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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ of order $n>2$ is pancyclic if $G$ contains a cycle of length $l$ for each integer $l$ with $3 \leq l \leq n$ and it is called vertexpancyclic if every vertex is contained in a cycle of length $l$ for every $3 \leq l \leq n$. A graph $G$ of order $n>2$ is Hamilton-connected if for any pair of distinct vertices $u$ and $v$, there is a Hamilton $u-v$ path, namely, there is a $u-v$ path of length $n-1$. The graph $B(n)$ is a graph with the vertex set $V=\{v|v \subset[n],|v| \in\{1,2\}\}$ and the edge set $E=\{\{v, w\} \mid v, w \in V, v \subset w$ or $w \subset v\}$, where $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We denote by $L(n)$ the line graph of $B(n)$, that is, $L(n)=L(B(n))$. In this paper, we show that the graph $L(n)$ is vertex-pancyclic and Hamiltonconnected whenever $n \geq 6$.
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## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper, a graph $G=(V, E)$ is considered as an undirected simple graph where $V=V(G)$ is the vertex-set and $E=E(G)$ is the edge-set. For all the terminology and notation not defined here, we follow [3,4].

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The graph $B(n)$ is a graph with the vertex set $V=\{v|v \subset[n],|v| \in\{1,2\}\}$ and the edge set $E=$ $\{\{v, w\} \mid v, w \in V, v \subset w$ or $w \subset v\}$. We denote by $L(n)$ the line graph of $B(n)$, that is, $L(n)=L(B(n))$. It is easy to check that $L(n)$ is a connected regular graph of regularity $n-1$. Hence when $n=3, L(n)$ is the cycle $C_{6}$. It can easily be seen that the graph $B(n)$ is an edge-transitive graph [8], thus $L(n)$ is a vertex-transitive graph $[3,4]$. The graphs $B(n)$ and $L(n)$ have some interesting properties and have been studied in some aspects [6,7,8,9]. In [8] it has been shown that the graph $L(n)$ is a Cayley graph if and only if $n$ is a power of a prime. The graph $G$ is called an integral graph whenever each of the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix is an integer. In [6] it has been proved that the graph $L(n)$ is an integral graph. In fact the set of eigenvalues of $L(n)$ is $\{-2,-1,0, n-2, n-1\}$. An interesting property of the graph $B(n)$ has been appeared in [7], that is, $L(n)$ is (isomorphic to) the square root of the Johnson graph $J(n+1,2)$, namely, $B(n)^{2}$ is isomorphic to $J(n+1,2)$. If $v$ is a vertex of the graph $B(n)$, then $\operatorname{deg}(v) \in\{2, n-1\}$. Therefore, if $n$ is an odd integer then $B(n)$ is an eulerian graph [4]. Hence $L(n)$ is a Hamilton graph when $n$ is an odd integer. A graph $G$ of order $n>2$ is Hamilton-connected if for any pair of distinct vertices $u$ and $v$, there is a Hamilton $u-v$ path, namely, there is a $u-v$ path of length $n-1$. Note that if the graph $G$ is Hamilton-connected then it is hamiltonian. Also note that a hamiltonian graph may not be Hamiltonconnected. For instance the cycle $C_{6}$ is hamiltonian, but it is easy to see that $C_{6}$ is not Hamilton-connected. A graph $G$ of order $n>2$ is panconnected if for every two vertices $u$ and $v$, there is a $u-v$ path of length $l$ for every integer $l$ with $d(u, v) \leq l \leq n-1$. It is trivial that if $G$ is a panconnected graph, then it is Hamilton-connected. Alspach [1] showed that the Johnson graph $J(n, m)$ is Hamilton-connected. This result has been generalized in [7] where it has been shown that the Johnson graphs are panconnected. It is easy to check that the graph $L(n)$ is not panconnected. In fact, it is not hard to show that for adjacent vertices $v=[1,12]$ and $w=[2,12]$, there is not a $v-w$ path of length 3 (or 4 ) in the graph $L(n)$. In this paper, we wish to show that the graph $L(n)$ has another interesting properties, that is, if $n \geq 6$, then it is vertex-pancyclic and Hamilton-connected.

The group of all permutations of a set $V$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$ or just $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ when $|V|=n$. A permutation group $\Gamma$ on $V$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$. In this case we say that $\Gamma$ acts on $V$. If $G$ is a graph with vertex-set $V$, then we can view each automorphism of $G$ as a permutation of $V$, and so $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is a permutation group. Let the group $\Gamma$ act on $V$. We say that $\Gamma$ is transitive (or $\Gamma$ acts transitively on $V$ ) if there is just one orbit. This means that given any two element $u$ and $v$ of $V$, there is an element $\beta$ of $\Gamma$ such that $\beta(u)=v$.

The graph $G$ is called vertex-transitive if $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ acts transitively on
$V(G)$. The action of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ on $V(G)$ induces an action on $E(G)$, by the rule, $\beta\{x, y\}=$
$\{\beta(x), \beta(y)\}, \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, and $G$ is called edge-transitive if this action is transitive. The graph $G$ is called symmetric, if for all vertices $u, v, x, y$, of $G$ such that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, and $x$ and $y$ are adjacent, there is an automorphism $\alpha$ such that $\alpha(u)=x$, and $\alpha(v)=y$. It is clear that a connected symmetric graph is vertex-transitive and edge-transitive. It is easy to show that the graph $L(n)$ is not a symmetric graph.

Let $\Gamma$ be any abstract finite group with identity 1 , and suppose $\Omega$ is a subset of $\Gamma$ with the properties: (i) $x \in \Omega \Longrightarrow x^{-1} \in \Omega$, (ii) $1 \notin \Omega$.
The Cayley graph $G=G(\Gamma ; \Omega)$ is the (simple) graph whose vertex-set and edge-set are defined as follows: $V(G)=\Gamma, E(G)=\left\{\{g, h\} \mid g^{-1} h \in \Omega\right\}$. As we have already stated, the graph $L(n)$ is a Cayley graph if and only if $n$ is a power of a prime integer [8].

## 2 Main results

Definition 2.1. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The graph $B(n)$ is a graph with the vertex set $V=\{v|v \subset[n],|v| \in\{1,2\}\}$ and the edge set $E=\{\{v, w\} \mid v, w \in V, v \subset w$ or $w \subset v\}$. We denote by $L(n)$ the line graph of $B(n)$, that is, $L(n)=L(B(n))$.

From Definition 2.1, it follows that every vertex of the graph $L(n)$ is of the form $\{\{i\},\{i, j\}\}$, where $i, j \in[n]$, and $i \neq j$. In this paper, we denote $\{\{i\},\{i, j\}\}$ by $[i, i j]$. Hence $L(n)$ is the graph with the vertex-set $V(L(n))=$ $V=\{[i, i j], i, j \in[n], i \neq j\}$, in which two vertices $[i, i j]$ and $[r, r s]$ are adjacent if and only if $i=r$ or $\{i, j\}=\{r, s\}$. Thus, if $[i, i j]$ is a vertex of $L(n)$, then

$$
N([i, i j])=\{[i, i k] \mid k \in[n], k \neq j, i\} \cup\{[j, i j]\},
$$

hence, $\operatorname{deg}([i, i j])=n-1$. Therefore $L(n)$ is a regular graph of valency $n-1$. In fact, $L(n)$ is a vertex-transitive graph [6,8]. By an easy argument, we can show that the graph $L(n)$ is a connected graph with diameter 3. Also, its girth is 3 and hence it is not a bipartite graph. Figure 1, shows $L(4)$ in the plane.


Amongst the various properties of the graph $L(n)$, we interested in its cycle structure and Hamilton-connectivity. Since for $n=3$ the graph $L(n)$ is isomorphic with $C_{6}$, and the structure of this graph is simple, in the sequel we let $n \geq 4$. As we can see in Figure 1, there are 4 cliques of order 3 in $L(4)$ such that they construct a partition for the vertex-set of this graph. We can easily check that by these cliques we can construct a $u-v$ paths of length $m$ if $5 \leq m \leq 11$ for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ in the graph $L(4)$. Let $u=[1,12]$ and $v=[2,12]$. It is easy to check that there is no any $u-v$ path of length 4 (or 3 ) in $L(4)$. Hence $L(4)$ is not a panconnected graph. In the graph $L(n)$ the subgraph induced by the set $C_{i}=\{[i, i j] \mid j \in[n], j \neq i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a clique of order $n-1$. It is clear that $P=\left\{C_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a partition for the vertex-set of $L(n)$. Note that for any two cliques $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ there is a unique pair of adjacent vertices $(u, v)$ such that $u \in C_{i}, v \in C_{j}$ (indeed $u=[i, i j]$ and $v=[j, i j])$. Moreover if $v$ is a vertex in the clique $C_{i}$, then there is exactly one vertex $w$ in $V(L(n))-C_{i}$ such that $w$ is adjacent to $v$. In fact if $v=[i, i j] \in C_{i}$, then $w=[j, i j]$ is the unique vertex which is not in $C_{i}\left(w \in C_{j}\right)$ and adjacent to $v$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and $P=\left\{C_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be the clique partition of the vertex-set of the graph $L(n)$ where $C_{i}=\{[i, i j] \mid j \in[n], j \neq i\}$. Let $C \in P$ and let $v, w$ be two vertices in $C$. Let $t$ be an integer such that, $2 \leq t \leq n-1$. Then there is a $t$-subset $P_{1}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots A_{t}\right\} \subseteq P-\{C\}$, and a $v-w$ path $Q: v, v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}, w_{t}, w$ of length $2 t+1$ such that $v_{i}, w_{i} \in A_{i}$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $t$. Let $t=2$. Since $L(n)$ is a vertex-transitive graph, without loss of generality, we can assume that $v=$ $[1,12]$ (and hence $\left.C=C_{1}\right), w=[1,1 i], i \neq 1,2$. Consider the vertices $v_{1}=[2,12] \in C_{2}$ and $w_{2}=[i, 1 i] \in C_{i}$. Thus there is a unique adjacent pair $\left(w_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ such that $w_{1} \in C_{2}$ and $v_{2} \in C_{i}\left(w_{1}=[2,2 i], v_{2}=[i, 2 i]\right)$. Now,
it is clear that the path $Q: v, v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, w$ is a desired path of length $5=2 t+1$. Now let $2 \leq m<t$ and the claim is true for $m$. By induction hypothesis there are cliques $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{t-1} \subset P-C_{1}$ and a $v$ - $w$ path $Q: v, v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{t-1}, w_{t-1}, w$ of length $2 t-1$ such that $v_{i}, w_{i} \in A_{i}$. Let $A_{t} \in P-\left\{C_{1}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{t-1}\right\}$ be an arbitrary clique. There is a unique adjacent pair $\left(u_{t-2}, z_{t-1}\right)$ such that $u_{t-2} \in A_{t-2}$ and $z_{t-1} \in A_{t}$. Note that $u_{t-2} \neq v_{t-2}, w_{t-2}$. Moreover there is a unique adjacent pair $\left(u_{t-1}, z_{t}\right)$ such that $u_{t-1} \in A_{t}$ and $z_{t} \in A_{t-1}$. Again Note that $z_{t} \neq v_{t-1}, w_{t-1}$. Now it is clear that the $v-w$ path $Q_{0}: v, v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{t-2}, u_{t-2}, z_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, z_{t}, w_{t-1}, w$ of length $2 t+1$ is a desired path if we rename $A_{t-1}$ by $A_{t}$ and $A_{t}$ by $A_{t-1}$.

A graph $G$ of order $n$ is $k$-pancyclic $(k \leq n)$ if it contains cycles of every length from $k$ to $n$ inclusive, and $G$ is pancyclic if it is $g$-pancyclic, where $g=$ $g(G)$ is the girth of $G$. A graph is of pancyclicity if it is pancyclic. Not that if $G$ is pancyclic, then $G$ is hamiltonian. The pancyclicity is an important property to determine if a topology of a network is suitable for some applications where mapping cycles of any length into the topology of the network is required [10,11]. The concept of pancyclicity, proposed first by Bondy [5], has been extended to vertex-pancyclicity and edge-pancyclicity [1]. A graph $G$ of order $n$ is vertex-pancyclic (resp. edge-pancyclic) if any vertex (resp. edge) lies on cycles of every length from $g(G)$ to $n$ inclusive. Obviously, an edge pancyclic graph is certainly vertex-pancyclic.

It is easy to check that $L(4)$ has no cycles of lengths 4 and 5 . Also $L(5)$ has no cycle of length 5 . But by lemma 2.2 , we can show that if $n \geq 6$, then $L(n)$ is a vertex-pancyclic graph. Moreover, we can check that $L(n)$ is not edge-pancyclic, since the edge $e=\{[1,12],[2,12]\}$ does not lie on a 3 -cycle (or 4 -cycle). In fact we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $n \geq 6$ be an integer. Then $L(n)$ is a vertex-pancyclic graph.
Proof. Let $m$ be an integer such that $n(n-1) \geq m \geq 3$. Let $v$ be an arbitrary vertex in the graph $L(n)$. Let $C$ be the $(n-\overline{1})$-clique containing $v$ in $L(n)$. Hence if $3 \leq m \leq 5$, then there is a cycle $C_{m}$ containing $v$ in $C$. Now assume that $6 \leq m \leq \overline{3}(n-1)$. Let $w \in C$ be such that $w \neq v$. By Lemma 2.2, there are two $(n-1)$-cliques $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ and a path $Q: v, v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, w$ of length 5 such that $v_{i}, w_{i} \in A_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1,2\}$. Let $1 \leq t \leq n-3$. It is clear that we can insert $t$ vertices of the clique $C$ between $v$ and $w$. Also, we can insert $t$ vertices of the clique $A_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$ between $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$. Now it is clear how we can construct an $m$-cycle containing the vertex $v$ in the graph $L(n)$. Now let $m>3(n-1)$. By Lemma 2.2, there are cliques $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{(n-1)}$ and the path $Q: v, v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{(n-1)}, w_{(n-1)}, w$ in $L(n)$ such that $v_{i}, w_{i} \in A_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Note that $3(n-1)>2 n$. By inserting adequate number of vertices of each $A_{i}$ (and $C$ ) between each pair of vertices $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}(v$ and $w)$, we can construct an $m$-cycle containing the vertex $v$ in the graph $L(n)$.

We now want to show that the graph $L(n)$ is a Hamilton-connected graph.

Theorem 2.4. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer. Then $L(n)$ is a Hamilton-connected graph.

Proof. It is easy to check that the assertion of the theorem is true for the case $n=4$, hence in the sequel we assume that $n \geq 5$. Let $v, w$ be two vertices in $L(n)$. We show that there is a hamiltotian $v-w$ path in $L(n)$. Let $P=\left\{C_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be the clique partition of the vertex-set of the graph $L(n)$ where $C_{i}=\{[i, i j] \mid j \in[n], j \neq i\}$. There are two cases, namely,
(i) $v$ and $w$ are in the same $(n-1)$-clique in the graph $L(n)$, or
(ii) $v$ and $w$ are in distinct $(n-1)$-cliques.
(i) Assume that $A_{1} \in P$ and $v, w \in A_{1}$. Let $w_{1} \in A_{1}$ be such that $w_{1} \neq v, w$. Therefore by Lemma 2.2, for subset $P_{1}=\left\{A_{2}, \ldots A_{n}\right\} \subseteq P-\left\{A_{1}\right\}$, there is a $v$ $w_{1}$ path $Q_{0}: v=v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, w_{n}, w_{1}$ of length $2 n-1$ such that $v_{i}, w_{i} \in A_{i}$. Hence $Q_{1}: v=v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, w_{n}, w_{1}, w$ is a $v-w$ path of length $2 n$ in $L(n)$. For each $i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ we insert all other vertices in the clique $A_{i}$ between $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ and again obtain a $v-w$ path of greater length. Now by inserting all the vertices in the set $A_{1}-\left\{v, w, w_{1}\right\}$ between the vertices $w_{1}$ and $w$, we obtain a hamitonian $v-w$ path in the graph $L(n)$.
(ii) We now assume that $v$ and $w$ are in distinct ( $n-1$ )-cliques. Let $A_{1}, A_{n} \in P$ are such that $v \in A_{1}$ and $w \in A_{n}$. We know that for each vertex $x$ in $L(n)$ there is a unique $(n-1)$-clique $C_{x}$ in the graph $L(n)$ such that $x \notin C_{x}$ but $x$ is adjacent to a unique vertex in $C_{x}$. Let $P-\left\{A_{1}, A_{n}\right\}=\left\{A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right\}$ be such that $A_{2} \neq C_{v}$ and $A_{n-1} \neq C_{w}$. Therefore $v$ is adjacent to no vertex in $A_{2}$ and $w$ is adjacent to no vertex in $A_{n-1}$. On the other hand, for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ there is a unique adjacent pair $w_{i}, v_{i+1}$ such that $w_{i} \in A_{i}$ and $v_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}$. Note that $w_{1} \neq v$ and $v_{n} \neq w$. Hence the path $Q_{0}: v=v_{1}, w_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots v_{n}, w_{n}=w$ is a $v$-w path in the graph $L(n)$. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, if we insert between $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ all other vertices in the clique $A_{i}$, we obtain a hamitonian $v$ - $w$ path in the graph $L(n)$.
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