

THE IVP FOR A CERTAIN DISPERSION GENERALIZED ZK EQUATION IN BI-PERIODIC SPACES

CAROLINA ALBARRACIN, GUILLERMO RODRIGUEZ-BLANCO

ABSTRACT. We establish well-posedness conclusions for the Cauchy problem associated to the dispersion generalized Zakharov-Kutnesov equation in bi-periodic Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $s > (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha+2})(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{4})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we deal with the well-posedness of the initial value problem (IVP):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \partial_x (D_x^{1+\alpha} \pm D_y^{1+\beta}) u + uu_x = 0 & (x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0) = \phi & \phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 and $0 < \beta \leq 1$, where $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $D_y^\beta = (-\partial_y^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ is a homogeneous fractional derivative in the variable y , and it is defined via the Fourier transform by $\widehat{(D_y^\beta f)}(m, n) := |n|^\beta \hat{f}(m, n)$, analogously is defined D_x^α for the variable x . When, $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and the $+$ sign, this equation corresponds to the well-known Zakharov-Kuznetsov (ZK) equation, that is a natural bi-dimensional extension of the well-known Korteweg de Vries (KdV) equation. The ZK equation describes the propagation of nonlinear ion-acoustic waves in magnetized plasma and it's well-posedness has been extensively studied in [24, 25, 10, 2, 5]. Recently, models that generalize the ZK equation have emerged, see [22]. An important case in the study of this type of equations is the well-posedness in the periodic Sobolev space. In this regard, Linares et al. in [23] obtained well-posedness for the ZK equation in the periodic Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, for $s > \frac{5}{3}$. Improved by Schippa in [29] to $s > \frac{3}{2}$ via short-time bilinear Strichartz estimates adapting the bilinear arguments in the periodic Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Recently, Kinoshita and Schippa proved local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $s > 1$ in [20], the ingredient to improve on previous results is a nonlinear Loomis-Whitney-type inequality.

For $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and the $+$ sign, the equation (1.1) coincides with the Benjamin-Ono-Zakharov-Kuznetsov (BOZK) equation that is a model for thin nano-conductors on a dielectric substrate in [22]. For result concerning well-posedness (see [8, 9, 6]). When $\alpha = 1, 3, 5 \dots$ the equation (1.1) in one dimension is,

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x^\alpha u + uu_x = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

that is known as the KdV equation with higher dispersion (see [11] and the references contained therein). Results regarding local well-posedness for (1.2) in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B10, 35A01.

Key words and phrases. Well-posedness, Sobolev spaces, Zakharov-Kutnesov equation

$s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ were obtained in [11], using bilinear estimates in Bourgain spaces. Unlike our work, s does not depend on the order of the dispersion term. Another similar equation studied in \mathbb{R}^2 is the two-dimensional dispersive generalized g-BOZK equation (see [28]), in this case the general dispersion is in x with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta = 1$, where local well-posedness was established in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s > \frac{2}{1+\alpha} - \frac{3}{4}$.

We observe that, the IVP (1.1) satisfies at least following conserved quantities:

$$M(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u^2 dx dy \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\left(D_x^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} u \right)^2 \pm \left(D_y^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} u \right)^2 - \frac{u^3}{3} \right) dx dy, \quad (1.4)$$

so that (1.3) and (1.4) are usefull to extend the local solution to global one, so to attain the global well-posedness in anisotropic Sobolev spaces $H^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, \frac{1+\beta}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Unfortunately, we are not dealing with the well-posedness in this spaces here. Our goal in this work is to improve the local well-posedness in periodic Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $s > 2$ for (1.1), which is obtained from a parabolic regularization argument, following Iorio's ideas in [16] Chapter 6. One way to improve this result is to use again energy estimates in smooth solutions, what leads us to obtain control of the norms $\|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty}$. If the Sobolev embedding is used, we achieve this estimate, but we cannot improve regularity. Therefore, we use the short-time Strichartz linear approach introduced by Koch and Tzvetkov in [21], to get local well-posedness of Benjamin-Ono (BO) equation in \mathbb{R} , which has been proved to be useful for these types of equations (see [14, 26, 17, 18]). But to perform this task in two dimensions with periodic context, we adapt the method used to prove local well-posedness for the Cauchy problem associated to the third-order KP-I and fifth-order KP-I equations on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ and \mathbb{T}^2 proposed by Ionescu and Kenig in [15] (for other applications, see [25, 4] and the references therein). First, a localized Strichartz-type estimate for the linear part of the equation is obtained, where the main difficulty lies in obtaining bounds for exponential sums in the periodic case (see [13]), such sums have been treated in different contexts in number theory. Then fixing α , we can apply a lemma due to H. Weyl (Lemma 2.3 below) that combined with some Strichartz estimates give a control to $\|u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty}$, $\|u_x\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty}$ and $\|u_y\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty}$. Finally by standard compactness methods, we get the result. Although the method used by Kinoshita and Shippa [20] produces better results for the ZK equation in the bi-periodic setting, it is not clear how to extended this technique to equations involving fractional operators in both spatial variables x and y .

We will now give the precise statement of our result in spaces of lower regularity,

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $s > (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha+2})(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{4})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi(x, y) dx = 0$ a. e. $y \in \mathbb{T}$, there exist $T = T(\|\phi\|_{H^s})$ and a unique solution of IVP (1.1), such that $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and $u, \partial_x u, \partial_y u \in L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty$. Moreover, the map data-solution $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2) \mapsto u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$ is continuous.*

Where it is observed that when the dispersion in the x variable increases the regularity required increases slightly.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section we prove Localized Strichartz Estimate. Section 3, deals with Preliminary and Key estimates. Lastly, the main result is proved. Before setting our results, some notation is necessary:

Notation.

- $a \lesssim b$ (resp. $a \gtrsim b$) means that there exists a positive constant c , such that, $a \leq cb$ (resp. $a \geq cb$).
- $a \sim b$, when $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$.
- $C^p(X)$ for the function space C^p class in X .
-

$$\|u\|_{L^p X} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\|u(t)\|_X)^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty X} := \text{ess sup}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t)\|_X,$$

where X is Banach space, $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a measurable function and $p \in [1, \infty]$.

•

$$\|u\|_{L^p_I X} := \|\chi_I(|t|)u\|_{L^p X},$$

where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is interval, χ_I is the characteristic function of I and $u : I \rightarrow X$ is a measurable function. In particular, for $T > 0$

$$\|u\|_{L^p_T X} := \|u\|_{L^p_{[-T, T]} X}.$$

•

$$\widehat{f}(m, n) = C \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x, y) e^{-ixm} e^{-iyn} dx dy,$$

where $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and C as a universal constant. It is the Fourier transform of f .

- $\mathcal{S} = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$.
- \mathcal{S}' is the biperiodic distribution space.
- $\widehat{f}(m, n) = \langle f; \mathbf{e}_{-m-n} \rangle$ is the Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, where $\mathbf{e}_{mn}(x, y) = e^{i(mx+ny)}$, $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$, C as a universal constant and $\langle ; \rangle$ is the duality bracket of \mathcal{S}' , \mathcal{S} .
-

$$H^s(\mathbb{T}^2) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}' : \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1 + m^2 + n^2)^s |\widehat{f}(m, n)|^2 < \infty\},$$

where $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ denote the standard Sobolev spaces in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} H^s(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

$$\|f\|_{H^s} \sim \|J_y^s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})} + \|J_x^s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}$$

- For integers $k = 0, 1, \dots$ we define the operators Q_x^k and Q_y^k on $H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{Q_x^0 g}(m, n) = \chi_{[0, 1)}(|m|) \widehat{g}(m, n) \\ \widehat{Q_x^k g}(m, n) = \chi_{[2^{k-1}, 2^k)}(|m|) \widehat{g}(m, n) \quad \text{si } k \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{Q_y^0 g}(m, n) = \chi_{[0, 1)}(|n|) \widehat{g}(m, n) \\ \widehat{Q_y^k g}(m, n) = \chi_{[2^{k-1}, 2^k)}(|n|) \widehat{g}(m, n) \quad \text{si } k \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where χ_I is the characteristic function over I .

- We observe the following equivalence for the Sobolev norms on \mathbb{T}^2 ,

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 \sim \sum_{k,j \geq 0} \|Q_x^k Q_y^j g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_x^s g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 + \|J_y^s g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 &\sim \sum_{k \geq 0, j \geq 1} (2^j)^{2s} \|Q_x^k Q_y^j g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k \geq 1, j \geq 0} (2^k)^{2s} \|Q_x^k Q_y^j g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{k,j \geq 0} \|Q_x^k Q_y^j g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

2. LOCALIZED STRICHARTZ ESTIMATE

In this section, we prove Strichartz estimate localized in frequency and time. First we recall the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (Poisson Summation Formula). *Let f, \hat{f} are in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfy the condition*

$$|f(x)| + |\hat{f}(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-\delta}$$

for some constant $C, \delta > 0$. Then f and \hat{f} are continuous and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(2\pi m) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(k).$$

Proof. See [12] Theorem 3.1.17 □

Lemma 2.2 (Van der Corput). *Let $p \geq 2, I = [a, b], \varphi \in C^p(I)$ be a real value function such that $|\varphi^{(p)}(x)| \geq \lambda > 0, \psi \in L^\infty(I)$ and $\psi' \in L^1(I)$. Then,*

$$\left| \int_I e^{i\varphi(x)} \psi(x) dx \right| \leq C_p \lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi'\|_{L^1})$$

Proof. See [30] Chapter 8. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $h(x) = \omega_d x^d + \dots + \omega_1 x + \omega_0$ is a polynomial with real coefficients and $\left| \omega_d - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $(a, q) = 1$ (a and b are relatively prime) then for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^N e^{2\pi i h(m)} \right| \leq C_{\delta, d} N^{1+\delta} [q^{-1} + N^{-1} + qN^{-d}]^{\frac{1}{2d-1}}$$

where the constant $C_{\delta, d}$ only depends on δ and d .

Proof. See [27] Theorem 4.3. □

Lemma 2.4. *For any integer $\Lambda \geq 1$ and any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, there are integers $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, \Lambda\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, q) = 1$, such that*

$$\left| r - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda q}$$

Proof. It is consequence of Dirichlet's principle, see [27] Theorem 4.1. \square

Let $\{W_0^\alpha(t)\}_t$ be the group associated with the linear part of the equation (1.1). If $\int_0^t u_0(x, y) dx = 0$ a. e. $y \in \mathbb{T}$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^\alpha(t) \phi &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{m}) e^{i[(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{x}) + m(|m|^{1+\alpha} \pm |n|^{1+\beta})t]} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(0, n) e^{iny} \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{m}) e^{i[(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{x}) + m(|m|^{1+\alpha} \pm |n|^{1+\beta})t]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (m, n)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ and $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x} = mx + ny$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 , $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\|W_0^\alpha(\cdot) Q_y^k Q_x^j \phi\|_{L^2_{-(k+j)} L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2} + \epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{4} + \epsilon)k} \|Q_y^k Q_x^j \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Proof. Let $\psi_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote a smooth even function supported in $\{r \mid |r| \in [\frac{1}{4}, 4]\}$ and $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ in $\{r \mid |r| \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]\}$. Let $a(\mathbf{m}) = (Q_y^k Q_x^j \phi)^\wedge(\mathbf{m})$, $\psi_1(\frac{m}{2^j}) \cdot \psi_1(\frac{n}{2^k}) = 1$ in $[-2^{j+1}, -2^{j-1}] \times [-2^{k+1}, -2^{k-1}] \cup [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}] \times [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]$ and $\text{supp } Q_y^k Q_x^j \phi \subset \text{supp } \psi_1^j \psi_1^k$, $j, k \geq 1$. Then,

$$W_0^\alpha(t) Q_y^k Q_x^j \phi = \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}} a(\mathbf{m}) \psi_1\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) \psi_1\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) e^{i[(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + m(|m|^{1+\alpha} \pm |n|^{1+\beta})t]}$$

It suffice to prove that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \chi_{[0, 2^{-(k+j)}]}(|t|) \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}} a(\mathbf{m}) \psi_1\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) \psi_1\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) e^{i[(\mathbf{m} \cdot (x(t), y(t))) + m(|m|^{1+\alpha} \pm |n|^{1+\beta})t]} \right\|_{L_t^2} \\ \leq C_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2} + \epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{4} + \epsilon)k} \|a\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By duality, for any $g \in L_t^2$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) \chi_{[0, 2^{-(k+j)}]}(|t|) \psi_1\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) \psi_1\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) e^{i[(\mathbf{m} \cdot (x(t), y(t))) + m(|m|^{1+\alpha} \pm |n|^{1+\beta})t]} dt \right\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\ \leq C_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2} + \epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{4} + \epsilon)k} \|g\|_{L_t^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for any measurable functions $x, y : [-2^{-(k+j)}, 2^{-(k+j)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. By expanding the L^2 -norm on the left-hand, we get,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) g(t') K_{k+j}(t, t', x, y) dt dt' \right| \leq C_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+1} + 2\epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{2} + 2\epsilon)k}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K_{k+j}(t, t', x, y) &:= \chi_{[0, 2^{-(k+j)}]}(|t|) \chi_{[0, 2^{-(k+j)}]}(|t'|) \\ &\quad \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) \psi_1^2\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) e^{i[(\mathbf{m} \cdot (\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}(t'))) + m(|m|^{1+\alpha} \pm |n|^{1+\beta})(t - t')]}. \end{aligned}$$

For integers $l \geq k + j$, we define;

$$K_{k+j}^l(t, t', x, y) := \chi_{(2^{-l}, 2 \cdot 2^{-l})}(|t - t'|) K_{k+j}(t, t', x, y)$$

with $t \neq t'$ and $t, t' \in [-2^{-(k+j)}, 2^{-(k+j)}]$. Thus, it is enough to prove that,

$$\left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right) e^{i[mx+tm|m|^{1+\alpha}]} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{n}{2^k} \right) e^{i[ny \pm tm|n|^{1+\beta}]} \right| \lesssim 2^l 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}+2\epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{2}+2\epsilon)k}, \quad (2.1)$$

for any $x, y \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $|t| \in [2^{-l}, 2^{-l}2]$. The cases $k, j = 0$ is immediate, if $j, k > 0$ using the Poisson summation formula (Lemma 2.1) in the suma in n , we get

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right) e^{i[mx+tm|m|^{1+\alpha}]} \left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i[(y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \right).$$

We will use integration by parts to solve the integral term in the above expression. We define $A := \text{supp } \psi_1 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) = \{\eta : 2^{k-1} \leq |\eta| \leq 2^{k+1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} d\eta \\ &= \int_A \frac{\psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right)}{i \left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]} \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} \right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{-1}{i} \int_A \left[\frac{2 \cdot 2^{-k} \psi_1 \psi_1'}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \pm \frac{\psi_1^2 (1+\beta) \beta |\eta|^{\beta-1} mt}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]^2} \right] \left(e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} \right) d\eta \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For the second term of the previous integral, we have that, $(1+\beta) \beta |\eta|^{\beta-1} mt \leq 4 (2 \cdot 2^k)^{\beta-1} 2^j 2^{-(j+k)} \lesssim 2^{(\beta-2)k}$, $(1+\beta) |\eta|^\beta mt \leq 2 \cdot 2^{k\beta} 2^j 2^{-(k+j)} \lesssim 2$ and $y \in [0, 2\pi)$, $|\nu| > 100$, then $\left| (y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right|^2 \sim |\nu|^2$. We get,

$$\left| \int_A \frac{\psi_1^2 (1+\beta) \beta |\eta|^{\beta-1} mt}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]^2} e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} d\eta \right| < C 2^k \frac{2^{(\beta-2)k}}{|\nu|^2} = C \frac{1}{|\nu|^2}$$

On the other hand, for the first term of the right-hand side of (2.2), we again use integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \left[\frac{2 \cdot 2^{-k} \psi_1 \psi_1'}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]} \right] \left(e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} \right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \int_A \left[\frac{2 \cdot 2^{-k} \psi_1 \psi_1'}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]^2} \right] \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} \right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{-1}{i} \int_A \left[\frac{2 \cdot 2^{-2k} \left(\psi_1' \right)^2 + 2 \cdot 2^{-2k} \psi_1 \psi_1''}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu) \pm (1+\beta) \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^\beta mt \right]^2} \right] \left(e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} \right) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

$$\pm \frac{4\psi_1\psi_1'2^{-k}(1+\beta)\beta|\eta|^{\beta-1}mt}{\left[(y-2\pi\nu)\pm(1+\beta)\operatorname{sgn}(\eta)|\eta|^\beta mt\right]^3} \left(e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} \right) d\eta.$$

Following similar consideration to the second term of (2.2). We can conclude that, if $|\nu| > 100$, $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i((y-2\pi\nu)\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} d\eta \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\nu|^2} + \frac{C}{|\nu|^3} \leq \frac{C}{|\nu|^2}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i[(y-2\pi\nu)\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \\ &= \sum_{|\nu|\leq 100} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i[(y-2\pi\nu)\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to estimate,

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) e^{i[mx+tm^{2+\alpha}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i[y'\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \right| \lesssim 2^l 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}+2\epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{2}+2\epsilon)k},$$

with $|t| \in [2^{-l}, 2^{-l+1}]$, $m \sim 2^k$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, being the estimate of the other sum similar. The estimation of the following oscillatory integral, is a consequence of Lemma 2.2 with $\varphi(\eta) = y'\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}$ and $|\eta| \sim 2^k$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i[y'\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \right| \leq \frac{2^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}k}}{|mt|^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we use the summation by parts formula,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m b_m = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N a_m \right) (b_N - b_{N+1})$$

for any compactly supported sequences a_m and b_m . To get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) e^{i(mx+tm^{2+\alpha})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i(y'\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} d\eta \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N a_m \right) (b_N - b_{N+1}) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $a_m = e^{i(mx+tm^{2+\alpha})}$, $b_m = \psi_1^2\left(\frac{m}{2^j}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i(y'\eta\pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta})} d\eta$ and $N \in [2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} |b_N - b_{N+1}| &\leq \left| \psi_1^2\left(\frac{N}{2^j}\right) - \psi_1^2\left(\frac{N+1}{2^j}\right) \right| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i[y'\eta\pm tN|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \right| \\ &+ \left| \psi_1^2\left(\frac{N+1}{2^j}\right) \right| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) e^{i[y'\eta\pm tN|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} \left(e^{\pm it|\eta|^{1+\beta}} - 1 \right) d\eta \right| \\ &\leq 2^{-j} \frac{2^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}k}}{|Nt|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + |t| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \eta^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \psi_1\left(\frac{\eta}{2^k}\right) \right|^2 d\eta \\ &\leq 2^{-j} \frac{2^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}k}}{|Nt|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + |t| 2^k \left\| D^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \hat{\psi}_1 \right\|_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{-j} \frac{2^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}k}}{|Nt|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + |t|2^k \left\| \hat{\psi}_1 \right\|_{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}^2 \\
&\leq 2^l 2^{-2j} 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}k} + 2^l 2^{-2j} 2^{-k}
\end{aligned}$$

where $|t| \in [2^{-l}, 2^{-l+1}]$, $N \sim 2^j$, $l \geq k + j$ and $\left\| \hat{\psi}_1 \right\|_{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} < \infty$. Then we must estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{N=2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N e^{i(mx+tm^{2+\alpha})} \right) (b_N - b_{N+1}) \right| &\leq 2^l 2^{-2j} 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}k} \sum_{N=2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^N a_m \right| \\
&\lesssim 2^l 2^{-j} 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}k} \left| \sum_{m=1}^N a_m \right| \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Following the proof of the Teorema 9.3.1 de [15], we use Lemma of H. Weyl in $\left| \sum_{m=1}^N a_m \right|$. If $\alpha = 1$, $h(m) = \frac{t}{2\pi}m^3 + \frac{x}{2\pi}m$, we fix $\Lambda = 2^{2j+5}$ and apply Lemma 2.4 to $r = \frac{t}{2\pi}$ then, $\left| \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{2j+5}q}$. Since j is large, $N \sim 2^j$, $l \in [2j, 2j+2]$ (the restriction $l \leq 2j+2$ guarantees that $\frac{a}{q} \neq 0$) and $2^j \leq q \leq 2^{2j+5}$, then by Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^N e^{i(mx+tm^3)} \right| \leq C_\epsilon (2^j)^{1+2\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{2^{2j+5}}{2^{3j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{(\frac{3}{4}+2\epsilon)k}.$$

When $\alpha = 2$, $h(m) = \frac{t}{2\pi}m^4 + \frac{x}{2\pi}m$, we fix $\Lambda = 2^{3j}$ and apply Lemma 2.4 to $r = \frac{t}{2\pi}$ then, $\left| \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{3j}q}$. Since j is large, $N \sim 2^j$, $l \in [2j, \frac{5}{2}j]$ and $2^j \leq q \leq 2^{3j}$, then by Lemma 2.3. We get, $\left| \sum_{m=1}^N e^{i(mx+tm^4)} \right| \leq C 2^{(\frac{7}{8}+2\epsilon)j}$. And if $\alpha = 3$, $h(m) = \frac{t}{2\pi}m^5 + \frac{x}{2\pi}m$, we fix $\Lambda = 2^{4j}$ and apply Lemma 2.4 to $r = \frac{t}{2\pi}$ then, $\left| \frac{t}{2\pi} - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{4j}q}$. Since j is large, $N \sim 2^j$, $l \in [2j, 3j]$ and $2^j \leq q \leq 2^{4j}$, then by Lemma 2.3. We get, $\left| \sum_{m=1}^N e^{i(mx+tm^5)} \right| \leq C 2^{(\frac{15}{16}+2\epsilon)j}$. In the case $\alpha = 1$. By replacing in (2.5), we get,

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^k} \right) e^{i[mx+tm^{1+\alpha}]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i[y'\eta+tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \right| \lesssim 2^l 2^{(-\frac{1}{4}+2\epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{2}+2\epsilon)k},$$

Analogously in the other cases. \square

Remark 2.6. • As in the case $\alpha = 2$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right) e^{i[mx+t \operatorname{sgn}(m)m^4]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i[y'\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right) e^{i[mx+tm^4]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i[y'\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \\
&+ \sum_{m=-1}^{-\infty} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right) e^{i[mx-tm^4]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i[y'\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to estimate,

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right) e^{i[mx+tm^4]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{2^k} \right) e^{i[y'\eta \pm tm|\eta|^{1+\beta}]} d\eta \right| \lesssim 2^{l_2(-\frac{1}{8}+2\epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{2}+2\epsilon)k}.$$

- In the case $\widehat{Q_y^0 Q_x^j \phi}$, $j > 0$, we used the summation by parts formula, with $a_m = e^{i(mx+tm^{2+\alpha})}$, $b_m = \psi_1^2 \left(\frac{m}{2^j} \right)$ and Lemma of H. Weyl,

$$\|W_0(\cdot) Q_y^0 Q_x^j \phi\|_{L_{2^{-j}}^2 L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j} \|Q_y^0 Q_x^j \phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

The case, $\widehat{Q_y^k Q_x^0 \phi}$, $k \geq 0$, is not contemplated, because, if $\hat{\phi}(0, n) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then, $\hat{u}(0, n, t) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. PRELIMINARY AND KEY ESTIMATES

As a consequence of the Strichartz inequality (Theorem 2.5), we obtain:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $u \in C([0, T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and $f \in C([0, T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2))$, $T \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x (D_x^{1+\alpha} \pm D_y^{1+\beta}) u = \partial_x f.$$

Then,

$$\|u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim_{s_1 s_2} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} u\|_{L_T^\infty L_{xy}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|J_x^{s_1} f\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

for any $s_1 > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha+2}$, $s_2 > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{4}$

Proof. We partition the interval $[0, T]$ into 2^{j+k} equal intervals of length $T2^{-(j+k)}$, denote by $[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{j+k}$. Then,

$$\|Q_y^k Q_x^j u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{2^{k+j}} \left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})}(t) Q_y^k Q_x^j u \right\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty}. \quad (3.2)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (3.2),

$$\left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})}(t) Q_y^k Q_x^j u \right\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty} \lesssim \left(T2^{-(k+j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})}(t) Q_y^k Q_x^j u \right\|_{L_T^2 L_{xy}^\infty}. \quad (3.3)$$

By Duhamel's formula, for $t \in [a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})$,

$$u(t) = W_0^\alpha(t - a_{k,m})(u(a_{k,m})) + \int_{a_{k,m}}^t W_0^\alpha(t - s)(\partial_x f(s)) ds \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (3.4) and Theorem 2.5 that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})}(t) Q_y^k Q_x^j u \right\|_{L_T^2 L_{xy}^\infty} \\ & \leq \left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})}(t) W_0^\alpha(t - a_{k,m}) Q_y^k Q_x^j u(a_{k,m}) \right\|_{L_T^2 L_{xy}^\infty} \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_{a_{k,m}}^t \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})}(t) W_0^\alpha(t - s) Q_y^k Q_x^j \partial_x(f) ds \right\|_{L_T^2 L_{xy}^\infty} \\ & \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j + (-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon)k} \|Q_y^k Q_x^j u(a_{k,m})\|_{L_{xy}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{a_{k,m}}^{a_{k,m+1}} 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j+(-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon)k} 2^j \|Q_y^k Q_x^j f\|_{L_{xy}^2} \\
& \lesssim_\epsilon 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j+(-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon)k} \|Q_y^k Q_x^j u(a_{k,m})\|_{L_{xy}^2} \\
& \quad + 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j+(-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon)k} 2^j \left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})} Q_y^k Q_x^j f \right\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the left hand side of (3.3) is bounded by,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{-\frac{k+j}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{k+j}} \left(2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j+(-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon)k} \|Q_y^k Q_x^j u(a_{k,m})\|_{L_{xy}^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2^{(-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon)j+(-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon)k} 2^j \left\| \chi_{[a_{k,m}, a_{k,(m+1)})} Q_y^k Q_x^j f \right\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^2} \right) \\
& \leq 2^{-\epsilon\frac{(j+k)}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(2^{-(k+j)} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{k+j}} \left(\left\| 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{4}+\epsilon')k} Q_y^k 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon')j} Q_x^j u(a_{k,m}) \right\|_{L_{xy}^2} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + 2^{(\frac{3\epsilon}{2}-\frac{2+\beta}{4})k} \left\| Q_y^k 2^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}+\epsilon')j} Q_x^j f \right\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^2} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $2^{(\frac{3\epsilon}{2}-\frac{2+\beta}{4})k} < 1$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\epsilon < \frac{2+\beta}{6}$, the result is followed. \square

To obtain the energy estimate, we recall the periodic version of the Kato -Ponce commutator,

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s \geq 1$ and $f, g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then,*

$$\|J^s(fg) - fJ^s g\|_0 \leq C_s \|J^s f\|_0 \|g\|_\infty + (\|f\|_\infty + \|\nabla f\|_\infty) \|J^{s-1} g\|_0.$$

Proof. See Lemma 9.A.1 in [15] \square

Lemma 3.3 (Energy estimate). *Let $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 , $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and u solution of IVP (1.1) with $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, then, for any $s \geq 1$, we have, for any $T \in [0, 1]$, that*

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim e^{\left(\|u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|\partial_x u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|\partial_y u\|_{L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right)} \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Proof. We apply J^s to equation in (1.1) and multiply by $J^s u$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} J^s u_t J^s u dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} J^s \partial_x D_x^{1+\alpha} u J^s u dx dy \pm \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} J^s \partial_x D_y^{1+\beta} u J^s u dx dy \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} J^s u u_x J^s u dx dy = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By integrating by parts and applying Proposition 3.2, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|J^s u\|_0^2 \lesssim \|\partial_x u\|_\infty \|J^s u\|_0 + (\|u\|_\infty + \|\nabla u\|_\infty) \|J^{s-1} \partial_x u\|_0.$$

then, using Gronwall's inequality, we get the result. \square

Now, we obtain an estimate of u , u_x and u_y in $L_T^1 L_{xy}^\infty$.

Theorem 3.4 (Product Lemma). *Let $s \geq 0$ and $f, g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then*

$$\|J^s(fg)\|_{L^p} \leq C (\|J^s f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|J^s g\|_{L^p}),$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.2 in [4] \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\alpha = 1, 2$ or 3 , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, u be a solution del IVP (1.1) with $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, then for any $s > (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha+2})(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{4})$, there exists $T = T(\|\phi\|_s, s)$ and a constant $C_T(\|\phi\|_s, s)$ such that,*

$$g(T) := \int_0^T \left(\|u\|_{L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|u_x\|_{L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} + \|u_y\|_{L_{xy}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right) dt' \leq C_T$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.1 with $s_1 > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha+2}$ and $s_2 > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{4}$ in u , $\partial_x u$, $\partial_y u$ and respectively by $f = \frac{1}{2}u^2$, $\frac{1}{2}\partial_x u^2$, $\frac{1}{2}\partial_y u^2$. We get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} + \|u_y\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim_s T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} + \|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} \partial_x u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} + \|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} \partial_y u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \|J_x^{s_1} u^2\|_{L_T^1 L^2} + \|J_x^{s_1} \partial_x (u^2)\|_{L_T^1 L^2} + \|J_x^{s_1} \partial_y (u^2)\|_{L_T^1 L^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The first three terms above can be bounded by Young's inequality with $p = \frac{s_2+1}{s_2}$ and $q = s_2 + 1$, $p = \frac{s_1+1}{s_1}$ and $q = s_1 + 1$, $p = q = 2$ respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} \partial_x u\|_{L^2} & \lesssim \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1+m^2)^{s_1+1} (1+n^2)^{s_2} |\widehat{u}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \left\| (1+m^2)^{\frac{(s_1+1)(s_2+1)}{2}} \widehat{u} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| (1+n^2)^{\frac{s_2+1}{2}} \widehat{u} \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| J_x^{(s_2+1)(s_1+1)} u \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| J_y^{s_2+1} u \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_s, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} \partial_y u\|_{L^2} & \lesssim \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1+m^2)^{s_1} (1+n^2)^{s_2+1} |\widehat{u}|^2 \\ & \lesssim \left\| (1+\xi^2)^{\frac{s_1+1}{2}} \widehat{u} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| (1+n^2)^{\frac{(s_1+1)(s_2+1)}{2}} \widehat{u} \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \left\| J_x^{s_1+1} u \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| J_y^{(s_2+1)(s_1+1)} u \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_s \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_x^{s_1} J_y^{s_2} u\|_{L^2} & = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (1+n^2)^{s_1} (1+n^2)^{s_2} |\widehat{u}|^2 \lesssim \left\| (1+\xi^2)^{s_1} \widehat{u} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| (1+n^2)^{s_2} \widehat{u} \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|J_x^{2s_1} u\|_{L^2} + \|J_y^{2s_2} u\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_s \end{aligned}$$

Applying Leibniz's product rule periodic version and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|J_x^{s_1} u^2\|_{L^2} dt' & \lesssim \int_0^T \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L^2} dt' \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^s}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|J_x^{s_1}(u\partial_x u)\|_{L^2} dt' &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|J_x^{s_1} \partial_x u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty} dt' \\
&\leq \|\partial_x u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} + \|J_x^{s_1} \partial_x u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \\
&\leq \|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|J_x^{s_1}(u\partial_y u)\|_{L^2} dt' &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\partial_y u\|_{L^2} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L^\infty} + \|J_x^{s_1} \partial_y u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^\infty} dt' \\
&\leq \|\partial_y u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} + \|J_x^{s_1} \partial_y u\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \\
&\leq \|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} \|J_x^{s_1} u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} \|u\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then adding the previous inequalities and applying the respective energy estimate (Lemma 3.3) we obtain the inequality,

$$g(T) \lesssim \|\phi\|_s e^{g(T)} (1 + g(T)).$$

To complete the proof, by an argument of continuity if $T \leq T_0 C_T(\|\phi\|_s, s)$ is small enough, $g(T) \leq C_T(\|\phi\|_s, s)$ \square

In this point, we can use standard compactness arguments as in Kenig [19] for proving Theorem.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $s > (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2\alpha+2})(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{4})$, $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We consider by density, $\phi_\gamma \in H^\infty \cap H^s$ such that $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_\gamma - \phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} = 0$ and $\|\phi_\gamma\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)}$. Let $\{u_\gamma\}$ solutions associated to the initial data $\{\phi_\gamma\}$ such that $u_\gamma \in C([0, T']; H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2))$, $T' > 0$ guaranteed by the local well-posedness of 1.1 in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $s > 2$. We can extend u_γ on a time interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|\phi\|_{H^s}, s)$ by Proposition 3.5 and also we show that there is a constant C_T such that,

$$\int_0^T \left(\|u_\gamma\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} + \|\partial_x u_\gamma\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} + \|\partial_y u_\gamma\|_{L_{xy}^\infty} \right) dt \leq C_T \quad (4.1)$$

We deduce from energy estimate (Lemma 3.3) and previous inequality (4.1) that,

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|u_\gamma\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C_T \quad (4.2)$$

by using Gronwall's inequality and inequality (4.1) we get,

$$\lim_{\gamma, \mu \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < T} \|u_\gamma - u_\mu\|_0 = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

Now we consider the inequality (4.2) and (4.3), we can find $u \in C([0, T]; H^{s_1}(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$ with $s_1 < s$, such that $u_\gamma \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T]; H^{s_1}(\mathbb{T}^2))$. By (4.3), $u_\gamma \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$. Hence, by weak* compactness $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$. To establish the uniqueness of u we follow a similar argument as above and the continuous dependence one uses the Bona-Smith argument(see[3]).

Remark 4.1. *Following the ideas of [7], we obtain a better result of local and global well-posedness to the regularized problem (4.4);*

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \partial_x (D_x^{1+\alpha} u \pm D_y^{1+\beta} u) + uu_x + \mu \Delta^2 u = 0 & (x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(x, y, 0) = \phi(x, y) & \mu > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

(1) For the local well-posedness with $\mu > 0$ and $-2 < s < 2$. We consider,

$$\chi_T^s = \left\{ u_\mu \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)) : \|u\|_{\chi_T^s} < \infty \right\}$$

with $\|u\|_{\chi_T^s} = \sup_{[0, T]} \{ \|u(t)\|_s + t^{\frac{s}{4}} \|u\|_0 \}$. We apply the fixed point theorem and respective linear and nonlinear estimates;

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{W}_\mu(t)\phi\|_{\chi_T^s} &\leq \|\mathbb{W}_\mu(t)\phi\|_s + t^{\frac{s}{4}} \|\mathbb{W}_\mu(t)\phi\|_0 \\ &\leq \left(1 + C_s \left(T^{\frac{|s|}{4}} + \mu^{-\frac{|s|}{4}}\right)\right) \|\phi\|_s \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{W}_\mu(t-t') \partial_x u^2 dt' \right\|_{\chi_T^s} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{W}_\mu(t-t') \partial_x u^2 dt' \right\|_s + t^{\frac{s}{4}} \left\| \int_0^t \mathbb{W}_\mu(t-t') \partial_x u^2 dt' \right\|_0 \\ &\leq \left(\left(\mu^{-\frac{(s+2)}{4}} + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) T^{\frac{2-|s|}{4}} + \mu^{-\frac{1}{4}} T^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \|u\|_{\chi_T^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) The regularized problem (4.4) satisfies,

$$\|\phi\|_0^2 = \|u(t)\|_0^2 + \mu \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_0^2 dt', \quad (4.5)$$

$$\|\partial_x u\|_0^2 \leq \|\partial_x \phi\|_0^2 e^{\epsilon^2 T} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\|\partial_y u\|_0^2 \leq \|\partial_y \phi\|_0^2 e^{(\epsilon^{-2} + \epsilon^{-\frac{4}{3}})T} \quad (4.7)$$

We get (4.6) and (4.7), applying Gagliardo -Nirenberg inequality (Theorem 3.70 in [1]) for compact surfaces with $\hat{u}(0, 0) = 0$. with these ingredients we establish global well-posedness for $s > -2$;

Theorem 4.2. *Let $s \in (-2, 2)$ and $\mu > 0$. Then (4.4) is global well-posedness.*

Acknowledgements. Carolina Albarracin acknowledge support from Colciencias-Colombia by convocatory N°785 of national doctorates.

REFERENCES

1. Thierry Aubin, *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds. monge-ampere equations*, vol. 252, Springer Science & Business Media, 1982.
2. Hebe A Biagioni and Felipe Linares, *Well-posedness results for the modified Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Nonlinear equations: methods, models and applications, Springer, 2003, pp. 181–189.
3. Jerry L Bona and Ronald Smith, *The initial-value problem for the korteweg-de vries equation*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences **278** (1975), no. 1287, 555–601.
4. Eddy Bustamante, José Jiménez Urrea, and Jorge Mejía, *Periodic cauchy problem for one two-dimensional generalization of the benjamin-ono equation in sobolev spaces of low regularity*, Nonlinear Analysis **188** (2019), 50–69.
5. Alysson Cunha and Ademir Pastor, *The IVP for the Benjamin-Ono-Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation in weighted sobolev spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **417** (2014), no. 2, 660–693.
6. ———, *The ivp for the benjamin-ono-zakharov-kuznetsov equation in low regularity sobolev spaces*, Journal of Differential Equations **261** (2016), no. 3, 2041–2067.

7. Omar Duque Gómez, *Sobre una versión bidimensional de la ecuación Benjamin-Ono generalizada*, Ph.D. thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
8. Amin Esfahani and Ademir Pastor, *Instability of solitary wave solutions for the generalized BO-ZK equation*, Journal of Differential equations **247** (2009), no. 12, 3181–3201.
9. ———, *Ill-posedness results for the (generalized) Benjamin-Ono-Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **139** (2011), no. 3, 943–956.
10. Andrei Vadimovich Faminskii, *The cauchy problem for the Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation*, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya **31** (1995), no. 6, 1070–1081.
11. Jennifer Gorsky and A Alexandrou Himonas, *Well-posedness of kdv with higher dispersion*, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation **80** (2009), no. 1, 173–183.
12. Loukas Grafakos, *Classical fourier analysis*, vol. 2, Springer, 2008.
13. Sidney W Graham, Grigori Kolesnik, and G Kolesnik, *Van der corput's method of exponential sums*, vol. 126, Cambridge university press, 1991.
14. Jonathan Hickman, Felipe Linares, Oscar G Riaño, Keith M Rogers, and James Wright, *On a higher dimensional version of the benjamin–ono equation*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **51** (2019), no. 6, 4544–4569.
15. A Ionescu and Carlos E Kenig, *Local and global well-posedness of periodic KP-I equations*, Mathematical Aspects of Nonlinear Dispersive Equations. Ann. Math. Stud **163** (2009), 181–211.
16. Iorio Júnior Iorio Jr, Rafael José Iorio Jr, and Valéria de Magalhães Iorio, *Fourier analysis and partial differential equations*, vol. 70, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
17. Carlos E Kenig, *On the local and global well-posedness theory for the kp-i equation*, Annales de l'IHP Analyse non linéaire, vol. 21, 2004, pp. 827–838.
18. Carlos E Kenig and Kenneth D Koening, *On the local well-posedness of the benjamin-ono and modified benjamin-ono equations*, Mathematical Research Letters **10** (2003), no. 6, 879–895.
19. Carlos E Kenig and Didier Pilod, *Well-posedness for the fifth-order kdv equation in the energy space*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (2015), 2551–2612.
20. Shinya Kinoshita and Robert Schippa, *Loomis-whitney-type inequalities and low regularity well-posedness of the periodic zakharov-kuznetsov equation*, Journal of Functional Analysis **280** (2021), no. 6, 108904.
21. Herbert Koch and Nikolay Tzvetkov, *On the local well-posedness of the benjamin-ono equation in hs*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2003** (2003), no. 26, 1449–1464.
22. Juan Cristobal Latorre, AA Minzoni, CA Vargas, and Noel F Smyth, *Evolution of benjamin-ono solitons in the presence of weak Zakharov-Kuznetsov lateral dispersion*, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **16** (2006), no. 4, 043103.
23. Felipe Linares, Mahendra Panthee, Tristan Robert, and Nikolay Tzvetkov, *On the periodic Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.02027 (2018).
24. Felipe Linares and Ademir Pastor, *Well-posedness for the two-dimensional modified Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation*, SIAM journal on mathematical analysis **41** (2009), no. 4, 1323–1339.
25. Felipe Linares, Ademir Pastor, and Jean-Claude Saut, *Well-posedness for the ZK equation in a cylinder and on the background of a KdV soliton*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **35** (2010), no. 9, 1674–1689.
26. Felipe Linares, Didier Pilod, and Jean-Claude Saut, *The cauchy problem for the fractional kadomtsev–petviashvili equations*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **50** (2018), no. 3, 3172–3209.
27. Melvyn B Nathanson, *Additive number theory the classical bases*, vol. 164, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
28. Francis Ribaud and Stéphane Vento, *Local and global well-posedness results for the Benjamin-Ono-Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.00856 (2016).
29. Robert Schippa, *On the cauchy problem for higher dimensional benjamin-ono and zakharov-kuznetsov equations. arxiv e-prints, page*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.02027 (2019).
30. Elias M Stein and Timothy S Murphy, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, vol. 3, Princeton University Press, 1993.

CAROLINA ALBARRACIN
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, CARRERA 30, CALLE
45, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA
Email address: `calbarracin@unal.edu.co`

GUILLERMO RODRIGUEZ-BLANCO
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, CARRERA 30, CALLE
45, BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA
Email address: `grodriguez@unal.edu.co`