Early warning signals for critical transitions in complex systems
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Abstract. In this review, we present the different measures of early warning signals that can indicate the occurrence of critical transitions in complex systems. We start with the mechanisms that trigger critical transitions, how they relate to warning signals and the methods used to detect early warning signals (EWS) for sudden transitions or tipping. We discuss briefly a few applications in real systems in this context, like transitions in ecology, climate and environment, medicine, epidemics, finance and engineering. Towards the end, we mention the issues in detecting EWS in specific applications and our perspective on future trends in this area, especially related to sudden transitions in the dynamics of connected systems on complex networks.

1. Introduction

Complex dynamical systems, both natural and artificial or engineering systems, can undergo sudden transitions, from one dynamical state to a contrasting one[1]. These are referred to as tipping or critical transitions. Most often such transitions imply unwanted or hazardous changes and hence predicting their occurrence is a requirement of great relevance to reduce the impact of natural hazards or to increase their resilience. This is often very challenging and calls for emerging insights from many complementary areas of interdisciplinary research. From exploding supernovae to erupting volcanoes[2] to cardiac arrests[3], sudden phenomena are prevalent at different scales in real-world complex systems[4, 5]. Extinction of species in ecosystems[6], glacial retreat and warming oceans[7], onset and withdrawal of monsoon[8], natural hazards like cyclones[9] and earthquakes[10], regression of productive farmland or fisheries[11], surge of epidemics[12], sudden seizures in epilepsy[13] and shift to a mental state of depression[14] are also critical transitions belonging to this category. Similar transitions are encountered in artificial complex systems such as the electric power grids[15] or the transportation systems and communication networks, that are designed to operate in
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well-analysed dynamical regimes. However, unexpected dynamical changes can sometimes cause system failures in them even in seemingly normal conditions, without any warning. Another important class of systems arise in finance[16], where markets are highly unpredictable and crashes happen more often than expected.

These sudden or abrupt changes, though arising from the same phenomenon, are called different names across disciplines, like regime shifts in ecology, critical transitions in Physics and climate, transitions in neuroscience, etc. [17]. The terms tipping point, critical state and change point, on the other hand, generally refer to the state of the system near such a transition. Unlike gradual changes, such sudden transitions are unpredictable and hence any characteristics that can be observed and captured as indicators of the approaching transition are always in demand. More so because, it is often difficult to revert a system to the previous state once a critical transition has occurred. Such characteristics when obtained well ahead of time, are termed as Early Warning Signals(EWS). Apart from well set scientific goals to be achieved, EWS can offer support for policymakers and governments to target Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) aimed at reducing the loss of life and livelihood. For example, knowledge of tipping points and their consequences in the context of climate change can help people understand and change behaviours, and that in turn, can help reverse or at least dampen the impact[18]. In the context of astrophysical systems, early warnings of events such as supernovae explosions, mergers of binaries or black holes can help us study and keep track of phenomena leading up to these events[19, 20, 21].

The first step in isolating effective EWS is to identify the mechanism or processes that are causative and imperative in the critical transitions. Several causes can lead to sudden changes. They can be extreme events in purely stochastic processes or can arise from external phenomena, such as the Cretaceous–Paleogene mass extinction event[22]. They can also arise from changes in the inherent dynamics of the system, such as system bifurcations or even shifting between existing dynamical states in a multi-stable system. While it is difficult to predict an upcoming event of the former two categories, some transitions of the latter kind can be predicted using certain characteristics of the system dynamics. To detect an upcoming transition or to test the existence of an alternative stable state is a daunting task in real systems since in most cases we have rather insufficient knowledge of the system dynamics and the parameters. Nevertheless, numerous studies have shown that there are some universal, generic signatures in the system’s response that can be exploited to arrive at effective EWS[23].

In recent years we see huge collective and interdisciplinary research efforts along with these directions. This has resulted in a recent surge in research publications related to EWS, as indicated in Figure 1. Most of the publications have been in Ecology, Environmental Sciences, Physics and allied disciplines, as indicated in Figure 2.
2. Critical transitions in complex systems

The dynamics of a complex system results from the synergistic interaction of its components. These interactions govern how the system evolves in time, and how it responds to external influences as a single entity. The intricacies of the system dynamics can, in most cases, be condensed to provide the qualitative behaviour of the system or dynamical state, evolving as per a subsystem with lower-dimensional differential equations in time. The nature of this evolution or dynamics is then directed by the system parameters that are evolving and included in the equations by design. A given set of parameters can correspond to a single stable state, or, co-existence of multiple states...
where the system settles in either of them based on the initial conditions.

There are three main ways in which sudden transitions can occur in such systems. One of the commonly observed situations is when one or more of the system’s parameters change and reach critical values, causing a sudden shift or bifurcation in the state of the system to a new state that becomes stable. This is referred to as *bifurcation induced tipping*. The second type of transition occurs due to perturbations that force the system to tip from one state to another, *noise-induced tipping*. This occurs in a multi-stable system that has two or more stable states that co-exist for the same set of system parameters. In many cases, the stability landscape of the system changes steadily with system parameters to the point where a slight perturbation can topple the system to the alternate state resulting in a critical transition. The external fluctuations or noise can drive the system sufficiently far from the original basin of attraction to that of the other. The maximum perturbation up to which the system can remain in its early stable state is referred to as its resilience. If the parameters drift in time it can cause the system to move outside its present state. This *rate-induced tipping* is a kind of dynamical bifurcation and differs from bifurcation induced tipping in that the stable state always exists. For a schematic representation, see Figure 3.

A paradigmatic model that illustrates the mechanism of sudden transitions and has been applied to a large variety of applications is a system where the tipping element can undergo a fold bifurcation. When the system is very close to this bifurcation point, a very small change in parameter or a small perturbation can cause a large shift or transition to the other stable state. A tipping element in this case has a cubic nonlinearity in its equation that leads to a bi-stable state.

Most of the real-world systems are open since they have inputs that can change the state of the system though they can be considered closed to some degree of approximation. In such cases the external perturbations are included as time variation of added parameters and the above three types of tipping can be studied in this approach as reported in a climate model that shows all three types of tipping[24]. But tipping elements in real-world systems are most often not isolated. Coupled patches of vegetation, connected lakes, interacting climate elements, food webs, or neural networks and multiscale infrastructure systems are some such real-world systems at risk of undergoing critical transitions. Although such transitions are well studied for simple isolated systems, there exist many challenges in understanding how heterogeneous structure in complex systems such as networks of species, habitats, or society undergo transitions in response to changing conditions and perturbations. In such connected systems, the tipping of one unit can induce cascades of tipping or domino effects. As an example in ecosystems, changing the population of a predator can cause cascades of shifts across complex food webs[26]. To model transitions in complex systems, the dynamics of its tipping elements represented by similar equations are coupled to each other using the framework of a
Figure 3. Potential landscape of a dynamical system. Two main types of tipping points encountered in dynamical systems are (B) changes in the potential which causes a change in the system and (C) change in system state within the same potential in the presence of an external influence. Reproduced with permission from [25].

network with a chosen or relevant topology. For N such tipping elements (represented by \(x_i, i = 1, 2, ..., N\)) coupled in a network, the dynamics is given as:

\[
\frac{dx_i}{dt} = F(x_i) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} A_{ij}(x_j - x_i) \tag{1}
\]

where \(F(x_i)\) represents the intrinsic dynamics of the \(i^{th}\) oscillator, and \(\varepsilon > 0\) is the coupling strength.

In the case of fold bifurcation (prototypical of sudden transitions), the form of \(F\) has a cubic nonlinearity:

\[
F(x_i) = -ax_i^3 + bx_i + c + \sigma \eta_i(t) \tag{2}
\]

where \(a, b,\) and \(c\) are system parameters and \(\eta_i(t)\) is the Gaussian white noise representing external perturbations of strength \(\sigma\) associated with dynamics of node \(i\).

In this scenario, the matrix elements \(A_{ij}\) decide the topology of the network. The collective tipping behaviour of the whole network is then analysed in terms of the mean and variance. In addition to bifurcation induced transitions, the same model can be used to study noise-induced transitions for non-zero \(\eta_i(t)\), and rate induced transitions when parameters change in time. Based on the topology or pattern of coupling, different possible tipping scenarios are identified[27].
3. Detecting critical transitions and Early Warning Signals

Real systems generally function in the non-equilibrium states and are constantly subject to fluctuations, whether intrinsic or extrinsic and therefore face the risk of unpredictable and irreversible shifts in their states. The dynamics of systems near such a transition or a critical point has generic features, despite differences in the details of each system. This makes their study in a range of complex systems related providing a few universal approaches. Moreover, these generic features can be tapped to arrive at early indicators of the transitions or its early warning signals (EWS).

The concept of critical transitions has been well studied in statistical physics and thermodynamics. Bifurcations in dynamical systems are analogous to phase transitions in thermodynamic systems. While the latter occurs only in the thermodynamic limit (system size $\rightarrow \infty$), the former occurs in finite-dimensional systems in the asymptotic time limit ($t \rightarrow \infty$). It is well known that near a phase transition point, the system exhibits a critical slowing down as evidenced by an increase in the relaxation time. Such an increase is also seen close to certain types of bifurcation points in dynamical systems, resulting in an increase in the autocorrelation in the system response. This generic phenomenon of “critical slowing down” (CSD) occurs in the vicinity of many kinds of critical transitions. A list of bifurcations preceded by CSD along with the suddenness of these transitions is listed in detail in [32].

A mathematical model of a dynamical system should be sufficient to predict the possible future trajectories, given the knowledge of the current state and system parameters. In reality, however, in most cases, we do not have a model of dynamical equations, to begin with. In some cases, with sufficient data, one can try to build a minimal model from the time series. The methods based on dynamical systems theory have a rich mathematical framework and take advantage of the non-linearity in the system. These methods can work for systems that are relatively simple and for which large data is available. A subclass of such techniques relies on machine learning while another subclass builds on dynamical systems theory. A related approach is a data-model fusion, that updates a minimal stochastic model according to available data. With the surge in data from various fields in recent decades, these approaches are gaining popularity and becoming progressively sophisticated.

The generic features seen in many complex systems can be quantified and developed as EWS. The class of measures that are traditionally considered to be EWS, are the metrics based on statistics from time series. In the last two decades a number of them have been proposed, some of them more specific than others. Most of them rely on the assumption of CSD before a critical transition. A few of them, such as the recurrence based measures, have variants that are developed in accordance with dynamical systems theory and hence provide deeper insight into system dynamics. We will discuss some of them with examples in subsequent sections.
3.1. Measures based on critical slowing down

The increasing sluggishness of a system as it approaches a critical transition can be detected as a reduced rate of recovery from perturbations as indicated by increased variance and skewness\[35\] or indirectly from the rising memory in small fluctuations in the state of a system using lag-1 autocorrelation\[23\] and Hurst exponent\[36\]. These commonly used measures are established as effective to predict critical transitions sufficiently early\[37\]. Certain transitions, such as rate induced tipping, that are not preceded by CSD may continue to exhibit increases in many of the quantifiers mentioned below\[38\].

**Autocorrelation** The most straightforward consequences of CSD is an increase in the correlation time or memory of the system. This can be quantified using the autocorrelation with lag-1 (ACF(1)). An increase in the ACF(1) over time would indicate an increase in the correlation time, and consequently gives a direct measure of the critical slowing down in the system. The ACF(\(\tau\)), in a time series of observations, \(X_i\) (i=1,2...N), is estimated as

\[
A(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(X_i - \mu)(X_{i+\tau} - \mu)}{(X_i - \mu)^2}
\]

where \(\mu\) is the mean of the time series. \(t_{lag}\) is generally set at 1 when we study early warning signals from time-series data. The autocorrelation has been studied as a signal of critical slowing down extensively across fields, including climate research\[39\], psychopathology\[40\], astronomy\[41\], epidemiology\[42\] and medicine\[43\].

**Variance** One of the widely used metrics to determine CSD is the variance of the time series\[44\] of the dynamics. An increase in the variance over time is a consequence of a long time of recovery from perturbations. Since the impact of perturbations take longer to decay as the system approaches a critical transition, the variance of the system response increases due to cumulative effect\[23\, 45\]. The variance of the system, \(\sigma^2\), is defined as

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - \mu)^2
\]

**Skewness and Kurtosis** The distribution of data points in a given time series can be characterized in terms of its skewness which is a measure of its asymmetry, being the third standardized moment of the distribution. For a given time series of observations, \(X_i\), the skewness is calculated as

\[
S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^3 \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}
\]
Table 1. Quantifiers used as early warning signals, their estimation and the corresponding standard error in estimation from \(N\) points. The formulae used for standard errors have the underlying assumption of normality in the sampling distribution, which leads to the errors being underestimated\[46\]. These values should hence serve as a lower bound on the estimated error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantifier</th>
<th>Estimation</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autocorrelation</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(X_i - \mu)(X_{i+r} - \mu)}{(X_i - \mu)^2} )</td>
<td>(\sqrt{\frac{1-A(r)^2}{N-3}})[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_i (X_i - \mu)^2)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^4}{N-1}})[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skew</td>
<td>(\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^3}{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2\right)^{3/2}})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{\frac{6(N-2)}{(N+1)(N+3)}})[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>(\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^4}{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2\right)^2} - 3)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{\frac{24N(N-2)(N-3)}{(N+1)^2(N+3)(N+5)}})[46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is shown to be a reliable indication in cases when a bifurcation occurs by parameter variation, or, when a large external perturbation pushes the system to an alternative stable state.

Kurtosis is the standardized fourth moment of the mean, defined as

\[\kappa = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2}{\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2\right)^{2/2}} - 3\] (6)

The asymmetry in the distribution, that gives rise to skewness and kurtosis, originates from nonlinearity in the data, and their variations are indicative of an upcoming transition\[35\]. These quantifiers along with their error estimates are listed in Table 1.

Power spectrum based measures  The shift in the periodicities present in the system’s response is known to occur prior to critical transitions in dynamical systems. The periodicities in the time series are quantified by the power spectrum, which is most often measured from the magnitude of the Fourier transform. If the discrete Fourier transform of a signal \(X_j\) sampled at \(N\) points is

\[C_k = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} X_j e^{2\pi i j k / N} \quad k = 0, \ldots, N-1\] (7)

the power spectrum is estimated as

\[P(0) = P(f_0) = \frac{1}{N^2} |C_0^2|\]

\[P(f_k) = \frac{1}{N^2} [|C_k|^2 + |C_N-k|^2] \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)\]

\[P(f_c) = P(f_{N/2}) = \frac{1}{N^2} |C_{N/2}|^2\]

Here \(f_c\) is the Nyquist frequency given as \(\frac{1}{2\Delta t}\)\[49\]. The distribution of spectral density at different frequencies reveals information about the dynamics of the system. The power
spectrum is related to the autocorrelation through a Fourier transform and the total power is equal to the variance of the signal. The standard deviation in the estimation of the power spectrum at a frequency is equal to the power estimated, and is independent of N. To reduce the error in the power spectrum, the spectrum can be binned with larger bins, which reduces the standard deviation of estimate by a factor of \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \) (where K is the number of points in a single bin)\(^{49}\).

The profile of the power spectrum is known to be closely related to the kind of bifurcation that a system undergoes\(^{50}\). Further, in cases when the system exhibits CSD, it has been observed that the spectral power in lower frequencies increases—called reddening \(^{51, 52}\). Such spectrum based measures however are not always useful when the system has a strong oscillatory component. In these cases, the maximal peak in the power spectrum is a far more effective early warning signal. An increase in the power associated with this peak has been shown to not only predict an upcoming transition but also to distinguish between the different types of tipping points\(^{53}\). So also, external white noise (Gaussian) can excite the mean measured output of the power spectral density of a system near transition. This approach finds applications in power systems where instabilities can be detected by noisy probe signals\(^{54}\).

**Detrended fluctuation analysis**  The detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) is a measure of the memory present in the time series that takes into account long term memory as well\(^{55}\). In order to construct the DFA, first a time series of observations \(X_i\), is transformed into a cumulative time series \(X_c(t)\)

\[
X_c(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} X_i - \mu
\]  

Then non-overlapping windows of different sizes, \(n\), of the cumulative time series are constructed, and the local trend is calculated by minimizing the squared errors. The fluctuation for a window of size \(n\), \(D_n\), is defined as

\[
F_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} X_c(t) - Y_n(t)}
\]

, where \(Y_n(t)\) is the local trend measured at the window size \(n\). If the data is correlated over long time scales, the fluctuation varies with window size, \(n\) as

\[
F_n \propto n^\alpha
\]

This exponent \(\alpha\) is referred to as the Hurst exponent\(^{36}\). The changes in DFA and \(\alpha\) have been used extensively as an indicator for studying transitions in a variety of scenarios including thermoacoustic systems\(^{56}\), machinery failure\(^{57}\), stellar dimming\(^{41}\) etc.
### Table 2. Recurrence based quantifiers useful as Early Warning Signals.

$R_{ij}$ are the elements of the recurrence matrix, as defined in eq. (11). $P(l)$ represents distribution of diagonal lines and $P(v)$ represents that of vertical lines in the RP. For details, see [61].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantifier</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DET</td>
<td>$\sum_{l=\text{lmin}}^{N} l P(l)$</td>
<td>[58] [61] [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>$\sum_{v=\text{vmin}}^{N} v P(v)$</td>
<td>[58] [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>$-\sum_{l=\text{lmin}}^{N} P(l) \ln P(l)$</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2. Measures based on recurrences

All the measures presented in previous sections are computed from time series or sequences of observational or measured data. Some of them are statistical measures while others bring out variations in characteristic features of data over time. The loss of resilience of a dynamical system results in longer return times, which can be captured by analysis of recurrence patterns in the time series of system response. This useful insight relies on visualising the patterns of recurrences and quantifying them using the framework of recurrence plots (RP) [58]. In addition to prominent periodicities, that can bring out signatures of hidden periodicities or trends in the pattern of recurrences due to the boundedness of their dynamics.

In this approach, the data is first embedded into an M-dimensional space using the method of delay embedding [59] [60]. Then using the embedded M-dimensional vectors, the recurrence matrix $R$ is constructed. The elements of this matrix are defined as

$$R_{ij} = \Theta (\varepsilon - \|\vec{v}_i - \vec{v}_j\|)$$ (11)

here $\vec{v}_i$ and $\vec{v}_j$ are the corresponding vectors for two points $i$ and $j$ in the reconstructed space, and $\Theta$ is the Heaviside step function and $\varepsilon$, a threshold chosen to define the recurrences [61].

RP is a 2-dimensional discrete realisation of the time series and gives a visual representation of the recurrences, with the 1s taken as black points and 0s as white spaces. Then the geometrical patterns in RP can be quantified using measures such as Recurrence Rate (RR), Determinism (DET), Laminarity (LAM), Entropy (ENT), etc. as indicated in Table 2 [61].

To estimate the changes in these measures over time, a sliding window analysis of the time series near transition is carried out [58]. Applications of this strategy include detection of past climate states [62], agglomeration of particles [63] and investigation into possible critical transition in Betelgeuse [41]. Very recent applications involve multiscale recurrence quantifiers, such as the Recurrence Lacunarity [64] that quantifies the heterogeneity of recurrent temporal patterns representing different segments of the trajectory in the embedded phase space and their self similarity.
3.3. Spatial Early Warning Signals (SEWS)

Some of the recent studies consider spatial measures just like measures from time series\[^{65}\], that can be computed from snapshots of values at spatial locations derived from multiple time series or spatial data spread over a large number of spatial points. All the spatial measures can be computed from the expressions listed in Table 1 using spatial data. These are specifically suited for cases like regime shifts in ecosystems\[^{66}\]. For example, an extended system such as a lake, undergo transitions via a metastable state that lasts only for a small duration of time. In this case, the temporal EWS cannot measure changes for such a short time, but an instantaneous snapshot of the spatial profile can. Then the transitions can be detected from the spatial variance and skewness that are shown to increase (together) prior to a regime shift\[^{35, 67}\]. For the specific case of vegetation collapse, it is shown that spatial variance and spatial skewness are effective as EWS and they signal well advance in time for implementing a mitigation drive. Some of the early works in ecology concern increasing spatial correlations prior to regime shift\[^{68}\]. Jentsch et al.\[^{69}\] calculated spatial correlations among different nodes of a regime multiplex disease-behaviour network, for the entire time series, and found signatures of CSD prior to a regime shift in vaccinating behaviour.

Compared to temporal indicators, that demand long and evenly sampled data, SEWS have the advantage that they can be applied on spatial data that are irregular and infrequent in time. But at each time point data collection is required over a large set of spatial points. Moreover, since SEWS is derived from spatial patterns, spatial heterogeneity due to environmental or other factors can give misleading or incorrect warnings\[^{23}\]. In such cases, coarse-graining is also needed for reliable results\[^{70}\].

3.4. Measures from multivariate data

Often in real systems, multivariable datasets are available and will be relevant for a comprehensive understanding of the system dynamics like multiwavelength data in astronomy, ECG recordings from multi-leads, data from various spatial locations in ecology. The measurements or observations can be of different variables of the same system or of the same variable measured from different parts of an interacting network of systems. In such cases, there are many advantages that cross time series techniques offer over single variable techniques. First, it is not always possible to have long, reliable data of a single observational variable. Second, many of the single variable techniques described above are developed for evenly sampled data. For instance, conventional methods of determining the ACF(1) inherently assume that the time difference between adjacent observations is equal throughout the time series. Many datasets often have multiple variables measured at the same time instant, with data gaps occurring simultaneously in all variables. In such cases cross time series measures such as the correlation between the time series can bypass to some extent, the problems due to data gaps. Also, we can test the reliability of an EWS from one variable data by comparing it with the strength of EWS from another variable.
When multivariate data sets are available, one commonly used approach is to analyse the correlation between the different datasets of the same system and study the covariance matrix between them. The largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix is reported as a useful metric to predict critical transitions. When a system undergoes CSD, the proportion of variance along the largest eigenvector increases and consequently the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix increases in prominence\cite{71}. This is shown in Figure 4 for a harvesting model, where the variation of the largest eigenvalue ($\lambda_1$) and the largest eigenvalue relative to the second largest eigenvalue ($\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$) are shown near the bifurcation parameter, $c$. As the system approaches the bifurcation point, $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$ moves from 1 to 0, suggesting that both the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix and the proportion of the largest eigenvalue relative to the total variation can be used as early warning indicators\cite{71}. So also, the maximum value of the covariance matrix and the autocorrelation of the projection of the data on the first principal component, called degenerate fingerprinting are proposed as early warning signals useful for multivariate data\cite{72, 73, 74}.

In addition to measures based on covariance matrices, measures based on Min/Max Autocorrelation Factor (MAF) analysis and multivariate extensions of mutual information have also been proposed as early warning signals prior to critical transitions in specific cases\cite{72, 75, 76}.

A related concept gaining attention recently is the EWS of recovery or EWSR, in complex systems. This measures the detection of the progress of effects of interventions and mitigation strategies. Such measures are important to ensure the strategy has the expected impact and reliable signals of recovery based on statistical moments are possible in specific contexts\cite{77}. 

\textbf{Figure 4.} Variation of the (a) largest eigenvalue and (b) ratio of largest to second largest eigenvalue with respect to the bifurcation parameter, $c$. Reproduced from \cite{71}.
4. Early warning signals for complex networks

As we have seen, the simplest mathematical framework for a real-world system is to identify equations modelling its dynamics with a small number of relevant variables, and then EWS for the critical transitions can be detected by measures described above, mainly centred around CSD. However, when high dimensional systems, with a complex pattern of interactions on a network structure, are encountered we have to resort to additional measures involving network topology and states of the subsystems. While the possible transitions in the dynamics of subsystems as well as network robustness are well studied, approaches connecting the two effectively is required to arrive at useful indicators. A recent study along these directions identified the presence of motifs as a cause for decreased robustness [78]. Another approach is to identify an effective state that carries information on both the topology and state of each node [79].

Many complex systems may not be in equilibrium state before transitions and can be susceptible to perturbation-induced transitions if it resides in a saddle point. The transition is then different from bifurcation induced cases and is called saddle-escape transitions that are detected by tracing out the logarithmic distance in time [80]. In socio-ecological networks, an interaction network or community network takes care of interactions among subsystems, that represents the connectivity and strength of interactions [81, 82]. To identify EWS, a steady-state covariance matrix that contains information on the variance of the fluctuations at each node and their relationships is studied. The leading indicators identified relate to the maximum element or eigenvector centrality etc [73].

Most often, networks are constructed from time series at different spatial locations using lag-0 Pearson correlation coefficient so that spatio-temporal correlations is reflected in the topological properties of the network. Then network measures like link density, clustering, characteristic path length and transitivity are used as indicators and are found to be more sensitive to critical transitions. Details of these measures are listed in Table 3. This approach is applied derive to early warning indicators of vegetation transitions [83, 84].

A further important extension in this direction is that of a recurrence network (RN), which is a complex network that can be constructed from RP with its adjacency matrix $A_{ij}$ derived from $R_{ij}$ as $A_{ij} = R_{ij} - \delta_{ij}$ [84]. Then all the known complex network measures can be used to indicate transitions, and they can support and complement the measures from RP [58]. Godavarthi et al. [85] have identified different dynamical regimes with different topologies of the associated RNs for a thermos acoustic combustor, and showed that dynamical transitions are reflected as changes in the network measures such as Characteristic Path Length (CPL), the average of shortest paths in the network and average betweenness centrality. Donges et al. [86] have used a combination of network measures on RN to identify nonlinear regime shifts in Asian monsoon. Goswami et al. [87] have proposed community structure in recurrence networks as a way to detect abrupt transitions in data with uncertainties, such as global stock indices and El Niño-Southern
Table 3. Complex Network measures useful as Early Warning Signals. \( A_{ij} \) represents element of the adjacency matrix for the node pair \( i \) and \( j \), and \( N \) is the number of nodes in the network. For a undirected, unweighted network, \( A_{ij} = 1 \) if there is a link between \( i \) and \( j \), and 0 otherwise [60].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantifier</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree of node ( i (k_i) )</td>
<td>( \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link Density (LD)</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} A_{ij} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clustering Coefficient (CC)</td>
<td>( C_i = \frac{\sum_{q}^{N} A_{iq} A_{qj} A_{qi}}{k_i (k_i - 1)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic Path Length (CPL)</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} l_{ij} ), ( l_{ij} ) = shortest distance between ( i ) and ( j )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitivity (T)</td>
<td>( T = \frac{\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} A_{jk} A_{ij} A_{ik}}{\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} A_{ij} A_{ik}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Detecting sudden transitions using Recurrence Network measures. (a) Alkenone paleothermometry data used as proxy for Sea surface temperature (SST). (b) Sliding window analysis of Transitivity (T), showing significant changes near major climate shifts (indicated by dashed lines). The grey band represents confidence interval of 90%. Reproduced with permission from [88].

Oscillation. An interesting study by Marwan et al. [88] showed a significant increase in network transitivity leading to sudden transition in the alkenone paleothermometry data (see Figure 3). So also, multiple authors have pointed out an increase in network connectivity, which relates to increased cross-correlation, happens before a critical transition [40, 14, 81].

5. Prediction of transitions using Machine Learning methods

Many recent studies have used various machine learning techniques to predict sudden transitions across different fields with differing degrees of success. Among the most
popularly used techniques to detect warning signals prior to sudden changes are recurrent neural networks. Recurrent neural networks have been used to study a variety of critical phenomena including epileptic seizures\textsuperscript{[89]}, heart failure onset\textsuperscript{[90]}, financial crises\textsuperscript{[91]}, rock bursts\textsuperscript{[92]}, abnormal increases in infectious diseases\textsuperscript{[93]} etc. Apart from recurrent neural networks, other machine learning tools such as decision trees\textsuperscript{[94]}, convolutional neural networks\textsuperscript{[95]}, random forests\textsuperscript{[96]} etc. have been used to predict sudden transitions from data.

While machine learning techniques have been used extensively for predicting critical transitions, they are model-free and do not explicitly work on the principles of CSD mentioned in this review. Until recently no comparative studies were conducted to test the accuracy of machine learning models in comparison to conventional EWS. However, a recent study compared the performance of a well trained convolutional neural network-long short-term memory (CNN-LSTM) deep learning algorithm with conventional early warning signals such as the auto-correlation and variance in predicting critical transitions. In this case, the neural network was trained using data from randomly generated 2-D dynamical systems containing polynomial non-linear terms up to cubic order. Then the bifurcations in these dynamical systems were identified and the time series were generated. The results suggested that the neural network performed better in predicting critical transitions arising from different bifurcations in both simulated and real data\textsuperscript{[95]}.

6. Reliability of measures as EWS

The most commonly used method to detect the presence of early warning signals in a time series is through a sliding window analysis over the available time series data. This technique is based on the idea that warning signals can be calculated in real time, as data become available\textsuperscript{[97]}. Initially, a window size is chosen, say $N$. The quantifier of interest is then calculated within the window. The window is then slid forward by $n$ points, and the quantifier is calculated in the new window. This process continues till the entire time series is scanned. The sequence of calculated quantifiers is then checked for trends in time using a correlation coefficient, such as the Pearson or Kendall $\tau$ correlation coefficient. Mostly rank correlation coefficients like the Kendall $\tau$ are preferred since they account for monotonic nonlinear trends in the data. The significance of the correlation coefficient then determines the presence of warning signals in the data.

Bootstrapping or surrogate testing are other alternatives to test for significance in the data\textsuperscript{[98]}. First, multiple surrogate datasets consistent with a null hypothesis is generated based on a property of the original dataset. The commonly used surrogates include randomly shuffled surrogates, autoregressive model-based ($AR(1)$) surrogates and iterated amplitude adjusted Fourier transform (IAAFT) surrogates\textsuperscript{[97]}. Randomly shuffled surrogates preserve the amplitude distribution of the data, and hence the global moments of the time series. $AR(1)$ surrogates generate multiple instances of
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an AR(1) process, preserving the ACF(1) and the variance of the original dataset. The IAAFT surrogates preserve the frequencies present globally in the original data while randomising the Fourier phases in the data. The significance is tested based on the percentile of the correlation coefficient of the original dataset in comparison to the distribution of correlation coefficients in the surrogates.

7. Measurements of resilience and stability

Resilience and stability are related, but distinct aspects of a complex system. Stability is defined for a state of a system and captures the range for which the system maintains the current state (under external perturbations). Resilience, on the other hand, is the ability of the system as a whole to maintain a desirable state. A population may be highly unstable if it keeps changing with time, but more resilient because it is ultimately able to persist within a small range of fluctuation\[99, 100]\(^\dagger\). A familiar example is that of the cardiac dynamics, which is not exactly periodic: the heart rate of a normal person fluctuates within the range of 60-100 beats per minute. It adapts to the changes in the environment and physiological needs by adjusting its rhythm while staying within range.

The resilience of a given system is generally measured in terms of the recovery rate from small fluctuations. There is an assumption that these rates are also a good proxy for the width of the basin of attraction which is nothing but stability. However, this may not be true always. Nes et al.\[101\] suggest that the relationship between the width of the basin and the recovery rate has a specific slope for a given system, depending strongly on the timescales of its components. Scenarios, when the environmental variables change too quickly\[102\], are examples when there is no positive correlation between the two. Another scenario when this relationship does not hold up is large external influences/fluctuations. One such case is excessive harvesting of a particular fish population in a lake system,\[103\] which is otherwise very stable. EWS in these cases should be interpreted very carefully.

As mentioned in the previous section, the EWS are designed to signal loss of resilience in a system, on the assumption that the system state is sufficiently constant in time (although it may change in near future). This assumption of steady-state may not always be true, such as cases where the system parameters change too rapidly for the system to settle. In these cases, detection through EWS becomes a question of speed as well as reliability.

\(^\dagger\) Note that the definition of resilience varies across disciplines\[100\]. We have followed the framework of ecological/engineering resilience here.
8. Early Warning Signals in real systems

In this section, we present specific cases from various fields where early warning signals have been used extensively and they, in general, illustrate the applicability of the EWS in various contexts. The sudden transitions in Ecological systems, climate, medicine and psychology, economics and finance, and engineering applications are instances where EWS are highly relevant and well-studied. We also highlight which of the measures are practically more suited for each application based on the nature of availability of data and requirements.

8.1. Critical transitions in ecosystems

The ecological systems such as shallow lakes, coral reefs, rangelands, woodlands, grasslands, rainforests, salt marshes, arid and semi-arid ecosystems etc. are highly complex nonlinear systems that exist in non-equilibrium states. They often have multiple stable states[104], and when subjected to spatiotemporal fluctuations, or even insignificant environmental disturbances, undergo critical transitions at a particular threshold[1 105]. In addition, these systems also suffer from stochastic or noise-induced transitions, due to large fluctuations that arise from natural causes or human interventions[106 107].

For example, a shallow lake considered as an ecosystem has complex food webs comprising species of plankton, fish and cyanobacteria, among others. The environmental stressors, such as eutrophication, fishing and other factors cause this ecosystem to switch to alternate states that may have devastating consequences for the populations. In such cases, where alternate stable states exist and the transition is relatively smooth, the signatures of CSD have been found and indicators such as ACF(1) and variance have been remarkably successful like in freshwater and marine ecosystems[108 109 110] and salt marsh ecosystems[56] etc. In other cases, where transitions were suspected to be stochastically driven, variability based indicators such as variance, flickering and skewness have shown promise ([107 111]). In some cases, both types of indicators can exist, such as rises in ACF(1), variance, and skewness discovered in land surface change[112].

While lack of long temporally-resolved data is a major limitation in this context, spatially resolved data for some of the large ecosystems can be acquired. Consequently, spatial indicators of critical transitions are found promising, as demonstrated in the context of vegetation collapse and desertification (spatial correlation and skewness[67], spatial heterogeneity[113], changes in patch-size distribution[114]), marine benthic ecosystem(standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), lag-1 Moran correlation, and spatial discrete Fourier transformation (DFT) at low frequency and spatial skewness[115]), fisheries collapse (spatial variance[116]), grassland-to-woodland transition in Savanna(CSD-based spatial indicators[117]) etc. In some studies, a combination of both temporal and spatial measures has been proposed, such as spatial skewness and correlation alongside CSD based indicators for species extinction[118] and
Figure 6. Spatial patterns indicate regime shifts in the Noy-Meir model that captures the response of vegetation biomass to vegetation grazing pressure changes [66]. The top panel shows corresponding patterns in the deviations from mean biomass at four instants, indicated by Greek symbols ($\alpha - \delta$). (a) Existence of hysteresis in the system as grazing pressure is varied. Black dots represent tipping points. (b) Spatial Variance, (c) Skewness, (d) Moran's I coefficient, and (e) Spectral density ratio (SDR). Reproduced from [66].
recovery length for populations of yeast\textsuperscript{[119, 102]}. However, there can be limitations arising from inherent assumptions within the EWS framework. For example, CSD may not be present in some transitions\textsuperscript{[120, 121]}, and spatial indicators behave differently for different systems\textsuperscript{[23]}. Some of these limitations have been addressed in later studies, such as the study of EWS prior to regime shifts that do not exhibit CSD\textsuperscript{[111]} and using multivariate data to test for false alarms\textsuperscript{[112, 2]}. Nijp et al.\textsuperscript{[66]} surveyed existing literature on spatial indicators and suggest that spatial indicators such as variance, skewness and Moran’s I coefficient, as well as the spectral density ratio (spectral ‘power’ in the lowest (0%–20%) to highest (80%–100%) portion of spectral frequencies), perform well if certain conditions are met, such as appropriate site selection and quality of data (see Figure 6). They suggest use of variograms as SEWS (details in \textsuperscript{[66]}). Other limitations such as the effect of large fluctuations and heterogeneous stressors\textsuperscript{[122]} cannot be avoided, which necessitates extra care with the interpretation of EWS in such cases. In general, the efficacy of EWS in models has been remarkable, but confounding in real ecosystems\textsuperscript{[11]}, probably due to poorly understood mechanisms of regime shifts. More examples of EWS in real ecosystems are discussed in \textsuperscript{[11, 65]} and references therein.

8.2. Climate change and related transitions

The studies of transitions in Earth’s climate have benefited greatly from methods of complex systems and nonlinear dynamics\textsuperscript{[124, 125]}. Some of the significant transitions observed in climate systems include those involving Amazon rainforest cover, Greenland and West Antarctic ice sheet, changes in the patterns of El Niño oscillations, Atlantic thermohaline circulation (THC), Coral reefs etc.\textsuperscript{[126]}. Studies on past shifts in climate have found conventional EWS such as increasing autocorrelation, variance and Hurst exponent\textsuperscript{[125, 127, 128, 39]} that inspired investigations of an impending shift in the future\textsuperscript{[129, 130]}. Increasing autocorrelation and variance before sea ice loss have been reported in models for the arctic\textsuperscript{[131]} and west antarctic\textsuperscript{[132]} regions. There is growing evidence of a planetary tipping point approaching\textsuperscript{[133, 129]} and studies warn that a regime shift might well be underway\textsuperscript{[134, 7, 132]}. Lenton et al.\textsuperscript{[126]} identified several tipping ‘elements’ (regions) that are particularly sensitive to climate change, all across the globe. In a similar vein, Chevalier et al.\textsuperscript{[123]} have constructed global assessment maps that detail the significance of EWS (ACF(1), standard deviation, coefficient of variation, skewness and kurtosis) about regime shifts in climate, as shown in Figure 7 (taken from \textsuperscript{[123]}). These results paint a vivid picture of the severity of the situation and establish the importance of the study of EWS in this context. An elaborate discussion on some of these results and predictions can be found in \textsuperscript{[124, 7, 135, 136]} and references therein. Apart from forecasting climate change, some non-conventional EWS have been employed in narrower contexts. For ex-
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Figure 7. Global assessment maps in terms of significance of temporal EWS, as indicated by positive trends in Kendall’s $\tau$. The left column represents terrestrial systems and right column represents marine systems. Reproduced from [123].

ample, cross-correlation in the finely sampled time series from different spatial locations has been employed to predict El Niño oscillations, up to a year in advance with 3-in-4 likelihood[137]. Interaction networks have been used to investigate Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC) collapse[138]. EWS have also been studied to understand the monsoon patterns as reflected in paleoclimatic records[8]. Prettyman et al.[9] have tested conventional EWS for anticipating tropical cyclones and found that a novel indicator based on scaling in the power spectrum performs even better, as illustrated in Figure 8. More details about these works and examples of applications in earth science and climate can be found in [139] and references therein.

8.3. Health sciences

In the area of health and medicine predicting sudden changes of symptoms is definitely a requirement, as early interventions are then possible before the transition itself takes
A number of chronic illnesses such as asthma and cardiac arrhythmias are hypothesised to be preceded by CSD\cite{140}. Recent work has suggested that ventricular fibrillation is preceded by rising autocorrelation\cite{3}. A very recent application has been to identify the state of multiple sclerosis in patients, by detecting EWS in the keystroke dynamics from their smartphones\cite{141}. Another interesting application is to detect transitions to various states of sleep\cite{142}. At a community level, early warning signals have been shown to precede significant increases in hospital admissions for cardiopulmonary diseases\cite{143}.

A field where warning signals have been studied extensively is in the prediction of epileptic seizures. The presence of CSD prior to seizures has been theorised by a number of authors\cite{144,145}. Computational models of epileptic seizures have also suggested that transitions to epileptic seizures must be preceded by both spatial and temporal warning signals such as the variance, autocorrelation and spectral power \cite{146}. Further, a combination of computational models and data have suggested that the transition towards a seizure occurs through a saddle-node/homoclinic bifurcation pair\cite{13}. In-vitro studies have also suggested the presence of CSD prior to seizures\cite{146,147}. Conflicting evidence has been presented on the presence of early warning signals prior to an epileptic seizure, with some authors failing to detect any evidence of CSD \cite{148,149}. However, more recent work by Maturana et. al. has suggested that this failure to detect warning signals is because the warning signals occur over longer time scales (hours or days) than

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure8.png}
\caption{EWS for detection of tropical cyclones. Data from 14 tropical cyclones is analysed terms of ACF(1), DFA and PS-based indicator in a sliding window fashion (size = 100). Error bars indicate standard deviation of 1. The trend is prominent in PS-based indicator and DFA, but not so much in the ACF(1). Reproduced from \cite{9}.}
\end{figure}
8.4. Psychology and psychopathology

A field where the use of early warning signals to detect transitions has bloomed in recent years is psychopathology. In many mental illnesses like major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder, different stable states are thought to exist. For instance in bipolar disorder an individual experiences shifts in mood states between euthymic, depressive and manic or hypomanic states\[150\]. Transitions between these states can occur fairly suddenly. A difficulty that is unique to psychology is studying the response of the system, which is measured using a range of measurements that are either physiological or self-reported. The former is captured using heart rate, actigraphy, hormone levels etc. The latter is measured using self-reported mood where individuals rates their mood on various dimensions (e.g. feeling cheerful, feeling down, feeling restless) multiple times in a day\[151,152\]. While most work has focused on used momentary mood state to predict mood transitions\[40,153\], recent work has also used actigraphy and heart rate data to study transitions in depression\[154,155\].
Studies in psychopathology focus on whether CSD exists prior to transitions to a disease state, and whether early warning signals can be detected in them. Establishing the presence of warning signals prior to a transition to a diseased mental state could lead to clinical applications where intervention to prevent symptom increases can begin early. While much of the work typically focuses on whether early warning indicators are elevated overall in individuals who experienced an upcoming transition\cite{40, 153, 156, 157, 158}, a few studies have tracked this increase within individuals\cite{40, 153}. Most of the work that searches for CSD in momentary mood states use ACF(1), variance and network connectivity between mood states, and more rarely dynamical complexity and speed of recovery from perturbations as early warning signals\cite{40, 156, 157, 159, 160}. In studies with physiological data such as actigraphy, more complex quantifiers such as the autocorrelation with a day’s lag\cite{154} and DFA based\cite{161, 162} quantifiers are also used.

8.5. Financial and Economic Crises

Financial markets display rich but unpredictable dynamics\cite{16}. The seemingly unpredictable trends here arise from intricate relationships among agents and high sensitivity to events ranging from politics to natural causes. Also, crises are almost always sudden and devastating, and difficult to predict due to the ever-changing structure and dynamics that defy traditional equilibrium models in economics. The 2008 financial crisis, for example, was not anticipated by the conventional indicators\cite{163} and many economists turned to complexity science based modelling and analysis\cite{164}.

Despite the availability of perhaps the best high-resolution data, attempts to quantify EWS in datasets from past events have met with limited success. For instance, Diks et al.\cite{165} investigated CSD prior to four historical financial crises: the Black Monday 1987, the 1997 Asian Crisis, the 2000 Dot-com bubble burst, and the 2008 Financial Crisis. They report mostly weak or insignificant EWS in all except the Black Monday 1987 crisis (see Figure\cite{10}). Investigations into the more recent 2008 crises, on the other hand, suggested signatures of a catastrophic bifurcation\cite{166, 167} and evidence of EWS\cite{167}. Wen et al.\cite{168} have elaborated on the limitations and potential of EWS in the context of high-frequency data by testing two currency pairs, the Australian Dollar-Japanese Yen and Swiss Franc-Japanese Yen exchange rates. They analysed ACF(1), variance, and low-frequency power spectrum for detection of critical transitions and found them reliable with change in parameters and size of the sliding window. A similar approach was taken by Tu et al.\cite{169} to identify CSD in the critical transition of risk of collapse in cryptocurrency. They find standard deviation to be a reliable indicator in this scenario, regardless of the specific crypto-currency or rolling window size, albeit the autocorrelation fluctuates. A different scenario is discussed in \cite{170} where changing skewness is present even without CSD.

Apart from conventional EWS, modelling systemic risk in terms of networks seems
Figure 10. Analysis of EWS for “Black Monday” based on the S&P 500 time index. (a) Logarithm of the daily S&P 500 index. (b) Residual time series after detrending (red line indicates the trend). All three indicators show significant change prior to the stock market crash (indicated by vertical line in (a)) as in (c) ACF(1) (d) Moran’s I and (e) Standard deviation. Reproduced from [165].

to be a very favourable approach [171]. Although network-based approaches that study topological characteristics seem very promising in finance, it is important to remember that markets are highly volatile and dynamic. Therefore care should be taken to monitor them at finer levels and analyse the available data at multiple levels, as demonstrated by Squartini et al. [172] for interbank networks prior to the 2008 collapse. Another network-based approach has been proposed by Goswami et al. [87], applied successfully to global stock indices for the detection of transitions into well-known periods of politico-economic volatility. Yet another approach based on correlation graphs is described in [173]. Other examples include information dissipation length (IDL) as an indicator for global instability prior to the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers [75], model-based early warning systems for emerging markets [174], etc.

8.6. Critical transitions in engineering systems

Artificial systems such as power grids are routinely subjected to attacks, accidents and other failures that cause dynamic load changes. These changes, if severe, lead to the whole grid failure via cascading effects [175, 176]. Typically, the systems approach instability gradually, and some information prior to that can be extracted from time series of different nodes. For instance, Sanchez et al. [177] have discovered evidence of CSD in a single stream of synchronised phasor measurements from systems as varied as a
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Figure 11. Performance of EWS such as ACF(1) and variance for transition in a thermoacoustic system in presence of noise. As the control parameter K(W) is varied, the system shifts from a non-oscillatory state to oscillations, via intermittency. Reproduced from [180].

The signs of flickering and CSD in some statistical measures before the critical transition in the power systems have been reported [176]. Due to the complex evolution of load growth, the pattern of power flow changes and these systems shift to a state that is more vulnerable to cascading failures. Then significant increase in autocorrelation and skewness of load shedding are seen as EWS. But scarce and random nature of load-shedding data in nature limit the use of statistical measures for real-time operations in online applications but most often find use in post-processing of risk analysis. Identifying early warning signals for the critical operational stress in power systems is highly relevant to plan strategies to overcome the critical events and increase reliability to the energy supply infrastructure sector. The studies on complex dynamics of blackouts in power systems can throw light on developing effective EWS in this context [15, 178, 179].

EWS have also proven remarkably useful in the context of thermoacoustic systems [180], that undergo catastrophic transitions to oscillatory instability from a state of turbulence, via state of intermittency (see Figure 11). The early detection of the proximity of the system to the transition is highly relevant and can be used to initiate appropriate control strategies to prevent the consequences of instability. The EWS such as the ACF(1), variance etc are found useful in this context [181]. It is reported that warning time reduces substantially when the control parameter is varied continuously for a thermoacoustic system where a transition to high amplitude periodic oscillation is dangerous [181]. Nair et al. [182] have demonstrated how multifractality in combustion noise signal can be used to detect upcoming instability.

Some other areas where EWS framework has shown promise are chemical reaction networks [183], biological systems [184, 185], gene expression dynamics [186], and socio-ecological systems [187, 73].
9. Issues and false detections in EWS

One of the major issues encountered in EWS measures is the non-availability of long data sets well in advance of expected transitions. When data prior to transitions are too short, warning signals are more difficult to find. The definition of what constitutes short depends both on the time scales of a system under observation and the quantifier that is used. Systems with a longer response time require more data before a transition in order to identify warning signals. This means that the amount of data required seems to depend on the total range of system dynamics captured and not on the length of the data alone. With the same length of data, the quantifier used for detecting transitions also plays a major role in determining the confidence in the detection of an early warning signal. For instance, using methods based on embedding would require more data, since the quantifiers are calculated in a higher-dimensional space. Further, the number of points required to make a reliable estimation of a quantifier varies between the different quantifiers being used.

Another major issue that plagues the detection of early warning signals in real data is the prevalence of false negatives and positives. The former can occur due to reasons such as topology effects, under-sampling or insufficient data before the transition. The data needs to be sampled with a sampling time lower than the slowest return time in the time series since sampling at much longer sampling times causes early warning signals to be missed. Additionally, we need sufficiently long data prior to the transition to capture the slowest response time scale of the system. This would vary according to the response time of the system, ranging from a few decades or longer when considering warning signals in stellar variability to a few seconds in motion inference from motor data. Even within the same field, the time scale of changes can vary vastly, such as in psychopathology where the time scale of onset of different mental disorders can be very different.

False positives are also highly prevalent in the detection of early warning signals from real data. The system can show CSD due to parameter drifts or transitions that are not catastrophic. However, even when the system does not show CSD, false positives can occur due to a number of reasons, such as serial correlation in the indicator due to overlap in the windows or due to the presence of trends in data. The effect of serial correlations in data when testing for significance using the Kendall $\tau$ correlation was studied by Hamed and Rao. The significance of the correlation is examined from the $z$-statistic, which falls as $\frac{1}{\sigma}$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation. Due to serial correlations in the data, the variance in the data is calculated to be much smaller than its true value, thus inflating the $z$-statistic, and consequently leading to high significance. The effective number of observations to calculate the variance in serially correlated data can then be used to generate the true $z$-statistic, and correct for the false significance arising from overlapping windows. Another cause for systematic false positives in detection is when the data has trends in the mean. In such cases, detrending the data using standard detrending techniques can remove false positives. It has been
shown that detrending using local first-order polynomial fit, global higher-order polynomial fit or moving averages all result in systematically eliminating false positives due to trends\cite{191}. A final possibility is when the transition does not occur due to various reasons, but when CSD did occur, in reality. For instance, warning signals may be correctly predicting seizure susceptibility, but no seizure may happen due to the internal regulatory mechanisms of the brain\cite{144}. These are not false positives in the true sense but will be treated as such in many studies.

There are also situations where the external influences cannot be approximated by simple white noise, or when there are intrinsic sources of error. In such cases, some knowledge of the sources of noise can help. Theoretically one can model the type of noise present and then remove it from the data. However, it is practically difficult to account for all different sources.

10. Summary and outlook

The generic properties related to critical transitions or tipping in complex systems at which a sudden shift to a different dynamical state occurs have helped to identify many common early warning signals to predict such transitions across several fields. In the previous sections, we present the most commonly used measures of EWS especially related to CSD, and the recent trends based on recurrences and related quantifiers from recurrence plot and networks. In all these cases a sliding window analysis of available time series datasets is used to compute the measures and their trends in real-time. So also, changes in spatial characteristics of large extended systems can indicate approaching transitions and hence spatial early warning signals computed from spatial data are found useful especially in ecosystems. This approach in a way relies on time series data from many different spatial locations and can be treated as one class multivariate data too. When multivariate datasets are accessible, the covariance matrix derived from the correlation between data sets and its characteristics can provide signals of transitions. We include a few instances to illustrate the efficiency of the applications of EWS in ecosystems, climate, medicine, psychology, finance and engineering systems.

In recent studies, by assigning strengths to connections based on cross-correlations, global teleconnections are derived from global climatological data like sea surface temperature, that predict and uncover rainfall anomalies, draughts, disease outbreaks, El Niño etc.\cite{193, 194, 195}. However, due to the large range of spatial and temporal scales involved, this area still poses many challenges. The construction of multilayer or multiplex networks from multivariate or spatial data can be a good approach in similar contexts.

Many complex systems have active tipping points of interacting multistable systems modelled by complex networks. Then the topological features of the network also play
a role in inducing transitions and hence are useful in deriving measures of EWS. In this context, the vulnerability of the tipping networks to cascades depends on the topology and hence is important to consider features like clustering that can trigger cascades. Identifying generic indicators based on complex networks will be very interesting since modelling complex systems in a quantitatively accurate way is still difficult to achieve. Moreover, whether a typical node of the network will start tipping first, followed by others or is it an integrated cooperative transition affecting all nodes at the same time are not always very clear. Hence for predicting instability and vulnerability in such complex systems targeted research efforts are required.

There are a few instances where modelling complex systems using dynamical systems on complex networks can give relevant information and can model dynamics of real-world systems. Recent studies establish sudden transitions and tipping in such systems, like explosive synchronisation and explosive death, multiplex induced critical transitions etc. While the order parameters and other characteristics in such transitions are well studied, a possible EWS for these transitions remain elusive.

Understanding the underlying dynamical processes that induce tipping is very important both for a research and study point of view and for applications in the design of proper interventions and later for monitoring recovery process. While data-driven studies cannot be of much use for them, dynamical system approach based on standard systems or models can throw light on them. One of the perspectives in this direction can be isolating the scaling behaviour of individual EWS measures near the transitions and the possibility of their use in identifying the nature of transitions based on scaling indices.

The availability of multiple EWS allows for cross-checking and parallel analysis that can prepare us for anticipated sudden changes and hence reduce the risks and irreversible and irreparable damages. In a few special cases, this also encompasses chances for promoting desired transitions. However, the time frame involved in arriving at EWS is very crucial in many contexts for public awareness and adaptive or preventive interventions. More studies are needed to develop EWS that provide information on the time frame and/or the probability of the transition. Such an EWS can even lead to specialized mitigation strategies. With an increase in the size and number of data sets to be analyzed, this has become a real challenge. In this direction, various machine learning approaches are increasingly becoming useful to extract common features. This has an additional advantage of computing EWS in real-time fast enough to be useful for starting mitigation strategies and related procedures well in advance.

A related objective will be a good estimate of risk which is the product of the probability of transition and its negative impact or losses. While studies on EWS that can predict the transition have advanced in many cases, the ignorance on impacts persists. This will help to design protocols to reduce risks. Some of the other challenges for the
future would be to identify and reduce chances of false positives and false negatives.

A unified framework incorporating data-based and analytic approaches, like synergy of data science, network science and EWS theory, is crucial for arriving at new measures from data, optimizing and designing automated warning systems, machine learning based detection, testing of EWS with large datasets to judge their practical applicability and unforeseen issues.
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