Analysis of the Brownian Motion by Elementary School Students
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To stimulate the intellectual curiosity of elementary school students, we conducted a workshop in distance education aimed at exploring the microscopic world inside a cell. In this workshop, elementary school students motivated to learn more on the subject of science analyzed movies of the Brownian motion of micrometer-sized particles suspended in water,\textsuperscript{1-3} using an open-source software, Tracker.\textsuperscript{4,5} These students then performed two-dimensional(2D)-random walk experiments using a dice game sheet to examine the physical mechanism of Brownian motion.\textsuperscript{6} After the workshop, we conducted a questionnaire-based survey. Many participants answered that the contents were difficult but interesting, suggesting that our workshop was very efficient to stimulate the curiosity of motivated students.

In Japan, the curricula for primary and secondary school education are stipulated in the Course of Study.\textsuperscript{7} In this regard, it has been reported that students in Japanese elementary schools are very satisfied with science classes at school. According to the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study in 2019 (TIMSS2019), published by the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA),\textsuperscript{5} 92\% of elementary school students in Japan answered in the affirmatively to the item “I like learning science”, which exceeds the international average of 86\%. However, from the perspective of gifted education, it is unclear whether motivated students are fascinated with the contents of science classes stipulated in the Course of Study.

To stimulate the intellectual curiosity of elementary school students, we conducted a three-hour workshop entitled “Let’s explore the small world inside a cell!” twice during the Japanese academic year of 2020. We distributed flyers for the workshop to public elementary schools, and then recruited 27 motivated students in the 4th to 6th grades (18 and 9 participants in the first and second workshops, respectively). Owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, we were forced to provide distance education. We therefore held remote workshops via the Internet using the Zoom application. YH, a physics teacher at a high school, organized the workshop, YY, a PhD student in the field of biophysics, developed the data aggregation and analysis tools, and MM, a principal investigator in the field of biophysics, gave a lecture. In this workshop, each participant performed an analysis of the motion of Brownian particles captured by an optical microscope, followed by a 2D-random walk experiment using a dice game sheet to examine the physical mechanism of Brownian motion. Since Brownian motion is dealt with in high school physics and chemistry as per the Japanese curriculum, most of the participants might never have observed Brownian motion before.\textsuperscript{7,9,10}

Analysis of Brownian motion

Before the workshop, we administered a questionnaire to the participants. Therein, we asked the participants whether they like science, to which 85\% of the participants (23/27) answered in the affirmative. Although this value was lower than the reported value in the TIMSS2019 (Japan: 92\%), participation in this workshop was voluntary, and the students appeared to be highly motivated. We also asked them whether and how much they knew about a cell and a molecule. The results of the two questionnaires are summarized in Fig. 1.

According to the questionnaire results (Fig. 1a), only 22\% of the students (6/27) knew about a cell well. Therefore, using microscopic images, we explained at the beginning of the workshop that all living systems, including humans, are made up of cells. We then conducted quizzes using the voting function of the Zoom application for the participants to recognize how small the cell is and what the cell is made of. This is because elementary school students do not learn the units of
micrometers or the components of cells at school. Subsequently, we introduced the unit of micrometer and explained that typical cells are about 10 μm in diameter, which is about 10 times smaller than the diameter of a single strand of hair. We also explained that the cell is mainly composed of proteins and water, and many kinds of tiny “protein robots” work “in the pool of water” inside the cell to maintain our life. Note that we used the term “protein robots” instead of “protein molecules” at this stage because elementary school students do not learn about molecules at school. In fact, less than 4% of the students (2/27) knew about molecules, according to the questionnaire results (Fig. 1b).

To help the participants imagine the working environment of the protein robots in a cell, we showed them a movie of plastic particles smaller than the size of typical cells suspended in water (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Movie 1). The particles exhibited random motion, which is generally known as Brownian motion. To learn the basic features of motion and infer the motile mechanism, we asked them to analyze the motion using their personal computers at home. We adopted an open-source software, Tracker, as the motion analysis tool. Prior to conduction of the workshop, we compiled the original movie file in a format compatible with the motion analysis software to simplify the analysis processes, and we sent it to the participants. Notably, we sent different movies to each participant to avoid analysis of the same set of particles. We confirmed via e-mail that the installation of the analysis software on their personal computers was completed before the workshop.

Using the motion analysis software, the students recorded the positions of the particle by clicking the center every 0.20 s (every 5 frames) for 20 s (100 time points in total) (Fig. 3, left). According to the Japanese math curriculum, elementary school students do not learn about the concept of negative numbers. Therefore, we set the origin at the lower left of the image so that negative numbers did not appear in the table of X-Y coordinates (Fig. 3, right bottom). In addition, elementary school students had not learned the concept of coordinates. We explained that the X and Y positions shown in the table indicate how far the particle was displaced in the horizontal and vertical directions from the origin, respectively.

After the tracking stage was completed, we asked the students to share the analysis result (a time series of X and Y positions) using a Google spreadsheet with the help of their parents. We carefully checked the uploaded data; if the tracking was incorrect, we requested the students to analyze it again, or excluded the data for further statistical analysis.

Through a comparison of the motion of motile objects in daily life, such as a car, we discussed specific features of the motion of the analyzed particles with the students. First, the particles moved randomly—that is, the direction of the motion changed in every video frame. This feature is completely different from the features of regular motile objects that such students are familiar with. Second, the particles took four times as long to move twice as far, as it can be read from the graph. It is theoretically predicted that the mean-square displacement of the analyzed particles is proportional to the time $t$:

$$\langle (x(t) - x(0))^2 + (y(t) - y(0))^2 \rangle = 4Dt, \quad (1)$$

where $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are the X and Y positions of a particle at time $t$, respectively, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents the ensemble average, and $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the particles. This equation gives us

$$\langle (x(t) - x(0))^2 + (y(t) - y(0))^2 \rangle^{1/2} \propto t^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$
We confirmed that the analysis results (Fig. 4, scatter plots) follow Eq. (2) (Fig. 4, solid curve). Here, it was expected that these equations, as well as the concept of square roots, were difficult for elementary school students to understand. Hence, we explained the analysis result as follows: While motile objects in daily life such as a car can travel twice the distance in twice the time, these types of particles take four times as long to move twice as far, which is a prominent feature of the observed particles. Based on the analysis results, it can be imagined that the tiny protein robots working in the cell may also move at random, similar to the observed particles.

2D-random walk experiment using dice

To consider the mechanism of random motion, we compared two movies showing the motion of plastic particles in the presence and absence of water. This comparison clarified that water is essential for random motion. This begs the question: Why does water induce random motion? We proposed to the students to consider that all visible objects in nature are made up of tiny particles, with several examples—even the human body is composed of billions of tiny cells, a blackboard chalk can be broken down into powder when used, and a stone is finally broken down into sand. In other words, both chalk and stone are composed of small particles. Using this analogy, we proposed that water would also be composed of tiny invisible particles.

Thereafter, we introduced the generally accepted mechanism of Brownian motion: Collisions of water molecules push the plastic particles at random (Fig. 5a), as a hypothesis, not as knowledge. To help clarify the collision effect, we used building blocks composed of wood to demonstrate that the hypothesis could possibly be correct (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Movie 2). To verify this hypothesis, we performed a hands-on experiment using a dice game sheet (Fig. 6). The sheet was printed on A4-sized papers and then sent to the participants prior to the workshop. The starting point was set at the center, indicated by a double circle (Fig. 6, left). The direction of movement was determined by the roll of the dice (Fig. 6, top right). This rule emulated random collision events of water molecules to the particles (Fig. 7).

The students rolled the dice and moved a piece according to the rule shown in Fig. 6. Every 10 trials, they measured the distance between the present position and the starting point with a ruler and filled the value in a spreadsheet (Fig. 6, bottom right). We set the goal points at positions seven steps apart from the starting point, as indicated by the open circles, to include a gamified environment.

The results shown in Fig. 8 show a similar trend to that of plastic particles (Fig. 4). In particular, the displacement does not seem proportional to the number of rolling dice \( N \), but is rather proportional to \( N^{1/2} \) (equivalent to \( t^{1/2} \) in the case of plastic particles). We concluded that our hypothesis was correct, and thus, the mechanism of Brownian motion was understood.

During the last section of the workshop, we introduced the unit of nanometer and explained that any objects in nature are composed of nanoscale tiny particles, namely molecules. We then showed animations and microscopic images of intracellular molecular motors such as kinesin and myosin walking along the cytoskeletal networks. We further demonstrated that molecular motors utilize collisions of water molecules as the driving force by converting the random force into unidirectional motion efficiently. State-of-the-art technologies, including the development of synthetic “molecular engines,” as well as future visions of molecular sciences, were also discussed.
Conclusions and Discussion

After the workshop, we conducted a questionnaire-based survey. Fig. 9a shows whether the video analysis was easy for the students to perform. More than half of the respondents replied that the video analysis was very easy or easy (17/26). We believe that difficulties in performing such video analyses are largely dependent on the complexity of computer operations, such as importing raw data files and setting the length scale and time intervals. In this workshop, we prepared a compiled analysis file ready for particle tracking just by mouse clicking. We successfully demonstrated that even elementary school students can work on video analysis using personal computers without much stress, if the initial analysis file is prepared properly.

Fig. 9b shows whether the 2D-random walk experiment was easy for the students to perform. More than half of the respondents replied that the experiment was very easy or easy (18/26). As we set a goal to include a gamified environment, many students were excited for and enjoyed this experiment. However, we realized that the sample number $n$ decreases as the number of trials $N$ increases (see Fig. 8). This was because once a piece reached the goal, the data for larger $N$ values were lost. To improve the data accuracy, it would be better not to set a goal in this experiment.

In summary, we organized a workshop for motivated elementary school students in Japan to explore the microscopic world inside a cell. Although we were forced to conduct the workshop in the form of distance education because of the COVID-19 pandemic, we received positive responses from all the respondents. Interestingly, more than 50% of the respondents (14/26) replied that the workshop was very difficult (score 5; 2/26) or difficult (score 4; 12/26) to understand, but all the respondents replied that the workshop was very interesting (score 5; 18/26) or interesting (score 4; 8/26) (Fig. 10). This result indicates that motivated students were fascinated with the experimental learning and the lecture, even though the contents may have been difficult to grasp. Indeed, the contents of the workshop are actually dealt with only in high school or at the university education level as per the Japanese curriculum. Nevertheless, even topics regarding the frontiers of molecular sciences were also included in this lecture. Through the workshop, we found that “difficult but interesting” workshops, in which the contents are systematically organized for beginners, are preferable to stimulate the intellectual curiosity of motivated students in the early stage of scientific education.
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Fig. 1. Results of questionnaire survey conducted before the workshop. Elementary school students answered two questions: (a) Do you know what a cell is? (b) Do you know what a molecule is? Numbers in the pie charts indicate the number of responders ($n = 27$ students).
Fig. 2. Snapshot from the movie of Brownian particles. The motion of plastic particles with a diameter of 1 µm suspended in water was recorded using an optical microscope equipped with a 100× objective lens and a CCD camera at a frame rate of 25 fps. See also Supplementary Movie 1.
Fig. 3. Screenshot of the video analysis software. An open-source application, Tracker, was used.
Fig. 4. Results of analysis of Brownian particles from the second workshop. The relationship between time and the square root of mean-square-displacement (MSD) calculated from 20 tracking points is shown. Scatter plots: raw data, solid line: model fitting.
Fig. 5. Mechanism of Brownian motion. (a) Schematic of the generally accepted mechanism. The illustration used in the lecture is shown. (b) Demonstration of the mechanism of Brownian motion. The collision effect between plastic particles and water molecules was simulated using building blocks made of wood. See also Supplementary Movie 2.
Fig. 6. Dice game sheet for simulating 2D-random walk, printed on A4-sized papers and sent to the participants prior to the workshop.
Fig. 7. Rolling dice can emulate the random collision events of water molecules with the plastic particle. An illustration used in the lecture is shown.
Fig. 8. Results of analysis of 2D-random walk experiment using dice, from the second workshop. The relationship between the number of trials and the square root of mean-square-displacement (MSD) calculated from 20 independent experiments is shown. Scatter plots: raw data, solid line: model fitting, $n$: sample numbers used for the calculation of MSD in each plot.
Fig. 9. Results of questionnaire survey conducted after the workshop. Elementary school students answered two questions: (a) Was the motion analysis of Brownian particles easy for you? (b) Was the 2D-random walk experiment easy for you? Numbers in the pie charts indicate the number of responders (n' = 26 students).
Fig. 10. Bubble chart showing questionnaire results obtained after the workshop. We asked the participants how interesting and difficult the workshop was, on a scale of 1 to 5 each. Numbers in each bubble indicate the number of responders. Mean values and the standard deviations (SDs) of five-grade evaluations for each questionnaire are also displayed in the figure ($n’ = 26$ students).