

DISCRETE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ASSOCIATED WITH MACDONALD FUNCTION

S. YAKUBOVICH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FAC. SCIENCES OF UNIVERSITY OF PORTO,
RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE, 687; 4169-007 PORTO (PORTUGAL)

ABSTRACT. New sequences of discrete orthogonal polynomials associated with the modified Bessel function $K_\mu(z)$ or Macdonald function are considered. The corresponding weight function is $\lambda^k \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t)/k!$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $t \geq 0$, $\nu > -1$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\rho_\mu(z) = 2z^{\mu/2} K_\mu(2\sqrt{z})$. The limit case $t = 0$ corresponds to the Meixner polynomials. Various properties, differential-difference recurrence relations are established. The modified sequence of polynomials with the weight $\lambda^k \rho_{k+\nu+1}(\lambda t)/k!$ is investigated as well.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let $\nu > -1$, $t \geq 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ be parameters and consider the sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of degree n on the integers \mathbb{N}_0 , satisfying orthogonality conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) P_m(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = \delta_{n,m}, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\delta_{n,m}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the Kronecker symbol and $\rho_\mu(t) = 2t^{\mu/2} K_\mu(2\sqrt{t})$, where $K_\mu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function or Macdonald function [2], Vol. II. It is represented by the integral [2], Vol. II

$$\rho_\mu(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^{\mu-1} dx, \quad \mu > 0, t \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The choice of λ in (1.1) is motivated by the convergence of the corresponding series since, evidently, from (1.2) it has the inequality $\rho_\mu(t) \leq \Gamma(\mu)$, where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Euler gamma function [2], Vol. I. Moreover, as we see from (1.2) $\rho_{k+\nu+1}(0) = \Gamma(k+\nu+1)$, and the sequence $\{P_n(x; 0, \lambda)\}_{n \geq 0}$ in (1.1) is related to the Meixner polynomials [5].

As an immediate consequence of the asymptotic behavior for the modified Bessel function at infinity and near the origin as a function of t (cf. [2], Vol. II) we find

$$\rho_\mu(t) = O\left(t^{(\mu-|\mu|)/2}\right), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad \nu \neq 0, \quad \rho_0(t) = O(\log t), \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\rho_\mu(t) = O\left(t^{\mu/2-1/4} e^{-2\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Date: January 12, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C10, 42C05, 44A15.

Key words and phrases. Classical orthogonal polynomials, Charlier polynomials, Meixner polynomials, Laguerre polynomials, modified Bessel function.

E-mail: syakubov@fc.up.pt.

The work was partially supported by CMUP, which is financed by national funds through FCT(Portugal), under the project with reference UIDB/00144/2020.

This function can be represented in terms of Laguerre polynomials (cf. [3], Vol. II, Entry 2.19.4.13)

$$\frac{(-1)^n t^n}{n!} \rho_\mu(t) = \int_0^\infty x^{\mu+n-1} e^{-x-t/x} L_n^\mu(x) dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.5)$$

Further, it has a relationship with the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral [6]

$$(I_-^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^\infty (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(x) dx, \quad \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

namely, we get the formula

$$\rho_\mu(t) = (I_-^\mu \rho_0)(t), \quad \mu > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Hence the index law for fractional integrals immediately implies

$$\rho_{\nu+\mu}(t) = (I_-^\nu \rho_\mu)(t) = (I_-^\mu \rho_\nu)(t). \quad (1.8)$$

The corresponding definition of the fractional derivative presumes the relation $D_-^\mu = -DI_-^{1-\mu}$. Hence for the ordinary n -th derivative of ρ_μ we find

$$D^n \rho_\mu(t) = (-1)^n \rho_{\mu-n}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.9)$$

Recalling (1.3) and integrating by parts, it is not difficult to establish the following recurrence relation for ρ_μ

$$\rho_{\mu+1}(t) = \mu \rho_\mu(t) + t \rho_{\mu-1}(t), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.10)$$

In the operator form it can be written as follows

$$\rho_{\mu+1}(t) = (\mu - tD) \rho_\mu(t). \quad (1.11)$$

As is known, up to a normalization factor orthogonality conditions (1.1) are equivalent to the following equalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k (-k)_j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (1.13)$$

where $(a)_j = a(a+1) \dots (a+j-1)$ is Pochhammer's symbol [2], Vol. I. As it follows from the theory of orthogonal polynomials [5], a sequence $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the three term recurrence relation

$$x p_n(x) = A_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) + B_n p_n(x) + A_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad (1.14)$$

where $p_{-1}(x) \equiv 0$, $p_n(x) = a_n x^n + b_n x^{n-1} + \dots$, and

$$A_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}, \quad B_n = \frac{b_n}{a_n} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}}. \quad (1.15)$$

As a consequence of (1.17) the Christoffel-Darboux formula takes place

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_k(x) p_k(y) = A_{n+1} \frac{p_{n+1}(x) p_n(y) - p_n(x) p_{n+1}(y)}{x - y}. \quad (1.16)$$

Finally in this section, denoting by $\omega_k(t, \lambda) = \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \lambda^k / k!$, we employ (1.10) to establish the Pearson-type equation for the weight ω_k

$$k(k-1)\omega_k - (k-1)(k+\nu)\lambda\omega_{k-1} - t\lambda^2\omega_{k-2} = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

Differentiating by t and recalling (1.9), we get

$$\frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial t} = -\frac{\lambda}{k} \omega_{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.18)$$

A differentiation by λ gives the equality

$$\lambda \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \lambda} = k \omega_k. \quad (1.19)$$

Similarly, via (1.9), (1.10) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \{ \lambda^{\nu+1} \omega_k \} &= (k+\nu+1) \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^{k+\nu}}{k!} = \rho_{k+\nu+2}(t) \frac{\lambda^{k+\nu}}{k!} - \rho_{k+\nu}(t) \frac{t \lambda^{k+\nu}}{k!} \\ &= \lambda^{\nu-1} (k+1) \omega_{k+1} - \frac{t \lambda^{\nu+1}}{k} \omega_{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., invoking (1.18), (1.19), we end up with the partial differential-difference equation for the weight ω_k

$$\lambda \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \lambda} - t \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \omega_{k+1}}{\partial \lambda} - (\nu+1) \omega_k. \quad (1.20)$$

Differentiating through by t in (1.20) and using (1.18), (1.19), we arrive at the ordinary second order differential equation for the weight ω_k

$$t \frac{d^2 \omega_k}{dt^2} - (k+\nu) \frac{d \omega_k}{dt} - \omega_k = 0. \quad (1.21)$$

2. ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS FOR THE WEIGHT ω_k

In order to proceed our investigation we define the power moment $\mu_n(t)$ and factorial moment $\gamma_n(t)$ for the weight ω_k as follows

$$\mu_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k k^n}{k!}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-n)!}. \quad (2.2)$$

Their values are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $t > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\nu > -1$. Moments (2.1), (2.2) for the weight ω_k have the values*

$$\mu_n = \sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j) \frac{\lambda^j \rho_{\nu+j+1}(t(1-\lambda))}{(1-\lambda)^{\nu+j+1}}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{\lambda^n \rho_{\nu+n+1}(t(1-\lambda))}{(1-\lambda)^{\nu+n+1}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $S(n, j)$ are Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Proof. In fact, employing representation (1.2), we have from (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k k^n}{k!} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-x} x^v \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x\lambda)^k k^n}{k!} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-x} x^v \left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x\lambda)^k}{k!} dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-x} x^v \left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \left\{e^{\lambda x}\right\} dx,\end{aligned}\quad (2.5)$$

where interchange of the order of integration and summation and the multiple differentiation under the series sign in (2.5) are permitted via the uniform convergence of the series with respect to $x \geq 0$ on closed intervals of \mathbb{R}_+ and the estimate

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k k^n}{k!} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(k+v+1) \frac{\lambda^k k^n}{k!} < \infty, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Meanwhile, denoting the differential operator by $D \equiv \frac{d}{dx}$, we appeal to the known operational identity (see [4], Section 6.6)

$$(xD)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j) x^j D^j, \quad (2.6)$$

involving Stirling numbers of the second kind [4]. Therefore, we find from (2.5) and (1.2)

$$\mu_n = \sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j) \lambda^j \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-(1-\lambda)x} x^{v+j} dx = \sum_{j=0}^n S(n, j) \frac{\lambda^j \rho_{v+j+1}(t(1-\lambda))}{(1-\lambda)^{v+j+1}}$$

which yields (2.3). To calculate moments (2.2) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_n &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-x} x^v \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x\lambda)^k}{(k-n)!} = \lambda^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-(1-\lambda)x} x^{v+n} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n \rho_{v+n+1}(t(1-\lambda))}{(1-\lambda)^{v+n+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. □

Now we will interpret discrete orthogonality conditions (1.12), (1.13) in terms of the so-called composition orthogonality.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $v > -1$. Orthogonality conditions (1.12), (1.13) are equivalent to the composition orthogonality relatively to the function $e^{\lambda x}$ with respect to the measure $e^{-t/x-x} x^v dx$. Precisely, the following equalities hold, respectively,*

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-x} x^v P_n(xD; t, \lambda) (xD)^j \left\{e^{\lambda x}\right\} dx = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t/x-x} x^v P_n(xD; t, \lambda) \left\{x^j e^{\lambda x}\right\} dx = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Recalling (1.2), we rewrite (1.12) in the form

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{(x\lambda)^{kj}}{k!} dx = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.9)$$

where the interchange of the order of integration and summation is justified as above by virtue of the dominated convergence theorem. Then proceeding as in (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{(x\lambda)^{kj}}{k!} dx &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu (xD)^j \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{(x\lambda)^k}{k!} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu (xD)^j P_n(xD; t, \lambda) \left\{ e^{\lambda x} \right\} dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu P_n(xD; t, \lambda) (xD)^j \left\{ e^{\lambda x} \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we derive equalities (2.7). In order to prove (2.8) we have, similarly, from (1.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+\nu+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k (-k)_j}{k!} &= (-1)^j \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{(x\lambda)^k}{(k-j)!} dx \\ &= (-1)^j \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu P_n(xD; t, \lambda) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(x\lambda)^k}{(k-j)!} \right\} dx = (-1)^j \lambda^j \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu P_n(xD; t, \lambda) \left\{ x^j e^{\lambda x} \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we arrive at the conditions (2.8). □

Remark 1. Orthogonal polynomials for the weight $e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu$ were investigated recently by the author in [7].

Corollary 1. Orthogonality relations (1.12), (1.13) are equivalent to the conditions

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\lambda)x-t/x} f_n(x; t, \lambda) x^{\nu+j} dx = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$f_n(x; t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k+m} a_{n,m} S(m+1, k+1) \frac{x^i k!}{i!} L_{k-i}^{-\nu-1} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

and $a_{n,m}$ are coefficients of $P_n(x; t, \lambda)$.

Proof. Indeed, taking (2.7), we integrate by parts, eliminating the integrated terms. Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu P_n(xD; t, \lambda) (xD)^j \left\{ e^{\lambda x} \right\} dx \\ = \int_0^\infty P_n(-Dx; t, \lambda) \left\{ e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \right\} (xD)^j \left\{ e^{\lambda x} \right\} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Hence, employing a companion of the equality (2.6) from [4]

$$(Dx)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m S(m+1, k+1) x^k D^k, \quad (2.13)$$

we calculate the composition $(Dx)^m \left\{ e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \right\}$, invoking Entry 1.1.3.2 on p. 4 in [1]. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(Dx)^m \left\{ e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \right\} &= \sum_{k=0}^m S(m+1, k+1) x^k D^k \left\{ e^{-t/x-x} x^\nu \right\} \\
&= e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^m S(m+1, k+1) x^k \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} D^{k-i} \left\{ e^{-t/x} x^\nu \right\} \\
&= e^{-x-t/x} x^\nu \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^k S(m+1, k+1) \frac{x^i k!}{i!} L_{k-i}^{-\nu-1} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, via (2.6) we write

$$(xD)^j \{e^{ax}\} = \sum_{i=0}^j S(j, i) x^i D^i \{e^{\lambda x}\} = e^{\lambda x} \sum_{i=0}^j S(j, i) (\lambda x)^i.$$

Thus, substituting these expressions in (2.12), where

$$P_n(x; t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n a_{n,m} x^m, \quad a_{n,n} \equiv a_n, \quad a_{n,n-1} \equiv b_n,$$

it gives

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\lambda)x-t/x} f_n(x; t, \lambda) x^\nu \left(\sum_{i=0}^j S(j, i) (\lambda x)^i \right) dx = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$f_n(x; t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k+m} a_{n,m} S(m+1, k+1) \frac{x^i k!}{i!} L_{k-i}^{-\nu-1} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right).$$

But (2.14) is equivalent to (2.10). In the same manner conditions (1.13) can be treated. \square

The difference operators Δ and ∇ are defined accordingly

$$\Delta f = f(x+1) - f(x), \quad \nabla f = f(x) - f(x-1). \quad (2.15)$$

It is used to establish the structural relation for the orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x; t, \lambda)$. But first we observe via (1.7), (1.8) that these polynomials are Charlier polynomials [5] in the sense of composition orthogonality, i.e. (1.1) reads

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k; t, \lambda) P_m(k; t, \lambda) \frac{(\lambda I_-)^k}{k!} \{\rho_{\nu+1}(t)\} = \delta_{n,m}, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1. \quad (2.16)$$

Now, expanding $P_n(x+1; t, \lambda)$ in terms of P_n , we have

$$P_n(x+1; t, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_{n,j} P_j(x; t, \lambda), \quad (2.17)$$

where, evidently, $c_{n,n} = 1$. Other coefficients are given by the formula (see (1.1))

$$c_{n,j} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_n(k+1; t, \lambda) P_j(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k. \quad (2.18)$$

Then by virtue of (1.18) we deduce from (2.18)

$$c_{n,j} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k P_j(k-1; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k P_j(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right\} \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k P_j(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k(t, \lambda) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k \frac{\partial P_j}{\partial t}(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k, \quad (2.19)$$

where we assume that polynomial coefficients are $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ -functions of variables t, λ and the differentiation under the series sign is allowed on closed intervals, owing to the estimate

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \{ P_n(k; t, \lambda) k P_j(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k \} \right| \\ \leq \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^j \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [\alpha, \beta]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \{ a_{n,m}(t, \lambda) a_{j,i}(t, \lambda) \} \right|, \max_{t \in [\alpha, \beta]} |a_{n,m}(t, \lambda) a_{j,i}(t, \lambda)| \right\} \\ \times \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{m+1} (k-1)^i (k+\nu+1) \Gamma(k+\nu) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} < \infty, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \nu > -1.$$

Hence by orthogonality we get

$$c_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k P_j(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k, \quad j < n-1. \quad (2.20)$$

When $j = n-1$, we have from (1.1), (1.14)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k = A_n, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k \frac{\partial P_{n-1}}{\partial t}(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k = \frac{1}{a_n} \frac{\partial a_{n-1}}{\partial t}, \quad (2.22)$$

and therefore we end up from (2.19) with the value

$$c_{n,n-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{A_n}{a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k. \quad (2.23)$$

In the meantime, recalling (2.20) and the Christoffel-Darboux formula (1.16), we calculate the sum

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_{n,j} P_j(x; t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k \omega_k \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P_j(x; t, \lambda) P_j(k-1; t, \lambda) \\ = \frac{A_{n-1}}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \frac{k \omega_k}{x-k+1} \left[P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-2}(k-1; t, \lambda) - P_{n-2}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \right]. \quad (2.24)$$

Thus, combining with (2.17), (2.19), (2.21), (2.22), (2.24) we establish the structural relation for the sequence $\{P_n(x; t, \lambda)\}_{n \geq 0}$.

Theorem 2. *For the orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x; t, \lambda)$ it has the equality*

$$P_n(x+1; t, \lambda) = P_n(x; t, \lambda) + \frac{A_n}{\lambda a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \frac{k \omega_k}{x - k + 1} \left[P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) \left[A_{n-1} P_{n-2}(k-1; t, \lambda) + (x - k + 1) P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - A_{n-1} P_{n-2}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \right]. \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. *The following identity holds*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k = \frac{\lambda n}{A_n} - \frac{A_n}{a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t}. \tag{2.26}$$

Besides, $c_{n,n-1} = n/A_n$.

Proof. Writing (2.25) in terms of the forward difference Δ (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta P_n(x; t, \lambda) &= \frac{A_n}{\lambda a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) + \frac{1}{\lambda} P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \omega_k \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \frac{k \omega_k}{x - k + 1} \\
&\quad \times \left[P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-2}(k-1; t, \lambda) - P_{n-2}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \right]. \tag{2.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, comparing the leading coefficient in the latter equality, we get (2.26). The value of $c_{n,n-1}$ follows immediately from (2.23). \square

Corollary 3. *In terms of the forward difference equality (2.25) reads*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta P_n(x; t, \lambda) &= \frac{n}{A_n} P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) + \frac{A_{n-1}}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \frac{k \omega_k}{x - k + 1} \\
&\quad \times \left[P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-2}(k-1; t, \lambda) - P_{n-2}(x; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \right]. \tag{2.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Further, if we differentiate (1.1) with $n = m$, it gives

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial t} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial t} = - \frac{2}{a_n(t)} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t}. \tag{2.29}$$

However, the use of the differential equation (1.18) allows us to compute the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k+1; t) \omega_k$. In fact, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k+1; t, \lambda) \omega_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_n^2(k; t, \lambda) \omega_{k-1} = - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_n^2(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial t}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_n^2(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right) + \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k.$$

Hence (1.1), (1.14), (1.15) imply

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k+1; t, \lambda) \omega_k = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{a_n} \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial t} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_n a_{n+1}} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} \right],$$

i.e. after slight simplification we find the equality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k+1, t) \omega_k = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_n}{a_n} + \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}} \right) - \frac{2B_n}{a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} \right]. \quad (2.30)$$

On the other hand, we have from (2.24), (2.28), (1.1)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k+1, t) \omega_k = 1 + \frac{n^2}{A_n^2} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_{n,j}^2. \quad (2.31)$$

Thus, combining with (2.30), we derive

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_{n,j}^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_n}{a_n} + \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}} \right) - \frac{2B_n}{a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} \right] - 1 - \frac{n^2}{A_n^2}, \quad (2.32)$$

where $c_{n,j}$, $j < n-1$ is defined by (2.20).

Further, decomposing $P_n(x+2; t, \lambda)$ in terms of P_n , we find

$$P_n(x+2; t, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^n d_{n,j}(t, \lambda) P_j(x; t, \lambda). \quad (2.33)$$

Hence $d_{n,n} = 1$ and

$$d_{n,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k+2; t, \lambda) P_j(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k. \quad (2.34)$$

Then (1.17), (1.18) suggest the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,j} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_{k-2} = \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \\ &- \frac{1}{t\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) (k-1)(k+\nu) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_{k-1} = \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \\ &+ \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k - \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k, t) k(k-1)(k+\nu) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial P_j}{\partial t}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Therefore the same analysis shows

$$d_{n,j} = -\frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_j(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k, \quad j < n-3. \quad (2.36)$$

Then, accordingly, we have from (2.35), (1.1), (1.14), (1.15)

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,n-3} &= \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_{n-3}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_{n-3}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) \frac{\partial P_{n-3}}{\partial t}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \left[\frac{a_{n-3}}{a_n^2} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_{n-3}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,n-2} &= \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \left[\frac{a_{n-2}}{a_n} - \left[(\nu + 2n - 5)a_{n-2} + b_{n-2} \right] \frac{1}{a_n^2} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} - a_{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}a_n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_{n-2}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Now, writing P_n as

$$P_n(x; t, \lambda) = a_n x^n + b_n x^{n-1} + c_n x^{n-2} + \text{lower degrees}, \quad (2.39)$$

we recall (1.1), (1.12) to get in a straightforward way the equality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) k^{n+2} \omega_k = \frac{b_{n+2}b_{n+1}}{a_{n+2}a_{n+1}a_n} - \frac{c_{n+2}}{a_{n+2}a_n}. \quad (2.40)$$

This identity allows to obtain the value of the coefficient $d_{n,n-1}$. In fact, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,n-1} &= \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \left[A_n [B_n + B_{n-1} + 1 - 2n] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_{n+2}b_{n+1}}{a_{n+2}a_{n+1}a_n} - \frac{c_{n+2}}{a_{n+2}a_n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[b_{n-1} + (\nu + 1 - 2n)a_{n-1} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}a_n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[(\nu + 2(n-1))a_{n-1} - (\nu - 1 + 2(n-2)^2)b_{n-1} - c_{n-1} \right] \frac{1}{a_n^2} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu) P_{n-1}(k-2; t, \lambda) \omega_k \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Then, writing (2.33) in the form

$$P_n(x+2; t, \lambda) - P_n(x; t, \lambda) = d_{n,n-1} P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) + d_{n,n-2} P_{n-2}(x; t, \lambda)$$

$$+d_{n,n-3}P_{n-3}(x;t,\lambda) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-4} d_{n,j}P_j(x;t,\lambda), \quad (2.42)$$

we compare leading coefficients to get $d_{n,n-1} = 2n/A_n$. Hence from (2.41) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k;t,\lambda) k(k-1)(k+\nu)P_{n-1}(k-2;t,\lambda)\omega_k \\ &= \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \left[A_n[B_n + B_{n-1} + 1 - 2n] + a_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_{n+2}b_{n+1}}{a_{n+2}a_{n+1}a_n} - \frac{c_{n+2}}{a_{n+2}a_n} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left[b_{n-1} + (\nu + 1 - 2n)a_{n-1} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}a_n} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[(\nu + 2(n-1))a_{n-1} - (\nu - 1 + 2(n-2)^2)b_{n-1} - c_{n-1} \right] \frac{1}{a_n^2} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} \right] - \frac{2n}{A_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, in the same manner as above by virtue of the Christoffel-Darboux formula (1.16) and identities (2.37), (2.38), (2.41) we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For the orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x;t,\lambda)$ it has the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x+2;t,\lambda) &= P_n(x;t,\lambda) + \frac{2n}{A_n(t,\lambda)}P_{n-1}(x;t,\lambda) - \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \frac{a_{n-3}}{a_n^2} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} P_{n-3}(x;t,\lambda) \\ &+ \frac{1}{t\lambda^2} \left[\frac{a_{n-2}}{a_n} - \left[(\nu + 2n - 5)a_{n-2} + b_{n-2} \right] \frac{1}{a_n^2} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial t} - a_{n-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}a_n} \right) \right] P_{n-2}(x;t,\lambda) \\ &\quad - \frac{A_{n-1}}{t\lambda^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial t}(k;t,\lambda) \frac{k(k-1)(k+\nu)\omega_k}{x-k+2} \\ &\quad \times \left[P_{n-1}(k;t,\lambda)P_{n-2}(k-2;t,\lambda) - P_{n-2}(x;t,\lambda)P_{n-1}(k-2;t,\lambda) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

3. RECURRENCE RELATIONS

Let us differentiate (1.12) with respect to λ under the same justification as in (2.19). Then, employing (1.1), (1.14) and (1.19), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\lambda \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(k;t,\lambda) + A_n(t,\lambda)P_{n-1}(k;t,\lambda) \right] \omega_k k^j = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.1)$$

The latter orthogonality conditions immediately imply the differential-difference equation

$$\lambda \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(x;t,\lambda) + A_n P_{n-1}(x;t,\lambda) = C_n P_n(x;t,\lambda). \quad (3.2)$$

The constant C_n can be obtained, comparing leading coefficients in (3.2). Hence it yields

$$\lambda a_n \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(x;t,\lambda) + a_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x;t,\lambda) = \lambda \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial \lambda} P_n(x;t,\lambda). \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, differentiating by λ equality (1.1) when $m = n$, we find

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \lambda} = 0.$$

Hence, recalling (1.14), (1.19), we get the identity

$$B_n = -2 \frac{\lambda}{a_n} \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial \lambda}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, relation (3.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$\lambda \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(x; t, \lambda) + A_n P_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda) = -\frac{B_n}{2} P_n(x; t, \lambda). \quad (3.5)$$

But the differentiation of B_n by λ yields, in turn,

$$\frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \lambda} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_n(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[k P_n(k; t, \lambda) \right]^2 \omega_k.$$

Hence via orthogonality and (3.5) we end up after simplification with the identity

$$A_{n+1}^2 + A_n^2 + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{b_n}{a_n} + \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}} \right) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

However, equating coefficients in front of x^{n-1} in (3.5), we deduce a more simple relation

$$A_n^2 + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{b_n}{a_n} \right) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

It can be rewritten in the form

$$\lambda \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \lambda} = A_{n+1}^2 - A_n^2. \quad (3.8)$$

It is not difficult to verify the equation

$$\lambda \frac{\partial A_n^2}{\partial \lambda} = A_n^2 (B_n - B_{n-1}). \quad (3.9)$$

Equations (3.8), (3.9) constitute the classical Toda system by λ for recurrence coefficients (1.15). Meanwhile, the orthogonality relation implies

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}(k; t, \lambda) \omega_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n(k; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \lambda} = 0,$$

i.e. via (1.19), (3.4) we get the identity

$$a_n b_n B_n + 2a_{n-1}^2 = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, as a consequence of (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) the latter equality yields

$$B_{n-1} B_n B_{n+1} = A_{n+1}^2 (B_n - B_{n+1}). \quad (3.11)$$

In fact, we have from (3.10)

$$\frac{b_n}{a_n} B_n + 2A_n^2 = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

After differentiation and multiplication by λ of the latter equality and the use of (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) we find

$$A_n^2 B_n - A_n^2 B_{n-1} + \frac{b_n}{a_n} A_{n+1}^2 - A_n^2 \frac{b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} - \frac{b_n}{a_n} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{b_n}{a_n} \frac{A_{n+1}^2}{A_n^2} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}} \right].$$

Meanwhile, the use of (3.12) implies

$$B_{n-1} = A_{n+1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{B_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{B_n} \right],$$

which, in turn, yields (3.11).

Now, recalling the orthogonality conditions (1.13), we make summation by parts to deduce the equalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta \left[P_n(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \right] \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-j)!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-2. \quad (3.13)$$

We will need to take into account the dependence of the polynomials P_n upon v , i.e. we write $P_n \equiv P_n^v$. Then (3.13) is equivalent to the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k+1; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+2}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-j)!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-2. \quad (3.14)$$

This means that the sequence $\{P_n^v(x+1; t, \lambda)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is quasi-orthogonal with respect to the weight w_k^{v+1} . Hence, expanding $P_n^v(x+1)$ in terms of the sequence $\{P_n^{v+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$

$$P_n^v(x+1; t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma_{n,m}^v P_m^{v+1}(x; t, \lambda),$$

one proves in a straightforward way, owing to (3.14), that $\gamma_{n,m}^v = 0$, $m = 0, \dots, n-2$. Then, accordingly,

$$P_n^v(x+1; t, \lambda) = \gamma_{n,n}^v P_n^{v+1}(x; t, \lambda) + \gamma_{n,n-1}^v P_{n-1}^{v+1}(x; t, \lambda), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\gamma_{n,n-1}^v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k+1; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}^{v+1}(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+2}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\gamma_{n,n}^v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k+1; t, \lambda) P_n^{v+1}(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+2}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}. \quad (3.17)$$

Then, recalling (2.15), we write the structural relation (2.28) for polynomials P_n^v in the form

$$\Delta P_n^v(x; t, \lambda) = \gamma_{n,n}^v \nabla P_n^{v+1}(x; t, \lambda) + \gamma_{n,n-1}^v \nabla P_{n-1}^{v+1}(x; t, \lambda). \quad (3.18)$$

Hence from the orthogonality (1.1) it is easily seen the values

$$\gamma_{n,n-1}^v = \frac{a_n^v}{a_{n-1}^{v+1}} \left[n + \frac{b_n^v}{a_n^v} - \frac{b_{n+1}^{v+1}}{a_n^{v+1}} \right], \quad (3.19)$$

$$\gamma_{n,n}^v = \frac{a_n^v}{a_n^{v+1}}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\gamma_{n,n-1}^v = \frac{\gamma_{n,n}^v}{A_n^{v+1}} \left[n + \frac{b_n^v}{a_n^v} - \frac{b_n^{v+1}}{a_n^{v+1}} \right], \quad (3.21)$$

On the other hand, equality (3.16) reads via (1.1), (1.14), (1.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,n-1}^v &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}^{v+1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{A_n^v}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) P_{n-1}^{v+1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{a_{n-1}^{v+1}}{a_n^v} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{A_n^v}{\gamma_{n-1,n-1}^v} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{A_n^{v+1}}{\gamma_{n,n}^v}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Thus, combining with (3.19), we derive the following recurrence relations

$$\left[\frac{a_{n-1}^{v+1}}{a_n^v} \right]^2 = \left[\frac{A_n^{v+1}}{\gamma_{n,n}^v} \right]^2 = \lambda \left[n + \frac{b_n^v}{a_n^v} - \frac{b_n^{v+1}}{a_n^{v+1}} \right]. \quad (3.23)$$

Analogously, we treat equality (3.17). It gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,n}^v &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) k P_n^{v+1}(k-1; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{a_n^{v+1}}{a_n^v} \left[\frac{b_n^{v+1}}{a_n^{v+1}} - \frac{b_{n+1}^v}{a_{n+1}^v} - n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, recalling (3.20), we deduce the identity

$$[\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 = \left[\frac{a_n^v}{a_n^{v+1}} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{b_n^{v+1}}{a_n^{v+1}} - \frac{b_{n+1}^v}{a_{n+1}^v} - n \right]. \quad (3.24)$$

On the other hand, combining with (3.23), (3.24), we find

$$\lambda [\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{A_n^{v+1}}{\gamma_{n,n}^v} \right]^2 = B_n^v. \quad (3.25)$$

Moreover, writing (3.23) for $n+1$, we observe one more recurrence relation via (3.24)

$$\lambda [\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{A_{n+1}^{v+1}}{\gamma_{n+1,n+1}^v} \right]^2 = 1 + B_n^{v+1}. \quad (3.26)$$

But from (3.22) we have also

$$\lambda [\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{A_{n+1}^v}{\gamma_{n,n}^v} \right]^2 = 1 + B_n^{v+1}. \quad (3.27)$$

Consequently, (3.22), (3.25), (3.26), (3.27) yield the relations

$$[\gamma_{n,n-1}^v]^2 + [\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 = \frac{B_n^v}{\lambda}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$[\gamma_{n+1,n}^v]^2 + [\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 + B_n^{v+1}), \quad (3.29)$$

$$[\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{[A_{n+1}^{v+1}]^2 - [A_{n+1}^v]^2}{B_n^v - B_n^{v+1} - 1}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$[\gamma_{n,n}^v]^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{[A_{n+1}^v]^2 B_n^v - [A_{n+1}^{v+1}]^2 [B_n^{v+1} + 1]}{[A_{n+1}^v]^2 - [A_{n+1}^{v+1}]^2}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[[A_{n+1}^{v+1}]^2 - [A_{n+1}^v]^2 \right]^2 + \left[[A_{n+1}^v]^2 B_n^v - [A_{n+1}^{v+1}]^2 [B_n^{v+1} + 1] \right] \\ & \quad \times \left[B_n^v - B_n^{v+1} - 1 \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Finally in this section we analyse the following series with the backward difference (2.15)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla \left[P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right] (-k)_j. \quad (3.33)$$

Invoking summation by parts, we represent (3.33) in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla \left[P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right] (-k)_j = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Delta \left[(-k)_j \right].$$

Working out the right-hand side of the latter equality by virtue of (1.13), we observe that it is equal to 0 for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla \left[P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right] (-k)_j = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.34)$$

When $j = n$ we write via (1.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Delta \left[(-k)_n \right] &= (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \lambda^k \left[\frac{k+1}{(k+1-n)!} - \frac{1}{(k-n)!} \right] \\ &= (-1)^n n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{(k+1-n)!} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we established the following orthogonality conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla \left[P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right] (-k)_j = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.35)$$

i.e. due to (1.10)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(\lambda(k+v) P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) - k P_n^v(k-1; t, \lambda) \right) \rho_{k+v}(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda t P_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v-1}(t) \right] \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-j)!} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

4. THE MODIFIED SEQUENCE OF POLYNOMIALS FOR THE WEIGHT $t^{-k}\omega_k(\lambda t)$

This last section is concerned with the sequence of polynomials $\{Q_n^v\}_{n \geq 0}$, being orthogonal with respect to the weight $\rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t)\lambda^k/k!$, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) Q_m^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = \delta_{n,m}. \quad (4.1)$$

The orthogonality conditions can be written accordingly,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k (-k)_j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (4.3)$$

and explicitly

$$Q_n^v(x; t, \lambda) = \alpha_n^v x^n + \beta_n^v x^{n-1} + \text{lower degrees}. \quad (4.4)$$

Denoting coefficients of the three term recurrence relation by q_n^v, h_n^v , it has

$$x Q_n^v(x; t, \lambda) = q_{n+1}^v Q_{n+1}^v(x; t, \lambda) + h_n^v Q_n^v(x; t, \lambda) + q_n^v Q_{n-1}^v(x; t, \lambda), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$q_n^v = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}^v}{\alpha_n^v}, \quad h_n^v = \frac{\beta_n^v}{\alpha_n^v} - \frac{\beta_{n+1}^v}{\alpha_{n+1}^v}.$$

Then we differentiate (4.2) by t which is allowed under the same conditions as in Section 2 to derive via (1.9) the equalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} - \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.6)$$

Meanwhile, the differentiation by λ implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} - t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} \\ & + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) k \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The latter term in (4.7) can be rewritten by virtue of the orthogonality and the three term recurrence relation, and we obtain via (4.5)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) k \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} = q_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Therefore, recalling (4.6), (4.7), we establish the following orthogonality conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[t \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) - \lambda \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) - q_n Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) \right] \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k k^j}{k!} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.8)$$

Hence, owing to the uniqueness, we establish the partial differential-difference equation for orthogonal polynomials Q_n^v

$$t \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial t}(x; t, \lambda) - \lambda \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial \lambda}(x; t, \lambda) - q_n Q_{n-1}^v(x; t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\alpha_n^v} \left[t \frac{\partial \alpha_n^v}{\partial t} - \lambda \frac{\partial \alpha_n^v}{\partial \lambda} \right] Q_n^v(x; t, \lambda). \quad (4.9)$$

But since similar to (3.4) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda)]^2 \rho_{k+v}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} &= 0, \\ 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda)]^2 \rho_{k+v}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda)]^2 k \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get from (4.5) and the latter equalities

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n^v} \left[t \frac{\partial \alpha_n^v}{\partial t} - \lambda \frac{\partial \alpha_n^v}{\partial \lambda} \right] = \frac{h_n^v}{2}. \quad (4.10)$$

So, equation (4.9) has the form

$$t \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial t}(x; t, \lambda) - \lambda \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial \lambda}(x; t, \lambda) - q_n^v Q_{n-1}^v(x; t, \lambda) = \frac{h_n^v}{2} Q_n^v(x; t, \lambda). \quad (4.11)$$

Then, equating coefficients in front of x^{n-1} in (4.11) and using (4.10), we derive the Toda-type first order partial differential equation for recurrence coefficients in (4.5)

$$t \frac{\partial h_n^v}{\partial t} - \lambda \frac{\partial h_n^v}{\partial \lambda} + [q_{n+1}^v]^2 - [q_n^v]^2 = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

To complete the Toda-type system we add an analog of the equation (3.9) which can be verified directly

$$t \frac{\partial [q_n^v]^2}{\partial t} - \lambda \frac{\partial [q_n^v]^2}{\partial \lambda} = [q_n^v]^2 [h_{n-1}^v - h_n^v]. \quad (4.13)$$

Finally, from the differentiation and orthogonality we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial t}(k; t, \lambda) Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}, \\ 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial Q_n^v}{\partial \lambda}(k; t, \lambda) Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) \rho_{k+v}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_n^v(k; t, \lambda) Q_{n-1}^v(k; t, \lambda) k \rho_{k+v+1}(\lambda t) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies immediately the recurrence relation

$$\frac{\beta_n^v}{\alpha_{n-1}^v \alpha_n^v} \left[\lambda \frac{\partial \alpha_n^v}{\partial \lambda} - t \frac{\partial \alpha_n^v}{\partial t} \right] - q_n^v = 0,$$

i.e., owing to (4.10), we end up with the equality (cf. (3.12))

$$2[q_n^\nu]^2 + \frac{h_n^\nu \beta_n^\nu}{\alpha_n^\nu} = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

REFERENCES

1. Y. A. Brychkov, Handbook of Special Functions: Derivatives, Integrals, Series and Other Formulas, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008.
2. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F.G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vols. I and II, McGraw-Hill, New York, London, Toronto, 1953.
3. A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev, Vol. I: Elementary Functions, Gordon and Breach, New York, London, 1986; Vol. II: Special Functions, Gordon and Breach, New York, London, 1986; Vol. III: More Special Functions, Gordon and Breach, New York, London, 1990.
4. J. Riordan, Combinatorial identities, Wiley, New York, 1968.
5. G. Szegő, Orthogonal polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. XXIII, 1939.
6. S. Yakubovich and Yu. Luchko, The Hypergeometric Approach to Integral Transforms and Convolutions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Mathematics and Applications. Vol.287, 1994.
7. S. Yakubovich, Orthogonal polynomials for the weight $x^\nu \exp(-x - t/x)$. ArXiv:2105.06321.