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Abstract

In our earlier publication we have shown how to compute by iteration a rational number $u_{2,k}$ in the two-term Machin-like formula for $\pi$ of kind

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{2,k}} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $u_{1,k}$ can be chosen as an integer $u_{1,k} = \lfloor a_k/\sqrt{2-a_{k-1}} \rfloor$ such that a set of the nested radicals $\{a_k\}$ are defined as $a_k = \sqrt{2 + a_{k-1}}$ and $a_0 = 0$. In this work we report an alternative method for determination of the integer $u_{1,k}$. This approach is based on a simple iteration and does not require any irrational (surd) numbers from the set $\{a_k\}$ in computation of the integer $u_{1,k}$. Mathematica programs validating these results are presented.
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1 Introduction

Historically, a computation of decimal digits of pi was a big challenge until 1706 when the English astronomer and mathematician John Machin discovered a two-term formula for pi

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = 4 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{5} \right) - \arctan \left( \frac{1}{239} \right)
\]

that is named in his honor now. Using this remarkable formula he first was able to calculate 100 decimal digits of pi \[1-3\]. Nowadays the identities of kind

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} A_j \arctan \left( \frac{1}{B_j} \right),
\]

where \(A_j\) and \(B_j\) are rational numbers, are regarded as the Machin-like formula for pi. It is very often when in the Machin-like formulas for pi the constants \(A_j\) and \(B_j\) are positive integers \[4, 6, 7\].

The significance of the Machin-like formulas for computation of the constant pi cannot be overestimated. In 2002 Kanada computed more than one trillion digits of pi by using the following self-checking pair of the Machin-like formulas \[8\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = 44 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{57} \right) + 7 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{239} \right) - 12 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{682} \right) + 24 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{12943} \right)
\]

and

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = 12 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{49} \right) + 32 \left( \frac{1}{57} \right) - 5 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{239} \right) + 12 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{110443} \right).
\]

Such an achievement made by Kanada shows a colossal potential of the Machin-like formulas for computation of the decimal digits of pi.

As a simplest case one can apply the Maclaurin expansion series for computation of the arctangent functions in equation \[2\]

\[
\arctan (x) = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \frac{x^7}{7} + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^{2n+1}}{2n + 1}
\]

and since this equation implies

\[
\arctan (x) = x + O \left( x^3 \right),
\]

(3)
we can conclude that it would be very desirable to have the coefficient \( B_j \) as large as possible by absolute value in order to improve the convergence rate.

Although the Maclaurin expansion series of the arctangent function can be simply implemented, its application is not optimal. The more efficient way to compute the arctangent functions in equation (2) is to use the Euler’s expansion formula [9]

\[
\arctan(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}(n!)^2}{(2n + 1)!} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(1 + x^2)^{n+1}}.
\]

Alternatively, the following expansion series

\[
\arctan(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2n-1} g_n(x) + h_n^2(x) \right),
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(x) &= \frac{2}{x}, \quad h_1(x) = 1, \\
g_n(x) &= (1 - 4/x^2) g_{n-1} + 4 h_{n-1}(x)/x, \\
h_n(x) &= (1 - 4/x^2) h_{n-1} - 4 g_{n-1}(x)/x,
\end{align*}
\]

can also be used for more rapid convergence [1].

1. In 1938 Lehmer introduced a measure defined as [4, 5]

\[
\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{\log_{10}(|B_j|)}.
\]

This measure can be used to determine a computational efficiency of a given Machin-like formula for pi. Specifically, when value of the constant \( \mu \) is smaller, then less computational labour is required to compute pi by a given Machin-like formula. Therefore, it is very desirable to reduce the number of the terms \( J \) and to increase \( B_j \) by absolute value. The more detailed information about the Lehmer’s measure \( \mu \) and its significance for efficient computation of pi can be found in literature [6].

1. This formula can be obtained by a trivial rearrangement of the equation (5) from our work [10] (see also [11]).
In our previous publication using de Moivre’s formula we derived the two-term Machin-like formula for $\pi$ 
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1 - \sin \left( 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{2u_{1,k}}{u_{1,k-1}} \right) \right)}{\cos \left( 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{2u_{1,k}}{u_{1,k-1}} \right) \right)} \right), \quad (4)
\]
where $u_{1,k}$ can be chosen as an integer
\[
u_{1,k} = \left\lfloor \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}} \right\rfloor, \quad (5)
\]
such that a set of nested radicals $\{a_k\}$ can be computed as $a_k = \sqrt{2 + a_{k-1}}$ starting from $a_0 = 0$.

In the recent publication the researcher(s) from the Wolfram Mathematica \cite{12} demonstrated an example for computation of $\pi$ as a rational fraction. In particular, it was shown that with integer constant $u_{1,1000}$ the constant $\pi$ can be computed such that the ratio
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} \approx \frac{2^{999}}{u_{1,1000}}
\]
results in more than 300 correct decimal digits. However, the method of computation shown in \cite{12} is based on equation (5) that involves the nested radicals consisting of multiple square roots of $2$ \cite{13–18}. Although equation (5) helps to generate the required integers $u_{1,k}$, it should not be generally used at larger values $k$ since a function based on multiple square roots is not an elementary. Therefore, a simple method based on rational approximation would be preferable. In this work we develop a new method of computation of the integer $u_{1,k}$ that excludes application of the set of nested radicals $\{a_k\}$. This approach is simple and does not require any irrational (surd) numbers in computation.

2 Preliminaries

Suppose that
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \alpha \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right), \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)
\]
The simplest case when $\alpha = \gamma = 1$. However, there may be infinitely many identities of kind (6). Let us show the infinitude of this kind of formulas.
**Theorem 2.1.** There are infinitely many numbers $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ satisfying the relation (6).

**Proof.** The proof becomes straightforward by considering the following example

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}}{a_k} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where nested radicals are computed as $a_k = \sqrt{2 + a_{k-1}}$ at $a_0 = 0$. Comparing equations (6) with (7) immediately yields that $\alpha = 2^{k-1}$ and $\gamma = \sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}/a_k}$. The derivation of equation (7) is very simple and can be found in [10].

It is interesting to note that using equation (7) one can easily prove the well-known equation (8) for pi below.

**Theorem 2.2.** We have that

$$\pi = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^k \sqrt[2-\sqrt[2+\sqrt[2+\cdots\sqrt[2+\cdots]}_{k-1 \text{ square roots}}_{\cdots}]}$$

**Proof.** The following relation

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \cdots}}}$$

is a simplest Ramanujan’s nested radical [13–18]. Denote $X$ as unknown, then from the relation

$$\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \cdots}}} = X$$

it immediately follows that

$$\sqrt{2 + X} = X$$

or

$$2 + X = X^2.$$
integer \( k \). Therefore, excluding \(-1\) from consideration we end up with a solution

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = 2.
\]

From this limit it immediately follows that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt{2 - a_k} = 0.
\]

Consequently, the ratio \( \sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}/a_k \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \).

The argument of the arctangent function in equation (7) tends to zero as the integer \( k \) increases. Therefore, in accordance with relation (3) we can write

\[
\arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}}{a_k} \right) \to \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}}{2}, \quad k \to \infty,
\]

from which it follows that

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}}{a_k} \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-2} \sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}
\]

or

\[
\pi = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^k \sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}.
\]

As we can see this proof of equation (8) is as easy as the one shown in [13]. We also note that the following limit that we used in the proof

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_{k-1}}}{a_k} \right)
\]

is valid since the identity (7) is valid for any integer \( k \geq 1 \).

It is also easy to prove the infinitude of the Machin-like formulas (2) for pi; substituting \( x = 1 \) into the expansion series [19]

\[
\arctan (x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \arctan \left( \frac{N x}{N^2 + (n - 1) n x^2} \right)
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow \arctan (N x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \arctan \left( \frac{x}{1 + (n - 1) n x^2} \right)
\]
we obtain the following identity \[20\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \arctan \left( \frac{N}{(n-1)n + N^2} \right) \tag{9}
\]

leading to

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan (1), \quad N = 1,
\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad N = 2,
\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan \left( \frac{1}{5} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{3}{11} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{3} \right), \quad N = 3,
\]

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan \left( \frac{1}{7} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{2}{11} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{2}{9} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{4} \right), \quad N = 4
\]

and so on. Although the identity \[9\] shows infinitude of the Machin-like formulas for \( \pi \), its number of the terms increases with increasing \( N \). We can also show a simple proof for infinitude of the two-term Machin-like formulas for \( \pi \).

**Lemma 2.3.** There are infinitely many two-terms Machin-like formulas for \( \pi \) of kind

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \alpha \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\beta_2} \right). \tag{10}
\]

**Proof.** The Lemma 2.3 follows directly from the Theorem 2.1 that implies infinitude of equations of kind \[6\]. In order to show this relation, we assume that \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) in equation \[6\] are both positive numbers and represent \( \gamma \) as a sum \( \gamma = \zeta + \delta \), where \( \delta \) is any small number that can be chosen arbitrarily such that \( \gamma >> |\delta| \). Thus, we can rewrite the equation \[6\] in form

\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \alpha \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right).
\]

From the inequality \( \gamma >> |\delta| \) it follows that \( \zeta \approx \beta \). Therefore, we can approximate

\[
\arctan \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \approx \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right).
\]
By introducing now an error term \( \varepsilon \), we can infer that
\[
\arctan \left( \frac{1}{\zeta + \delta} \right) = \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) + \varepsilon.
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \alpha \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) + \varepsilon
\]
or
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \alpha \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\eta} \right), \quad (11)
\]
where \( \eta \) is defined such that
\[
\arctan \left( \frac{1}{\eta} \right) = \varepsilon.
\]
The equation (11) is of the same kind as that of given by equation (10). This completes the proof since for any equation of kind (6) we can always construct an equation of kind (10).

When \( \alpha \) and \( \beta_1 \) in equation (10) are known, then the unknown value \( \beta_2 \) is given by
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{2}{(\beta_1 + i)/(\beta_1 - i))^\alpha - i} - i. \quad (12)
\]
The derivation of equation (12) can be shown from the following identity
\[
\arctan \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{1}{2i} \ln \left( \frac{x + i}{x - i} \right)
\]
Thus, substituting this identity into equation (10) results in
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{\alpha}{2i} \ln \left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right) + \frac{1}{2i} \ln \left( \frac{\beta_2 + i}{\beta_2 - i} \right)
\]
or
\[
\frac{\pi}{2}i = \ln \left( \frac{\left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right)^\alpha \beta_2 + i}{\beta_2 - i} \right).
\]
Exponentiation on both sides leads to
\[
\left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right)^\alpha \frac{\beta_2 + i}{\beta_2 - i} = i \quad (13)
\]
and equation (12) follows. This can be readily verified by substituting equation (12) into equation (13).
Theorem 2.4. If in equation (10) \( \forall k \geq 2 \) the multiplier \( \alpha = 2^k - 1 \) and \( \beta_1 \) is a rational number greater than 1, then \( \beta_2 \) is also a rational number.

Proof. Define \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \tau_1 \) such that

\[
\sigma_1 = \text{Re} \left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right) = \frac{\beta_1^2 - 1}{\beta_1^2 + 1}
\]

and

\[
\tau_1 = \text{Im} \left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right) = \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 + 1}.
\]

Then, it is not difficult to see by induction that

\[
(\sigma_1 + i\tau_1)^{2k-1} = \left( \left( \left( \left( \left((\sigma_1 + i\tau_1)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \right)^{2k-3} \right)^{2k-2} = \left( \left( \left( \left( \left((\sigma_2 + i\tau_2)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \right)^{2k-3} \right)^{2k-2} = \ldots = \left( \left( \left( \left( \left((\sigma_n + i\tau_n)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \right)^{2k-n} \right)^{2k-2} = \ldots
\]

where by the following two-step iteration we have

\[
\begin{cases}
\sigma_n = \sigma_{n-1}^2 - \tau_{n-1}^2 \\
\tau_n = 2\sigma_{n-1}\tau_{n-1}, \quad n = \{2, 3, 4, \ldots, k\}.
\end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Consequently, equation (12) can be rewritten in form

\[
\beta_2 = \frac{2}{\sigma_k + i\tau_k - i} = \frac{2\sigma_k}{\sigma_k^2 + (\tau_k - 1)^2} + i \left( \frac{2 \left(1 - \tau_k\right)}{\sigma_k^2 + (\tau_k - 1)^2} - 1 \right).
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Applying the de Moivre’s formula we can separate the complex number \((\sigma_1 + i\tau_1)^{2k-1}\) into real and imaginary parts in polar form as

\[
(\sigma_1 + i\tau_1)^{2k-1} = (\sigma_1^2 + \tau_1^2)^{2k-2} \left( \cos \left( 2^{k-1} \text{Arg} (\sigma_1 + i\tau_1) \right) + i \sin \left( 2^{k-1} \text{Arg} (\sigma_1 + i\tau_1) \right) \right).
\]

Substituting this expression into the equation (15) after some trivial rearrangement we get

\[
\beta_2 = \frac{\cos \left( 2^{k-1} \text{Arg} \left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right) \right)}{1 - \sin \left( 2^{k-1} \text{Arg} \left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right) \right)}.
\]
Since $\beta_1 > 1$, then
\[
\text{Re} \left[ \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right] = \frac{\beta_1^2 - 1}{\beta_1^2 + 1} > 1
\]
and, therefore, the principal value argument can be replaced by the arctangent function as follows
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Arg} \left( \frac{\beta_1 + i}{\beta_1 - i} \right) &= \text{Arg} \left( \frac{\beta_1^2 - 1 + i \cdot 2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 + 1} \right) \\
&= \text{arctan} \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 + 1} \right) / \left( \frac{\beta_1^2 - 1}{\beta_1^2 + 1} \right) = \text{arctan} \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 - 1} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
Consequently, we can write
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{\cos \left( 2^{k-1} \text{arctan} \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 - 1} \right) \right)}{1 - \sin \left( 2^{k-1} \text{arctan} \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 - 1} \right) \right)}.
\quad (16)
\]

As we can see from this equation the constant $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. This signifies that the imaginary part of equation (15) must be equal to zero. Therefore, from equation (15) we get
\[
\frac{2 (1 - \tau_k)}{\sigma_k^2 + (\tau_k - 1)^2} - 1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta_2 = \frac{\sigma_k}{1 - \tau_k}.
\]
The values $\sigma_k$ and $\tau_k$ are rational since, according to two-step iteration (14) all values $\sigma_n$ and $\tau_n$ at any intermediate steps of iterations are rational. Therefore, the constant $\beta_2$ must be a rational number. □

Consider two examples. Choosing $\alpha = 16$ and $\beta_1 = 509/25 = 20.36$ and substituting these two values into equation (12) we can find that
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{114322283895863787286174872158832679853761}{19955894848381168459034791030978450561}
\]
The following Mathematica code:
\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{\texttt{\textbackslash \textbackslash Name}=16;} \\
&\text{\texttt{\textbackslash \textbackslash Name}=509/25;} \\
&\text{\texttt{\textbackslash \textbackslash Name}=114322283895863787286174872158832679853761/} \\
&\text{\texttt{19955894848381168459034791030978450561};} \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\text{\texttt{\textbackslash Pi/4==16*ArcTan[1/\text{\textbackslash Name}]+ArcTan[1/\text{\textbackslash Name}];}}
\]

Pi/4==16*ArcTan[1/\Name]+ArcTan[1/\Name]
returns True. Choosing now, for example, $\alpha = 16$ and $\beta_1 = 407/20 = 20.35$
and substituting these two values into equation (12) again, we can get a negative value
\[
\beta_2 = -\frac{817344423776293722798294452010774302554561}{172199208235943812365929049219262848959}.
\]
The following Mathematica code:

\[
\text{\texttt{\alpha=16;}}
\]
\[
\text{\texttt{\beta_1=407/20;}}
\]
\[
\text{\texttt{\beta_2=-817344423776293722798294452010774302554561/}}
\]
\[
\text{\texttt{172199208235943812365929049219262848959;}}
\]

\[
\text{\texttt{\pi/4==16*ArcTan[1/\beta_1]+ArcTan[1/\beta_2]}}
\]

also validates the two-term Machin-like formula for pi by returning True. The different signs in $\beta_2$ follow from the chosen integer $\alpha = 16 = 2^{k-1}$ at $k = 5$. According to equation (5), when we take
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{a_5}{\sqrt{2-a_4}} = \frac{\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}} - \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}}}{2^{k-1} \sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}
\]
\[
= 20.355467624987188 \ldots \text{ (irrational)},
\]
then the value of $\beta_2 = 0$. However, if $\beta_1 < a_k/\sqrt{2-a_{k-1}}$, then $\beta_2 < 0$
and vice versa if $\beta_1 > a_k/\sqrt{2-a_{k-1}}$, then $\beta_2 > 0$. The examples above
correspond to the following inequality

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{407}{20} < \sqrt{\frac{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}}{2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}}} &< \frac{509}{25}. \\
\text{case } \beta_2 < 0 & \quad \text{case } \beta_2 = 0 \\
\text{case } \beta_2 > 0 &
\end{align*}
\]

Chien-Lih proposed a method showing how to reduce the Lehmer’s me-
asure by using the Euler’s-type identity in an iteration for generating the
two-term Machin-like formulas for pi. However, our method of generating the two-term Machin-like formula for pi based on the two-step iteration (14) is much easier than the method proposed by Chien-Lih in the work [21].

3 Derivation

Using equation (16) we can rewrite the equation (10) as

$$ \frac{\pi}{4} = 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1 - \sin \left( 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 - 1} \right) \right)}{\cos \left( 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_1^2 - 1} \right) \right)} \right). $$

In general, the constant $\beta_1$ may be either rational or irrational number. However, it is more convenient to apply notation $u_{1,k}$ that is defined by equation (14) instead of $\beta_1$. Such a notation is to emphasize that the constant $u_{1,k}$ is an integer dependent upon on $k$. Thus, with this notation the two-term Machin-like formula for pi can be represented as

$$ \frac{\pi}{4} = 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{2,k}} \right), $$

where in accordance with equation (12) we have now

$$ u_{2,k} = \frac{2}{((u_{1,k} + i) / (u_{1,k} - i))^{2^{k-1}} - i}. $$

It is interesting to note that by taking $k = 3$, we get

$$ u_{1,3} = \left[ \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{2} - a_2} \right] = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}{\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}} \right] = 5. $$

Substituting $u_{1,3} = 5$ into equation (17) we obtain $u_{2,3} = -239$. Considering that $2^{k-1} = 2^2 = 4$ and substituting these two constants into equation (10) we derive an original Machin-like formula (1) for pi.

Since the value $2^{k-1}$ rapidly increases with increasing $k$, application of the equation (18) if not effective to compute the second constant $u_{2,k}$. However,
the two-step iteration (14) perfectly resolves this issue. Specifically, implying that the initial values for the two-step iteration (14) are

\[ \sigma_1 = \text{Re} \left[ \frac{u_{1,k} + i}{u_{1,k} - i} \right] = \frac{u_{1,k}^2 - 1}{u_{1,k}^2 + 1} \]

and

\[ \tau_1 = \text{Im} \left[ \frac{u_{1,k} + i}{u_{1,k} - i} \right] = \frac{2u_{1,k}}{u_{1,k}^2 + 1}, \]

we can find the second constant as

\[ u_{2,k} = \frac{\sigma_k}{1 - \tau_k}. \quad (19) \]

We can derive again the original Machin-like formula (11) for pi by using the two-step iteration (14) at \( k = 3 \). This leads to the following

\[ \sigma_1 = \frac{u_{1,3}^2 - 1}{u_{1,3}^2 + 1} = \frac{24}{26}, \quad \tau_1 = \frac{2u_{1,3}}{u_{1,3}^2 + 1} = \frac{10}{26}, \]

\[ \sigma_2 = \sigma_1^2 - \tau_1^2 = \frac{119}{169}, \quad \tau_2 = 2\sigma_1\tau_1 = \frac{120}{169}, \]

\[ \sigma_3 = \sigma_2^2 - \tau_2^2 = -\frac{239}{28561}, \quad \tau_3 = 2\sigma_2\tau_2 = \frac{28560}{28561}. \]

Finally, using equation (19) we can find the second constant to be

\[ u_{2,3} = \frac{\sigma_3}{1 - \tau_3} = -\frac{239/28561}{1 - 28560/28561} = -239. \]

It should be noted that the second constant \( u_{2,k} \) is an integer only at \( k = 2 \) and \( k = 3 \). At \( k > 3 \) it is not an integer but a rational number.

The next example is \( k = 6 \). The first constant is an integer given by

\[ u_{1,6} = \left| \frac{a_6}{\sqrt{2} - a_5} \right| = \left\lfloor \begin{array}{c} 2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}} \\ -2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}}} \end{array} \right\rfloor = 40. \]
The second constant $u_{2,6}$ is a rational number that can be computed either by equation (18) or, more efficiently, by two-step iteration (14)

$$u_{2,6} = \frac{2634699316100146880926635665506082395762836079845121}{38035138859000075702655846657186322249216830232319}.$$ 

The following Mathematica code validates the two-term Machin-like formula for pi at $k = 6$.

```mathematica
k=6;
[Beta]1=40;
[Beta]2=-2634699316100146880926635665506082395762836079845121/38035138859000075702655846657186322249216830232319;

Pi/4==2^(k-1)*ArcTan[1/[Beta]1]+ArcTan[1/[Beta]2]
```

by returning True.

Alternatively, the second constant can also be found by using the following identity in trigonometric form

$$u_{2,k} = \frac{\cos \left(2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{2u_{1,k}}{u_{1,k} - 1} \right) \right)}{1 - \sin \left(2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{2u_{1,k}}{u_{1,k}^2 - 1} \right) \right)} \tag{20}$$

that follows from equation (16). It should be noted that the constant $u_{2,k}$ must be a rational number as it has been shown by Theorem 2.4.

We can see that from (15) it follows that

$$u_{1,k} << u_{2,k}, \quad k >> 1.$$ 

Consequently, the following ratio can be simplified as given by

$$\frac{2u_{1,k}}{u_{1,k}^2 - 1} \approx \frac{2}{u_{1,k}}.$$ 

Replacing the arguments of the sine and cosine functions in equation (20), we can approximate the two-term Machin-like formula (17) for pi as

$$\frac{\pi}{4} \approx 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1 - \sin \left(2^k / u_{1,k} \right)}{\cos \left(2^k / u_{1,k} \right)} \right).$$
Using the identities for the double angle
\[
\sin(x) = \frac{2\tan(x/2)}{1 + \tan^2(x/2)},
\]
\[
\cos(x) = \frac{1 - \tan^2(x/2)}{1 + \tan^2(x/2)}.
\]
after some trivial rearrangements we obtain
\[
\frac{\pi}{4} \approx 2^{k-1} \arctan\left(\frac{1}{u_{1,k}}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{1 - \tan(2^{k-1}/u_{1,k})}{1 + \tan^2(2^{k-1}/u_{1,k})}\right). 
\] (21)

Recently, it has been noticed in publication [12] that the ratio \(2^{k-1}/u_{1,k}\) approximates \(\pi/4\) reasonably well when integer \(k = 1000\). The following theorem shows why accuracy of this ratio improves with increasing \(k\).

**Theorem 3.1.** There is a limit
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} = \frac{\pi}{4}. 
\] (22)

**Proof.** By definition of the floor function we have
\[
\frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}} = \left\lfloor \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}} \right\rfloor + \frac{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}},
\]
where the fractional part is
\[
0 \geq \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}} < 1.
\]
Therefore, we can write
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_k/\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_k/\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}{\lfloor a_k/\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1} \rfloor}
\]
\[
= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\lfloor a_k/\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1} \rfloor + \frac{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}}{\lfloor a_k/\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1} \rfloor}
\]
\[
= 1 + \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\frac{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1}}}{\lfloor a_k/\sqrt{2} - a_{k-1} \rfloor} = 1.
\]
Since the fractional part cannot be smaller than 0 and greater than 1 we can conclude that
\[\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_k / \sqrt{2 - a_k - 1}}{u_{1,k}} = 1.\]

Consequently, we can infer that
\[\lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_k - 1}}{a_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}}.\]

From Theorem 2.2 we know that
\[\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_k - 1}}{a_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_k - 1}}{2k - 1} = 0.\]

Consequently, from the relation (3) we get
\[\lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_k - 1}}{a_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2 - a_k - 1}}{a_k} \right) = \frac{\pi}{4}\]
and the limit (22) follows. \(\Box\)

**Lemma 3.2.** There is a limit such that
\[\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{u_{2,k}} = 0.\]

**Proof.** We know that the limit
\[\frac{\pi}{4} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{2,k}} \right) \right]\]
is valid since the identity (17) remains valid at any arbitrarily large integer \(k\). We also know that from the Theorem 3.1 and relation (3) it follows that
\[\frac{\pi}{4} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right).\]

This signifies that
\[\lim_{k \to \infty} 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{2,k}} \right) \right].\]
However, according to relation (3) this equation can be simplified as

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} + \frac{1}{u_{2,k}} \right]
\]

and the proof follows. \(\Box\)

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the left side of approximation (21) yields

\[
\frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \approx 2^{k-1} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{1 - \tan \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)}{1 + \tan^2 \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)} \right).
\]

or

\[
u_{1,k} \approx \frac{1}{\arctan \left( \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} \right) + \frac{1 - \tan \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)}{2^{k-1} \tan \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)}}.
\]

We note that both arguments of the arctangent function tend to zero with increasing \(k\). Therefore, referring to the relation (3) again we can simplify the approximation above as

\[
u_{1,k} \approx \frac{1}{\frac{1}{u_{1,k}} + \frac{1 - \tan \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)}{2^{k-1} \left(1 + \tan^2 \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right) \right)}}.
\]

Using the Theorem 3.1 it follows that at \(k \to \infty\)

\[
\tan^2 \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right) \rightarrow \tan^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \rightarrow 1.
\]

Consequently, the value

\[
1 + \tan^2 \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right) \rightarrow 2
\]

with increasing \(k\). This leads to

\[
u_{1,k} \approx \frac{1}{\frac{1}{u_{1,k}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \left(1 - \tan \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right) \right)}, \quad k >> 1.
\]

Comparing equations (17) and (21) one can see that

\[
u_{2,k} \approx \frac{1 + \tan^2 \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)}{1 - \tan \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{u_{1,k}} \right)}, \quad k >> 1
\]
and due to relation (23) this approximation can be further simplified to

\[ u_{2,k} \approx \frac{2}{1 - \tan \left( 2^{k-1}/u_{1,k} \right)}, \quad k >> 1. \] (25)

Although this equation only approximates the second constant \( u_{2,k} \), its accuracy, nevertheless, improves with increasing \( k \). Perhaps, the approximation (25) can also be used at larger values of the integer \( k \) as an alternative to the exact formula (19) based on the two-step iteration (14).

We can see consistency of the approximations (23) and (25) with Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. In particular, when \( k \) tends to infinity the constants \( u_{1,k} \) and \( u_{2,k} \) also tend to infinity. Therefore, in order to enhance a convergence rate, it is important to obtain the integer \( u_{1,k} \) in the two-term Machin-like formula (17) for \( \pi \) as large as possible. Once the value of the first constant \( u_{1,k} \) is determined, the second constant \( u_{2,k} \) can be computed by using equation (19) based on two-step iteration formula (14). For example, at \( k = 27 \) the value \( u_{1,27} = 85,445,659 \). The corresponding Lehmer’s measure is \( \mu \approx 0.245319 \) only. Such a small Lehmer’s measure implies a rapid convergence rate. In particular, we can observe 16 correct decimal digits of \( \pi \) per term increment. This can be confirmed by running a Mathematica program provided in [29].

At \( k = 27 \) equation (19) yields a rational number \( u_{2,27} \) consisting of 522,185,807 digits in numerator and 522,185,816 digits in denominator. Such a quotient with huge numbers in numerator and denominator is not unusual and can also be observed in Borwein integrals. Specifically, Bäsel and Baillie in their work [30] showed that a formula for \( \pi \) can be generated with a quotient consisting of 453,130,145 and 453,237,170 digits in its numerator and denominator, respectively. The interested readers can download the exact number \( u_{2,27} \) with all digits from [31].

4 Implementation

At first glance the approximation (24) does not look interesting as its both sides contain the constant \( u_{1,k} \) and it is unclear how to represent it in explicit form. However, sample computations we performed with this approximation show that it can be implemented effectively. In particular, we noticed that application of approximation (24) in iteration provides a result that tends to be more accurate with increasing the integer \( k \).
Consider for example $k = 10$. In this case we have that
\[
 u_{1,10} = \left\lfloor \frac{a_{10}}{\sqrt{2} - a_9} \right\rfloor = 651.
\]

With initial guess for $u_{1,10}$, say 1000, after just 5 iteration (self-substitutions) we obtain
\[
 u_{1,10} \approx 651.899.
\]

This can be seen by running the following Mathematica command lines that show the results of computation based on this iteration:

```mathematica
k=10;
a[1]=Sqrt[2];
a[n_]:=a[n]=Sqrt[2+a[n-1]];
Print["Exact value: ",Floor[a[10]/Sqrt[2-a[9]]]];

u2k:=1000;
itr=1;
Print["Initial guess value: ",u2k];

Print["--------------------------"]; Print["Iteration "","Approximation"]; Print["--------------------------"]; While[itr<=5,u2k=1/(1/u2k+1/2^k (1-Tan[2^(k-1)/u2k])); Print[itr," ",u2k//N];itr++;]
```

The Mathematica generates the following output:

```
Exact value: 651
Initial guess value: 1000
--------------------------
Iteration Approximation
--------------------------
1 700.404
2 654.196
3 651.905
4 651.899
5 651.899
```

Application of the equation (24) is convenient since for each consecutive increment of the integer $k$ the following inequality remains valid
\[
 2u_{1,k} \leq u_{1,k+1} \leq 2u_{1,k} + 1. \tag{26}
\]
This inequality follows from the property of the floor function that is used in equation (5); the constant \( u_{1,k+1} \) should be either equal to \( 2u_{1,k} \) or larger it by unity (see [12] for some examples). Thus, based on equation (24) and inequality (26) we can make the following assumption

\[
u_{1,k+1} = \left\lfloor 2 \cdot \frac{1}{u_{1,k}} + \frac{1}{2^k} (1 - \tan (2^{k-1}/u_{1,k})) \right\rfloor. \tag{27}
\]

The computational tests we performed shows that this formula provides correct results for a large range of the integer \( k \geq 2 \). However, its general applicability for any arbitrarily large \( k \) yet to be proved.

There are different ways to approximate the tangent function in equation (27). One of the ways is to truncate the following expansion series

\[
\tan (x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} 2^{2n} (2^{2n} - 1) B_{2n}}{(2n)!} x^{2n-1}, \tag{28a}
\]

where \( B_n \) are the Bernoulli numbers, defined by a contour integral

\[
B_n = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{z}{e^z - 1} \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}}.
\]

Although this series expansion is rapid in convergence, its application may not be optimal since it requires the determination of the Bernoulli numbers. One of the ways to compute them is given by the following identity

\[
B_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} (-1)^\ell \binom{m}{\ell} \ell^n.
\]

We can see that this formula involves the double summation and, therefore, cannot be rapid in principle especially at larger orders of \( n \). Although other methods of computation of the Bernoulli numbers are more efficient, their implementations require quite sophisticated algorithms [22–24].

Alternatively, the tangent function may also be computed by using continued fractions [25–27]. However, algorithmic implementation of the continued fractions may not be optimal for our particular task.

This problem can be resolved by noticing that at each consecutive step of iteration the integer \( u_{1,k} \) increases and because of this the argument of the tangent function decreases. Consequently, it may be reasonable to utilize
argument reduction method for computation of the tangent function \[28\]. As a simplest case we can choose, for example, only the first term in the Maclaurin expansion series representing expanded form of equation \[28a\]

\[
\tan(x) = x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{2x^5}{15} + \frac{17x^7}{315} + \frac{62x^9}{2835} + \cdots \iff \tan(x) = x + O(x^3), \quad (28b)
\]

together with the double angle identity (argument reduction by a factor of two)

\[
\tan(2x) = \frac{2\tan(x)}{1 - \tan^2(x)}.
\]

that in accordance with \[28b\] leads to \(\tan(x) \to x\) at \(x \to 0\). Therefore, we can approximate the double angle identity above as

\[
\tan(2x) \approx \frac{2x}{1 - x^2}; \quad |x| << 1.
\]

This approximation implies that the arctangent function can be calculated in a simple iteration over and over again by defining the following function

\[
f_n(x) = \frac{2f_{n-1}(x)}{1 - f_{n-1}^2(x)} \approx \tan(2^n x), \quad (29)
\]

where\(^2\)

\[
f_1(x) = \frac{2x}{1 - x^2}.
\]

The following Mathematica command lines execute the program for computation of the integer constant \(u_{1,k}\) by using equations \[27\] and \[29\]:

\begin{verbatim}
(* Clear previous value *)
Clear[\[Beta]1];
(* Set of nested radicals *)
a[0]=0;a[k_]:=a[k]=Sqrt[2+a[k-1]];
(* Equation (5) *)
[\[Beta]1[k_]:=[\[Beta]1[k]=Floor[a[k]/Sqrt[2-a[k-1]]]];
(* Applying equation (29) in iteration *)
f[x_, 1] := f[x, 1] = SetPrecision[(2 x)/(1 - x^2),k];
\end{verbatim}

\(^2\)Tangent function can be computed more accurately by defining \(f_1(x) = \frac{2(x+x^3/3)}{1-(x+x^3/3)^2}\) since according to expansion series \[28b\] we can also infer that \(\tan(x) = x+x^3/3+O(x^5)\).
f[x_, n_] := f[x, n] = (2*f[x, n - 1])/(1 - f[x, n - 1]^2);

(*Main computation*)
func[u1_, k_] := func[u1, k] = 1/(1/u1 + 1/2^k*(1 - f[1/u1, k -1]));

k=2; (* iteger k *)
fstConst=2; (* first constant *)
kMax=30; (* max number for iteration *)
str={{"Integer k"," | ","Equation (5)"," | ","Equation (27)"}}; (* string *)

While[k<=kMax,AppendTo[str,{k," | ",\[Beta]1[k]"," | ",fstConst}]];
Print[TableForm[str]];

The Mathematica generates the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integer k</th>
<th>Equation (5)</th>
<th>Equation (27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>1303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2607</td>
<td>2607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5215</td>
<td>5215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10430</td>
<td>10430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20860</td>
<td>20860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>41721</td>
<td>41721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>83443</td>
<td>83443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>166886</td>
<td>166886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>333772</td>
<td>333772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>667544</td>
<td>667544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1335088</td>
<td>1335088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2670176</td>
<td>2670176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>5340353</td>
<td>5340353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>10680707</td>
<td>10680707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>21361414</td>
<td>21361414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>42722829</td>
<td>42722829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>85445659</td>
<td>85445659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>170891318</td>
<td>170891318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>341782637</td>
<td>341782637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>683565275</td>
<td>683565275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus, we can see the feasibility of computation of the constant $u_{1,k}$ without any irrational (surd) numbers. Just by applying only arithmetic manipulations (summations, multiplications and divisions) we can compute the integer $u_{1,k}$ by iteration based on equation (27).

5 Conclusion

In this work we propose a method for determination of the integer $u_{1,k}$. In particular, the algorithmic implementation of the formula (24) shows that it can be used as an alternative to equation (5) requiring a set of the nested radicals $\{a_k\}$ defined as $a_k = \sqrt{2 + a_{k-1}}$ and $a_1 = 0$. This method is based on a simple iteration and can be implemented without any irrational (surd) numbers.
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