A-NUMERICAL RADIUS : NEW INEQUALITIES AND CHARACTERIZATION OF EQUALITIES

PINTU BHUNIA AND KALLOL PAUL

ABSTRACT. We develope new lower bounds for the A-numerical radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators, and applying these bounds we obtain upper bounds for the A-numerical radius of the commutators of operators. The bounds obtained here improve on the existing ones. Further, we provide characterizations for the equality of the existing A-numerical radius inequalities of semi-Hilbertian space operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the \mathbb{C}^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with inner product $\langle ., . \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\|.\|$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the range and the kernel of T are denoted by R(T) and N(T), respectively. By $\overline{R(T)}$, we denote the norm closure of R(T). The Hilbert-adjoint of Tis denoted by T^* . Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator, henceforth we reserve the symbol A for positive operator on \mathcal{H} . Clearly, A induces a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form $\langle ., . \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\langle x, y \rangle_A = \langle Ax, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. This sesquilinear form induces a seminorm $\|.\|_A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, defined by $\|x\|_A = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_A}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Clearly, $\|.\|_A$ is a norm if and only if A is injective, and $(\mathcal{H}, \|.\|_A)$ is complete if and only if R(A) is closed in \mathcal{H} . An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A-bounded if there exists c > 0 such that $\|Tx\|_A \leq c \|x\|_A$ for all $x \in \overline{R(A)}$, and in this case

$$||T||_A = \sup_{x \in \overline{R(A)}, x \neq 0} \frac{||Tx||_A}{||x||_A} = \sup_{x \in \overline{R(A)}, ||x||_A = 1} ||Tx||_A < +\infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}^{A}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the collection of all A-bounded operators, i.e., $\mathcal{B}^{A}(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : ||T||_{A} < +\infty\}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{B}^{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is, in general, not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $||T||_{A} = 0$ if and only if ATA = 0. The A-adjoint of T, if it exists, is defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. ([1]) For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an A-adjoint of T if $\langle Tx, y \rangle_A = \langle x, Sy \rangle_A$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $AS = T^*A$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ denote the collection of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which admit A-adjoint. By Douglas theorem [10], it follows that

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{ T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : R(T^*A) \subseteq R(A) \}.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A12; 47A30; 47A63.

Key words and phrases. A-numerical radius; A-operator seminorm; Semi-Hilbertian space; Inequality.

First author would like to thank UGC, Govt. of India for the financial support in the form of SRF.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, the operator equation $AX = T^*A$ has a unique solution, denoted by T^{\sharp_A} , satisfying $R(T^{\sharp_A}) \subseteq \overline{R(A)}$. Note that $T^{\sharp_A} = A^{\dagger}T^*A$, where A^{\dagger} is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A. We note that $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) (\subseteq \mathcal{B}^A(\mathcal{H}))$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, we have, $AT^{\sharp_A} = T^*A$ and $N(T^{\sharp_A}) = N(T^*A)$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then $T^{\sharp_A} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $(T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} = P_{\overline{R(A)}}TP_{\overline{R(A)}}$ where $P_{\overline{R(A)}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{R(A)}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A-self-adjoint if AT is self-adjoint, i.e., $AT = T^*A$. For further study on the Aadjoint operator, we refer to [1]. Note that, for $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}), (TS)^{\sharp_A} = S^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A},$ $\|TS\|_A \leq \|T\|_A \|S\|_A$ and $\|Tx\|_A \leq \|T\|_A \|x\|_A$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Clearly, for $T \in$ $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}), \|TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A = \|T^{\sharp_A}T\|_A = \|T^{\sharp_A}\|_A^2 = \|T\|_A^2$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, the A-numerical range of T, denoted by $W_A(T)$, is defined as

$$W_A(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle_A : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \}$$

and the A-numerical radius of T, denoted by $w_A(T)$, is defined as

$$w_A(T) = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x\rangle_A| : x \in \mathcal{H}, ||x||_A = 1\}.$$

It was shown in [15] that for $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, $w_A(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{e^{i\theta}T + (e^{i\theta}T)^{\sharp_A}}{2} \right\|_A$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, we have

 $||T||_A = \sup\{||Tx||_A : ||x||_A = 1\} = \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle_A| : ||x||_A = ||y||_A = 1\}.$

It is well-known that $w_A(.)$ and $\|.\|_A$ are equivalent seminorms on $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the inequality (see [2, Prop. 2.5])

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \le w_A(T) \le \|T\|_A.$$
(1.1)

The inequalities in (1.1) are sharp (see [11]), $w_A(T) = \frac{1}{2} ||T||_A$ if $AT^2 = 0$ and $w_A(T) = ||T||_A$ if $AT = T^*A$. An improvement of (1.1) is given in [12, 15], which is

$$\frac{1}{4} \left\| T^{\sharp_A} T + T T^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A \le w_A^2(T) \le \frac{1}{2} \left\| T^{\sharp_A} T + T T^{\sharp_A} \right\|_A.$$
(1.2)

More refinements in this direction are also given in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 14]. Inspired by the inequalities obtained in the article [8, 9], here we obtain new refinements of the first inequalities in (1.1) and (1.2). By applying the new refinements developed here, we obtain upper bounds for the A-numerical radius of the commutators of operators. Also, we obtain characterizations for the equality of first inequalities in (1.1) and (1.2).

2. Lower bounds for A-numerical radius

We begin with the observation that any $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ can be expressed as $T = \Re_A(T) + i\Im_A(T)$, where $\Re_A(T) = \frac{T+T^{\sharp_A}}{2}$ and $\Im_A(T) = \frac{T-T^{\sharp_A}}{2i}$. It is easy to verify that $\Re_A(T)$ and $\Im_A(T)$ both are A-self-adjoint, i.e., $A\Re_A(T) = (\Re_A(T))^*A$ and $A\Im_A(T) = (\Im_A(T))^*A$. Therefore, $w_A(\Re_A(T)) = \|\Re_A(T)\|_A$ and $w_A(\Im_A(T)) = \|\Im_A(T)\|_A$. Now, we are in a position to prove our first improvement.

Theorem 2.1. If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$w_A(T) \ge \frac{\|T\|_A}{2} + \frac{\|\Re_A(T)\|_A - \|\Im_A(T)\|_A\|}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||x||_A = 1$. Then from $T = \Re_A(T) + i\Im_A(T)$, we have $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 = |\langle \Re_A(T)x, x \rangle_A|^2 + |\langle \Re_A(T)x, x \rangle_A|^2$.

This implies that

 $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \ge |\langle \Re_A(T)x, x \rangle_A| \text{ and } |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \ge |\langle \Im_A(T)x, x \rangle_A|.$

Considering supremum over $||x||_A = 1$, we get

$$w_A(T) \ge \|\Re_A(T)\|_A$$
 and $w_A(T) \ge \|\Im_A(T)\|_A$.

Hence,

$$w_{A}(T) \geq \max\{\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}, \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}\} \\ = \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A} + \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}|}{2} \\ \geq \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + i\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}|}{2} \\ = \frac{\|T\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}|}{2}.$$

Thus, we complete the proof.

Remark 2.2. Clearly, the inequality in Theorem 2.1 is sharper than the first inequality in (1.1), i.e., $w_A(T) \geq \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$.

In the next theorem we provide a characterization for the equality of lower bound of A-numerical radius mentioned in (1.1).

Theorem 2.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. (i) If $w_A(T) = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$, then

$$\|\Re_A(T)\|_A = \|\Im_A(T)\|_A = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2},$$

but the converse is not necessarily true. (ii) $w_A(T) = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$ if and only if $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 2.1 that if $w_A(T) = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$, then $\|\Re_A(T)\|_A = \|\Im_A(T)\|_A$.

Also, we get

$$\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A} \leq w_{A}(T) = \frac{\|T\|_{A}}{2} = \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + i\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}}{2} \leq \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A} + \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}}{2}$$
$$= \|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}.$$

This implies that $\|\Re_A(T)\|_A = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$, and so $\|\Im_A(T)\|_A = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$.

(ii) The "if" part follows from $w_A(T) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A$, and so we only need to prove the "only if" part. Let $w_A(T) = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$. Clearly $e^{i\theta}T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, $w_A(e^{i\theta}T) = w_A(T)$ and $\|e^{i\theta}T\|_A = \|T\|_A$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, it follows from (i) that $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \|\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A = \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. \Box

Our next improvement of the first inequality in (1.2) reads as follows. **Theorem 2.4.** If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$w_{A}(T) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \|T^{\sharp_{A}}T + TT^{\sharp_{A}}\|_{A} + \frac{1}{2}\| \|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} |.$$
Proof. We have $w_{A}(T) \geq \|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}$ and $w_{A}(T) \geq \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}$ and so
$$w_{A}^{2}(T) \geq \max\left\{\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}, \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} + \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}|}{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{\|(\Re_{A}(T))^{2}\|_{A} + \|(\Im_{A}(T))^{2}\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}|}{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{\|(\Re_{A}(T))^{2} + (\Im_{A}(T))^{2}\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}|}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_{A}}T + TT^{\sharp_{A}}\|_{A} + \frac{1}{2} \|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}|.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.5. Clearly, the inequality in Theorem 2.4 is sharper than the first inequality in (1.2), i.e., $w_A^2(T) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$.

Next, we prove an equivalent condition for $w_A(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A} T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A}$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then, $w_A(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A}$ if and only if $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \|\Im(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The "if" part is trivial, we only prove the "only if" part. Let $w_A^2(T) = \frac{1}{4} ||T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}||_A$. Now, $\left(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\right)^2 + \left(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T)\right)^2 = \frac{T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}}{2}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \| T^{\sharp_A} T + T T^{\sharp_A} \|_A = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T) \right)^2 + \left(\Im_A(e^{i\theta}T) \right)^2 \right\|_A \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \Re_A(e^{i\theta}T) \right\|_A^2 + \left\| \Im_A(e^{i\theta}T) \right\|_A^2 \right) \\
\leq w_A^2(T) = \frac{1}{4} \| T^{\sharp_A}T + T T^{\sharp_A} \|_A.$$

Hence, $\left\|\Re_A(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}T)\right\|_A^2 + \left\|\Im_A(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}T)\right\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$. Now, $\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\|\Re_A(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}T)\right\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{4}\|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\|\Im_A(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}T)\right\|_A^2$. Therefore, $\|\Re_A(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \|\Im_A(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}T)\|_A^2 = \frac{1}{4}\|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now we obtain another characterizations for the equalities $w_A(T) = \frac{1}{2} ||T||_A$ and $w_A(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} ||T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}||_A}$. First we need to prove the following lemma. **Lemma 2.7.** Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then, $||\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)||_A = k$ (i.e., a constant) for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $W_A(T)$ is a circular disk with center at the origin and radius k. Proof. The "if" part is trivial, we only prove the "only if" part. Let $\|\Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)\|_A$ = k for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\sup_{\|x\|_A=1} |\langle \Re_A(e^{i\theta}T)x, x \rangle_A| = k$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\sup_{\|x\|_A=1} |Re(e^{i\theta}\langle Tx, x \rangle_A)| = k$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^\theta\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x_n^\theta\|_A = 1$ such that $|Re(e^{i\theta}\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta\rangle_A)| \to k$. This implies that the boundary of $W_A(T)$ must be a circle with center at the origin and radius k. Since $W_A(T)$ is a convex subset of \mathbb{C} (see in [2, Th. 2.1]), so $W_A(T)$ is a circular disk with center at the origin and radius k.

Theorem 2.8. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then, the following results hold. (i) $w_A(T) = \frac{1}{2} ||T||_A$ if and only if $W_A(T)$ is a circular disk with center at the origin and radius $\frac{1}{2} ||T||_A$. (ii) $w_A(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} ||T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}||_A}$ if and only if $W_A(T)$ is a circular disk with

center at the origin and radius $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A}$.

Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) follow from Theorems 2.3 and 2.6, respectively, by using Lemma 2.7. \Box

Another improvement of the first inequality in (1.1) reads as:

Theorem 2.9. If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$w_A(T) \ge \frac{\|T\|_A}{2} + \frac{\|\Re_A(T) + \Im_A(T)\|_A - \|\Re_A(T) - \Im_A(T)\|_A\|}{2\sqrt{2}}$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||x||_A = 1$. Then, we have

$$|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = \sqrt{|\langle \Re_A(T)x, x \rangle_A|^2 + |\langle \Im_A(T)x, x \rangle_A|^2} \\ \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\langle \Re_A(T)x, x \rangle_A| + |\langle \Im_A(T)x, x \rangle|) \\ \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\langle (\Re_A(T) \pm \Im_A(T))x, x \rangle_A|.$$

Taking supremum over $||x||_A = 1$, we get

$$w_A(T) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Re_A(T) \pm \Im_A(T)\|_A.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} w_{A}(T) &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \max\{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}, \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A} + \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}}{2} \\ &+ \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}\|}{2} \Big\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \frac{\|(\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)) - i(\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T))\|_{A}}{2} \\ &+ \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}\|}{2} \Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \frac{\|(1 - i)T\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}\|}{2\sqrt{2}} \Big\} \\ &= \frac{\|T\|_{A}}{2} + \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}\|}{2\sqrt{2}}, \end{split}$$

as desired.

Remark 2.10. (i) Clearly, the inequality in Theorem 2.9 is sharper than the first inequality in (1.1), i.e., $w_A(T) \ge \frac{\|T\|_A}{2}$. (ii) The inequalities obtained in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.9 are not comparable, in general.

Another refinement of the first inequality in (1.2) reads as follows:

Theorem 2.11. If $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$w_A(T) \ge \sqrt{\frac{\|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A}{4}} + \frac{\|\Re_A(T) + \Im_A(T)\|_A^2 - \|\Re_A(T) - \Im_A(T)\|_A^2}{4}.$$

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\begin{split} w_{A}^{2}(T) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}, \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} + \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}}{2} \\ &+ \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\|}{2} \Big\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ \frac{\|(\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T))^{2} + (\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T))^{2}\|_{A}}{2} \\ &+ \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\|}{2} \Big\} \\ &= \frac{\|T^{\sharp_{A}}T + TT^{\sharp_{A}}\|_{A}}{4} + \frac{\|\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\|}{4}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.12. (i) Clearly, the inequality in Theorem 2.11 is sharper than the first inequality in (1.2), i.e., $w_A^2(T) \ge \frac{1}{4} ||T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}||_A$. (ii) The inequalities obtained in Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.11 are not comparable, in general.

3. Applications

In this section we obtain new inequalities for the A-numerical radius of the generalized commutators of operators by applying Theorems 2.4 and 2.11. First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If $T, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$w_A(TX \pm YT) \le \max\{\|X\|_A, \|Y\|_A\} \sqrt{2} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $||x||_A = 1$ and $\max\{||X||_A, ||Y||_A\} \leq 1$. Then by Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (TX \pm YT)x, x \rangle_A| &\leq |\langle Xx, T^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A| + |\langle Tx, Y^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A| \\ &\leq \|T^{\sharp_A}x\| + \|Tx\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(\|T^{\sharp_A}x\|_A^2 + \|Tx\|_A^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over $||x||_A = 1$, we get

$$w_A(TX \pm YT) \leq \sqrt{2\|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A}.$$

If $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ are arbitrary with $\max\{\|X\|_A, \|Y\|_A\} \neq 0$, then it follows from the above inequality that

$$w_A(TX \pm YT) \le \max\{\|X\|_A, \|Y\|_A\}\sqrt{2\|T^{\sharp_A}T + TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A}.$$

Also, if $\max\{||X||_A, ||Y||_A\} = 0$, then the above inequality holds trivially. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. If $T, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

(i)
$$w_A(TX \pm YT) \le 2\sqrt{2} \max\{\|X\|_A, \|Y\|_A\} \sqrt{w_A^2(T) - \frac{\|\|\Re_A(T)\|_A^2 - \|\Im_A(T)\|_A^2}{2}}$$

and

(*ii*)
$$w_A(TX \pm YT)$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{2}\max\left\{\|X\|_{A},\|Y\|_{A}\right\}\sqrt{w_{A}^{2}(T)-\frac{\|\Re_{A}(T)+\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}-\|\Re_{A}(T)-\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\|_{A}^{2}}{4}}$$

Proof. By applying the inequalities in Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.11 in Lemma 3.1, we have (i) and (ii), respectively.

It should be mentioned here that the inequalities (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.2 are not comparable, in general.

Considering X = Y = S in Theorem 3.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. If $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

(i)
$$w_A(TS \pm ST) \le 2\sqrt{2} \|S\|_A \sqrt{w_A^2(T) - \frac{\|\Re_A(T)\|_A^2 - \|\Im_A(T)\|_A^2}{2}}$$

and

(*ii*)
$$w_A(TS \pm ST)$$

 $\leq 2\sqrt{2} \|S\|_A \sqrt{w_A^2(T) - \frac{\|\Re_A(T) + \Im_A(T)\|_A^2 - \|\Re_A(T) - \Im_A(T)\|_A^2 |}{4}}$

Now, interchanging T and S in Corollary 3.3 (i), we get

$$w_A(TS \pm ST) \leq 2\sqrt{2}\min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where

$$\alpha_{1} = \|S\|_{A} \sqrt{w_{A}^{2}(T) - \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}\|}{2}}$$

$$\alpha_{2} = \|T\|_{A} \sqrt{w_{A}^{2}(S) - \frac{\|\Re_{A}(S)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Im_{A}(S)\|_{A}^{2}\|}{2}}.$$

Also, interchanging T and S in Corollary 3.3 (ii), we get

$$w_A(TS \pm ST) \leq 2\sqrt{2}\min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}, \qquad (3.2)$$

where

$$\beta_{1} = \|S\|_{A} \sqrt{w_{A}^{2}(T) - \frac{\|\Re_{A}(T) + \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Re_{A}(T) - \Im_{A}(T)\|_{A}^{2}|}{4}},$$

$$\beta_{2} = \|T\|_{A} \sqrt{w_{A}^{2}(S) - \frac{\|\Re_{A}(S) + \Im_{A}(S)\|_{A}^{2} - \|\Re_{A}(S) - \Im_{A}(S)\|_{A}^{2}|}{4}}.$$

Remark 3.4. In [15, Th. 4.2], Zamani proved that if $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$w_A(TS \pm ST) \leq 2\sqrt{2} \min\{\|T\|_A w_A(S), \|S\|_A w_A(T)\}.$$

Clearly, both the inequalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are stronger than the inequality in [15, Th. 4.2].

Data Availability Statement.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- M.L. Arias, G. Corach and M.C. Gonzalez, Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 1460-1475.
- H. Baklouti, K. Feki and O.A.M. Sid Ahmed, Joint numerical ramges of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 555 (2018) 266-284.
- P. Bhunia, K. Feki and K. Paul, A-Numerical radius orthogonality and parallelism of semi-Hilbertian space operators and their applications, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 47 (2021) 435-457.
- 4. P. Bhunia, R.K. Nayak and K. Paul, Improvement of A-numerical radius inequalities of semi-Hilbertian space operators, Results Math. 76, 120 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-021-01439-w
- P. Bhunia, K. Paul and R.K. Nayak, On inequalities for A-numerical radius of operators, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 36 (2020) 143-157.

- P. Bhunia, R.K. Nayak and K. Paul, Refinements of A-numerical radius inequalities and their applications, Adv. Oper. Theory 5 (2020) 1498-1511.
- 7. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Some improvement of numerical radius inequalities of operators and operator matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2020). https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1781037.
- 8. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Development of inequality and characterization of equality conditions for the numerical radius, (2021). https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.09715
- 9. P. Bhunia, S. Jana and K. Paul, Refined inequalities for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators, (2021). http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.13949
- 10. R.G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966) 413-416.
- K. Feki, Spectral radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications, Ann. Funct. Anal. 11 (2020) 929-946.
- 12. K. Feki, A note on the A-numerical radius of operators in semi-Hilbert spaces, Arch. Math. 115 (2020) 535-544.
- M.S. Moslehian, Q. Xu and A. Zamani, Seminorm and numerical radius inequalities of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 591 (2020) 299-321.
- 14. N.C. Rout, S. Sahoo and D. Mishra, On A-numerical radius inequalities for 2 × 2 operator matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2020). https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1810201
- A. Zamani, A-numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 578 (2019) 159-183.

(Bhunia) Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India

Email address: pintubhunia5206@gmail.com; pbhunia.math.rs@jadavpuruniversity.in

(Paul) Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India

Email address: kalloldada@gmail.com; kallol.paul@jadavpuruniversity.in