

Finite energy Navier-Stokes flows with unbounded gradients induced by localized flux in the half-space

Kyungkeun Kang¹, Baishun Lai², Chen-Chih Lai³, and Tai-Peng Tsai⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, South Korea

²LCSM (MOE) and School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, Hunan, China

^{3,4}Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada

Abstract

For the Stokes system in the half space, Kang [Math. Ann. 2005] showed that a solution generated by a compactly supported, Hölder continuous boundary flux may have unbounded normal derivatives near the boundary. In this paper we first prove explicit global pointwise estimates of the above solution, showing in particular that it has finite global energy and its derivatives blow up everywhere on the boundary away from the flux. We then use the above solution as a profile to construct solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations which also have finite global energy and unbounded normal derivatives due to the flux. Our main tool is the pointwise estimates of the Green tensor of the Stokes system proved by us in [11] (arXiv:2011.00134). We also examine the Stokes flows generated by dipole bumps boundary flux, and identify the regions where the normal derivatives of the solutions tend to positive or negative infinity near the boundary.

Key words: Stokes system, Navier-Stokes equations, half space, unbounded derivatives, boundary flux, dipole bumps, everywhere blow-up, Green tensor

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35Q30, 76D03, 76D05, 76D07

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	7
3	Stokes flows	10
4	Navier-Stokes flows	30
5	Appendix A. Dipole bumps	35
6	Appendix B. Estimates of C_i	41

1 Introduction

Denote $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (x', x_n)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n > 0\}$, and $\Sigma = \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. The nonstationary Stokes system in the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^n , $n \geq 2$, reads

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= f \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0; \quad u(x', 0, t) = \phi(x', t) \text{ on } \Sigma \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.2)$$

Here $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is the velocity, π is the pressure, and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is the external force. They are defined for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty)$.

It was shown by the first author in [10] an example of a Hölder continuous Stokes flow with *unbounded normal derivatives* of the tangential components of the velocity in a region E near the boundary. It is caused by a nonzero boundary flux away from E . The paper [10] only considered the local behavior of the solution. Our first goal in this paper is to find explicit global pointwise bounds of the solution and its derivatives, and show that it has *finite global energy*. We will also show explicit lower bounds of the normal derivative of its tangential components, showing their *everywhere* blow up on the boundary away from the flux. Our second goal is to use it as a profile to construct solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations

$$u_t - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = -u \cdot \nabla u, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.3)$$

with similar properties.

Specifically, the solution in [10] is constructed from a Hölder continuous boundary data $\phi(x', t)$ of compact support using the Golovkin tensor K_{ij} , i.e., the Poisson kernel for (1.1) in the half space \mathbb{R}_+^n , see (2.3). The boundary data is of the form

$$\phi(\xi', s) = g(\xi') h(s) e_n, \quad e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$g \in C_c^3(B_1'), \quad h \geq 0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^1(0, 1), \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\operatorname{supp} h \subset [\frac{1}{4}, 1], \quad h(s) = (1-s)^a \text{ for } s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (1.6)$$

It has only the normal component because of the unit normal vector e_n . The exponent a is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ in [10]. We will also consider $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ in this paper. Note that $h(t)$ is Hölder continuous of exponent a , and its lack of further regularity in time is the cause for unbounded derivatives. We do not assume as in [10] that g is radial and positive. They are unnecessary to show the *upper bounds* in Proposition 3.1. For the *lower bounds*, in fact, we will choose a nonradial g in a product form in (1.10) for more accurate estimates in Theorem 1.1 and Propositions 1.2, and choose g as a sum of two bumps of opposite signs in the Appendix A (§5).

Denote by $\hat{v}(x, t)$ the solution of the Stokes system (1.1) generated by the boundary data (1.4)–(1.6) using the Golovkin tensor, with zero initial data and zero force; See (3.1) for its formula. It is showed in [10] that, for $n = 3$, $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and radial $g(\xi')$, for any $x'_0 \in \Sigma$

with $|x'_0| > 10$, we have that $\hat{v} \in C^{2b,b}(\overline{B_{x'_0,r}} \times [0, 2])$ for any b in $(0, 1/2)$, $0 < r < 1$, and at time $t = 1$, for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow 0_+} |\partial_3 \hat{v}_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, 1)| = \infty, \quad \text{if } x_i \neq 0, \quad |(x_1, x_2)| > 10. \quad (1.7)$$

A bounded but not Hölder continuous solution with unbounded normal derivative on Σ at $t = 1$ is also obtained in [10, Remark 6] by choosing $h(s) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (1-s)^{1/k}$ for $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, with $a_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 a_k < \infty$. Its corresponding pressure at $t = 1$ is in $L^q(B'_{x'_0,1} \times (0, 2))$ for $q = 1$ but not for $q > 1$. It shows that sufficient integrability of the pressure is necessary for the Hölder continuity of the velocity.

A more singular (very weak) solution of the Stokes system, also generated by boundary flux, was constructed in Chang and the first author [4, Proposition 3.2] for $n \geq 2$ so that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2_{t,x}(B_1^+ \times (0,1))} = \infty$, although $\|u\|_{L^4_t L^p_x(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,1))}$ is bounded for all $p > n/(n-1)$, with compactly supported boundary data belonging to $L^4_t L^\infty_x(\Sigma \times (0, 1))$. It is used as the profile to construct non-smooth solutions near the boundary of the Navier-Stokes equations in [4, Section 4.2]. This construction is possible because it only involves L^q -type estimates.

Seregin and Šverák [22] have an example of a bounded shear flow that solves both Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations, has zero initial and boundary values, and has unbounded normal derivatives. However, this example has no spatial decay as it is a shear flow. Another viewpoint is that it has “nonzero boundary value at spatial infinity”. Hence it has *infinite* global energy. It seems very difficult to construct a solution with similar properties but with *finite* global energy.

These examples are motivated by the regularity problem near the boundary for the Stokes system (1.1) and the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3). They show that certain properties that are valid in the interior or globally may fail on the boundary. In fact, in the interior case, one usually defines a solution of (1.3) to be *regular* at (x_0, t_0) if it is bounded or Hölder continuous in $B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)$ for some $r > 0$, since which imply the continuity of all higher spatial derivatives. This property fails in the boundary case, as shown by the examples of [10, 22]. For the theory of boundary regularity, see for example Seregin [16, 17, 18, 19], Kang-Gustafson-Tsai [9], Mikhailov [13, 14] and Dong-Gu [6] for regularity criteria, Chernobay [5] and Seregin [20] for type I blowups near the boundary, Chang-Jin [3] for Hölder continuity, and Chang-Choe-Kang [2] for Dini continuity. See also the survey paper [21] of Seregin and Shilkin.

The following is our first main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\phi(\xi', s)$ be defined on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1.4)-(1.6) with $0 < a \leq 1/2$. Let $(\hat{v}(x, t), \hat{p}(x, t))$ be the solution of the Stokes system (1.1) with boundary data ϕ , zero initial data and zero force, obtained using the Golovkin tensor in (3.1) and (3.2). Then \hat{v} satisfies the pointwise bounds in Proposition 3.1 and is Hölder continuous, $\hat{v} \in C^{2b,b}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, 2])$ where $b = a$ if $0 < a < 1/2$, and b can be any number in $(0, 1/2)$ when $a = 1/2$. In particular, when $n \geq 3$, it has finite global energy,*

$$\text{ess sup}_{0 < t < 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\hat{v}(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla \hat{v}(x, t)|^2 dx dt < \infty. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, for $n \geq 2$ we have the following pointwise estimate for the pressure \hat{p}

$$|\hat{p}(x, t)| \leq C \frac{\chi_{\frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 1}(t)}{(1-t)^{1-a}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{n \geq 3} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-2}} + \mathbb{1}_{n=2} \log(|x| + 2) \right) + C \frac{\chi_{t > 1}(t)}{|t-1|^{1-a} \langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \quad (1.9)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of x and t .

However, when $n \geq 3$, if we choose $g(\xi')$ in (1.4) of the product form

$$g(\xi') = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi_j) \quad (1.10)$$

where $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, even, supported in $(-\frac{4}{5\sqrt{n-1}}, \frac{4}{5\sqrt{n-1}})$, $\mathcal{G}(\zeta) = 1$ for $|\zeta| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}}$, and $\mathcal{G}'(\zeta) \leq 0$ for $\zeta > 0$, then for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$|x'| \geq 3, \quad x_n \leq 1,$$

and if $i < n$ satisfies $|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$, we have

$$|\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1)| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{C_1}{|x'|^{n-1}} \log \frac{2}{x_n} - \frac{C_2}{|x'|^{n-2}} & \text{if } a = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{C_1}{|x'|^{n-1} x_n^{1-2a}} - \frac{C_2}{|x'|^{n-2}} & \text{if } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of x .

Comments on Theorem 1.1.

1. Theorem 1.1 improves [10] in the sense that the upper and lower bounds are explicit, global, and with spatial decay. They imply finite global energy. Theorem 1.1 also treat the case $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$. See Comment 2 on Proposition 1.2 for more details.
2. Eq. (1.11) implies *everywhere blow-up* of the normal derivative $\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}'$ of the tangential velocity $\hat{v}' = (\hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_{n-1})$ away from the flux,

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0_+} \max_{i < n} |\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x', x_n, 1)| = \infty, \quad \forall x' \in \Sigma, \quad |x'| \geq 3. \quad (1.12)$$

3. The lower bound (1.11) is restricted to $n \geq 3$ so that the key Lemma 3.3 is valid.
4. The Stokes system is a linear flow and allows superposition. Hence if we use boundary data $\tilde{\phi}(x', s) = \phi(x', s) + \phi(x', s - 2)$, the solution has unbounded derivatives at times $t = 1$ and $t = 3$. This in turn can be used to construct solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with unbounded derivatives at times $t = 1$ and $t = 3$. We do not state this as a formal result since this is much simpler than multiple singularity results whose singularities are due to nonlinearities such as nonlinear Schrödinger equations [1] and nonlinear heat equations [15].
5. The choice (1.10) of boundary data $g(\xi')$ is supported in $|\xi'| \leq 1$ with a constant sign. We call this a *single bump*. We will also consider *dipole bumps* in the Appendix A (§5) where two single bumps with opposite signs are combined.

Proposition 1.2 below gives a sharpened version of (1.11) of Theorem 1.1: The lower bound (1.11) is valid when $i < n$ satisfies $|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$. In Proposition 1.2 we remove this condition and only require $x_i \neq 0$. Hence the constants are larger and also depend on $|x_i|$. Note that we also specify the sign of $\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1)$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$. For the same solution \hat{v} in Theorem 1.1 with the same choice of $g(\xi')$ in (1.10), for every $i < n$, $\partial_n \hat{v}_i(x', x_n)$ blows up as $x_n \rightarrow 0_+$ for any $x' \in \Sigma$ with $x_i \neq 0$ and $|x'| > 3$, without assuming $|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$. We have estimates of the form*

$$-\operatorname{sgn}(x_i) \partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1) \gtrsim \begin{cases} \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n} \log \frac{2}{x_n} - \frac{C}{|x'|^{n-2}} \log \frac{4|x'|}{|x_i|} & \text{if } a = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n} \frac{1}{x_n^{1-2a}} - \frac{C}{|x_i|^{1-2a} |x'|^{n-3+2a}} & \text{if } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of x , for all $|x'| > 3$, $0 < x_n < 1$ and $x_i \neq 0$. We also have $\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1) = 0$ if $x_i = 0$.

Comments on Proposition 1.2.

1. Extending (1.12), (1.13) implies everywhere blowup on the boundary away from the flux of the normal derivative of each tangential component of the velocity, in the sense that, for every $i < n$,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow (x'_0, 0)} |\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1)| = \infty, \quad \forall x'_0 \in \Sigma, |x'_0| > 3, \quad (1.14)$$

even if the i -th component of x'_0 is 0. It is already known in [10] although not explicitly stated. It follows from (1.7).

2. Eq. (1.11) of Theorem 1.1 and (1.13) of Proposition 1.2 improve [10] because of their uniform estimates of the main term and the error term. In the corresponding estimates of [10], the coefficient of the leading singular term has implicit dependence on x'_0 , and the error term is shown to be bounded but its decay is not shown. Also, although [10, Remark 5] mentioned the case $0 < a < 1/2$, it does not provide any details. Indeed, in our proof, this case has two competing leading singular terms and is more delicate. The choice of the product form (1.10) of $g(\xi')$ instead of being radial makes these explicit bounds possible.
3. As $x_n \rightarrow 0_+$, $\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1)$ converges to ∞ in the region $x_i < 0$, and to $-\infty$ in the region $x_i > 0$. Each of these regions is connected. It is different from the dipole bumps case, to be considered in Proposition A.1.

Our next theorem asserts the existence of a solution $u(x, t)$ of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with properties similar to the Stokes flow considered in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\phi(\xi', s)$ be defined on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1.4)-(1.6) with $0 < a \leq 1/2$. There is a small constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\alpha \in (-\alpha_0, \alpha_0)$, there is a solution (u, π) of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with boundary data $\alpha\phi$, zero initial data and zero force. The solution u satisfies the pointwise bounds (4.3), has finite global energy (1.8), and is Hölder continuous, $u \in C^{2b, b}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, 2])$ where $b = a$ if $0 < a < 1/2$, and b can be any number in $(0, 1/2)$ when $a = 1/2$. Moreover, the pressure π satisfies*

$$\pi \in L^r(0, 2; L^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)), \quad 1 < \forall r < \frac{1}{1-a}, \quad \frac{n}{n-2} < \forall m < \infty. \quad (1.15)$$

However, if we choose $g(\xi')$ in (1.4) of the form (1.10), then for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$|x'| \geq 3, \quad x_n \leq 1,$$

and if $i < n$ satisfies $|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$, we have

$$|\partial_{x_n} u_i(x, 1)| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{C_1 |\alpha|}{|x'|^{n-1}} \log \frac{2}{x_n} - \frac{C_2 |\alpha|}{|x'|^{n-2}} & \text{if } a = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{C_1 |\alpha|}{|x'|^{n-1} x_n^{1-2a}} - \frac{C_2 |\alpha|}{|x'|^{n-2}} & \text{if } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of x .

Comments on Theorem 1.3.

1. Theorem 1.3 gives solutions with pointwise upper bounds for themselves and their derivatives, and pointwise lower bounds for their normal derivatives at time $t = 1$. Moreover, these solutions have finite global energy and are Hölder continuous up to boundary.
2. To obtain pointwise bounds for the contribution from the nonlinear term, we use the pointwise estimates of the Green tensor of the Stokes system in the half space, proved in [11].
3. The condition $n \geq 3$ is used in two places in the proof of Theorem 1.3: First, it is needed for the lower bound (1.11) of Theorem 1.1 for the profile \hat{v} . Second, the pointwise estimates of the Green tensor are simpler when $n \geq 3$; Compare Theorem 2.4 with [11, Theorem 1.5].
4. In most known boundary regularity criteria (e.g. [16, 17, 18, 19, 9, 13, 14, 6]) for Navier-Stokes equations, certain integrability conditions on the pressure are needed to at least exclude the parasitic solutions of Serrin. See [26, 27, 12] for criteria involving only the pressure. Our pressure estimate (1.15) suggests that any weaker condition on the pressure is probably insufficient for the continuity of velocity derivatives.

As mentioned at the beginning, we will use the solution \hat{v} of Theorem 1.1 as the profile, and construct the solution u in Theorem 1.3 by Picard iteration. The key to the construction is the pointwise bound of the (unrestricted) Green tensor for (1.1) proved by us in [11]. After we have pointwise *upper and lower* bounds for the linear solution \hat{v} , we use the pointwise bound of the Green tensor to get an *upper bound* for the difference $v = u - \alpha \hat{v}$ generated by the nonlinearity $u \cdot \nabla u$. Pointwise bounded solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in the half space have been constructed by various authors, see the review of [11] after [11, (1.24)]. However, their constructions rely on explicit expansion of the nonlinearity with Helmholtz projection applied, which seem complicated to get localized estimates. Theorem 1.3 is in fact a major motivation for the paper [11].

In Appendix A (§5) we study the boundary blow up of the Stokes flows generated by dipole bumps boundary flux of the form (1.4)–(1.6) in \mathbb{R}_+^3 with

$$g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \mathcal{G}(\xi_1 + 10) \mathcal{G}(\xi_2) - \mathcal{G}(\xi_1 - 10) \mathcal{G}(\xi_2). \quad (1.17)$$

Our results are summarized in Proposition A.1. Unlike (1.10) where $g \geq 0$, (1.17) consists of two bumps with opposite signs. Thus, it gives an in-flux for $\xi_1 \sim -10$, and an out-flux for $\xi_1 \sim 10$. We will identify the regions where the normal derivatives of the solutions tend to positive or negative infinity near the boundary. These regions are disconnected and appear to be bordered by curves with asymptotic lines.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some preliminary results including integral estimates, the Golovkin tensor, the Green tensor, and a few other functions. In Section 3 we prove pointwise estimates for the Stokes flow of [10] and its derivatives. We also prove lower bounds of its derivatives that implies blow up. These are summarized in Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. In Section 4 we use the previous solution as the profile to construct solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with the same pointwise estimates and upper and lower bounds of derivatives. It gives Theorem 1.3. In Appendix A (§5) we study the boundary blow up of the Stokes flows generated by dipole bumps boundary flux. In Appendix B (§6) we prove derivative formulas and estimates of the function $C_i(x, y, t)$ to be defined in (2.14) and its derivatives.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some preliminary results. We first recall a few useful integral estimates. We then recall the Golovkin tensor and the Green tensor, and a few other functions useful for their study.

Lemma 2.1. *For positive L, a, d , and k we have*

$$\int_0^L \frac{r^{d-1} dr}{(r+a)^k} \lesssim \begin{cases} L^d(a+L)^{-k} & \text{if } k < d, \\ L^d(a+L)^{-d}(1 + \log_+ \frac{L}{a}) & \text{if } k = d, \\ L^d(a+L)^{-d}a^{-(k-d)} & \text{if } k > d. \end{cases}$$

This is [11, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $k > 0$, $m > 0$ and $k + m > d$. Let $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and*

$$I := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dz}{(|z|+a)^k(|z-x|+b)^m}.$$

Then, with $R = \max\{|x|, a, b\} \sim |x| + a + b$,

$$I \lesssim R^{d-k-m} + \delta_{kd}R^{-m} \log \frac{R}{a} + \delta_{md}R^{-k} \log \frac{R}{b} + \mathbb{1}_{k>d}R^{-m}a^{d-k} + \mathbb{1}_{m>d}R^{-k}b^{d-m}.$$

This is [11, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.3. *For $m \geq 1$ and $0 < b < 10$,*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{-\log u}{(b+u)^m} du \lesssim 1 + b^{1-m} |\log b| + \delta_{m1}(\log b)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. It is clear if $b \geq 1/2$ and we may assume $0 < b < 1/2$. If $m > 1$,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{-\log u}{(b+u)^m} du = \int_0^b + \int_b^1 \leq b^{-m} \int_0^b (-\log u) du + \int_b^1 \frac{-\log u}{u^m} du \lesssim b^{1-m} |\log b|.$$

If $m = 1$, as $\int \frac{\log u}{u} du = \frac{1}{2}(\log u)^2$,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{-\log u}{b+u} du \lesssim (\log b)^2.$$

Combined, we get (2.1). □

We next recall a few functions. The *heat kernel* Γ and the *fundamental solution* E of $-\Delta$ are given by

$$\Gamma(x, t) = \begin{cases} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} & \text{for } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad E(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n(n-2)|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} & \text{for } n \geq 3, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log|x| & \text{if } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

The *Golovkin tensor* $K_{ij}(x, t) : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Poisson kernel of the nonstationary Stokes system (1.1) in the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^n , first constructed by Golovkin [8] for \mathbb{R}_+^3 . A solution $(\hat{v}(x, t), \hat{p}(x, t))$ of (1.1) with zero force, zero initial value and boundary value

$$\hat{v}(x', 0, t) = \phi(x', t), \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times (0, \infty), \quad (2.2)$$

is given by (see [24, (84)-(85)] and [11, (2.17)-(2.19)])

$$\hat{v}_i(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} K_{ij}(x - \xi', t - s) \phi_j(\xi', s) d\xi' ds, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}(x, t) &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \partial_n \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi') \phi_i(\xi', t) d\xi' + 2 \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi') \partial_t \phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi' \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_t - \Delta_{x'}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i A(x - \xi', t - \tau) \phi_i(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where we extend $\phi(x', t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and the Golovkin tensor $K_{ij}(x, t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}(x, t) &= -2 \delta_{ij} \partial_n \Gamma(x, t) - 4 \partial_j \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(z, t) \partial_i E(x - z) dz' dz_n \\ &\quad - 2 \delta_{nj} \partial_i E(x) \delta(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

For $n \geq 2$, the Golovkin tensor satisfies, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t > 0$,

$$\left| \partial_{x'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_t^m K_{ij}(x, t) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{m+\frac{1}{2}} (x^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n-\sigma}{2}} (x_n^2 + t)^{\frac{k+\sigma}{2}}}, \quad \sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{jn}. \quad (2.6)$$

Here $\sigma = 1$ if $i < n = j$ and $\sigma = 0$ otherwise. See [24] and [11, (2.20)].

The *Green tensor* $G_{ij}(x, y, t)$ of the Stokes system (1.1) is defined for $(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ so that, for suitable f and u_0 and zero boundary value $\phi = 0$, the solution of (1.1) is given by

$$u_i(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G_{ij}(x, y, t) (u_0)_j(y) dy + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} G_{ij}(x, y, t - s) f_j(y, s) dy ds. \quad (2.7)$$

The following theorem is [11, Theorem 1.5] restricted to dimension $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 2.4 (Green tensor estimates). *Let $n \geq 3$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $t > 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $l, k, q, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{y_n}^q \partial_t^m G_{ij}(x, y, t)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(|x - y|^2 + t)^{\frac{l+k+q+n}{2}+m}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t^m (|x^* - y|^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n+\sigma_{ijkq}}{2}} ((x_n + y_n)^2 + t)^{\frac{k+q-\sigma_{ijkq}}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\sigma_{ijkq} = (\delta_{in} + \delta_{jn})(1 - \delta_{k0}\delta_{q0}) - \delta_{in}\delta_{jn}\delta_{k+q=1} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $k + q - \sigma_{ijkq} = (k + q - (\delta_{in} + \delta_{jn}))_+$.

We will use the following functions

$$A(x, t) = \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(z', 0, t) E(x - z') dz' = \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - z', 0, t) E(z', x_n) dz' \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$B(x, t) = \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x - z', t) E(z', 0) dz' = \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(z', x_n, t) E(x' - z', 0) dz'. \quad (2.10)$$

They are defined in [24] for $n = 3$. The estimates for A, B , and their derivatives are given in [24, (62, 63)] for $n = 3$. For general dimension $n \geq 2$, we can use the same approach and derive the following estimates for $l + n \geq 3$:

$$|\partial_x^l \partial_t^m A(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{m+\frac{1}{2}} (x^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n-2}{2}}} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$|\partial_x^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_t^m B(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(x^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n-2}{2}} (x_n^2 + t)^{\frac{k+1}{2}+m}}. \quad (2.12)$$

In fact, the last line of [24, page 39] gives

$$|\partial_x^l \partial_{x_n}^k B(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(x^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n-2}{2}} t^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10t}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Remark 2.5. For $n = 2$, the condition $l \geq 1$ is needed as $A(x, t)$ and $B(x, t)$ grow logarithmically as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, one may prove for $n = 2$

$$|A(x, t)| + |B(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1 + |\log(|x_2| + \sqrt{t})| + |\log(|x_1| + |x_2| + \sqrt{t})|}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Define as in [11, (4.4)]

$$C_i(x, y, t) = \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(x - y^* - z, t) \partial_i E(z) dz. \quad (2.14)$$

It is related to the Golovkin tensor via (2.21) below, and to the Green tensor, see [11, (4.6), (4.8)]. We will prove in Appendix B (§6) the following two lemmas for C_i .

Lemma 2.6. *We have*

$$\partial_{y_n} C_i(x, y, t) = \partial_{x_n} C_i(x, y, t) - \left(\partial_{y_n} e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \right) \partial_i A(x - y', t), \quad \forall i \quad (2.15)$$

$$\partial_{x_n} C_i(x, y, t) = \partial_{x_i} C_n(x, y, t) + \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_n} B(x - y^*, t), \quad (i \neq n), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\partial_{x_n} C_n(x, y, t) = - \sum_{k < n} \partial_{x_k} C_k(x, y, t) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_n \Gamma(x - y^*, t). \quad (2.17)$$

The special case of (2.16) and (2.17) for $y = 0$ and $n = 3$ are Solonnikov [24, (67), (68)].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $n \geq 2$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$ we have*

$$\left| \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{y_n}^q \partial_t^m C_i(x, y, t) \right| \lesssim \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{20t}\right)}{t^{m+\frac{q+1}{2}} (|x - y^*|^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n-1}{2}} ((x_n + y_n)^2 + t)^{\frac{k}{2}}}. \quad (2.18)$$

In particular, when $y = 0$, we have

$$\left| \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_t^m C_i(x, 0, t) \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{m+\frac{1}{2}} (|x|^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n-1}{2}} (x_n^2 + t)^{\frac{k}{2}}}. \quad (2.19)$$

This lemma is stated in [11, Remark 5.2]. The special case $n = 3$ and $y = 0$ is [24, (69)]. We may rewrite the definition (2.5) of the Golovkin tensor K_{ij} as

$$K_{ij}(x, t) = \tilde{K}_{ij}(x, t) - 2\delta_{nj}\partial_i E(x)\delta(t), \quad (2.20)$$

where \tilde{K}_{ij} denotes the first two terms (and the function part) of (2.5),

$$\tilde{K}_{ij}(x, t) = -2\delta_{ij}\partial_n\Gamma(x, t) - 4\partial_j C_i(x, 0, t). \quad (2.21)$$

We have $K_{ij}(x, t) = \tilde{K}_{ij}(x, t)$ for $t > 0$. By Lemma 2.6 with $y = 0$, we have the alternative formula when $j = n$,

$$\tilde{K}_{in}(x, t) = \begin{cases} -4\partial_i C_n(x, 0, t) - 4\partial_i\partial_n B(x, t) & i < n, \\ \sum_{k < n} 4\partial_k C_k(x, 0, t) & i = n. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

We can recover K_{ij} estimates (2.6) using (2.21) for $j < n$, (2.22) for $j = n$, and C_i estimate (2.19). The key is that there is no x_n derivative acting on C_k in (2.21) for $j < n$ and (2.22) for $j = n$.

Note that A and B defined in Solonnikov [24, (60)-(61) on p.37] and $C_i^{\text{Slnk}}(x, t)$ on [24, (66) on p.40] are only defined for $n = 3$, and differ from ours by a factor of 4π : For $n = 3$,

$$A(x, t) = 4\pi A^{\text{Slnk}}(x, t), \quad B(x, t) = 4\pi B^{\text{Slnk}}(x, t), \quad C_i(x, 0, t) = 4\pi C_i^{\text{Slnk}}(x, t). \quad (2.23)$$

3 Stokes flows

In this section we give pointwise bounds of the solution $(\hat{v}(x, t), \hat{p}(x, t))$ of the Stokes system (1.1) with boundary data ϕ defined on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1.4)-(1.6).

Note that ϕ has only the normal component, and its tangential component is zero. Using (2.3) and (2.4), (\hat{v}, \hat{p}) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}_i(x, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} K_{in}(x - \xi', t - s)\phi_n(\xi', s) d\xi' ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{K}_{in}(x - \xi', t - s)\phi_n(\xi', s) d\xi' ds - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i E(x - \xi')\phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi', \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}(x, t) &= 2\partial_n^2 \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi')\phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi' + 2 \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi')\partial_t \phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi' \\ &\quad - 4(\partial_t - \Delta_{x'}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau)\phi_n(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{K}_{in}(x, t)$ is given in (2.21) and (2.22). As explained after (2.22), it has better estimates than (2.21) when $j = n$. Another advantage of (2.22) over (2.21) is that we can integrate by parts and move the tangential derivative to $\phi(\xi', s)$.

As $\phi(\xi', s) = 0$ for $s \leq 1/4$, we know $\hat{v}_i(x, t) = 0$ for $t < 1/4$ from these formulas.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\phi(\xi', s)$ be defined on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1.4)–(1.6) for $0 < a \leq 1/2$. Let $\hat{v}(x, t)$ be the solution of the Stokes system (1.1) with boundary data ϕ , zero initial data and zero force, given by (3.1). Then*

$$|\hat{v}_i(x, t)| \leq \frac{CN_1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|\partial_{x_j} \hat{v}_i(x, t)| \leq CN_2 \left[\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} + \frac{\sigma \text{LN}}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1} (x_n + 1)^{2a}} + \delta_{n2} \mathbb{1}_{|x| < 2} \sigma \text{LN} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2 + |t-1|} \right) \right], \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{LN} = (x_n^2 + |t-1|)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2 + |t-1|} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $t \in [0, 2]$. Above

$$N_1 = \sum_{j=0}^1 \|\nabla_{\xi'}^j \phi\|_{L^\infty}, \quad N_2 = \sum_{j=0}^2 \|\nabla_{\xi'}^j \phi\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{j=0}^1 \left\| (1-s)^{1-a} \partial_s \nabla_{\xi'}^j \phi(\xi', s) \right\|_{L^\infty},$$

$\sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{jn}$ as in (2.6), and C does not depend on ϕ .

Remark 3.2. (i) When $a < 1/2$ and $x_n > 2$, we have $\text{LN} \sim x_n^{2a-1}$, and (3.4) becomes

$$|\partial_{x_j} \hat{v}_i(x, t)| \leq CN_2 \left[\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} + \frac{\sigma}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1} (x_n + 1)} \right], \quad (x_n > 2). \quad (3.6)$$

(ii) For $n \geq 2$ including $n = 2$, our proof shows that

$$|\partial_{x_j} \hat{v}_i(x, t) - \sigma \partial_n I_2(x, t)| \leq \frac{CN_2}{\langle x \rangle^n} \quad (3.7)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $t \in [0, 2]$. Here $\partial_n I_2$ will be given in (3.23) when $\sigma = 1$, and is the main term in the estimate (3.4) when $\sigma = 1$.

Proof. Step 1. We first estimate $\hat{v}_i(x, t)$ and we may assume $N_1 \leq 1$. Denote formula (3.1) for \hat{v}_i as $\hat{v}_i(x, t) = I + J$, where I is the space-time integral, and J is the space only integral.

We first consider

$$J = -2 \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i E(x - \xi') \phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi'.$$

If $|x| \geq 2$, we have

$$|J| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{|x - \xi'|^{n-1}} d\xi' \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}}.$$

If $|x| < 2$ and $i < n$, we can integrate by parts in ξ_i to get

$$|J| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} |E(x - \xi')| d\xi' \lesssim 1$$

for either $n \geq 3$ or $n = 2$. If $|x| < 2$ and $i = n$,

$$|J| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} \frac{x_n}{|x - \xi'|^n} d\xi' \lesssim 1$$

by Lemma 2.1. We conclude for $n \geq 2$,

$$|J| \leq \frac{C}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, t \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (3.8)$$

We next consider

$$I = \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{K}_{in}(x - \xi', s) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds.$$

If we estimate it directly using (2.6), then for $|x| > 2$ and $i < n$ (so that $\sigma = 1$ in (2.6)), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} (x_n + \sqrt{s}) \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(x_n + \sqrt{s}) \sqrt{s}} ds = \frac{2}{|x|^{n-1}} \log\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{x_n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

The last equality is by the change of variables $s = u^2$. The log singularity in (3.9) is undesirable.

Alternatively, we will use the formulas for \tilde{K}_{in} in (2.22). First consider the case $i < n$. We use (2.22)₁ and integrate by parts in $\partial_{x_i} = -\partial_{\xi_i}$ the term $\partial_i C_n$ to get for $i < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} C_n(x - \xi', 0, s) \partial_i \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i \partial_n B(x - \xi', s) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Using estimate (2.19) for C_i ,

$$|I_1| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds. \quad (3.11)$$

If $|x| > 2$, then $|x - \xi'| \sim |x|$ for $\xi' \in B'_1$ and

$$|I_1| \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} ds \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|^{n-1}}. \quad (3.12)$$

If $|x| < 2$, by (3.11) and Lemma 2.1, for $n \geq 3$,

$$|I_1| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{|x - \xi'|^{n-2}} d\xi' \lesssim 1,$$

and for $n = 2$,

$$|I_1| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} 1 + \log_+ \frac{t}{|x - \xi'|^2} d\xi' \lesssim C t.$$

Together with (3.12), we get

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{C}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, 0 < t \leq 2). \quad (3.13)$$

For I_2 involving $\partial_n B$, we first substitute $\partial_n B(x, t) = -\frac{x_n}{2t}B(x, t)$ which follows from the definition (2.10) of B . By estimate (2.13) for B ,

$$|I_2| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{x_n}{s} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds. \quad (3.14)$$

If $|x| > 2$,

$$|I_2| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^t \frac{x_n}{s} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{\sqrt{s}} ds = \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^{t/x_n^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{10\tau}}}{\tau^{3/2}} d\tau \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}}.$$

The replacement $\partial_n B = -\frac{x_n}{2t}B$ introduces a factor $\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{s}}$ in (3.14). Without this factor, we get $I_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^{t/x_n^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{10u}}}{u} du$, which is unbounded as $x_n \rightarrow 0_+$.

Suppose now $|x| < 2$. Noting that $B'_1 \subset B'_3(x')$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= -4 \int_0^t \int_{B'_3(x')} \partial_i \partial_n B(x - \xi', s) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds \\ &= -4 \int_0^t \int_{B'_3(x')} \partial_i \partial_n B(x - \xi', s) [\phi_n(\xi', t - s) - \phi_n(x', t - s)] d\xi' ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\int_{B'_3(x')} \partial_i \partial_n B(x - \xi', s) d\xi' = 0$. By the mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_3(x')} |\partial_i \partial_n B(x - \xi', s)| \|\nabla \phi_n(\cdot, t - s)\|_{L_x^\infty} |\xi' - x'| d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_3(x')} \frac{x_n}{s} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} |\xi' - x'| d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_3} \frac{x_n}{s^{3/2}} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{|\xi'|^{n-2}} d\xi' ds \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{x_n}{s^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}} ds \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude for $n \geq 2$, for all $i < n$,

$$|I_2| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, t \in [0, 2]). \quad (3.15)$$

When $i = n$, we rewrite I using (2.22)₂ (instead of (2.22)₁) and integration by parts. We get terms like I_1 but we do not get I_2 . Thus, I has the same estimate as (3.13).

The combination of (3.8), (3.13) and (3.15) gives (3.3).

Step 2. We now estimate $\partial_j \hat{v}_i(x, t)$ and we may assume $N_2 \leq 1$. Using the same notation above, we have $\partial_j \hat{v}_i(x, t) = \partial_j I + \partial_j J$, where I is the space-time integral, and J is the space only integral.

We first consider

$$\partial_j J = -2 \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i \partial_j E(x - \xi') \phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi'.$$

If $|x| \geq 2$, we have

$$|\partial_j J| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{|x - \xi'|^n} d\xi' \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^n}.$$

If $|x| < 2$ and $i < n, j < n$, we can integrate by parts in ξ_i and ξ_j to get

$$|\partial_j J| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} |E(x - \xi')| d\xi' \lesssim 1$$

for either $n \geq 3$ or $n = 2$. If $|x| < 2$ and $j < n = i$, then we integrate by parts in ξ_j to get

$$|\partial_j J| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} \frac{x_n}{|x - \xi'|^n} d\xi' \lesssim 1$$

by Lemma 2.1. The case $i < n = j$ is the same. The case $i = j = n$ follows from $\operatorname{div} J = 0$. We conclude for $n \geq 2$,

$$|\partial_j J| \leq \frac{C}{\langle x \rangle^n}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, t \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (3.16)$$

We now consider

$$\partial_j I = \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_j \tilde{K}_{in}(x - \xi', s) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds$$

and first consider $|x| \geq 2$. If $i < n, j < n$, we use (2.22)₁ and integrate by parts in $\partial_{x_i} = -\partial_{\xi_i}$ the term $\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} C_n$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j I &= -4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_{x_j} C_n(x - \xi', 0, s) \partial_i \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_n B(x - \xi', s) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds = \partial_j I_1 + \partial_j I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Using estimate (2.19) for $\partial_{x_j} C_n$,

$$|\partial_j I_1| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^n \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds \lesssim \int_0^t \frac{1}{|x|^n \sqrt{s}} ds \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|^n}. \quad (3.18)$$

For $\partial_j I_2$ involving $\partial_n B$, we first substitute $\partial_n B(x, t) = -\frac{x_n}{2t} B(x, t)$ which follows from the definition (2.10) of B . By estimate (2.13) for $\partial_i \partial_j B$,

$$|\partial_j I_2| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{x_n}{s} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^n \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds.$$

Thus,

$$|\partial_j I_2| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^n} \int_0^t \frac{x_n}{s} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{\sqrt{s}} ds = \frac{1}{|x|^n} \int_0^{t/x_n^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{10\tau}}}{\tau^{3/2}} d\tau \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^n}. \quad (3.19)$$

It follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that for $i < n$ and $j < n$,

$$|\partial_j I| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^n}. \quad (3.20)$$

If $|x| \geq 2$ and $i = n, j < n$, we use (2.22)₂ and integrate by parts in $\partial_{x_k} = -\partial_{\xi_k}$ the term $\partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} C_k$ to get

$$\partial_j I = 4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{k < n} \partial_j C_k(x - \xi', 0, s) \partial_k \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds. \quad (3.21)$$

Using estimate (2.19) for $\partial_{x_j} C_k$,

$$|\partial_j I| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^n \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|^n} \quad (3.22)$$

for $i = n, j < n$.

If $|x| \geq 2$ and $i < n, j = n$, we use (2.22)₁ and integrate by parts in $\partial_{x_i} = -\partial_{\xi_i}$ the term $\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_n} C_n$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n I &= -4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_{x_n} C_n(x - \xi', 0, s) \partial_i \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i \partial_n^2 B(x - \xi', s) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds = \partial_n I_1 + \partial_n I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Using (2.17),

$$\partial_n I_1 = 4 \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \left[\sum_{k < n} \partial_{x_k} C_k(x - \xi', 0, s) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_n \Gamma(x - \xi', s) \right] \partial_i \phi_n(\xi', t - s). \quad (3.24)$$

Using estimate (2.19) for $\partial_{x_j} C_k$,

$$|\partial_n I_1| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^n} + \frac{1}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n+1}} d\xi' ds \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|^n}. \quad (3.25)$$

For $\partial_n I_2$, using $\partial_t B = \Delta B$, integration by parts, and $B(x, 0) = 0 = \phi_n(\xi', 0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n I_2 &= -4 \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \partial_i \left(-\sum_{k < n} \partial_k^2 B(x - \xi', s) + \partial_s B(x - \xi', s) \right) \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds \\ &= 4 \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \sum_{k < n} \partial_k^2 B(x - \xi', s) \partial_i \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \partial_i B(x - \xi', s) \partial_t \phi_n(\xi', t - s) d\xi' ds =: I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Using the estimate (2.13),

$$|I_{21}| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^n \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|^n}. \quad (3.27)$$

For I_{22} , we consider two cases: $t < 1$ and $t > 1$. If $t < 1$, using the estimate (2.13) and the definition of ϕ given in (1.4) so that $|\partial_s \phi_n(\xi', s)| \leq cg(\xi')(1 - s)^{a-1}$ for $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{22}| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} (1 - t + s)^{a-1} d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{\sqrt{s}(1 - t + s)^{1-a}} ds \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}(x_n^2 + 1 - t + s)^{1-a}} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}} \lesssim \min\left(1, \left(\frac{s}{x_n^2+s}\right)^{1-a}\right)$ and

$$\min\left(1, \frac{s}{x_n^2+s}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1-t+s} \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{1-t+s}, \frac{1}{x_n^2+s}\right) \approx \frac{1}{x_n^2+1-t+s}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 with $L = 1$, $d = 1/2$, $a = x_n^2 + |1-t|$ and $k = 1-a$, we get

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \frac{1}{x_n+1} \left[\frac{1}{(x_n^2+|1-t|)^{1/2-a}} + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2+|1-t|}\right) \right].$$

If $t > 1$, using that $\phi(\xi', t-s)$ is supported in $t-1 < s < t$ and $e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}} \lesssim \left(\frac{s}{x_n^2+s}\right)^{1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{22}| &\lesssim \int_{t-1}^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x-\xi'|+\sqrt{s})^{n-1}\sqrt{s}} (1-t+s)^{a-1} d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_{t-1}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_n^2+s}(1-t+s)^{1-a}} ds = \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(x_n^2+t-1+u)^{1/2}u^{1-a}} du. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 with $L = 1$, $d = a$, $a = x_n^2 + |1-t|$ and $k = 1/2$, we get

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(x_n+1)^{2a}} \left[\frac{1}{(x_n^2+|1-t|)^{1/2-a}} + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2+|1-t|}\right) \right].$$

Summarizing, for $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $t \in (0, 2)$,

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \frac{\text{LN}}{|x|^{n-1}(x_n+1)^{2a}}, \quad (3.28)$$

where LN is as defined in (3.5),

$$\text{LN} = (x_n^2 + |t-1|)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2 + |t-1|}\right).$$

It follows from (3.25), (3.27) and (3.28) that for $i < n = j$ and $|x| \geq 2$,

$$|\partial_n I| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^n} + \frac{\text{LN}}{|x|^{n-1}(x_n+1)^{2a}}. \quad (3.29)$$

For $i = j = n$, we use $\text{div } I = 0$. Hence $\partial_n I$ has the same estimate as (3.20).

Combining (3.16), (3.20), (3.22), and (3.29), we get that for $|x| \geq 2$ and all i, j ,

$$|\partial_j \hat{v}_i| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^n} + \frac{\sigma \text{LN}}{|x|^{n-1}(x_n+1)^{2a}}, \quad (3.30)$$

where $\sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{j n}$ as defined in (2.6). We also get (3.7) when $|x| \geq 2$.

Suppose now $|x| < 2$. If $i < n$ and $j < n$, integrating by parts in $\partial_{x_j} = -\partial_{\xi_j}$ both terms $\partial_j I_1$ and $\partial_j I_2$ in (3.17), we obtain, using the same estimates for \hat{v} itself leading to (3.13) and (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_j I| &\lesssim \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} C_n(x-\xi', 0, s) \partial_i \partial_j \phi_n(\xi', t-s) d\xi' ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i \partial_n B(x-\xi', s) \partial_j \phi_n(\xi', t-s) d\xi' ds \right| \lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

If $i = j = n$, using $\operatorname{div} I = 0$, $\partial_n I$ as the same estimate as (3.31).

If $i = n$ and $j < n$, integrating by parts in $\partial_{x_j} = -\partial_{\xi_j}$ the term $\partial_{x_j} C_k$ in (3.21), we get

$$|\partial_j I| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \sum_{k < n} |C_k(x - \xi', 0, s)| d\xi' ds \lesssim 1. \quad (3.32)$$

If $i < n$ and $j = n$, we recall $\partial_n I = \partial_n I_1 + \partial_n I_2$, where $\partial_n I_1$ and $\partial_n I_2$ are given in (3.24) and (3.26), respectively. Integrating by parts in $\partial_{x_k} = -\partial_{\xi_k}$ the term $\partial_{x_k} C_k$ in (3.24), we get

$$|\partial_n I_1| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} |C_k(x - \xi', 0, s)| d\xi' ds + \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} |\partial_n \Gamma(x - \xi', s)| d\xi' ds = I_{11} + I_{12}.$$

We have $I_{11} \lesssim 1$ as before. Using change of variables $z = \frac{\xi'}{\sqrt{s}}$ and $u = s/x_n^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{x_n}{s} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-\xi'|^2}{4s}}}{s^{\frac{n}{2}}} d\xi' ds \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{x_n}{s} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} \frac{e^{-\frac{|\xi'|^2}{4s}}}{s^{\frac{n}{2}}} d\xi' ds \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{x_n}{s^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-z^2/4} dz = \int_0^\infty \frac{C}{u^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4u}} du = C. \end{aligned}$$

For $\partial_n I_2$, we recall that $\partial_n I_2 = I_{21} + I_{22}$, where I_{21} and I_{22} are defined in (3.26). Integrating by parts in $\partial_{x_k} = -\partial_{\xi_k}$ (exactly once) the term $\partial_k^2 B$ in I_{21} , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{21}| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \sum_{k < n} |\partial_k B(x - \xi', s)| d\xi' ds \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n + \sqrt{s}} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds \lesssim \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{u} \right) du \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{22} , by the same computation as for (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{22}| &\lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-1} \sqrt{s}} (1 - t + s)^{a-1} d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t \int_{B'_3} \frac{1}{(|\xi'| + x_n + \sqrt{s})^{n-1}} d\xi' s^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}} (1 - t + s)^{a-1} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n + \sqrt{s}} \right) s^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{20s}} (1 - t + s)^{a-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we bound $\log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n + \sqrt{s}} \right) \leq \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n} \right)$ then the same estimate for (3.28) goes through and gives

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n} \right) \text{LN}. \quad (3.33)$$

We now try to improve the above estimate.

By integration by parts,

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{B'_1} |B(x - \xi', s)| |\partial_i \partial_t \phi_n(\xi', t - s)| d\xi' ds. \quad (3.34)$$

For $n \geq 3$, noting $\|(1-s)^{1-a} \nabla_{\xi'} \partial_s \phi_n(\xi', s)\|_\infty \lesssim N_2 \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{22}| &\lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}}}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{s})^{n-2} \sqrt{s}} (1-t+s)^{a-1} d\xi' ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{10s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} (1-t+s)^{a-1} ds \lesssim \text{LN}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

by the same estimates leading to (3.28).

For $n = 2$, if $x_2^2 > |t-1|$, then by (3.33),

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_2} \right) \text{LN} \lesssim \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_2^2 + |t-1|} \right) \text{LN}.$$

We now consider $n = 2$ and $x_2^2 < |t-1|$. Using (3.34) and Remark 2.5,

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t \int_{B'_3} \frac{1 + |\log(x_2 + \sqrt{s})| + |\log(|\xi_1| + x_2 + \sqrt{s})|}{\sqrt{s}} (1-t+s)^{a-1} d\xi_1 ds.$$

Note that

$$1 + |\log y| \lesssim \log \frac{20}{y}, \quad 0 < y < 10.$$

Thus

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \int_{(t-1)_+}^t \frac{\log \frac{20}{x_2 + \sqrt{s}}}{\sqrt{s}} (1-t+s)^{a-1} ds. \quad (3.36)$$

If $0 < t < 1$, by changing variables $s = 4u^2$ and using (2.1) of Lemma 2.3 with $m = 2-2a$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{22}| &\lesssim \int_0^t \frac{\log \frac{4}{s}}{\sqrt{s}(1-t+s)^{1-a}} ds \lesssim \int_0^{1/2} \frac{-\log u}{(\sqrt{1-t}+u)^{2-2a}} du \\ &\approx \int_0^{1/2} \frac{-\log u}{(x_2 + \sqrt{1-t}+u)^{2-2a}} du \\ &\lesssim 1 + (x_2 + \sqrt{1-t})^{2a-1} + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} [\log(x_2 + \sqrt{1-t})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < t < 2$, then $\log \frac{20}{x_2 + \sqrt{s}} \leq A = \log \frac{20}{x_2 + \sqrt{t-1}}$ in (3.36) and

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \int_{t-1}^t \frac{A}{\sqrt{s}(1-t+s)^{1-a}} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{A}{\sqrt{u+t-1}u^{1-a}} du \approx \int_0^1 \frac{A}{\sqrt{u+x_n^2+t-1}u^{1-a}} du.$$

By Lemma 2.1 with $L = 1$, $d = a$, $a = x_n^2 + |1-t|$ and $k = 1/2$, we get

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \log \frac{20}{x_2 + \sqrt{t-1}} \left[\frac{1}{(x_n^2 + |1-t|)^{1/2-a}} + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2 + |1-t|} \right) \right].$$

Combining all cases, we have shown for $n = 2$ and $i < 2 = j$,

$$|I_{22}| \lesssim \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_2^2 + |t-1|} \right) \text{LN}, \quad \forall |x| < 2, \quad \forall |t-1| < 1. \quad (3.37)$$

and the same estimate for $\partial_n I_1$.

Therefore, (3.16), (3.31), (3.32), and (3.37) imply that for $|x| < 2$

$$|\partial_j \hat{v}_i| \lesssim 1 + \sigma \text{LN} \left[1 + \delta_{n2} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_2^2 + |t-1|} \right) \right], \quad (3.38)$$

where $\sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{jn}$. The gradient estimate (3.4) then follows from the outer estimate (3.30) and the inner estimate (3.38). We also get (3.7) when $|x| < 2$. \square

We will need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.1. It extends Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 of [10], which treat the case $n = 3$, to general dimensions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $K(x', t) = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x'-z'|^2}{4t}}}{|z'|^{n-2}} dz'$ for $x' \in \Sigma = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For any $m \in [2, \infty)$, we have*

$$K(x', s) \geq \left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d} \right)^{n-2} s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(1 - 2^{\frac{n-1}{4}} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2s}} \right), \quad (3.39)$$

$$K(x', s) \leq \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + \frac{C}{d^{n-2}} s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2s}}. \quad (3.40)$$

where $d = |x'| > 0$, and $C = C(n) > 0$ is some absolute constant.

Remark. For the application to the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is crucial that the two leading constants $\left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^{n-2} (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ and $\left(\frac{m}{m-1}\right)^{n-2} (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ are close for large m . In particular, we cannot absorb the second terms in (3.39) and (3.40) to the first terms.

Proof. Due to the scaling property $K(\mu x', \mu^2 s) = \mu K(x', s)$ and that of (3.39) and (3.40), it suffices to compute for the case $s = 1$. We split Σ into three disjoint regions \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ z' \in \Sigma : |x' - z'| < \frac{d}{m} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \left\{ z' \in \Sigma : |z'| < \frac{(m-1)d}{m} \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{C} = \Sigma \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$, and divide the integral as

$$K(x', 1) = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x'-z'|^2}{4}}}{|z'|^{n-2}} dz' = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots + \int_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \cdots := K_{\mathcal{A}} + K_{\mathcal{B}} + K_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Since $|z'| < (1 - 1/m)d$ in \mathcal{B} , we have $|x' - z'| \geq \frac{d}{m}$ and, with $m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathcal{B}} &= \left(\int_{|z'| < \frac{d}{2}} + \int_{\frac{d}{2} < |z'| < d - \frac{d}{m}} \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{|x'-z'|^2}{4}}}{|z'|^{n-2}} dz' \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{d^2}{16}} \int_{|z'| < \frac{d}{2}} \frac{dz'}{|z'|^{n-2}} + (d/2)^{2-n} \int_{\frac{d}{2} < |z'| < d - \frac{d}{m}} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2}} e^{-\frac{|x'-z'|^2}{8}} dz' \\ &\leq C d e^{-\frac{d^2}{16}} + C d^{2-n} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2}} \\ &\leq C d^{2-n} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1 - 1/m)d \leq |z'|$ in both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} , we have

$$K_{\mathcal{A}} + K_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \int_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}} e^{-\frac{|x'-z'|^2}{4}} dz' \leq \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{4}} dw',$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-|w'|^2/4} dw' = \int_{\Sigma} (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma'(w', 1) dw' = (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Above $\Gamma'(w', t)$ is the heat kernel on $\Sigma \times (0, \infty)$. Summing up the above estimates, we obtain the upper bound of K in (3.40).

For the lower bound (3.39), we note that $|z'| \leq (1 + 1/m)d$ in \mathcal{A} . Thus

$$K(x', 1) \geq K_{\mathcal{A}} \geq \left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d} \right)^{n-2} \int_{|w'| < \frac{d}{m}} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{4}} dw',$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|w'| < \frac{d}{m}} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{4}} dw' &= \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{4}} dw' - \int_{|w'| > \frac{d}{m}} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{4}} dw' \\ &\geq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{4}} dw' - e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2}} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|w'|^2}{8}} dw' \\ &= (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2}} (4\pi\sqrt{2})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

using

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-|w'|^2/8} dw' = \int_{\Sigma} (4\pi\sqrt{2})^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma'(w', \sqrt{2}) dw' = (4\pi\sqrt{2})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

This shows (3.39). □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1. Hölder continuity.

Note that the boundary value $\phi \in C^{2a,a}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2])$. Let $b = a$ if $0 < a < 1/2$, and b can be any number in $(0, 1/2)$ when $a = 1/2$. We claim that, since $\phi(\xi', s) = g(\xi') h(s) e_n$ and $g \in C_c^1(B'_1)$,

$$R'\phi \in C^{2b,b}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2]), \quad (3.41)$$

where $R' = (R'_1, \dots, R'_{n-1})$ is $(n-1)$ -dimensional Riesz transforms on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . (If $n = 2$, R' is the Hilbert transform on \mathbb{R} .) Indeed, it is known that homogeneous Hölder continuity is preserved by the Riesz transforms, i.e. $R'g \in \dot{C}^{2b}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ (see e.g. Chang-Jin [3, Proposition 4.1] and related reference therein) if $0 < 2b < 1$. Being locally Hölder continuous, $R'g$ is locally bounded. If $|\xi'| > 2$, then

$$|R_i g(\xi')| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} c \frac{\xi'_i - y'_i}{|\xi' - y'|^n} g(y') dy' \right| \lesssim \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{|\xi'|^{n-1}} |g(y')| dy' \lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{L^1}}{|\xi'|^{n-1}}.$$

The above shows (3.41). By [3, Theorem 1.2], we have $\hat{v} \in C^{2b,b}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, 2])$.

Step 2. Finite global energy in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 2)$.

We first show that $\hat{v}(t) \in L_x^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $n \geq 3$ for $t \in [0, 2]$. With the aid of the estimate (3.3) in Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\hat{v}(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{N_1^2}{\langle x \rangle^{2(n-1)}} dx \lesssim \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\rho^2 + 1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} d\rho < \infty$$

for $n \geq 3$. The last integral diverges if $n = 2$. On the other hand, by the estimate (3.4) of the gradient of \hat{v} for $n \geq 3$,

$$|\partial_{x_j} \hat{v}_i(x, t)| \leq CN_2 \left[\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} + \frac{\sigma \text{LN}}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1} (x_n^2 + 1)^a} \right], \quad \sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{jn}, \quad (3.42)$$

where

$$\text{LN} = (x_n^2 + |t - 1|)^{a - \frac{1}{2}} + \delta_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2 + |t - 1|} \right).$$

It is clear that $1/\langle x \rangle^n$ is square integrable in \mathbb{R}_+^n , uniformly in t , and thus it suffices to estimate the second term of the right-hand side in (3.42), in the case $\sigma = 1$. Firstly, we consider the case that $a < 1/2$. Using $x_n^2 + |t - 1| \leq x_n^2 + 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{(x_n^2 + |t - 1|)^{2a-1}}{\langle x \rangle^{2(n-1)} (x_n^2 + 1)^{2a}} dx dt \lesssim \int_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(x_n^2 + |t - 1|)} dx_n dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^2 \frac{1}{|t - 1|^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

In the case $a = 1/2$, we have

$$\int_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{\log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n^2 + |t - 1|} \right)^2}{\langle x \rangle^{2(n-1)} (x_n^2 + 1)} dx dt \lesssim \int_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\log \left(2 + \frac{1}{|t - 1|} \right)^2}{(x_n^2 + 1)} dx_n dt < \infty. \quad (3.44)$$

Collecting the above estimates, we get (1.8), i.e., the solution is of finite energy when $n \geq 3$.

Step 3. Pressure estimate.

Let $n \geq 2$. We now derive the pointwise estimate (1.9) for the pressure \hat{p} . From (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}(x, t) &= 2\partial_n^2 \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi') \phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi' + 2 \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi') \partial_t \phi_n(\xi', t) d\xi' \\ &\quad - 4(\partial_t - \Delta_{x'}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau) \phi_n(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau \\ &=: I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{\Sigma} E(x - \xi') \partial_i^2 \phi(\xi', t) d\xi', \\ |I| &\lesssim h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_1'} |E(x - \xi')| d\xi'. \end{aligned}$$

For $|x| < 2$,

$$\int_{B'_1} |E(x - \xi')| d\xi' \lesssim 1.$$

For $|x| \geq 2$, then $|x - \xi'| \geq |x| - |\xi'| \geq 1$ so that $\log|x - \xi'| > 0$ for $|\xi'| \leq 1$, and

$$\int_{B'_1} |E(x - \xi')| d\xi' \lesssim \begin{cases} \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{|x - \xi'|^{n-2}} d\xi' \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} & n \geq 3, \\ \int_{B'_1} \log|x - \xi'| d\xi' \lesssim \log(|x| + 1) & n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$|I| \lesssim h(t) \left(\mathbb{1}_{n \geq 3} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-2}} + \mathbb{1}_{n=2} \log(|x| + 1) \right).$$

For II , from the same computation as for I we have

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &\lesssim |h'(t)| \left(\mathbb{1}_{n \geq 3} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-2}} + \mathbb{1}_{n=2} (1 + \log(|x| + 1)) \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\chi_{\frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 1}(t)}{(1-t)^{1-a}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{n \geq 3} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-2}} + \mathbb{1}_{n=2} \log(|x| + 2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Note that the estimate of I is dominated by that of II .

For III , we consider the three cases: $t < \frac{1}{4}$, $t \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ and $t \geq 1$.

If $t < \frac{1}{4}$, since $\text{supp } h \subset [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$ and $A(x, t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, we have $t - \tau < 0$ for $t < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\tau \geq \frac{1}{4}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau) \phi_n(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau) g(\xi') h(\tau) d\xi' d\tau \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau) g(\xi') h(\tau) d\xi' d\tau = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $III = 0$.

If $t \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} |III| &\lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} |\partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau)| [|\Delta_{\xi'} g(\xi')| h(\tau) + |g(\xi')| |h'(\tau)|] d\xi' d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - \tau} (|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{t - \tau})^{n-1}} h(\tau) d\xi' d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^t \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - \tau} (|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{t - \tau})^{n-1}} |h'(\tau)| d\xi' d\tau =: III_1 + III_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{(|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{t - \tau})^{n-1}} d\xi' \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} \int_0^{|x|+1} \frac{r^{n-2}}{(t + \sqrt{t - \tau})^{n-1}} d\xi' \lesssim \frac{|x|+1}{|x| + \sqrt{t - \tau} + 1} \left(1 + \log_+ \frac{|x|+1}{\sqrt{t - \tau}} \right) & |x| < 2, \\ \frac{1}{(|x| + \sqrt{t - \tau})^{n-1}} & |x| \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\log \left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t - \tau}} \right)}{(|x| + \sqrt{t - \tau} + 1)^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have, using the change of variables $u = \sqrt{t - \tau}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |III_1| &\lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)}{(|x| + \sqrt{t-\tau} + 1)^{n-1}} (1-\tau)^a d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\sqrt{t-\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{u}\right)}{(|x| + u + 1)^{n-1}} du \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |III_2| &\lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)}{(|x| + \sqrt{t-\tau} + 1)^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(1-\tau)^{1-a}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \int_0^{\sqrt{t-\frac{1}{4}}} \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{u}\right) \frac{1}{(u^2 + 1 - t)^{1-a}} du \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1-a} \langle x \rangle^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $t \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$

$$|III| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1-a} \langle x \rangle^{n-1}}. \quad (3.46)$$

If $t \geq 1$, integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} |III| &\lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} |\partial_n A(x - \xi', t - \tau)| [|\Delta_{\xi'} g(\xi')| h(\tau) + |g(\xi')| |h'(\tau)|] d\xi' d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau} (|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{t-\tau})^{n-1}} h(\tau) d\xi' d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 \int_{B'_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau} (|x - \xi'| + \sqrt{t-\tau})^{n-1}} |h'(\tau)| d\xi' d\tau =: III_1 + III_2, \end{aligned}$$

where, using the change of variables $u = \sqrt{t - \tau}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |III_1| &\lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)}{(|x| + \sqrt{t-\tau} + 1)^{n-1}} (1-\tau)^a d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_{\sqrt{t-1}}^{\sqrt{t-\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{u}\right)}{(|x| + u + 1)^{n-1}} du \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |III_2| &\lesssim \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\right)}{(|x| + \sqrt{t-\tau} + 1)^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(1-\tau)^{1-a}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \int_{t-1}^{t-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \frac{1}{(1-t+s)^{1-a}} ds, \quad s = t - \tau, \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \int_{t-1}^{t-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{(1-t+s)^{1-a}} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \int_0^{\frac{3}{4}} \frac{1}{(u+t-1)u^{1-a}} du, \quad u = 1 - t + s, \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \frac{(3/4)^a}{(t-1/4)^a} \frac{1}{(t-1)^{1-a}} \sim \frac{1}{(t-1)^{1-a} \langle x \rangle^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $t \geq 1$

$$|III| \lesssim \frac{1}{(t-1)^{1-a} \langle x \rangle^{n-1}}. \quad (3.47)$$

Combining (3.46) and (3.47), for all t

$$|III| \lesssim \frac{\chi_{t \geq \frac{1}{4}}(t)}{|t-1|^{1-a} \langle x \rangle^{n-1}}. \quad (3.48)$$

The pointwise estimate (1.9) follows from (3.45) and (3.48).

Step 4. Lower bound of velocity gradient: Set up.

By (3.7) of Remark 3.2, we have $|\partial_j \hat{v}_i(x, 1) - \sigma \partial_n I_2(x, 1)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-n}$ where $\sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{jn}$. In particular, $|\partial_j \hat{v}_i(x, 1)|$ may blow up as $x_n \rightarrow 0_+$ only if $\sigma = 1$, i.e., $i < n = j$. We will show that $|\partial_n \hat{v}_i(x, 1)|$ is indeed unbounded when $i < n$. It suffices to estimate $\partial_n I_2(x, 1)$ given in (3.23). After integration by parts,

$$\partial_n I_2(x, 1) = -4 \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n^2 B(x - \xi', s) \partial_i \phi_n(\xi', 1 - s) d\xi' ds, \quad \text{for } i < n. \quad (3.49)$$

Recall $K(x', t)$ defined in Lemma 3.3,

$$K(x', t) := \int_{\Sigma} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x' - z'|^2}{4t}}}{|z'|^{n-2}} dz', \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

By the definition (2.10) of B , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 4B(x - \xi', s) &= e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} \frac{C_n}{s^{\frac{n}{2}}} K(x' - \xi', s), \\ 4\partial_n^2 B(x - \xi', s) &= e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) \frac{C_n}{s^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} K(x' - \xi', s), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_n = 4(4\pi)^{-n/2} [n(n-2)|B_1|]^{-1} > 0$. By our assumption (1.4)–(1.6) on the boundary value, we have

$$\partial_i \phi_n(\xi', 1 - s) = \partial_i g(\xi') s^a, \quad (0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}). \quad (3.50)$$

Therefore we have

$$\partial_n I_2(x, 1) = C_n \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) \frac{1}{s^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} K(x' - \xi', s) \partial_i g(\xi') s^a d\xi' ds. \quad (3.51)$$

To get a lower bound of $\partial_n I_2$, we choose g in a product form as in (1.10).

For fixed i , denote the reflection $x'_* = (x_1, \dots, -x_i, \dots, x_{n-1})$ which differs from x' in the sign of the i th component x_i . For our choice of g we have

$$\partial_n I_2(x'_*, x_n, 1) = -\partial_n I_2(x, 1). \quad (3.52)$$

That is, $\partial_n I_2(x, 1)$ is odd in x_i for the fixed i in (3.51). It is because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} K(x' - \xi', s) \partial_i g(\xi') d\xi' &= \int_{\Sigma} K(x'_* - \xi'_*, s) \partial_i g(\xi') d\xi' \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} K(x'_* - \eta', s) \partial_i g(\eta'_*) d\eta' = - \int_{\Sigma} K(x'_* - \eta', s) \partial_i g(\eta') d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

Above $\eta' = \xi'_*$, and we used $\partial_i g(\eta'_*) = -\partial_i g(\eta')$ in the last equality.

In what follows we assume

$$|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j| \quad \text{and} \quad x_i < 0. \quad (3.53)$$

The case $x_i > 0$ follows from (3.52) with a sign change.

Step 5. Key estimates.

Decompose $\partial_n I_2$ in (3.51) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n} &= \int_0^{x_n^2/2} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} s^{a-1-\frac{n}{2}} K(x' - \xi', s) \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) [(\partial_i g)_- - (\partial_i g)_+] (\xi') d\xi' ds \\ &\quad + \int_{x_n^2/2}^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} s^{a-1-\frac{n}{2}} K(x' - \xi', s) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) [(\partial_i g)_+ - (\partial_i g)_-] (\xi') d\xi' ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3 with a large enough m that will be chosen later,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} &\geq \int_0^{x_n^2/2} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} s^{a-1-\frac{n}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d} \right)^{n-2} (\partial_i g)_- - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} (\partial_i g)_+ \right] (\xi') d\xi' ds \\ &\quad + \int_{x_n^2/2}^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} s^{a-1-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d} \right)^{n-2} (\partial_i g)_+ - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} (\partial_i g)_- \right] (\xi') d\xi' ds - J_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $d = |x' - \xi'|$ and

$$J_3 = C \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{3}{2}-a}} \left| -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right| \frac{1}{d^{n-2}} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8ms}} |\partial_i g| (\xi') d\xi' ds.$$

Thus, with $d_* = |x'_* - \xi'_*|$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} + J_3 \\ &\geq K_2 \int_0^{x_n^2/2} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} s^{a-\frac{3}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) ds + K_1 \int_{x_n^2/2}^1 e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} s^{a-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_n^2}{4s} \right) ds \\ &= \left(\frac{x_n^2}{4} \right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \left[K_2 \int_{1/2}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma} \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) d\sigma + K_1 \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} - \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) d\sigma \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{x_n^2}{4s}$,

$$K_1 = \int_{B'_{1, \xi'_i > 0}} \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d_*} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \right] |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi', \quad (3.55)$$

and

$$K_2 = \int_{\Sigma, \xi_i > 0} \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d_*} \right)^{n-2} \right] |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' < 0.$$

That $K_2 < 0$ is because $d > d_*$ for $x_i < 0$ and $\xi_i > 0$. We now show a positive lower bound of K_1 . As $x_i < 0$ by (3.53), $d_* < d$ if $\xi_i > 0$ and

$$d - d_* = |x' - \xi'| - |x'_* - \xi'| = \frac{|x' - \xi'|^2 - |x'_* - \xi'|^2}{|x' - \xi'| + |x'_* - \xi'|} = \frac{-4x_i \xi_i}{|x' - \xi'| + |x'_* - \xi'|}.$$

On the support of $\partial_i g(\xi')$ with $\xi_i > 0$, we have

$$\xi_i \in \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}}, \frac{4}{5\sqrt{n-1}} \right), \quad |\xi'| \leq 1.$$

By (3.53), $-x_i \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} |x'|$. Also using $|x'| \geq 3$,

$$d - d_* \geq \frac{4 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} |x'| \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}}}{2(|x'| + 1)} \geq \frac{3}{4(n-1)} =: \delta. \quad (3.56)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D &:= \left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d_*} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{m}{(m+1)(d-\delta)} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \\ &= S \left(\frac{m}{(m+1)(d-\delta)} - \frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right) = \frac{Sm((m+1)\delta - 2d)}{(m+1)(d-\delta)(m-1)d}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

where

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \left(\frac{m}{(m+1)(d-\delta)} \right)^k \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-3-k} \approx \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-3}} \quad (3.58)$$

for $m > 2$. Choosing $m = 4(n-1)|x'| + 1$, we have

$$D \geq \frac{mS}{(m+1)(d-\delta)(m-1)d} (3|x'| - 2(|x'| + 1)) \geq \frac{C_1}{|x'|^{n-1}}, \quad (3.59)$$

for $|x'| \geq 3$ and $\xi' \in \text{supp } \partial_i g$, $\xi_i > 0$, with a constant C_1 independent of $|x'| \geq 3$. Back to K_1 we have

$$K_1 \geq \frac{C_1}{|x'|^{n-1}} \int_{B'_1, \xi_i > 0} |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' = \frac{C_2}{|x'|^{n-1}}.$$

For $a < 1/2$, we claim

$$M_2 := \int_{1/2}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma} \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) d\sigma < M_1 := \int_0^{1/2} e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} - \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) d\sigma \quad (3.60)$$

which is equivalent to

$$2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} d\sigma < \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} d\sigma. \quad (3.61)$$

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (3.61)} &= \left[-2e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right]_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty -2e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} - a \right) \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} d\sigma \\ &= 0 + (1 - 2a) \text{RHS} < \text{RHS of (3.61)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$K_2 M_2 + K_1 M_1 > 0$$

if we take m sufficiently large, $m \geq \frac{C(n)|x'|M_1}{M_1 - M_2}$. In fact, to show $K_2 M_2 + K_1 M_1 > 0$, we only need positivity of

$$S = \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d_*} \right)^{n-2} \right] \theta + \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d_*} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \right] > 0,$$

where $\theta = \frac{M_2}{M_1} \in (0, 1)$. Let $A = d^{2-n} < (d_* + \delta)^{2-n} < B = d_*^{2-n} < 1$. Using

$$1 - C_1 \alpha \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \right)^{n-2} \leq 1 - C_2 \alpha, \quad 1 + C_3 \alpha \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \right)^{n-2} \leq 1 + C_4 \alpha,$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, where $C_i = C_i(n)$, and taking $\alpha = 1/m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &\geq (1 - C_1 \alpha) \theta A - (1 + C_4 \alpha) \theta B + (1 - C_1 \alpha) B - (1 + C_4 \alpha) A \\ &= (1 - \theta)(B - A) - \alpha(C_1 \theta A + C_4 \theta B + C_1 B + C_4 A) \\ &> (1 - \theta)(B - A) - \alpha(C_1 + C_4)(A + B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S > 0$ if

$$\frac{1}{m} = \alpha < \frac{(1 - \theta)(B - A)}{(C_1 + C_4)(B + A)}, \quad (3.62)$$

uniformly in ξ' in the support of $\partial_i g(\xi')$, $\xi_i > 0$. We have

$$B - A = \frac{1}{d_*^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{d^{n-2}} \geq \frac{1}{d_*^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{(d_* + \delta)^{n-2}} \approx \frac{1}{d_*^{n-3}} \left(\frac{1}{d_*} - \frac{1}{(d_* + \delta)} \right) \approx \frac{1}{d_*^{n-1}} \approx \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-1}},$$

and $B + A \approx \frac{1}{d^{n-2}} \approx \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-2}}$. Thus it suffices to take

$$m = \frac{C(n)|x'|}{1 - \theta} = \frac{C(n)M_1}{M_1 - M_2} |x'|.$$

Then $S \gtrsim B - A \gtrsim |x'|^{1-n}$ and

$$K_1 M_1 + K_2 M_2 = \int_{\text{supp } \partial_i g, \xi_i > 0} M_1 S |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' \gtrsim |x'|^{1-n} \int |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi',$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} + J_3 &\gtrsim \left(\frac{x_n^2}{4}\right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \left[K_2 M_2 + K_1 M_1 - K_1 \int_0^{x_n^2/4} e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} - \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \right) d\sigma \right] \\
&\gtrsim \left(\frac{x_n^2}{4}\right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \left[|x'|^{1-n} - C|x'|^{2-n} x_n^{1-2a} \right] \gtrsim |x'|^{1-n} x_n^{2a-1} - C|x'|^{2-n}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

for $a < 1/2$.

For $a = 1/2$, using $K_1, |K_2| \lesssim |x'|^{2-n}$ and $K_1 \gtrsim |x'|^{1-n}$, (3.54) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} + J_3 &\geq K_2 \int_{1/2}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-1} \right) d\sigma + K_1 \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-1} - 1 \right) d\sigma \\
&\geq -C|x'|^{2-n} + \frac{K_1}{2} \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \\
&\geq -C|x'|^{2-n} + \frac{K_1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \\
&\geq -C|x'|^{2-n} + C|x'|^{1-n} \log \frac{2}{x_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

As for J_3 , since $e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{4s}} |-1/2 + x_n^2/(4s)| \lesssim 1$, we have

$$|J_3| \lesssim \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{3}{2}-a}} \frac{1}{d^{n-2}} e^{-\frac{d^2}{8m^2s}} |\partial_i g|(\xi') d\xi' ds.$$

Using

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}-a}} e^{-b/s} \frac{ds}{s} = \int_b^{\infty} \left(\frac{v}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-a} e^{-v} \frac{dv}{v} \leq Cb^{a-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\int_0^1 e^{-b/s} \frac{ds}{s} = \int_b^{\infty} e^{-v} \frac{dv}{v} \approx |\log b|$$

for $b > 0$, we get for $m \geq d$ and $b = \frac{d^2}{8m^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3| &\lesssim \int_{\text{supp } \partial_i g} \frac{1}{d^{n-2}} \left[\mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{d^2}{8m^2} \right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{8m^2}{d^2} \right] |\partial_i g|(\xi') d\xi' \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{m^{1-2a}}{|x'|^{n-1-2a}} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-2}} \log \frac{4m}{|x'|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

Choosing the same $m = C|x'|$, we get $|J_3| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-2}}$. We conclude

$$\partial_n I_2(x, 1) \gtrsim |x'|^{1-n} \left(\mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_n^{2a-1} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{2}{x_n} \right) - \frac{C}{|x'|^{n-2}}.$$

This completes the proof of (1.11). \square

Proof of Proposition 1.2.

We repeat Steps 1 and 2 of the proof of Theorem 1.1. In particular, $\partial_n I_2(x, 1)$ is odd in x_i as shown in (3.52). Hence $\partial_n I_2(x, 1) = 0$ if $x_i = 0$, and it suffices to consider $x_i < 0$. Recall $|x'| > 3$, and we do not assume $|x_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |x_j|$.

We repeat Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 1.1 up to (3.56), and replace (3.56) by

$$\begin{aligned} d - d_* &= \frac{-4x_i \xi_i}{|x' - \xi'| + |x'_* - \xi'|} \\ &\geq \frac{4|x_i| \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n-1}}}{2(|x'| + 1)} = \frac{|x_i|}{\sqrt{n-1}(1 + |x'|)} =: \delta(|x_i|, |x'|), \end{aligned} \quad (3.66)$$

for ξ' in the support of $\partial_i g(\xi')$ with $\xi_i > 0$. The estimate of K_1 defined in (3.55) now proceeds as

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \int_{B'_1, \xi_i > 0} \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)d_*} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \right] |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' \\ &\geq \int_{B'_1, \xi_i > 0} \left[\left(\frac{m}{(m+1)(d-\delta)} \right)^{n-2} - \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d} \right)^{n-2} \right] |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' \\ &\geq \frac{C}{m|x'|^{n-1}} \int_{B'_1, \xi_i > 0} [(m+1)\delta - 2d] |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

using (3.57) and (3.58). We now choose

$$m = \frac{3}{\delta}(|x'| + 1) = \frac{3\sqrt{n-1}(1 + |x'|)^2}{|x_i|}. \quad (3.68)$$

Then

$$K_1 \geq \frac{C}{m|x'|^{n-2}} \int_{B'_1, \xi_i > 0} |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' \geq \frac{C|x_i|}{|x'|^n} \|\partial_i g\|_{L^1}.$$

For $a < 1/2$, recall from the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} + J_3 \geq \left(\frac{x_n^2}{4} \right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} (K_2 M_2 + K_1 M_1 - C K_1 x_n^{1-2a}).$$

To make $K_2 M_2 + K_1 M_1 > 0$ we take $m = C \frac{B+A}{B-A}$ by (3.62), while for $A = d^{2-n} < (d_* + \delta)^{2-n} < B = d_*^{2-n} < 1$ we have

$$B - A = \frac{1}{d_*^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{d^{n-2}} \geq \frac{1}{d_*^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{(d_* + \delta)^{n-2}} \approx \frac{1}{d_*^{n-3}} \left(\frac{1}{d_*} - \frac{1}{(d_* + \delta)} \right) \approx \frac{\delta}{d_*^{n-1}}.$$

By (3.66), $\delta = C|x_i|/|x'|$. Thus

$$B - A \approx \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n}, \quad m = \frac{C|x'|^2}{|x_i|} \approx C \frac{B+A}{B-A}. \quad (3.69)$$

In this case, $S \gtrsim B - A \gtrsim \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n}$ and

$$K_1 M_1 + K_2 M_2 = \int_{\text{supp } \partial_i g, \xi_i > 0} M_1 S |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi' \gtrsim \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n} \int |\partial_i g(\xi')| d\xi'.$$

The error term

$$\left(\frac{x_n^2}{4}\right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} CK_1 x_n^{1-2a} = CK_1 \lesssim |x'|^{2-n}.$$

For $a = 1/2$, using $K_1, |K_2| \lesssim |x'|^{2-n}$ and $K_1 \gtrsim \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n}$, (3.54) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_n I_2(x, 1)}{C_n (4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} + J_3 &\geq K_2 \int_{1/2}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-1}\right) d\sigma + K_1 \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} e^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{-1} - 1\right) d\sigma \\ &\geq -C|x'|^{2-n} + \frac{K_1}{2} \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} e^{-\sigma} \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \\ &\geq -C|x'|^{2-n} + \frac{K_1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{x_n^2/4}^{1/2} \sigma^{-1} d\sigma \\ &\geq -C|x'|^{2-n} + C \frac{|x_i|}{|x'|^n} \log \frac{2}{x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

As for J_3 , by the estimate (3.65) and the choice (3.69) of m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\lesssim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{m^{1-2a}}{|x'|^{n-1-2a}} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-2}} \log \frac{4m}{|x'|} \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{C}{|x_i|^{1-2a} |x'|^{n-3+2a}} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-2}} \log \frac{4|x'|}{|x_i|}, \end{aligned}$$

which is no smaller than $C|x'|^{2-n}$. From (3.7) of Remark 3.2, the above discussion and the fact $x_i > 0$ follows from (3.52) with a sign change, we conclude for $x_i \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_n} \hat{v}_i(x, 1)| &\geq \frac{C|x_i|}{|x'|^n} \left(\mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_n^{2a-1} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{2}{x_n} \right) \\ &\quad - C \left[\mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{C}{|x_i|^{1-2a} |x'|^{n-3+2a}} + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|x'|^{n-2}} \log \frac{4|x'|}{|x_i|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1.13). \square

Remark 3.4. In the case $a = 1/2$, Hölder continuity of \hat{v} was proved up to boundary away from the region with non-zero boundary flux in Kang [10]. The case $0 < a < 1/2$ can be proved similarly. However, it would require extra work to show Hölder continuity up to the support of the boundary flux, and it is easier to refer to Chang-Jin [3].

4 Navier-Stokes flows

Let $n \geq 3$ and $\phi(\xi', s)$ be defined on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1.4)-(1.6). Let $\hat{v}(x, t)$ be the Stokes flow with boundary data $\phi(x', t)$ and initial data $\hat{v}(x, 0) = 0$, given by (3.1). Our aim in this section is to construct a solution $u(x, t)$ of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with similar properties of the form

$$u = \alpha \hat{v} + v, \quad v = O(\alpha^2), \quad (4.1)$$

for $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small. Since \hat{v} solves the Stokes system (1.1), v solves

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + \nabla \pi = -(\alpha \hat{v} + v) \cdot \nabla (\alpha \hat{v} + v), \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

with zero initial and boundary values

$$v(x, 0) = 0, \quad v(x', 0, t) = 0.$$

Since the main term of u is $\alpha\hat{v}$, we expect u to satisfy the same bounds of \hat{v} . By Proposition 3.1, $\hat{v}(x, t)$ satisfies the decay estimates

$$|\hat{v}(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_{x_j} \hat{v}_i(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} + \frac{\sigma \text{LN}(x, t)}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1} (x_n + 1)^{2a}}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\sigma = \delta_{i < n} \delta_{jn}$ and LN is defined in (3.5). Hence we define X and Y to be the sets of functions defined in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 2)$ with finite norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_X &= \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,2)} (|f(x, t)| + |\nabla f(x, t)|) \langle x \rangle^n, \\ \|f\|_Y &= \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0,2)} \left[|f(x, t)| \langle x \rangle^{n-1} + |\nabla f(x, t)| \left(\frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} + \frac{\text{LN}(x, t)}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1} (x_n + 1)^{2a}} \right)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We have $\hat{v} \in Y$ and $\|f\|_Y \leq \|f\|_X$ (with constant 1).

Consider the bilinear map

$$B(f, g)_i(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \partial_{y_k} G_{ij}(x, y, t-s) f_k(y, s) g_j(y, s) dy ds. \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.1. *There is an absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ such that, if $f, g \in Y$, then*

$$\|B(f, g)\|_X \leq C_1 \|f\|_Y \|g\|_Y.$$

Proof. We may assume $\|f\|_Y \leq 1$ and $\|g\|_Y \leq 1$. By $\nabla_{x,y} G_{ij}$ estimates Theorem 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{x,y} G_{ij}(x, y, s)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(|x-y| + \sqrt{s})^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{(|x^* - y| + \sqrt{s})^n (x_n + y_n + \sqrt{s})} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(|x-y| + \sqrt{s})^n \sqrt{s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Changing variables $s \rightarrow t-s$ and using Lemma 2.2 and $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} |B(f, g)(x, t)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{1}{(|x-y| + \sqrt{s})^n} \frac{1}{(|y| + 1)^{2n-2}} dy ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left[\frac{1}{(|x| + \sqrt{s} + 1)^{2n-2}} \log \frac{|x| + \sqrt{s} + 1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{1}{(|x| + \sqrt{s} + 1)^n} \right] ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \left(\frac{\log(|x| + 3) + |\log \sqrt{s}|}{(|x| + 1)^{2n-2}} + \frac{1}{(|x| + 1)^n} \right) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the time integral is uniformly bounded in t ,

$$|B(f, g)(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{\log(|x| + 3)}{\langle x \rangle^{2n-2}} + \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n}. \quad (4.7)$$

For $\nabla B(f, g)$, we use the formula

$$\nabla B(f, g)(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla_x G_{ij}(x, y, t-s) \partial_k [f_k(y, s) g_j(y, s)] dy ds.$$

Using $\|f\|_Y \leq 1$ and $\|g\|_Y \leq 1$, we have

$$\partial_k[f_k(y, s)g_j(y, s)] \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle y \rangle^{2n-1}} + \frac{\text{LN}(y, s)}{\langle y \rangle^{2n-2}(y_n + 1)^{2a}},$$

where LN is defined in (3.5). By $\nabla_{x,y}G_{ij}$ estimates (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla B(f, g)(x, t)| &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{1}{(|x-y| + \sqrt{t-s})^n \sqrt{t-s}} \frac{1}{(|y|+1)^{2n-1}} dy ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{1}{(|x-y| + \sqrt{t-s})^n \sqrt{t-s}} \frac{\text{LN}(y, s)}{(|y|+1)^{2n-2}(y_n+1)^{2a}} dy ds =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the same estimate of $|B(f, g)(x, t)|$ in (4.7) as $\langle y \rangle^{-2n+1} \leq \langle y \rangle^{-2n+2}$, we have

$$I_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n}. \quad (4.8)$$

For I_2 , note that $|s-1| < 1$ since $s \in (0, 2)$. When $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, let $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\frac{\text{LN}(y, s)}{(y_n + 1)^{2a}} = \frac{1}{(y_n^2 + |s-1|)^{\frac{1}{2}-a} (y_n + 1)^{2a}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|s-1|^\beta}.$$

When $a = 1/2$, choosing $\beta = 1/4$, (or any value in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$)

$$\frac{\text{LN}(y, s)}{(y_n + 1)^{2a}} = \frac{\log\left(2 + \frac{1}{y_n^2 + |s-1|}\right)}{(y_n + 1)} \lesssim 1 + (y_n^2 + |s-1|)^{-\beta} \lesssim \frac{1}{|s-1|^\beta}.$$

Hence for all $a \in (0, 1/2]$, changing variables $s \rightarrow t - s$ and using Lemma 2.2 and $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{1}{(|x-y| + \sqrt{s})^n} \frac{1}{(|y|+1)^{2n-2}} dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}|t-s-1|^\beta} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{(|x| + \sqrt{s} + 1)^{2n-2}} \log \frac{|x| + \sqrt{s} + 1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{1}{(|x| + \sqrt{s} + 1)^n} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}|t-s-1|^\beta} ds \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(|x|+1)^{2n-2}} \int_0^t \frac{\log(|x|+3) + |\log \sqrt{s}|}{\sqrt{s}|t-s-1|^\beta} ds + \frac{1}{(|x|+1)^n} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}|t-s-1|^\beta} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta < 1/2$, both time integrals converge and are uniformly bounded in t , and

$$I_2 \lesssim \frac{\log(|x|+3)}{\langle x \rangle^{2n-2}} + \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n}. \quad (4.9)$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), we have derived

$$|\nabla B(f, g)(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^n}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ and \hat{v} be a solenoidal vector field in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 2)$ satisfying the pointwise bounds (4.3). There is a small constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\alpha \in (-\alpha_0, \alpha_0)$, there is a solution v to*

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + \nabla \pi = -(\alpha \hat{v} + v) \cdot \nabla(\alpha \hat{v} + v), \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 2)$, with $v(x', 0, t) = 0$, $v(x, 0) = 0$, and the pointwise bounds

$$(|v(x, t)| + |\nabla v(x, t)|) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-n}. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. By the solution formula (2.7), a mild solution v of (4.10) satisfies

$$v = B(\alpha \hat{v} + v, \alpha \hat{v} + v) = \alpha^2 B(\hat{v}, \hat{v}) + \alpha B(\hat{v}, v) + \alpha B(v, \hat{v}) + B(v, v). \quad (4.12)$$

The main term of v is the source term $\alpha^2 B(\hat{v}, \hat{v})$. We construct v by iteration:

$$v_i^{(0)}(x, t) = 0, \quad v_i^{(m+1)}(x, t) = B(\alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m)}, \alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m)})_i(x, t)$$

for $m \geq 0$. Let X and Y be defined as in (4.4) and $A = \|\hat{v}\|_Y$. Note that $A \lesssim 1$ by Proposition 3.1.

For the first iteration $v_i^{(1)}(x, t) = B(\alpha \hat{v}, \alpha \hat{v})_i$, we have by Lemma 4.1

$$\|v^{(1)}\|_X = \alpha^2 \|B(\hat{v}, \hat{v})\|_X \leq C_1 \alpha^2 A^2.$$

Assume, for the sake of induction, that $\|v^{(m)}\|_X \leq M := 4C_1 \alpha^2 A^2$ for small $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^{(m+1)}\|_X &\leq C_1 \|\alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m)}\|_Y^2 \leq C_1 \left(\|\alpha \hat{v}\|_Y + \|v^{(m)}\|_X \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_1 (|\alpha|A + M)^2 \leq M, \end{aligned}$$

if $4C_1 |\alpha|A \leq 1$ (which implies $M \leq |\alpha|A$). By induction, we have $\|v^{(m)}\|_X \leq M = O(\alpha^2)$ for all $m \geq 1$.

We next show the convergence of the sequence $\{v^{(m)}\}_m$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(m+1)} - v^{(m)} &= B(\alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m)}, \alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m)}) - B(\alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m-1)}, \alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m-1)}) \\ &= B(\alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m)}, v^{(m)} - v^{(m-1)}) + B(v^{(m)} - v^{(m-1)}, \alpha \hat{v} + v^{(m-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^{(m+1)} - v^{(m)}\|_X &\leq C_1 \left(\|\alpha \hat{v}\|_Y + \|v^{(m)}\|_X \right) \cdot \|v^{(m)} - v^{(m-1)}\|_X \\ &\quad + C_1 \left(\|\alpha \hat{v}\|_Y + \|v^{(m-1)}\|_X \right) \cdot \|v^{(m)} - v^{(m-1)}\|_X \\ &\leq 2C_1 (|\alpha|A + M) \|v^{(m)} - v^{(m-1)}\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v^{(m)} - v^{(m-1)}\|_X \end{aligned}$$

if $8C_1 |\alpha|A \leq 1$. Therefore, by contraction mapping principle, $\{v^{(m)}\}_m$ converges to a solution $v \in X$ of (4.12) with $\|v\|_X \leq M$ if α is sufficiently small,

$$|\alpha| \leq \alpha_0 := (8C_1 \|\hat{v}\|_Y)^{-1}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For any given ϕ satisfying (1.4)–(1.6) for $0 < a \leq 1/2$, let $\hat{v}(x, t)$ be defined as in Proposition 3.1 and v be the solution constructed in Proposition 4.2. It is easy to show that the vector field $u = \alpha\hat{v} + v$ is a solution to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.3) with boundary data $\alpha\phi(\xi', s)$, zero initial data and zero force. It satisfies the pointwise bounds (4.3) by (3.3), (3.4) and (4.11). Thus it has finite global energy (1.8).

We now show the Hölder continuity of $u = \alpha\hat{v} + v$. We have already shown the Hölder continuity of \hat{v} in Theorem 1.1. We can consider v as a solution of the Stokes system with zero initial and boundary values, and nonzero force $\nabla \cdot F$, $F = u \otimes u$. By the pointwise bounds $|u(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{1-n}$ from (4.3), $F(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, 2))$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. By Proposition 2.3 of Chang-Choe-Kang [2] and taking $p < \infty$ arbitrarily large, we get $v \in C^{2c, c}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, 2])$ for any $c < 1/2$. Hence $u = \alpha\hat{v} + v \in C^{2b, b}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \times [0, 2])$.

Now we consider the regularity of the pressure π . Recall $u = \alpha\hat{v} + v$ and decompose $\pi = \hat{p} + \pi_v$, where (v, π_v) solves the nonhomogeneous Stokes system (4.10) with source term $f = -(\alpha\hat{v} + v) \cdot \nabla(\alpha\hat{v} + v)$, and zero initial and boundary values.

By Proposition 3.1 and (4.11),

$$|\alpha\hat{v} + v|(x, t) \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1}}, \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$|\nabla(\alpha\hat{v} + v)(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1 + \delta_{a=\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(2 + \frac{1}{x_n + \sqrt{|t-1|}} \right)}{\langle x \rangle^{n-1} (x_n + 1)^{2a} (x_n + \sqrt{|t-1|})^{1-2a}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Hence, when $a = 1/2$,

$$|f(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{\log \left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|t-1|}} \right)}{\langle x \rangle^{2n-2} (x_n + 1)} \in L^r(0, 2; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)), \quad (4.15)$$

for any $r \in (1, \infty)$. When $0 < a < 1/2$,

$$|f(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{2n-2} (x_n + 1)^{2a} (x_n + \sqrt{|t-1|})^{1-2a}} \in L^r(0, 2; L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)), \quad (4.16)$$

for any $r, q \in (1, \infty)$ with

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{r} > 1 - 2a. \quad (4.17)$$

By maximal regularity theorem for Stokes system (by Solonnikov [25] for equal exponents, by Sohr and vol Wahl [23] for mixed exponents, and by Giga and Sohr [7] for T -independent constant),

$$\|\nabla \pi_v\|_{L^r(0, 2; L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^r(0, 2; L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C, \quad (4.18)$$

for any $r, q \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying (4.17). By Sobolev imbedding, for $q \in (1, n)$ and $1/m = 1/q - 1/n$,

$$\pi_v \in L^r(0, 2; L^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)), \quad (4.19)$$

for any $r \in (1, \infty)$ and any $m \in (\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{m} + \frac{2}{r} > 1 - 2a - \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4.20)$$

Recall from (1.9) that for $n \geq 3$,

$$|\hat{p}(x, t)| \lesssim |1 - t|^{a-1} \langle x \rangle^{2-n}, \quad 0 < t < 2. \quad (4.21)$$

Together with (4.19)-(4.20), the total pressure $\pi = \hat{p} + \pi_v$ satisfies (1.15), noting that the condition $r < \frac{1}{1-a}$ implies (4.20).

Finally, with the choice (1.10) of g , the unboundedness of normal derivative (1.16) follows from (1.11) and (4.11). \square

Remark 4.3. The Hölder continuity of v can be also proved by hand, but it is easier to refer to Chang-Choe-Kang [2].

5 Appendix A. Dipole bumps

In this appendix we consider variations of the boundary data, and their corresponding solutions of the Stokes system. For simplicity, we only consider space dimension $n = 3$, and the boundary data which we call *dipole bumps*.

Proposition A.1 (Dipole bumps). *Let $n = 3$ and $\phi(\xi', s)$ be defined on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ by (1.4)-(1.6) with $0 < a \leq 1/2$. Let $v(x, t)$ be the solution of the Stokes system (1.1) with boundary data ϕ , zero initial data and zero force, given by (3.1). Then v satisfies the pointwise bounds in Proposition 3.1, and it has finite global energy (1.8). If we further choose boundary data of the form*

$$\phi(\xi', t) = G(\xi') h(t) e_3, \quad G(\xi') = -g(\xi' - 10e_1) + g(\xi' + 10e_1), \quad (A.1)$$

where $g(\xi')$ is as chosen in (1.10) and $e_1 = (1, 0)$, then when $|x'| > 100$, we have

$$\lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, 1) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \{|x_2| > \sqrt{2}(|x_1| + 12)\}, \\ \infty & \{|x_2| < \sqrt{2}(|x_1| - 12)\}, \end{cases} \quad (A.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, 1) = \begin{cases} \infty & \{x_1 x_2 > 0, |x_1| > 1\}, \\ -\infty & \{x_1 x_2 < 0, |x_1| > 1\}. \end{cases} \quad (A.3)$$

Comments on Proposition A.1:

1. We call the boundary data in (A.1) *dipole bumps*, as it consists of influx for $\xi' \in B'_1(-10e_1)$, and outflux for $\xi' \in B'_1(10e_1)$. In contrast, we call the boundary data chosen in Proposition 1.2 as *single bumps*.
2. The main interest of the dipole bumps is the different topologies of the blow-up regions

$$E_i^\pm = \{x' \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}} : \lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_i(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \pm\infty\}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\Sigma_{\text{ext}} = \{x' \in \Sigma : |x'| > 100\}$. In Proposition 1.2, $E_i^+ = \{x' \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}} : x_i < 0\}$ and $E_i^- = \{x' \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}} : x_i > 0\}$ for single bumps. Each of them is simply connected. In contrast, for dipole bumps, $E_2^\pm = \{x' \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}} : \pm x_1 x_2 > 0\}$. For E_1^\pm , it appears that $E_1^+ = \{x' \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}} : |x_1| > \gamma(|x_2|)\}$ and $E_1^- = \{x' \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}} : |x_1| < \gamma(|x_2|)\}$ for some increasing positive function γ , although we are unable to prove it fully. Each of them has two components. Note that these regions are the same for all $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

3. One may also consider *double bumps* which is similar to (A.1) but

$$G(\xi') = g(\xi' - 10e_1) + g(\xi' + 10e_1),$$

i.e., both bumps have the same sign. We found that the topologies of E_i^\pm are the same as single bumps considered in Proposition 1.2. In fact they have the same sets E_i^\pm . Hence we omit the details.

The following two lemmas will be useful in our proof of Proposition A.1.

Lemma A.2. *Let $h, b, L > 0$, $H(t) = (t^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and*

$$F(t, b, L) = H(t) - H(t + b) - H(t + L) + H(t + b + L), \quad (-b < t < \infty).$$

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{cases} F(t, b, L) > \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon b L H^3(t + b + L) & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-\varepsilon}} h, \\ F(t, b, L) < -\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon b L H^3(t + b + L) & \text{if } t + b + L < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\varepsilon}} h. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F &= -\int_t^{t+b} H'(\tau) d\tau + \int_{t+L}^{t+b+L} H'(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_t^{t+b} (H'(\tau + L) - H'(\tau)) d\tau = \int_t^{t+b} \int_\tau^{\tau+L} H''(s) ds d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Also note

$$H'(s) = -s(s^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad H''(s) = (s^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}}(2s^2 - h^2).$$

Hence $H''(s) > 0$ if $s \geq t > \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}$. If we further assume $s \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-\varepsilon}} h$, then $2s^2 - h^2 \geq C_\varepsilon(s^2 + h^2)$, $C_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{3-\varepsilon} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, and hence $H''(s) \geq C_\varepsilon(s^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$,

$$F \geq \int_t^{t+b} \int_\tau^{\tau+L} C_\varepsilon(s^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds d\tau \geq C_\varepsilon b L H^3(t + b + L).$$

On the other hand, $H''(s) < 0$ if $|s| < \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}$. If we further assume $t + b + L \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\varepsilon}} h$ and $s \in (t, t + b + L)$, then $|s| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\varepsilon}} h$ even if $-b < t < 0$ (in which case $|t| \leq b \leq L \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\varepsilon}} h$). Then $2s^2 - h^2 \leq -C_\varepsilon(s^2 + h^2)$, $C_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{3+\varepsilon} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ and hence $H''(s) \leq -C_\varepsilon(s^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$,

$$F \leq \int_t^{t+b} \int_\tau^{\tau+L} -C_\varepsilon(s^2 + h^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds d\tau \leq -C_\varepsilon b L H^3(t + b + L). \quad \square$$

Lemma A.3. *Let $H(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $0 \leq t < u$ and $0 \leq a < b$. Then*

$$F(t, u, a, b) = H(t, a) - H(t, b) - H(u, a) + H(u, b) \geq \frac{3}{4}(u^2 - t^2)(b^2 - a^2)H^5(u, b). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F &= - \int_a^b H_y(t, y) dy + \int_a^b H_y(u, y) dy \\ &= \int_a^b \int_t^u H_{xy}(x, y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Also note

$$H_y(x, y) = -y(x^2 + y^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad H_{xy}(x, y) = 3xy(x^2 + y^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Hence

$$F \geq \int_a^b \int_t^u 3xy(u^2 + b^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}} dx dy = \frac{3}{4}(u^2 - t^2)(b^2 - a^2)H^5(u, b). \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition A.1. Due to superposition, $v = v_+ + v_-$, where v_+ and v_- are the solutions of the Stokes system for boundary data $-g(\xi' - 10e_1)$ and $g(\xi' + 10e_1)$, respectively. They are translations of \hat{v} of Proposition 1.2 with an opposite sign for v_+ . By Proposition 1.2, we have

$$\lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_{+,1}(x, 1) = \begin{cases} \infty & \{x_1 > 10\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \\ -\infty & \{x_1 < 10\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_{+,2}(x, 1) = \begin{cases} \infty & \{x_2 > 0\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \\ -\infty & \{x_2 < 0\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and

$$\lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_{-,1}(x, 1) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \{x_1 > -10\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \\ \infty & \{x_1 < -10\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\lim_{x_3 \searrow 0} \partial_3 v_{-,2}(x, 1) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \{x_2 > 0\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}, \\ \infty & \{x_2 < 0\} \cap \{|x'| > 100\}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Also note that, since our choice of $g(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is even in both ξ_1 and ξ_2 , our solution $v = v_+ + v_-$ is such that v_1 is even in both x_1 and x_2 , while v_2 is odd in both x_1 and x_2 , by the symmetry between v_+ and v_- . Hence for our proof below, it suffices to consider $x_1 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \geq 0$.

We first consider $\partial_3 v_1(x, 1)$, the normal derivative of the first component. Its main term is $\partial_3 I_2(x, 1)$ in (3.51) with $i = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_3} v_1 &\approx \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) \frac{1}{s^{\frac{5}{2}}} K(x' - \xi', s) \partial_1 [-g(\xi' - 10e_1) + g(\xi' + 10e_1)] s^a d\xi' ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s}} s^{a-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) [K(x' - \xi' + 10e_1, s) - K(x' - \xi' - 10e_1, s)] \partial_1 g(\xi') d\xi' ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma, \xi_1 > 0} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s}} s^{a-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -K(x' - \xi' + 10e_1, s) + K(x' - \xi' - 10e_1, s) \\ +K(x' - \xi'_* + 10e_1, s) - K(x' - \xi'_* - 10e_1, s) \end{bmatrix} |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' ds. \end{aligned}$$

Above $\xi'_* = (-\xi_1, \xi_2)$, and we have used $\partial_1 g(\xi') = -\text{sgn}(\xi_1) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| = -\text{sgn}(\xi_1) |\partial_1 g(\xi'_*)|$.

In the case that $-10 < x_1 < 10$ and $|x'| > 100$, we have $\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow 0} \partial_3 v_1(x, 1) = -\infty$ by summing (A.6) and (A.8). To show the first part of (A.2), it suffices to assume $x_1 > 10$, $|x'| > 100$ and $x_2 > \sqrt{2}(x_1 + 12)$. As this is a strict inequality, we can choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $x_2 > \sqrt{2 + \varepsilon}(x_1 + 12)$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} d_+ &= |x' + 10e_1 - \xi'|, & d_- &= |x' - 10e_1 - \xi'|, \\ d_+^* &= |x' + 10e_1 - \xi_*'|, & d_-^* &= |x' - 10e_1 - \xi_*'|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We have

$$d_- < d_-^* < d_+ < d_+^*, \quad \text{for } 0 < \xi_1 < 1, \quad 10 < x_1. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} < 0$ if and only if $0 < s < x_3^2/2$. By splitting the time integral as $\int_0^{x_3^2/2} ds + \int_{x_3^2/2}^1 ds$ and using Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_3} v_1 &\lesssim \int_0^{x_3^2/2} \int_{B'_{1, \xi_1 > 0}} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s} s^{a-\frac{5}{2}}} \left(\frac{x_3^2}{4s} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{ms}{(m-1)d_+} - \frac{ms}{(m+1)d_-} - \frac{ms}{(m+1)d_+^*} + \frac{ms}{(m-1)d_-^*} \right] |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' ds \\ &+ \int_{x_3^2/2}^1 \int_{B'_{1, \xi_1 > 0}} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s} s^{a-\frac{5}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left[-\frac{ms}{(m+1)d_+} + \frac{ms}{(m-1)d_-} + \frac{ms}{(m-1)d_+^*} - \frac{ms}{(m+1)d_-^*} \right] |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' ds + \text{l.o.t.}, \end{aligned}$$

where l.o.t. means lower order terms. Changing variables $\sigma = x_3^2/4s$ as in (3.54) and following the same computation as in (3.63) for $a < 1/2$ and in (3.64) for $a = 1/2$ with K_1, K_2 being replaced by P_1, P_2 , we get

$$\partial_{x_3} v_1 \lesssim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} (M_2 P_2 + M_1 P_1) + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} P_1 \log \frac{2}{x_3} + \text{l.o.t.},$$

where M_1 and M_2 are defined in (3.60), $0 < M_2 < M_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= \int_{B'_{1, \xi_1 > 0}} \left(-\frac{m}{(m+1)d_-} + \frac{m}{(m-1)d_-^*} + \frac{m}{(m-1)d_+} - \frac{m}{(m+1)d_+^*} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi', \\ P_1 &= \int_{B'_{1, \xi_1 > 0}} \left(\frac{m}{(m-1)d_-} - \frac{m}{(m+1)d_-^*} - \frac{m}{(m+1)d_+} + \frac{m}{(m-1)d_+^*} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi'. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $m \geq C_m |x'|^2 / \varepsilon$ with C_m sufficiently large, $-P_2$ and P_1 are close to

$$I := \int_{B'_{1, \xi_1 > 0}} \left(\frac{1}{d_-} - \frac{1}{d_-^*} - \frac{1}{d_+} + \frac{1}{d_+^*} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi',$$

in the sense that

$$|P_2 + I| + |P_1 - I| \leq P_3 := \int_{B'_{1, \xi_1 > 0}} \frac{C\varepsilon}{C_m |x'|^3} |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi'.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_{x_3} v_1 \lesssim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} [I(M_1 - M_2) + CP_3] + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{2}{x_3} [I + CP_3] + \text{l.o.t.} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Recall (A.10) and (A.11). We will apply Lemma A.2 with $t = x_1 - 10 - \xi_1$, $b = 2\xi_1$, $L = 20$ and $h = |x_2 - \xi_2|$. Observe that when $|\xi'| < 1$,

$$t > -b, \quad t + b + L = x_1 + 10 + \xi_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 + \varepsilon}} h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 + \varepsilon}} |x_2 - \xi_2|$$

by our assumption that $x_1 > 10$ and $x_2 > \sqrt{2 + \varepsilon}(x_1 + 12)$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. (Note that $-b < t < 0$ is allowed.) By Lemma A.2,

$$\frac{1}{d_-} - \frac{1}{d_-^*} - \frac{1}{d_+} + \frac{1}{d_+^*} < -\frac{C\varepsilon\xi_1}{(d_+^*)^3} \leq -\frac{C\varepsilon}{|x'|^3}.$$

In the last inequality we've used (1.10) that $\xi_1 \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ in the support of $\partial_1 g(\xi')$ if $\xi_1 > 0$. As $M_2 < M_1$, we get

$$I(M_1 - M_2) + CP_3 \leq \int_{B'_1, \xi_1 > 0} \left(-\frac{C\varepsilon(M_1 - M_2)}{|x'|^3} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{C_m|x'|^3} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' < 0,$$

and

$$I + CP_3 \leq \int_{B'_1, \xi_1 > 0} \left(-\frac{C\varepsilon}{|x'|^3} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{C_m|x'|^3} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' < 0,$$

if C_m is sufficiently large. By (A.12), $\partial_{x_3} v_1 \leq -C\mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} - C\mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log(2/x_3) + \text{l.o.t.}$ and hence $\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow 0} \partial_{x_3} v_1 = -\infty$, showing the first half of (A.2).

We next show $\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow 0} \partial_{x_3} v_1 = +\infty$ when $|x'| > 100$ and $0 \leq x_2 < \sqrt{2}(x_1 - 12)$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. As this is a strict inequality, we can choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $x_2 < \sqrt{2 - \varepsilon}(x_1 - 12)$. Instead of an upper bound, we now want a lower bound. Similar estimates leading to (A.12) gives

$$\partial_{x_3} v_1 \gtrsim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} [I(M_1 - M_2) - CP_3] + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{2}{x_3} [I - CP_3] - \text{l.o.t.} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

When $|\xi'| < 1$, we have

$$t = x_1 - 10 - \xi_1 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - \varepsilon}} h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - \varepsilon}} |x_2 - \xi_2|$$

by our assumption $x_2 < \sqrt{2 - \varepsilon}(x_1 - 12)$ and $|\xi_i| < 1$. By Lemma A.2,

$$\frac{1}{d_-} - \frac{1}{d_-^*} - \frac{1}{d_+} + \frac{1}{d_+^*} > \frac{C\varepsilon\xi_1}{(d_+^*)^3} \geq \frac{C\varepsilon}{|x'|^3}$$

using (1.10) that $\xi_1 \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ in the support of $\partial_1 g(\xi')$ if $\xi_1 > 0$. As $M_2 < M_1$, we get

$$I(M_1 - M_2) - CP_3 \geq \int_{B'_1, \xi_1 > 0} \left(\frac{C\varepsilon(M_1 - M_2)}{|x'|^3} - \frac{C\varepsilon}{C_m|x'|^3} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' > 0,$$

and

$$I - CP_3 \geq \int_{B'_1, \xi_1 > 0} \left(\frac{C\varepsilon}{|x'|^3} - \frac{C\varepsilon}{C_m|x'|^3} \right) |\partial_1 g(\xi')| d\xi' > 0,$$

if C_m is sufficiently large. By (A.13), $\partial_{x_3} v_1 \geq C(x')\mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} + C(x')\mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log(2/x_3) + \text{l.o.t.}$ and hence $\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow 0} \partial_{x_3} v_1 = +\infty$, showing the second half of (A.2).

We next consider $\partial_3 v_2(x, 1)$, the normal derivative of the second component. Its main term is $\partial_3 I_2(x, 1)$ in (3.51) with $i = 2$. It is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_3} v_2 &\approx \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) \frac{1}{s^{\frac{5}{2}}} K(x' - \xi', s) \partial_2 [-g(\xi' - 10e_1) + g(\xi' + 10e_1)] s^a d\xi' ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s}} s^{a-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) [K(x' - \xi' + 10e_1, s) - K(x' - \xi' - 10e_1, s)] \partial_2 g(\xi') d\xi' ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma, \xi_2 > 0} e^{-\frac{x_3^2}{4s}} s^{a-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{4s} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left[\begin{aligned} &-K(x' - \xi' + 10e_1, s) + K(x' - \xi' - 10e_1, s) \\ &+ K(x' - \xi_{\sharp}' + 10e_1, s) - K(x' - \xi_{\sharp}' - 10e_1, s) \end{aligned} \right] |\partial_2 g(\xi')| d\xi' ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Above $\xi_{\sharp}' = (\xi_1, -\xi_2)$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
d_+ &= |x' + 10e_1 - \xi'|, & d_- &= |x' - 10e_1 - \xi'|, \\
d_+^{\sharp} &= |x' + 10e_1 - \xi_{\sharp}'|, & d_-^{\sharp} &= |x' - 10e_1 - \xi_{\sharp}'|.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

Assume $x_1 > 1$, $x_2 > 0$, and $0 < \xi_2 < 1$. Then

$$d_- < \min(d_-^{\sharp}, d_+) < \max(d_-^{\sharp}, d_+) < d_+^{\sharp}. \tag{A.15}$$

Following the argument for $\partial_{x_3} v_1$, we have

$$\partial_{x_3} v_2 \gtrsim \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} [I_{\sharp}(M_1 - M_2) - CP_4] + \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{2}{x_3} [I_{\sharp} - CP_4] - \text{l.o.t.}, \tag{A.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\sharp} &= \int_{B_1', \xi_2 > 0} \left(\frac{1}{d_-} - \frac{1}{d_-^{\sharp}} - \frac{1}{d_+} + \frac{1}{d_+^{\sharp}} \right) |\partial_2 g(\xi')| d\xi', \\
P_4 &= \int_{B_1', \xi_2 > 0} \frac{Cx_2}{C_m |x'|^5} |\partial_2 g(\xi')| d\xi',
\end{aligned}$$

by taking $m \geq C_m |x'|^4 / x_2$. We now apply Lemma A.3 with

$$t = |x_1 - 10 - \xi_1|, \quad u = |x_1 + 10 - \xi_1|, \quad a = |x_2 - \xi_2|, \quad b = |x_2 + \xi_2|,$$

to get

$$\frac{1}{d_-} - \frac{1}{d_-^{\sharp}} - \frac{1}{d_+} + \frac{1}{d_+^{\sharp}} \geq \frac{C(u^2 - t^2)(b^2 - a^2)}{(d_+^{\sharp})^5} = \frac{C(x_1 - \xi_1)x_2\xi_2}{(d_+^{\sharp})^5} \geq \frac{Cx_2}{|x'|^5},$$

using (1.10) that $\xi_2 \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ and $|\xi_i| \leq \frac{4}{5\sqrt{2}}$ in the support of $\partial_2 g(\xi')$ if $\xi_2 > 0$. We have also used $x_1 > 1$. As $M_2 < M_1$, we get

$$I_{\sharp}(M_1 - M_2) - CP_4 \geq \int_{B_1', \xi_2 > 0} \left(\frac{Cx_2(M_1 - M_2)}{|x'|^5} - \frac{Cx_2}{C_m |x'|^5} \right) |\partial_2 g(\xi')| d\xi' > 0,$$

if C_m is sufficiently large. By (A.16), $\partial_{x_3} v_2 \geq C(x') \mathbb{1}_{a < \frac{1}{2}} x_3^{2a-1} + C(x') \mathbb{1}_{a = \frac{1}{2}} \log(2/x_3) + \text{l.o.t.}$ and hence $\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow 0} \partial_{x_3} v_2 = +\infty$, which gives (A.3). \square

6 Appendix B. Estimates of C_i

In this appendix we prove Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, derivative formulas and estimates of the function $C_i(x, y, t)$ defined in (2.14) and its derivatives.

The proof of Lemma 2.6. We first prove (2.15) and (2.16). By definition (2.14) of C_i ,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_n} C_i(x, y, t) &= \partial_{y_n} C_i(x, y, t) + \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(z', y_n, t) \partial_i E(x' - y' - z', x_n) dz' \\ &= \partial_{y_n} C_i(x, y, t) + \left(\partial_{y_n} e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \right) \partial_{x_i} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(z', 0, t) E(x' - y' - z', x_n) dz' \\ &= \partial_{y_n} C_i(x, y, t) + \left(\partial_{y_n} e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \right) \partial_i A(x - y', t),\end{aligned}$$

from which one obtains (2.15). On the other hand, after changing variables C_i becomes

$$C_i(x, y, t) = \int_{y_n}^{x_n+y_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(z, t) \partial_i E((x - y^*) - z) dz' dz_n.$$

For $i < n$ we have

$$C_i(x, y, t) = \partial_{x_i} \int_{y_n}^{x_n+y_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(z, t) E((x - y^*) - z) dz' dz_n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_n} C_i(x, y, t) &= \partial_{x_i} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(z', x_n + y_n, t) E(x' - y' - z', 0) dz' \\ &\quad + \partial_{x_i} \int_{y_n}^{x_n+y_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma(z, t) \partial_n E((x - y^*) - z) dz' dz_n \\ &= \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_n} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(z', x_n + y_n, t) E(x' - y' - z', 0) dz' + \partial_{x_i} C_n(x, y, t) \\ &= \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_n} B(x - y^*, t) + \partial_{x_i} C_n(x, y, t),\end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.16). We now proceed to prove the identity (2.17). To do this, we first move normal derivatives in the definition (2.14) of C_n to tangential derivatives. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}C_n(x, y, t) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{x_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_n \Gamma((x - y^*) - z, t) \partial_n E(z) dz' dz_n \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[- \int_{\varepsilon}^{x_n} \partial_{z_n} e^{-\frac{(x_n+y_n-z_n)^2}{4t}} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - y' - z', 0, t) \partial_n E(z) dz' dz_n \right] \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[- e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - y' - z', 0, t) \partial_n E(z', x_n) dz' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-\frac{(x_n+y_n-\varepsilon)^2}{4t}} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - y' - z', 0, t) \partial_n E(z', \varepsilon) dz' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\varepsilon}^{x_n} e^{-\frac{(x_n+y_n-z_n)^2}{4t}} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - y' - z', 0, t) \partial_n^2 E(z) dz' dz_n \right]\end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts in the z_n -variable. Using the fact that $-\Delta E = \delta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(x, y, t) &= -e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \partial_n A(x' - y', x_n, t) + e^{-\frac{(x_n + y_n)^2}{4t}} \partial_n A(x' - y', 0_+, t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{x_n} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma((x - y^*) - z, t) \partial_{\beta}^2 E(z) dz' dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in the z' -variable, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(x, y, t) &= -e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \partial_n A(x' - y', x_n, t) + e^{-\frac{(x_n + y_n)^2}{4t}} \partial_n A(x' - y', 0_+, t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{x_n} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_{\beta} \Gamma((x - y^*) - z, t) \partial_{\beta} E(z) dz' dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we compute the term $\partial_n A(x' - y', 0_+, t)$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n A(x' - y', 0_+, t) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \partial_n A(x' - y', \varepsilon, t) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - y' - z', 0, t) \partial_n E(z', \varepsilon) dz' \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} -\frac{1}{n|B_1|} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma(x' - y' - z', 0, t) \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{(|z'|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}}_{=: f_{\varepsilon}(z')} dz' \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{\varepsilon}(z') = \varepsilon^{1-n} f_1(z'/\varepsilon)$ so that for all test function φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \varphi(z') f_{\varepsilon}(z') dz' &= \int_{\Sigma} \varphi(z') \varepsilon^{1-n} f_1\left(\frac{z'}{\varepsilon}\right) dz' = \int_{\Sigma} \varphi(\varepsilon \xi') f_1(\xi') d\xi' \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varphi(0) \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{(|\xi'|^2 + 1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} d\xi' = \varphi(0) \cdot |\partial B_1^{(n-1)}| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r^2 + 1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} r^{n-2} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Also note that $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r^2 + 1)^{\frac{n}{2}}} r^{n-2} dr = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$, where Γ is the Gamma function. Thus, using $|B_1^{(n)}| = \pi^{n/2} / \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n A(x' - y', 0_+, t) &= -c_1 \Gamma(x' - y', 0, t), \tag{B.1} \\ c_1 &= \frac{1}{n|B_1^{(n)}|} \cdot (n-1)|B_1^{(n-1)}| \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, note that $P_0(x, z') = -2\partial_n E(x' - z', x_n)$ is the Poisson kernel for the Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}_+^n . Hence

$$U(x) = \int \varphi(x' - z') \partial_n E(z', x_n) dz' = -\frac{1}{2} \int \varphi(z') P_0(x, z') dz'$$

solves $\Delta U = 0$ and $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0_+} U(x', x_n) = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi(x')$. Replacing $\varphi(x')$ by $\Gamma(x' - y', 0, t)$ we get (B.1).

Therefore, using $e^{-\frac{(x_n+y_n)^2}{4t}}\Gamma(x' - y', 0, t) = \Gamma(x - y^*, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(x, y, t) &= -e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}}\partial_n A(x' - y', x_n, t) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(x - y^*, t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_\beta} \int_0^{x_n} \int_\Sigma \Gamma((x - y^*) - z, t) \partial_\beta E(z) dz' dz_n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

In this form we have moved normal derivatives in the definition (2.14) of C_n to tangential derivatives. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_n} C_n(x, y, t) &= -e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}}\partial_n^2 A(x' - y', x_n, t) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_n \Gamma(x - y^*, t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_\beta} \int_\Sigma \Gamma(x' - y' - z', y_n, t) \partial_\beta E(z', x_n) dz' \\ &\quad - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_\beta} \int_0^{x_n} \int_\Sigma \partial_n \Gamma((x - y^*) - z, t) \partial_\beta E(z) dz' dz_n \\ &= -e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}}\partial_n^2 A(x' - y', x_n, t) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_n \Gamma(x - y^*, t) \\ &\quad - e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{4t}} \sum_{i=\beta}^{n-1} \partial_{x_\beta}^2 A(x' - y', x_n, t) - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_\beta} C_\beta(x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\Delta_x A(x' - y', x_n, t) = 0$ since $\Delta E = 0$ for $x_n > 0$. Hence the first term cancels the third term. This proves (2.17). \square

The proof of Lemma 2.7. Note that

$$C_i(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} C_i\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

We will first estimate spatial derivatives assuming $t = 1$.

• **$\partial_{x'}, \partial_{y'}, \partial_{y_n}$ -estimate:** Changing the variables $w = x - y^* - z$ after taking derivatives,

$$\partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{y_n}^q C_i(x, y, 1) = \int_\Pi \partial_{w'}^l \partial_n^{q+1} \Gamma(w, 1) \partial_i E(x - y^* - w) dw$$

up to a sign, where $\Pi = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_n \leq w_n \leq x_n + y_n\}$. It is bounded for finite $|x - y^*|$, and to prove the estimate, we may assume $|x - y^*| > 100$. Decompose $\Pi = \Pi_1 + \Pi_2$ where

$$\Pi_1 = \Pi \cap \{|w| < \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|\}, \quad \Pi_2 = \Pi \setminus \Pi_1.$$

Integrating by parts in Π_1 with respect to w' iteratively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{y_n}^q C_i(x, y, 1) &\sim \int_{\Pi_1} \left(\partial_{w_n}^{q+1} e^{-\frac{w^2}{4}} \right) \partial_{w'}^l \partial_i E(x - y^* - w) dw \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \int_{\Pi \cap \{|w| = \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|\}} \left(\partial_{w'}^{l-1-p} \partial_{w_n}^{q+1} e^{-\frac{w^2}{4}} \right) \partial_{w'}^p \partial_i E \cdot \chi_p(x - y^* - w) dS_w \\ &\quad + \int_{\Pi_2} \left(\partial_{w'}^l \partial_{w_n}^{q+1} e^{-\frac{w^2}{4}} \right) \partial_i E(x - y^* - w) dw = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_p are bounded functions on the boundary. Strictly speaking, p in χ_p should a multi-index. For I_1 , we have

$$|I_1| \lesssim \int_{\Pi_1} e^{-\frac{w^2}{5}} \frac{1}{|x - y^*|^{l+n-1}} dw \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y^*|^{l+n-1}}.$$

If $y_n > 0$, the exponential in the integrand $e^{-\frac{w^2}{5}} \leq e^{-\frac{w^2}{10}} e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{10}}$. Hence

$$|I_1| \lesssim \frac{e^{-\frac{y_n^2}{10}}}{|x - y^*|^{l+n-1}}, \quad \text{if } y_n > 0.$$

For I_3 , since $|w| \geq \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|$ implies that $\frac{1}{10}|x - y^*|^2 + \frac{1}{100}|x - y^* - w|^2 \leq \frac{1}{5}|w|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\lesssim \int_{|w| > \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|} |w|^{l+q+1} e^{-\frac{w^2}{4}} \frac{1}{|x - y^* - w|^{n-1}} dw \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{|x - y^*|^2}{10}} \int_{|w| > \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|} e^{-\frac{|x - y^* - w|^2}{100}} \frac{1}{|x - y^* - w|^{n-1}} dw \lesssim e^{-\frac{|x - y^*|^2}{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

I_2 is controlled for large $|x - y^*|$ since

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\lesssim \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \int_{|w| = \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|} |w|^{l+q-p} e^{-\frac{w^2}{4}} \frac{1}{|x - y^* - w|^{n+p-1}} dS_w \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{9|x - y^*|^2}{64}} \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} |x - y^*|^{l+q-p} \frac{1}{|x - y^*|^{n+p-1}} \int_{|w| = \frac{3}{4}|x - y^*|} dS_w \\ &\sim e^{-\frac{9|x - y^*|^2}{64}} \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} |x - y^*|^{l+q-2p} \lesssim e^{-\frac{|x - y^*|^2}{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$|\partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{y_n}^q C_i(x, y, 1)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n-1}} e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{10}}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The factor $e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{10}} = 1$ if $y_n \leq 0$.

• **∂_{x_n} -estimate:** We shall prove by induction with respect to k that

$$|\partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{y_n}^q C_i(x, y, 1)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n-1} \langle x_n + y_n \rangle^k} e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{20}}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For $k = 0$ this estimate has already been proved. Assume that the estimate has been proved for $k - 1$ and arbitrary l . For $i < n$, (2.16) and the estimate (2.13) give

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{y_n}^q C_i(x, y, 1) \right| &= \left| \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^{k-1} \partial_{y_n}^q (\partial_i \partial_n B(x - y^*, 1) + \partial_{x_i} C_n(x, y, 1)) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \partial_{x', y'}^{l+1} \partial_{x_n}^{k+q} B(x - y^*, 1) \right| + \left| \partial_{x', y'}^{l+1} \partial_{x_n}^{k-1} \partial_{y_n}^q C_n(x, y, 1) \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n-1}} e^{-\frac{(x_n + y_n)^2}{10}} + \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n} \langle x_n + y_n \rangle^{k-1}} e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{20}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n-1} \langle x_n + y_n \rangle^k} e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{20}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = n$, (2.17) and the estimate

$$|\partial_x^l \partial_t^m \Gamma(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(x^2 + t)^{\frac{l+n}{2} + m}}$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{y_n}^q C_n(x, y, 1) \right| &= \left| \partial_{x', y'}^l \partial_{x_n}^{k-1} \partial_{y_n}^q \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_n \Gamma(x - y^*, 1) - \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_\beta} C_\beta(x, y, 1) \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \partial_x^{l+k+q} \Gamma(x - y^*, 1) \right| + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n-1} \left| \partial_{x', y'}^{l+1} \partial_{x_n}^{k-1} \partial_{y_n}^q C_\beta(x, y, 1) \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n-1}} e^{-\frac{(x_n + y_n)^2}{10}} + \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n} \langle x_n + y_n \rangle^{k-1}} e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{20}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle x - y^* \rangle^{l+n-1} \langle x_n + y_n \rangle^k} e^{-\frac{((y_n)_+)^2}{20}}. \end{aligned}$$

So (B.5) holds true.

Finally, (2.18) can be obtained by differentiating (B.3) in t , using (B.5), and applying induction. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The research of KK was partially supported by NRF-2019R1A2C1084685. The research of BL was partially supported by NSFC-11971148. The research of both CL and TT was partially supported by the NSERC grant RGPIN-2018-04137.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain and W. Wang. Construction of blowup solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity. volume 25, pages 197–215 (1998). 1997. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi.
- [2] T. Chang, H. J. Choe, and K. Kang. On maximum modulus estimates of the Navier-Stokes equations with nonzero boundary data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(3):3147–3171, 2018.
- [3] T. Chang and B. J. Jin. Initial and boundary value problem of the unsteady Navier-Stokes system in the half-space with Hölder continuous boundary data. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 433(2):1846–1869, 2016.
- [4] T. Chang and K. Kang. On Caccioppoli’s inequalities of Stokes equations and Navier-Stokes equations near boundary. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(9):6732–6757, 2020.
- [5] M. Chernobay. On type I blow up for the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 477(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 47):136–149, 2018.

- [6] H. Dong and X. Gu. Boundary partial regularity for the high dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(8):2606–2637, 2014.
- [7] Y. Giga and H. Sohr. Abstract L^p estimates for the Cauchy problem with applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 102(1):72–94, 1991.
- [8] K. K. Golovkin. Potential theory for the non-stationary linear Navier-Stokes equations in the case of three space variables. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 59:87–99, 1960.
- [9] S. Gustafson, K. Kang, and T.-P. Tsai. Regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. *J. Differential Equations*, 226(2):594–618, 2006.
- [10] K. Kang. Unbounded normal derivative for the Stokes system near boundary. *Math. Ann.*, 331(1):87–109, 2005.
- [11] K. Kang, B. Lai, C.-C. Lai, and T.-P. Tsai. The Green tensor of the nonstationary Stokes system in the half space. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.00134>.
- [12] K. Kang and J. Lee. On regularity criteria in conjunction with the pressure of Navier-Stokes equations. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 80762, 25, 2006. Erratum: *ibid* 2010 no.9, 1772–1774.
- [13] A. Mikhailov. Local regularity for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 370(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 40):73–93, 220, 2009.
- [14] A. S. Mikhaylov. On local regularity for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 385(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 41):83–97, 235, 2010.
- [15] N. Mizoguchi. Multiple blowup of solutions for a semilinear heat equation. *Math. Ann.*, 331(2):461–473, 2005.
- [16] G. A. Seregin. Some estimates near the boundary for solutions to the non-stationary linearized Navier-Stokes equations. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 271(Kraev. Zadachi Mat. Fiz. i Smezh. Vopr. Teor. Funkts. 31):204–223, 317, 2000.
- [17] G. A. Seregin. Local regularity of suitable weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 4(1):1–29, 2002.
- [18] G. A. Seregin. A note on local boundary regularity for the Stokes system. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 370(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 40):151–159, 221–222, 2009.
- [19] G. A. Seregin. Remark on Wolf’s condition for boundary regularity of Navier-Stokes equations. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 444(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 45):124–132, 2016.

- [20] G. A. Seregin. On type I blowups of suitable weak solutions to Navier-Stokes equations near boundary. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 489(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 48):81–95, 2020.
- [21] G. A. Seregin and T. N. Shilkin. The local regularity theory for the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. In *Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XV. Advances in mathematical analysis of partial differential equations*, volume 232 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 219–244. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [22] G. A. Seregin and V. Šverák. On a bounded shear flow in half-space. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 385(Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 41):200–205, 236, 2010.
- [23] H. Sohr and W. von Wahl. On the regularity of the pressure of weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 46(5):428–439, 1986.
- [24] V. A. Solonnikov. Estimates for solutions of a non-stationary linearized system of Navier-Stokes equations. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 70:213–317, 1964. In Russian; English translation in A.M.S. Translations, Series II 75:1-117, 1968.
- [25] V. A. Solonnikov. Estimates of the solutions of the nonstationary Navier-Stokes system. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 38:153–231, 1973. In Russian; English translation in J. Soviet Math. 8(4):467-529, 1977.
- [26] S. Takahashi. On a regularity criterion up to the boundary for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 17(1-2):261–285, 1992.
- [27] Y. Zhou. Regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations in a generic domain. *Math. Ann.*, 328(1-2):173–192, 2004.