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#### Abstract

We give a sufficient condition for a Banach space with which the homogeneous extension of a surjective isometry from the unit sphere of it onto another one is real-linear. The condition is satisfied by a uniform algebra and a certain extremely $C$-regular space of real-valued continuous functions.


## 1. Introduction

In 1987 Tingley [25] proposed a problem if a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of Banach spaces is extended to a surjective isometry between whole spaces. Wang [26] seems to be the first to solve Tingley's problem between specific spaces. He dealt with $C_{0}(Y)$, the space of all real (resp. complex) valued continuous functions which vanish at infinity on a locally compact Hausdorff space $Y$. Although we do not exhibit each of the literatures, a considerable number of interesting results have shown that Tingley's problem has an affirmative answer. No counterexample is known. Due to [29, p.730] Ding was the first to consider Tingley's problem between different type of spaces [9]. Ding [10, Corollary 2] in fact proved that the real Banach space of all null sequences of real numbers satisfies now we call the Mazur-Ulam property. Later Cheng and Dong [5] introduced the concept of the Mazur-Ulam property. Following Cheng and Dong we say that a real Banach space $E$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property if a surjective isometry between the unit sphere of $E$ and that of any real Banach space is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry between the whole spaces. Tan [19, 20, 21] showed that the space $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $\sigma$-finite positive measure space satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property. In [22] Tan, Huang and Liu introduced the notion of generalized lush spaces and local GL spaces and proved that every local GL space satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property. New achievements by Mori and Ozawa [17] prove that the Mazur-Ulam property is satisfied by unital $C^{*}$-algebras and real von Neumann algebras. Cueto-Avellaneda and Peralta [7] proved that a complex (resp. real) Banach space of all continuous maps with the value in a complex (resp. real) Hilbert space satisfies the

[^0]Mazur-Ulam property (cf. [8]). The result proving that all general JBW*triples satisfy the Mazur-Ulam property is established by Becerra-Guerrero, Cueto-Avellaneda, Fernández-Polo and Peralta [2] and Kalenda and Peralta [14]. The study of the Mazur-Ulam property is nowadays a challenging subject of study (cf. [1, 4, 8, 28]).

In this paper we say that a complex Banach space $B$ satisfies the complex Mazur-Ulam property, emphasizing the term 'complex', if a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of $B$ and any complex Banach space is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry between the whole spaces. Jiménez-Vargas, Morales-Compoy, Peralta and Ramírez [13, Theorems 3.8, 3.9] probably provides the first examples of complex Banach spaces satisfying the complex Mazur-Ulam property (cf. [18]). Note that a complex Banach space satisfies the complex Mazur-Ulam property provided that it satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property as a real Banach space (a complex Banach space is a real Banach space simultaneously).

In [12] we proved that a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of uniform algebras is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry between whole of the uniform algebras. In this paper we show the complex MazurUlam property for uniform algebras. Typical examples of a uniform algebra consist of analytic functions of one and several complex-variables such as the disk algebra, the polydisk algebra and the ball algebra. Through the Gelfand transform, the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on a certain domain is considered as a uniform algebra on the maximal ideal space. Hence the main result in this paper provides the first example of a Banach space of analytic functions which satisfies the complex Mazur-Ulam property. For further information about uniform algebras, see [3].

## 2. IS A HOMOGENEOUS EXTENSION LINEAR?

For a real or complex Banach space $B$, we denote $S(B)$ the unit sphere $\{a \in B:\|a\|=1\}$ of $B$. A maximal convex subset of $S(B)$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$. Throughout the paper the map $T: S\left(B_{1}\right) \rightarrow S\left(B_{2}\right)$ always denotes a surjective isometry with respect to the metric induced by the norm, where $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are both real Banach spaces or both complex Banach spaces. We define the homogeneous extension $\widetilde{T}: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ of $T$ by

$$
\widetilde{T}(a)= \begin{cases}\|a\| T\left(\frac{a}{\|a\|}\right), & 0 \neq a \in B_{1} \\ 0, & a=0 .\end{cases}
$$

By the definition $\widetilde{T}$ is a bijection which satisfies that $\|\widetilde{T}(a)\|=\|a\|$ for every $a \in B_{1}$. The Tingley's problem asks if $\widetilde{T}$ is real-linear or not. In this paper we prove that $\widetilde{T}$ is real-linear for certain Banach spaces $B_{1}$ including uniform algebras.

It is well known that for every $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{B}$ of a real or complex Banach space $B$, there exists an extreme point $p$ in the closed unit ball $B\left(B^{*}\right)$ of the dual space $B^{*}$ of $B$ such that $F=p^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)$ (cf. [24, Lemma 3.3], [12, Lemma 3.1]). Let $Q$ be the set of all extreme points $p$ in $B\left(B^{*}\right)$ such that $p^{-1}(1) \cap S(B) \in \mathfrak{F}_{B}$. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ in $Q$. We write $\mathbb{T}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ if $B$ is a complex Banach space, where $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the space of all complex numbers, and $\mathbb{T}=\{ \pm 1\}$ if $B$ is a real Banach space.

From Definition 2.1 through Definition $2.6 B$ is a real or complex Banach space.

Definition 2.1. Let $p_{1}, p_{2} \in Q$. We denote $p_{1} \sim p_{2}$ if there exits $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $p_{1}^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)=\left(\gamma p_{2}\right)^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)$.

Note that $\gamma p \in Q$ provided that $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ and $p \in Q$.
Lemma 2.2. The binary relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in $Q$.
A proof is by a routine argument and is omitted.
Definition 2.3. A set of all representatives with respect to the equivalence relation $\sim$ is simply called a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$.

Note that a set of representatives exists due to the choice axiom. Note also that a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$ is a norming family for $B$, hence it is a uniqueness set for $B$.
Example 2.4. Let $A$ be a uniform algebra. We assume a uniform algebra as a complex Banach space here and after. We denote the choquet boundary for $A$ by $\operatorname{Ch}(A)$. By the Arens-Kelley theorem (cf. [11, p.29]) we have $Q=\left\{\gamma \delta_{x}: x \in \operatorname{Ch}(A), \gamma \in \mathbb{T}\right\}$, where $\delta_{x}$ denotes the point evaluation at $x$. In this case $\left\{\delta_{x}: x \in \operatorname{Ch}(A)\right\}$ is a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{A}$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $P$ be a set of representatives. For $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{B}$ there exists a unique $(p, \lambda) \in P \times \mathbb{T}$ such that $F=\{a \in S(B): p(a)=\lambda\}$. Conversely, for $(p, \lambda) \in P \times \mathbb{T}$ we have $\{a \in S(B): p(a)=\lambda\}$ is in $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$.
Proof. Let $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{B}$. We first prove the existence of $(p, \lambda) \in P \times \mathbb{T}$ satisfying the condition. There exists $p \in Q$ such that $F=p^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)$. By the definition, there exists $q \in P$ such that $p \sim q$. Hence there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $F=(\gamma q)^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)$. Letting $\lambda=\bar{\gamma}$ we have $F=\{a \in S(B)$ : $q(a)=\lambda\}$. We prove the uniqueness of $(q, \lambda)$. Suppose that $\{a \in S(B)$ : $q(a)=\lambda\}=\left\{a \in S(B): q^{\prime}(a)=\lambda^{\prime}\right\}$ for $\left(q^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in P \times \mathbb{T}$. Then we have $q^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)=\left(\lambda \overline{\lambda^{\prime}} q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \cap S(B)$, that is $q \sim q^{\prime}$. As $P$ is the set of all representatives with respect to the equivalence relation $\sim$ and $q, q^{\prime} \in P$ we have $q=q^{\prime}$. It follows that $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$.

Conversely, let $(p, \lambda) \in P \times \mathbb{T}$. Then $\bar{\lambda} p \in Q$ and $\{a \in S(B): p(a)=$ $\lambda\}=(\bar{\lambda} p)^{-1}(1) \cap S(B) \in \mathfrak{F}_{B}$.

Definition 2.6. For $(q, \lambda) \in Q \times \mathbb{T}$, we denote $F_{q, \lambda}=\{a \in S(B): q(a)=\lambda\}$. A map

$$
I_{B}: \mathfrak{F}_{B} \rightarrow P \times \mathbb{T}
$$

is defined by $I_{B}(F)=(p, \lambda)$ for $F=F_{p, \lambda} \in \mathfrak{F}_{B}$.
By Lemma 2.5 the map $I_{B}$ is well defined and bijective. An important theorem of Cheng, Dong and Tanaka states that a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of Banach spaces preserves maximal convex subsets of the unit spheres. This theorem was first exhibited by Cheng and Dong in [5, Lemma 5.1] and a crystal proof was given by Tanaka [23, Lemma 3.5].

In the following $P_{j}$ is a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B_{j}}$ for $j=1,2$. Due to the theorem of Cheng, Dong and Tanaka a bijection $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{F}_{B_{1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{B_{2}}$ is induced.

Definition 2.7. The map $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{F}_{B_{1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{B_{2}}$ is defined by $\mathfrak{T}(F)=T(F)$ for $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{B_{1}}$. The map $\mathfrak{T}$ is well defined and bijective. Put

$$
\Psi=I_{B_{2}} \circ \mathfrak{T} \circ I_{B_{1}}^{-1}: P_{1} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow P_{2} \times \mathbb{T}
$$

Define two maps

$$
\phi: P_{1} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow P_{2}
$$

and

$$
\tau: P_{1} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(p, \lambda)=(\phi(p, \lambda), \tau(p, \lambda)),(p, \lambda) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\phi(p, \lambda)=\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$ we simply write $\phi(p)$ instead of $\phi(p, \lambda)$ by discarding the second term $\lambda$.

Rewriting the equation (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(F_{p, \lambda}\right)=F_{\phi(p, \lambda), \tau(p, \lambda)}, \quad(p, \lambda) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We point out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(p,-\lambda)=\phi(p, \lambda), \tau(p,-\lambda)=-\tau(p, \lambda) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $(p, \lambda) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T}$. The reason is as follows. It is well known that $T(-F)=-T(F)$ for every $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{B_{1}}$ (cf. [16, Proposition 2.3]). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\phi(p,-\lambda), \tau(p,-\lambda)}=T\left(F_{p,-\lambda}\right)=T(- & \left.F_{p, \lambda}\right)=-T\left(F_{p, \lambda}\right) \\
& =-F_{\phi(p, \lambda), \tau(p, \lambda)}=F_{\phi(p, \lambda),-\tau(p, \lambda)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $p \in P_{1}$. Since the map $I_{B_{2}}$ is a bijection we have (3).
Rewriting (2) we get a basic equation in our argument :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(p, \lambda)(T(a))=\tau(p, \lambda), \quad a \in F_{p, \lambda} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that under some condition on $B_{1}$, which we will exhibit explicitly later, we have that

$$
\phi(p, \lambda)=\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right), \quad p \in P_{1}
$$

for every $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{T}$, and

$$
\tau(p, \lambda)=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\lambda, & \text { for some } p \in P_{1} \\ \bar{\lambda}, & \text { for other } p \prime \text { s }\end{cases}
$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. We get, under some condition on $B_{1}$, that

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a),}{p(a),} & \text { for some } p \in P_{1}  \tag{5}\\ \text { for other } p \prime \text { s }\end{cases}
$$

for $a \in F_{p, p(a)}$. If the equation (5) holds for any $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$, without the restriction that $a \in F_{p, p(a)}$, then applying the definition of $\widetilde{T}$ we get

$$
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a))=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a),}{p(a),} & \text { for some } p \in P_{1}  \tag{6}\\ \text { for other } p \prime \text { s }\end{cases}
$$

for every $a \in B_{1}$, with which we infer that

$$
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a+r b))=\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a))+\phi(p)(r \widetilde{T}(b))
$$

for every pair $a, b \in B_{1}$ and every real number $r$. As $\phi\left(P_{1}\right)=P_{2}$ is a norming family, we conclude that $\widetilde{T}$ is real-linear. It means that we arrive at the final positive solution for Tingley's problem under some conditions on $B_{1}$.

## 3. Hausdorff distance between the maximal convex subsets

Recall that the Hausdorff distance $d_{H}(K, L)$ between non-empty closed subsets $K$ and $L$ of a metric space with metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$
d_{H}(K, L)=\max \left\{\sup _{a \in K} d(a, L), \sup _{b \in L} d(b, K)\right\} .
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $B$ be a complex Banach space and $P$ a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$. We consider B as a metric space induced by the norm. For every $p \in P$ we have

$$
d_{H}\left(F_{p, \lambda}, F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}\right)=\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|
$$

for every $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$. Suppose further that $p^{\prime} \in P$ is different from $p$. If $\mathfrak{F}_{p, \gamma} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{p^{\prime},-\gamma^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$, then we have

$$
d_{H}\left(F_{p, \gamma}, F_{p^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=2
$$

Proof. Let $a \in F_{p, \lambda}$. Then $\lambda^{\prime} \bar{\lambda} a \in F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}$. Thus $d\left(a, F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}\right) \leq\left\|a-\lambda^{\prime} \bar{\lambda} a\right\|=$ $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|$. On the other hand, for every $b \in F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}$ we have $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|=\mid p(a)-$ $p(b) \mid \leq\|a-b\|$. Hence $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right| \leq d\left(a, F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}\right)$. Therefore $d\left(a, F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}\right)=\mid \lambda-$ $\lambda^{\prime} \mid$ holds for every $a \in F_{p, \lambda}$. In the similar way we obtain that $d\left(b, F_{p, \lambda}\right)=$ $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|$ for every $b \in F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}$. We conclude that $d_{H}\left(F_{p, \lambda}, F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}\right)=\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|$ for every $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$.

Suppose that $P \ni p^{\prime} \neq p$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{p, \gamma} \cap F_{p^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{F}_{p, \gamma} \cap F_{p^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}}$. Then for every $b \in F_{p^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}$ we have

$$
2=\left|-\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma^{\prime}\right|=\left|p^{\prime}(a)-p^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq\|a-b\| \leq 2 .
$$

Hence $d\left(a, F_{p^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=2$. It follows that $d_{H}\left(F_{p, \gamma}, F_{p^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}}\right)=2$.
Note that the notion of the condition of the Hausdorff distance does not depend on the choice of $P$. In fact, we can describe the condition applying the terms of $Q$; the condition of the Hausdorff distance is satisfied by $B$ if and only if

$$
d_{H}\left(F_{q, \lambda}, F_{q^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}}\right)= \begin{cases}\left|\lambda-\gamma \lambda^{\prime}\right|, & q^{-1}(1) \cap S(B)=\left(\gamma q^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(1) \cap S(B) \\ 2, & q \nsim q^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

for $q, q^{\prime} \in Q$.
Example 3.2. Let $A$ be a uniform algebra and $P=\left\{\delta_{x}: x \in \operatorname{Ch}(A)\right\}$, where $\delta_{x}$ denotes the point evaluation at $x$. Then $\mathfrak{F}_{\delta_{x}, \lambda} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{\delta_{x^{\prime}}, \lambda^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ for any pair of different poins $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Ch}(A)$ and any $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$ [12, Lemma 4.1]. Thus a uniform algebra satisfies the condition of the Hausdorff distance.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $B_{1}$ satisfies the condition of the Hausdorff distance. Let $P_{1}$ be a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B_{1}}$. Then we have $\phi(p, \lambda)=$ $\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$. Put

$$
P_{1}^{+}=\left\{p \in P_{1}: \tau(p, i)=i \tau(p, 1)\right\}
$$

and

$$
P_{1}^{-}=\left\{p \in P_{1}: \tau(p, i)=\bar{i} \tau(p, 1)\right\} .
$$

Then $P_{1}^{+}$and $P_{1}^{-}$are possibly empty disjoint subsets of $P_{1}$ such that $P_{1}^{+} \cup$ $P_{1}^{-}=P_{1}$. Furthermore we have

$$
\tau(p, \lambda)=\lambda \tau(p, 1), \quad p \in P_{1}^{+}, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}
$$

and

$$
\tau(p, \lambda)=\bar{\lambda} \tau(p, 1), \quad p \in P_{1}^{-}, \lambda \in \mathbb{T} .
$$

Proof. First we prove that $\phi(p, \lambda)=\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$. Suppose not: there exist $p \in P_{1}$ and $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\phi(p, \lambda) \neq \phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. We may assume that $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|<2$. (If $\phi(p, \lambda) \neq \phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ with $\| \lambda-\lambda^{\prime} \mid=$

2, then $\phi(p, \lambda) \neq \phi(p, i \lambda)$ or $\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \neq \phi(p, i \lambda)$. Replacing $i \lambda$ by $\lambda^{\prime}$ in the first case, and $i \lambda$ by $\lambda$ in the later case we have $\phi(p, \lambda) \neq \phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|=\sqrt{2}<2$.) Letting $\lambda^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{2} \overline{\lambda^{\prime}}$ we have $\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right|=\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right|$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \neq \lambda^{\prime \prime}$. Since $B_{1}$ satisfies the condition of the Hausdorff distance, an element $(q, \alpha) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H}\left(F_{p, \lambda}, F_{q, \alpha}\right)=\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is only two elements $\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(p, \lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$. As $\mathfrak{T}$ preserves the Hausdorff distance we have

$$
d_{H}\left(F_{\phi(p, \lambda), \tau(p, \lambda)}, F_{\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right), \tau\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}\right)=d_{H}\left(F_{p, \lambda}, F_{p, \lambda^{\prime}}\right)=\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H}\left(F_{\phi(p, \lambda), \tau(p, \lambda)}, F_{t, \beta}\right)=\left|\lambda-\lambda^{\prime}\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $(t, \beta)=\left(\phi(p, \lambda), \lambda \overline{\lambda^{\prime}} \tau(p, \lambda)\right),\left(\phi(p, \lambda), \lambda \overline{\lambda^{\prime \prime}} \tau(p, \lambda)\right),\left(\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right), \tau\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)$. As we suppose that $\phi(p, \lambda) \neq \phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$, the number of points $(t, \beta) \in P_{2} \times \mathbb{T}$ which satisfy (8) is at least three, while the number of points $(q, \alpha) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T}$ which satisfies (7) is two since $B_{1}$ satisfies the condition of the Hausdorff distance. On the other hand the numbers of $(q, \alpha)$ and $(t, \beta)$ which satisfy (7) and (8) respectively must coincide each other because $\mathfrak{T}$ preserves the Hausdorff distance between the maximal convex subset. We arrive at a contradiction proving that $\phi(p, \lambda)=\phi\left(p, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$. In the following we simply write $\phi(p)$ instead of $\phi(p, \lambda)$ by discarding the second term.

We prove that $\tau(p, \lambda)=\lambda \tau(p, 1)$ or $\bar{\lambda} \tau(p, 1)$ for $p \in P_{1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Since $\mathfrak{T}$ preserves the Hausdorff distance we have by Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\lambda-1|=d_{H}\left(F_{p, \lambda}, F_{p, 1}\right)= & d_{H}\left(F_{\phi(p), \tau(p, \lambda)}, F_{\phi(p), \tau(p, 1)}\right) \\
& =|\tau(p, \lambda)-\tau(p, 1)|=|\tau(p, \lambda) \overline{\tau(p, 1)}-1|,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(p, \lambda) \overline{\tau(p, 1)}=\lambda \text { or } \bar{\lambda} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have $\tau(p, \lambda)=\lambda \tau(p, 1)$ or $\bar{\lambda} \tau(p, 1)$. Letting $\lambda=i$ we infer that $P_{1}^{+} \cup P_{1}^{-}=P_{1}$ and $P_{1}^{+} \cap P_{1}^{-}=\emptyset$. We have

$$
2=d_{H}\left(F_{p, i}, F_{p,-i}\right)=d_{H}\left(F_{\phi(p), \tau(p, i)}, F_{\phi(p), \tau(p,-i)}\right)=|\tau(p, i)-\tau(p,-i)|
$$

hence $\tau(p,-i)=-\tau(p, i)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$. We show that $\tau(p, \lambda)=\lambda \tau(p, 1)$ for $p \in P_{1}^{+}$and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. By (9) we may suppose that $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \neq 0$. In the case of $\operatorname{Im} \lambda>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |i-\lambda|=d_{H}\left(F_{p, i}, F_{p, \lambda}\right)=d_{H}\left(F_{\phi(p), \tau(p, i)}, F_{\phi(p), \tau(p, \lambda)}\right) \\
& \quad=|\tau(p, i)-\tau(p, \lambda)|=|i \tau(p, 1)-\tau(p, \lambda)|=|i-\tau(p, \lambda) \overline{\tau(p, 1)}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (9) we infer that $\tau(p, \lambda) \overline{\tau(p, 1)}=\lambda$ as $\operatorname{Im} \lambda>0$, hence $\tau(p, \lambda)=$ $\lambda \tau(p, 1)$. Next we consider the case of $\operatorname{Im} \lambda<0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|-i-\lambda|=d_{H}( & \left.F_{p,-i}, F_{p, \lambda}\right) \\
& =d_{H}\left(F_{\phi(p), \tau(p,-i)}, F_{\phi(p), \tau(p, \lambda)}\right)=|\tau(p,-i)-\tau(p, \lambda)|
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\tau(p,-i)=-\tau(p, i)$ we have

$$
=|-\tau(p, i)-\tau(p, \lambda)|=|-i \tau(p, 1)-\tau(p, \lambda)|=|-i-\tau(p, \lambda) \overline{\tau(p, 1)}| .
$$

As $\operatorname{Im} \lambda<0$, we infer that $\tau(p, \lambda)=\lambda \tau(p, 1)$ by (9).
Similarly, it can be proved that $\tau(p, \lambda)=\bar{\lambda} \tau(p, 1)$ if $p \in P_{1}^{-}$and $\lambda \in$ $\mathbb{T}$.

## 4. A sufficient condition for the complex Mazur-Ulam PROPERTY

We define a set $M_{p, \alpha}$ with which the map $\Psi$ plays a crucial role to work out the complex Mazur-Ulam property for a uniform algebra. We exhibit the definition of $M_{p, \alpha}$. We denote $\overline{\mathbb{D}}=\{z \in \mathbb{F}:|z| \leq 1\}$, where $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ if the corresponding Banach space is a real one and $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ if the corresponding Banach space is a complex one.

Definition 4.1. Let $B$ be a real or complex Banach space and $P$ a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$. For $p \in P$ and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ we denote

$$
M_{p, \alpha}=\left\{a \in S(B): d\left(a, F_{p, \alpha /|\alpha|}\right) \leq 1-|\alpha|, d\left(a, F_{p,-\alpha /|\alpha|}\right) \leq 1+|\alpha|\right\},
$$

where we read $\alpha /|\alpha|=1$ if $\alpha=0$.
Lemma 4.2. If $B_{j}$ is a real Banach space for $j=1,2$, then we have

$$
T\left(M_{p, \alpha}\right)=M_{\phi(p), \alpha \tau(p, 1)}
$$

for every $(p, \alpha) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T}$. If $B_{j}$ is a complex Banach space which satisfy the condition of the Hausdorff distance for $j=1,2$, then we have

$$
T\left(M_{p, \alpha}\right)= \begin{cases}M_{\phi(p), \alpha \tau(p, 1)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\ M_{\phi(p), \bar{\alpha} \tau(p, 1)}, & p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

for every $(p, \alpha) \in P_{1} \times \mathbb{T}$.
Proof. Due to the definition of the map $\Psi$ we have

$$
T\left(F_{\left.p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)}=F_{\phi\left(p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right), \tau\left(p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)}\right.
$$

and

$$
T\left(F_{p, \left.-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \right\rvert\,}\right)=F_{\phi\left(p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right), \tau\left(p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)}
$$

Suppose that $B_{j}$ is a real Banach space first. Then by the definition $\mathbb{T}=$ $\{ \pm 1\}$. By (3) we have $\phi(p, 1)=\phi(p,-1)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$. Hence $\phi(p, \lambda)$ does not depend on the second term for a real Banach space. We also have $\tau(p,-1)=-\tau(p, 1)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ by (3). It follows that

$$
T\left(F_{p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}\right)=F_{\phi(p), \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}} \tau(p, 1)
$$

and

$$
T\left(F_{p, \left.-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \right\rvert\,}\right)=F_{\phi(p),-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \tau(p, 1)}
$$

As $T$ is a surjective isometry we have

$$
d\left(a, F_{p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}\right)=d\left(T(a), F_{\phi(p), \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \tau(p, 1)}\right)
$$

and

$$
d\left(a, F_{p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}\right)=d\left(T(a), F_{\phi(p),-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \tau(p, 1)}\right)
$$

As $T$ is a bijection we conclude that

$$
T\left(M_{p, \alpha}\right)=M_{\phi(p), \alpha \tau(p, 1)}
$$

for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
Suppose next that $B_{j}$ is a complex Banach space which satisfies that the condition of the Hausdorff distance. Let $p \in P_{1}^{+}$. Then by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$
T\left(F_{\left.p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)}\right)=F_{\phi(p), \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \tau(p, 1)}
$$

and

$$
T\left(F_{\left.p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)}\right)=F_{\phi(p),-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \tau(p, 1)} .
$$

The left of the proof is similar to the case that $B_{j}$ is a real Banach space, hence we see that

$$
T\left(M_{p}, \alpha\right)=M_{\phi(p), \alpha \tau(p, 1)}
$$

for every $p \in P_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The proof for the case of $p \in P_{1}^{-}$is similar and is omitted.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $B$ is a real or complex Banach space and $P$ is a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B}$. For every $p \in P$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ we have

$$
M_{p, \alpha} \subset\{a \in S(B): p(a)=\alpha\} .
$$

Proof. Let $a \in M_{p, \alpha}$. Then for arbitrary $b \in F_{p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}$

$$
\left|p(a)-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right|=|p(a)-p(b)| \leq\|a-b\| .
$$

As $d\left(a, F_{\left.p, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha \alpha}\right)}\right) \leq 1-|\alpha|$

$$
\left|p(a)-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right| \leq d\left(a, F_{p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}\right) \leq 1-|\alpha| .
$$

In the same way we have

$$
\left|p(a)-\left(-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)\right| \leq d\left(a, F_{p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}\right) \leq 1+|\alpha| .
$$

Then by the two inequalities $p(a)$ have to be $\alpha$.
The following is an auxiliary result.
Proposition 4.4. Let $B_{1}$ be a complex Banach space and $P_{1}$ a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B_{1}}$. Assume the following two conditions:
i) $B_{1}$ satisfies the condition of the Hausdorff distance,
ii) $M_{p, \alpha}=\left\{a \in S\left(B_{1}\right): p(a)=\alpha\right\}$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.

Then $B_{1}$ satisfies the complex Mazur-Ulam property.
Proof. Suppose that $B_{2}$ is a complex Banach space and $T: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ a surjective isometry. Applying Lemma 3.3 for the equation (4) we get

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a),}{p(a)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\ p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

for $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ such that $|p(a)|=1$. We prove that

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a),}{p(a)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\ p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

for any $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$. Let $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha=p(a)$. Then $|\alpha| \leq 1$. By condition ii), $a \in M_{p, \alpha}$ and $T(a) \in T\left(M_{p, \alpha}\right)$. As i) is assumed, we have by Lemma 4.2 that

$$
T\left(M_{p, \alpha}\right)= \begin{cases}M_{\phi(p), \alpha \tau(p, 1)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\ M_{\phi(p), \bar{\alpha} \tau(p, 1)}, & p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\alpha \tau(p, 1)=p(a) \tau(p, 1)
$$

if $p \in P_{1}^{+}$. In a similar way we have

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\overline{p(a)} \tau(p, 1)
$$

if $p \in P_{1}^{-}$. We conclude that

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a),}{p(a)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\ p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

for every $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$. Let $c \in B_{1}$ and $c \neq 0$. Since $\frac{c}{\|c\|} \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$, and $\phi(p)$ and $p$ are real-linear we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(c)) & =\phi(p)\left(\|c\| T\left(\frac{c}{\|c\|}\right)\right)=\|c\| \tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p\left(\frac{c}{\|c\|}\right),}{p\left(\frac{c}{\|c\|}\right),}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\
& p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases} \\
& =\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(c),}{p(c)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\
p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a+r b))=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a+r b),}{p(a+r b)}, & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\
=\tau(p, 1) \times \begin{cases}\frac{p(a),}{p(a),} & p \in P_{1}^{+}\end{cases} \\
p \in P_{1}^{-}+\tau(p, 1) \times & \times \begin{cases}r p(b), & p \in P_{1}^{+} \\
r p(b), & p \in P_{1}^{-}\end{cases} \\
& =\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a)+r \widetilde{T}(b))\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every pair $a, b \in B_{1}$ and every real number $r$. As $\phi\left(P_{1}\right)=P_{2}$ is a norming family we conclude that

$$
\widetilde{T}(a+r b)=\widetilde{T}(a)+r \widetilde{T}(b)
$$

for every pair $a, b \in$ and every real number $r$. By the definition of $\widetilde{T}$ it is a bijection from $B_{1}$ onto $B_{2}$ and it satisfies the equality $\|\widetilde{T}(a)\|=\|a\|$ for every $a \in B_{1}$. Thus $\widetilde{T}$ is a surjective real-linear isometry from $B_{1}$ onto $B_{2}$ which extend $T$.

The following is the main result in this paper.
Theorem 4.5. A uniform algebra satisfies the complex Mazur-Ulam property.

Proof. Let $A$ be a uniform algebra. Put $P=\left\{\delta_{x}: x \operatorname{Ch}(A)\right\}$, where $\delta_{x}$ is the point evaluation at $x \in \operatorname{Ch}(A)$, the Choquet boundary. Then $P$ is a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{A}$. It is known that a uniform algebra satisfies the condition of the Hausdorff distance [12, Lemma 4.1]. By [12, Lemma 6.3] we have $M_{\delta_{x}, \alpha}=\{f \in S(A): f(x)=\alpha\}$ for every $x \in \operatorname{Ch}(A)$ and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Thus the conditions i) and ii) of Proposition 4.4 holds for $A$. Thus $A$ satisfies the complex Mazur-Ulam property by Proposition 4.4.

## 5. The case of a real Banach space

Throughout the section we denote $B_{j}$ a real Banach space, $P_{j}$ a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{B_{j}}$ and $T: S\left(B_{1}\right) \rightarrow S\left(B_{2}\right)$ is a surjective isometry. We
have by (3) that $\phi(p, 1)=\phi(p,-1)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$. As $\mathbb{T}=\{ \pm 1\}$ for real Banach spaces we have that $\phi(p, \lambda)$ does not depend the second term for real Banach spaces. We also have $\tau(p,-1)=-\tau(p, 1)$ for every $p \in P_{1}$ by (3). The situation is rather simple than the case of complex Banach spaces, and by (4) we have the following equation (10) without further assumption on $B_{1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(p)(T(a))=\tau(p, 1) p(a) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ with $|p(a)|=1$.
Proposition 5.1. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p, \alpha}=\left\{a \in S\left(B_{1}\right): p(a)=\alpha\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p \in P$ and $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then $T$ is extended to a surjective reallinear isometry form $B_{1}$ onto $B_{2}$. Hence $B_{1}$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property.

Proof. We first prove the equation (10) for every $p \in P_{1}$ and $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ without assuming that $|p(a)|=1$. Let $p \in P_{1}$ and $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$. Put $\alpha=p(a)$. Then by (11) $a \in M_{p, \alpha}$. We have by Lemma4.2 that

$$
\phi(p)(T(a))=\alpha \tau(p, 1)=\tau(p, 1) p(a) .
$$

It follows that for the homogeneous extension $\widetilde{T}$ of $T$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(c))=\phi(p)\left(\|c\| T\left(\frac{c}{\|c\|}\right)\right) & \\
& =\|c\| \tau(p, 1) p\left(\frac{c}{\|c\|}\right)=\tau(p, 1) p(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $0 \neq c \in B_{1}$. As the equality $\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(0))=\tau(p, 1) p(0)$ holds, we obtain for $a, b \in B_{1}$ and a real number $r$ that

$$
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a+r b)=\tau(p, 1) p(a+r b)=\tau(p, 1) p(a)+r \tau(p, 1) p(b)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a)+r \widetilde{T}(b))=\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a))+r \phi & (p)(\widetilde{T}(b)) \\
& =\tau(p, 1) p(a)+r \tau(p, 1) p(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a+r b))=\phi(p)(\widetilde{T}(a)+r \widetilde{T}(b))
$$

for every $p \in P_{1}, a, b \in B_{1}$, and every real number $r$. As $\phi\left(P_{1}\right)=P_{2}$ is a norming family we see that $\widetilde{T}$ is real-linear on $B_{1}$. As the homogeneous extension is a norm-preserving bijection as is described in the first parat of section 2 we complete the proof.

Definition 5.2. For a locally compact Hausdorff space, we denote by $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ a real Banach space of all real-valued continuous functions which vanish at infinity on $Y$. Let $E$ be a closed subspace of $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ which separates the points of $Y$, that is, for any pair $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ of different points in $Y$ there exists a function $e \in E$ such that $e\left(y_{1}\right) \neq e\left(y_{2}\right)$. In this paper we say that $E$ satisfies the condition $(r)$ if for any triple $y \in \operatorname{Ch}(E)$, a neighborhood $V$, and $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $u \in E$ such that $0 \leq u \leq 1=u(y)$ on $Y$ and $0 \leq u \leq \varepsilon$ on $Y \backslash V$.

Note that if $E$ satisfies the condition $(r)$, then it is extremely $C$-regular (cf. [11, Definition 2.3.9]).

Example 5.3. The space $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the condition $(r)$ for any locally compact Hausdorff space $Y$. Let

$$
E=\left\{f \in C_{0}((0,2], \mathbb{R}): f(t)=a t \text { on }(0,1] \text { for some } a \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Then $\operatorname{Ch}(E)=[1,2]$ and $E$ satisfies the condition $(r)$.
Liu [15, Corollary 6] established the Mazur-Ulam property for $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ when $Y$ is compact. The following generalizes the result of Liu.

Corollary 5.4. Let $Y$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Suppose that $E$ is a closed subspace of $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ which separates the points of $Y$. Suppose that E satisfies the condition ( $r$ ). Then E satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property. In particular, $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Ch}(E)$ be the Choquet boundary for $E$. Then by the ArensKelley theorem $P=\left\{\delta_{x}: x \in \operatorname{Ch}(E)\right\}$ is a set of representatives for $\mathfrak{F}_{E}$. Suppose that $p \in P$ and $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Proving the inclusion

$$
M_{p, \alpha} \supset\{a \in S(E): p(a)=\alpha\},
$$

we get (11) by Lemma 4.3. It will follw by Proposition 5.1 that $E$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property. Let $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ such that $p(a)=\alpha$. First we consider the case of $\alpha=1$. By definition $a \in F_{p, 1}$, hence $d\left(a, F_{p, 1}\right)=0=$ $1-|\alpha|$. For every $b \in F_{p,-1}$ we have $2=|p(a)-p(b)| \leq\|a-b\| \leq 2$. Hence $d\left(a, F_{p,-1}\right)=2=1+|\alpha|$. Thus $a \in M_{p, \alpha}$ if $\alpha=1$. A proof for $\alpha=-1$ is similar and is omitted.

We consider the case that $-1<\alpha<1$. Let $a \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ such that $p(a)=\alpha$. Let $\varepsilon$ be $0<\varepsilon<1-|\alpha|$. Put $K=\{q \in P: q(a)=\alpha\}$ and $F_{0}=\{q \in P$ : $|q(a)-\alpha| \geq \varepsilon / 4\}$. For each positive integer $n$, put $F_{n}=\left\{q \in P: \varepsilon / 2^{n+2} \leq\right.$ $\left.|q(a)-\alpha| \leq \varepsilon / 2^{n+1}\right\}$. Then $P=K \cup\left(\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} F_{m}\right)$. For each positive integer $n$, choose $u_{n} \in S\left(B_{1}\right)$ such that $0 \leq u_{n} \leq 1=p\left(u_{n}\right)$ on $Y$ and $u_{n}=0$ on $F_{0} \cup F_{n}$. Since $P=\left\{\delta_{x}: x \in \operatorname{Ch}(E)\right\}$ and $E$ satisfies the condition $(r)$ such $u_{n} \in E$ exists. Put $u=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n} / 2^{n}$. As the supremum norm of $u_{n}$ is dominated by

1 for every $n, u$ converges uniformly on $Y$ in $E$. Then put

$$
g_{+}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}-\alpha\right) u+a,
$$

and

$$
g_{-}=\left(-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}-\alpha\right) u+a .
$$

We see that $g_{+} \in F_{p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}},\left\|g_{+}-a\right\|=1-|\alpha|$, and $d\left(a, F_{\left.p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right)} \leq 1-|\alpha|\right.$. A proof is as follows. All what is really needed is to prove that $\left|q\left(g_{-}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for every $q \in P$ as it is evident that $g_{+} \in E$ and $p\left(g_{+}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}$ by the definition of $g_{+}$. If $q \in F_{0}$, then $q(u)=0$ asserts that $\left|q\left(g_{+}\right)\right|=|q(a)| \leq 1$. Suppose that $q \in F_{n}$ for some positive integer $n$. Then $q\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and $0 \leq u_{k} \leq 1$ on $Y$ we have $|q(u)| \leq \sum_{k \neq n} 1 / 2^{k}=1-1 / 2^{n}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|q\left(g_{+}\right)\right| & \leq\left|\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}-\alpha\right|\left(1-1 / 2^{n}\right)+|q(a)-\alpha|+|\alpha| \\
& \leq(1-|\alpha|)\left(1-1 / 2^{n}\right)+\varepsilon / 2^{n+1}+|\alpha| \\
& \leq(1-|\alpha|)\left(1-1 / 2^{n}\right)+(1-|\alpha|) / 2^{n+1}+|\alpha| \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $q \in K$. Then

$$
\left|q\left(g_{+}\right)\right| \leq 1-|\alpha|+|\alpha|=1 .
$$

We conclude that $g_{+} \in F_{p, \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}$. By the definition of $g_{+}$, we infere that $\| g_{+}-$ $a\|=(1-|\alpha|)\| u \|=1-|\alpha|$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(a, F_{p, \left.\frac{\alpha}{\alpha \alpha} \right\rvert\,}\right) \leq 1-|\alpha| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also see that $g_{-} \in F_{p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}},\left\|g_{-}-a\right\|=1+|\alpha|$ and $d\left(a, F_{\left.p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}\right) \leq}\right.$ $1+|\alpha|$. A proof is as follows. All what is really needed is to prove that $\left|q\left(g_{-}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for every $q \in P$ as it is evident that $g_{-} \in E$ and $p\left(g_{-}\right)=-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}$. If $q \in F_{0}$, then $q(u)=0$ asserts that $\left|q\left(g_{+}\right)\right|=|q(a)| \leq 1$. Suppose that $q \in F_{n}$ for some positive integer $n$. We have

$$
q\left(g_{-}\right)=\left(-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}+\alpha\right) q(u)-2 \alpha q(u)+\alpha+q(a)-\alpha
$$

hence

$$
\left|q\left(g_{-}\right)\right| \leq(1-|\alpha|)|q(u)|+|\alpha||1-2 q(u)|+|q(a)-\alpha| .
$$

As $0 \leq u \leq 1$ on $Y$ by the definition of $u$, we have $|1-2 q(u)| \leq 1$ for every $q \in P=\left\{\delta_{x}: x \in \operatorname{Ch}(E)\right\}$. As we have shown that $|q(u)| \leq 1-1 / 2^{n}$ for
$q \in F_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|q\left(g_{-}\right)\right| & \leq(1-|\alpha|)|q(u)|+|\alpha|+|q(a)-\alpha| \\
& \leq(1-|\alpha|)\left(1-1 / 2^{n}\right)+|\alpha|+\varepsilon / 2^{n+1} \\
& \leq(1-|\alpha|)\left(1-1 / 2^{n}\right)+|\alpha|+(1-|\alpha|) / 2^{n+1} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $q \in K$. Then we have

$$
q\left(g_{-}\right)=\left(-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}+\alpha\right) q(u)-2 \alpha q(u)+\alpha .
$$

As $0 \leq u \leq 1$ on $Y$ we have

$$
\left|q\left(g_{-}\right)\right| \leq 1-|\alpha|+|\alpha||1-2 q(u)| \leq 1 .
$$

We conclude that $g_{-} \in F_{p,-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha \alpha}}$. By the definition of $g_{-}$, we infer that $\| g_{-}$ $a\|=(1+|\alpha|)\| u \|=1+|\alpha|$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(a, F_{p,-\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}}\right) \leq 1+|\alpha| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (12) and (13) we conclude that $a \in M_{p, \alpha}$.
Finally, as $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the condition $(r)$ by Urysohn's lemma, we see that $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property.

Example 5.5. Let $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ be the real Banach space of all real-valued bounded functions on a discrete space $\Gamma$. Then there is a compact Hausdorff space $X$ such that $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C_{0}(X, \mathbb{R})$ as a real Banach space. Therefore $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property (cf. [10, 15]). The Mazur-Ulam property of the space $c_{0}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ is established by Ding[10, Corollary 2]. As $c_{0}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space $Y$, Corollary 5.4 gives an alternative proof of the result of Ding.

Example 5.6. Let $\mu$ be a positive measure on a $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma$ of a subsets of a set $\Omega$. Let $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ be the usual real Banach space of all real-valued bounded measurable functions on $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, Then there exists a compact Hausdorff space $X$ such that $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C_{0}(X, \mathbb{R})$ as a real Banach space. Hence the space $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property (cf. [15, Corollary 6]). Note that Tan [19, Theorem 2.5] exhibits the result for the case of the measure being $\sigma$-finite by an alternative proof.

## 6. Remarks

We close the paper with a few remarks. In this paper we merely prove the complex Mazur-Ulam property for a uniform algebra. We conjecture that
a uniform algebra, generally a closed subalgebra of $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{C})$, satisfies the Mazur-Ulam property.

The second remark concerns Tingley's problem on a Banach space of analytic functions. The main result of this paper concerns with the complex Mazur-Ulam property. We expect that several Banach space of analytic functions including the Hardy spaces satisfy the complex Mazur-Ulam property and the Mazur-Ulam property.

As a final remark we encourage researches on Tingley's problem on Banach algebras of continuous functions. Comparing with the theorem of Wang [27] on the Banach algebra of $C^{(n)}(X)$, it is interesting to study a surjective isometry on the unit sphere of a Banach space or algebra of Lipschitz functions, it has already been pointed out by Cueto-Avellaneda [6, Problem 4.0.8].
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