilon(t)=\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau+\left(T^{*}-t\right)\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varrho(t+\omega)^{2} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)
$$

By a direct computation, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon^{\prime}(t) & =2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\|u\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \varrho(t+\omega) \\
& =2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(u u_{\tau}+\nabla u \nabla u_{\tau}\right) d x d \tau+2 \varrho(t+\omega) \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the equality above and problem (1.1), it is obtained that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon^{\prime \prime}(t) & =2\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t t} d x+2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x+2 \varrho \\
& =2\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-2\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-2 a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-2 b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+2 \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x+2 \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Young's inequality, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(t):= & {\left[\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau+\varrho(t+\omega)^{2}\right] } \\
& \times\left[\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau+\varrho\right] \\
& -\left[\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(u u_{\tau}+\nabla u \nabla u_{\tau}\right) d x d \tau+\varrho(t+\omega)\right]^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon(t) & \Upsilon^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{p^{-}+2}{4}\left(\Upsilon^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \\
= & \Upsilon(t) \Upsilon^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{p^{-}+2}{4}\left[2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(u u_{\tau}+\nabla u \nabla u_{\tau}\right) d x d \tau+2 \varrho(t+\omega)\right]^{2} \\
= & \Upsilon(t) \Upsilon^{\prime \prime}(t)-\left(p^{-}+2\right) \Upsilon(t)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau+\varrho\right)+\left(p^{-}+2\right) \xi(t) \\
& +\left(p^{-}+2\right)\left(T^{*}-t\right)\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\nabla u_{\tau} \|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau+\varrho\right) \\
\geq & \Upsilon(t) \eta(t) \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(t)= & \Upsilon^{\prime \prime}(t)-\left(p^{-}+2\right)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau+\varrho\right) \\
= & -p^{-}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-2\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}-2 a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-2 b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+2 \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& -\left(p^{-}+2\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau-p^{-} \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(t)= & -2 p^{-} E(t)+\left(p^{-}-2\right)\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(p^{-}-2\right) a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left(p^{-}-2(\gamma+1)\right) b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}-\left(p^{-}+2\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau-p^{-} \varrho \\
\geq & -2 p^{-} E(0)+\left(p^{-}-2\right) a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(p^{-}-2\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\tau}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d \tau-p^{-} \varrho \\
\geq & -2 p^{-} E(0)++\frac{\left(p^{-}-2\right)}{S^{2}} a\|u\|_{2}^{2}-p^{-} \varrho \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (3.20) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2}^{2} \geq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it follows by combining (3.24) with (3.25) and (3.26) that for $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon(t) \Upsilon^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{p^{-}+2}{4}\left(\Upsilon^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \geq \Upsilon(t)\left\{-2 p^{-} E(0)+C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}-p^{-} \varrho\right\} \geq 0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

here we use $\varrho=\frac{-2 p^{-} E(0)+C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 p^{-}}$and (3.22). Noticing that

$$
\Upsilon(0)=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+T^{*}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\varrho \omega^{2}>0 \text { and } \Upsilon^{\prime}(0)=2 \int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x+2 \varrho \omega>0
$$

thus, making use of Lemma 2.8 yields that $\Upsilon(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T^{*}$ with

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{4 \Upsilon(0)}{\left(p^{-}-2\right) \Upsilon^{\prime}(0)}=\frac{2\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+T^{*}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\rho \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(p^{-}-2\right)\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x+\varrho \omega\right]}
$$

It follows from (3.23) that

$$
T^{*} \leq \frac{2\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\varrho \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(p^{-}-2\right)\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x+\varrho \omega\right]-2\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}}
$$

This proof is complete.
3.3. A lower bound of the blow-up time. In what follows, we may make full use of the strong damping $\Delta u_{t}$ to give a lower bound of the blow-up time.

Theorem 3.4. Let $N \geq 5$. If all conditions of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.3 are satisfied, and

$$
2<p^{-} \leq p(x) \leq p^{+}<2(N-1) /(N-4)
$$

then the lower bound for the blow-up time $T^{*}$ is given by

$$
\int_{R(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{K_{1} y^{p^{+}-1}+K_{2}} d y \leq T^{*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

the constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are defined in (3.33), (3.34), respectively.
Proof. Define an auxiliary function

$$
\begin{align*}
R(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}  \tag{3.29}\\
& =E(t)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

by recalling (2.6). Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.3 and (2.5) indicate $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} R(t)=\infty$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(t)=E^{\prime}(t)+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u u_{t} d x \leq-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u u_{t} d x \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Hölder's inequality, the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)\left(2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ with the optimal embedding constant $S_{*}$ and Young's inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime}(t) & \leq\left\||u|^{p(x)-1}\right\|_{\frac{2 N}{N+2}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2 *}-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq S_{*}\left\||u|^{p(x)-1}\right\|_{\frac{2 N}{N+2}}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{S_{*}^{2}}{2 \varepsilon}\left\||u|^{p(x)-1}\right\|_{\frac{2 N}{N+2}}^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{S_{*}^{2}}{2 \varepsilon}\left[\left(\int_{\{|u| \geq 1\}}|u|^{\frac{2 N\left(p^{+}-1\right)}{N+2}} d x\right)^{\frac{N+2}{N}}+\left(\int_{\{|u|<1\}}|u|^{\frac{2 N(p--1)}{N+2}} d x\right)^{\frac{N+2}{N}}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}\|u\|_{\left(p^{+}-1\right) \frac{2 N}{N+2}}^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}+\frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}|\Omega|^{\frac{N+2}{N}}, \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where we choose $\varepsilon=2$ in last inequality. Noting that $\frac{2 N\left(p^{+}-1\right)}{N+2} \leq \frac{2(N-1)}{N-4}$, then using the embedding $H_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2 N(p+-1)}{N+2}}(\Omega)$ and (3.29), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime}(t) & \leq \frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}\|u\|_{\left(p^{+}-1\right) \frac{2 N}{N+2}}^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}+\frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}|\Omega|^{\frac{N+2}{N}} \leq \frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4} B^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}+\frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}|\Omega|^{\frac{N+2}{N}} \\
& \leq \frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4} B^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}\left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{p^{+}-1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}+\frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}|\Omega|^{\frac{N+2}{N}} \\
& \leq K_{1}(R(t))^{p^{+}-1}+K_{2} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

here

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{1}=\frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4} B^{2\left(p^{+}-1\right)}\left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{p^{+}-1}  \tag{3.33}\\
K_{2}=\frac{S_{*}^{2}}{4}|\Omega|^{\frac{N+2}{N}} \tag{3.34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly, (3.32) implies

$$
\int_{R(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{K_{1} y^{p^{+}-1}+K_{2}} d y \leq T^{*}
$$

This completes the proof of this Theorem.

## 4. Global existence and energy decay estimates

In this section, we are committed to showing the asymptotic stability. Let us first prove the global existence of solutions.

Theorem 4.1. If all conditions of Lemma 2.4(2) hold, then the local solution $u$ of problem (1.1) is global.
Proof. It directly follows from (2.10) (2.11) and (2.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x & \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \max \left\{B^{p^{+}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{p^{+}}, B^{p^{-}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{p^{-}}\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \max \left\{\left(B_{1}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{+}-2}{2}},\left(B_{1}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{--2}}{2}}\right\} B_{1}^{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{p^{-}} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{--2}}{2}\left(2 E(t)+2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq \frac{2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{-}-2}{2}}{p^{-}-2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}}} \underset{\frac{p^{--2}}{2}}{ } E(t) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, (4.1) and (2.6) illustrate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \\
& \leq \frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}-2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{--2}}{2}} E(t) \leq \frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}-2} E(t) \leq \frac{p^{-}}{p^{-}-2} E(0) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies the weak solution $u$ for problem (1.1) exists globally.

Theorem 4.2. Under all conditions of Theorem 2.3, suppose that

$$
B_{1}^{2}\left\|\Delta u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}<\alpha_{1} \text { and } 0<E(0)<\tilde{E}_{2}
$$

then there exists a positive constant $K$ such that the energy functional satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-K t} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{E}_{2}=\left(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}} \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^{--2}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right) \alpha_{1}^{\frac{p^{-}}{2}} \in\left(E(0), E_{1}\right)$, K will be obtained later.
Proof. Obviously, Theorem 4.1 implies that the solution $u$ is global. We borrow some ideas from [22, 6, 18]. Multiplying (1.1) by $u$ and integrating over $\Omega \times(s, T)$ with $s<T$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{T} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right] d t+\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{s}^{T}\left[\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right] d t \\
& =\int_{s}^{T}\left[\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t} u d x\right] d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By recalling (2.6), and then combining the equality above, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{T}\left[E(t)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right] d t \\
& =\int_{s}^{T}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right] d t \\
& \leq \int_{s}^{T}\left[\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+a\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right] d t \\
& =\int_{s}^{T}\left[\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t} u d x\right] d t \\
& -\int_{s}^{T} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right] d t-\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By simplifying the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t \leq & \int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left[1-\frac{1}{p(x)}\right]|u|^{p(x)} d x d t+\frac{3}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d x d t \\
& -\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)-2} u_{t} u d x d t-\int_{s}^{T} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right] d t  \tag{4.4}\\
& -\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x d t \\
:= & J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}+J_{4}+J_{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 1: Estimates for $\boldsymbol{J}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_{2}$ Obviously, (4.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{1}\right| \leq\left(p^{+}-1\right) \frac{2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{--2}}{2}}{p^{-}-2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}}} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ with the optimal constant $S>0$ and (2.7) induce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3 S^{2}}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t \leq-\frac{3 S^{2}}{2} \int_{s}^{T} E^{\prime}(t) d t \leq \frac{3 S^{2}}{2} E(s) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: Estimates for $\boldsymbol{J}_{3}$ On the one hand, by using Young's inequality with $0<\varepsilon_{4}<1$ and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|J_{3}\right| & \leq \int_{s}^{T}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m^{-}}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m(x)}+\varepsilon_{4}|u|^{m(x)}\right) d x\right] d t \\
& \leq-\varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m^{-}}} \int_{s}^{T} E^{\prime}(t) d t+\varepsilon_{4} \int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{m(x)} d x d t  \tag{4.7}\\
& \leq \varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m^{-}}}[E(s)-E(T)]+\varepsilon_{4} \int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{m(x)} d x d t \\
& \leq \varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m^{-}}} E(s)+\varepsilon_{4} \int_{s}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{m(x)} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we apply Lemma 2.1 and (4.2) to have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{m(x)} d x & \leq \max \left\{B_{1}^{m^{-}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{m^{-}}, B_{1}^{m^{+}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{m^{+}}\right\} \\
& \leq B_{1}^{m^{-}}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{m^{-}} \leq\left(\frac{2 p^{-} E(0)}{p^{-}-2}\right)^{\frac{m^{-}-2}{2}} \frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{m^{-}}}{p^{-}-2} E(t) \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we combine (4.8) with (4.7) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{3}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m-}} E(s)+\varepsilon_{4}\left(\frac{2 p^{-} E(0)}{p^{-}-2}\right)^{\frac{m^{-}-2}{2}} \frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{m^{-}}}{p^{-}-2} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: Estimates for $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{4}}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{5}}$ By applying Cauchy's inequality, (2.7) and (4.2), one shows

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|J_{4}\right| & =\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t}(x, s) d x-\int_{\Omega} u u_{t}(x, T) d x\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\|u(x, s)\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}(x, s)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|u(x, T)\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}(x, T)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{B_{1}^{2}}{2}\left[\|\Delta u(x, s)\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u(x, T)\|_{2}^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|u_{t}(x, s)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}(x, T)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]  \tag{4.10}\\
& \leq\left(\frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{2}}{p^{-}-2}+\frac{2 p^{-}}{p^{-}-2}\right) E(s) \\
\left|J_{5}\right| & \leq \int_{s}^{T}\|\nabla u\|_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2} d t \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{5}}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} d t+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{5}} \int_{s}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} d t  \tag{4.11}\\
& \leq \frac{p^{-} \varepsilon_{5}}{p^{-}-2} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{5}} \int_{s}^{T}\left(-E^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \\
& =\frac{p^{-} \varepsilon_{5}}{p^{-}-2} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{5}} E(s)
\end{align*}
$$

for $\varepsilon_{5}>0$.

Combining (4.4) with (4.5) (4.6) and (4.9)-(4.11), it is clear that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t \leq\left(p^{+}-1\right) \frac{2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{-}-2}{2}}{p^{-}-2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{--2}}{2}} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t \\
& \quad+\left[\frac{3 S^{2}}{2}+\varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m^{-}}}+\left(\frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{2}}{p^{-}-2}+\frac{2 p^{-}}{p^{-}-2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{5}}\right] E(s)  \tag{4.12}\\
& \quad+\varepsilon_{4}\left(\frac{2 p^{-} E(0)}{p^{-}-2}\right)^{\frac{m^{-}-2}{2}} \frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{m^{-}}}{p^{-}-2} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t+\frac{p^{-} \varepsilon_{5}}{p^{-}-2} \int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

The condition $0<E(0)=G\left(\tilde{\alpha_{2}}\right)<\tilde{E}_{2}=G\left(\left(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}} \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^{-}-2}} \alpha_{1}\right)$ and the monotonicity of $G(\alpha)$ easily imply

$$
\tilde{\alpha_{2}}<\left(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}} \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^{--2}}} \alpha_{1}<\alpha_{1}<1
$$

which further illustrates

$$
\delta:=\left(p^{+}-1\right) \frac{2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{--2}}{2}}{p^{-}-2 B_{1}^{2} \tilde{\alpha_{2}} \frac{p^{--2}}{2}}<1
$$

Choosing $0<\varepsilon_{4}<1$ and $0<\varepsilon_{5}<1$ sufficiently small such that

$$
\varepsilon_{4}\left(\frac{2 p^{-} E(0)}{p^{-}-2}\right)^{\frac{m^{-}-2}{2}} \frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{m^{-}}}{p^{-}-2}=\frac{1-\delta}{4} \text { and } \frac{p^{-} \varepsilon_{5}}{p^{-}-2}=\frac{1-\delta}{4}
$$

Therefore, (4.12) can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{s}^{T} E(t) d t \leq \frac{1}{K} E(s)
$$

where

$$
K=1 /\left[\frac{3 S^{2}}{2}+\varepsilon_{4}^{\frac{1}{1-m-}}+\left(\frac{2 p^{-} B_{1}^{2}}{p^{-}-2}+\frac{2 p^{-}}{p^{-}-2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{5}}\right] \frac{2}{1-\delta}
$$

Let us make $T \rightarrow+\infty$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{+\infty} E(t) d t \leq \frac{1}{K} E(s) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9 directly illustrates (4.3).
At the end of this paper, we give the result of asymptotic stability of weak solutions. We define asymptotic stability of problem (1.1) as follows: $u=0$ will be called asymptotically stable(in the mean), if and only if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t)=0, \text { for all solutions } u \text { to problem (1.1). }
$$

This notation was first presented by Pucci and Serrin [23].
Theorem 4.3. Suppose that all conditions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, the rest field $u=0$ is asymptotically stable.

Proof. Recalling (4.2), it is so easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \geq \frac{p^{-}-2}{p^{-}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right] \geq 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we apply the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 to obtain $E(t) \leq$ 0 as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Obviously, this theorem is true.
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