A note on 1-2-3 and 1-2 Conjectures for 3-regular graphs
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Abstract: The 1-2-3 Conjecture, posed by Karoński, Łuczak and Thomason, asked whether every connected graph G different from K₂ can be 3-edge-weighted so that every two adjacent vertices of G get distinct sums of incident weights. The 1-2 Conjecture states that if vertices also receive colors and the vertex color is added to the sum of its incident edges, then adjacent vertices can be distinguished using only {1, 2}. In this paper we confirm 1-2 Conjecture for 3-regular graphs. Meanwhile, we show that every 3-regular graph can achieve a neighbor sum distinguishing edge coloring by using 4 colors, which answers 1-2-3 Conjecture positively.
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1 Introduction

All considered graphs are finite, undirected, simple and connected. Let N(u) denote the set of neighbors of vertex u and 0 ≤ s < t. Let d_G(v) be the degree of vertex v of G. For general theoretic notations, we follow [3].

Karóński et al.[7] introduced and investigated a coloring of the edges of a graph with positive integers so that adjacent vertices have different sums of incident edge colors. More precisely, let \( f : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) be an edge coloring of G (such a coloring is also called a k-coloring of G). For \( x \in V \), set

\[
\sigma(x) = \sum_{e \ni x} f(e).
\]

A k-coloring \( f \) of \( G \) is called neighbor sum distinguishing edge coloring if \( \sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y) \) for every edge \( xy \in E(G) \). In other words, the vertex coloring \( \sigma \) induced by \( f \) in the above described way must be proper. The minimum integer \( k \) for which there is a neighbor sum distinguishing edge coloring of a graph \( G \) will be denoted by \( \text{gnd}_\Sigma(G) \).

In [7] Karóński, Łuczak and Thomason posed the following elegant problem, known as the 1-2-3 Conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** Let \( G \) be a connected graph and \( G \neq K_2 \). Then \( \text{gnd}_\Sigma(G) \leq 3 \).
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Toward the Conjecture 1, Kalkowski, Karoński and Pfender [6] showed that if $G$ is a $k$-colorable graph with $k$ odd then $G$ admits a vertex-coloring $k$-edge-weighting. So, for the class of 3-colorable graphs, including bipartite graphs, the answer is affirmative. However, in general, this question is still open. Addario-Berry et al.[1] showed that every graph without isolated edges has a proper $k$-weighting when $k = 30$. After improvements to $k = 15$ in [2] and $k = 13$ in [10], Kalkowski, Karoński, and Pfender [6] showed that every graph without isolated edges has a proper 5-weighting. Przybyło [9] showed that every $d$-regular graph with $d \geq 2$ admits a vertex-coloring edge 4-weighting and every $d$-regular graph with $d \geq 10^8$ admits a vertex-coloring edge 3-weighting.

In [8] the following problem related to 1-2-3 Conjecture was introduced. Let $f : E \cup V \rightarrow [k] = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ be a total k-coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$. For every vertex $v$, let

$$t(v) := f(v) + \sum_{u \in N(v)} f(uv) = f(v) + \sigma(v),$$

Thus, $t(v)$ is the sum of incident colors of $v$ and the color of $v$. We say that $f$ is a neighbor total sum distinguishing total coloring of $G$ if $t(u) \neq t(v)$ for all adjacent vertices $u, v$ in $G$. Similarly as above, the minimum value of $k$ for which there exists a total neighbor sum distinguishing coloring of a graph $G$ will be denoted by $\text{tgnd}_3(G)$.

In [8] Przybyło and Wóźniak posed the following problem, known as the 1-2 Conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.** Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $\text{tgnd}_3(G) \leq 2$.

Up to now, it is known that for every graph $G$, $\text{tgnd}_3(G) \leq 3$ (see [5]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we offer an important structural lemma that every connected graph $G$ contains a $m$-partite spanning subgraph $H$ such that $(1 - \frac{1}{m})d_G(v) \leq d_H(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$, where $m$ is a positive integer and $m \leq n$.

**Proof** Let $H$ be a maximal $m$-partite spanning subgraph of $G$ with the greatest possible number of edges. Let $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ be the $m$-partition of $V(H)$ and let $v \in V_i$, $d_{V_i}(v) = |N_{V_i}(v)|$, $N_{V_i}(v) = \{u : u \in V_i, uv \in E(G)\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then $d_{V_i}(v) \leq d_{V_i}(v)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Otherwise, it exists an $i_0$ such that $d_{V_i}(v) > d_{V_{i_0}}(v)$, and we use $V_1 \setminus \{v\}$, $V_{i_0} \cup \{v\}$ instead of $V_1$, $V_{i_0}$, respectively, and then it generates a new maximal $m$-partite spanning subgraph $H'$ of $G$. Obviously, $\varepsilon(H') > \varepsilon(H)$, a contradiction. Therefore,

$$(m - 1)d_{V_i}(v) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{m} d_{V_i}(v) = d_H(v),$$

where $d_H(v) = |N_H(v)|$.

Let $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. Then

2 Structural Lemma

**Lemma 3.** Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices. Then it exists a $m$-partite spanning subgraph $H$ such that $(1 - \frac{1}{m})d_G(v) \leq d_H(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$, where $m$ is a positive integer and $m \leq n$.

**Proof** Let $H$ be a maximal $m$-partite spanning subgraph of $G$ with the greatest possible number of edges. Let $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ be the $m$-partition of $V(H)$ and let $v \in V_i$, $d_{V_i}(v) = |N_{V_i}(v)|$, $N_{V_i}(v) = \{u : u \in V_i, uv \in E(G)\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then $d_{V_i}(v) \leq d_{V_i}(v)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Otherwise, it exists an $i_0$ such that $d_{V_i}(v) > d_{V_{i_0}}(v)$, and we use $V_1 \setminus \{v\}$, $V_{i_0} \cup \{v\}$ instead of $V_1$, $V_{i_0}$, respectively, and then it generates a new maximal $m$-partite spanning subgraph $H'$ of $G$. Obviously, $\varepsilon(H') > \varepsilon(H)$, a contradiction. Therefore,

$$(m - 1)d_{V_i}(v) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{m} d_{V_i}(v) = d_H(v),$$

where $d_H(v) = |N_H(v)|$.

Let $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. Then
further study

By Lemma 3, every 3-regular graph $G$ contains a maximal bipartite spanning subgraph $H$ such that $G - E(H)$ is either isolated vertices or isolated edges. Via the structural between $H$ and $G - E(H)$, we further study $\text{gndi}_G(G)$ and $\text{tgndi}_G(G)$ of 3-regular graphs $G$.

For the sake of narrative, we fix some notation. Let $G = (V_X, V_Y, E_X, E_Y, E_H)$ be a 3-regular graph with vertex partition $(V_X, V_Y)$ and edge partition $(E_X, E_Y, E_H)$, where $E_X, E_Y$ and $E_H$ represent the edge sets in $V_X, V_Y$ and $H$, respectively. The maximal spanning bipartite graph $H$ is the graph with vertex set $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and edge set $\{x_iy_i : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. We use $a_1$ and $b_1$ to denote the number of vertices with degree 2 and 3 in $X$, respectively. Let $a_2$ and $b_2$ denote the number of vertices with degree 2 and 3 in $Y$, respectively. We use $e_x$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{a_1}{2}$ to represent the edge with two endpoints $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}$ in $X$. Let $e_{y_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{a_2}{2}$ to represent the edge with two endpoints $v_{y_j}, v'_{y_j}$ in $Y$. In the bipartite graph $H$, let $v_2(x)$ and $v_3(x)$ be the vertex with degree 2 and 3 in $X$, respectively. Similarly, $v_2(y)$ and $v_3(y)$ denote the vertex with degree 2 and 3 in $Y$, respectively. The edge between $v_2(x)$ and $v_2(y)$ is denoted by $\tilde{e}_2$, and the edge connects $v_2(x)$ (or $v_3(x)$) and $v_3(y)$ (or $v_2(y)$) is denoted by $e_2-3$.

3. $\text{gndi}_G(G)$ for 3-regular graphs

Theorem 4. For any 3-regular graph $G$, $\text{gndi}_G(G) \leq 4$.

Proof Case 1 $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.

This case implies that $G$ is a 3-regular complete bipartite graph. This result has been proved by Chang et al. [4].

Case 2 $a_1 = b_2 = 0$ or $b_1 = a_2 = 0$.

Suppose that $a_1 = b_2 = 0$. We color all edges in $E_H$ and $E_Y$ with 1 and 2, respectively. Then $\sigma(v_{x_i}) = 3, \sigma(v_{y_j}) = 4$. To assure that $\sigma(v_{x_i}) \neq \sigma(v_{y_j})$, recolor an incident edge of $v_{y_j}$ (or $v'_{y_j}$) with 3. Then $\sigma(v_{x_i})$ belongs to $\{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and $\sigma(v_{y_j})$ belongs to $\{4, 6\}$.

Case 3 $b_1 = b_2 = 0$.

Form Lemma 3, $G$ contains a maximal bipartite spanning subgraph $H$ such that $G - E(H)$ is either isolated vertices or isolated edges. We color all edges in $E_H$ and $E_X$ with 1, and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. For any edge $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}$ in $X$, select one edge $e_z$ from $E_H$ such that $v_{x_i}$ (or $v'_{x_i}$) is an endpoints of $e_z$ and recolor edge $e_z$ with 3. Assume that $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_j}$ are connected by $e_z$ and all incident edges (except for $e_z, v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}, v_{y_j}, v'_{y_j}$) of $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}, v_{y_j}, v'_{y_j}$ keep the color 1 as before, and we call those edges being dominated, see Fig.1. Suppose that $v'_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_j}$ are connected by $e_z$. Then $\sigma(v_{x_i}) = 5, \sigma(v'_{x_i}) = 3, \sigma(v_{y_j}) = 6, \sigma(v'_{y_j}) = 4$. Continue this procedure $\frac{a_1}{2}$ times until the weights of all adjacent vertices in $G$ are distinct. Now we prove it feasibility, namely, it verifies that there exists at least one edge in $E_H$ which can not be dominated after $\frac{a_1}{2} - 1$ operations. Suppose that all edges in $H$ are dominated after $\frac{a_1}{2} - 1$ operations, and if there still exists a pair of adjacent vertices $v_{x_k}$ and $v'_{x_k}$ (or $v_{y_k}$ and $v'_{y_k}$) having the same weights, then the four incident edges of $v_{x_k}$ and $v'_{x_k}$ in $H$ must receive the same color 1. By our coloring rule, it is impossible.

Case 4 $a_1 \neq a_2, b_1 \neq b_2$ and they are positive integers.
We color all edges in $E_H$ with 1, color all edges in $E_X$ with 2 and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 3. By the technique in Case 3, we can distinguish all adjacent vertices whose joined by $e_2$. But we still need to distinguish adjacent vertices whose joined by $e_2^{-3}$. Select an incident edge from $e_2^{-3}$ and color it with 3, it deduces that $\sigma(v_{x_i}) \neq \sigma(v'_{x_i})$ and $\sigma(v_{y_j}) \neq \sigma(v'_{y_j})$. Moreover, $\sigma(v_2(x)) = 4$ or 6, $\sigma(v_2(y)) = 5$ or 7, $\sigma(v_3(x))$ belongs to set $\{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and $\sigma(v_3(y))$ belongs to set $\{3, 5, 7, 9\}$. Possibly, there may exist some cases that the weight of adjacent vertices can not distinguish. We deal with it as follows.

Case 4.1 $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 3$.

Case 4.1.1 Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects two vertices of degree 2 and one vertex of degree 3 in $Y$. Let $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_2(y_1), v_2(y_2), v_3(y_3)\}$. Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = \sigma(v_3(y_3)) = 3$.

1. Vertex $v_2(y_1)$ or $v_2(y_2)$ has weight 7. Assume that $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = 7$. Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ with 2, then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 4$, $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = 8$.

2. $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = \sigma(v_2(y_2)) = 5$. We may recolor any incident edge of $v_2(y_1)$ or $v_2(y_2)$. Assume that recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ with 4, then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 4$ and $\sigma(v_2(x)) = 8$.

Case 4.1.2 Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects two vertices of degree 3 and a vertex of degree 2 in $Y$. Let $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_3(y_1), v_3(y_2), v_2(y_3)\}$. Then $\sigma(v_3(x))$ and its two neighbors all have weight 3 and $\sigma(v_2(y_3)) = 5$ (or 7). Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ with 2 (or 4). Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 4$ or 6, $\sigma(v_2(y_3)) = 8$.

Case 4.1.3 Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects three vertices of degree 3 in $Y$. Denoted by $v_3(y_1), v_3(y_2)$ and $v_3(y_3)$, respectively. If three neighbors of $v_3(x)$ have the same weight 3, then recolor all incident edges of $v_3(x)$ with 3, it follows that $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 9$ and $\sigma(v_3(y_1)) = \sigma(v_3(y_2)) = \sigma(v_3(y_3)) = 5$.

Case 4.2 $\sigma(v_3(y)) = 5$.

Vertex $v_3(x)$ connects a vertex of degree 2 with weight 7 in $Y$. Denote the vertex of degree 2 by $v_2(y_0)$. Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_0)$ with 4. Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 6$ and $\sigma(v_2(y_0)) = 8$.

Case 4.3 $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 7$.

Vertex $v_3(x)$ must connect two vertices of degree 2 in $Y$. Let $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_2(y_1), v_2(y_2), v_k\}$. Here we need not consider the weight of $v_k$. Recolor $v_3(x)v_2(y_1)$ and $v_3(x)v_2(y_2)$ with 4. Then $\sigma(v_3(x)) = 9$ and $\sigma(v_2(y_1)) = \sigma(v_2(y_2)) = 8$. ■

Przybyło [9] showed that every $d$-regular graph with $d \geq 2$ admits a vertex-coloring edge 4-weighting and every $d$-regular graph with $d \geq 10^6$ admits a vertex-coloring edge 3-weighting. From the proof of Theorem 4, we characterize the structural that some edges of 3-regular graphs receive color 4 when $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $b_1 \neq b_2$. In other words, if Conjecture 1 is true for every 3-regular graph, then it requires a method to deal with these edges which are colored by 4.

4 tgdid$_{(\Sigma)}(G)$ for 3-regular graphs

Theorem 5. For any 3-regular graph $G$, tgdid$_{(\Sigma)}(G) \leq 2$.

Proof Case 1 $a_1 = a_2 = 0$.}
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This case implies that $G$ is a 3-regular complete bipartite graph. We color all vertices in $X$ with 1, color all vertices in $Y$ with 2 and color all edges in $E_H$ with 1. Then $t(v_x) = 4$ and $t(v_y) = 5$.

**Case 2** $a_1 = b_2 = 0$ or $b_1 = a_2 = 0$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $a_1 = b_2 = 0$. We color all vertices in $H$ with 1, color all edges in $E(H)$ with 1, and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. To assure that $t(v_y) \neq t(v'_y)$, recolor $v_{y_i}$ (or $v'_{y_i}$) with 2. Then $t(v_x) = 4$ and $t(v_y) = 5$ or 6.

**Case 3** $b_1 = b_2 = 0$.

We color all vertices in $X$ with 1, color all vertices in $Y$ with 2, color all edges in $E_H$ and $E_X$ with 1, and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. For any edge $v_x, v'_x$ in $G$, select one edge $e$ from $E_H$ such that $v_{x_i}$ (or $v'_{x_i}$) is an endpoint of $e$ and recolor edge $e$ with 2, meanwhile, all incident edges (except for $e_{x_i}, v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}, v_{y_i}, v'_{y_i}$) of $v_{x_i}, v'_{x_i}, v_{y_i}, v'_{y_i}$ keep the color 1 as before, namely they are dominated, see Fig.1. Without loss of generality, assume that $v_{x_i}$ and $v_{y_i}$ are connected by $e$. Then $t(v_{x_i}) = 5$, $t(v'_{x_i}) = 4$, $t(v_{y_i}) = 6$, $t(v'_{y_i}) = 7$. Continue this procedure $\frac{\Delta}{\Delta}$ times until the weights of all adjacent vertices in $G$ are distinct. The feasibility of this method is similar to Case 3 in Theorem 4.

**Case 4** $a_1 \neq a_2, b_1 \neq b_2$ and they are positive integers.

We color all vertices in $X$ with 1, color all vertices in $Y$ with 2, color all edges in $E_H$ with 2, color all edges in $E_X$ with 1 and color all edges in $E_Y$ with 2. Using the technique in Case 3 again, we change the color of $e_2$ from 2 to 1, and change the weight of vertices which are connected only by $e_2$. By this way, we can distinguish all adjacent vertices whose are connected by $e_2$. But we still need to distinguish adjacent vertices whose joined by $e_2$. Select an incident edge from $e_2$ and color it with 1, it deduces that $t(v_{x_i}) \neq t(v'_{x_i})$ and $t(v_{y_i}) \neq t(v'_{y_i})$. Meanwhile, $t(v_2(x)) = 5$ or 6, $t(v_2(y)) = 7$ or 8, $t(v_3(x))$ belongs to $\{7, 6, 5, 4\}$ and $t(v_3(y))$ belongs to $\{8, 7, 6, 5\}$. Possibly, it still exist some cases that vertex cannot distinguish. We deal it as follows.

**Case 4.1** $t(v_3(y)) = 5$. Vertex $v_3(y)$ must join three vertices of degree 2 in $X$. Recolor $v_3(y)$ with 1, then $t(v_3(y)) = 4$ and $t(v_2(x)) = 5$.

**Case 4.2** $t(v_3(y)) = 6$. Vertex $v_3(y)$ must connect two vertices of degree 2 in $X$, denoted by $v_2(x_1)$ and $v_2(x_2)$, respectively. Let $v_0 \in N(v_2(x)) - \{v_2(x_1), v_2(x_2)\}$. Let $v'_0$ be the neighbor of $v_0$.

**Case 4.2.1** $t(v_0) = t(v_3(y)) = 6$ and $t(v'_0) \neq 6$. Recolor $v_3(y), v_0v_3(y)$ with 1 and $v_0$ with 2, then we have $t(v_3(y)) = 4$ and $t(v_2(x)) = 6$.

**Case 4.2.2** $t(v_0) = t(v_3(y)) = t(v'_0) = 6$. If $d_H(v_0) = 2$, then $v_0$ connects two vertices with degree 3 in $Y$ and denoted by $v_3(y_1)$ and $v_3(y_2)$, respectively. Recolor $v_0$ with 2, it gets that $t(v_0) = 7$. If $d_H(v_0) = 3$, then $v_0$ connects two vertices of degree 3 in $Y$ and denoted by $v_3(y_1)$ and $v_3(y_2)$, respectively. Denote $v_1 \in N(v_0) - \{v_3(y_1), v_3(y_2)\}$. Recolor $v_0$ and $v_0v_1$ with 2, and recolor $v_1$ with 1. Then $t(v_0) = 8$ and $t(v_1) = 7$.

**Case 4.3** $t(v_3(x)) = 7$. Suppose that $N(v_3(x)) = \{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\}$. Let $v_{x_1}, v'_{x_1}$ be two neighbors of $v_{x_2}$ and $v_{x_2}, v'_{x_2}$ be two neighbors of $v_{y_2}$. Then the following cases may appear adjacent vertices having the same weight.

**Case 4.3.1** $t(v_{y_1}) = t(v_{y_2})$ and $t(v_{y_3})$ are not equal to $8$. Then it exists a vertex of $N(v_3(x))$ having weight 7 (otherwise, $v_3(x)$ and its neighbors can be distinguished immediately). Recolor $v_3(x)$ with 2, it follows that $t(v_3(x)) = 8$.

**Case 4.3.2**. One of $\{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\}$ has weight 8 and another two vertices have weight 7. Without loss of generality, suppose that $t(v_{y_3}) = 8$ and $t(v_{y_1}) = t(v_{y_2}) = 7$.

**Case 4.3.2.1** $d_H(v_{y_1}) = d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2$.

1. If $t(v_{x_1}) = t(v_{x_2}) = 5$, recolor $v_{y_1}v_3(x)$ and $v_{y_2}v_3(x)$ with 1, then $t(v_{y_1}) = t(v_{y_2}) = 6, t(v_{y_3}) = 8$ and $t(v_{x_1}) = t(v_{x_2}) = t(v_3(x)) = 5$. 
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2. Without loss of generality, assume that \( t(v_{x_1}) = 6 \). Recolor \( v_{y_1} \) and \( v_{y_1}v_3(x) \) with 1. Then \( t(v_{y_1}) = 5 \) and \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \).

**Case 4.3.2.2** \( d_H(v_{y_1}) = 2 \) and \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2 \) hold not at the same time. Assume that \( d_H(v_{y_1}) = 3 \) and \( t(v_{x_1}) = 6 \). Recolor \( v_{y_1}, v_{x_1}, v_{y_1}v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_1} \), with 1, and recolor \( v_{x_1} \), with 2, then \( t(v_{y_1}) = 4 \), \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \) and \( t(v_{x_1}) \) keep the same as before.

**Case 4.3.3** \( \{t(v_{y_1}), t(v_{y_2}), t(v_{y_3})\} = \{6, 7, 8\} \). Assume that \( t(v_{y_1}) = 8 \), \( t(v_{y_2}) = 6 \) and \( t(v_{y_3}) = 7 \). Recolor \( v_{y_2}v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_2} \) with 1. Then \( t(v_{y_2}) = 4 \) and \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \).

**Case 4.3.4** Two of \( \{v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, v_{y_3}\} \) have weights 8 and the remaining one has weight 7. Assume that \( t(v_{y_1}) = 8 \), \( t(v_{y_2}) = 7 \) and \( t(v_{y_3}) = 8 \).

1. If \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2 \) and \( t(v_{x_2}) = 6 \), recolor \( v_{y_2}v_3(x) \) with 1 and recolor \( v_3(x) \) with 2, then \( t(v_3(x)) = 7 \) and \( t(v_{y_2}) = 6 \).
2. If \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 2 \) and \( t(v_{x_2}) = 6 \), recolor \( v_{y_2}v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_2} \) with 1, then \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \) and \( t(v_{y_2}) = 5 \).
3. If \( d_H(v_{y_2}) = 3 \), recolor \( v_{y_2}v_{x_2} \), \( v_{y_2}v_3(x) \) and \( v_{y_2} \) with 1 and recolor \( v_{x_2} \) with 2, then \( t(v_{y_2}) = 4 \), \( t(v_3(x)) = 6 \) and the weight of \( v_{x_2} \) does not change.

Note that Theorem 5 implies that 1-2 Conjecture is valid for 3-regular graphs. By Lemma 3, if \( G \) is 4-regular, then there exists a bipartite graph \( H \) such that \( 2 \leq d_H(u) \leq 4 \) for all \( u \in V(G) \). Let \( v \in V(G - E(H)) \). Then \( 0 \leq d_{G-E(H)}(v) \leq 2 \). Suppose that \( X \) and \( Y \) are the two partitions of \( V(H) \), then the vertex-induced subgraph of \( X \) (or \( Y \)) consists of cycles or isolated vertices or isolated edges or paths. Therefore, it may offer an idea to solve 1-2-3 Conjecture and 1-2 Conjecture for 4-regular graphs.
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