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Abstract

This note outlines the steps for proving that the moments of a randomly-selected subset of a general ETF (complex, with aspect ratio $0 < \gamma < 1$) converge to the corresponding MANOVA moments. We bring here an extension for the proof of the 'Kesten-Mckay' moments (real ETF, $\gamma = 1/2$) [1]. In particular, we establish a recursive computation of the $r$th moment, for $r = 1, 2, \ldots$, and verify, using a symbolic program, that the recursion output coincides with the MANOVA moments.

I. Recursive Computation of Moments

Let $F$ be a unit-norm equiangular tight frame comprised of $n$ vectors in $\mathbb{C}^m$, $\gamma = \frac{m}{n} < 1$ is the aspect ratio of the frame. We define $s = \frac{\Delta}{2\sqrt{x}} = \frac{(1-x)}{2\sqrt{x}}$, where $x = \gamma^{-1} - 1$ and denote a generalized conference matrix as

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{x}} \cdot \left(F'F - \frac{1}{2\gamma} \cdot I_n\right)$$

which satisfies:

(i) $S_{i,i} = \text{constant} = \sqrt{n-1(1-x)}/2\sqrt{x}$, where $s = \frac{(x^2-x-1)}{2\sqrt{x}}$, with $i \neq j$, and
(ii) $S$ is conjugate-symmetric and $|S_{i,j}| = 1$ for every $i, j \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$, and
(iii) $S'S \propto I_n$, i.e., the off-diagonal elements of $S^2$ are zero, while the diagonal elements are equal to $(n-1)(x+1)^2/4x$.

Note that $x/(n-1)$ is the Welch bound, i.e., the squared absolute cross correlation between the frame vectors. For $\gamma = 0.5$, we have $x = 1, s = 0$, so $S = \sqrt{n-1} \cdot (F'F - I_n)$, and $S'S = (n-1)I$, in agreement with the properties of the conference matrix in [1].
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We extend the proof in [1] to derive the expression for moments of the random submatrix $X_S = P S P$ defined as

$$m_k^S = \frac{1}{n^{k/2+1}} \cdot E \{ \text{trace}(X_S^k) \} = \sum_{t=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(k,t)} V_n(\pi) \right) \cdot p^t \quad (2)$$

where $P$ is a diagonal matrix such that the diagonal elements are independent random variables Bernoulli($p$). For every partition $\pi$ of $[k]$ into $t$ blocks, $V_n(\pi)$ is sum of products of $k$ elements from the generalized conference matrix $S$, as a function of the partition. The sum is over all possible $t$ distinct values from $[n]$ according to the blocks of $\pi$ (value per block),

$$V_n(\pi) \triangleq \frac{1}{n^{k/2+1}} \sum_{a \in L_n(\pi)} S_a(1), S_a(2), \cdots, S_a(k), S_a(1),$$

$$L_n(\pi) \triangleq \{ a : [k] \to [n] : \{ a^{-1}(a(i)) : i \in [k] \} = \pi \}.$$  

The main difference from the KM case will be the computation of $V_n(\pi)$. Lemma 16 in [1] will be replaced by Lemma 1. For every non-crossing partition $\pi \in \Pi(k,t)$, the following holds: if the edges of $G_\pi$ partition into $m$ simple cycles of sizes $l_1, \ldots, l_m$, then as $n \to \infty$,

$$V_n(\pi) \to A_{l_1} \cdots A_{l_m} \quad (5)$$

where

$$A_{l+1} = - \sum_{i=1}^{l} A_i A_{l+1-i}, \quad A_1 = s, A_2 = 1. \quad (6)$$

Note that for $\gamma = 0.5$, $s = 0$ and we get that for odd cycle, i.e., odd $l_i$, $A_{l_i} = 0$, and for even $l_i$, $A_{l_i} = (-1)^{l_i/2-1} \cdot C_{l_i/2-1}$. Thus if $G_\pi$ contains any odd cycle, then $V_n(\pi) \to 0$ otherwise $V_n(\pi)$ converges to a product of Catalan numbers, in agreement with Lemma 16 in [1].

For general $\gamma$ ($s \neq 0$), we don’t have yet an a analogy to Lemma 8, but $\sum_{\pi \in \Pi(k,t)} V_n(\pi)$ can be computed by counting all $N(k,t)$ (Narayana number) non-crossing partitions of $[k]$ into $t$ blocks and for each induced cactus to compute $V_n(\pi)$ according to Lemma [1].

The desired moments of a (Bernoulli-$p$ selected) subset of ETF $F$ are then derived by using (1) and the binom formula:

$$m_k \triangleq E \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \cdot \text{trace}((PF'FP)^k) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \cdot \frac{x^{j/2}}{2} \cdot \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{k-j} \cdot p^j \cdot E \left\{ \text{trace}(X_F^j) \right\}$$

$$= \binom{x + \frac{1}{2}}{k} \cdot p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \cdot \frac{x^{j/2}}{2} \cdot \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{k-j} \cdot m_j^S \quad (7)$$

where $m_j^S$ is defined in (2), and $j = 0$ denotes 1 if $j = 0$ or 0 otherwise.
Before we turn to the proof, we apply the recursion for several first moments:

\[
\begin{align*}
    m_0^S &= 1 \\
    A_1 &= s \\
    m_1^S &= sp \\
    A_2 &= 1 \\
    m_2^S &= s^2p + p^2 \\
    A_3 &= -2s \\
    m_3^S &= s^3p + 3sp^2 + (-2s)p^3 \\
    A_4 &= 4s^2 - 1 \\
    m_4^S &= s^4p + 6s^2p^2 + (-8s^2 + 2)p^3 + (4s^2 - 1)p^4 \\
    A_5 &= -8s^3 + 6s \vdots \\
    A_6 &= 16s^4 - 24s^2 + 2
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** We follow the notations in \[1\] \( \Delta(a(1), a(2), \ldots, a(k)) = S_{a(1),a(2)}S_{a(2),a(3)} \cdots S_{a(k),a(1)}. \) If \( a_j = a_{j+1} \) for any \( j \in [k-1] \) or \( a_k = a_1 \),

\[
V_n(\pi) = \sum_{a \in L_n(\pi)} \Delta(a(1), a(j-1), a(j+1), a(j+1), \ldots, a(k))
\]

\[
= \frac{s}{n^{k/2+1}} \sum_{a \in L_n(\pi)} \Delta(a(1), a(j-1), a(j+1), \ldots, a(k))
\]

\[
= \frac{s}{n^{(k-1)/2+1}} \sum_{a \in L_n(\pi \setminus \{j\})} \Delta(a(1), a(j-1), a(j+1), \ldots, a(k)) + o(1)
\]

were the first equality follows from the fact that the diagonal entries of \( S \) are \( s\sqrt{n-1} \), thus \( S_{a(j+1),a(j+1)} = s\sqrt{n-1} \).

The restriction of \( \pi \setminus \{j\} \) to \( [k] \setminus j \) results in a partition \( \pi' \) of \( [k] \setminus j \) into same \( t \) blocks besides removing \( j \) from the block \( \pi(j) \). Thus the above expression of \( V_n(\pi) \) implies

\[
V_n(\pi) \rightarrow s \cdot V_n(\pi'),
\]

where throughout the proof, \( \rightarrow \) means as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). This means that two consecutive indices which belong to same block and contribute a loop to the graph \( G_{\pi} \), contribute a constant factor \( s \) to \( V_n(\pi) \). This claim, as well as the derivation in \(8\)–\(10\), extends for several loops. Given partition \( \pi \) with \( r \) loops in total (in one or more blocks), we can consider a \textit{squeezed} partition \( \pi' \) with same number of blocks and \( k' = k - r \). Each block \( B_i' \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, t \), is a squeezed block \( B_i \), with only one representative index of sequence of several consecutive equal indices.

\[
V_n(\pi) \rightarrow s^r \cdot V_n(\pi')
\]

For example a partition 122234422333341 translated to 12342 with a factor of \( s^8 \).

Now we focus on estimation of \( V_n(\pi') \). \( G_{\pi'} \) is a loop-free graph and thus Lemma 6 in \[1\] holds for the same reasons. Lemma 12(iv),12(v) also holds due to similar the properties of \( S \), and thus Lemma 14 - which relies on all of the above - holds. This proves the claim of vanishing crossing partitions, i.e., let \( \pi' \in \prod(k', t) \) be a crossing partition, then \( V_n(\pi') \rightarrow 0 \).

We follow the proof by induction of Lemma 16 in \[1\]. Lemma 12(i) holds again by \( S \) properties and thus for \( t = 2 \), \( V_n(\pi^\prime) = V_n(\{1\}, \{2\}) = 1 \). As for \( G_{\pi} \), with assumed \( r \) cycles of sizes 1, proving the lemma for \( V_n(\pi^\prime) \), will immediately imply the proof for \( V_n(\pi) \), as \( A_1^\prime = s^r \). Assuming that the (loops-removed) partition \( \pi' \) is non-crossing,
it follows that the graph $G_{\pi'}$ is a cactus, and by Lemma 15 it is guaranteed to contain a singleton leaf (block in the partition). As we deal with $\pi'$, with $m' = m - r$ cycles, we aim to prove that

$$V_n(\pi') \rightarrow A_{l_1} \cdots A_{l_{m'}}. \quad (13)$$

Case I of the proof refers to the case when the singleton block resides in a cycle of length 2, i.e., if the singleton is $\{k\} \in \pi$, $\pi(1) = \pi(k)$. A similar derivation as in (1) implies

$$V_n(\pi') = V_n(\pi'') + o(1). \quad (14)$$

Our induction hypothesis and (14), imply

$$V_n(\pi') \rightarrow A_{l_1} \cdots A_{l_{m'-1}}. \quad (15)$$

Since $l_m = 2$ and $A_2 = 1$, this establishes (13).

Case II of the proof refers to the case when $\{k\} \in \pi$ (the singleton block) resides in a cycle of length $l \geq 3$. With similar analysis to (1) we get:

$$V_n(\pi') = - \sum_{i=2}^{l} V_n(\pi'^i) + o(1). \quad (16)$$

Assuming $l_m = l$ and applying the induction hypothesis, we have

$$V_n(\pi') \rightarrow - A_{l_1} \cdots A_{l_{m'-1}} \cdot \sum_{i=2}^{l} A_{l_{i-1}} A_{l_{i+1}} = - A_{l_1} \cdots A_{l_{m'-1}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} A_{l_i} A_{l_{i+1}}. \quad (17)$$

Applying the identity (6), we get $V_n(\pi') \rightarrow A_{l_1} \cdots A_{l_{m'}}$, thereby establishing (13).

II. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DERIVED ALGORITHM

```python
import numpy as np
import sympy
from sympy.utilities.iterables import multiset_partitions
import scipy.special

# recursive calculation of A(l) for l=1..n, arr_A[i]=A(i+1)
def calc_A(n, s):
    arr_A = np.zeros(n)
    arr_A[0] = s
    arr_A[1] = 1
    for i in np.arange(n-2)+2:
        arr_A[i] = -sum([arr_A[j]*arr_A[i-j-1] for j in np.arange(i)])
    return arr_A

# generation of all non crossing partitions of k to t blocks
def calc_partitions_k_t(k, t):
    partitions = list(multiset_partitions(np.arange(k), t))
    non_crossing = []
    non_crossing_len = []
    for part in partitions:
        cross = 0
        n = len(part)
        if n == 1:
            non_crossing.append(part)
            non_crossing_len.append([len(b) for b in part])
        else:
```
for b1 in part:
    for b2 in part:
        if (b2[0]>b1[0]) & (len(np.array(np.where((b1>b2[0])&(b1<b2[-1])))[0])>0):
            cross = 1
            break
        if cross==1:
            break
    if cross==0:
        non_crossing.append(part)
        non_crossing_len.append([len(b) for b in part])
return non_crossing

Find length of cactus leafs which correspond to a non-crossing partition

# generate lists off all intervals within blocks of partition
# list_pairs: a list of consecutive pairs in blocks [block[i],block[i+1]] for every block in the partition
# list_pairs_intervals: a list of intervals between consecutive pairs in blocks block[i+1]-block[i] for every block in the partition
def create_pairs_lists(part):
    list_pairs = []
    list_pairs_intervals = []
    for block in part:
        b_len = len(block)
        if b_len > 1:
            for i in range(b_len-1):
                list_pairs.append([block[i],block[i+1]])
                list_pairs_intervals.append(block[i+1]-block[i])
    return [list_pairs,list_pairs_intervals]

# sort the lists by the length of the intervals.
# start computing the the length of cycle which corresponds to an interval, from shorter to longer -
# for each interval substruct the cycles which correspond to smaller intervals contained in the interval
def update_lists(list_pairs,list_intervals):
    zipped_lists = zip(list_intervals, list_pairs)
    sorted_pairs = sorted(zipped_lists)
    tuples = zip(*sorted_pairs)
    list_intervals, list_pairs = [list(tuple) for tuple in tuples]
    for i in range(len(list_intervals)):
        intersections_length = 0
        for j in range(i):
            if (list_pairs[j][0] > list_pairs[i][0]) & (list_pairs[j][1] < list_pairs[i][1]):
                intersections_length = intersections_length + list_intervals[j]
        list_intervals[i] = list_intervals[i] - intersections_length
    return list_intervals

# generated the updated lists and add the cycle which correspond to an outer interval [k, 0],
# the length of which is k - sum of all cycles
def partition_to_cactus_sizes(part,k):
    [list_pairs,list_intervals] = create_pairs_lists(part)
    if len(list_pairs)>0:
        list_intervals = update_lists(list_pairs,list_intervals)
        cactus_sizes = list_intervals
        cactus_sizes.append(k - sum(cactus_sizes))
    else:
        cactus_sizes = [k]
    return cactus_sizes

calculation of the 'centralized' moments

# calculation of asymptotic V_n(pi) for a given partition by eq. 5 and sum over all possible (k,t) non-crossing partitions
def calc_V_pi_sum(k,t):
    V_pi_sum = 0
    cactuses = [partition_to_cactus_sizes(part,k) for part in calc_partitions_k_t(k,t)]
    for cactus in cactuses:
        V_pi_sum = V_pi_sum + np.prod(arr_A[np.array(cactus)-1])
    return V_pi_sum

# calculation of m_hat_s_k by eq. 2
def calc_m_s(k,p):
    m_s = 0
    for t in np.arange(k)+1:
        m_s = m_s + calc_V_pi_sum(k,t)*p**t
    return m_s

'''
binom formula for 'de-centralization' of the moments, eq.7
'''
def calc_m(k,x,p):
    m = ((x+1)/2)**k*p
    for j in np.arange(k)+1:
        m = m + scipy.special.binom(k,j)*x**(j/2)*((x+1)/2)**(k-j)*(m_s_vec[j-1])
    return m

# run calculation of 10 first moments
gamma = np.sqrt(0.5)
p = 0.6
s = (1-x)/(2*np.sqrt(x))
d = 10
arr_A = calc_A(d,s)
m_s_vec = [calc_m_s(k,p) for k in np.arange(d)+1]
m_vec = [calc_m(k,x,p) for k in np.arange(d)+1]

And the output is:
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
gamma = 0.7071067811865476
p = 0.6
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
x = 0.4142135623730949
s = 0.45508986056222756
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
calc ETF moments until d = 10
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
A[l] for l = 1..10
[0.45508986056222756, 1.0, -0.9101797211244551, -0.1715728752538092, 1.9765215939999434, -2.2842712474619047, -2.1862701245144653, 10.126983722080917, -8.324794719173925, -21.347181155833745]
A[l] for l = 1..6 by manual application of eq.6
[0.45508986056222756, 1.0, -0.9101797211244551, -0.17157287525380915, -0.1715728752538092, 1.9765215939999432, -2.2842712474619047]
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
exmaple for all partitions (5,3) and the corresponding cactus lengths
[[0, 1, 2], [3], [4]]  [1, 1, 1]
[[0, 1, 3], [2], [4]]  [1, 2, 2]
[[0, 1], [2, 3], [4]]  [1, 1, 3]
[[0, 1, 2], [3], [4]]  [1, 3, 1]
[[0, 1], [2, 4], [3]]  [1, 2, 2]
[[0, 1], [2], [3, 4]]  [1, 1, 3]
[[0, 2, 3], [1], [4]]  [1, 2, 2]
[[0, 2, 4], [1], [3]]  [2, 2, 1]
[[0, 2], [1], [3, 4]]  [1, 2, 2]
[[0, 3], [1, 2], [4]]  [1, 2, 2]
example of cactus length for partition 
\[[0,2],[1],[3],[4,5,7,10],[6],[8,9],[11]\]

\[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
1, 4 & 1 & 2, 3 & 1 \\
0 & 3, 4 & 4 & 1, 3 \\
0, 4 & 1, 2, 3 & 1 \\
0, 4 & 1, 2, 3 & 1, 2 \\
0, 4 & 1, 2 & 1, 2 \\
0 & 3, 4 & 1, 1 \\
0 & 3, 4 & 1 \\
0 & 1, 2 & 1, 2 \\
\end{array}\]

\[\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{m}_s[k] & \text{for} & k = 1..10 \\
0.2730539163373365 & 0.4842640687119286 & 0.35144954734733014 & 0.5249702161277665 & 0.44014600164558493 \\
0.6031675926696468 & 0.543213610399722 & 0.7080038062958746 & 0.6683603744969959 & 0.8395068505692707 \\
\end{array}\]

\[\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{m}_s[k] & \text{for} & l = 1..4 & \text{by manual application of eq.2} \\
0.2730539163373365 & 0.4842640687119286 & 0.35144954734733014 & 0.5249702161277665 \\
\end{array}\]

\[\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{m}_k[k] & \text{for} & k = 1..10 \\
0.6 & 0.7491168824543142 & 0.9949402589451768 & 1.3553641553671059 & 1.8704311672940084 \\
3.63321285962222 & 5.09163541233709 & 7.151079693455817 & 10.05923853820702 \\
\end{array}\]

\[\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{m}_k[k] & \text{for} & k = 1..10 & \text{by Dubbs\&Edelman (Manova moments triangles in Fig.1)} \\
0.6 & 0.7491168824543142 & 0.994940258945177 & 1.355364155367106 \\
3.63321285962222 & 5.09163541233709 & 7.1510796934558165 & 10.05923853820706 \\
\end{array}\]

The output of a symbolic calculation is:

```
--------------------------------------------------------
\text{gamma} = \text{gamma} \\
\text{p} = \text{p} \\
\text{x} = \text{x} \\
\text{s} = \text{s} \\
\text{calc ETF moments until } d = 10 \\
--------------------------------------------------------
\text{A[l] for } l = 1..10 \\
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{s}, 1, -2\text{s}, 4\text{s}^2 - 1, -8\text{s}^3 + 6\text{s}, 16\text{s}^4 - 24\text{s}^2 + 2, \\
-32\text{s}^5 + 80\text{s}^3 + 20\text{s}, 64\text{s}^6 - 240\text{s}^4 + 120\text{s}^2 + 5, -128\text{s}^7 + 672\text{s}^5 + 560\text{s}^3 + 70\text{s}, \\
256\text{s}^8 - 1792\text{s}^6 + 5220\text{s}^4 - 560\text{s}^2 + 14 \\
\end{array} \\
\text{A[l] for } l = 1..6 & \text{by manual application of eq.6} \\
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{s}, 1, -2\text{s}, 4\text{s}^2 - 1, -8\text{s}^3 + 6\text{s}, 16\text{s}^4 - 24\text{s}^2 + 2 \\
\end{array} \\
\text{m}_s[k] & \text{for} & k = 1..10 & \text{[to save space we show only first 6]} \\
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{p}\times\text{s}, \text{p}\times\text{s}^2 + \text{p}\times\text{s} + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3, -2\text{p}\times\text{s}^2 \text{+ s} + 3\text{p}\times\text{s}^2 + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3, \\
\text{p}\times\text{s}^4\text{(4}\text{s}^2\text{- 1}) + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3\text{(-8}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 2} + 6\text{p}\times\text{s}\text{+ s}^2 + \text{p}\times\text{s}^4,} \\
\text{p}\times\text{s}^5\text{(-8}\text{s}^3 \text{+ 6}\text{s} + \text{p}\times\text{s}^4\text{(5}\text{s}^2\text{- 1}) - 10\text{s}) + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3\text{(-20}\text{s}^3 \text{+ 10}\text{s} + 10\text{p}\times\text{s}^2\text{+ s}^3 + \text{p}\times\text{s}^5,} \\
\text{p}\times\text{s}^6\text{(16}\text{s}^4 \text{- 24}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 2}) + \text{p}\times\text{s}^5\text{(36}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 6}\text{s} \text{- 8}\text{s}^3 \text{+ 6}\text{s} \text{- 6}) + \text{p}\times\text{s}^4\text{(15}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 8}\text{s} \text{+ s} \text{- 2}) \text{- 2} \text{- 24}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 5} + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3\text{(-40}\text{s}^4 \text{+ 30}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 2} + 15\text{p}\times\text{s}^2\text{+ s}^4 + \text{p}\times\text{s}^6,} \\
\end{array} \\
\text{m}_s[k] & \text{for} & l = 1..4 & \text{by manual application of eq.2} \\
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{p}\times\text{s}, \text{p}\times\text{s}^2 + \text{p}\times\text{s} + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3, -2\text{p}\times\text{s} \text{+ s} + 3\text{p}\times\text{s}^2 + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3, \\
\text{p}\times\text{s}^4\text{(4}\text{s}^2 \text{- 1}) + \text{p}\times\text{s}^3\text{(-8}\text{s}^2 \text{+ 2} + 6\text{p}\times\text{s}\text{+ s}^2 + \text{p}\times\text{s}^4} \\
\end{array} \\
\text{gamma} = \text{gamma} \\
\text{p} = \text{p} \\
\text{x} = \text{x} \\
\text{s} = (-x + 1)/(2*sqrt(x)) \\
\text{calc ETF moments until } d = 10 \\
--------------------------------------------------------
``
\[ m[k] \text{ for } k = 1..10 \]
\[
0.5*p*(-x + 1) + p*(x/2 + 1/2),
\]
\[
1.0*p*(-x + 1)*(x/2 + 1/2) + p*(x/2 + 1/2)**2 + 1.0*x**1.0*(p**2 + p*(-x + 1)**2/(4*x)),
\]
\[
1.5*p*(-x + 1)*(x/2 + 1/2)**2 + p*(x/2 + 1/2)**3 + 3.0*x**1.0*(p**2 + p*(-x + 1)**2/(4*x))**2/(4*x)),
\]
\[
2.0*p*(-x + 1)*(x/2 + 1/2)**3 + p*(x/2 + 1/2)**4 + 6.0*x**1.0*(p**2 + p*(-x + 1)**2/(4*x))**2/(4*x))**2 +
\]
\[
1.0*x**2.0*(p**2 + p*(-x + 1)**2/(4*x))**2 + p*(-x + 1)**3/(8*x**2)), ...
\]

\[ m[k] \text{ for } l = 1..10 \text{ by Dubbs&Edelman (Manova moments triangles in Fig.1)} \]
\[
[p,
p**2*x + p,
p**3*(x**2 - x) + 3*p**2*x + p,
p**4*(x**3 - 3*x**2 + x) + 3*p**3*x - 4*x) + 6*p**2*x + p, ...
\]

difference between the simplified symbolic expressions of first 10 moments
\[
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
\]

**REFERENCES**