

WEIGHTED FINSLER SOBOLEV INEQUALITY WITH THE BEST CONSTANT

KAUSHIK BAL AND PRASHANTA GARAIN

ABSTRACT. For a given Finsler-Minkowski norm \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{R}^N and a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$), we establish the following weighted Finsler Sobolev inequality

$$(P) \quad S \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q f dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$$

where $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is the weighted Sobolev space under the class of Muckenhoupt weights w and f is some nonnegative integrable function in Ω . We discuss the case $0 < q < 1$ and observe that the best constant

$$(Q) \quad \mu(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w dx : \int_{\Omega} |u|^q f dx = 1 \right\}$$

of the inequality (P) is associated with singular weighted Finsler p -Laplace equations. To this end, we also study existence and regularity properties of solutions for weighted Finsler p -Laplace equations under the mixed and exponential singularities. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first article to deal with singular Finsler p -Laplace equation with weights and our main results seem to be new for $p = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we establish weighted Finsler Sobolev inequality under the class of Muckenhoupt weights and observe that the best constant of this inequality is achieved at a solution of the corresponding weighted Finsler singular problem. To this end, we also discuss existence and regularity properties of solutions for a class of singular weighted Finsler p -Laplace equation under the mixed and exponential singular nonlinearity.

More precisely, for a given Finsler-Minkowski norm \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{R}^N that satisfies the hypothesis $(H_0) - (H_4)$ stated below, we establish the following weighted Finsler Sobolev inequality,

$$(P) \quad S \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q f dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w),$$

where S is the Sobolev constant, $1 < p < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$) is a bounded smooth domain, w is a weight function that lies in a class of Muckenhoupt weights and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is the corresponding weighted Sobolev space. Here $f \in L^m(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is a nonnegative function (see Theorem 2.19-2.20 for precise assumptions). Our main emphasis is the case of $0 < q < 1$ and we observe that the best constant

$$(Q) \quad \mu(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w dx : \int_{\Omega} |u|^q f dx = 1 \right\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A23, 35B65, 35J92, 35J75.

Key words and phrases. Weighted Finsler operator, Sobolev inequality, Best constant, Extremal, Quasilinear singular problem, Existence and regularity, Muckenhoupt weight.

of the weighted Sobolev inequality (\mathcal{P}) is associated with the following class of weighted singular Finsler p -Laplace equation:

$$(S) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = \frac{f(x)}{u^\delta} + \frac{g(x)}{u^\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

where $0 < \delta, \gamma < 1$, $(f, g) \neq (0, 0) \in L^m(\Omega)$ are nonnegative functions (see Theorem 2.13-2.15 for an appropriate assumption). Here,

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_{p,w}u := \operatorname{div} (w\mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^{p-1}\nabla_\eta\mathcal{F}(\nabla u)),$$

is the weighted Finsler p -Laplace operator, where ∇_η denotes the gradient with respect to η .

Further, we obtain existence and regularity results for the following exponential singular weighted Finsler p -Laplace equation,

$$(R) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = h(x)e^{\frac{1}{u}} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $h \in L^t(\Omega)$ is nonnegative for some $t \geq 1$ (see Theorem 2.23-2.24 for precise assumptions).

Throughout the article, we assume that $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Finsler-Minkowski norm, i.e. \mathcal{F} satisfies the following hypothesis from (H0) – (H4) given by

(H0) $\mathcal{F}(x) \geq 0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(H1) $\mathcal{F}(x) = 0$, if and only if $x = 0$.

(H2) $\mathcal{F}(tx) = |t|\mathcal{F}(x)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H3) $\mathcal{F} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$.

(H4) the Hessian matrix $\nabla_\eta^2\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^2}{2}\right)(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

Examples: Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(i) Then for $t > 1$, we define

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_t(x) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^t \right)^{\frac{1}{t}}.$$

(ii) For $\lambda, \mu > 0$, we define

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}(x) := \sqrt{\lambda \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^4} + \mu \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2}.$$

Then, the functions $\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by (1.2) and (1.3) satisfies all the hypothesis from (H0) – (H4), see Mezei-Vas [46].

Remark 1.1. For $i = 1, 2$, if λ_i, μ_i are positive real numbers such that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \neq \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2}$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}$ given by (1.3) defines two non-isometric norms in \mathbb{R}^N .

Remark 1.2. Since all norms in \mathbb{R}^N are equivalent, there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$C_1|x| \leq \mathcal{F}(x) \leq C_2|x|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The dual $\mathcal{F}_0 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_0(\xi) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle}{\mathcal{F}(x)}.$$

We refer to Bao-Chern-Shen [9], Xia [14] and Rockafellar [59] for more details on \mathcal{F}_0 .

It is easy to observe that, if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_t$ given by (1.2), then

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_{p,w}u := \begin{cases} \Delta_{p,w}u = \operatorname{div}(w|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u), & \text{(weighted } p\text{-Laplacian) if } t = 2, 1 < p < \infty, \\ \mathcal{S}_{p,w}u = \operatorname{div}\left(w\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right|^{p-2}\nabla u\right), & \text{(weighted pseudo } p\text{-Laplacian) if } t = p \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{p,w}$ extends p -Laplace and pseudo p -Laplace operators. Let us overview some related results to our present study. Sobolev inequalities and its best constants have been thoroughly investigated in the last three decade. Such results turn out to have a wide range of applications in the analysis of partial differential equations. In the classical case, when $\mathcal{F}(x) = |x|$ and $w = f = 1$, for $q > 1$, the Sobolev inequality (\mathcal{P}) is crucially used by Moser [48–50] to obtain regularity results for both elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations. Further, Sobolev inequalities for the Muckenhoupt class of weights (see [51]) have been studied in the celebrated paper [27] by Fabes-Kenig-Serapioni. The authors in [27] also applied such weighted inequalities to establish local regularity results for weighted Laplace equations of the form

$$(1.6) \quad -\operatorname{div}(w(x)\nabla u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

We refer to Heinonen-Kilpeläinen-Martio [41] for a wide range of applications of weighted Sobolev inequalities to the weighted p -Laplace equations

$$(1.7) \quad -\operatorname{div}(w(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

For various values of the parameters $1 < p, q < \infty$ and under different domains Ω , the best constant

$$(1.8) \quad \lambda_q(\Omega) := \inf_{0 \neq u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}} \right\},$$

of Sobolev inequalities has been widely investigated by Aubin [4], Talenti [60], Ôtani [56], Franzina-Lamberti [31], Belloni-Kawohl [11], Lindqvist [45] and the references therein.

Although very less is known in the anisotropic case. In the context of Finsler p -Laplace operator, recently Cirraolo-Figalli-Roncoroni [16] proved a sharp version of (\mathcal{P}), for a certain class of weight functions $w = f$ in a convex cone Ω , with $1 < p < N$, where the exponent $q > 1$, depends on the weight function. Indeed, for $w = 1$, the exponent q is the critical Sobolev exponent in [16]. We also refer to Belloni-Ferone-Kawohl [10], di Blasio-Pisante-Giovanni [20], El Hamidi-Rakotoson [24], Filippas-Moschini-Tertikas [30], Dipierro-Poggesi-Valdinoci [21] and the references therein for related works.

As far as we are aware, the case $0 < q < 1$ in (\mathcal{P}) is very less understood even in the classical case and to the best of our knowledge, it is unknown in the weighted Finsler setting. In this regard, we refer to Anello-Faraci-Iannizzotto [1], where for any $1 < p < \infty$, it was shown that

$$(1.9) \quad \nu(\Omega) := \inf_{0 \neq u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx : \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx = 1 \right\},$$

is achieved at $u_q \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ solving the following singular p -Laplace equation

$$(1.10) \quad -\Delta_p u = \nu(\Omega)u^{q-1} \text{ in } \Omega, u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Further, such questions has been settled in the nonlocal case by Ercole-Pereira [25] and in the weighted and anisotropic p -Laplace case by us in [7] respectively. We also refer to Ercole-Pereira [26], Garain-Ukhlov [36] for related results.

As we have mentioned earlier at the beginning, one of our main purpose in this article is to study the more general weighted Finsler setting in (\mathcal{P}) with its best constant for any $0 < q < 1$ (Theorem 2.19-2.20). Moreover, we emphasis that the weight functions w and f that we consider may differ and blow up or vanish near the origin (e.g., $|x|^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) which captures the degenerate behavior of the weighted Finsler operator $\mathcal{F}_{p,w}$. The idea here stem from the work of Anello-Faraci-Iannizzotto [1] that is based on the approximation approach, where it was important to know the existence of the associated singular p -Laplace equation (1.10). Keeping this in mind, here we obtain existence and also regularity results in the weighted Finsler setting, for the more general mixed singular problem (\mathcal{S}) (Theorem 2.13-2.15) and additionally study the exponential singular problem (\mathcal{R}) (Theorem 2.23-2.24). By singularity, we refer to the fact that the mixed and exponential nonlinearities in our consideration blows up near the origin. To this end, we follow the approach from Boccardo-Orsina [13], where to deal with the mixed problem (\mathcal{S}) , we need to estimate both the singularities $u^{-\delta}$ and $u^{-\gamma}$ simultaneously as in [7]. On the other hand, for the case of $e^{\frac{1}{u}}$ in the problem (\mathcal{R}) , the exponent in the singularity is arbitrarily large and thus in general solutions lie outside $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, due to this reasoning, one has to describe the boundary condition appropriately as has been illustrated in [13, 44, 58]. Here, we tackle our situation by following the the domain approximation technique from Perera-Silva [58]. Further, we observe the best constant $\mu(\Omega)$ is associated with the solutions of such singular problems.

We would like to close our discussion by stating some references related to singular problems, although there is a colossal amount of literature available in this direction. Singular Laplace equations has been studied in the pioneering work by Crandall-Rabinowitz-Tartar in [17] and further been extended by many authors for variants of p -Laplace equations, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 15, 19, 22, 32, 33, 35, 38, 43, 47, 52–55] and the references therein. Also the reader is invited to look at the nice survey by Ghergu-Rădulescu [37] and the references therein for an extensive literature on singular elliptic problems. In contrast to the above literature, singular Finsler p -Laplace equations are very less understood. In this context, we refer to Biset-Mebrate-Mohammed [12], Farkas-Winkert [29], Farkas-Fiscella-Winkert [28], Bal-Garain-Mukherjee [8] and the references therein. To our knowledge, this is the first article to deal with singular problems in the weighted Finsler setting and we are also able to extend some previous results known in the unweighted case for $p \geq 2$ to the weighted case case with $1 < p < 2$ (see Remark 2.17 and 2.21).

Organization of the paper: In Section 2, we discuss some preliminaries in our setting and state the main results. In Section 3, we obtain several auxiliary results, that are crucial to study the mixed singular problem (\mathcal{S}) and the weighted Finsler Sobolev inequality (\mathcal{P}) . In Section 4, we prove some auxiliary results related to the exponential singular problem (\mathcal{R}) and finally, in Section 4, we prove our main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section, we assume $1 < p < \infty$, unless otherwise mentioned. In order to define the notion of weak solutions for the problem (\mathcal{S}) and (\mathcal{R}) , first, we present some known facts.

Definition 2.1. (*Muckenhoupt weight*) Let $w \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $0 < w < \infty$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Then for $1 < p < \infty$, we say that w belong to the Muckenhoupt class A_p , if there exists a positive constant $c_{p,w}$ (called the A_p constant of w) depending only on p and w such that for

all balls B in \mathbb{R}^N , we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w \, dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \, dx\right)^{p-1} \leq c_{p,w}.$$

The weight function $w(x) = |x|^\alpha \in A_p$ if $-N < \alpha < N(p-1)$, see [41]. Now the corresponding weighted Sobolev space (see [41, 51]) is defined as follows.

Definition 2.2. (*Weighted Sobolev Space*) For any $w \in A_p$, the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is the class of all measurable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the norm of u given by

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{1,p,w} = \left(\int_\Omega |u(x)|^p w(x) \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^p w(x) \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega', w)$ for every $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$, then we say that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. The weighted Sobolev space with zero boundary value is defined as

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) := \overline{(C_c^\infty(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{1,p,w})}.$$

Then, using the Poincaré inequality from [41] and Remark 1.2, the norm defined by (2.1) on the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is equivalent to the norm given by

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)} = \left(\int_\Omega \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Moreover, the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. We refer to [23, 41] for a detailed discussion on the weighted Sobolev spaces.

Next, we present some embedding results in the weighted Sobolev spaces. The first one is valid for any $w \in A_p$ as proved in [18, Theorem 2.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. Then the inclusion map*

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, w)$$

is compact.

Moreover, for a subclass of A_p , the weighted Sobolev space can be embedded in the classical Sobolev space with a different exponent as stated below.

Let

$$I := \left[\frac{1}{p-1}, \infty\right) \cap \left(\frac{N}{p}, \infty\right)$$

and consider the following subclass of A_p given by

$$(2.3) \quad A_s := \left\{w \in A_p : w^{-s} \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ for some } s \in I\right\}.$$

Then we observe that

$$w(x) = |x|^\alpha \in A_s, \text{ for } -N < \alpha < \min\left\{\frac{N}{s}, N(p-1)\right\}.$$

We refer the interested reader to [23, 34, 39, 40] for more applications of such class of weight functions. From [32, Theorem 2.6] the following embedding result holds.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s \in I$, then for any $w \in A_s$, we have the following continuous inclusion map*

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p_s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} L^t(\Omega), & \text{for } p_s \leq t \leq p_s^*, \text{ if } 1 \leq p_s < N, \\ L^t(\Omega), & \text{for } 1 \leq t < \infty, \text{ if } p_s = N, \\ C(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{if } p_s > N, \end{cases}$$

where $p_s = \frac{ps}{s+1} \in [1, p)$. Moreover, the second embedding is compact except for $t = p_s^* = \frac{Np_s}{N-p_s}$, if $1 \leq p_s < N$ and the same result holds for the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

Remark 2.5. We note that if $0 < c \leq w \leq d$ for some constants c, d , then $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and by the Sobolev embedding, Lemma 2.4 holds by replacing p_s with p .

The following result follows from Farkas-Winkert [29, Proposition 2.1] and Xia [14, Proposition 1.2].

Lemma 2.6. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

- (A) $x \cdot \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}(x)$.
- (B) $\nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(tx) = \text{sign}(t) \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x)$.
- (C) $|\nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x)| \leq C$, for some positive constant C .
- (D) \mathcal{F} is strictly convex.

Next, the classical algebraic inequality holds from Peral [57, Lemma A.0.5].

Lemma 2.7. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists a constant $C = C(p) > 0$, such that

$$(2.4) \quad \langle |a|^{p-2}a - |b|^{p-2}b, a - b \rangle \geq \begin{cases} C|a - b|^p, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty, \\ C \frac{|a-b|^2}{(|a|+|b|)^{2-p}}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2. \end{cases}$$

More generally, we state the Finsler algebraic inequality from [8, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 2.8. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists a constant $C = C(p) > 0$, such that

$$(2.5) \quad \langle \mathcal{F}(x)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(y)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(y), x - y \rangle \geq C \mathcal{F}(x - y)^p.$$

Remark 2.9. When $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}_2(x) = |x|$ as given by (1.2), Lemma 2.8 coincides with Lemma 2.7.

Corollary 2.10. From Lemma 2.6 and Remark 1.2 we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{F}(x)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x) \cdot x = \mathcal{F}(x)^p \geq C_1 |x|^p, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$(2.7) \quad |\mathcal{F}(x)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x)| \leq C_2 |x|^{p-1}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{F}(tx)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(tx) = |t|^{p-2} t \mathcal{F}(x)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \langle \mathcal{F}(x)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(y)^{p-1} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{F}(y), x - y \rangle > 0, \quad \forall x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Notation: Throughout the rest of the article, we shall use the following notations.

- For $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, denote by $\|u\|$ to mean the norm $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)}$ as defined by (2.2).
- For given constants c, d and a set S , by $c \leq u \leq d$ in S , we mean $c \leq u \leq d$ almost everywhere in S . Moreover, we write $|S|$ to denote the Lebesgue measure of S .
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^N .
- The conjugate exponent of $\theta > 1$ by $\theta' := \frac{\theta}{\theta-1}$.
- For $1 < p < N$, we denote by $p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$ to mean the critical Sobolev exponent.
- For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $a^+ := \max\{a, 0\}$, $a^- = \max\{-a, 0\}$ and $a_- := \min\{a, 0\}$.

- We write by c, C or C_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ to mean a constant which may vary from line to line or even in the same line. If a constant C depends on r_1, r_2, \dots , we denote it by $C(r_1, r_2, \dots)$.

Now, we define the notion of weak solutions for the problem (\mathcal{S}) as follows:

Definition 2.11. (*Weak solution for (\mathcal{S})*) Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \delta, \gamma < 1$ and $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$. Then, we say that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is a weak solution of the problem (\mathcal{S}) , if $u > 0$ in Ω and for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_ω such that $u \geq c_\omega > 0$ in ω and for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \langle -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u, \phi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^{p-1} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u) \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f}{u^\delta} + \frac{g}{u^\gamma} \right) \phi \, dx.$$

Further, we define weak solutions for the problem (\mathcal{R}) as follows.

Definition 2.12. (*Weak solution for (\mathcal{R})*) Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$. Then, we say that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is a weak solution of the problem (\mathcal{R}) , if $u > 0$ in Ω such that $(u - \epsilon)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_ω such that $u \geq c_\omega > 0$ in ω and for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \langle -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u, \phi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^{p-1} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u) \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x) e^{\frac{1}{u}} \phi \, dx.$$

Statement of the main results. Now we state our main results as follows:

Theorem 2.13. Let $0 < \delta, \gamma < 1$, $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$. Assume that $(f, g) \neq (0, 0)$ is nonnegative.

- Let $(f, g) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega)$. Then the problem (\mathcal{S}) admits at most one weak solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.
- Let $(f, g) \in L^{m_\delta}(\Omega) \times L^{r_\gamma}(\Omega)$, where

$$m_\delta := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p_s^*}{1-\delta} \right)', & \text{for } 1 \leq p_s < N, \\ m > 1, & \text{for } p_s = N, \\ 1, & \text{for } p_s > N \end{cases}$$

and

$$r_\gamma := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p_s^*}{1-\gamma} \right)', & \text{for } 1 \leq p_s < N, \\ m > 1, & \text{for } p_s = N, \\ 1, & \text{for } p_s > N. \end{cases}$$

Then the problem (\mathcal{S}) has a weak solution $u_{\delta,\gamma}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.

In addition, we prove the following regularity result for $u_{\delta,\gamma}$.

Theorem 2.14. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$. Suppose that $(f, g) \neq (0, 0)$ is nonnegative such that

- $(f, g) \in L^q(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega)$ for $q > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$, if $1 \leq p_s < N$,
- $(f, g) \in L^q(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega)$ for $q > \frac{r}{r-p}$, if $p_s = N$, $r > p$,
- $(f, g) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega)$ if $p_s > N$.

Then, $u_{\delta,\gamma} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.15. If $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$ and $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \Delta_{p,w}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), then Theorem 2.13-2.14 holds, for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 2.16. For $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \Delta_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), Theorem 2.15 coincides with [7, Theorem 2.7]. Further for $g = 0$, Theorem 2.15 extends [13, Theorem 5.2] with $p = 2$; [19, Lemma 4.3] for $1 < p < N$; [15, Theorem 4.6] for $1 < p < \infty$ and coincides with [32, Theorem 3.2] for $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 2.17. If $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \mathcal{S}_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), then, for $g = 0$, Theorem 2.15 extends [47, Theorem 3.2] to the weighted case for $w \in A_s$, improves the range of f and the restriction $p \geq 2$ to any $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore, Theorem 2.15 extends [7, Theorem 2.9] to the weighted case for $w \in A_s$ and also improves the restriction $p \geq 2$ to any $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 2.18. If $g = 0$, we denote the solutions $u_{\delta,\gamma}$ found in Theorem 2.13 and 2.15 by u_δ .

Now, we present our weighted Finsler Sobolev inequality with the best constant associated with u_δ as follows:

Theorem 2.19. Let $0 < \delta < 1$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$. Assume that $w \in A_s$, for some $s \in I$ and $f \in L^{m_\delta}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ be nonnegative, where m_δ is given by Theorem 2.13. Then,

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Omega) &:= \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w \, dx : \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\delta} f \, dx = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_\delta)^p w \, dx \right)^{\frac{1-\delta-p}{1-\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Moreover, for every $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, the following Sobolev type inequality

$$(2.12) \quad C \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta} f \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\delta}} \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v)^p w \, dx,$$

holds, if and only if

$$C \leq \mu(\Omega).$$

Theorem 2.20. Let $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$. If $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}_2(x)$ or $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}_p(x)$, as given by (1.2), then Theorem 2.19 holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 2.21. When $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}_2(x)$, then Theorem 2.20 coincides with extremal [7, Theorem 2.11]. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{F}_p(x)$, then Theorem 2.20 extends [7, Theorem 2.13] to the weighted anisotropic case for $w \in A_s$ and further improves the range of $p \geq 2$ to any $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 2.22. Both Theorem 2.19 and 2.20 gives

$$(2.13) \quad \mu(\Omega) = \|V_\delta\|^p = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla V_\delta)^p w \, dx,$$

where $V_\delta := \zeta_\delta u_\delta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ for

$$\zeta_\delta = \left(\int_{\Omega} u_\delta^{1-\delta} f \, dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{1-\delta}}.$$

Moreover, V_δ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} V_\delta^{1-\delta} f \, dx = 1,$$

and the equation

$$(2.14) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w} V_\delta = \mu(\Omega) f V_\delta^{-\delta} \text{ in } \Omega, V_\delta > 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Our final results concerning the problem (\mathcal{R}) are stated as follows:

Theorem 2.23. *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$. Assume that*

- (a) $h \in L^t(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative for $t > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$, if $1 \leq p_s < N$ and
- (b) $h \in L^t(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ is nonnegative for $t > \frac{r}{r-p}$, if $p_s \geq N$ and $r > p$.

Then the problem (\mathcal{R}) admits a weak solution $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Moreover, we have

Theorem 2.24. *If $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$ and $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \Delta_{p,w}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), then Theorem 2.23 holds, for any $1 < p < \infty$.*

Remark 2.25. *If $0 < c \leq w \leq d$ for some constants c and d , then noting Remark 2.5 our main results Theorem 2.13-2.15, 2.19, 2.20, 2.23-2.24 holds by replacing p_s with p .*

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS FOR THE PROBLEM (\mathcal{S}) AND FOR THE WEIGHTED FINSLER SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

Throughout the rest of the article, we assume $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_s$ for some $s \in I$ unless otherwise mentioned. We recall that by $\|u\|$ we denote the norm $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)}$ as defined in (2.2). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we investigate the following approximated problem

$$(3.1) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = \frac{f_n}{(u^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} + \frac{g_n}{(u^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $f_n(x) = \min\{f(x), n\}$ and $g_n(x) = \min\{g(x), n\}$, provided $(f, g) (\neq (0, 0)) \in L^{m_\delta}(\Omega) \times L^{r_\gamma}(\Omega)$ is nonnegative, where m_δ and r_γ is given by Theorem 2.13. First we prove the following result that is important to obtain the existence and further qualitative properties of solutions for the problem (3.1) as shown in Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $\xi \in L^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ be nonnegative in Ω . Then there exists a unique solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ of the problem*

$$(3.2) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = \xi \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

such that for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_ω , satisfying $u \geq c_\omega > 0$ in ω .

Proof. Existence: To prove the existence, we define the energy functional $I : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w \, dx - \int_\Omega \xi u \, dx.$$

Since $\xi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(3.3) \quad I(u) \geq \frac{\|u\|^p}{p} - C|\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|,$$

where $C > 0$ is the Sobolev constant. Thus I is coercive, since $p > 1$. Next, we observe that I is also convex. Indeed, let us define the energy functional $I_1 : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_1(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^p w \, dx,$$

and $I_2 : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_2(u) := - \int_\Omega \xi u \, dx.$$

By the property (D) of Lemma 2.6, we have \mathcal{F}^p is convex and hence I_1 is convex. It is easy to see that I_2 is linear and thus I is convex. Also, I is a C^1 functional. Therefore, I is weakly lower semicontinuous. As a consequence of coercivity, weak lower semicontinuity and convexity, I has a minimizer, say $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ which solves the equation

$$(3.4) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = \xi \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Uniqueness: Let $u_1, u_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ solves the problem (3.4). Therefore,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_1)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_1) \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \xi \phi \, dx,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_2)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_2) \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \xi \phi \, dx$$

holds for every $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. We choose $\phi = u_1 - u_2$ and then subtracting (3.5) with (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_1)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_1) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_2)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_2) \} \nabla((u_1 - u_2)) \, dx = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{F}(\nabla(u_1 - u_2))|^p w \, dx = 0.$$

Thus $u_1 = u_2$ in Ω . Hence the uniqueness follows.

Boundedness: From Lemma 2.4, noting the continuity of $X \hookrightarrow L^l(\Omega)$ for some $l > p$ and then proceeding analogous to the proof of [8, Lemma 3.1], we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

for some positive constant C depending on $\|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$.

Positivity: Choosing $u_- := \min\{u, 0\}$ as a test function in (3.4) and since $\xi \geq 0$, by (2.6) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_-)^p w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u) \nabla u_- w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \xi u_- \, dx \leq 0,$$

which gives, $u \geq 0$ in Ω . Further $g \neq 0$ gives $u \neq 0$ in Ω . Noting Corollary 2.10, we apply [41, Theorem 3.59] so that for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_ω such that $u \geq c_\omega > 0$ in Ω . Thus $u > 0$ in Ω . \square

Remark 3.2. We remark that, when $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \Delta_{p,w}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), noting Lemma 2.7 and following the same proof above, Lemma 3.1 holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then,

- (A) (Existence) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the problem (3.1) admits a positive solution $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$,
- (B) (Uniqueness and monotonicity) u_n is unique and $u_{n+1} \geq u_n$ for every n ,
- (C) (Uniform positivity) for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_ω (independent of n) such that $u_n \geq c_\omega > 0$ in ω .
- (D) (Uniform boundedness) $\|u_n\| \leq c$ for some positive constant c independent of n .

Proof. (A) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. By Lemma 3.1, for every $\zeta \in L^p(\Omega)$, there exists a unique positive solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = \frac{f_n}{(\zeta^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} + \frac{g_n}{(\zeta^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega.$$

We define the operator $S : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ by $S(\zeta) = u$ where u solves (3.8). Choosing u as a test function in (3.8) and using the property (2.6), for some positive constant $c = c(n)$, we arrive at

$$\|u\|^p \leq \int_{\Omega} (n^{\delta+1} + n^{\gamma+1})u \, dx \leq c \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Thus from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\|u\| \leq c.$$

Now applying Schauder's fixed point theorem as in [8, Lemma 3.2] the existence of a fixed point u_n of S follows. As a consequence, u_n solves the problem (3.1). Moreover, by Lemma 3.1, we have $u_n > 0$ in Ω and for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_{ω} such that $u_1 \geq c_{\omega} > 0$ in ω .

(B) Choosing $\phi = (u_n - u_{n+1})^+$ as a test function in (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \langle -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}(u_n) + \mathcal{F}_{p,w}(u_{n+1}), (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\delta}} - \frac{f_{n+1}}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\delta}} + \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} - \frac{g_{n+1}}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma}} \right\} (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \, dx \\ &:= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequalities $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\delta}} - \frac{f_{n+1}}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\delta}} \right\} (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\delta}} - \frac{1}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\delta}} \right\} (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \, dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using $g_n(x) \leq g_{n+1}(x)$, we get

$$J_2 = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\gamma}} - \frac{g_{n+1}}{(u_{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1})^{\gamma}} \right\} (u_n - u_{n+1})^+ \, dx \leq 0.$$

Hence, we have $J \leq 0$. Noting this fact and $p \geq 2$, using Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{F}(\nabla(u_n - u_{n+1})^+)|^p w \, dx = 0.$$

Therefore, $u_{n+1} \geq u_n$ in Ω . Uniqueness follows similarly.

(C) From the above estimate in (A), we know that $u_1 \geq c_{\omega} > 0$ for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$. Hence using the monotonicity, for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, we get $u_n \geq c_{\omega} > 0$ in ω , for some positive constant c_{ω} (independent of n).

(D) We only consider the case $1 \leq p_s < N$, since the other cases are analogous. To this end, we choose u_n as a test function in (3.1) and using (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad \|u_n\|^p &\leq \int_{\Omega} f u_n^{1-\delta} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g u_n^{1-\gamma} \, dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{m_{\delta}}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{(1-\delta)m'_{\delta}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m'_{\delta}}} + \|g\|_{L^{r_{\gamma}}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{(1-\gamma)r'_{\gamma}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{\gamma}}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^{m_{\delta}}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_s^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1-\delta}{p_s^*}} + \|g\|_{L^{r_{\gamma}}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_s^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{p_s^*}} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{m_{\delta}}(\Omega)} \|u_n\|^{1-\delta} + \|g\|_{L^{r_{\gamma}}(\Omega)} \|u_n\|^{1-\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the final step above, we have employed Lemma 2.4. Therefore, we have $\|u_n\| \leq c$, for some positive constant c (independent of n). \square

Remark 3.4. We remark that, when $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \Delta_{p,w}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), noting Lemma 2.7 along with Remark 3.2 and following the same proof above, Lemma 3.3 holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 3.5. As a consequence of Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, let $u_{\delta,\gamma} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ be the weak and pointwise limit of u_n . Then using the monotonicity property from (B) in Lemma 3.3, it follows that $u_n \leq u_{\delta,\gamma}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Below, we observe that $u_{\delta,\gamma}$ is our required solution.

Lemma 3.6. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and suppose that $(f, g) \neq (0, 0)$ is nonnegative such that

- (E) $f, g \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $q > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$, when $1 \leq p_s < N$,
- (F) $f, g \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $q > \frac{r}{r-p}$, when $p_s = N$ and $r > p$,
- (G) $f, g \in L^1(\Omega)$ for $p_s > N$.

Then $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$, for some positive constant C independent of n .

Proof. We only prove the result under the hypothesis in (E), since the other cases are analogous. To this end, let $1 \leq p_s < N$ and $f, g \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $q > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$. Assume $k \geq 1$ and define $A(k) = \{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) \geq k\}$. Choosing $\phi_k(x) = (u_n - k)^+$ as a test function in (3.1), first using Hölder's inequality with the exponents p_s^*, p_s^* and then, by Young's inequality with exponents p and p' , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_k\|^p &= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla \phi_k)^p w \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} \phi_k \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} \phi_k \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{A(k)} f(x) \phi_k \, dx + \int_{A(k)} g(x) \phi_k \, dx \\
(3.11) \quad &\leq \left(\int_{A(k)} f^{p_s^{*'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^{*'}}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi_k^{p_s^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^*}} + \left(\int_{A(k)} g^{p_s^{*'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^{*'}}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi_k^{p_s^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^*}} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{A(k)} f^{p_s^{*'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^{*'}}} \|\phi_k\| + C \left(\int_{A(k)} g^{p_s^{*'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^{*'}}} \|\phi_k\| \\
&\leq \epsilon \|\phi_k\|^p + C(\epsilon) \left(\int_{A(k)} f^{p_s^{*'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}}} + C(\epsilon) \left(\int_{A(k)} g^{p_s^{*'}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, C is the Sobolev constant from Lemma 2.4 and $C(\epsilon) > 0$ is some constant depending on $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ but are independent of n . Note that $q > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$ gives $q > p_s^{*'}$. Therefore, fixing $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and again using Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{q}{p_s^{*'}}$ and $(\frac{q}{p_s^{*'}})'$, for some constant

$C > 0$ which is independent of n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad \|\phi_k\|^p &\leq C \left(\int_{A(k)} f^{p_s^{*'}} dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}}} + C \left(\int_{A(k)} g^{p_s^{*'}} dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}}} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^q dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} g^q dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}} \right\} |A(k)|^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p_s^{*'}}\right)'}} \\
&\leq C |A(k)|^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p_s^{*'}}\right)'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $h > 0$ be such that $1 \leq k < h$. Then, $A(h) \subset A(k)$ and for any $x \in A(h)$, we have $u_n(x) \geq h$. So, $u_n(x) - k \geq h - k$ in $A(h)$. Combining these facts along with (3.12) and again using Lemma 2.4 for some constant $C > 0$ (independent of n), we arrive at the estimate below

$$\begin{aligned}
(h - k)^p |A(h)|^{\frac{p}{p_s^*}} &\leq \left(\int_{A(h)} (u_n - k)^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_s^*}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{A(k)} (u_n - k)^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_s^*}} \leq C \|\phi_k\|^p \leq C |A(k)|^{\frac{p'}{p_s^{*'}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p_s^{*'}}\right)'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for some constant $C > 0$ (independent of n), we have

$$|A(h)| \leq \frac{C}{(h - k)^{p_s^*}} |A(k)|^\alpha,$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{p_s^* p'}{p p_s^{*'}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p_s^{*'}}\right)'}$$

Due to the assumption, $q > \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^* - p}$, we have $\alpha > 1$. Hence, by [42, Lemma B.1], we have

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

for some positive constant $C > 0$ independent of n . \square

We end this section by establishing the following properties of u_n that are very important to prove the weighted Finsler Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 3.7. *The solutions u_n of the problem (3.1) found in Lemma 3.3 has the following properties:*

(a) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Then we have*

$$(3.13) \quad \|u_n\|^p \leq \|\phi\|^p + p \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n - \phi)}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} f_n dx + p \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n - \phi)}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} g_n dx.$$

(b) *(Monotonicity in norm) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|u_n\| \leq \|u_{n+1}\|$.*

(c) *(Strong convergence) Upto a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to $u_{\delta,\gamma}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$.*

(d) *Further, $u_{\delta,\gamma}$ is a minimizer of the energy functional $I_{\delta,\gamma} : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$(3.14) \quad I_{\delta,\gamma}(v) := \frac{1}{p} \|v\|^p - \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \int_{\Omega} (v^+)^{1-\delta} f dx - \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \int_{\Omega} (v^+)^{1-\gamma} g dx.$$

Proof. (a) Let us fix $\xi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, there exists a unique solution $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ of the problem

$$(3.15) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}v = \frac{f_n(x)}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} + \frac{g_n(x)}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma}, \quad v > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Also v is a minimizer of the functional $J : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$J(\phi) := \frac{1}{p} \|\phi\|^p - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_n}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} \phi \, dx.$$

Therefore, for every $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, we have $J(v) \leq J(\phi)$ which gives

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \|v\|^p - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_n}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} v \, dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{p} \|\phi\|^p - \int_{\Omega} \frac{f_n}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\delta} \phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_n}{(\xi^+ + \frac{1}{n})^\gamma} \phi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v = \xi = u_n$ in the inequality (3.16), the estimate (3.13) follows.

- (b) Let $\phi = u_{n+1}$ in (3.13) and thus by the monotone property $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$ from Lemma 3.3, we obtain $\|u_n\| \leq \|u_{n+1}\|$.
- (c) We choose $\phi = u_{\delta,\gamma}$ in (3.13) and then using the property $u_n \leq u_{\delta,\gamma}$ from Remark 3.5, we have $\|u_n\| \leq \|u_{\delta,\gamma}\|$. Hence using the norm monotonicity property $\|u_n\| \leq \|u_{n+1}\|$ from (b), we have

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| \leq \|u_{\delta,\gamma}\|.$$

Moreover since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_{\delta,\gamma}$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, we get

$$(3.18) \quad \|u_{\delta,\gamma}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|.$$

Thus from (3.17) and (3.18), the result follows.

- (d) It is enough to show that

$$(3.19) \quad I_{\delta,\gamma}(u_{\delta,\gamma}) \leq I_{\delta,\gamma}(v), \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w).$$

Let us define the auxiliary functional $I_n : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_n(v) := \frac{1}{p} \|v\|^p - \int_{\Omega} G_n(v) f_n \, dx - \int_{\Omega} H_n(v) g_n \, dx,$$

where

$$G_n(t) := \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(t^+ + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-\delta} - \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\delta} t^-,$$

and

$$H_n(t) := \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left(t^+ + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-\gamma} - \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\gamma} t^-.$$

Then we observe that I_n is C^1 , bounded below and coercive. As a consequence, I_n has a minimizer at some $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Therefore, it follows that $I_n(v_n) \leq I_n(v_n^+)$, which gives $v_n \geq 0$ in Ω . Noting that $\langle I_n'(v_n), \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, we conclude that v_n solves (3.1). By the uniqueness property from Lemma 3.3, we have $u_n = v_n$. Hence u_n is a minimizer of I_n . Therefore, we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad I_n(u_n) \leq I_n(v), \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w).$$

Now we pass the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.20) to prove our claim (3.19). Firstly, by Remark 3.5 using the fact $u_n \leq u_{\delta,\gamma}$ along with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G_n(u_n) f_n \, dx &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\delta,\gamma})^{1-\delta} f \, dx, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} H_n(u_n) g_n \, dx &= \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\delta,\gamma})^{1-\gamma} g \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the strong convergence property (c) above, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| = \|u_{\delta,\gamma}\|.$$

Hence, using (3.21) and (3.22), we have

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n(u_n) = I_{\delta,\gamma}(u_{\delta,\gamma}).$$

Moreover, for any $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G_n(v^+) f_n \, dx &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \int_{\Omega} (v^+)^{1-\delta} f \, dx, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} H_n(v^+) g_n \, dx &= \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega} (v^+)^{1-\gamma} g \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.20) and then employing the estimates (3.23), (3.24) and that $\|v^+\| \leq \|v\|$, the claim (3.19) follows. \square

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS FOR THE PROBLEM (\mathcal{R})

This section deals to establish some results that are very crucial to prove Theorem 2.23-2.24. In order to proceed, let $h \in L^t(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ be nonnegative as given by Theorem 2.23 and we consider the following approximated problem for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given by

$$(4.1) \quad -\mathcal{F}_{p,w}u = h_n(x) e^{\frac{1}{(u^+ + \frac{1}{n})}} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $h_n(x) = \min\{h(x), n\}$.

Then, we have the following results for the problem (4.1).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then,*

- (A) *for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the problem (4.1) admits a positive solution $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$,*
- (B) *v_n is unique and $v_{n+1} \geq v_n$ for every n ,*
- (C) *for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant c_ω (independent of n) satisfying $v_n \geq c_\omega > 0$ in ω ,*
- (D) *$\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c$ for some positive constant c independent of n .*

Proof. (A) Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in L^p(\Omega)$ and denote by

$$H_n = h_n e^{\frac{1}{(v^+ + \frac{1}{n})}}.$$

Then, noting the fact that

$$H_n \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } H_n v_n \leq 0,$$

the existence of a positive solution $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ of the problem (4.1) follows by the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

(B) Observing that

$$(H_n - H_{n+1})(v_n - v_{n+1})^+ \leq 0,$$

and then proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we obtain the monotonicity of v_n . The uniqueness is analogous.

(C) We observe that $v_n \neq 0$ in Ω and note that $v_n \geq v_1$ from (B). Then proceeding analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.3, for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, we have $v_n \geq c_\omega > 0$ for some constant $c_\omega > 0$ that is independent of n .

(D) Now the uniform boundedness of $\{v_n\}$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ follows analogously in the proof of Lemma 3.6. \square

Remark 4.2. If $\mathcal{F}_{p,w} = \Delta_{p,w}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{p,w}$ as given by (1.5), noting Lemma 2.7 and following the exact arguments in the proof above, Lemma 4.1 holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 2.13:

(a) Let $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ be weak solutions of (\mathcal{S}) . Then arguing similarly as in the proof of [8, Lemma 2.13], we choose $\phi = (u - v)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ as a test function in (2.10) and obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u) \nabla (u - v)^+ dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f}{u^{\delta}} + \frac{g}{u^{\gamma}} \right) (u - v)^+ dx,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla v)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v) \nabla (u - v)^+ dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f}{v^{\delta}} + \frac{g}{v^{\gamma}} \right) (u - v)^+ dx.$$

Subtracting (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} w(x) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla u)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla v)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v) \} \nabla (u - v)^+ dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{1}{u^{\delta}} - \frac{1}{v^{\delta}} \right) f + \left(\frac{1}{u^{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{v^{\gamma}} \right) g \right) (u - v)^+ dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla (u - v)^+)^p w dx = 0,$$

which gives $u \leq v$ in Ω . In a similar way, we have $v \leq u$ in Ω . Hence the result follows.

(b) By Lemma 3.3, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_n)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_n) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f_n}{u_n^{\delta}} + \frac{g_n}{u_n^{\gamma}} \right) \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

Passing to the limit. By the strong convergence property (c) in Lemma 3.7 along with Lemma 2.4, upto a subsequence, we have $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u_{\delta, \gamma}$ pointwise almost everywhere in Ω . Therefore, for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, it holds that

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_n)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_n) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_{\delta, \gamma})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_{\delta, \gamma}) \nabla \phi dx.$$

Denote by $\text{supp } \phi = \omega \Subset \Omega$ and thus by Lemma 3.3, there exists a constant $c_\omega > 0$ that is independent of n such that $u_n \geq c_\omega > 0$ in ω . Hence, we get

$$\left(\frac{f_n}{u_n^{\delta}} + \frac{g_n}{u_n^{\gamma}} \right) \phi \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\frac{f}{c_\omega^{\delta}} + \frac{g}{c_\omega^{\gamma}} \right) \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u_{\delta,\gamma}$ pointwise almost everywhere in Ω , as an application of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f_n}{u_n^\delta} + \frac{g_n}{u_n^\gamma} \right) \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f}{u_{\delta,\gamma}^\delta} + \frac{g}{u_{\delta,\gamma}^\gamma} \right) \phi \, dx.$$

Combining the estimates (5.4) and (5.5) in (5.3), the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.14: The proof follows from Lemma 3.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.15: Noting Lemma 2.7 and Remark 3.4, following the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.13-2.14, the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.19:

(a) Let us set

$$S_\delta := \left\{ v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) : \int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta} f \, dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Then it is enough to prove that

$$\mu(\Omega) := \inf_{v \in S_\delta} \|v\|^p = \|u_\delta\|^{\frac{p(1-\delta-p)}{1-\delta}}.$$

It is easy to verify that $V_\delta = \zeta_\delta u_\delta \in S_\delta$, where

$$\zeta_\delta = \left(\int_{\Omega} u_\delta^{1-\delta} f \, dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{1-\delta}}.$$

Following the proof of [8, Lemma 2.13], we choose $\phi = u_\delta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ as a test function in (2.10) and noting the property (2.6), we have

$$(5.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_\delta)^p w \, dx = \|u_\delta\|^p = \int_{\Omega} u_\delta^{1-\delta} f \, dx.$$

First, using the homogeneity property (H2) and then, by (5.6), we have

$$(5.7) \quad \|V_\delta\|^p = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla V_\delta)^p w \, dx = \zeta_\delta^p \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla u_\delta)^p w \, dx = \|u_\delta\|^{\frac{p(1-\delta-p)}{1-\delta}}.$$

Let $v \in S_\delta$ and define by $\mu = \|v\|^{-\frac{p}{p+\delta-1}}$. Then by Lemma 3.7, since u_δ minimizes the functional $I_{\delta,\gamma}$ given by (3.14), we have

$$(5.8) \quad I_{\delta,\gamma}(u_\delta) \leq I_{\delta,\gamma}(\mu|v|).$$

Using (5.6), we have

$$(5.9) \quad I_{\delta,\gamma}(u_\delta) = \frac{1}{p} \|u_\delta\|^p - \frac{1}{1-\delta} \int_{\Omega} u_\delta^{1-\delta} f \, dx = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{1-\delta} \right) \|u_\delta\|^p.$$

On the other hand, since $v \in S_\delta$, we have

$$(5.10) \quad I_{\delta,\gamma}(\mu|v|) = \frac{\mu^p}{p} \|v\|^p - \frac{\mu^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{\mu^p}{p} \|v\|^p - \frac{\mu^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{1-\delta} \right) \|v\|^{\frac{p(\delta-1)}{\delta+p-1}}.$$

Since $v \in S_\delta$ is arbitrary, using (5.9) and (5.10) in (5.8), we arrive at

$$(5.11) \quad \|u_\delta\|^{\frac{p(1-\delta-p)}{1-\delta}} \leq \inf_{v \in S_\delta} \|v\|^p.$$

Using (5.7) and (5.11), we obtain

$$(5.12) \quad \|V_\delta\|^p = \|u_\delta\|^{\frac{p(1-\delta-p)}{1-\delta}} \leq \inf_{v \in S_\delta} \|v\|^p.$$

Since $V_\delta \in S_\delta$, from (5.12), the result follows.

(b) Let (2.12) holds. If $C > \mu(\Omega)$, then from (a) above and (5.7), we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad C \left(\int_{\Omega} V_\delta^{1-\delta} f \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\delta}} > \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}(\nabla V_\delta)^p w \, dx.$$

Since $V_\delta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, (5.13) violates the hypothesis (2.12). Conversely, assume that

$$C \leq \mu(\Omega) = \inf_{v \in S_\delta} \|v\|^p \leq \|V\|^p,$$

for all $V \in S_\delta$. We observe that the claim directly follows if $v = 0$. So we deal with the case when $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \setminus \{0\}$ which gives

$$V = \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta} f \, dx \right)^{-\frac{1}{1-\delta}} v \in S_\delta.$$

Therefore, we have

$$C \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{1-\delta} f \, dx \right)^{-\frac{p}{1-\delta}} \|v\|^p.$$

Hence, the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.20: Noting Lemma 2.7 and Remark 3.4, following the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.19, the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.23: Let $\Omega = \cup_l \Omega_l$ where $\Omega_l \Subset \Omega_{l+1}$ are open subsets for each l . By Lemma 4.1, we have $v_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $c_l = \inf_{\Omega_l} v_n > 0$. Then choosing $\phi = (v_n - c_l)^+$ as a test function in (4.1) and using (2.6), we obtain

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(v_n - c_1)^+\|^p &= \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_n)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_n) \nabla (v_n - c_1)^+ \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} h_n e^{\frac{1}{v_n + \frac{1}{n}}} (v_n - c_1)^+ \, dx \\ &\leq e^{\frac{1}{c_1}} \|h\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|(v_n - c_1)^+\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c e^{\frac{1}{c_1}} \|h\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|(v_n - c_1)^+\|, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of n , where in the final step above, we have used the embedding result Lemma 2.4. Therefore, we obtain from (5.14) that $\{v_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1, w)$ and thus by Lemma 2.4, there exists $v_{\Omega_1} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1, w)$ such that upto a subsequence $\{v_{n_k^1}\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1, w)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega_1)$ and almost everywhere in Ω_1 to v_{Ω_1} , say. Proceeding by induction argument, for every l , upto a subsequence $\{v_{n_k^l}\}$ of $\{v_n\}$, there exists v_{Ω_l} such that $\{v_{n_k^l}\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_l, w)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega_l)$ and almost everywhere in Ω_l to v_{Ω_l} . Let $\{v_{n_k^{l+1}}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{v_{n_k^l}\}$ for every l , where $n_k^l \rightarrow \infty$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $v_{\Omega_{l+1}} = v_{\Omega_l}$ in Ω_l and we define by $v = v_{\Omega_1}$ in Ω_1 , $v = v_{\Omega_{l+1}}$ in $\Omega_{l+1} \setminus \Omega_l$ for each l . Therefore, $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and also lie in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ due to the property (D) from Lemma 4.1. As a consequence of our definition, the diagonal subsequence $\{v_{n_l}\} := \{v_{n_k^l}\}$ satisfies

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{n_l} &\rightarrow v \text{ in } W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega_l, w), \\ v_{n_l} &\rightarrow v \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega_l), \\ v_{n_l} &\rightarrow v \text{ almost everywhere in } \Omega_l. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\{v_{n_l}\}$ converges strongly to v in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega_l, w)$. To see this, let $\omega \Subset \Omega$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ in Ω , $\phi \equiv 1$ in ω and suppose $l_1 \geq 1$ such that $\Omega' := \text{supp } \phi \subset \Omega_{l_1}$. Then, by a direct computation for every $l, m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\omega} w(x) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m}) \} \nabla(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}) dx \\
(5.16) \quad & \leq \int_{\Omega} w(x) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m}) \} \nabla(\phi(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m})) dx \\
& \quad - \int_{\Omega_{l_1}} w(x)(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m}) \} \nabla \phi dx \\
& \quad := I - J.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate of I : We choose $\phi(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m})$ as a test function in (4.1) and obtain for $j = l, m$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_j})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_j}) \nabla(\phi(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m})) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega'} h_n e^{\frac{1}{(v_{n_j} + \frac{1}{j})}} |v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}| dx \\
& \leq c \|h\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \\
& \leq c \|h\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}\|_{L^p(\Omega')},
\end{aligned}$$

for a constant c independent of l, m . Therefore, using (5.15), the last quantity in the above estimate goes to zero as $l, m \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence, we arrive at

$$I = \int_{\Omega} w(x) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m}) \} \nabla(\phi(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m})) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $l, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Estimate of J : Using (2.7) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{l_1}} w(x)(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}) \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) \nabla \phi dx \\
& \leq C_2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega'} w |\nabla v_{n_l}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega'} w |v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting (5.15) and thanks to the compact embedding result from Lemma 2.3, we obtain the last quantity in the above estimate goes to zero as $l, m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$J = \int_{\Omega_{l_1}} w(x)(v_{n_l} - v_{n_m}) \{ \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) - \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_m}) \} \nabla \phi dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $l, m \rightarrow \infty$. Using the above estimates on I and J , applying the Finsler algebraic inequality from Lemma 2.8 in (5.16), the sequence $\{v_{n_l}\}$ converges strongly to v in $W^{1,p}(\omega, w)$. Now we pass to the limit in (4.1) and prove that v is our required solution. To this end, assume that $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset \Omega_{l_1}$ for some $l_1 \geq 1$. Then by the strong convergence of v_{n_l} to v in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, we have

$$(5.17) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l})^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v_{n_l}) \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} w(x) \mathcal{F}(\nabla v)^{p-1} \nabla_{\eta} \mathcal{F}(\nabla v) \nabla \phi dx.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\left| h_{n_l} e^{\frac{1}{(v_{n_l} + \frac{1}{n_l})}} \phi \right| \leq ch \in L^1(\Omega),$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of l . By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_n(x) e^{\frac{1}{(v_{n_l}(x) + \frac{1}{n_l})}} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x) e^{\frac{1}{v(x)}} \phi(x) dx.$$

Thus, from (5.17) and (5.18), we conclude that $v \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is a weak solution of the problem (\mathcal{R}) . Further, it can be easily seen that $\{(v_{n_l} - \epsilon)^+\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ and hence $(v - \epsilon)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$. Since by Lemma 4.1, we have $v_{n_l} \geq c_{\omega} > 0$ for every $\omega \Subset \Omega$, we obtain $v \geq c_{\omega} > 0$ in ω . Hence $v > 0$ in Ω and the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.24: Noting Lemma 2.7 along with Remark 4.2 and then following the same proof of Theorem 2.23, the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Giovanni Anello, Francesca Faraci, and Antonio Iannizzotto. On a problem of Huang concerning best constants in Sobolev embeddings. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 194(3):767–779, 2015. [3](#), [4](#)
- [2] David Arcoya and Lucio Boccardo. Multiplicity of solutions for a Dirichlet problem with a singular and a supercritical nonlinearities. *Differential Integral Equations*, 26(1-2):119–128, 2013. [4](#)
- [3] David Arcoya and Lourdes Moreno-Mérida. Multiplicity of solutions for a Dirichlet problem with a strongly singular nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 95:281–291, 2014. [4](#)
- [4] Thierry Aubin. Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geometry*, 11(4):573–598, 1976. [3](#)
- [5] Kaushik Bal and Prashanta Garain. Multiplicity of solution for a quasilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 17(3):Paper No. 91, 20, 2020. [4](#)
- [6] Kaushik Bal and Prashanta Garain. Nonexistence results for weighted p -Laplace equations with singular nonlinearities. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages Paper No. 95, 12, 2019. [4](#)
- [7] Kaushik Bal and Prashanta Garain. Weighted and anisotropic sobolev inequality with extremal. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, pages 1–17, 2021. [3](#), [4](#), [8](#)
- [8] Kaushik Bal, Prashanta Garain, and Tuhina Mukherjee. Finsler p -Laplace equations with variable singular exponent. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2104.03858, April 2021. [4](#), [6](#), [10](#), [11](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [9] D. Bao, S.-S. Chern, and Z. Shen. *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, volume 200 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. [2](#)
- [10] M. Belloni, V. Ferone, and B. Kawohl. Isoperimetric inequalities, Wulff shape and related questions for strongly nonlinear elliptic operators. volume 54, pages 771–783. 2003. Special issue dedicated to Lawrence E. Payne. [3](#)
- [11] M. Belloni and B. Kawohl. A direct uniqueness proof for equations involving the p -Laplace operator. *Manuscripta Math.*, 109(2):229–231, 2002. [3](#)
- [12] Tesfa Biset, Benyam Mebrate, and Ahmed Mohammed. A boundary-value problem for normalized Finsler infinity-Laplacian equations with singular nonhomogeneous terms. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 190:111588, 20, 2020. [4](#)
- [13] Lucio Boccardo and Luigi Orsina. Semilinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 37(3-4):363–380, 2010. [4](#), [8](#)
- [14] Xia C. *On a class of anisotropic problems, Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg im Breisgau, 2012.* <https://freidok.uni-freiburg.de/fedora/objects/freidok:8693/datastreams/FILE1/content>. [2](#), [6](#)
- [15] Annamaria Canino, Bernardino Sciunzi, and Alessandro Trombetta. Existence and uniqueness for p -Laplace equations involving singular nonlinearities. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 23(2):Art. 8, 18, 2016. [4](#), [8](#)
- [16] Giulio Ciraolo, Alessio Figalli, and Alberto Roncoroni. Symmetry results for critical anisotropic p -Laplacian equations in convex cones. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 30(3):770–803, 2020. [3](#)
- [17] M. G. Crandall, P. H. Rabinowitz, and L. Tartar. On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 2(2):193–222, 1977. [4](#)
- [18] Seng-Kee Chua, Scott Rodney, and Richard Wheeden. A compact embedding theorem for generalized Sobolev spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 265(1):17–57, 2013. [5](#)

- [19] Linda Maria De Cave. Nonlinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 84(3-4):181–195, 2013. [4](#), [8](#)
- [20] Giuseppina di Blasio, Giovanni Pisante, and Georgeos Psaradakis. A weighted anisotropic Sobolev type inequality and its applications to Hardy inequalities. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1902.02091, February 2019. [3](#)
- [21] Serena Dipierro, Giorgio Poggesi, and Enrico Valdinoci. Radial symmetry of solutions to anisotropic and weighted diffusion equations with discontinuous nonlinearities. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2105.02424, May 2021. [3](#)
- [22] Gelson C. G. dos Santos, Giovany M. Figueiredo, and Leandro S. Tavares. Existence results for some anisotropic singular problems via sub-supersolutions. *Milan J. Math.*, 87(2):249–272, 2019. [4](#)
- [23] Pavel Drábek, Alois Kufner, and Francesco Nicolosi. *Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities*, volume 5 of *De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1997. [5](#)
- [24] A. El Hamidi and J. M. Rakotoson. Extremal functions for the anisotropic Sobolev inequalities. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 24(5):741–756, 2007. [3](#)
- [25] G. Ercole and G. A. Pereira. Fractional Sobolev inequalities associated with singular problems. *Math. Nachr.*, 291(11-12):1666–1685, 2018. [3](#)
- [26] Grey Ercole and Gilberto de Assis Pereira. On a singular minimizing problem. *J. Anal. Math.*, 135(2):575–598, 2018. [3](#)
- [27] Eugene B. Fabes, Carlos E. Kenig, and Raul P. Serapioni. The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 7(1):77–116, 1982. [3](#)
- [28] Csaba Farkas, Alessio Fiscella, and Patrick Winkert. Singular Finsler double phase problems with nonlinear boundary condition. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2102.05467, February 2021. [4](#)
- [29] Csaba Farkas and Patrick Winkert. An existence result for singular Finsler double phase problems. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2011.03774, November 2020. [4](#), [6](#)
- [30] Stathis Filippas, Luisa Moschini, and Achilles Tertikas. On a class of weighted anisotropic Sobolev inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(1):90–119, 2008. [3](#)
- [31] Giovanni Franzina and Pier Domenico Lamberti. Existence and uniqueness for a p -Laplacian nonlinear eigenvalue problem. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 26, 10, 2010. [3](#)
- [32] Prashanta Garain. On a degenerate singular elliptic problem. (*To appear in Mathematische Nachrichten*), page arXiv:1803.02102, March 2018. [4](#), [5](#), [8](#)
- [33] Prashanta Garain. Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic p -laplace equation with singular nonlinearities. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 0(0):1–21, 2020. [4](#)
- [34] Prashanta Garain. Properties of solutions to some weighted p -Laplacian equation. *Opuscula Math.*, 40(4):483–494, 2020. [5](#)
- [35] Prashanta Garain and Tuhina Mukherjee. On a class of weighted p -Laplace equation with singular nonlinearity. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 17(4):Paper No. 110, 18, 2020. [4](#)
- [36] Prashanta Garain and Alexander Ukhlov. Mixed local and nonlocal Sobolev inequalities with extremal and associated quasilinear singular elliptic problems. Preprint, 2021. [3](#)
- [37] Marius Ghergu and Vicențiu D. Rădulescu. *Singular elliptic problems: bifurcation and asymptotic analysis*, volume 37 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. [4](#)
- [38] Jacques Giacomoni, Ian Schindler, and Peter Takáč. Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and existence of multiple solutions for a singular quasilinear equation. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 6(1):117–158, 2007. [4](#)
- [39] V. Gol'dshtein, D. Motreanu, and V. V. Motreanu. Non-homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problems in weighted Sobolev spaces. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 60(3):372–391, 2015. [5](#)
- [40] V. Gol'dshtein, V. V. Motreanu, and A. Ukhlov. Embeddings of weighted Sobolev spaces and degenerate Dirichlet problems involving the weighted p -Laplacian. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 56(10-11):905–930, 2011. [5](#)
- [41] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. Oxford Science Publications. [3](#), [5](#), [10](#)
- [42] David Kinderlehrer and Guido Stampacchia. *An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications*, volume 88 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980. [13](#)

- [43] Ahmed Réda Leggat and Sofiane El-Hadi Miri. Anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 61(4):496–509, 2016. [4](#)
- [44] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna. On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 111(3):721–730, 1991. [4](#)
- [45] Peter Lindqvist. Addendum: “On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$ ” [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), no. 1, 157–164; MR1007505 (90h:35088)]. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 116(2):583–584, 1992. [3](#)
- [46] I.-I. Mezei and O. Vas. Existence results for some Dirichlet problems involving Finsler-Laplacian operator. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 157(1):39–53, 2019. [2](#)
- [47] Sofiane El-Hadi Miri. On an anisotropic problem with singular nonlinearity having variable exponent. *Ric. Mat.*, 66(2):415–424, 2017. [4](#), [8](#)
- [48] Jürgen Moser. On Harnack’s theorem for elliptic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14:577–591, 1961. [3](#)
- [49] J. Moser. On a pointwise estimate for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24:727–740, 1971. [3](#)
- [50] Jürgen Moser. A Harnack inequality for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17:101–134, 1964. [3](#)
- [51] Benjamin Muckenhoupt. Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 165:207–226, 1972. [3](#), [5](#)
- [52] Franciscantonio Oliva. Regularizing effect of absorption terms in singular problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 472(1):1136–1166, 2019. [4](#)
- [53] Franciscantonio Oliva and Francesco Petitta. On singular elliptic equations with measure sources. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 22(1):289–308, 2016. [4](#)
- [54] Franciscantonio Oliva and Francesco Petitta. Finite and infinite energy solutions of singular elliptic problems: existence and uniqueness. *J. Differential Equations*, 264(1):311–340, 2018. [4](#)
- [55] Luigi Orsina and Francesco Petitta. A Lazer-McKenna type problem with measures. *Differential Integral Equations*, 29(1-2):19–36, 2016. [4](#)
- [56] Mitsuharu Ôtani. Existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions of some nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 76(1):140–159, 1988. [3](#)
- [57] Irene Peral. Multiplicity of solutions for the p -laplacian, lecture notes at the second school on nonlinear functional analysis and applications to differential equations at ICTP of Trieste. *ICTP lecture notes*, 1997. [6](#)
- [58] Kanishka Perera and Elves A. B. Silva. On singular p -Laplacian problems. *Differential Integral Equations*, 20(1):105–120, 2007. [4](#)
- [59] R. Tyrrell Rockafellar. *Convex analysis*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 28. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. [2](#)
- [60] Giorgio Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 110:353–372, 1976. [3](#)

(Kaushik Bal)
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR
 KANPUR-208016, UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA
 EMAIL: kaushik@iitk.ac.in

(Prashanta Garain)
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV
 P.O.B. 653
 BEER SHEVA 8410501, ISRAEL
 EMAIL: pgarain92@gmail.com