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Abstract

Heat bath Monte Carlo simulations have been used to study a 12-state discretized Heisenberg model with a new type of random field on simple cubic lattices of size $128 \times 128 \times 128$. The 12 states correspond to the [110] directions of a cube. The model has the standard nonrandom two-spin exchange term with coupling energy $J$ and a random field which consists of adding an energy $h_R$ to two of the 12 spin states, chosen randomly and independently at each site. We report on the case $h_R/J = 3$, which has a sharp phase transition at about $T_c/J = 1.40625$. Below $T_c$, the model has long-range ferroelectric order oriented along one of the eight [111] directions. At $T_c$, the behavior of the peak in the structure factor, $S(k)$, at small $|k|$ is a straight line on a log-log plot, which gives the result $\bar{\eta} = 1.224 \pm 0.014$. The onset of orientational order below $T_c$ is very rapid, indicating a small value of $\beta$. There are peaks in the specific heat and longitudinal susceptibility at $T_c$. 
I. INTRODUCTION

The $O_{12}$ model\cite{1,2} is a model of discretized Heisenberg spins, i.e. $O_{12}$ is a discrete subgroup of $O(3)$. We will put this model on a simple cubic lattice of size $L \times L \times L$, with periodic boundary conditions. It is now almost 25 years since the original Monte Carlo study\cite{1} of the three-dimensional (3D) random field $O_{12}$ model. The numerical results presented there, which used $L \leq 64$, are crude by current standards, but the reasons given for why this model is worthy of study are just as valid now as they were then. This model is designed to represent a ferroelectric dipolar glass.\cite{3,4} It is also believed to be relevant\cite{5} for ferroelectric phases in disordered cubic perovskite alloys, which are often referred to as relaxor ferroelectrics.\cite{6–11}

The work reported here uses modern computing power and a somewhat improved Monte Carlo algorithm to obtain results for lattices of size $L = 128$, which was not possible in the earlier work. We also make a more sophisticated choice of the random field distribution to obtain new results which should be of great interest to many people.

Our Hamiltonian starts with the classical Heisenberg exchange form for a ferromagnet:

$$H_{ex} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Each spin $S_i$ is a three-component dynamical variable which has twelve allowed states, which are unit vectors pointing the [110] directions. The $\langle ij \rangle$ indicates a sum over nearest neighbors of the simple cubic lattice. The symbol $H$ denotes the uniform external field. It does not appear explicitly in our Hamiltonian, because we have set $H$ to zero. There is no loss of generality in setting $J = 1$. This merely establishes the unit of energy and temperature.

We add to $H_{ex}$ a random field which, at each site, is the sum of two Potts field terms:

$$H_{RP_2} = h_R \sum_i (\delta_{S_i, \hat{u}_i} + \delta_{S_i, \hat{v}_i}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Each $\hat{u}_i$ and $\hat{v}_i$ is an independent quenched random variable, which assumes one of the twelve [110] allowed states with equal probability. Since $\hat{u}_i$ is allowed to be equal to $\hat{v}_i$, the random field at each site has 78 possible types.

In the work presented here, we will set $h_R = 3$. Study of the model for other values of $h_R$ is planned. Note that having $h_R$ positive means that $S_i$ has an increased energy if it points in the direction of $\hat{u}_i$ or $\hat{v}_i$. As shown by density functional calculations,\cite{13} and studied...
previously in the author’s work on a four-state model, a positive $h_R$ is an appropriate choice for a model of a relaxor ferroelectric. The reader should note that, with this type of a random field, the model does not become trivial in the limit $h_R \to \infty$.

The celebrated Imry-Ma construction, argues that random fields built from groups with continuous symmetries cannot have conventional long-range order when the number of spatial dimensions is less than or equal to four. The Imry-Ma argument implicitly assumes that the spin group is Abelian. The results we find here demonstrate that the Imry-Ma instability does not necessarily exist for random fields applied to a Heisenberg model. For a nonabelian spin group the Halperin-Saslow effect changes the long-wavelength dispersion from quadratic to linear. This can cure the Imry-Ma instability. This mechanism is also closely related to the ordering in the 3D Heisenberg spin glass.

The reader may be tempted to object that the analysis of Halperin and Saslow should not be applicable in the presence of the cubic anisotropy. However, what we are really interested in here is the issue of the stability of the random-field Heisenberg critical point. It is believed that in 3D the pure Heisenberg critical point is stable against the presence of cubic anisotropy favoring the $[111]$ directions. For the $O_{12}$ model, this was verified numerically by the author. Here we will demonstrate numerically that the necessary condition for the 3D random-field Heisenberg critical point to be stable against $[111]$ cubic anisotropy is also satisfied.

The range of distributions of the random fields for which this effect will be found remains unclear. Note that the pure Heisenberg critical point is not stable against the introduction of cubic anisotropy which favors the $[100]$ directions. In that case, the phase transition becomes first order. It is clear that more study of this system is warranted.

II. NUMERICAL PROCEDURES

If $h_R$ is chosen to be an integer, then the energy of any state of the model is an integer. Then it becomes straightforward to design a heat-bath Monte Carlo computer algorithm to study it which uses integer arithmetic for the energies, and a look-up table to calculate Boltzmann factors. This procedure substantially improves the performance of the computer program, and was used for all the calculations reported here. (The program currently has the ability to use half-integer values of $h_R$.) Lattices with periodic boundary conditions were
used throughout.

Three different linear congruential random number generators are used. The first chooses the $\hat{u}_i$, the second chooses the $\hat{v}_i$ and the third is used in the Monte Carlo routine which flips the spins. The generator used for the spin flips, which needs very long strings of random numbers, was the Intel library program \textit{random\_number}. In principle, Intel \textit{random\_number} can be used for multicore parallel processing. However, our program is so efficient in single-core mode that no speedup was seen when the program was run in parallel mode.

The code was checked by correctly reproducing the known results\cite{1} of the $h_R = 0$ case, and extending them to $L = 128$. For $h_R = 3$, 32 samples of size $L = 128$ were studied. Each sample was initially in a random state, and then cooled slowly, starting from $T = 1.5625$, and ending at $T = 1.3125$.

For the pure $O_{12}$ system, the Heisenberg critical temperature\cite{1} is $T_c = 1.453$. For $h_R = 3$, the spin correlations are only short-ranged above this temperature, so no extended data runs were made in this region of $T$. For 30 of the 32 samples studied, the system was strongly oriented along one of the $[111]$ directions by the time it had been cooled to $T = 1.3125$. The other two samples had become trapped in metastable states. These two samples were then initialized in $[110]$ states which had a positive overlap of approximately 0.5 with their metastable states. They were run at $T = 1.25$, where they were easily able to relax to low energy $[111]$ states. These states were then warmed slowly to $T = 1.4375$. At $T = 1.34375$, these $[111]$ states were seen to have significantly lower energies than the metastable states found by cooling for those two samples.

The fact that it was not difficult to find a stable state oriented along $[111]$ at $T = 1.25$ means that, for $h_R = 3$, an $L = 128$ sample does not have many metastable states. However, it is expected that for large enough values of $L$ it should be true that in the $[111]$ ferroelectric phase there will be a metastable state corresponding to each of the $[111]$ directions. Whether or not this will continue to be true for much larger values of $h_R$ is not yet known. In the proposed power-law correlated phase,\cite{1} it would no longer be possible to assign low-energy metastable states to particular $[111]$ directions.

Two trial runs made on $L = 64$ lattices indicated the presence of a $[111]$ to $[110]$ phase transition at roughly $T = 0.875$ for $h_R = 3$. However, a detailed study of this second transition was not undertaken.

Extended runs for data collection were made at $T = 1.4375, 1.40625, 1.375$ and $1.34375$. 


At the three lower values of $T$, both hot and cold initial states were used. As we shall see, relaxation of the hot and cold initial conditions gave essentially indistinguishable results at $T = 1.40625$. Therefore, the cold initial state condition was not used for $T = 1.4375$.

A data collection run for each sample consisted of a relaxation stage and a data stage. For the three lower values of $T$, a relaxation stage was a run of length 122,880 Monte Carlo steps (MCS) per spin. In most cases this was sufficient to bring the sample to an apparent local minimum in the phase space. This was followed by a data collection stage of the same length. If further relaxation was observed during the data collection stage, it was reclassified as a relaxation stage. Then a new data collection stage was run. The energy and magnetization of each sample were recorded every 20 MCS. A spin state of the sample was saved after each 20,480 MCS. Thus there were six spin states saved from the data collection stage for each run. These six spin states were Fourier transformed and averaged to obtain the structure factor for each sample. At $T = 1.4375$, a relaxation stage of only 20,480 MCS was judged to be sufficient.

III. THERMODYNAMIC FUNCTIONS

The thermodynamic data calculated from our Monte Carlo data on the 32 $L = 128$ samples are shown in Table I.

For a random field model, unlike a random bond model, the average value of the local spin, $\langle S_i \rangle$, is not zero even in the high-temperature phase. The angle brackets here denote a thermal average. Thus the longitudinal part of the susceptibility, $\chi_{||}$, is given by

$$ T \chi_{||}(k) = 1 - |M|^2 + L^{-3} \sum_{i \neq j} \cos(k \cdot r_{ij})(S_i \cdot S_j - Q_{ij}) . \tag{3} $$

For Heisenberg spins,

$$ Q_{ij} = \langle S_i \rangle \cdot \langle S_j \rangle , \tag{4} $$

and

$$ |M|^2 = L^{-3} \sum_i Q_{ii} = L^{-3} \sum_i [\langle S_i \rangle \cdot \langle S_i \rangle]_t . \tag{5} $$

where the square brackets $[...]_t$ indicate a time average. $Q_{ij}$ must be included for all $T$.

The specific heat, $c_H$, may be calculated by taking the finite differences $\Delta E/\Delta T$, where $E$ is the energy per spin. Alternatively, it may be calculated as the variance of $E$ divided by $T^2$. The second method was used for the $c_H$ numbers shown in Table I.
The data in Table I show that there are peaks in $\chi$ and $c_H$ at $T_c$. However, accurate estimates of the values of the critical exponents $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ would require collecting a lot more data at temperatures close to $T_c$. Because the value of $|M|$ is varying so rapidly near $T_c$, the critical exponent $\beta$ should be very close to zero, as expected for a random field model. A quantitative estimate of this number will require more data also.

Define $M_x(t)$, $M_y(t)$ and $M_z(t)$ to be the averages over the lattice at time $t$ of the components of $S_i$, in the usual way. Then the cubic orientational order parameter (COO) is measured by calculating the quantity

$$COO = 3[(M_x^2M_y^2 + M_x^2M_z^2 + M_y^2M_z^2)/|M|^4]_t.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The possible values of COO range from 0 when $M$ points in a [100] direction to 1 when $M$ points along a [111] direction. Note that if all of the spins were fully aligned along one of the [110] directions, the value of COO would be $3/4$. Table I shows that the sample average of COO is approximately 0.60 in the paraelectric phase, and that it rapidly increases to 1 as $T$ is reduced below $T_c$. This behavior indicates that the [111] orientational ordering is irrelevant at the random-field Heisenberg critical point, just as it is irrelevant at the pure Heisenberg critical point.

Table I: Thermodynamic data for $128 \times 128 \times 128$ lattices at $h_R = 3$, for various $T$. (h) and (c) signify data obtained relaxing from hotter and colder initial conditions, respectively. The one $\sigma$ statistical errors shown are due to the sample-to-sample variations.

| $T$     | $|M|$   | $\chi$   | $E$      | $c_H$    | COO     |
|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1.34375(c) | 0.4270±0.0024 | 25.9±3.8 | -1.1955±0.0001 | 2.782±0.008 | 0.985±0.006 |
| 1.375    | 0.3108±0.0041 | 86.0±7.8  | -1.1047±0.0002 | 2.997±0.012 | 0.869±0.029 |
| 1.40625(c) | 0.1253±0.0055 | 291±29   | -1.0072±0.0002 | 3.185±0.018 | 0.616±0.041 |
| 1.4375 (h) | 0.0238±0.0012 | 72.4±1.7  | -0.9173±0.0001 | 2.472±0.009 | 0.599±0.012 |

The structure factor, $S(k) = \langle |M(k)|^2 \rangle$, for Heisenberg spins is

$$S(k) = L^{-3} \sum_{i,j} \cos(k \cdot r_{ij}) S_i \cdot S_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $r_{ij}$ is the vector on the lattice which starts at site $i$ and ends at site $j$. When there is a phase transition into a state with long-range spin order, $S(k = 0)$ has a stronger divergence than $\chi(k = 0)$ does.
Values of $S(|k|)$ calculated by taking an angular average for each sample and then averaging the results for the 32 $L = 128$ samples are shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 2, the results for the 15 smallest non-zero values of $|k|$ at $T = 1.40625$ are shown. Note that the data shown for the cold initial condition and the hot initial condition are virtually indistinguishable. At lower temperatures, two of the hot initial condition samples became trapped in metastable states.

IV. DISCUSSION

The $RP^2$ random field distribution defined by Eqn. 2 is analogous to a quantum random field built with spin 2 operators. Since a single-site operator term in a Hamiltonian of quantum $O(3)$ spins which favors the [111] directions is also a spin 2 operator, it seems natural that this type of random field can give a direct transition from the paraelectric phase into a ferroelectric phase having random field characteristics. This will only happen if $h_R$ is chosen appropriately. The author expects that when $h_R$ becomes very large, a phase with quasi-long-range order will be seen. The data shown for the cold initial condition and the hot initial condition are virtually indistinguishable. At lower temperatures, two of the hot initial condition samples became trapped in metastable states.

For larger $|k|$ the slope of $S$ is less negative, because the system is then in the crossover region between the pure system fixed point and the random-field fixed point. It would be
highly desirable to repeat these calculations at a somewhat higher value of $h_R$, in order
to verify that the same value of $\bar{\eta}$ is found. Assuming that the transition to the [111] ferroelectric state still exists at this higher $h_R$, and that relaxation times do not become much longer, it should then be possible to obtain accurate values of the other critical exponents characterizing the random-field Heisenberg critical point. This is because the scaling region should then extend to larger values of $|k|$. Thus, it would become possible to do accurate finite-size scaling, comparing $L = 64$ data to $L = 128$ data.

This model can also be studied for negative values of $h_R$. Then the random field will favor two of the twelve spin states on each site. Thus for large negative values of $h_R$ the system will tend to become Ising-like. The author is unsure what a physical realization of this model would be for negative $h_R$, but a study of this regime might give interesting results.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we have used Monte Carlo computer simulations to study a model of
discretized Heisenberg spins on simple cubic lattices in 3D, with a carefully chosen type of random field. We have found that, for the random field distribution used here, our system shows a sharp critical point and a transition into a phase with long-range order. The phase transition shows characteristics expected of random field behavior. This example shows that the extreme difficulty in reaching equilibrium which is usually associated with glassy behavior is not an inevitable consequence of the presence of random fields. Standard renormalization group arguments imply that a similar transition ought to exist in a 3D model of this type with continuous spins and random fields which have an isotropic probability distribution. The primary reason why the existence of such behavior has been considered impossible until now is that the nonabelian nature of the $O(3)$ group was not properly taken into account.
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FIG. 1: Angle-averaged magnetic structure factor, $S(|k|)$, at a sequence of temperatures for the $RP2\ O_{12}$ model with $h_R = 3$ on $128 \times 128 \times 128$ simple cubic lattices, log-log plot. The points show averaged data from 32 samples, at a series of temperatures. (h) and (c) signify data obtained by relaxing from hotter and colder initial conditions, respectively.

FIG. 2: Angle-averaged magnetic structure factor, $S(|k|)$, at $T/J = 1.40625$ for the $RP2\ O_{12}$ model with $h_R = 3$ on $128 \times 128 \times 128$ simple cubic lattices, log-log plot. The points show averaged data from 32 samples. (h) and (c) signify data obtained by relaxing from hotter and colder initial conditions, respectively. These data are the small $|k|$ part of the data shown in Fig. 1 for this temperature.