

SUMS OF TRIANGULAR NUMBERS AND SUMS OF SQUARES

AMIR AKBARY AND ZAFER SELCUK AYGIN

ABSTRACT. For non-negative integers a, b , and n , let $N(a, b; n)$ be the number of representations of n as a sum of squares with coefficients 1 or 3 (a of ones and b of threes). Let $N^*(a, b; n)$ be the number of representations of n as a sum of odd squares with coefficients 1 or 3 (a of ones and b of threes). We have that $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ is the number of representations of n as a sum of triangular numbers with coefficients 1 or 3 (a of ones and b of threes). It is known that for a and b satisfying $1 \leq a + 3b \leq 7$, we have

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{a}{4} + ab} N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$$

and for a and b satisfying $a + 3b = 8$, we have

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{a}{4} + ab} (N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) - N(a, b; (8n + a + 3b)/4)).$$

Such identities are not known for $a + 3b > 8$. In this paper, for general a and b with $a + b$ even, we prove asymptotic equivalence of formulas similar to the above, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. One of our main results extends a theorem of Bateman, Datskovsky, and Knopp where the case $b = 0$ and general a was considered. Our approach is different from Bateman-Datskovsky-Knopp's proof where the circle method and singular series were used. We achieve our results by explicitly computing the Eisenstein components of the generating functions of $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ and $N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$. The method we use is robust and can be adapted in studying the asymptotics of other representation numbers with general coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

For $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, let

$$N(a, b; n) := \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_a, y_1, \dots, y_b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{a+b}; n = x_1^2 + \dots + x_a^2 + 3y_1^2 + \dots + 3y_b^2\}$$

and let $N^*(a, b; n)$ be the numbers of representations of n by the quadratic form

$$x_1^2 + \dots + x_a^2 + 3y_1^2 + \dots + 3y_b^2$$

under the extra condition that x_i 's and y_j 's are odd integers. We let $N(a, b; n)$ and $N^*(a, b; n) = 0$ if $n \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Observe that

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) := \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_a, y_1, \dots, y_b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{a+b}; \\ n = \frac{x_1(x_1 - 1)}{2} + \dots + \frac{x_a(x_a - 1)}{2} + 3\frac{y_1(y_1 - 1)}{2} + \dots + 3\frac{y_b(y_b - 1)}{2}\}.$$

Thus, $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ is the number of representations of n as a sum of triangular numbers with coefficients 1 or 3 (a of ones and b of threes). In this paper we are inspired by the existing results, in [1], [6], [7], [8], [9], and [18], to examine the ratio of $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ to $N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F11, 11E25, 11F27.

Research of the first author is partially supported by NSERC. Research of Z.S. Aygin is supported by a Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences postdoctoral fellowship.

In [9], Bateman and Knopp, using elementary observations and Jacobi's four square theorem, showed that for $1 \leq a \leq 7$ we have

$$N^*(a, 0; 8n + a) = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{a}{4}} N(a, 0; 8n + a). \quad (1.1)$$

This result was rediscovered independently in 2002 by Barrucand, Cooper and Hirschhorn [5] and proved by employing generating functions. A combinatorial proof is given in 2004 by Cooper and Hirschhorn in [11].

In [8], Bateman, Datskovsky and Knopp revisited the identity (1.1) from a different point of view. From the Hardy-Littlewood circle method it is known, for $3 \leq a \leq 8$, that

$$N(a, 0; n) = \frac{\pi^{a/2}}{\Gamma(a/2)} n^{a/2-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^{(a)}(n), \quad (1.2)$$

where the series in the above identity is the so called *singular series* (see [8, page 69]) for the definition of $A_k^{(a)}(n)$). A similar formula also holds for $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a + 3b)$. By employing the multiplicativity of the singular series and computing the local component at 2, in [8] it is shown that

$$\frac{N^*(a, 0; 8n + a)}{N(a, 0; 8n + a)} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{2^{a-2} + 2^{(a-2)/2} \cos(\pi a/4) + 1} & \text{if } a = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \\ \frac{7 \cdot 2^{3\nu}}{256 \cdot 2^{3\nu} - 480} & \text{if } a = 8, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where 2^ν is the largest power of 2 dividing $8n + 8$ (see [8, Formulas (6.5) and (6.7)]). Observe that the formula in (1.3) for $3 \leq a \leq 7$ coincides with (1.1). In fact,

$$\frac{2}{2^{a-2} + 2^{(a-2)/2} \cos(\pi a/4) + 1} = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{a}{4}},$$

for $1 \leq a \leq 7$, however the left-hand side is strictly smaller than the right-hand side for $a \geq 8$. On the other hand the appearance of ν in (1.3), for the case $a = 8$, shows that the ratio $N^*(8, 0; 8n + 8)/N(8, 0; 8n + 8)$ is not a constant for all positive integer n . More generally, in [8] by employing the theory of modular forms of weight $a/2$ and appropriate multiplier system on the group $\Gamma_0(64)$, it is shown that the ratio $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a)/N(a, 0; 8n + a)$ is never constant for $a > 7$, neither there exists a non-negative integer M such that $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a)/N(a, 0; 8n + a)$ stays constant for all $n \geq M$. Interestingly though, for $a > 8$, the asymptotic identity

$$N(a, 0; n) = \frac{\pi^{a/2}}{\Gamma(a/2)} n^{a/2-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^{(a)}(n) + O(n^{a/4})$$

holds, where the main term is the same function as the right-hand side of (1.2) (see [8, formula (6.1)]). Now the computation of the local component of the singular series at prime 2 reveals that for $a > 8$ where $8 \nmid a$, relations similar to (1.3) hold at the limit. More precisely, Theorem 3 in [8] states that, for each $a > 8$ where $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^*(a, 0; 8n + a)}{N(a, 0; 8n + a)} = \frac{2}{2^{a-2} + 2^{(a-2)/2} \cos(\pi a/4) + 1} \quad (1.4)$$

and notes that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^*(a, 0; 8n + a)}{N(a, 0; 8n + a)} \quad (1.5)$$

does not exist when $a \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

In [1], Adiga, Cooper and Han considered the more general problem of the relation between representation numbers $N(\lambda; 8n + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m)$ and $N^*(\lambda; 8n + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m)$ in which $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ corresponds to a partition of a positive integer not exceeding 7, $N(\lambda; n)$ denotes the number of representations of n by the quadratic form $\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m x_m^2$, and $N^*(\lambda; n)$ is

defined similarly with extra condition that x_i 's are odd. Their result in our special case $\lambda_i \in \{1, 3\}$ states that for non-negative integers a and b satisfying $1 \leq a + 3b \leq 7$, we have

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{a}{4} + ab} N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b). \quad (1.6)$$

The cases corresponding to partitions of 8 for N^* and for a related function to N (denoted by $\tilde{N}$) were considered by Baruah, Cooper and Hirschhorn in [6]. Let $\tilde{N}(a, b; n)$ be the number of representations of the integer n as a sum of squares with coefficients 1 or 3 (a of ones and b of threes) and at least one odd component. We see that

$$\tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) - N(a, b; (8n + a + 3b)/4). \quad (1.7)$$

Since $\tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ if $a + 3b$ is not a multiple of 4, we use the notation $\tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ only if $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In the special case $\lambda_i \in \{1, 3\}$, Theorem 1.4 of [6] implies that for non-negative integers a and b satisfying $a + 3b = 8$, we have

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{a}{4} + ab} \tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b). \quad (1.8)$$

The above kind of exact identities between N^* and N or between N^* and $\tilde{N}$ are very rare. In fact, our first result in this paper proves that the above identities together with the ones listed in Lemma 3.1 are the only instances of such relations.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. The following statements hold.*

(i) *We have*

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = C_{a,b} N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) \quad (1.9)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and for a rational constant $C_{a,b}$, depending on a and b , if and only if

$$(a, b) \in S = \{(0, 2), (0, 4), (0, 6), (1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 0), (3, 1), (4, 0), (6, 0)\}.$$

(ii) *If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \tilde{C}_{a,b} \tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and for a rational $\tilde{C}_{a,b}$, depending on a and b , if and only if

$$(a, b) \in \tilde{S} = \{(0, 4), (0, 8), (1, 1), (1, 5), (2, 2), (4, 0), (5, 1), (8, 0)\}.$$

In view of Theorem 1.1, it is natural to study these representation functions asymptotically, for fixed integer parameters a and b , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and assume $a + b \geq 4$. The following assertions hold:*

(i) *If $a + 3b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)}{N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)} = \frac{2}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4) + 1}. \quad (1.10)$$

(ii) *Let $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and let $\nu \geq 3$ be the largest power of 2 in the prime decomposition of $8n + a + 3b$. Then, for fixed ν ,*

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ 2^\nu \parallel 8n + a + 3b}} \frac{N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)}{N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)} = \frac{2\delta(a, b, \nu)}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2}},$$

where

$$\delta(a, b, \nu) := \frac{\sum_{j=\nu-1}^{\nu} (-1)^{bj} 2^{j(a+b-2)/2}}{-2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{bj} 2^{j(a+b-2)/2}}. \quad (1.11)$$

(iii) If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)}{\tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)} = \frac{2}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4)}. \quad (1.12)$$

Remarks 1.3. We observe that for non-negative integers a and b with $1 \leq a+3b \leq 7$, the right-hand side of (1.10) is equal to $2/(2 + \binom{a}{4} + ab)$. Thus, part (i) of Theorem 1.2 provides an asymptotic extension of (1.6) for $a+b$ even and $a+3b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. In addition, it generalizes (1.4) for even a and $b=0$ to general a and b with $a+b$ even. Also, for non-negative integers a and b with $a+3b=8$, the right-hand side of (1.12) is equal to $2/(2 + \binom{a}{4} + ab)$. Thus, part (iii) of Theorem 1.2 provides an asymptotic extension of (1.8) for $a+b$ even and $a+3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Let a and b be non-negative integers such that $a+b \geq 4$ is even. In this paper we also prove that the results described above for $4 \leq a+3b \leq 8$ and the asymptotic results of Theorem 1.2 are all consequence of a more general theorem (Theorem 1.5) that can be described by modular interpretations of the generating functions of N , N^* , and $\tilde{N}$. In order to describe this general result we need some concepts and notations from the theory of modular forms (see Section 2 for references and information on modular forms). Let $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ be the space of modular forms of weight k , level N , and character χ . The following proposition demonstrates that the generating functions of $N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$, $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$, and $\tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ are intimately related to functions in $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$.

Proposition 1.4. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Let $q = e^{2\pi iz}$, where z is in the upper half-plane. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) There are positive integers k , N , and a character χ , all depending only on a and b , such that

$$f_{N^*}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) q^{8n+a+3b} \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi). \quad (1.13)$$

(ii) Let

$$f_N(z) := \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \equiv a+3b \pmod{8}}}^{\infty} N(a, b; m) q^m.$$

Then $f_N(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ for certain positive integers k , N , and a character χ , all depending only on a and b . Moreover,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) q^{8n+a+3b} = f_N(z) - \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \leq 8\ell + a + 3b < a + 3b}} N(a, b; 8\ell + a + 3b) q^{8\ell + a + 3b}. \quad (1.14)$$

(iii) An analogous statement to (ii) holds for $\tilde{N}$ by replacing N with $\tilde{N}$ in (ii).

For $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, let f^E be the Eisenstein component of f (see Section 2 for the definition) and let $[n]f$ denote the n -th Fourier coefficient of the Fourier expansion of f at $i\infty$. The following result unifies and generalizes the above known identities on N , N^* , and $\tilde{N}$.

Theorem 1.5. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a+b \geq 4$ and $a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. The following statements hold.

(i) If $a + 3b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then, for all integers $n \geq 0$,

$$[8n + a + 3b]f_{N^*}^E = \frac{2}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4) + 1} [8n + a + 3b]f_N^E.$$

(ii) If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then, for all integers $n \geq 0$ for which $2^\nu \parallel 8n + a + 3b$,

$$[8n + a + 3b]f_{N^*}^E = \frac{2\delta(a, b, \nu)}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2}} [8n + a + 3b]f_N^E,$$

where $\delta(a, b, \nu)$ is given in (1.11).

(iii) If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then, for all integers $n \geq 0$,

$$[8n + a + 3b]f_{N^*}^E = \frac{2}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4)} [8n + a + 3b]f_N^E.$$

Remark 1.6. Statements similar to Theorems 1.2 and 1.5 should hold with 3 replaced by any other prime p . In fact, it appears that for an odd prime p assertions resulting in replacing $3b$ with pb throughout Theorems 1.2 and 1.5 might hold. The tools for such a treatment are available at [2] and [4]. In this paper, we chose $p = 3$ to demonstrate the techniques in a clear manner. On the other hand our methods will fail if $a + b$ is not even, because these cases concern half integer weight modular forms and in this realm finding analogues of Propositions 4.1–4.4 as explicit as we do in this work seems to be difficult.

We next describe the method we use in proving Theorems 1.5 and 1.2. An important ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.5 is an explicit construction of the Eisenstein parts of the generating functions of $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ and $N(a, b; n)$, based on a method devised and employed in [2], [3], and [4]. In being able to compute the Eisenstein components explicitly, we can explain here the underlying phenomenon using as little as possible technical details, see Section 2 for details on Eisenstein series.

Let us define

$$\varphi(z) := \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2}, \quad \Psi(z) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{m(m-1)/2+1/8}, \quad \text{and } \Psi_8(z) := \Psi(8z). \quad (1.15)$$

Then the generating functions for $N(a, b; n)$ and $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$ can be given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(a, b; n)q^n = \varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z) \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)q^{8n+a+3b} = 2^{a+b}\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z), \quad (1.17)$$

respectively. In Section 4 we use the theory of modular forms to write

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n q^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n q^n, \\ \varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n q^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma'_n q^n, \end{aligned}$$

where α_n and β_n will be given explicitly in terms of the generalized divisor function $\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; n)$, associated to certain integer k and certain Dirichlet characters ϵ and ψ , and

$$\gamma_n = O_\epsilon(n^{(a+b-2)/4+\epsilon}) \text{ and } \gamma'_n = O_\epsilon(n^{(a+b-2)/4+\epsilon}) \quad (1.18)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ (See Section 4 for the exact definitions of α_n , β_n , γ_n , and γ'_n . Note that $\alpha_n = \gamma_n = 0$ if $n \not\equiv a + 3b \pmod{8}$). In addition, we have

$$[8n + a + 3b]f_{N^*}^E = \alpha_{8n+a+3b}, \quad [8n + a + 3b]f_N^E = \beta_{8n+a+3b}/2^{a+b},$$

$$\text{and } [8n + a + 3b]f_{\tilde{N}}^E = (\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4})/2^{a+b}. \quad (1.19)$$

Thus, considering these relations, we arrive in the following equivalent version of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.5 (Second Version). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and let $a + b \geq 4$. For all non-negative integers n , the following assertions hold:*

(i) *If $a + 3b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then we have*

$$\alpha_{8n+a+3b} = \frac{2\beta_{8n+a+3b}}{2^{a+b}(2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4) + 1)}. \quad (1.20)$$

(ii) *If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then, for all integers $n \geq 0$ for which $2^\nu \parallel 8n + a + 3b$,*

$$\alpha_{8n+a+3b} = \frac{2\delta(a, b, \nu)\beta_{8n+a+3b}}{2^{a+b}(2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2})}, \quad (1.21)$$

where $\delta(a, b, \nu)$ is given in (1.11).

(iii) *If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then we have*

$$\alpha_{8n+a+3b} = \frac{2(\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4})}{2^{a+b}(2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4))}. \quad (1.22)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 exploits the multiplicativity of the generalized divisor functions in establishing (1.20) and (1.22). We then show that if $a > 1$, we have

$$n^{(a+b-1)/4} = o(\alpha_{8n+a+3b}), \quad (1.23)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 follows as a direct corollary of the relations

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = 2^{a+b}(\alpha_{8n+a+3b} + \gamma_{8n+a+3b}), \quad N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \beta_{8n+a+3b} + \gamma'_{8n+a+3b},$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = (\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4}) + (\gamma'_{8n+a+3b} - \gamma_{2n+(a+3b)/4}),$$

together with (1.18), (1.20), (1.22), and (1.23).

Remarks 1.7. (i) We exclude the cases $a = 0$ or $a = 1$ in Theorem 1.2, because in these cases $\alpha_{8n+a+3b} = 0$ infinitely many times. However, one can deduce from (6.1)–(6.6) that, in these cases, $\alpha_{8n+a+3b}$ are always nonzero for certain subsequences of $(8n + a + 3b)_{n \geq 0}$. Thus one can write an equivalent of Theorem 1.2 in these cases for relevant subsequences of $(8n + a + 3b)_{n \geq 0}$.

(ii) The proof of Theorem 1.5 uses modular identities of Propositions 4.1–4.4. We can use the identities for $\psi_8^a(z)\psi_8^b(3z)$ to establish numerous formulas for representation numbers $N^*(a, b; n + a + 3b)$. For example formulas corresponding to $(a, b) = (4, 0), (8, 0)$ in [15] and $(2, 2)$ in [13] can be derived from Proposition 4.1. The same is true for the main terms of the cases $(12, 0), (24, 0)$ in [15] and the main term of $(2k, 2k)$ of [13]. The main term of the case $(2k + 1, 2k + 1)$ in [13] is a consequence of Proposition 4.2. Also the case $(6, 0)$ in [15] can be derived from Proposition 4.3 and the same for the main term of $(10, 0)$ in [15].

(iii) The method exploited in this paper for the proof of Propositions 4.1–4.4 is described in a general setting in [4] and can be applied to a wide variety of representation problems.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review some basic facts from the theory of modular forms and give a proof of Proposition 1.4. A proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. After establishing the explicit modular identities in Section 4 and the proof of Theorem 1.5 in Section 5, the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be described in Section 6.

2. MODULAR FORMS

For positive integer N , the level N congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let χ be a Dirichlet character mod N , where $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. We denote the space of modular forms of weight k and character χ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ by $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. (For χ induced from a primitive Dirichlet character mod M , where $M \mid N$, we sometimes write $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ instead of $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$.) To fix our notation, we set $\chi_D(*) = \left(\frac{D}{*}\right)$, where D is a fundamental discriminant and $\left(\frac{D}{*}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol, thus χ_D is a primitive real Dirichlet character modulus D . Note that, χ_1 is the principal character of modulus 1.

A well-studied type of modular forms are certain quotients of the Dedekind eta function $\eta(z)$, which is a holomorphic function defined on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ by the product formula

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi inz}) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n).$$

The quotients of products of $\eta(dz)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ are called eta quotients. The significance of eta quotients for us is that

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2} \text{ and } \Psi(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{m(m-1)/2+1/8}$$

can be written as eta quotients. More precisely, from [12, Theorem 3.5], we have

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\eta^5(2z)}{\eta^2(z)\eta^2(4z)} \text{ and } \Psi(z) = \frac{\eta^2(2z)}{\eta(z)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Set $\Psi_8(z) := \Psi(8z)$. One can show that $\varphi^4(z) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(4), \chi_1)$ and $\Psi_8^4(z) = \Psi^4(8z) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(16), \chi_1)$, see [10, Propositions 5.9.2 and 5.9.3] for details.

The Eisenstein and cusp form subspaces of $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ are denoted by $E_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ and $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, respectively. Then we have

$$M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) = E_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \oplus S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi),$$

see [17, p. 83]. Thus, for $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_f(n)q^n \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, there are unique functions $E_f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_f(n)q^n \in E_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ and $C_f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_f(n)q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, such that

$$a_f(n) = e_f(n) + c_f(n).$$

On the other hand, it is known that

$$c_f(n) = O_{\epsilon}(n^{(k-1)/2+\epsilon}), \quad (2.2)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ (see [10, p. 314]). One can use [4, Theorem 1] to write $e_{\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)}(n)$ and $e_{\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)}(n)$ explicitly in terms of the generalized divisor functions defined by

$$\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; n) := \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq d \mid n} \epsilon(n/d) \psi(d) d^k & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

for certain positive integer k and certain primitive Dirichlet characters ϵ and ψ .

The space $E_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ admits a natural basis of weight k Eisenstein series, which we describe now. Let $B_{k,\chi}$ be the Bernoulli number associated with the Dirichlet character χ of modulus N . Let ϵ and ψ be two primitive Dirichlet characters of moduli L and M , respectively, such that $\chi = \epsilon \cdot \psi$

(i.e., $\chi(n) = \epsilon(n)\psi(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(n, N) = 1$). Then the weight k Eisenstein series of level N and character χ is defined as

$$E_k(z; \epsilon, \psi) := \epsilon(0) - \frac{2k}{B_{k,\chi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(\epsilon, \psi; n) e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

It is known that when $k \geq 2$ and $(k, \chi) \neq (2, \chi_1)$ the collection

$$\mathcal{E}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) = \{E_k(dz; \epsilon, \psi); \epsilon \cdot \psi = \chi \text{ and } LMd \mid N\}$$

forms a basis for the space $E_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, and when $(k, \chi) = (2, \chi_1)$ the collection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2(\Gamma_0(N), \chi_1) = & \{E_2(dz; \epsilon, \psi); \epsilon \cdot \psi = \chi_1, (\epsilon, \psi) \neq (\chi_1, \chi_1) \text{ and } LMd \mid N\} \\ & \cup \{E_2(z; \chi_1, \chi_1) - dE_2(dz; \chi_1, \chi_1); 1 < d \mid N\} \end{aligned}$$

forms a basis for the space $E_2(\Gamma_0(N), \chi_1)$, see [17, Theorem 5.9] for details.

We next note that from (2.1) for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z) = \frac{\eta^{2a}(16z) \eta^{2b}(48z)}{\eta^a(8z) \eta^b(24z)}$$

and

$$\varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z) = \frac{\eta^{5a}(2z) \eta^{5b}(6z)}{\eta^{2a}(z) \eta^{2a}(4z) \eta^{2b}(3z) \eta^{2b}(12z)}.$$

In addition, letting $k = \lfloor (a+b)/4 \rfloor \geq 1$, by Proposition 5.9.2 and Proposition 5.9.3 of [10], we deduce that

$$\Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z) \in \begin{cases} M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_1) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ M_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_{-3}) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ M_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_{-4}) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_{12}) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z) \in \begin{cases} M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_1) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ M_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_{-3}) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ M_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_{-4}) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_{12}) & \text{if } a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } a+b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

We are now ready to prove Proposition 1.4.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Part (i) is a consequence of (2.4). The identity (1.14) in part (ii) is a direct corollary of the definition of $f_N(z)$. Now for

$$g^{a,b}(z) := \varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(a, b; n) q^n$$

and a Dirichlet character ω modulo 8, let

$$g_{\omega}^{a,b}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(a, b; n) \omega(n) q^n$$

be the twist of $g^{a,b}$ by ω . From the orthogonality of characters we have that

$$f_N(z) = \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \equiv a+3b \pmod{8}}}^{\infty} N(a, b; m) q^m = \frac{1}{\phi(8)} \sum_{\omega \pmod{8}} \bar{\omega}(a+3b) g_{\omega}^{a,b}(z),$$

where ϕ is the Euler function. Since by (2.5) each $g^{a,b}$ is a modular form of weight $\kappa \in \{2k, 2k+1\}$, level 12, and character $\chi \in \{\chi_1, \chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}, \chi_{12}\}$, then by [10, Proposition 10.3.18] each $g_\omega^{a,b}$ is a modular form of weight κ , level 12×8^2 , and character χ (Note that ω 's are real and thus ω^2 's are equal to the principal character modulo 2). From the above identity for $f_N(z)$ in terms of $g_\omega^{a,b}$'s, we conclude that $f_N(x)$ is a modular form of weight κ , level 12×8^2 , and character χ . This completes the proof of (ii). The proof of part (iii) is similar to part (ii) by observing that, by (2.5) and [10, Proposition 7.3.3 (b) and Theorem 7.3.4], $g^{a,b}(z) - g^{a,b}(4z)$ is a modular form of weight κ , level 12×4 , and character χ . □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start by proving some lemmas which will be required in our proof.

Lemma 3.1. (i) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$N^*(1, 3; 8n + 10) = \frac{2}{5}N(1, 3; 8n + 10).$$

(ii) Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + 3b = 4$, then

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b).$$

(iii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$N^*(1, 5; 8n + 16) = \frac{1}{6}\tilde{N}(1, 5; 8n + 16).$$

Proof. (i) We observe that $N(1, 3; 2) = 0$ and thus, by (1.14), the generating function of $N(1, 3; 8n + 10)$ is a modular form. More precisely, by (2.4) and the proof of part (ii) of Proposition 1.4, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^*(1, 3; 8n + 10)q^{8n+10}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(1, 3; 8n + 10)q^{8n+10}$ are both in the space $M_2(\Gamma_0(12 \times 8^2), \chi_{-3})$. Since this space is finite dimensional. The identity for all values of n will be verified by establishing it for finitely many values of n , which can be done computationally in a straightforward manner.

(ii) If $a + 3b = 4$, then $(a, b) = (0, 4), (1, 1)$, or $(4, 0)$. Since the square of any odd prime modulo 8 is 1 and square of any even prime modulo 8 is 0 or 4, then the solutions of the equations $x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 = 8n + 4$ and $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 8n + 4$ have an odd component if and only if all their components are odd. This settles the identity for $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ and $(4, 0)$. Now observe that $N^*(0, 4; 8n + 12) = \tilde{N}(0, 4; 8n + 12) = 0$ if n is not a multiple of 3. For $n = 3n_1$, we observe that $N^*(0, 4; 8n + 12) = N^*(4, 0; 8n_1 + 4)$ and $\tilde{N}(0, 4; 8n + 12) = \tilde{N}(4, 0; 8n_1 + 4)$, thus the result follows from the case $(4, 0)$ that is already proved.

(iii) It follows by an argument identical to part (i) with the starting observation that $\tilde{N}(1, 5, 0) = \tilde{N}(1, 5, 8) = 0$ and thus the generating function of $\tilde{N}(1, 5; 8n + 16)$ is a modular form. □

Remark 3.2. In [1, Section 4] it is conjectured that if $k \geq 8$, for any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ of k with $\gcd(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = 1$, the identity $N^*(\lambda; 8n + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m) = C_\lambda N(\lambda; 8n + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ does not hold. Here,

$$C_\lambda = \frac{2}{2 + \binom{i_1}{4} + \binom{i_1}{2}\binom{i_2}{1} + \binom{i_1}{1}\binom{i_3}{1}},$$

where i_j denotes the number of parts in λ which are equal to j . We note that part (i) of Lemma 3.1 provides a counterexample to this conjecture.

Lemma 3.3. The following assertions hold.

(i) If for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a) = C_{a,0}N(a, 0; 8n + a)$, for an even integer $a \geq 2$ and some rational $C_{a,0}$, then $a = 2, 4$, or 6 .

(ii) If for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a) = \tilde{C}_{a,0} \tilde{N}(a, 0; 8n + a)$, for an integer $a \geq 4$ where $a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and some rational $C_{a,0}$, then $a = 4$ or 8 .

Proof. We prove (ii), the proof of (i) is similar. Let $a > 8$ be a multiple of 4 and assume that there is a rational constant $\tilde{C}_{a,0}$ such that $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a) = \tilde{C}_{a,0} \tilde{N}(a, 0; 8n + a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since $N^*(a, 0; 8n + a) > 0$ for all n we conclude that $\tilde{C}_{a,0} \neq 0$. The identity (1.7), relating $\tilde{N}$ to N , together with (1.13) and (1.14) imply that

$$f_{N^*}(z) - \tilde{C}_{a,0} f_{\tilde{N}}(z) = \tilde{C}_{a,0} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \leq 8\ell + a < a}} \tilde{N}(a, 0; 8\ell + a) q^{8\ell + a}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now let s be the least positive residue modulo 8 of a . Since $a > 8$, then $0 < s \leq 8$, and thus the right-hand side of (3.1) is a non-trivial finite exponential sum as $\tilde{N}(a, 0; s) > 0$ and $\tilde{C}_{a,0} \neq 0$. On the other hand by Proposition 1.4, the left-hand side of (3.1) is a modular form. But this is a contradiction since a non-trivial modular form has the real axis as a natural analytic boundary (see [8, Lemma 3]) and thus cannot be a non-trivial finite exponential sum. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) Assume that (1.9) holds. Thus, there is a rational constant $C_{a,b}$ such that

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = C_{a,b} N(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Note that such $C_{a,b}$ is non-zero, since otherwise $N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = 0$, a contradiction. Then, from (3.2) together with (1.13) and (1.14), we deduce that

$$f_{N^*}(z) - C_{a,b} f_N(z) = C_{a,b} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 \leq 8\ell + a + 3b < a + 3b}} N(a, b; 8\ell + a + 3b) q^{8\ell + a + 3b}. \quad (3.3)$$

We claim that if $(a, b) \notin S$, then the left-hand side of (3.3) is a non-trivial finite exponential sum. We prove this by considering cases.

Case 1: If $a = 0$ and $(a, b) \notin S$, then (3.2) holds trivially if n is not a multiple of 3 (both sides are zero in this case). For $n = 3n_1$ we observe that

$$N^*(0, b; 24n_1 + 3b) = N^*(b, 0; 8n_1 + b) \text{ and } N(0, b; 24n_1 + 3b) = N(b, 0; 8n_1 + b).$$

But we know that if $a = 0$ and $(a, b) \notin S$, then, by Lemma 3.3 (i),

$$N^*(b, 0; 8n_1 + b) = C_{0,b} N(b, 0; 8n_1 + b)$$

for all $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ never holds.

Case 2: If $a = 1$, $b = 8k + 3$ with $k \geq 1$, and $(a, b) \notin S$, then $0 < 10 = 8(1) + 2 < 1 + 3b$ and $N(1, 3; 10) > 0$. Thus under the given conditions the right-hand side of (3.3) is non-trivial. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.4, the left-hand side of (3.3) is a modular form. This is a contradiction as described in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Case 3: In all other cases (i.e., $a = 1$, $b \neq 8k + 3$ with $k \geq 1$, and $(a, b) \notin S$, or $a > 1$ and $(a, b) \notin S$) we have $N(a, b; s) > 0$, where $0 \leq s \leq 7$ is the least non-negative residue of $a + 3b$ modulo 8. Now by an appeal to the modularity of the left-hand side of (3.3) and non-triviality of the right-hand side of (3.3), and following an argument identical to the one described in the proof of Lemma 3.3 and in Case 2, we conclude that (1.9) does not hold in these cases.

On the other hand if $(a, b) \in S$, then the existence of $C_{a,b}$ and the identity (3.2) follows from [9, Lemma 2.7], [1, Theorem 1.2], and Lemma 3.1 (i).

(ii) The proof follows the argument of part (i), by replacing N to $\tilde{N}$, S to $\tilde{S}$, $8k + 3$ to $8k + 5$, and s (the least non-negative residue of $a + 3b$) to s (the least positive residue of $a + 3b$). Observe that if $(a, b) \in \tilde{S}$, then the existence of $\tilde{C}_{a,b}$ in the identity

$$N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) = \tilde{C}_{a,b} \tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)$$

is a consequence of parts (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3.1 and [6, Theorem 1.4]. $\square$

4. MODULAR IDENTITIES

We now state and prove the modular identities used in the proof of Theorem 1. We obtain these identities by expressing $\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)$ (respectively $\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)$) as an explicit linear combination of the Eisenstein series in the set $\mathcal{E}_m(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ and a cusp form in $S_m(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, where m , N , and χ , as in (2.4) and (2.5), are depending on the parity of a and b and classes of $a + b \pmod{4}$. The identities concerning $\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)$ are new, however formulas for $\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)$ have appeared in previous works, see [2], [4] (for general a and b), and [13] (for $a = b$). For the sake of completeness we re-state the formulas for $\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)$ here.

We first describe these identities in Propositions 4.1–4.4 and then we prove them.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k \geq 4$ and both a, b are even. Then, for some cusp form $C_1(z) \in S_{2k}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z) &= a_{1,4} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(12z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + a_{1,8} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(8z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(24z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + a_{1,16} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(16z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(48z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + C_1(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,4} &= \frac{((-1)^{(a+3b)/4} - 1)}{2^{4k}(2^{2k} - 1)(3^{2k} - 1)}, \\ a_{1,8} &= \frac{(2^{2k} + 1 - (-1)^{(a+3b)/4})}{2^{4k}(2^{2k} - 1)(3^{2k} - 1)}, \\ a_{1,16} &= -\frac{2^{2k}}{2^{4k}(2^{2k} - 1)(3^{2k} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also for some cusp form $C_2(z) \in S_{2k}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z) &= b_{1,1} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(3z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + b_{1,2} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(2z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(6z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + b_{1,4} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(12z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + C_2(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{1,1} &= \frac{(-1)^{(a+3b)/4}}{(2^{2k} - 1)(3^{2k} - 1)}, \\ b_{1,2} &= -\frac{(1 + (-1)^{(a+3b)/4})}{(2^{2k} - 1)(3^{2k} - 1)}, \\ b_{1,4} &= \frac{2^{2k}}{(2^{2k} - 1)(3^{2k} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k + 2 \geq 6$ and both a, b are odd. Then, for some cusp form $C_3(z) \in S_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_{-3})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z) &= a_{2,4} \left(E_{2k+1}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_{-3}) - (-1)^{(a+3b)/4}(-3)^{(a-1)/2}E_{2k+1}(4z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &\quad + a_{2,8} \left(E_{2k+1}(8z; \chi_1, \chi_{-3}) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2}E_{2k+1}(8z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ a_{2,16} \left(E_{2k+1}(16z; \chi_1, \chi_{-3}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k+1}(16z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_1) \right) + C_3(z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2,4} &= \frac{1 - (-1)^{(a+3b)/4}}{2^{4k+2}(2^{2k+1} + 1)}, \\ a_{2,8} &= \frac{2^{2k+1} - \left(1 - (-1)^{(a+3b)/4}\right)}{2^{4k+2}(2^{2k+1} + 1)}, \\ a_{2,16} &= -\frac{1}{2^{2k+1}(2^{2k+1} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also for some cusp form $C_4(z) \in S_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_{-3})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z) &= b_{2,1} \left(E_{2k+1}(z; \chi_1, \chi_{-3}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k+1}(z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ b_{2,2} \left(E_{2k+1}(2z; \chi_1, \chi_{-3}) - (-1)^{(a+3b)/4} (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k+1}(2z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ b_{2,4} \left(E_{2k+1}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_{-3}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k+1}(4z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_1) \right) + C_4(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2,1} &= -\frac{(-1)^{(a+3b)/4}}{2^{2k+1} + 1}, \\ b_{2,2} &= \frac{1 + (-1)^{(a+3b)/4}}{2^{2k+1} + 1}, \\ b_{2,4} &= \frac{2^{2k+1}}{2^{2k+1} + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k + 2 \geq 6$ and both a, b are even. Then, for some cusp form $C_5(z) \in S_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_{-4})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z) &= a_{3,2} \left(E_{2k+1}(2z; \chi_1, \chi_{-4}) + (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} E_{2k+1}(2z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ a_{3,4} \left(E_{2k+1}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_{-4}) + (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} 2^{2k} E_{2k+1}(4z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ a_{3,6} \left(E_{2k+1}(6z; \chi_1, \chi_{-4}) - (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} E_{2k+1}(6z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ a_{3,12} \left(E_{2k+1}(12z; \chi_1, \chi_{-4}) - (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} 2^{2k} E_{2k+1}(12z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ C_5(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{3,2} &= -\frac{(-3)^{a/2} - 1}{2^{4k+2}(3^{2k+1} + 1)}, \\ a_{3,4} &= \frac{(-3)^{a/2} - 1}{2^{4k+2}(3^{2k+1} + 1)}, \\ a_{3,6} &= \frac{3^{2k+1} + (-3)^{a/2}}{2^{4k+2}(3^{2k+1} + 1)}, \\ a_{3,12} &= -\frac{3^{2k+1} + (-3)^{a/2}}{2^{4k+2}(3^{2k+1} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also for some cusp form $C_6(z) \in S_{2k+1}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_{-4})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z) &= b_{3,1} \left(E_{2k+1}(z; \chi_1, \chi_{-4}) + (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} 2^{2k} E_{2k+1}(z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_1) \right) \\ &+ b_{3,3} \left(E_{2k+1}(3z; \chi_1, \chi_{-4}) - (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} 2^{2k} E_{2k+1}(3z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_1) \right) + C_6(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{3,1} = -\frac{(-3)^{a/2} - 1}{3^{2k+1} + 1},$$

$$b_{3,3} = \frac{3^{2k+1} + (-3)^{a/2}}{3^{2k+1} + 1}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k \geq 4$ and both a, b are odd. Then, for some cusp form $C_7(z) \in M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(48), \chi_{12})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z) &= a_{4,2} (E_{2k}(2z; \chi_1, \chi_{12}) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k}(2z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4})) \\ &\quad + a_{4,4} (E_{2k}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_{12}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k}(4z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4})) \\ &\quad + a_{5,2} (E_{2k}(2z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k}(2z; \chi_{12}, \chi_1)) \\ &\quad + a_{5,4} (E_{2k}(4z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k}(4z; \chi_{12}, \chi_1)) + C_7(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{4,2} &= \frac{1}{2^{4k}}, \\ a_{4,4} &= -\frac{1}{2^{4k}}, \\ a_{5,2} &= \frac{(-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4}}{2^{4k}}, \\ a_{5,4} &= \frac{(-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4}}{2^{2k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also for some cusp form $C_8(z) \in M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(12), \chi_{12})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z) &= b_{4,1} (E_{2k}(z; \chi_1, \chi_{12}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k}(z; \chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4})) \\ &\quad + b_{5,1} (E_{2k}(z; \chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} E_{2k}(z; \chi_{12}, \chi_1)) + C_8(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{4,1} &= 1, \\ b_{5,1} &= -(-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} 2^{2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proofs of Propositions 4.1–4.4. Propositions 4.1–4.4 are direct consequences of [4, Theorem 1]. Alternatively, observe that since the constant coefficient of any cusp form vanishes at all cusps, in order to establish these modular identities, it would be enough to compute the constant coefficients of the expansions of $\Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z)$, $\varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z)$, and the Eisenstein series in $\mathcal{E}_m(N, \chi)$, for appropriate m , N , and χ , at the related cusps.

A set of inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma_0(48)$ and $\Gamma_0(12)$ are given by

$$R(48) = \left\{ \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{24}, \frac{1}{48} \right\}$$

and

$$R(12) = \left\{ \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12} \right\},$$

respectively, see [10, Proposition 6.3.22]. Letting $a + b$ to be even, the computation of constant coefficients of $\varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z)$ and $\Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z)$ are straightforward using [14, Proposition 2.1]. These

computations are carried out using the SAGE code provided at [4, Appendix A], and the simplified output is as follows, where $[f]_{a/c}$ denotes the constant term of f at the cusp a/c :

$$\begin{aligned}
[\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)]_{1/1} &= \left(\frac{-i}{2}\right)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{b/2}, \\
[\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)]_{1/2} &= 0, \\
[\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)]_{1/3} &= i^a \left(\frac{-i}{2}\right)^{(a+b)/2}, \\
[\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)]_{1/4} &= \left(\frac{1}{i\sqrt{3}}\right)^b, \\
[\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)]_{1/6} &= 0, \\
[\varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z)]_{1/12} &= 1, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/1} &= (-1)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{1+i}{8}\right)^{a+b} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/2} &= (-1)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{1+i}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{a+b} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/3} &= (-1)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{i-1}{8}\right)^a \left(\frac{i+1}{8}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/4} &= (-1)^{(a+3b)/2} \left(\frac{i-1}{4}\right)^{a+b} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{3/4} &= (-1)^{(a+3b)/2} \left(\frac{i-1}{4}\right)^{a+b} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/6} &= (-1)^{(a+3b)/2} \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{a+b} \left(\frac{i-1}{8}\right)^a \left(\frac{i+1}{8}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/8} &= (-1)^{(a+3b)/2} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^a \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/12} &= (-1)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{i+1}{4}\right)^a \left(\frac{i-1}{4}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{7/12} &= (-1)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{i+1}{4}\right)^a \left(\frac{i-1}{4}\right)^b, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/16} &= 0, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/24} &= (-1)^{(a+b)/2} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{a+b}, \\
[\Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z)]_{1/48} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We now, assuming that a and b are even and $a + b = 4k$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(z) &:= \Psi_8^a(z)\Psi_8^b(3z) \\
&\quad - a_{1,4} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(12z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\
&\quad - a_{1,8} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(8z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(24z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$- a_{1,16} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(16z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(48z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(z) := & \varphi^a(z)\varphi^b(3z) \\ & - b_{1,1} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(3z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ & - b_{1,2} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(2z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(6z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right) \\ & - b_{1,4} \left(((-3)^{a/2} - 1)E_{2k}(4z; \chi_1, \chi_1) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2})E_{2k}(12z; \chi_1, \chi_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$[E_{2k}(dz; \chi_1, \chi_1)]_{a/c} = \left(\frac{\gcd(c, d)}{d} \right)^{2k} \text{ when } k \neq 1,$$

and

$$[(E_2(z; \chi_1, \chi_1) - dE_2(dz; \chi_1, \chi_1))]_{a/c} = 1 - \frac{\gcd(c, d)^2}{d}$$

(see [10, Proposition 8.5.6] and [3, Formula (6.2)]). Now it is straightforward, by using a symbolic computation software such as MAPLE, to check $[C_1(z)]_{a/c} = 0$ for all $a/c \in R(48)$ and $[C_2(z)]_{a/c} = 0$ for all $a/c \in R(12)$, i.e. $C_1(z)$ and $C_2(z)$ are cusp forms in the desired spaces. One can do similar verifications, by consulting [10, Proposition 8.5.6] and [3, Formula (6.2)] for the constant coefficients of Eisenstein series, for the similarly defined functions $C_3(z)$, $C_4(z)$, $C_5(z)$, $C_6(z)$, $C_7(z)$, and $C_8(z)$ corresponding to different parities of a and b . $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Throughout the section we let ϵ and ψ to be real primitive Dirichlet characters with conductors L and M , respectively, where $\gcd(L, M) = 1$. The proofs we present in this section relies on some elementary properties of the sum of divisor functions defined in (2.3). First, we note that $\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; n)$ is a multiplicative function of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n = \prod_{p|n} p^{e_p}$ be the prime decomposition of n , then we have

$$\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; n) = \prod_{p|n} \sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; p^{e_p}) = \prod_{p|n} \frac{(\psi(p)p^k)^{e_p+1} - \epsilon(p)^{e_p+1}}{\psi(p)p^k - \epsilon(p)}. \quad (5.1)$$

Next we note down an equation whose proof is straightforward using (2.3).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $e, r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $e \geq r$ we have*

$$\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; 2^e) - \epsilon^r(2)\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; 2^{e-r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \epsilon^i(2)(\psi(2)2^k)^{e-i}(\psi(2)2^k)^e.$$

We also record the following lemma which will be used later in the proof of Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 6.3. Its proof is a direct consequence of (2.3) and the definition of χ_{-3} and χ_{-4} .

Lemma 5.2. (i) *If $2 \nmid n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\chi_{-4}, \chi_1; n) &= \chi_{-4}(n)\sigma_k(\chi_1, \chi_{-4}; n), \\ \sigma_k(\chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}; n) &= \chi_{-4}(n)\sigma_k(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_k(\chi_{12}, \chi_1; n) = \chi_{-4}(n)\sigma_k(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n).$$

(ii) *If $3 \nmid n$, then*

$$\sigma_k(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; n) = \chi_{-3}(n)\sigma_k(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n)$$

and

$$\sigma_k(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n) = \chi_{-3}(n) \sigma_k(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n).$$

Proof. We prove the first identity, the proofs for the rest are similar. First of all note that if $2 \nmid n$, then $\chi_{-4}(n/d) = \chi_{-4}(n)\chi_{-4}(d)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\chi_{-4}, \chi_1; n) &= \sum_{d|n} \chi_{-4}(n/d) \chi_1(d) d^k \\ &= \chi_{-4}(n) \sum_{d|n} \chi_1(n/d) \chi_{-4}(d) d^k = \chi_{-4}(n) \sigma_k(\chi_1, \chi_{-4}; n). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the remainder of this section we prove Theorem 1.5. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z) &= \frac{1}{2^{a+b}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b) q^{8n+a+3b} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} q^{8n+a+3b} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{8n+a+3b} q^{8n+a+3b}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where the sum involving $\alpha_{8n+a+3b}$'s is the Eisenstein part and the one with $\gamma_{8n+a+3b}$'s is the cusp part of $\Psi_8^a(z) \Psi_8^b(3z)$ as they are given in Propositions 4.1–4.4. Similarly

$$\varphi^a(z) \varphi^b(3z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(a, b; n) q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n q^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma'_n q^n \quad (5.3)$$

as they are given in Propositions 4.1–4.4.

The relations between α_n and β_n given in Theorem 5.3 below imply the relations given in Theorem 1.5. The implication will be proven at the end of this section.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $a + b \geq 4$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the following hold.*

- (i) *If $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and a, b are both even, or $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and a, b are both odd, then we have*

$$\alpha_{8n+a+3b} = \frac{2\beta_{8n+a+3b}}{2^{a+b}(2^{a+b-2} + 1)}. \quad (5.4)$$

- (ii) *If $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and a, b are both even, or $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and a, b are both odd, then we have*

$$\alpha_{8n+a+3b} = \frac{2(\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4})}{2^{a+b}(2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4))}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. We start by proving (5.5) when $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and a, b are both even. In this case $4 \mid a + 3b$. Therefore if we let $8n + a + 3b = 2^{e_2} n_2$ with $\gcd(n_2, 2) = 1$, then $e_2 \geq 2$. The cases $e_2 = 2$, $e_2 = 3$ and $e_2 \geq 4$ needs to be treated individually. We only give the details of the case when $e_2 \geq 4$, as the remaining two cases can be handled similarly. We start the proof by noting that when $e_2 \geq 4$, we have

$$(-1)^{(a+3b)/4} = 1. \quad (5.6)$$

Hence, in Proposition 4.1, $a_{1,4} = 0$. Moreover, by employing Lemma 5.1 for $r = 4$ and the fact that

$$a_{1,8} + a_{1,16} = 0$$

in Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k}}{-4k} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= (a_{1,8} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-3}) + a_{1,16} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-4})) \\ &\quad \times (((-3)^{a/2} - 1) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2}) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2/3)), \end{aligned}$$

which, by (5.1), is

$$\begin{aligned} &= a_{1,8} (2^{2k-1})^{e_2-3} \\ &\quad \times (((-3)^{a/2} - 1) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2}) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2/3)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{B_{2k}}{-4k} (\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4}) \\ &= (b_{1,1} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2}) - \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-2})) \\ &\quad + b_{1,2} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-1}) - \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-3})) \\ &\quad + b_{1,4} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-2}) - \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; 2^{e_2-4}))) \\ &\quad \times (((-3)^{a/2} - 1) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2}) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2/3)), \end{aligned}$$

which, by (5.1), is

$$\begin{aligned} &= (2^{2k-1} + 1) (b_{1,1} 2^{4k-2} + b_{1,2} 2^{2k-1} + b_{1,4}) (2^{2k-1})^{e_2-3} \\ &\quad \times (((-3)^{a/2} - 1) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2) + (3^{2k} - (-3)^{a/2}) \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_2/3)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Further, using (5.6), we observe that

$$(2^{2k-1} + 1) (b_{1,1} 2^{4k-2} + b_{1,2} 2^{2k-1} + b_{1,4}) = a_{1,8} 2^{6k-2} (2^{2k-1} + 1). \quad (5.9)$$

Now, (5.5) follows by combining (5.7)–(5.9) and noting that $k = (a + b)/4$.

The proof when $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and a, b are both odd is similar to the above proof by employing Proposition 4.2, so we skip it here.

We next prove (5.4) when a, b are both odd and $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In this case $2 \parallel a + 3b$. Therefore we have $8n + a + 3b = 2n_2$ with $\gcd(n_2, 2) = 1$. Thus, $\chi_{-4}(n_2) = (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4}$ and so by Lemma 5.2 (i) we have

$$\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}; n_2) = (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{12}, \chi_1; n_2) = (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2). \quad (5.11)$$

These identities together with Proposition 4.4 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k, \chi_{-12}}}{-4k} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= a_{4,2} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2)) \\ &\quad + a_{5,2} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{12}, \chi_1; n_2)) \\ &= (a_{4,2} + a_{5,2} (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4}) \\ &\quad \times (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2)), \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2^{4k-1}} \right) (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2)). \quad (5.12)$$

Next we use (5.1) and employ the values

$$\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; 2) = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; 2) &= -1, \\
\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}; 2) &= -2^{2k-1}, \\
\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{12}, \chi_1; 2) &= 2^{2k-1},
\end{aligned}$$

in Proposition 4.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{B_{2k, \chi_{-12}}}{-4k} \beta_{8n+a+3b} &= b_{4,1} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; 2n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; 2n_2)) \\
&\quad + b_{5,1} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}; 2n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{12}, \chi_1; 2n_2)) \\
&= b_{4,1} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2)) \\
&\quad - b_{5,1} 2^{2k-1} (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-4}, \chi_{-3}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{12}, \chi_1; n_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

which, by (5.10) and (5.11), is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (b_{4,1} - b_{5,1} 2^{2k-1} (-1)^{(a+3b-2)/4}) \\
&\quad \times (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

where, by Proposition 4.4, is

$$= (2^{4k-2} + 1) (\sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}; n_2)). \quad (5.13)$$

Now we combine (5.12) and (5.13) to obtain (5.4) in this case.

The proof of (5.4) when a, b are both even and $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, via Proposition 4.3, is similar to the case just discussed. $\square$

The next lemma will enable us to simplify (5.5) in certain cases.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $4 \mid a + 3b$. The following statements hold.*

(i) *If $a + 3b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have*

$$\beta_{(8n+a+3b)/4} = \frac{\beta_{8n+a+3b}}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^b 2^{(a+b-2)/2} \cos(\pi(a+3b)/4) + 1}.$$

(ii) *If $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and $2^\nu \parallel 8n + a + 3b$, then*

$$\beta_{(8n+a+3b)/4} = \frac{-2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-2} (-1)^{bj} 2^{j(a+b-2)/2}}{-2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{bj} 2^{j(a+b-2)/2}} \beta_{8n+a+3b}.$$

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $a + 3b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ only if either $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We write $8n + a + 3b = 4n_2$, where $\gcd(2, n_2) = 1$. Note that

$$(-1)^{(a+3b)/4} = -1. \quad (5.14)$$

We consider the case that $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, the proof in the other case is similar (using Proposition 4.1). Note that, by applying (5.14) in Proposition 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-3}}}{-(4k+2)} \beta_{8n+a+3b} \\
&= b_{2,1} (\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; 4n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; 4n_2)) \\
&\quad + b_{2,2} (\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; 2n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; 2n_2))
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ b_{2,4} \left(\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; n_2) \right),$$

where, by (5.1), is

$$\begin{aligned} &= (b_{2,1}(2^{4k} - 2^{2k} + 1) + b_{2,2}(1 - 2^{2k}) + b_{2,4}) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; n_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-3}}}{-(4k+2)} \beta_{(8n+a+3b)/4} \\ &= b_{2,1} \left(\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_2) + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; n_2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{(8n+a+3b)/4} &= \frac{b_{2,1}}{b_{2,1}(2^{4k} - 2^{2k} + 1) + b_{2,2}(1 - 2^{2k}) + b_{2,4}} \beta_{8n+a+3b} \\ &= \frac{\beta_{8n+a+3b}}{2^{4k} + 2^{2k} + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = (a + b - 2)/4$.

(ii) We note that in view of $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we have $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ only if either $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We write $8n + a + 3b = 2^\nu n_2$, where $\nu \geq 3$ and $\gcd(2, n_2) = 1$. Observe that

$$(-1)^{(a+3b)/4} = 1. \quad (5.15)$$

We consider the case that $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, the proof in the other case is similar (using Proposition 4.1). Now by employing (5.15) in Proposition 4.2 and steps similar to part (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-3}}}{-(4k+2)} \beta_{8n+a+3b} \\ &= \left(b_{2,1} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} (-1)^j (2^{2k})^j + b_{2,2} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^j (2^{2k})^j + b_{2,4} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-2} (-1)^j (2^{2k})^j \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; n_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-3}}}{-(4k+2)} \beta_{(8n+a+3b)/4} \\ &= \left(b_{2,1} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-2} (-1)^j (2^{2k})^j + b_{2,2} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-3} (-1)^j (2^{2k})^j + b_{2,4} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-4} (-1)^j (2^{2k})^j \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_2) - (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_{-3}, \chi_1; n_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{j=0}^{\nu-4}$ is zero if $\nu = 3$. Now the result follows by comparing the above two formulas using the values of $b_{2,1}$, $b_{2,2}$, and $b_{2,4}$ given in Proposition 4.2. Note that $k = (a + b - 2)/4$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 (Second Version). (i) For even $a + b$, we consider cases $a + 3b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a + 3b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ separately.

The condition $a + 3b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ is possible only if either $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then the result in part (i) of Theorem 1.5 in this case follows from Theorem 5.3 (i) and $\cos(\pi(a + 3b)/4) = 0$.

On the other hand $a + 3b \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ is possible only if either $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then the result in part (i) of Theorem 1.5 in this case follows by employing Lemma 5.4 (i) in Theorem 5.3 (ii).

(ii) The condition $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ is possible only if either $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then the result in part (ii) of Theorem 1.5 follows by employing Lemma 5.4 (ii) in Theorem 5.3 (ii).

(iii) We note that $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ is possible only if either $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then the result in part (iii) of Theorem 1.5 in this case follows from Theorem 5.3 (ii). $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we show that when $\alpha_{8n+a+3b}$ is nonzero then it is asymptotically larger than $O(n^{(a+b-1)/4})$, more precisely, in Lemma 6.3 we prove that $n^{(a+b-1)/4} = o(\alpha_{8n+a+3b})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever $a > 1$ and $a + b \geq 4$. We then use this fact to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. We start by establishing a uniform lower bound for our generalized divisor functions.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\epsilon, \psi \in \{\chi_1, \chi_{-3}, \chi_{-4}, \chi_{12}\}$ be two Dirichlet characters with coprime conductors. Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of prime divisors of $n = \prod_{p|n} p^{e_p}$. Then, for integer $k \geq 1$ and non-negative integers n such that $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$, we have*

$$|\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; n)| \geq n^k \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{\omega(n)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_k(\epsilon, \psi; n)| &= \left| \prod_{p|n} \frac{(\psi(p)p^k)^{e_p+1} - (\epsilon(p))^{e_p+1}}{\psi(p)p^k - \epsilon(p)} \right| = n^k \left| \prod_{p|n} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\epsilon(p)}{\psi(p)p^k}\right)^{e_p+1}}{1 - \frac{\epsilon(p)}{\psi(p)p^k}} \right| \\ &\geq n^k \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p^{2k}} - \dots\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right) = n^k \prod_{p|n} \frac{p^k - 2}{p^k} \\ &\geq n^k \prod_{p|n} \frac{3}{5} = n^k \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{\omega(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

From now on we let $8n + a + 3b = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} n_{2,3}$ with $\gcd(n_{2,3}, 6) = 1$. The following statement is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $a + b \geq 4$ be even and let ϵ and ψ be as given in Lemma 6.1. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{2^{e_2(a+b-2)/2} 3^{e_3(a+b-2)/2} \sigma_{\frac{a+b-2}{2}}(\epsilon, \psi; n_{2,3})}{n^{(a+b-1)/4}} \right| = \infty.$$

Proof. We note that by [16, Theorem 11] for $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\omega(n) \leq 1.38402 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}.$$

Now the proof is straightforward using this inequality, Lemma 6.1, and the condition $a + b \geq 4$. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $1 < a \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $a + b \geq 4$ even. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{(a+b-1)/4}}{\alpha_{8n+a+3b}} = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that $8n + a + 3b = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} n_{2,3} = \prod_{p|n} p^{e_p}$, where $\gcd(n_{2,3}, 6) = 1$. We consider cases.

Case 1: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k \geq 4$, and a, b be both even. If $e_2 = 2$, then by employing Proposition 4.1, (5.1), and Lemma 5.1 for $r = 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k}}{-4k} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= \frac{-\left((-3)^{a/2} (3^{2k-1} - 1) + 2 \cdot 3^{2k-1}\right) - (3^{2k} - 1)/(3^{(2k-1)e_3})}{2^{8k-3} (2^{2k} - 1) (3^{2k} - 1) (3^{2k-1} - 1)} \\ &\quad \times 2^{(2k-1)e_2} 3^{(2k-1)e_3} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_{2,3}); \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

if $e_2 = 3$, then by employing Proposition 4.1, (5.1), and Lemma 5.1 for $r = 3$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k}}{-4k} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= \frac{\left((-3)^{a/2} (3^{2k-1} - 1) + 2 \cdot 3^{2k-1}\right) - (3^{2k} - 1)/3^{(2k-1)e_3}}{2^{8k-3} (2^{2k} - 1) (3^{2k} - 1) (3^{2k-1} - 1)} \\ &\quad \times 2^{(2k-1)e_2} 3^{(2k-1)e_3} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_1; n_{2,3}); \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

if $e_2 \geq 4$, then from (5.7) and (5.1) we have again (6.2).

Case 2: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k + 2 \geq 6$, and a, b be both odd. If $e_2 = 2$, then by employing Proposition 4.2, (5.1), and Lemma 5.1 for $r = 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-3}}}{-(4k+2)} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= \frac{1/3^{2ke_3} + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \chi_{-3}(n_{2,3})}{2^{8k+1} (2^{2k+1} + 1)} \\ &\quad \times 2^{2ke_2} 3^{2ke_3} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_{2,3}); \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

if $e_2 = 3$, then by employing Proposition 4.2, (5.1), and Lemma 5.1 for $r = 3$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-3}}}{-(4k+2)} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= (-1)^{e_2+1} \frac{1/3^{2ke_3} + (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \chi_{-3}(2^{e_2} n_{2,3})}{2^{8k+1} (2^{2k+1} + 1)} \\ &\quad \times 2^{2ke_2} 3^{2ke_3} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-3}; n_{2,3}); \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

if $e_2 \geq 4$, then, from Proposition 4.2, (5.1), and Lemma 5.2 (ii), we have again (6.4).

Case 3: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k + 2 \geq 6$, and a, b be both even. Then, noting that $2 \parallel 8n + a + 3b$, from Proposition 4.3 and (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k+1, \chi_{-4}}}{-(4k+2)} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= \frac{(-1)^{e_3} (-3^{2k} ((-3)^{a/2} + 2) - (-3)^{a/2}) + (3^{2k+1} + 1)/3^{2ke_3}}{2^{6k+1} (3^{2k} + 1) (3^{2k+1} + 1)} \\ &\quad \times 2^{2ke_2} 3^{2ke_3} \sigma_{2k}(\chi_1, \chi_{-4}; n_{2,3}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Case 4: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $a + b = 4k \geq 4$, and a, b be both odd. Then, noting that $2 \parallel 8n + a + 3b$, from (5.12), (5.1), and Lemma 5.2 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{2k, \chi_{-12}}}{-4k} \alpha_{8n+a+3b} &= \frac{1/3^{(2k-1)e_3} - (-1)^{e_3} (-3)^{(a-1)/2} \chi_{-3}(n_{2,3})}{2^{6k-2}} \\ &\quad \times 2^{(2k-1)e_2} 3^{(2k-1)e_3} \sigma_{2k-1}(\chi_1, \chi_{12}; n_{2,3}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Now we observe that

- (6.1)–(6.2) is 0 if only if $e_3 = 0$ and $a = 0$;
- (6.3)–(6.4) is 0 if only if $e_3 = 0$, $a = 1$, and $2^{e_2} n_{2,3} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$;
- (6.5) is 0 if only if $e_3 = 0$ and $a = 0$; and
- (6.6) is 0 if only if $e_3 = 0$, $a = 1$ and $n_{2,3} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

That is, (6.1)–(6.6) are non-zero whenever $a > 1$. Now the result follows by applying Lemma 6.2 to (6.1)–(6.6). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (iii) Let α_n , β_n , γ_n , and γ'_n be as in (5.2) and (5.3). Note that by [10, p. 314], we have

$$\gamma_n = O_\epsilon(n^{(a+b-2)/4+\epsilon}) \text{ and } \gamma'_n = O_\epsilon(n^{(a+b-2)/4+\epsilon}), \quad (6.7)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then when $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, by Lemma 6.3, (6.7) with $\epsilon = 1/4$, and (1.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^*(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)}{\tilde{N}(a, b; 8n + a + 3b)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{a+b} (\alpha_{8n+a+3b} + \gamma_{8n+a+3b})}{\beta_{8n+a+3b} + \gamma'_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4} - \gamma'_{2n+(a+3b)/4}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{a+b} \left(\frac{\alpha_{8n+a+3b}}{\alpha_{8n+a+3b}} + O \left(\frac{n^{(a+b-1)/4}}{|\alpha_{8n+a+3b}|} \right) \right)}{\frac{\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4}}{\alpha_{8n+a+3b}} + O \left(\frac{n^{(a+b-1)/4}}{|\alpha_{8n+a+3b}|} \right)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{a+b} \alpha_{8n+a+3b}}{\beta_{8n+a+3b} - \beta_{2n+(a+3b)/4}} \\ &= \frac{2}{2^{a+b-2} + (-1)^{(a-b)/4} 2^{(a+b-2)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, we have (1.12) of Theorem 1.2.

(i) The case when $a + 3b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ can be proven similarly using Lemma 6.3, (6.7) with $\epsilon = 1/4$, and (1.20).

(ii) The case when $a + 3b \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ can be proven similarly using Lemma 6.3, (6.7) with $\epsilon = 1/4$, and (1.21). □

REFERENCES

- [1] Chandrashekar Adiga, Shaun Cooper, and Jung Hun Han, *A general relation between sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers*, Int. J. Number Theory **1** (2005), no. 2, 175–182, DOI 10.1142/S1793042105000078. MR2173377
- [2] Zafer Selcuk Aygin, *Extensions of Ramanujan-Mordell formula with coefficients 1 and p*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **465** (2018), no. 1, 690–702, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.05.033. MR3806725
- [3] ———, *On Eisenstein series in $M_{2k}(\Gamma_0(N))$ and their applications*, J. Number Theory **195** (2019), 358–375, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2018.06.010. MR3867447
- [4] ———, *Projections of modular forms on Eisenstein series and its application to Siegel's formula*, preprint (2021), 1–30, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.04278>.
- [5] P. Barrucand, S. Cooper, and M. Hirschhorn, *Relations between squares and triangles*, Discrete Math. **248** (2002), no. 1-3, 245–247, DOI 10.1016/S0012-365X(01)00344-2. MR1892699
- [6] Nayandeep Deka Baruah, Shaun Cooper, and Michael Hirschhorn, *Sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers induced by partitions of 8*, Int. J. Number Theory **4** (2008), no. 4, 525–538, DOI 10.1142/S179304210800150X. MR2441789
- [7] Nayandeep Deka Baruah, Mandeep Kaur, Mingyu Kim, and Byeong-Kweon Oh, *Proofs of some conjectures of Z. -H. Sun on relations between sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **51** (2020), no. 1, 11–38, DOI 10.1007/s13226-020-0382-z. MR4076194
- [8] Paul T. Bateman, Boris A. Datskovsky, and Marvin I. Knopp, *Sums of squares and the preservation of modularity under congruence restrictions*, Symbolic computation, number theory, special functions, physics and combinatorics (Gainesville, FL, 1999), Dev. Math., vol. 4, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 59–71, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4613-0257-5_4. MR1880079
- [9] Paul T. Bateman and Marvin I. Knopp, *Some new old-fashioned modular identities*, Ramanujan J. **2** (1998), no. 1-2, 247–269, DOI 10.1023/A:1009782529605. Paul Erdős (1913–1996). MR1642881
- [10] Henri Cohen and Fredrik Strömberg, *Modular forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 179, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. A classical approach. MR3675870

- [11] Shaun Cooper and Michael Hirschhorn, *A combinatorial proof of a result from number theory*, *Integers* **4** (2004), A9, 4. MR2079847
- [12] Shaun Cooper, *Ramanujan's theta functions*, Springer, Cham, 2017. MR3675178
- [13] Shaun Cooper, Ben Kane, and Dongxi Ye, *Analogues of the Ramanujan-Mordell theorem*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **446** (2017), no. 1, 568–579, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.09.004. MR3554744
- [14] Günter Köhler, *Eta products and theta series identities*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. MR2766155
- [15] Ken Ono, Sinai Robins, and Patrick T. Wahl, *On the representation of integers as sums of triangular numbers*, *Aequationes Math.* **50** (1995), no. 1-2, 73–94, DOI 10.1007/BF01831114. MR1336863
- [16] Guy Robin, *Estimation de la fonction de Tchebychef θ sur le k -ième nombre premier et grandes valeurs de la fonction $\omega(n)$ nombre de diviseurs premiers de n* , *Acta Arith.* **42** (1983), no. 4, 367–389, DOI 10.4064/aa-42-4-367-389 (French). MR736719
- [17] William Stein, *Modular forms, a computational approach*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 79, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. With an appendix by Paul E. Gunnells. MR2289048
- [18] Zhi-Hong Sun, *Some relations between $t(a, b, c, d; n)$ and $N(a, b, c, d; n)$* , *Acta Arith.* **175** (2016), no. 3, 269–289, DOI 10.4064/aa8418-5-2016. MR3557125

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE, LETHBRIDGE,
AB T1K 3M4, CANADA

Email address: amir.akbary@uleth.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, AB T2N 1N4,
CANADA

Email address: selcukaygin@gmail.com