

# INDICES OF VECTOR FIELDS AND 1-FORMS

WOLFGANG EBELING AND SABIR M. GUSEIN-ZADE

ABSTRACT. We discuss the notions of indices of vector fields and 1-forms and their generalizations to singular varieties and varieties with actions of finite groups, as well as indices of collections of vector fields and 1-forms.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. The case of smooth manifolds	5
1.1. The real index	5
1.2. The complex index	7
1.3. Collections of sections of a vector bundle	8
1.4. Algebraic formulas for the indices	10
2. Vector fields and 1-forms on singular varieties	12
2.1. Radial index	12
2.2. GSV index	15
2.3. Poincaré–Hopf index	17
2.4. Homological index	19
2.5. Euler obstruction	21
2.6. Algebraic, analytic, and topological formulas	25
2.7. Determinantal singularities	27
3. Indices of collections of vector fields and 1-forms	32
3.1. GSV index	32
3.2. Chern obstruction	35
3.3. Homological index	41
4. Equivariant indices	42
4.1. Equivariant Euler characteristics	42
4.2. Equivariant indices of vector fields and 1-forms on manifolds	44
4.3. The equivariant radial index on a singular variety	46
4.4. Equivariant GSV and Poincaré–Hopf index	47

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J17, 58K45, 32S65, 58A10.

Partially supported by DFG. The work of the second author was partially supported by the grant 20-01-00579 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

4.5. Equivariant homological index	49
4.6. Equivariant Euler obstruction	50
4.7. Real quotient singularities	51
References	53

## INTRODUCTION

Vector fields on a smooth manifold (a real or complex one) and their singular points play an important role in many different areas of mathematics. A classical invariant of a singular point of a vector field is its index. The notion of the index has a long history reflected in a number of classical sources. A famous result is the Poincaré–Hopf theorem which states that the sum of the indices of the (isolated) singular points of a vector field on a closed (compact, without boundary) manifold is equal to the Euler characteristic of the manifold.

There is no straightforward generalization of the notion of the index of a singular point to vector fields on singular varieties. There are several concepts of indices in this case. Some of them require special conditions on the vector fields and/or on the singular variety.

In the smooth case, there is essentially no difference between vector fields and 1-forms. In the case of singular varieties, these settings are essentially different. Traditionally the main attention was payed to indices of singular points of vector fields. A suggestion to consider indices of singular points of 1-forms alongside with (or instead of) indices of vector fields was first made by V. I. Arnold in [7] (he used them for manifolds with boundaries; see also [9]). The authors started a study of indices of 1-forms on singular varieties [34].

Indices of vector fields or of 1-forms on (compact) complex analytic manifolds are related with the Euler characteristic, that is with the top Chern number. Other Chern numbers correspond to indices of collections of vector fields or of 1-forms. Therefore it is interesting to study such indices.

There are some equivariant versions of the Euler characteristic for spaces with an action of a finite group  $G$ . Therefore it is reasonable to try to define indices of singular points of  $G$ -invariant vector fields and 1-forms on  $G$ -varieties as well.

Here we give a survey on all these concepts. We give no proofs but for every statement we give precise references to articles where one can find more details including proofs. There is a comprehensive textbook [22] by J.-P. Brasselet, J. Seade, and T. Suwa on a number of these

subjects. We also wrote a survey article [40] about the developments till 2005.

Let us outline the contents of this article. In the first section, we collect the basic notions and classical facts for the case of smooth manifolds.

In Section 2, we discuss different generalizations of the notion of the index of a singular point to vector fields and 1-forms on singular varieties.

We start (in Section 2.1) with the notion which was classically defined first and which is very general. It is the radial or Schwartz index. The idea goes back to M.-H. Schwartz who started a comprehensive study of vector fields on singular (analytic) varieties in [106]. She considered a class of vector fields important for a number of constructions related with vector fields on singular varieties: so called radial vector fields and radial extensions. For these vector fields she proved a version of the Poincaré–Hopf theorem [107, 108, 109]. Building on her work, a general notion of an index of an isolated singular point of a vector field on a singular variety was introduced in [81]. However, this preprint was not published but only circulated around. (A revised version of it was only published almost 20 years later [82].) Because of its restricted circulation, parts of it were later (re)elaborated in publications of other authors. In the general setting, for analytic varieties, this notion of index (called Schwartz index or radial index) was defined in [33], see also [37]. (For the case of varieties with isolated singularities, it was defined and studied, in particular, in [1, 116].) It was noticed in [30] that it can also be defined for semianalytic sets. The index was used to define characteristic classes for singular varieties, see, e.g., the surveys [14, 112].

Section 2.2 is devoted to a notion of an index which makes only sense on varieties of special types. It is the GSV index named after X. Gómez-Mont, J. Seade, and A. Verjovsky who defined it in [63] for vector fields on hypersurfaces with isolated singularities. It was generalized to vector fields on isolated complete intersection singularities in [115].

In Section 2.3, we introduce the Poincaré–Hopf index for a vector field or 1-form on an isolated complex analytic singularity, a notion which is directly related to the Poincaré–Hopf theorem but is only defined for a smoothing of the singularity.

For the index of an isolated singular point of a holomorphic vector field on a complex manifold one has an algebraic formula, see Section 1.4. The search for such a formula for the index of an isolated singular point of a holomorphic vector field on a hypersurface with

an isolated singularity was the motivation for Gómez-Mont to introduce the notion of a homological index in [60]. This is the subject of Section 2.4.

The radial (Schwartz) index can be defined for singular points of vector fields on arbitrary analytic (or semi-analytic) varieties. Another notion of an index with this property is the Euler obstruction which is discussed in Section 2.5. The key ingredient in its construction was defined by R. MacPherson in [93]. He defined the Euler obstruction of the differential of the squared distance function. For vector fields, it was essentially defined by Brasselet and Schwartz in [23].

The homological index gives rise to algebraic formulas for the GSV index of a holomorphic vector field or 1-form on certain singular varieties generalizing those of Section 1.4. These formulas are discussed in Section 2.6. We also discuss analytic and topological formulas for the index.

Some of the indices are not defined for general analytic varieties, but all the indices above are at least defined for isolated complete intersection singularities. The next more general class is the class of essentially isolated determinantal singularities introduced in [43] which recently attracted some attention. In the last subsection of Section 2 (Section 2.7), we study results on indices of 1-forms on such singularities.

In Section 3, we consider analogues of the above indices for collections of vector fields and 1-forms. An analogue of the GSV index for them was introduced in [38]. It is discussed in Section 3.1.

An analogue of the notion of the Euler obstruction for collections of 1-forms corresponding to different Chern numbers leads to the notion of Chern obstructions introduced in [41, 42]. This is considered in Section 3.2. There we also discuss relations between the Euler obstruction of a map and the Chern obstruction of a convenient collection of 1-forms observed by Brasselet, N. G. Grulha Jr., and M. A. S. Ruas in [15].

Finally we discuss, in Section 3.3, the generalization of the homological index to collections of 1-forms due to E. Gorsky and the second author.

The final section (Section 4) is devoted to the case that the variety carries an action of a finite group  $G$ . Through the Poincaré–Hopf theorem indices of singular points of vector fields or 1-forms are often related with the Euler characteristic of the underlying variety. The Euler characteristic (properly defined) is an additive topological invariant of spaces of some kind, say, of locally closed unions of cells in finite CW-complexes. For topological spaces with additional structures one

has other additive topological invariants which can be considered as generalized Euler characteristics. One can expect appropriate notions of indices of singular points corresponding to these concepts. There are some notions of generalized Euler characteristics for spaces with an action of a finite group  $G$ . Some of them take values in the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers: e.g. the alternating sum of the ranks of the invariant parts of the cohomology groups with compact support, the orbifold Euler characteristic ([10, 75]), etc. Another one is the alternating sum of classes of the cohomology groups as  $G$ -modules. It takes values in the ring of representations of the group  $G$ . It was introduced in [131], see also [133]. The most general (in some sense — universal) concept of the generalized Euler characteristic for  $G$ -spaces is the equivariant Euler characteristic which takes values in the Burnside ring of the group  $G$ . It was introduced in [128]. We discuss the definition in Section 4.1.

A study of indices of singular points of  $G$ -invariant vector fields related with the Burnside ring of  $G$  was started in [92]. The indices therein had values not in the Burnside ring of  $G$ , but in a related abelian group (not a ring) depending on the underlying  $G$ -manifold. A study of indices as elements of the Burnside ring itself was initiated in [44] and continued in [45]. We first introduce these indices in the case of manifolds in Section 4.2.

The following subsections treat equivariant versions of the indices of vector fields and 1-forms on singular varieties discussed in Section 2. Namely, the equivariant radial index is treated in Section 4.3, the equivariant GSV and Poincaré–Hopf index in Section 4.4, the equivariant homological index in Section 4.5 and the equivariant Euler obstruction in Section 4.6.

The final subsection Section 4.7 discusses an attempt to generalize the Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili theorem to real quotient singularities.

## 1. THE CASE OF SMOOTH MANIFOLDS

**1.1. The real index.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $X$  be a vector field on  $M$ . A neighbourhood of a point  $p \in M$  can be identified with a neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In local coordinates around a point  $p$ ,  $X$  can be written as  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , where  $p$  corresponds to the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The vector field is called *continuous, smooth, analytic, etc.*, if the functions  $X_i$  are continuous, smooth, analytic, etc., respectively. A point  $p \in M$  with  $X(p) = 0$  is called a *zero* or a *singular point* of  $X$ . We shall define the index of a vector field at an isolated singular point.

For this purpose, we define the local degree of a mapping. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open subset and  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous mapping. Let  $p \in U$  with  $F(p) = 0$  and let  $B_\varepsilon^n(p) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - p\| \leq \varepsilon\}$  be the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centred at  $p$  contained in  $U$  such that there are no preimages of  $F$  of the origin except  $p$  inside  $B_\varepsilon^n(p)$ . The *local degree*  $\deg_p F$  of the mapping  $F$  at the point  $p$  is the degree of the mapping

$$\frac{F}{\|F\|} : S_\varepsilon^{n-1}(p) \rightarrow S_1^{n-1}$$

where  $S_\varepsilon^{n-1}(p) = \partial B_\varepsilon^n(p)$  and  $S_1^{n-1}$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a continuous vector field defined on  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $p \in U$  be an isolated zero of  $X$ . The *index*  $\text{ind}(X; \mathbb{R}^n, p)$  of  $X$  at the singular point  $p \in U$  is the degree of the mapping  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

One can easily see that the definition of the index is independent of the choice of the local coordinates and of the spheres.

Now let the vector field  $X$  be smooth and  $p$  a non-degenerate singular point of  $X$ . This means that  $J_{X,p} := \det \left( \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_j}(0) \right) \neq 0$ . Then  $\text{ind}(X; \mathbb{R}^n, p) = \text{sign } J_{X,p}$ , where  $\text{sign } J_{X,p}$  denotes the sign of  $J_{X,p}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{sign } J_{X,p} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } J_{X,p} > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } J_{X,p} < 0. \end{cases}$$

The index of an arbitrary isolated singular point  $p$  of a smooth vector field  $X$  is equal to the number of non-degenerate singular points  $\tilde{p}$  which split from the point  $p$  under a generic perturbation  $\tilde{X}$  of the vector field  $X$  in a neighbourhood of the point  $p$  counted with the appropriate signs  $\text{sign } J_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}}$ .

One of the most important properties of the index of a vector field is the Poincaré–Hopf theorem. Suppose that the manifold  $M$  is closed, i.e. compact without boundary, and that the vector field  $X$  has finitely many singular points on it. This is equivalent to say that  $X$  has only isolated singular points.

**Theorem 1** (Poincaré–Hopf). *Let  $M$  be a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) manifold and  $X$  be a smooth vector field with only finitely many singular points on it. Then the sum*

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Sing } X} \text{ind}(X; M, p)$$

*of indices of singular points of the vector field  $X$  is equal to the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M)$  of the manifold  $M$ .*

For a proof of this theorem see, e.g. [97].

Instead of a vector field on  $M$ , one can consider a 1-form  $\omega$  on  $M$ . In local coordinates,  $\omega$  can be written as  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(x)dx_i$ . The notions *continuous*, *smooth*, *analytic*, *etc.*, and *zero*, *singular point* are defined analogously. Indeed, using a Riemannian metric one can identify vector fields and 1-forms on a smooth ( $C^\infty$ ) manifold. In particular, the index  $\text{ind}_p \omega$  of  $\omega$  at an isolated singular point  $p$  is defined to be the degree of the map  $(A_1, \dots, A_n) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**1.2. The complex index.** Now let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$  and  $X$  be a vector field on  $M$ . The index  $\text{ind}(X; M, p)$  of the vector field  $X$  at a singular point  $p \in M$  is defined as the index of  $X$  at  $p$  on the underlying real  $2n$ -dimensional manifold. If the vector field is holomorphic and the singular point  $p$  is non-degenerate, then the index is equal to  $+1$ . The index of an isolated singular point  $p$  of a holomorphic vector field  $X$  is positive. It is equal to the number of non-degenerate singular points which split from the point  $p$  under a generic perturbation of the vector field in the neighbourhood of  $p$ .

If  $M$  is a compact complex manifold, then its Euler characteristic  $\chi(M)$  is equal to the characteristic number  $\langle c_n(TM), [M] \rangle$ , where  $c_n(M)$  is the top Chern class of the manifold  $M$ . Therefore the Poincaré-Hopf theorem for a vector field  $X$  on a compact complex manifold  $M$  states that the sum of indices of the singular points on the vector field  $X$  is equal to  $\langle c_n(TM), [M] \rangle$ .

Now let  $\omega$  be a complex continuous 1-form on  $M$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between complex 1-forms and real 1-forms on the underlying real  $2n$ -dimensional manifold. Namely, to a complex 1-form  $\omega$  one can associate the real 1-form  $\eta = \text{Re} \omega$ . However, there is a difference in the orientation of the complex cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  and the orientation of the real cotangent bundle with its complex structure forgotten. Therefore, the index  $\text{ind}(\text{Re} \omega; \mathbb{R}^n, p)$  does not coincide with the index of the 1-form  $\omega$  at the point  $p$ , but differs from it by the sign  $(-1)^n$  (see, e.g., [37, p. 235]). Therefore we define:

**Definition.** The *index*  $\text{ind}(\omega; \mathbb{C}^n, p)$  of the complex 1-form  $\omega$  at a singular point  $p$  is  $(-1)^n$  times the index of the real 1-form  $\text{Re} \omega$  at  $p$ :

$$\text{ind}(\omega; \mathbb{C}^n, p) := (-1)^n \text{ind}(\text{Re} \omega; \mathbb{R}^n, p).$$

With this definition, the Poincaré-Hopf theorem for a complex 1-form  $\omega$  on a compact complex manifold  $M$  states that the sum of the indices of the singular points of  $\omega$  is equal to  $(-1)^n \chi(M) = \langle c_n(T^*M), [M] \rangle$ .

**1.3. Collections of sections of a vector bundle.** The complex index introduced above is connected with the Euler characteristic, hence with the characteristic number  $\langle c_n(TM), [M] \rangle$ , where  $c_n(M)$  is the top Chern class of the manifold  $M$ . In this section, we discuss indices related to other characteristic numbers.

Let  $M$  be a complex analytic manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $\{X_j\} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$  be a collection of  $k$  continuous vector fields on  $M$ . A *singular point* of  $\{X_j\}$  is a point  $p \in M$  where  $(X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p))$  are linearly dependent. A collection  $\{X_j\}$  is also called a *k-field* and a non-singular one is called a *k-frame*. We recall the construction of Chern classes by obstruction theory.

For natural numbers  $n$  and  $k$  with  $n \geq k$ , let  $M_{n,k}$  be the space of  $n \times k$  matrices with complex entries and let  $D_{n,k}$  be the subspace of  $M_{n,k}$  consisting of matrices of rank less than  $k$ . The subset  $D_{n,k}$  is a subvariety of  $M_{n,k}$  of codimension  $n - k + 1$ . The complement  $W_{n,k} = M_{n,k} \setminus D_{n,k}$  is the Stiefel manifold of  $k$ -frames (collections of  $k$  linearly independent vectors) in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It is known that  $W_{n,k}$  is  $(2n - 2k)$ -connected and  $H_{2n-2k+1}(W_{n,k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  (see, e.g., [77]). The latter fact also implies that the subvariety  $D_{n,k}$  is irreducible. Since  $W_{n,k}$  is the complement of an irreducible complex analytic subvariety of codimension  $n - k + 1$  in  $M_{n,k}$ , there is a natural choice of a generator of the homology group  $H_{2n-2k+1}(W_{n,k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Namely, the (“positive”) generator is the boundary of a small ball in a smooth complex analytic slice in  $M_{n,k} \cong \mathbb{C}^{nk}$  transversal to the irreducible subvariety  $D_{n,k}$  at a non-singular point (oriented in the standard way).

Let  $\{X_j\}$  be a  $k$ -field on  $M$  with isolated singular points. Let  $(K)$  be a triangulation of  $M$  such that all singular points of  $\{X_j\}$  are vertices of  $(K)$  and let  $(D)$  be a cell decomposition of  $M$  dual to  $(K)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a  $2(n - k + 1)$ -cell of  $(D)$  which is contained in an open subset  $U \subset M$  where the tangent bundle  $TM$  is trivial. Let

$$(X_1(y), \dots, X_k(y))$$

be the  $n \times (n - k + 1)$ -matrix the columns of which consist of the components of the vectors  $X_1(y), \dots, X_k(y)$  with respect to this trivialization. Let  $\psi_\sigma : \partial\sigma \cong S^{2n-1} \rightarrow W_{n,n-k+1}$  be the mapping which sends a point  $y \in \partial\sigma$  to the matrix  $(X_1(y), \dots, X_k(y))$ .

**Definition.** The *index*  $\text{ind}(\{X_j\}; \sigma)$  of the  $k$ -field  $\{X_j\}$  on  $\sigma$  is the degree of the map  $\psi$ , i.e., the obstruction to extend the  $k$ -frame  $\{X_j\}$  from the boundary  $\partial\sigma$  of the cell  $\sigma$  to its interior.

This defines a cochain  $\gamma \in C^{2(n-k+1)}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  by setting  $\gamma(\sigma) = \text{ind}(\{X_j\}; \sigma)$  for each  $2(n-k+1)$ -cell and extending it linearly. This cochain is in fact a cocycle and represents the Chern class  $c^{n-k+1}(M)$  of  $M$ .

Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  be a complex analytic vector bundle of rank  $m$  over a complex analytic manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$ . (Special cases of interest are the tangent and the cotangent bundles of  $M$ .) We shall now generalize the construction above.

Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, m - k_i + 1; \sum_{i=1}^s k_i = n$ ) be a collection of continuous sections of the vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ . A point  $p \in M$  is called non-singular for the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  if at least for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  the values  $\omega_1^{(i)}(p), \dots, \omega_{m-k_i+1}^{(i)}(p)$  are linearly independent. This means that for this  $i$  the vectors  $\omega_1^{(i)}(p), \dots, \omega_{m-k_i+1}^{(i)}(p)$  form an  $(m - k_i + 1)$ -frame. We shall assume that the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  has only isolated singular points. We shall define an index for such a collection, cf. [38].

Let  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$  be a sequence of positive integers with  $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = k$ . Consider the space  $M_{m,\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{i=1}^s M_{m,m-k_i+1}$  and the subvariety  $D_{m,\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{i=1}^s D_{m,m-k_i+1}$  in it. The variety  $D_{m,\mathbf{k}}$  consists of sets  $\{A_i\}$  of  $m \times (m - k_i + 1)$  matrices such that  $\text{rk } A_i < m - k_i + 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Since  $D_{m,\mathbf{k}}$  is irreducible of codimension  $k$ , its complement  $W_{m,\mathbf{k}} = M_{m,\mathbf{k}} \setminus D_{m,\mathbf{k}}$  is  $(2k-2)$ -connected,  $H_{2k-1}(W_{m,\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and there is a natural choice of a generator of the latter group. This choice defines a degree (an integer) of a map from an oriented manifold of dimension  $2k-1$  to the manifold  $W_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ .

Let us choose a trivialization of the vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  in a neighbourhood of a point  $p$ , let

$$(\omega_1^{(i)}(x), \dots, \omega_{m-k_i+1}^{(i)}(x))$$

be the  $m \times (m - k_i + 1)$ -matrix the columns of which consist of the components of the sections  $\omega_j^{(i)}(x)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m - k_i + 1$ ,  $x \in M$ , with respect to this trivialization. Let  $\Psi_p$  be the mapping from a neighbourhood of the point  $p$  to  $M_{m,\mathbf{k}}$  which sends a point  $x$  to the collection of matrices  $\{(\omega_1^{(i)}(x), \dots, \omega_{m-k_i+1}^{(i)}(x))\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Its restriction  $\psi_p$  to a small sphere  $S_\varepsilon^{2n-1}(p)$  around the point  $p$  maps this sphere to the subset  $W_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ . The sphere  $S_\varepsilon^{2n-1}(p)$  is oriented as the boundary of the corresponding ball in the complex affine space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Definition.** The *index*  $\text{ind}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; M, p)$  of the collection of sections  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  at the point  $p$  is the degree of the mapping  $\psi_p : S_\varepsilon^{2n-1}(p) \rightarrow W_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ .

One can deform the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of sections or, equivalently, the map  $\Psi_p$  so that this map becomes smooth and transversal to the variety  $D_{m,\mathbf{k}}$  (at smooth points of the latter one). This implies that the index  $\text{ind}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; M, p)$  is equal to the intersection number of the germ of the image of the map  $\Psi_p$  with the variety  $D_{m,\mathbf{k}}$ .

**Definition.** A singular point  $p$  of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of sections is called *non-degenerate* if the map  $\Psi_p$  is smooth and transversal to the variety  $D_{n,\mathbf{k}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,\mathbf{k}}$  at a non-singular point of it.

If  $p$  is a non-degenerate singular point of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$ , then  $\text{ind}_p\{\omega_j^{(i)}\} = \pm 1$ . If all the sections  $\omega_j^{(i)}$  are complex analytic, then this index is equal to  $+1$ .

The following statement is a generalization of the well known fact that the  $(2(n-k)$ -dimensional) cycle Poincaré dual to the characteristic class  $c_k(E)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m$ ) is represented by the set of points of the manifold  $M$  where  $m - k + 1$  generic sections of the vector bundle  $E$  are linearly dependent (cf., e.g., [68, p. 413]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = n$  and suppose that the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, m - k_i + 1$ ) of sections of the vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  over a closed complex manifold  $M$  has only isolated singular points. Then the sum of the indices of these points is equal to the characteristic number  $\langle \prod_{i=1}^s c_{k_i}(E), [M] \rangle$  of the vector bundle  $E$ .*

**1.4. Algebraic formulas for the indices.** It turns out that, if the vector fields (or 1-forms) under consideration are analytic (and, in the real case, the singular points are algebraically isolated) one has algebraic formulas for the indices considered above.

The simplest algebraic formula is for the index of an isolated singular point of a holomorphic vector field on a complex manifold. In local coordinates  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  centred at the singular point, a vector field can be written as  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ , where the function germs  $X_i$  are holomorphic. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$  be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions of  $n$  variables.

The first proof of the following theorem is usually attributed to Palamodov [102]. Without a detailed proof, it was known earlier.

**Theorem 3** (Palamodov). *The index  $\text{ind}(X; \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  of the singular point of the holomorphic vector field  $X$  is equal to the dimension of the complex vector space  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}/(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , where  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is the ideal generated by the germs  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .*

Recall that  $J_{X,0} = \det \left( \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial z_j}(0) \right)$  denotes the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Then one has the following residue formula for the index

$$\text{ind}(X; \mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \text{Res} \left[ \frac{J_{X,0} d\mathbf{z}}{X_1 \cdots X_n} \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{J_{X,0}}{X_1 \cdots X_n} d\mathbf{z}$$

where  $d\mathbf{z} := dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the real  $n$ -cycle  $\{\|X_k\| = \delta_k, k = 1, \dots, n\}$  for positive  $\delta_k$  small enough, and  $\Gamma$  is oriented so that  $d(\arg X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\arg X_n) \geq 0$ , see also [11].

For a real analytic vector field such that its complexification has an isolated singular point, the index can be computed as the signature of a certain quadratic form: [49, 80].

Let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  be the germ of an analytic mapping such that  $F_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) = 0$ , where  $F_{\mathbb{C}} : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is the complexification of  $F$ . (In this situation one says that  $F$  has an algebraically isolated preimage of the origin.) Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n,0}$  be the ring of germs of analytic functions on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ . By the assumption  $F_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) = 0$ , the factor algebra  $Q_F := \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n,0}/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  has finite dimension. (This dimension is equal to  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \text{deg}_0 F_{\mathbb{C}}$ .) We consider on  $Q_F$  the natural residue pairing

$$\begin{aligned} B_F : Q_F \times Q_F &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (\varphi, \psi) &\longmapsto \text{Res} \left[ \frac{\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}}{f_1 \cdots f_n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the residue is similarly defined as in the complex case, namely

$$\text{Res} \left[ \frac{\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}}{f_1 \cdots f_n} \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int \frac{\varphi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}}{f_1 \cdots f_n}$$

where  $d\mathbf{x} := dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$  and the integration is along the cycle given by the equations  $\|f_k(\mathbf{x})\| = \delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  with positive  $\delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  small enough.

**Theorem 4** (Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili). *The degree  $\text{deg}_0 F$  of the map germ  $F$  is equal to the signature  $\text{sgn } B_F$  of the quadratic form  $B_F$ .*

For a proof of this theorem see also [8].

This can also be interpreted as a formula for the index of the singular point of the vector field  $X := \sum f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  or of the 1-form  $\omega := \sum f_i dx_i$ . Moreover, the choice of a volume form permits to identify the algebra  $Q_F$  (as a vector space) with the space  $\Omega_{\omega} = \Omega_{\mathbb{R}^n,0}^n / \omega \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{R}^n,0}^{n-1}$ .

Now let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, m - k_i + 1; \sum_{i=1}^s k_i = n$ ) be a collection of holomorphic sections of the (trivial) vector bundle  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^m \times (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  with an isolated singular point at the origin

(see Subsection 1.3). Let  $I_{\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}}$  be the ideal in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$  of germs of analytic functions of  $n$  variables generated by the  $(m-k_i+1) \times (m-k_i+1)$ -minors of the matrices  $(\omega_1^{(i)}, \dots, \omega_{m-k_i+1}^{(i)})$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then one has the following algebraic formula for the index (see [38, Theorem 2]).

**Theorem 5.** *One has*

$$\text{ind}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; \mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} / I_{\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}}.$$

## 2. VECTOR FIELDS AND 1-FORMS ON SINGULAR VARIETIES

**2.1. Radial index.** Let  $V$  be a closed (real) subanalytic variety in a smooth manifold  $M$ , where  $M$  is equipped with a (smooth) Riemannian metric. Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  be a subanalytic Whitney stratification of  $V$  (see [129] for this notion). A (continuous) *stratified vector field* on  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  is a vector field such that, at each point  $p$  of  $V$ , it is tangent to the stratum containing  $p$ .

**Definition.** The germ  $X$  of a vector field on the germ  $(V, p)$  is called *radial* if, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, the vector field is transversal to the boundary of the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of the point  $p$  and is directed outwards.

Let  $p \in V$ , let  $V_{(p)} = V_i$  be the stratum containing  $p$ ,  $\dim V_i = k$ , and let  $X$  be a stratified vector field on  $V$  in a neighbourhood of the point  $p$ . The following definition was given by Schwartz in [107].

**Definition.** The vector field  $X$  is called a *radial extension* of the vector field  $X|_{V_i}$  if, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, it is transversal to the boundary of the  $\varepsilon$ -tubular neighbourhood of  $V_i$  and points outwards of the neighbourhood.

**Remark 6.** Note that the definitions of the radial extension in [22, Definition 2.3.2]), [33, p. 144], and [44, p. 288] use different formulations, but are somewhat inaccurate.

The existence of a radial extension of a vector field on a stratum  $V_i$  is proved in [23, Section III.7] (see also [109, Lemme 3.1.2]).

Let  $X$  be a stratified vector field on  $(V, p)$  with an isolated singular point (zero) at the origin. Let  $B_\varepsilon$  be a closed  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood in the ambient Riemannian manifold  $M$  around the point  $p$  (small enough so that the boundary  $\partial B_\eta$  of the  $\eta$ -neighbourhood of  $p$  with  $0 < \eta \leq \varepsilon$  intersects all the strata  $V_i$  transversally and the vector field  $X$  has no singular points on  $(V \setminus \{p\}) \cap B_\varepsilon$ ). One can show that there exists a (continuous) stratified vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $V$  such that:

- (1) the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  coincides with  $X$  on a neighbourhood of the intersection of  $V$  with the boundary  $\partial B_\varepsilon$  of the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood around the point  $p$ ;
- (2) the vector field has a finite number of singular points (zeros);
- (3) in a neighbourhood of each singular point  $p \in V \cap B_\varepsilon$ ,  $p \in V_i$ , the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  is a radial extension of its restriction to the stratum  $V_i$ .

**Definition.** The *radial index* (or *Schwartz index*)  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, p)$  of the vector field  $X$  on  $V$  at the point  $p$  is

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, p) := \sum_{p \in \text{Sing} \tilde{X}} \text{ind}(\tilde{X}|_{V(p)}; V(p), p),$$

where  $\text{ind}(\tilde{X}|_{V(p)}, V(p), p)$  is the usual index of the restriction of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  to the smooth manifold  $V(p)$ .

The described notions of a radial vector field and a radial extension depend on the choice of the Riemannian metric, but the radial index does not depend on this choice, because, for instance, the space of Riemannian metrics is pathwise connected.

Now let  $\omega$  be (the germ at  $p$  of) a (continuous) 1-form on  $(V, p)$ , i.e. the restriction to  $V$  of a 1-form defined in a neighbourhood of the point  $p$  in the ambient manifold  $M$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  be a subanalytic Whitney stratification of  $V$ . A point  $p \in V$  is a *singular point* of  $\omega$  if the restriction of  $\omega$  to the stratum  $V(p)$  containing  $p$  vanishes at the point  $p$ .

**Definition.** The germ  $\omega$  of a 1-form at the point  $p$  is called *radial* if, for all  $\varepsilon$  small enough, the 1-form is positive on the outward normals to the boundary of the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of the point  $p$ .

An example of a radial 1-form is the germ of the 1-form  $d\rho^2$ , where  $\rho$  is the distance function from  $p$  induced by the Riemannian metric.

**Remark 7.** Note that the initial definition of a radial 1-form in [37] used other words, but was somewhat inaccurate. This was noticed by an anonymous referee of the paper [72].

Let  $p, p \in V_i = V(p)$ ,  $\dim V(p) = k$ , and let  $\eta$  be a 1-form defined in a neighbourhood of the point  $p$ . As above, let  $N_i$  be a normal slice (with respect to the Riemannian metric) of  $M$  to the stratum  $V_i$  at the point  $p$  and  $h$  a diffeomorphism from a neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $M$  to the product  $U_i(p) \times N_i$ , where  $U_i(p)$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $V_i$ , which is the identity on  $U_i(p)$ .

**Definition.** A 1-form  $\eta$  is called a *radial extension* of the 1-form  $\eta|_{V(p)}$  if there exists such a diffeomorphism  $h$  which identifies  $\eta$  with the restriction to  $V$  of the 1-form  $\pi_1^*\eta|_{V(p)} + \pi_2^*\eta_{N_i}^{\text{rad}}$ , where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the projections from a neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $M$  to  $V(p)$  and  $N_i$  respectively and  $\eta_{N_i}^{\text{rad}}$  is a radial 1-form on  $N_i$ .

For a 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(V, p)$  with an isolated singular point at the point  $p$  there exists a 1-form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $V$  which possesses the obvious analogues of the properties (1)–(3) of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  above.

**Definition.** The *radial index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, p)$  of the 1-form  $\omega$  at the point  $p$  is

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, p) = \sum_{p \in \text{Sing } \tilde{\omega}} \text{ind}(\tilde{\omega}|_{V(p)}; V(p), p),$$

where  $\text{ind}(\tilde{\omega}|_{V(p)}; V(p), p)$  is the usual index of the restriction of the 1-form  $\tilde{\omega}$  to the stratum  $V(p)$ .

The definition of the radial index does not depend on the stratification and on the chosen vector field  $\tilde{X}$  nor of the chosen 1-form  $\tilde{\omega}$ . The sum of the indices of an appropriate deformation of the vector field or 1-form on the strata is the same for a stratification and for a refinement of it. This implies that the radial index does not depend on the stratification. (For a vector field one can consider the intersection of two stratifications. For a 1-form one can consider the minimal Whitney stratification of the variety.) Moreover, the radial index does not depend on the chosen deformation of the vector field or 1-form. This follows from the following proposition which is proved in [44, Proposition 2.1].

**Proposition 8.** *The number of singular points (counted with multiplicities) of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  or of a 1-form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on a fixed stratum  $V_i$  does not depend on the choice of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  or of the 1-form  $\tilde{\omega}$  respectively (and therefore only depends on  $X$  or  $\omega$  respectively).*

Therefore the radial index is well-defined.

It follows from the definition that the radial index satisfies the *law of conservation of number*. For a vector field  $X$  this means the following: if a vector field  $X'$  with isolated singular points on  $V$  is close to the vector field  $X$ , then

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, p) = \sum_{q \in \text{Sing } X'} \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X'; V, q),$$

where the sum on the right hand side runs over all singular points  $q$  of the vector field  $X'$  on  $V$  in a neighbourhood of  $p$ .

The radial index generalizes the usual index for vector fields or 1-forms on a smooth manifold. In particular, one has a generalization of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem:

**Theorem 9** (Poincaré–Hopf). *For a compact real subanalytic variety  $V$  and a vector field  $X$  or a 1-form  $\omega$  with isolated singular points on  $V$ , one has*

$$\sum_{Q \in \text{Sing } X} \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, Q) = \sum_{Q \in \text{Sing } \omega} \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, Q) = \chi(V)$$

where  $\chi(V)$  denotes the Euler characteristic of the space (variety)  $V$ .

In [86], the radial index of a vector field with an isolated zero on a real closed semialgebraic set with an isolated singularity is related to an intersection index.

Now we consider the germ  $(V, p)$  of a complex analytic variety of pure dimension  $n$  embedded in the germ of a complex manifold  $(M, p)$ . Then one can define analogously the notion of a radial index for a singular point of a complex vector field or complex 1-form on  $(V, p)$ . In particular, for the germ of a complex 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(V, 0)$  the radial index  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, P)$  is  $(-1)^n$  times the radial index  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\text{Re } \omega; V, P)$  of the real 1-form  $\text{Re } \omega$  on  $(V, P)$ .

GSV

**2.2. GSV index.** Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of an  $n$ -dimensional complete intersection with an isolated singularity at the origin, defined by a holomorphic map germ

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}) : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{N-n}, 0),$$

i.e.  $V = f^{-1}(0)$ . The germ  $(V, 0)$  is called an isolated complete intersection singularity (abbreviated ICIS in the sequel). Let  $z_1, \dots, z_N$  denote the coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$  be the germ of a (continuous) vector field on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  tangent to  $V$ , i.e.  $X(z) \in T_z V$  for all  $z \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $X$  has an isolated singular point at the origin. Then the following index is defined. It was introduced for hypersurface singularities by X. Gómez-Mont, J. Seade, and A. Verjovsky [63] and generalized to ICIS by Seade and T. Suwa [116]. It is called GSV index.

Let  $B_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  denote the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centred at the origin. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be chosen small enough so that the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}$  and the vector field  $X$  are defined in a neighbourhood of  $B_\varepsilon$ ,  $V$  is transversal to the sphere  $S_\eta = \partial B_\eta$  for  $0 < \eta \leq \varepsilon$ , and the vector field  $X$  has no zeros on  $V$  inside the ball  $B_\varepsilon$  except possibly the origin. The intersection

$K := V \cap S_\varepsilon$  is called the *link* of the ICIS  $(V, 0)$ . The link  $K$  is a  $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold and has a natural orientation as the boundary of the complex manifold  $(V \cap B_\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Define the *gradient vector field*  $\text{grad } f_i$  of a function germ  $f_i$  by

$$\text{grad } f_i = \left( \overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_1}}, \dots, \overline{\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_N}} \right).$$

Note that it depends on the choice of the coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_N$ . The gradient vector fields  $\text{grad } f_1, \dots, \text{grad } f_{N-n}$  are linearly independent everywhere on  $V$  except (possibly) at the origin. The set  $\{X(z), \text{grad } f_1(z), \dots, \text{grad } f_{N-n}(z)\}$  is an  $(N - n + 1)$ -frame at each point of  $K$ . This frame defines a continuous map

$$\Psi = (X, \text{grad } f_1, \dots, \text{grad } f_{N-n}) : K \rightarrow W_{N, N-n+1}$$

from the link  $K$  to the Stiefel manifold  $W_{N, N-n+1}$  of complex  $(N - n + 1)$ -frames in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . It is known that the Stiefel manifold  $W_{N, N-n+1}$  is  $2(n - 1)$ -connected and  $H_{2n-1}(W_{N, N-n+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , see Section 1.3. There is a natural choice of the generator of  $H_{2n-1}(W_{N, N-n+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore we can make the following definition:

**Definition.** The *GSV index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(X; V, 0)$  of the vector field  $X$  on the ICIS  $V$  at the origin is the degree of the map

$$\Psi : K \rightarrow W_{N, N-n+1}.$$

**Remark 10.** Note that one uses the complex conjugation for this definition. Therefore the components of the discussed map are of different tensor nature. Whereas  $X$  is a vector field,  $\text{grad } f_i$  is more similar to a covector.

One can also consider the map  $\Psi$  as a map from  $V$  to the space  $M_{N, N-n+1}$  of  $N \times (N - n + 1)$  matrices with complex entries (defined in a neighbourhood of the ball  $B_\varepsilon$ ). It maps the set  $V \setminus \{0\}$  to the Stiefel manifold  $W_{N, N-n+1} = M_{N, N-n+1} \setminus D_{N, N-n+1}$  (see Section 1.3). Therefore we have the following result:

**Proposition 11.** *The GSV index  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(X; V, 0)$  of the vector field  $X$  on the ICIS  $V$  at the origin is equal to the intersection number  $(\Psi(V) \circ D_{N, N-n+1})$  of the image  $\Psi(V)$  of the ICIS  $V$  under the map  $\Psi$  and the variety  $D_{N, N-n+1}$  at the origin.*

Note that, even if the vector field  $X$  is holomorphic, the image  $\Psi(V)$  is in general not a complex analytic variety because we use the complex conjugation in the definition of  $\Psi$ .

Now we consider the case of a 1-form on  $(V, 0)$ . Let  $\omega = \sum A_i(z) dz_i$  be a germ of a continuous 1-form on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  which as a 1-form on the

ICIS  $V$  has (at most) an isolated singular point at the origin (thus it does not vanish on the tangent space  $T_p V$  to the variety  $V$  at all points  $p$  from a punctured neighbourhood of the origin in  $V$ ). The set  $\{\omega(z), df_1(z), \dots, df_{N-n}(z)\}$  is a  $(N-n+1)$ -frame in the space dual to  $\mathbb{C}^N$  for all  $z \in K$ . Therefore one has a map

$$\Psi = (\omega, df_1, \dots, df_{N-n}) : K \rightarrow W_{N, N-n+1}.$$

Here  $W_{N, N-n+1}$  is the Stiefel manifold of  $(N-n+1)$ -frames in the space dual to  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

**Definition.** The *GSV index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\omega; V, 0)$  of the 1-form  $\omega$  on the ICIS  $V$  at the origin is the degree of the map

$$\Psi : K \rightarrow W_{N, N-n+1}.$$

Just as above  $\Psi$  can be considered as a map from the ICIS  $V$  to the space  $M_{N, N-n+1}$  of  $N \times (N-n+1)$ -matrices and the GSV index of  $\omega$  is equal to the intersection number  $(\Psi(V) \circ D_{N, N-n+1})$ . In contrast to the case of a vector field, if the 1-form  $\omega$  is holomorphic, the map  $\Psi$  and the set  $\Psi(V)$  are complex analytic.

A similar construction can be considered in the real setting, see [1] for more details.

**2.3. Poincaré–Hopf index.** Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a purely  $n$ -dimensional complex analytic variety with an isolated singularity at the origin. A *smoothing* of  $(V, 0)$  is a 1-parameter deformation  $F : (\mathcal{V}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  of  $(V, 0)$  (that is  $F^{-1}(0) = (V, 0)$ ) such that for  $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  sufficiently close to 0 the fibre  $\mathcal{V}_t = F^{-1}(t)$  is smooth, cf., e.g. [67, Definition 7.3.1]. The germ  $(V, 0)$  is called a *smoothable singularity* if there exists a smoothing of  $(V, 0)$ .

Let  $(V, 0)$  be a smoothable singularity and let  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a suitable representative of a smoothing of  $(V, 0)$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is embedded in an open neighbourhood  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  of the origin. Denote by  $B_\varepsilon$  the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centred at the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and by  $\Delta_\eta$  the disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  of radius  $\eta$  centred at 0. Let  $\Delta_\eta^* = \Delta_\eta \setminus \{0\}$ . By [88, Theorem 1.1] (see also [89, Theorem 6.4.1]), for  $\varepsilon \gg \eta > 0$  sufficiently small, the mapping

$$F|_{F^{-1}(\Delta_\eta^*) \cap B_\varepsilon} : F^{-1}(\Delta_\eta^*) \cap B_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Delta_\eta^*$$

is the projection of a differentiable fibre bundle over  $\Delta_\eta^*$ . Let  $V_t^F := \mathcal{V}_t \cap B_\varepsilon$  be the (Milnor) fibre of this bundle over  $t \in \Delta_\eta^*$ .

Now let  $X$  be the germ of a continuous vector field on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at 0. Then the vector field does not vanish on  $V \cap S_\varepsilon$ , where  $S_\varepsilon = \partial B_\varepsilon$  is the boundary of the ball  $B_\varepsilon$ . Moreover,

the intersection  $V \cap S_\varepsilon$  is isotopic to the intersection of  $V_t^F$  with this sphere. Therefore we can assume that the vector field  $X$  is defined on the boundary  $\partial V_t^F$  of the Milnor fibre. By [22, Theorem 1.1.2], there exists an extension  $\tilde{X}$  of the vector field  $X$  to the interior of the Milnor fibre  $V_t^F$  with a finite number of singular points.

**Definition.** The *Poincaré–Hopf index* of  $X$  on  $(V, 0)$  relative to the smoothing  $F$  is

$$\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}^F(X; V, 0) := \sum_{q \in \text{Sing } \tilde{X}} \text{ind}(\tilde{X}; V_t^F, q),$$

where the sum runs over the singular points of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on the fibre  $V_t^F$ .

The Poincaré–Hopf index depends on the choice of a smoothing, but does not depend on the choice of  $t$  and of the extension  $\tilde{X}$  [22, Proposition 3.4.1]. In particular, one has the following proposition (see also [22, Proposition 3.4.1]).

**Proposition 12.** *Let the vector field  $X$  be transversal to the link  $K = V \cap S_\varepsilon$  of the singularity  $(V, 0)$ . Then*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}^F(X; V, 0) = \chi(V_t^F).$$

Now let  $(V, 0)$  be the germ of a complete intersection with an isolated singularity at the origin. Then there is an essentially unique smoothing of  $(V, 0)$ , since the base space of the semi-universal deformation is smooth (see [67, Theorem 7.2.22]). Therefore we can write in this case  $\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}(X; V, 0) := \text{ind}_{\text{PH}}^F(X; V, 0)$ , where  $F$  is the unique smoothing of  $(V, 0)$ . In this case we have:

**Proposition 13.** *For a vector field  $X$  on an ICIS  $(V, 0)$  we have*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}(X; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(X; V, 0).$$

Therefore the Poincaré–Hopf index relative to a smoothing is called the GSV index relative to a smoothing in [22].

Seade defined in this way an index for a singular point of a vector field on a complex analytic surface with a smoothable normal Gorenstein singularity [110].

The following corollary of Proposition 12 and Proposition 13 is proved in [116, Proposition 1.4].

**Proposition 14.** *For a vector field  $X$  on an ICIS  $(V, 0)$  we have*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(X; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, 0) + (-1)^n \mu,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Milnor number of  $(V, 0)$ .

Similarly, for a 1-form one can prove [48, Proposition 2.8]:

**Proposition 15.** *For a 1-form  $\omega$  on an ICIS  $(V, 0)$  we have*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\omega; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, 0) + \mu.$$

There is a generalisation of this index to vector fields on germs of complete intersections with non-isolated singularities [20], see also [22, Section 3.5]. Let  $(V, 0)$  be the germ of a complete intersection defined by a map germ  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_k) : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ . We assume that a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  permits a Whitney stratification adapted to  $V$  and satisfying the Thom condition  $(a_f)$  [129, Definition 4.4.1]. This holds, in particular, if  $(V, 0)$  is an ICIS (say, a hypersurface, i.e.  $k = 1$ ). Let  $X$  be a stratified vector field on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin. Then one can define in a similar way as above a Poincaré–Hopf or GSV index  $\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}(X; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(X; V, 0)$ . For details see [22, Section 3.5].

**2.4. Homological index.** Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be a germ of a complex analytic variety of pure dimension  $n$  with an isolated singular point at the origin. Let  $X$  be a complex analytic vector field tangent to  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singular point at the origin and let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic 1-form on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin. We shall define an index of  $X$  and  $\omega$  in a homological way.

For this purpose, we consider the module  $\Omega_{V,0}^k$  of germs of holomorphic  $k$ -forms on  $(V, 0)$ . It is defined as follows. Let  $I_{V,0} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}$  be the ideal of germs of holomorphic functions vanishing on  $(V, 0)$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}$ -module  $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}^k$  of germs of holomorphic  $k$ -forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ . Then

$$\Omega_{V,0}^k = \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}^k / \{f \cdot \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}^k + df \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}^{k-1} : f \in I_{V,0}\}.$$

We consider two Koszul complexes:

$$(2.1) \quad (\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X) : 0 \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}_{V,0} \xleftarrow{X} \Omega_{V,0}^1 \xleftarrow{X} \dots \xleftarrow{X} \Omega_{V,0}^n \longleftarrow 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad (\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega) : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V,0} \xrightarrow{\wedge\omega} \Omega_{V,0}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge\omega} \dots \xrightarrow{\wedge\omega} \Omega_{V,0}^n \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the first complex  $(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X)$ , the arrows are given by contraction with the vector field  $X$ . For the second complex  $(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega)$ , the arrows are given by the exterior product with the 1-form  $\omega$ . The second complex is the dual of the first one. It was used by G. M. Greuel in [66]. The sheaves  $\Omega_{V,0}^i$  are coherent sheaves and the cohomology sheaves of the complexes are concentrated at the origin and hence finite dimensional.

**Remark 16.** If  $(V, 0) = (W, 0) \times (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  and  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , where  $t$  is the coordinate on  $\mathbb{C}$ , the homology groups of the complex (2.1) are trivial.

This implies that, if  $X$  has an isolated singular point at the origin, the homology groups  $H_i(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X)$  of the complex (2.1) are finite dimensional, even if  $V$  has non-isolated singularities.

**Remark 17.** On a germ of a complex analytic variety with an isolated singularity, holomorphic vector fields with isolated singular points always exist [12]. This is not the case for varieties with non-isolated singularities. For example, on the surface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  given by

$$xy(x+y)(x+zy) = 0$$

all holomorphic vector fields vanish on the  $z$ -axis (cf. [134, Example 13.2], see also [37]).

Let us denote by  $h_j(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X)$  and  $h_j(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega)$  the dimension (as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space) of the  $j$ -th homology group of the complex  $(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X)$  and  $(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega)$  respectively.

**Definition.** (a) The *homological index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(X; V, 0)$  of the vector field  $X$  on  $(V, 0)$  is the Euler characteristic of the complex  $(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X)$ :

$$(2.3) \quad \text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(X; V, 0) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j h_j(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X).$$

(b) The *homological index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\omega; V, 0)$  of the 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(V, 0)$  is  $(-1)^n$  times the Euler characteristic of the complex  $(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega)$ :

$$(2.4) \quad \text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\omega; V, 0) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} h_j(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega).$$

The definition of the homological index of a vector field is due to Gómez-Mont [60]. The definition was adapted to the case of a 1-form in [48]. Both indices satisfy the law of conservation of number [60, Theorem 1.2], [58].

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $(V, 0)$  be an isolated complete intersection singularity. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(X; V, 0) &= \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(X; V, 0), \\ \text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\omega; V, 0) &= \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\omega; V, 0). \end{aligned}$$

For a vector field  $X$ , this was proved in [60, Theorem 3.5] for a hypersurface singularity and by H.-Ch. Graf von Bothmer, Gómez-Mont and the first author in [13, Theorem 2.4] for a complete intersection singularity. For the proof for a 1-form  $\omega$  see [48, Theorem 3.2 (iii)]. In [2, 3], methods of computation of the homological index are given for Cohen–Macaulay curves, graded normal surfaces, and complete intersections.

**Example 19.** Let  $(C, 0)$  be a curve singularity and let  $(\overline{C}, \overline{0})$  be its normalization. Let  $\tau = \dim \text{Ker}(\Omega_{c,0}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\overline{C},\overline{0}}^1)$  and  $\lambda = \dim \omega_{C,0}/c(\Omega_{C,0}^1)$ , where  $\omega_{C,0}$  is the dualizing module of Grothendieck and  $c : \Omega_{C,0}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C,0}$  is the class map (see [24]). A Milnor number  $\mu(f)$  of a function  $f$  on a curve singularity was introduced for curves in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  in [65] and for the general case in [98]. In a similar way, one can define a Milnor number for an analytic 1-form  $\omega$  with a isolated singular point on  $(C, 0)$ , namely

$$\mu(\omega) := \dim \omega_{C,0}/\omega \wedge \mathcal{O}_{C,0}.$$

Then one has

$$\mu(\omega) = \text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\omega; C, 0) + \lambda - \tau.$$

For other formulas for  $\mu(f)$  see [101].

It follows from Proposition 15 that, for a 1-form on an ICIS  $(V, 0)$ , the difference

$$\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\omega; V, 0) - \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, 0)$$

between the homological index and the radial index is equal to the Milnor number of the singularity. This difference is also defined for the germ of a complex analytic space of pure dimension  $n$  with an isolated singular point at the origin. By [48, Proposition 4.1], it does not depend on the 1-form  $\omega$ . Therefore one can consider this difference as a generalized Milnor number of the singularity  $(V, 0)$ . In [48], this invariant is computed for arbitrary curve singularities and compared with the Milnor number introduced by R. Buchweitz and G. M. Greuel [24] for these singularities. See [113] for an interesting question about this Milnor number for normal surface singularities. See [114] for a survey on relations between Milnor numbers and indices of vector fields and 1-forms on singular varieties.

**2.5. Euler obstruction.** In this section, we define the local Euler obstruction of a singular point of a vector field or a 1-form. The idea goes back to MacPherson who defined in [93] the Euler obstruction of a singular point of a complex analytic variety. The definition of the Euler obstruction of a singular point of a vector field for the case of radial extensions is due to Brasselet and Schwartz [23]. It seems that a definition for arbitrary vector fields is not present in the literature.

In order to introduce this notion, we need the notion of the Nash transformation of a germ of a singular variety. Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a purely  $n$ -dimensional complex analytic variety. We assume that  $V$  is a representative of  $(V, 0)$  defined in a suitable neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Let  $G(n, N)$  be the Grassmann manifold of  $n$ -dimensional vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Let  $V_{\text{reg}}$  be the non-singular

part of  $V$ . There is a natural map  $\sigma : V_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow U \times G(n, N)$  which is defined by  $\sigma(z) = (z, T_z V_{\text{reg}})$ . The *Nash transform*  $\widehat{V}$  is the closure of the image  $\text{Im } \sigma$  of the map  $\sigma$  in  $U \times G(n, N)$ . It is a usually singular analytic variety. There is the natural base point map  $\nu : \widehat{V} \rightarrow V$ . Let  $\widehat{V}' := \widehat{V} \setminus \nu^{-1}(V \setminus V_{\text{reg}})$ . Then the restriction  $\nu|_{\widehat{V}'}$  maps  $\widehat{V}'$  biholomorphically to  $V_{\text{reg}}$ .

The *Nash bundle*  $\widehat{T}$  over  $\widehat{V}$  is the pullback of the tautological bundle on the Grassmann manifold  $G(n, N)$  under the natural projection map  $\widehat{V} \rightarrow G(n, N)$ . It is a vector bundle of rank  $n$ . There is a natural lifting of the Nash transformation to a bundle map from the Nash bundle  $\widehat{T}$  to the restriction of the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{C}^N$  of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  to  $V$ . This is an isomorphism of  $\widehat{T}$  and  $TV_{\text{reg}} \subset T\mathbb{C}^N$  over the regular part  $V_{\text{reg}}$  of  $V$ .

Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  be a subanalytic Whitney stratification of  $V$  and let  $X$  be a stratified vector field on  $V$ . Let  $0 \in V$  be an isolated singular point of  $X$  on  $V$ . By [23], the vector field  $X$  has a canonical lifting to a section  $\widehat{X}$  of the Nash bundle  $\widehat{T}$  over the Nash transform  $\widehat{V}$  without zeros outside of  $\nu^{-1}(0)$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be chosen such that the vector field  $X$  is defined on  $B_\varepsilon \cap V$  and does not vanish there outside of the origin where  $B_\varepsilon$  is the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at the origin.

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(X; V, 0)$  of the vector field  $X$  on  $V$  at the origin is the obstruction to extend the non-zero section  $\widehat{X}$  from the preimage of a neighbourhood of the sphere  $S_\varepsilon = \partial B_\varepsilon$  to the preimage of its interior, more precisely its value (as an element of  $H^{2n}(\nu^{-1}(V \cap B_\varepsilon), \nu^{-1}(V \cap S_\varepsilon))$ ) on the fundamental class of the pair  $(\nu^{-1}(V \cap B_\varepsilon), \nu^{-1}(V \cap S_\varepsilon))$ .

Now let  $\omega$  be a 1-form on  $U$  with an isolated singular point on  $V$  at the origin. Let  $\varepsilon$  be small enough such that the 1-form  $\omega$  has no singular points on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  inside the ball  $B_\varepsilon$ . The 1-form  $\omega$  gives rise to a section  $\widehat{\omega}$  of the dual Nash bundle  $\widehat{T}^*$  over the Nash transform  $\widehat{V}$  without zeros outside of  $\nu^{-1}(0)$ . The following definition was given in [37].

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(\omega; V, 0)$  of the 1-form  $\omega$  on  $V$  at the origin is the obstruction to extend the non-zero section  $\widehat{\omega}$  from the preimage of a neighbourhood of the sphere  $S_\varepsilon = \partial B_\varepsilon$  to the preimage of its interior, more precisely its value (as an element of the cohomology group  $H^{2n}(\nu^{-1}(V \cap B_\varepsilon), \nu^{-1}(V \cap S_\varepsilon))$ ) on the fundamental class of the pair  $(\nu^{-1}(V \cap B_\varepsilon), \nu^{-1}(V \cap S_\varepsilon))$ .

We can use the definition of the local Euler obstruction of a 1-form to define the local Euler obstruction of a germ of a complex variety which was the original definition of MacPherson in [93].

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(V, 0)$  of the germ  $(V, 0)$  is the local Euler obstruction of the radial 1-form  $d|r|^2$  on it, where  $r$  is the distance to the origin.

The word *local* will be usually omitted.

One has the following Proportionality Theorem due to Brasselet and Schwartz [23]. (For a proof see also [22, Section 8.1.1], [19].) Let  $V$  be a complex analytic variety with a Whitney stratification  $\{V_i\}$ .

**Theorem 20** (Proportionality Theorem for vector fields). *Let  $V_i$  be a stratum of the Whitney stratification and  $x \in V_i$ , let  $X_i$  be a vector field on  $V_i$  with an isolated singular point at  $x$ , and let  $X$  be a radial extension of  $X_i$ . Then one has*

$$\text{Eu}(X; V, x) = \text{Eu}(V, x) \cdot \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, x).$$

Note that  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(X; V, x) = \text{ind}(X_i; V_i, x)$ .

There is also a Proportionality Theorem for 1-forms due to Brasselet, Seade, and Suwa [21].

**Theorem 21** (Proportionality Theorem for 1-forms). *Let  $V_i$  be a stratum of the Whitney stratification and  $x \in V_i$ , let  $\omega_i$  be a 1-form on  $V_i$  with an isolated singular point at  $x$ , and let  $\omega$  be a radial extension of  $\omega_i$ . Then one has*

$$\text{Eu}(\omega; V, x) = \text{Eu}(V, x) \cdot \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, x).$$

It follows from Theorem 21 that, if  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  are strata of the Whitney stratification with  $V_i \subset \overline{V_j}$ , then the local Euler obstruction  $\text{Eu}(\overline{V_j}, p)$  at any point  $p \in V_i$  does not depend on  $p$ . It will be denoted by  $\text{Eu}(V_j, V_i)$ . It is equal to the local Euler obstruction  $\text{Eu}(N_{ij}, p)$  of a normal slice  $N_{ij}$  of the variety  $\overline{V_j}$  to the stratum  $V_i$  at the point  $p$  [17, Section 3]. If  $V_i \not\subset \overline{V_j}$ , we assume  $\text{Eu}(V_j, V_i)$  to be equal to zero.

In [18], the notion of the local Euler obstruction of a holomorphic function  $f$  with an isolated critical point on  $(V, 0)$  was introduced. It is defined as follows. Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function defined in  $U$  with a isolated singular point on  $V$  at the origin. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough such that the function  $f$  has no singular points on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  inside the ball  $B_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\text{grad } f$  be the gradient vector field of  $f$  as defined in 2.2. Since  $f$  has no singular points on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  inside the ball  $B_\varepsilon$ , the angle of  $\text{grad } f(x)$  and the tangent space  $T_x V_i$  to a point  $x \in V_i \setminus \{0\}$  is less than  $\pi/2$ . Denote by  $\zeta_i(x) \neq 0$  the projection of  $\text{grad } f(x)$  to the tangent

space  $T_x V_i$ . The vector field on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  which is equal to  $\zeta_i$  on  $V_i$  is, in general, not continuous. It is shown in [18] that the vector fields  $\zeta_i$  can be glued together to obtain a stratified vector field  $\text{grad}_V f$  on  $V$  such that  $\text{grad}_V f$  is homotopic to the restriction of  $\text{grad} f$  to  $V$  and satisfies  $\text{grad}_V f(x) \neq 0$  unless  $x = 0$ .

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(f; V, 0)$  of the function  $f$  is defined to be

$$\text{Eu}(f; V, 0) := \text{Eu}(\text{grad}_V f; V, 0).$$

The Euler obstruction of a function  $f$  is up to sign the Euler obstruction of the 1-form  $df$ . Namely, let  $\omega = df$  for the germ  $f$  of a holomorphic function on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ . Then  $\text{Eu}(df; V, 0)$  differs from the Euler obstruction  $\text{Eu}(f; V, 0)$  of the function  $f$  by the sign  $(-1)^n$ . E.g., for the function  $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  the obstruction  $\text{Eu}(f; \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is the index of the vector field  $\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i \partial / \partial z_i$  (which is equal to  $(-1)^n$ ), but the obstruction  $\text{Eu}(df; \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is the index of the (holomorphic) 1-form  $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i dz_i$  which is equal to 1.

Denote by  $M_f = M_{f, t_0}$  the Milnor fibre of  $f$ , i.e. the intersection  $V \cap B_\varepsilon(0) \cap f^{-1}(t_0)$  for a (regular) value  $t_0$  of  $f$  close to 0. In [18, Theorem 3.1] the following result is proved.

**Theorem 22** (Brasselet, Massey, Parameswaran, Seade). *Let  $f : (V, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  have an isolated singularity at  $0 \in V$ . Then*

$$\text{Eu}(V, 0) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(M_f \cap V_i) \cdot \text{Eu}(V, V_i) \right) + \text{Eu}(f; V, 0).$$

The Euler obstruction of a vector field or 1-form can be considered as an index. In particular, it satisfies the law of conservation of number (just as the radial index). Moreover, on a smooth variety the Euler obstruction and the radial index coincide. This implies the following statement (cf. Theorem 22). We set  $\bar{\chi}(Z) := \chi(Z) - 1$  and call it the *reduced* (modulo a point) Euler characteristic of the topological space  $Z$  (though, strictly speaking, this name is only correct for a non-empty space  $Z$ ).

**Proposition 23.** *Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  have an isolated singularity at the origin and let  $\ell : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a generic linear function. Then*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, 0) - \text{Eu}(\omega; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(d\ell; V, 0) = (-1)^{n-1} \bar{\chi}(M_\ell),$$

where  $M_\ell$  is the Milnor fibre of the linear function  $\ell$  on  $V$ . In particular

$$\text{Eu}(df; V, 0) = (-1)^n (\chi(M_\ell) - \chi(M_f)).$$

For a stratum  $V_i$  of the Whitney stratification  $\bigcup_{i=0}^q V_i$ ,  $V_0 = \{0\}$ , of  $V$ , let  $N_i$  be the normal slice in the variety  $V$  to the stratum  $V_i$  at a point of the stratum  $V_i$  and let

$$n_i = \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(d\ell; N_i, 0) = (-1)^{\dim N_i - 1} \overline{\chi}(M_{\ell|_{N_i}})$$

be the radial index of a generic (non-vanishing) 1-form  $d\ell$  on  $N_i$ . In [37, Theorem 4], the following theorem was proved.

**Theorem 24.** *One has*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, 0) = \sum_{i=0}^q n_i \cdot \text{Eu}(\omega; \overline{V}_i, 0).$$

The strata  $V_i$  of  $V$  are partially ordered:  $V_i \prec V_j$  (we shall write  $i \prec j$ ) iff  $V_i \subset \overline{V}_j$  and  $V_i \neq V_j$ ;  $i \preceq j$  iff  $i \prec j$  or  $i = j$ . In [37, Corollary 1], an “inverse” of the formula of Theorem 24 was written in the case when the variety  $V$  is irreducible and  $V = \overline{V}_q$ . Let  $n_{ij}$  ( $i \preceq j$ ) be the index of a generic 1-form  $d\ell$  on the normal slice  $N_{ij}$ :  $n_{ij} = (-1)^{\dim N_{ij} - 1} \overline{\chi}(M_{\ell|_{N_{ij}}})$  (in particular  $n_{ii} = 1$ ) and let  $m_{ij}$  be the (Möbius) inverse of the function  $n_{ij}$  on the partially ordered set of strata, i.e.

$$\sum_{i \preceq j \preceq k} n_{ij} m_{jk} = \delta_{ik}.$$

**Corollary 25.** *One has*

$$\text{Eu}_{V,0} \omega = \sum_{i=0}^q m_{iq} \cdot \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; \overline{V}_i, 0).$$

In [32], another proof of Corollary 25 is given and Theorem 24 and this corollary are applied to give an alternative proof of Theorem 22.

Let  $V$  be an affine variety. In [117], a *global Euler obstruction* was defined for the variety  $V$  as the obstruction to extend a radial vector field, defined outside of a sufficiently large compact subset, to a non-zero section of the Nash bundle.

In [96], the local Euler obstruction was investigated in terms of constructible sheaves and characteristic cycles.

**2.6. Algebraic, analytic, and topological formulas.** In Section 1.4, we discussed algebraic formulas for the index of an analytic vector field or an analytic 1-form on a smooth manifold. It is natural to try to look for analogues of such formulas for vector fields and 1-forms on singular varieties. The homological index opens the way for such formulas.

In [60, Theorem 1], Gómez-Mont proved an algebraic formula for the homological index of a vector field with an isolated singularity in the

ambient space on an isolated hypersurface singularity  $(V, 0)$ . O. Klehn [84] generalized this formula to the case that the vector field has an isolated singularity on the hypersurface singularity, but not necessarily in the ambient space. Graf von Bothmer, Gómez-Mont and the first author [13] gave formulas to compute the homological index in the case when  $(V, 0)$  is an isolated complete intersection singularity. L. Giraldo, Gómez-Mont, and P. Mardešić [59] studied the homological index of vector fields tangent to hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities.

Gómez-Mont and P. Mardešić derived algebraic formulas for the index of a real vector field with an algebraically isolated singular point at the origin tangent to a real analytic hypersurface with an algebraically isolated singularity at the origin as well [61, 62, 95]. The index is expressed as the signature of a certain non-degenerate quadratic form for an even-dimensional hypersurface and as the difference between the signatures of two such forms in the odd-dimensional case.

O. Klehn [85] proved that the GSV index of a holomorphic vector field  $X$  on an ICIS  $(V, 0)$  coincides with the dimension of a certain explicitly constructed vector space, if  $X$  is deformable in a certain sense and  $V$  is a curve. Moreover, he gave a signature formula for the real GSV index in the corresponding real analytic case generalizing the Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili formula.

Now let  $\omega$  be the restriction of a holomorphic 1-form  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(z) dz_i$  to an ICIS  $(V, 0)$  given by a mapping  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}) : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{N-n}, 0)$ . Assume that  $\omega$  has an isolated singular point at the origin (on  $(V, 0)$ ). Let  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}$  and the  $(N-n+1) \times (N-n+1)$ -minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_N} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{N-n}}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{N-n}}{\partial z_N} \\ A_1 & \cdots & A_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [34, 35], the authors proved the following formula.

**Theorem 26.** *One has*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\omega; V, 0) = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N, 0}/I.$$

It generalizes the Lê–Greuel formula [66, 87] for the differential of a function. (Note that there is a minor mistake in the proof of this theorem in [35] which is corrected in [38].) In [39], the authors constructed quadratic forms on the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N, 0}/I$  and on the space  $\Omega_{V, 0}^n/\omega \wedge \Omega_{V, 0}^{n-1}$  generalizing the Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili quadratic form defined for smooth  $V$ .

In [50, 51, 52] A.Esterov gave formulas for the index of a 1-form on an ICIS in terms of Newton diagrams of the components under certain genericity conditions.

T. Gaffney [55] described connections between the GSV index of  $\omega$  and the multiplicity of pairs of certain modules.

There are several generalizations of the residue formula of Section 1.4. P. F. Baum and R. Bott [11] considered residues of meromorphic vector fields on compact complex manifolds. An integral formula for the GSV index of a holomorphic vector field on an ICIS was given by D. Lehmann, M. Soares, and Suwa in [91]. For generalizations and related results see [90, 120, 121, 122, 124]. Klehn generalized the residue formula for the GSV index to holomorphic 1-forms on an isolated surface singularity [83]. T. Honda and Suwa [76] studied residue formulas for meromorphic functions on surfaces. The authors [36] considered indices of meromorphic 1-forms on complete intersections with isolated singularities.

In [70], a topological formula for the index of a gradient vector field  $\text{grad } g$  of an analytic function  $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  taking real values on  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is given. It expresses the index of the gradient vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in terms of signatures of certain quadratic forms on the middle homology groups of specific Milnor fibres of the germ  $g$ . This formula was conjectured in [6] and also proved in [130]. In [33], a generalization of such a formula for the radial index of a gradient vector field on an algebraically isolated real analytic ICIS in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  was obtained.

In [111, 115] formulas are given evaluating the GSV index of a singular point of a vector field on an isolated hypersurface or complete intersection singularity in terms of a resolution of the singularity.

**2.7. Determinantal singularities.** The GSV index is only defined for ICIS. In the case of ICIS, the indices introduced above are best understood. In this subsection, we consider the next more general class, namely the class of determinantal singularities. An approach studying indices of 1-forms on such singularities was started in [43]. We give the basic definitions and facts following this paper.

Let  $M_{m,n} \cong \mathbb{C}^{mn}$  be the space of  $m \times n$ -matrices with complex entries.

**Definition.** Let  $t$  be an integer with  $1 \leq t \leq \min(m, n)$ . The *generic determinantal variety of type  $(m, n, t)$*  is the subset

$$M_{m,n}^t := \{A \in M_{m,n} \mid \text{rk}(A) < t\}$$

consisting of matrices of rank less than  $t$ , i.e. of matrices of which all  $(t \times t)$ -minors vanish.

The variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  has codimension  $(m-t+1)(n-t+1)$  in  $M_{m,n}$ . It is singular. The singular locus of  $M_{m,n}^t$  coincides with  $M_{m,n}^{t-1}$ . The singular locus of the latter one coincides with  $M_{m,n}^{t-2}$ , etc. (see, e.g., [5]). The representation of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  as the union of  $M_{m,n}^i \setminus M_{m,n}^{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , is a Whitney stratification of  $M_{m,n}^t$ .

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  be an open domain and  $F : U \rightarrow M_{m,n}$  be a holomorphic map sending  $z$  to the matrix  $F(z) = (f_{ij}(z))$  whose entries  $f_{ij}(z)$  are complex analytic functions on  $U$ .

**Definition.** A *determinantal variety of type  $(m, n, t)$*  is the preimage  $V = F^{-1}(M_{m,n}^t)$  of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  subject to the condition that  $\text{codim } V = \text{codim } M_{m,n}^t = (m-t+1)(n-t+1)$ .

The image of a generic map  $F : U \rightarrow M_{m,n}$  may intersect the varieties  $M_{m,n}^i$  for  $i < t$ . Therefore, it may not be avoided that  $F^{-1}(M_{m,n}^t)$  has singularities. However, a generic map  $F$  intersects the strata  $M_{m,n}^i \setminus M_{m,n}^{i-1}$  of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  transversally. This means that, at the corresponding points, the determinantal variety has “standard” singularities whose analytic type only depends on  $i = \text{rk } F(z) + 1$ . This inspired the following definitions of [43, p. 114].

**Definition.** A point  $x \in X = F^{-1}(M_{m,n}^t)$  is called *essentially non-singular* if, at the point  $x$ , the map  $F$  is transversal to the corresponding stratum of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  (i.e., to  $M_{m,n}^i \setminus M_{m,n}^{i-1}$  where  $i = \text{rk } F(x) + 1$ ).

**Definition.** A germ  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  of a determinantal variety of type  $(m, n, t)$  has an *isolated essentially singular point* at the origin (or is an *essentially isolated determinantal singularity: EIDS*) if it has only essentially non-singular points in a punctured neighbourhood of the origin in  $V$ .

**Example 27.** An ICIS is an example of an EIDS: it is an EIDS of type  $(1, n, 1)$ .

An essentially isolated determinantal singularity  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  of type  $(m, n, t)$  (defined by a map  $F : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (M_{m,n}, 0)$ ) has an isolated singularity at the origin if and only if  $N \leq (m-t+2)(n-t+2)$ .

We shall consider deformations (in particular, smoothings) of an EIDS given by deformations of the matrix which defines the EIDS. Hence they are themselves determinantal ones.

Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be an EIDS defined by a map  $F : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (M_{m,n}, 0)$  ( $V = F^{-1}(M_{m,n}^t)$ ),  $F$  is transversal to  $M_{m,n}^i \setminus M_{m,n}^{i-1}$  at all points  $x$  from a punctured neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and for all  $i \leq t$ ).

**Definition.** An *essential smoothing*  $\tilde{V}$  of the EIDS  $(V, 0)$  is a subvariety of a neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  defined by a perturbation  $\tilde{F}: U \rightarrow M_{m,n}$  of the germ  $F$  transversal to all the strata  $M_{m,n}^i \setminus M_{m,n}^{i-1}$  with  $i \leq t$ .

A generic deformation  $\tilde{F}$  of the map  $F$  defines an essential smoothing of the EIDS  $(V, 0)$  (according to Thom's Transversality Theorem). An essential smoothing is in general not smooth (for  $N \geq (m-t+2)(n-t+2)$ ). Its singular locus is  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(M_{m,n}^{t-1})$ , the singular locus of the latter one is  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(M_{m,n}^{t-2})$ , etc. The representation of  $\tilde{V}$  as the union

$$\tilde{V} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} \tilde{F}^{-1}(M_{m,n}^i \setminus M_{m,n}^{i-1})$$

is a Whitney stratification of it. An essential smoothing of an EIDS  $(V, 0)$  of type  $(m, n, t)$  is a genuine smoothing if and only if  $N < (m-t+2)(n-t+2)$ .

There are three distinguished types of resolutions of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$ .

The first one is constructed by considering  $m \times n$ -matrices as linear maps  $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ . Let

$$Y_1 := \{(A, W) \in M_{m,n} \times G(n-t+1, n) \mid A(W) = 0\}.$$

The variety  $Y_1$  is smooth and connected. Its projection to the first factor defines a resolution  $\pi_1: Y_1 \rightarrow M_{m,n}^t$  of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$ .

Let us consider  $m \times n$ -matrices as linear maps  $\mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  and let

$$Y_2 := \{(A, W) \in M_{m,n} \times G(m-t+1, m) \mid A^T(W) = 0\}.$$

Then one gets a resolution  $\pi_2: Y_2 \rightarrow M_{m,n}^t$  of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$ .

The third natural modification is given by the Nash transform  $Y_3 := \widehat{M}_{m,n}^t$ . One can show that  $\pi_3: Y_3 \rightarrow M_{m,n}^t$  is in fact a resolution of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$ , see [43].

Let  $(V, 0) = F^{-1}(M_{m,n}^t) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be an EIDS and let  $\omega$  be a germ of a (complex) 1-form on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  whose restriction to  $(V, 0)$  has an isolated singular point (zero) at the origin. This means that the restrictions of the 1-form  $\omega$  to the strata  $V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$ ,  $V_i := F^{-1}(M_{m,n}^i)$ ,  $i \leq t$ , have no zeros in a punctured neighbourhood of the origin.

An essential smoothing  $\tilde{V} \subset U$  of the EIDS  $(V, 0)$  (in a neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ) is in general not smooth. To define an analogue of the PH-index one has to construct a substitute of the tangent bundle to  $\tilde{V}$ . It is possible to use one of the following two natural ways.

One possibility is to use a resolution of the variety  $\tilde{V}$  connected with one of the three resolutions of the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  described above. Let

$\pi_k : Y_k \rightarrow M_{m,n}^t$  be one of the described resolutions of the determinantal variety  $M_{m,n}^t$  and let  $\bar{V}_k = Y_k \times_{M_{m,n}^t} \tilde{V}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , be the fibre product of the spaces  $Y_k$  and  $\tilde{V}$  over the variety  $M_{m,n}^t$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \bar{V}_k & \\
 \Pi_k \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\
 \tilde{V} & & Y_k \\
 \tilde{F}|_{\tilde{V}} \searrow & & \swarrow \pi_k \\
 & M_{m,n}^t &
 \end{array}$$

The map  $\Pi_k : \bar{V}_k \rightarrow \tilde{V}$  is a resolution of the variety  $\tilde{V}$ . For  $k = 1, 2$  it is also called the *Tjurina transform* after [127], see [53]. The lifting  $\omega_k := (j \circ \Pi_k)^* \omega$  ( $j$  is the inclusion map  $\tilde{V} \hookrightarrow U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ ) of the 1-form  $\omega$  is a 1-form on a (non-singular) complex analytic manifold  $\bar{V}_k$  without zeros outside of the preimage of a small neighbourhood of the origin. In general, the 1-form  $\omega_k$  has non-isolated zeros.

**Definition.** The *Poincaré–Hopf index* (*PH-index*)  $\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}^k(\omega; V, 0)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , of the 1-form  $\omega$  on the EIDS  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  is the sum of the indices of the zeros of a generic perturbation  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  of the 1-form  $\omega_k$  on the manifold  $\bar{V}_k$  (in the preimage of a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ).

There is also the local Euler obstruction of the 1-form  $\omega$ . If one uses the Nash transform of the essential smoothing  $\tilde{V}$  of the EIDS  $(V, 0)$  instead of  $V$  itself, it is called Poincaré–Hopf–Nash index.

**Definition.** The *Poincaré–Hopf–Nash index* (*PHN-index*)  $\text{ind}_{\text{PHN}}(\omega; V, 0)$  of the 1-form  $\omega$  on the EIDS  $(V, 0)$  is the obstruction to extend the non-zero section  $\hat{\omega}$  of the dual Nash bundle  $\hat{T}^*$  from the preimage of the boundary  $S_\varepsilon = \partial B_\varepsilon$  of the ball  $B_\varepsilon$  to the preimage of its interior, i.e. to the manifold  $\bar{V}_3$ , more precisely, its value (as an element of  $H^{2d}(\Pi_3^{-1}(\tilde{V} \cap B_\varepsilon), \Pi_3^{-1}(\tilde{V} \cap S_\varepsilon))$ ) on the fundamental class of the pair  $(\Pi_3^{-1}(\tilde{V} \cap B_\varepsilon), \Pi_3^{-1}(\tilde{V} \cap S_\varepsilon))$ .

In [43, Proposition 2], a formula relating the index  $\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}^k(\omega; V, 0)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  with the radial indices  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V_i, 0)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, t$ , is given. There is the following version of Theorem 24 for the PHN-index on a determinantal variety [43, Proposition 4]. Let  $\ell : M_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a generic linear form and let, for  $i \leq j$ ,

$$n_{ij} := \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(d\ell; M_{m-i+1, n-i+1}^{j-i+1}, 0).$$

By [43, Proposition 3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(d\ell; M_{m-i+1, n-i+1}^{j-i+1}, 0) \\ = (-1)^{d_{ij}-1} \overline{\chi}(M_{m-i+1, n-i+1}^{j-i+1} \cap \ell^{-1}(1)) = (-1)^{(m+n)(j-i)} \binom{m-i}{m-j}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_{ij}$  is the dimension of  $M_{m-i+1, n-i+1}^{j-i+1}$  equal to  $(m-i+1)(n-i+1) - (m-j+1)(n-j+1)$ .

**Theorem 28.** *One has*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^t n_{it} \text{ind}_{\text{PHN}}(\omega; V_i, 0) + (-1)^{\dim V-1} \overline{\chi}(\tilde{V}, 0).$$

One can see that, for  $i \leq j \leq t$ , the integers  $m_{ij}$  from Corollary 25 are given by

$$m_{ij} = (-1)^{(m+n+1)(j-i)} \binom{m-i}{m-j}.$$

The analogue of Corollary 25, the inverse to Theorem 28, is the following statement, see [43, Proposition 5].

**Corollary 29.** *One has*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{PHN}}(\omega; V, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^t m_{it} \left( \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V_i, 0) + (-1)^{\dim V_i} \overline{\chi}(\tilde{V}_i, 0) \right).$$

T. Gaffney, N. G. Grulha, and M. A. S. Ruas [57] proved a generalization of Theorem 28 and its inverse Corollary 29 relating it with Gaffney's multiplicities of pairs of modules.

For isolated determinantal singularities, the relations between the PH-, the PHN- and the radial indices simplify. For isolated smoothable singularities (i.e. for  $N < (m-t+2)(n-t+2)$ ) all Poincaré–Hopf indices (including the Poincaré–Hopf–Nash index) coincide and they are equal to

$$\text{ind}_{\text{PH}}(\omega; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}(\omega; V, 0) + (-1)^{\dim V} \overline{\chi}(\tilde{V}, 0).$$

The paper [43] contains an algebraic formula for this index: Proposition 8 therein. To a regret, its proof is wrong.

N. C. Chachapoyas Siesquén [27] studies the Euler obstruction of an EIDS and gives some formulas to calculate it. In [99] (see also [100]), a formula for the Euler obstruction of a smoothable IDS is given. The papers [28] and [4] contain results on the Euler obstruction of a function on a determinantal variety.

In [103], codimension two determinantal varieties with isolated singularities are studied. The Milnor number is defined to be the middle

Betti number of a generic fibre of the unique smoothing of such a singularity. For surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , a Lê–Greuel formula for the Milnor number of the surface is proved. The Milnor number is also related to the Poincaré–Hopf index of the 1-form given by the differential of a generic linear projection defined on the surface. For other generalizations of the Lê–Greuel formula see [31, 26]. For other results on Milnor numbers of essentially isolated determinantal singularities see [54, 16].

### 3. INDICES OF COLLECTIONS OF VECTOR FIELDS AND 1-FORMS

**3.1. GSV index.** Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be an ICIS defined by a holomorphic map germ  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}) : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{N-n}, 0)$ . Let  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of vector fields on a neighbourhood of the origin in  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ ;  $\sum k_i = n$ ) which are tangent to the ICIS  $(V, 0) = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{N-n} = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  at non-singular points of  $V$ . We say that a point  $p \in V \setminus \{0\}$  is non-singular for the collection  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  on  $V$  if at least for some  $i$  the vectors  $X_1^{(i)}(p), \dots, X_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}(p)$  are linearly independent. Suppose that the collection  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  has no singular points on  $V$  outside of the origin in a neighbourhood of it. Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  where all the functions  $f_r$  ( $r = 1, \dots, N - n$ ) and the vector fields  $X_j^{(i)}$  are defined and such that the collection  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  has no singular points on  $(V \cap U) \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $S_\delta \subset U$  be a sufficiently small sphere around the origin which intersects  $V$  transversally and denote by  $K = V \cap S_\delta$  the link of the ICIS  $(V, 0)$ . The manifold  $K$  has a natural orientation as the boundary of a complex analytic manifold. Let  $\Psi_V$  be the mapping from  $V \cap U$  to  $M_{N, \mathbf{k}}$  (for the definition of  $M_{N, \mathbf{k}}$  see Section 1.3) which sends a point  $x \in V \cap U$  to the collection of  $N \times (N - k_i + 1)$ -matrices  $\{(\text{grad } f_1(x), \dots, \text{grad } f_{N-n}(x), X_1^{(i)}(x), \dots, X_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}(x))\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Here  $\text{grad } f_r$  is the gradient vector field of  $f_r$  defined in Section 2.2. Its restriction  $\psi_V$  to the link  $K$  maps  $K$  to the subset  $W_{N, \mathbf{k}}$ .

**Definition.** The *GSV index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{X_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$  of the collection of vector fields  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  on the ICIS  $(V, 0)$  is the degree of the mapping  $\psi_V : K \rightarrow W_{N, \mathbf{k}}$ , or, equivalently, the intersection number of the germ of the image of the map  $\Psi_V$  with the variety  $D_{N, \mathbf{k}}$ .

For  $s = 1$ ,  $k_1 = n$ , this index is the GSV index of a vector field on an ICIS defined in Section 2.2.

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete intersection with isolated singular points which is defined by homogeneous polynomials

$f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}$  in  $(N+1)$  variables. Let  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of continuous vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$  which are tangent to  $V$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be a smoothing of the complete intersection  $V$ , i.e.  $\tilde{V}$  is defined by  $N-n$  homogeneous polynomials  $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_{N-n}$  which are small perturbations of the functions  $f_i$  and  $\tilde{V}$  is smooth. As in Section 2.3, one can define approximations  $\{\tilde{X}_j^{(i)}\}$  of the vector fields  $\{X_j^{(i)}\}$  which are tangent to  $\tilde{V}$ . Then one has the following analogue of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 30.** *One has*

$$\sum_{p \in V} \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{X_j^{(i)}\}; V, p) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^s c_{k_i}(T\tilde{V}), [\tilde{V}] \right\rangle,$$

where  $\tilde{V}$  is a smoothing of the complete intersection  $V$ .

Now let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of (continuous) 1-forms on a neighbourhood of the origin in  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  with  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ ,  $\sum k_i = n$ . We say that a point  $p \in V \setminus \{0\}$  is non-singular for the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  on  $V$  if at least for some  $i$  the restrictions of the 1-forms  $\omega_j^{(i)}(p)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ , to the tangent space  $T_p V$  are linearly independent. Assume that the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  has no singular points on  $V$  in a punctured neighbourhood of the origin. As above, let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  where all the functions  $f_r$  ( $r = 1, \dots, N - n$ ) and the 1-forms  $\omega_j^{(i)}$  are defined and such that the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  has no singular points on  $(V \cap U) \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $S_\delta \subset U$  be a sufficiently small sphere around the origin. As above, let  $K = V \cap S_\delta$  be the link of the ICIS  $(V, 0)$ . Let  $\Psi_V$  be the mapping from  $V \cap U$  to  $M_{n, \mathbf{k}}$  which sends a point  $x \in V \cap U$  to the collection of  $N \times (N - k_i + 1)$ -matrices

$$\{(df_1(x), \dots, df_{N-n}(x), \omega_1^{(i)}(x), \dots, \omega_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}(x))\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Its restriction  $\psi_V$  to the link  $K$  maps  $K$  to the subset  $W_{N, \mathbf{k}}$ .

**Definition.** The *GSV index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$  of the collection of 1-forms  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  on the ICIS  $(V, 0)$  is the degree of the mapping  $\psi_V : K \rightarrow W_{N, \mathbf{k}}$ , or, equivalently, the intersection number of the germ of the image of the mapping  $\Psi_V$  with the variety  $D_{N, \mathbf{k}}$ .

For  $s = 1$ ,  $k_1 = n$ , this index is the GSV index of a 1-form on an ICIS defined in Section 2.2.

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete intersection with isolated singular points which is defined by homogeneous polynomials

$f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}$  in  $(N+1)$  variables. Let  $L$  be a complex line bundle on  $V$  and let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of continuous 1-forms on  $V$  with values in  $L$ . This means that the forms  $\omega_j^{(i)}$  are continuous sections of the vector bundle  $T^*V \otimes L$  outside of the singular points of  $V$ . Since, in a neighbourhood of each point  $p$ , the vector bundle  $L$  is trivial, one can define the index  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, p)$  of the collection of 1-forms  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  at the point  $p$  as above. Let  $\tilde{V}$  be a smoothing of the complete intersection  $V$ . By using, e.g., the pull back along a projection of  $\tilde{V}$  to  $V$ , one can consider  $L$  as a line bundle on  $\tilde{V}$  as well. The collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of 1-forms can also be extended to a neighbourhood of  $V$  in such a way that it will define a collection of 1-forms on the smoothing  $\tilde{V}$  (also denoted by  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$ ) with isolated singular points. The sum of the indices of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  on the smoothing  $\tilde{V}$  of  $V$  in a neighbourhood of the point  $p$  is equal to the index  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, p)$ .

One has the following analogue of Theorem 30 for 1-forms.

**Theorem 31.** *One has*

$$\sum_{p \in V} \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, p) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^s c_{k_i}(T^*\tilde{V} \otimes L), [\tilde{V}] \right\rangle,$$

where  $\tilde{V}$  is a smoothing of the complete intersection  $V$ .

Now let  $(V, 0)$  be an ICIS defined by a holomorphic map germ  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}) : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{N-n}, 0)$  as above. Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ ) be a collection of 1-forms on a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  without singular points on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  in a neighbourhood of the origin. We now assume that all the 1-forms  $\omega_j^{(i)}$  are complex analytic.

Let  $I_{V, \{\omega_j^{(i)}\}}$  be the ideal in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N, 0}$  generated by the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_{N-n}$  and by the  $(N - k_i + 1) \times (N - k_i + 1)$  minors of all the matrices

$$(df_1(x), \dots, df_{N-n}(x), \omega_1^{(i)}(x), \dots, \omega_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}(x))$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then we have the following algebraic formula similar to that of Theorem 26 (see [38]).

**Theorem 32.**

$$\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N, 0} / I_{V, \{\omega_j^{(i)}\}}.$$

**Remark 33.** In the case of collections of vector fields, the map  $\Psi_V$  is not complex analytic, whereas it is complex analytic in the case of

collections of 1-forms. This is the reason that a formula similar to that of Theorem 32 does not exist for collections of vector fields. Moreover, in some cases this index can be negative (see e.g. [63, Proposition 2.2]).

**3.2. Chern obstruction.** We now consider a generalization of the notion of the Euler obstruction to collections of 1-forms corresponding to different Chern numbers.

Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a purely  $n$ -dimensional reduced complex analytic variety at the origin. It can have a non-isolated singularity at the origin. Let  $\mathbf{k} = \{k_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , be a fixed partition of  $n$  (i.e.,  $k_i$  are positive integers,  $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = n$ ). Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ ) be a collection of germs of 1-forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  (not necessarily complex analytic; it is sufficient that they are continuous). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough so that there is a representative  $V$  of the germ  $(V, 0)$  and representatives  $\omega_j^{(i)}$  of the germs of 1-forms inside the ball  $B_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ .

**Definition.** A point  $p \in V$  is called a *special* point of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of 1-forms on the variety  $V$  if there exists a sequence  $\{p_m\}$  of points from the non-singular part  $V_{\text{reg}}$  of the variety  $V$  such that the sequence  $T_{p_m} V_{\text{reg}}$  of the tangent spaces at the points  $p_m$  has a limit  $L$  (in  $G(n, N)$ ) as  $m$  tends to infinity and the restrictions of the 1-forms  $\omega_1^{(i)}, \dots, \omega_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}$  to the subspace  $L \subset T_p \mathbb{C}^N$  are linearly dependent for each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . The collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of 1-forms has an *isolated special point* on  $(V, 0)$  if it has no special points on  $V$  in a punctured neighbourhood of the origin.

For the case  $s = 1$  (and therefore  $k_1 = n$ ), i.e. for one 1-form  $\omega$ , we discussed the notion of a *singular* point of the 1-form  $\omega$  on  $V$  in Section 2.1. One can easily see that a special point of the 1-form  $\omega$  on  $V$  is singular, but not vice versa. (E.g. the origin is a singular point of the 1-form  $dx$  on the cone  $\{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0\}$ , but not a special one.) On a smooth variety these two notions coincide.

Let

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{i=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^{n-k_i+1} (\mathbb{C}_{ij}^N)^*$$

be the space of collections of linear functions on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  (i.e. of 1-forms with constant coefficients). Then one can show [41, Proposition 1.1] that there exists an open and dense set  $U \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{k}}$  such that each collection  $\{\ell_j^{(i)}\} \in U$  has only isolated special points on  $V$  and, moreover, all these

points belong to the smooth part  $V_{\text{reg}}$  of the variety  $V$  and are non-degenerate (see Section 1.3 for the notion of a non-degenerate singular point). This implies the following proposition (see [41, Corollary 1.1]).

**Proposition 34.** *Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of 1-forms on  $V$  with an isolated special point at the origin. Then there exists a deformation  $\{\tilde{\omega}_j^{(i)}\}$  of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  whose special points lie in  $V_{\text{reg}}$  and are non-degenerate. Moreover, as such a deformation one can use  $\{\omega_j^{(i)} + \lambda \ell_j^{(i)}\}$  with a generic collection  $\{\ell_j^{(i)}\} \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{k}}$ .*

Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of germs of 1-forms on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated special point at the origin. Let  $\nu : \widehat{V} \rightarrow V$  be the Nash transformation of the variety  $V \subset B_\varepsilon$  (see Section 2.5). The collection of 1-forms  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  gives rise to a section  $\widehat{\omega}$  of the bundle

$$\widehat{\mathbb{T}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-k_i+1} \widehat{T}_{i,j}^*$$

where  $\widehat{T}_{i,j}^*$  are copies of the dual Nash bundle  $\widehat{T}^*$  over the Nash transform  $\widehat{V}$  numbered by indices  $i$  and  $j$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathbb{D}} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$  be the set of pairs  $(x, \{\alpha_j^{(i)}\})$  where  $x \in \widehat{V}$  and the collection  $\{\alpha_j^{(i)}\}$  of elements of  $\widehat{T}_x^*$  (i.e. of linear functions on  $\widehat{T}_x$ ) is such that  $\alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}$  are linearly dependent for each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . The image of the section  $\widehat{\omega}$  does not intersect  $\widehat{\mathbb{D}}$  outside of the preimage  $\nu^{-1}(0) \subset \widehat{V}$  of the origin. The map  $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \widehat{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \widehat{V}$  is a fibre bundle. The fibre  $W_x = \widehat{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \widehat{\mathbb{D}}$  of it is  $(2n-2)$ -connected, its homology group  $H_{2n-1}(W_x; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and has a natural generator (see above). The latter fact implies that the fibre bundle  $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \widehat{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \widehat{V}$  is homotopically simple in dimension  $2n-1$ , i.e. the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\widehat{V})$  of the base acts trivially on the homotopy group  $\pi_{2n-1}(W_x)$  of the fibre, the last one being isomorphic to the homology group  $H_{2n-1}(W_x)$ : see, e.g., [119]. The following definition was made in [41] (see also [42]).

**Definition.** The *local Chern obstruction*  $\text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$  of the collections of germs of 1-forms  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  on  $(V, 0)$  at the origin is the (primary) obstruction to extend the section  $\widehat{\omega}$  of the fibre bundle  $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \widehat{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \widehat{V}$  from the preimage of a neighbourhood of the sphere  $S_\varepsilon = \partial B_\varepsilon$  to  $\widehat{V}$ , more precisely its value (as an element of the homology group  $H^{2n}(\nu^{-1}(V \cap B_\varepsilon), \nu^{-1}(V \cap S_\varepsilon); \mathbb{Z})$ ) on the fundamental class of the pair  $(\nu^{-1}(V \cap B_\varepsilon), \nu^{-1}(V \cap S_\varepsilon))$ .

The local Chern obstruction  $\text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$  can also be described as an intersection number, see [41]. Namely, let  $\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathbf{k}} \subset \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{k}}$  be the closure of the set of pairs  $(x, \{\ell_j^{(i)}\})$  such that  $x \in V_{\text{reg}}$  and the restrictions of the linear functions  $\ell_1^{(i)}, \dots, \ell_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}$  to  $T_x V_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  are linearly dependent for each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . (For  $s = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{k} = \{n\}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathbf{k}}$  is the (non-projectivized) conormal space of  $V$  [126].) The collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of germs of 1-forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  defines a section  $\tilde{\omega}$  of the trivial fibre bundle  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = (\tilde{\omega}(\mathbb{C}^N) \circ \mathcal{D}_V^{\mathbf{k}})_0,$$

where  $(\cdot \circ \cdot)_0$  is the intersection number at the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{k}}$ . This description can be considered as a generalization of an expression of the local Euler obstruction as a micro-local intersection number defined in [79], see also [104, Sections 5.0.3 and 5.2.1] and [105].

**Remark 35.** The local Euler obstruction is defined for vector fields on singular varieties as well as for 1-forms. One can see that collections of vector fields are not well adapted to a definition of the local Chern obstructions, at least on varieties with non-isolated singularities. The reason is as follows. Vector fields on a singular variety  $V$  are required to be tangent to the smooth strata of  $V$  (of a Whitney stratification). For example, on a one-dimensional stratum, all vector fields are proportional to each other and therefore a collection cannot have an isolated special point in a natural sense. A natural definition of the Chern obstruction of a collection of vector fields makes sense for varieties with isolated singularities. (Besides that, on a singular variety (with non-isolated singularities) continuous vector fields with isolated singular points exist, whereas this may not hold for holomorphic ones, see Remark 17.)

Being a (primary) obstruction, the local Chern obstruction satisfies the law of conservation of number, i.e. if a collection of 1-forms  $\{\tilde{\omega}_j^{(i)}\}$  is a deformation of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  and has isolated special points on  $V$ , then

$$\text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = \sum \text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, p)$$

where the sum on the right hand side is over all special points  $p$  of the collection  $\{\tilde{\omega}_j^{(i)}\}$  on  $V$  in a neighbourhood of the origin. With Proposition 34 this implies the following statements. The first statement is an analogue of [118, Proposition 2.3].

**Proposition 36.** *The local Chern obstruction  $\text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$  of a collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of germs of holomorphic 1-forms is equal to the number of special points on  $V$  of a generic (holomorphic) deformation of the collection (lying on  $V_{\text{reg}}$ ).*

**Proposition 37.** *Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of 1-forms on a compact (say, projective) variety  $V$  with only isolated special points. Then the sum of the local Chern obstructions of the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  at these points does not depend on the collection and therefore is an invariant of the variety.*

It is reasonable to consider this sum as  $((-1)^n$  times) the corresponding Chern characteristic number of the singular variety  $V$ . It is well known that the characteristic numbers of a compact complex manifold cannot have arbitrary values, they satisfy certain divisibility properties. A. Buryak [25] showed that, contrary to this fact, any set of integers can be the set of Chern characteristic numbers of a singular projective variety.

Let  $(V, 0)$  be an ICIS. The fact that both the Chern obstruction and the GSV index of a collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  of 1-forms satisfy the law of conservation of number and they coincide on a smooth manifold yields the following statement.

**Proposition 38.** *For a collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  on an ICIS  $(V, 0)$ , the difference*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) - \text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$$

*does not depend on the collection and therefore is an invariant of the ICIS.*

In the framework of the definition of Schwartz Chern classes of singular varieties [106, 23], one has to consider  $k$ -fields on  $n$ -dimensional varieties. Let us give the definition of the Euler obstruction of a  $k$ -field following [22].

Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a pure  $n$ -dimensional complex analytic variety. Let  $\mathbb{C}^N = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  be a Whitney stratification of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  compatible with  $V$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{C}^N \setminus V$  is a stratum. Let  $(K)$  be triangulation of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  subordinated to the stratification  $\mathbb{C}^N = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  and  $(D)$  be a cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  dual to  $(K)$ .

Let  $\{X_j\} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$  be a  $k$ -field, i.e. a collection of stratified vector fields, i.e. at each point  $p \in V$  each vector field  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , is tangent to the stratum containing  $p$ . Assume that  $\{X_j\}$  has only isolated singular points in  $V$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a  $2(N - k + 1)$ -cell of  $(D)$ . Note that  $\sigma$  is transverse to all the strata  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ . We assume that

$\{X_j\}$  has an isolated singularity at the barycentre  $b_\sigma$  of  $\sigma$  and is a  $k$ -frame in  $(\sigma \setminus \{b_\sigma\}) \cap V$ , in particular it does not have any singularities on  $\partial\sigma \cap V$ .

Let  $\nu : \widehat{V} \rightarrow V$  be the Nash transform of  $V$  and  $\widehat{T}$  be the Nash bundle, (cf. Section 2.5). Each vector field  $X_j$  lifts to a section  $\widehat{X}_j$  of the bundle  $\widehat{T}$  over  $\nu^{-1}(\partial\sigma \cap V)$ , see Section 2.5. The  $k$ -frame  $\{X_j\}$  lifts to  $k$  linearly independent sections  $\{\widehat{X}_j\}$  of  $\widehat{T}$  over  $\nu^{-1}(\partial\sigma \cap V)$ .

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(\{X_j\}; V, \sigma)$  of the  $k$ -field  $\{X_j\}$  at  $b_\sigma$  is the obstruction to extend  $\{\widehat{X}_j\}$  to a collection of  $k$  linearly independent sections of  $\widehat{T}$  over  $\nu^{-1}(\sigma \cap V)$ , more precisely its value (as an element of  $H^{2(N-k+1)}(\nu^{-1}(\sigma \cap V), \nu^{-1}(\partial\sigma \cap V))$ ) on the fundamental class of the pair  $(\nu^{-1}(\sigma \cap V), \nu^{-1}(\partial\sigma \cap V))$ .

Let  $\{\omega_j\}$  be a collection of 1-forms on  $V$  with an isolated singularity at the barycentre  $b_\sigma$  of  $\sigma$ .

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(\{\omega_j\}; V, \sigma)$  of the collection  $\{\omega_j\}$  at  $b_\sigma$  is defined in a similar way, but now taking sections of the dual Nash bundle  $\widehat{T}^*$ .

There is also the definition of an Euler obstruction of a map due to N. Grulha [69].

Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k) : (V, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$  be the germ of an analytic map. Let  $\text{grad}_V f_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , be the vector fields constructed in Section 2.5. The construction can be done in such a way that for  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  the vector fields  $(\text{grad}_V f_1(x), \dots, \text{grad}_V f_k(x))$  are linearly independent, see [69].

Let  $\Sigma f$  be the singular set of  $f$ . Let us assume that there exists a cell decomposition (D) of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and a  $2(N - k + 1)$ -cell of (D) with barycentre 0 such that  $\Sigma f \cap \partial\sigma = \emptyset$ .

**Definition.** The *local Euler obstruction*  $\text{Eu}(f; V, \sigma)$  of the map  $f$  relative to  $\sigma$  is defined to be

$$\text{Eu}(f; V, \sigma) := \text{Eu}(\{\text{grad}_V f_j\}; V, \sigma).$$

It is shown in [15, Corollary 5] that the definition of  $\text{Eu}(f; V, \sigma)$  does not depend on a generic choice of the cell  $\sigma$ .

Instead of the collection of vector fields  $\{\text{grad}_V f_j\}$ , we can consider the collection  $\{df_j\}$  of 1-forms associated to  $f$ . This leads to the following definition (see [15]).

**Definition.**

$$\text{Eu}^*(f; V, \sigma) := \text{Eu}(\{df_j\}; V, \sigma).$$

Relations between the Euler obstructions of  $k$ -fields and Chern obstructions were described in [15].

Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ ,  $\{V_i\}$ ,  $(K)$ , and  $(D)$  be as above. Assume that 0 is the barycentre of a  $2k$ -simplex of the triangulation  $(K)$  and let  $\sigma$  be the dual  $2(N - k)$ -cell. Since  $(K)$  is subordinated to the stratification, the simplex  $\tau$  is contained in a stratum and the cell  $\sigma$  is tranverse to the strata. A neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  is homeomorphic to  $\sigma \times \tau$  and one has

$$(\sigma \times \tau) \cap V = (\sigma \cap V) \times \tau \cong V \cap B_\varepsilon$$

for a ball  $B_\varepsilon$  around the origin of sufficiently small radius  $\varepsilon$ . Denote by  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  the projections  $\pi_1 : \sigma \times \tau \rightarrow \sigma$  and  $\pi_2 : \sigma \times \tau \rightarrow \tau$ . Note that  $\tau$  is a smooth manifold, so the index  $\text{ind}(\{\omega_j\}; \tau, 0)$  of a collection of 1-forms  $\{\omega_j\}$  according to Section 1.3 is defined. The following theorem is proved in [15, Theorem 2.2].

**Theorem 39** (Brasselet, Grulha, Ruas). *In the above setting, let  $\{\omega_j^{(1)}\}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ , be a collection of germs of 1-forms on  $\sigma$  and  $\{\omega_j^{(2)}\}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d-k+1$ , be a collection of germs of 1-forms on  $\tau$ . The collection of germs of 1-forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  given by  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\} = \{\pi_1^*(\omega_{j_1}^{(1)}), \pi_2^*(\omega_{j_2}^{(1)})\}$  satisfies*

$$\text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = \text{Eu}(\{\omega_j^{(1)}\}; V, \sigma) \cdot \text{ind}(\{\omega_j^{(2)}\}; \tau, 0).$$

The following corollary is derived from this theorem, see [15, Corollary 2.3, Corollary 2.6].

**Corollary 40** (Brasselet, Grulha, Ruas). *Let  $(V, 0)$  be as above and let  $f : (V, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$  be a map germ. Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\} = \{\omega_{j_1}^{(1)}, \omega_{j_2}^{(i)}\}$  be the collection of 1-forms defined by  $\{\omega_{j_1}^{(1)}\} = \{df_1, \dots, df_k\}$  and  $\{\omega_{j_2}^{(2)}\} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-k+2}\}$  where  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-k+1}$  are linearly independent linear forms dual to the tangent field of  $\sigma$  and  $\ell_{n-k+2}$  is a radial linear form. Then one has*

$$\text{Eu}^*(f; V, \sigma) = \text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = (\tilde{\omega}(\mathbb{C}^N) \circ \mathcal{D}_V^k)_0$$

(cf. Equation 3.1).

It follows from this corollary that  $\text{Eu}^*(f; V, \sigma)$  is independent of a generic choice of  $\sigma$ . Moreover, the following identity [15, Theorem 2.4] is proved using this corollary:

$$\text{Eu}(f; V, \sigma) = (-1)^{n-k+1} \text{Eu}^*(f; V, \sigma).$$

Therefore the Euler obstruction  $\text{Eu}(f; V, \sigma)$  is also independent of a generic choice of  $\sigma$  [15, Corollary 2.5].

In [15], also some formulas to compute the Chern obstruction are given. In particular, it is shown that the Chern obstruction is related with the polar multiplicity. Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a pure  $n$ -dimensional complex analytic variety and  $f : (V, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  be a generic projection. The  $(n - k + 1)$ -polar variety  $P_{n-k+1}(V)$  is the closure of the singular set  $\overline{\Sigma f}$  of  $f$ . Its multiplicity at 0 is denoted by  $m_{n-k+1}(V, 0)$ . The following theorem is proved in [15, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 41** (Brasselet, Grulha, Ruas). *Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a pure  $n$ -dimensional complex analytic variety and  $f : (V, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  be a generic projection. Let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of germs of 1-forms on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  such that  $\{\omega_j^{(1)}\} = \{df_1, \dots, df_k\}$  and  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, s$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ , are generic subcollections, where  $k_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, s$ , are non-negative integers with  $\sum_{i=2}^s k_i = k - 1$ . Then one has*

$$\text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = m_{n-k+1}(V, 0).$$

In [56, Theorem 6.1], a formula for the Chern obstruction of a collection of 1-forms on an equidimensional analytic variety is given in terms of the multiplicity of pairs of modules.

**3.3. Homological index.** Here we consider the definition of the homological index for a collection of 1-forms due to E. Gorsky and the second author [64].

Let  $(V, 0)$  be the germ of a complex algebraic variety of dimension  $n$  with an isolated singular point at the origin. Let  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , be positive integers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = n$  and let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - k_i + 1$ , be a collection of germs of holomorphic 1-forms on  $(V, 0)$ .

Let  $W_i = \mathbb{C}^{n-k_i+1}$  be an auxiliary vector space with a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-k_i+1}$ . We consider the complex  $\mathcal{C}^{(i)} = \mathcal{C}(\omega_1^{(i)}, \dots, \omega_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)})$  of sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ -modules defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_0^{(i)} := \Omega_{V,0}^n, \quad \mathcal{C}_t^{(i)} := \Omega^{k_i-t} \otimes S^{t-1}W_i, \quad 1 \leq t \leq k_i.$$

The differential  $d_t : \mathcal{C}_t^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{t-1}^{(i)}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\beta) &:= \beta \wedge \omega_1^{(i)} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{n-k_i+1}^{(i)}, \\ d_t(\beta \otimes \varphi(u)) &:= \sum_{l=1}^{n-k_i+1} \left( \beta \wedge \omega_l^{(i)} \right) \otimes \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_l}, \quad 2 \leq t \leq k_i. \end{aligned}$$

The complex  $(\mathcal{C}^{(i)}, d)$  is indeed a chain complex [64, Lemma 11]. It is shown in [64, Lemma 14] that the cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{C}^{(i)}$  are

supported on the locus of the points where the forms  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  are linearly dependent. Define

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^s \mathcal{C}^{(i)},$$

where the tensor product is taken over  $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ .

**Definition.** The *homological index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$  of the collection of 1-forms  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  is the Euler characteristic of the complex  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) := \sum_{t=0}^n (-1)^t \dim H^t(\mathcal{C}).$$

The homological index for a collection of 1-forms with an isolated singular point satisfies the law of conservation of number [64, Proposition 18]. The following theorem is [64, Theorem 19].

**Theorem 42** (Gorsky, Gusein-Zade). *Let  $(V, 0)$  be an ICIS and let  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  be a collection of holomorphic 1-forms on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singular point. Then*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0).$$

Since both the homological index and the Chern obstruction satisfy the law of conservation of number and coincide on a smooth manifold, one has the following statement (see [64, Proposition 40]).

**Proposition 43.** *Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be the germ of a complex analytic variety of pure dimension  $n$  with an isolated singularity at the origin. The difference*

$$\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0) - \text{Ch}(\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}; V, 0)$$

*between the homological index and the Chern obstruction does not depend on the collection  $\{\omega_j^{(i)}\}$  and is an invariant of the singularity  $(V, 0)$ .*

## 4. EQUIVARIANT INDICES

**4.1. Equivariant Euler characteristics.** The notions of indices of vector fields and of 1-forms (on smooth manifolds and on singular varieties) are related with the Euler characteristic (through the Poincaré–Hopf theorem). Therefore it is natural to discuss equivariant versions of the Euler characteristic first.

In what follows we shall consider the additive Euler characteristic defined (for topological spaces nice enough, say, for those homeomorphic

to locally compact unions of cells in finite CW-complexes) as the alternating sum of the dimensions of the cohomology groups with compact support:

$$(4.1) \quad \chi(V) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \dim H_c^q(V; \mathbb{C}).$$

This Euler characteristic coincides with the “traditional one” (defined as the alternating sum of the dimensions of the usual cohomology groups) for compact spaces (finite CW-complexes) and for complex quasi-projective varieties. The additivity of the Euler characteristic permits to use it as a sort of a (non-positive) measure for the definition of the integral with respect to the Euler characteristic: [132].

There are several generalizations of the notion of the Euler characteristic to the equivariant setting, i.e. for spaces with actions of a group (say, a finite one). The most simple (and the most straightforward) one is obtained by substituting the dimensions of the cohomology groups in (4.1) by the classes of the corresponding  $G$ -modules  $H_c^q(V; \mathbb{C})$  (spaces of representations of  $G$ ) in the ring  $R(G)$  of representations of the group  $G$ . This analogue of the Euler characteristic is defined as an element of the ring  $R(G)$ . It was introduced in [131] and was used, e.g., in [133].

A finer equivariant version of the Euler characteristic of a  $G$ -space can be defined as an element of the Burnside ring  $A(G)$  of the group  $G$ , i.e. the Grothendieck ring of finite  $G$ -sets. The latter is the abelian group generated by the classes  $[(Z, G)]$  of finite  $G$ -sets modulo the following relations:

- if  $(Z_1, G)$  and  $(Z_2, G)$  are isomorphic, i.e., if there exists a bijective  $G$ -equivariant map  $Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ , then  $[(Z_1, G)] = [(Z_2, G)]$ ;
- $[(Z_1 \sqcup Z_2, G)] = [(Z_1, G)] + [(Z_2, G)]$ .

The multiplication in  $A(G)$  is defined by the Cartesian product of sets with the natural (diagonal)  $G$ -action. The Burnside ring  $A(G)$  is the free abelian group generated by the classes of irreducible  $G$ -sets which are in bijection with the classes of conjugate subgroups of the group  $G$ : the conjugacy class  $[H]$  of a subgroup  $H \subset G$  corresponds to the class of the  $G$ -set  $G/H$ . One has a natural ring homomorphism  $A(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ , sending a  $G$ -set  $Z$  to the space of functions on  $Z$  with the natural (left) action of the group  $G$ :  $(g^*f)(y) = f(g^{-1}y)$ . This homomorphism is, in general, neither a monomorphism nor an epimorphism. In what follows we shall mostly use the equivariant version of the Euler characteristic with values in  $A(G)$  and therefore we shall refer to it as the *equivariant Euler characteristic*.

Let  $V$  be a sufficiently nice space with an action of the group  $G$ . For a point  $x$  of the space  $V$  let  $G_x$  be the isotropy subgroup  $\{g \in G : gx = x\}$  of the point  $x$ . For a subgroup  $H$  of the group  $G$  let  $V^H$  be the fixed point set of the group  $H$ :  $\{x \in V : G_x \supset H\}$ , and let  $V^{(H)}$  be the set  $\{x \in V : G_x = H\}$  of points with the isotropy subgroup coinciding with  $H$ . Let  $\text{Conjsub } G$  be the set of the conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $G$ . For a conjugacy class  $[H]$  of subgroups of  $G$  (which contains the subgroup  $H$ ), let  $V^{[H]}$  be the set of points such that each of them is fixed with respect to a subgroup conjugate to  $H$  and let  $V^{([H])}$  be the set of points  $x \in V$  whose isotropy subgroups  $G_x$  are conjugate to  $H$ .

**Definition.** The *equivariant Euler characteristic* of the  $G$ -space  $V$  is defined by

$$(4.2) \quad \chi^G(V) = \sum_{[H] \in \text{Conjsub } G} \chi(V^{([H])}/G) \cdot [G/H] \in A(G).$$

This notion was introduced in [128]. The equivariant Euler characteristic satisfies the additivity property: if  $W$  is a closed  $G$ -invariant subspace of a  $G$ -space  $V$ , then

$$\chi^G(V) = \chi^G(W) + \chi^G(V \setminus W).$$

One can show that it is a universal invariant possessing this property (on the class of spaces homeomorphic to locally closed unions of cells in finite CW-complexes with cell actions of the group  $G$ ). The equivariant analogue of the Euler characteristic with values in the ring  $R(G)$  of representations of  $G$  is obtained from the equivariant Euler characteristic by the natural homomorphism  $A(G) \rightarrow R(G)$  described above. Among other reductions of the equivariant Euler characteristic, one can indicate the orbifold Euler characteristic (see, e.g., [10], [75]) and its higher order analogues ([10], [125]).

**4.2. Equivariant indices of vector fields and 1-forms on manifolds.** Let a finite group  $G$  act smoothly on (the germ of) the affine space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ . Without loss of generality one can assume that the action is linear, i.e. it is defined by a representation of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $X$  be a (continuous) vector field on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  invariant with respect to the action of  $G$  and with an isolated singular point at the origin. (One can see that, for each point  $p$  from a neighbourhood of the origin (where  $X$  is defined), the vector  $X(p)$  is tangent to the subspace  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{G_p}$  of the fixed points of the isotropy subgroup  $G_p$  of the point  $p$ .)

We assume  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be endowed with a  $G$ -invariant Euclidean metric. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough so that the vector field  $X$  is defined on a neighbourhood of the closed ball  $B_\varepsilon$  of radius  $\varepsilon$  centred at the origin

and has no singular points in  $B_\varepsilon$  outside the origin. It is easy to see that there exists a  $G$ -invariant vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on a neighbourhood of  $B_\varepsilon$  such that:

- (1) The vector field  $\tilde{X}$  coincides with  $X$  on a neighbourhood of the sphere  $S_\varepsilon = \partial B_\varepsilon$ .
- (2) In a neighbourhood of each singular point  $p \in B_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ , the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  is as follows. Let  $H = G_p$  be the isotropy subgroup of the point  $p$ . The germ  $(\mathbb{R}^n, p)$  is in a natural way isomorphic to  $((\mathbb{R}^n)^H, p) \times (((\mathbb{R}^n)^H)^\perp, 0)$ , where  $((\mathbb{R}^n)^H)^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement to the subspace  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^H$ : the direct sum of the subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  corresponding to non-trivial representations of  $H$ . In a neighbourhood of  $p$  the vector  $\tilde{X}(y_1, y_2)$  ( $y_1 \in ((\mathbb{R}^n)^H, x_0)$ ,  $y_2 \in ((\mathbb{R}^n)^H)^\perp, 0$ ) is the sum  $X_1(y_1) + X_2(y_2)$ , where  $X_1$  is a vector field on  $((\mathbb{R}^n)^H, p)$  with an isolated singular point at  $p$ ,  $X_2$  is an  $H$ -invariant radial vector field on  $((\mathbb{R}^n)^H)^\perp, 0$ . (Let us recall that, on a zero-dimensional space the only vector field (zero) is non-degenerate with the index 1 and also radial.)

**Remark 44.** One can assume that the vector field  $X_1$  is smooth and has a non-degenerate singular point at  $x_0$  (and therefore  $\text{ind}(X_1; (\mathbb{R}^n)^H, x_0) = \pm 1$ ), however, this is not necessary for the definition.

**Definition.** The *equivariant index*  $\text{ind}^G(X; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  of the vector field  $X$  at the origin is defined by the equation

$$\text{ind}^G(X; \mathbb{R}^n, 0) = \sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } \tilde{X})/G} \text{ind}(\tilde{X}|_{V(p)}; V(p), p)[Gp],$$

where  $p$  is a representative of the orbit  $\bar{p}$ . (One has  $[Gp] = [G/G_p]$ .)

**Remark 45.** One can say that the equivariant index  $\text{ind}^G(X; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  is the class  $[\text{Sing } \tilde{X}] \in B(G)$  of the set  $\text{Sing } \tilde{X}$  of singular points of  $\tilde{X}$  with multiplicities equal to the usual indices  $\text{ind}(\tilde{X}|_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{G_p}}; (\mathbb{R}^n)^{G_p}, p)$  of the restrictions of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  to the corresponding fixed point sets (smooth manifolds).

One has the following equivariant version of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem.

For a subgroup  $H \subset G$  there are natural maps  $R_H^G : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$  and  $I_H^G : B(H) \rightarrow B(G)$ . The *restriction map*  $R_H^G$  sends a  $G$ -set  $Z$  to the same set considered with the  $H$ -action. The *induction map*  $I_H^G$  sends an  $H$ -set  $Z$  to the product  $G \times Z$  factorized by the natural equivalence:  $(g_1, x_1) \sim (g_2, x_2)$  if there exists  $g \in H$  such that  $g_2 = g_1 g$ ,  $x_2 = g^{-1} x_1$

with the natural (left)  $G$ -action. Both maps are group homomorphisms, however the induction map  $I_H^G$  is not a ring homomorphism.

**Theorem 46.** *Let  $M$  be a closed (compact, without boundary)  $G$ -manifold and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant vector field on  $M$  with isolated singular points. Then one has*

$$\sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } X)/G} I_{G_p}^G(\text{ind}^{G_p}(X; M, p)) = \chi^G(M).$$

A version of this definition of an equivariant index was given first in [92]. However, the index there takes values in an extension of the Burnside ring which depends on the  $G$ -manifold  $M$  and is not a ring. (It takes into account connected components of the fixed point sets of subgroups of  $G$ .)

Almost the same definition can be given for the equivariant index  $\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  of a  $G$ -invariant 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ . A  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric on a  $G$ -manifold permits to identify invariant 1-forms with invariant vector fields. In this way, the equivariant index of a 1-form is the equivariant index of the corresponding vector field.

In the complex setting one has the usual sign correction factor for a complex-valued 1-form on a complex manifold (see Section 1.2).

**4.3. The equivariant radial index on a singular variety.** On a singular  $G$ -variety  $G$ -invariant vector fields and  $G$ -invariant 1-forms cannot be identified with each other. Therefore their equivariant indices (even being defined in similar ways) cannot be expressed through each other.

Here we shall give the definition of an equivariant version of the radial index for vector fields. The necessary changes for 1-forms are clear.

Let  $(V, 0)$  be the germ of a closed real subanalytic set with an action of a finite group  $G$ . We assume  $(V, 0)$  to be embedded into  $(\mathbb{R}^N, 0)$  and the  $G$ -action to be induced by an (analytic) action on a neighbourhood of the origin in  $(\mathbb{R}^N, 0)$ . (The action on  $(\mathbb{R}^N, 0)$  can be assumed to be linear.)

Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  be a subanalytic Whitney  $G$ -stratification of  $V$ . This means that each stratum  $V_i$  is  $G$ -invariant, the isotropy subgroups  $G_p = \{g \in G : gp = p\}$  of all points  $p$  of  $V_i$  are conjugate to each other, and the quotient of the stratum  $V_i$  by the group  $G$  is connected.

Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant (stratified) vector field on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singular point at the origin. One can show that there exists a (continuous)  $G$ -invariant stratified vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $V$  satisfying Conditions (1)–(3) from Section 2.1.

The following definition was made in [44]. Let  $A$  be the set (a  $G$ -set) of the singular points of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $V \cap B_\varepsilon$  considered with the multiplicities equal to the usual indices  $\text{ind}(\tilde{X}|_{V(p)}; V(p), p)$  of the restrictions of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  to the corresponding strata (smooth manifolds).

**Definition.** The *equivariant radial index*  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}^G(X; V, 0)$  of the vector field  $X$  on  $V$  at the origin is the class  $[A] \in B(G)$  of the set  $A$  of singular points of  $\tilde{X}$  with multiplicities.

One can show that the equivariant radial index is well-defined: see [44].

**Remark 47.** As above (in the smooth case) one can write the definition as

$$\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}^G(X; V, 0) = \sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } \tilde{X})/G} \text{ind}(\tilde{X}|_{V(p)}; V(p), p)[Gp],$$

where  $p$  is a representative of the orbit  $\bar{p}$ .

For a subgroup  $H \subset G$ , the vector field  $X$  is  $H$ -invariant and one has  $\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}^H(X; V, 0) = R_H^G(\text{ind}_{\text{rad}}^G(X; V, 0))$ .

One has the following generalization of Theorem 46 (see [44, Theorem 4.6]).

**Theorem 48.** *Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i$  be a compact subanalytic variety and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant stratified vector field on  $V$  with isolated singular points. Then one has*

$$\sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } X)/G} I_{G_p}^G(\text{ind}^{G_p}(X; V, p)) = \chi^G(V).$$

Another analogue of the Euler characteristic can be defined for orbifolds: the universal Euler characteristic of orbifolds [73]. It takes values in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  generated, as a free abelian group, by isomorphism classes of finite groups. The corresponding analogue of the radial index of vector fields and 1-forms (with values in the same ring  $\mathcal{R}$ ) was defined in [72].

**4.4. Equivariant GSV and Poincaré–Hopf index.** Let the space  $\mathbb{C}^N$  be endowed with an action of a finite group  $G$  (say, with a linear one) and let  $(V, 0) = \{z \in (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) : f_1(z) = \cdots = f_{N-n}(z) = 0\}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional germ of an isolated complete intersection singularity defined by  $G$ -invariant function germs  $f_i : (\mathbb{C}^N, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N - n$ . In the usual (non-equivariant) setting (thus for the trivial group  $G$ ), the GSV index of a vector field or of a 1-form on  $(V, 0)$  can be defined in terms of the degree of a certain map or in terms of

the intersection number of some cycles. Equivariant versions of these notions (the degree and the intersection index) are not defined (at least as elements of the Burnside ring  $A(G)$ ). Therefore, in order to define equivariant versions of them, one has to use the fact that the GSV index agrees with the Poincaré–Hopf index (Proposition 13). Namely, let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant vector field on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin. Let  $F : (\mathcal{V}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be the essentially unique smoothing of  $(V, 0)$  (see Section 2.3). The vector field  $\tilde{X}$  of Section 2.3 can be made  $G$ -invariant. (To get a  $G$ -invariant vector field, one can take an arbitrary one and take the mean over the group.) Then one can make the following definition (cf. [44, Definition 5.1]).

**Definition.** The  $G$ -equivariant GSV index (or  $G$ -equivariant Poincaré–Hopf index) is

$$(4.3) \quad \text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}^G(X; V, 0) = \sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } \tilde{X})/G} \text{ind}^G(\tilde{X}; V_t^F, p) \in A(G),$$

where  $V_t^F$  is the Milnor fibre corresponding to the smoothing  $F$ .

It is easy to show that the right hand side of (4.3) does not depend on the extension  $\tilde{X}$  and therefore the equivariant GSV index is well-defined.

There is also a generalization to non-isolated complete intersection singularities, see [44, Definition 5.1].

The relation between the equivariant GSV index and the radial one can be described as follows. The Milnor fibre  $V_t^F$  is a manifold with a  $G$ -action and therefore its equivariant Euler characteristic  $\chi^G(V_t^F) \in A(G)$  is defined. Let  $\bar{\chi}^G(V_t^F) = \chi^G(V_t^F) - 1$  be the reduced equivariant Euler characteristic of  $V_t^F$ . (The element  $(-1)^n \bar{\chi}^G(V_t^F) \in A(G)$  can be regarded as an equivariant version of the Milnor number of the ICIS  $(V, 0)$ ). There is the following generalization of Proposition 14 (see [44, Proposition 5.3]).

**Proposition 49.**  $\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}^G(X; V, 0) = \text{ind}_{\text{rad}}^G(X; V, 0) + \bar{\chi}^G(V_t^F)$ .

Let the group  $G$  act on the projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$  by projective transformations and let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$  be a  $G$ -invariant complete intersection with isolated singularities. It has a natural  $G$ -invariant smoothing  $\tilde{V} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ . Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant vector field on  $V$  with isolated singular points. Since the GSV index was defined as the Poincaré–Hopf index, it counts singular points of the vector field on the smoothing of the ICIS. Therefore one has the following version of the Poincaré–Hopf theorem (see [44, Proposition 5.2]).

**Proposition 50.** *Let  $V, \tilde{V}$ , and  $X$  be as above. Then one has*

$$\sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } X)/G} I_{G_p}^G(\text{ind}_{\text{GSV}}^G(X; V, p)) = \chi^G(\tilde{V}) \in A(G).$$

**4.5. Equivariant homological index.** Let  $\mathbb{C}^N$  be endowed with an action (say, a linear one) of a finite group  $G$  and let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be a  $G$ -invariant germ of an analytic variety of pure dimension  $n$ . Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant holomorphic vector field on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singular point at the origin.

**Remark 51.** The condition that such a vector field on  $(V, 0)$  exists is a rather restrictive condition on the variety. Namely, a neighbourhood in  $V$  of any point  $p$  of  $V \setminus \{0\}$  has to be isomorphic to the direct product  $(W_p, 0) \times (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  for a variety  $W_p$  (cf. Remark 17). This holds, in particular, if  $V \setminus \{0\}$  is non-singular.

Let  $\Omega_{V,0}^i, i = 1, 2, \dots,$  be the modules of germs of differential forms on  $(V, 0)$  ( $\Omega_{V,0}^0 = \mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ ). One has natural actions of the group  $G$  on them. Consider the complex (2.1). It consists of  $G$ -modules and therefore its homology groups are (finite dimensional)  $G$ -modules as well.

**Definition.** The *equivariant homological index* of the vector field  $X$  on  $(V, 0)$  is

$$\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}^G(X; V, 0) = \sum_{i=0}^n [H_i(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, X)] \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G),$$

where  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  is the ring of (complex) representations of the group  $G$  and  $[\cdot]$  is the class of a  $G$ -module in  $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a  $G$ -invariant holomorphic 1-form on  $(V, 0)$  with an isolated singular point at the origin.

**Remark 52.** One can see that (in contrast to the situation for vector fields) 1-forms with this property always exist.

Consider the complex (2.2). If  $(V, 0)$  is smooth and  $\omega(0) \neq 0$ , the homology groups of the complex (2.2) are trivial. This implies that, if both  $V$  and  $\omega$  have isolated singular points at the origin, the homology groups  $H_i(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge \omega)$  of the complex (2.2) are finite dimensional  $G$ -modules.

**Definition.** Let  $(V, 0)$  have an isolated singular point at the origin and let  $\omega$  have an isolated singular point at  $0 \in V$ . The *equivariant*

*homological index* of the 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(V, 0)$  is

$$\text{ind}_{\text{hom}}^G(\omega; V, 0) = \sum_{i=0}^n [H_i(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega)] \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G).$$

**Remark 53.** It is not clear whether this definition makes sense for an arbitrary ( $G$ -invariant) variety  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ , not necessarily with an isolated singular point at the origin, i.e. whether the homology groups  $H_i(\Omega_{V,0}^\bullet, \wedge\omega)$  are finite dimensional in this case as well.

Assume that  $(V, 0) = (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ . It is not difficult to show that the equivariant homological index of a vector field  $X$  with an isolated singular point coincides with the reduction (under the natural homomorphism  $A(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ ) of the equivariant (radial) index of  $X$ . This follows from the fact that a  $G$ -invariant vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be deformed to one with only non-degenerate singular points and it is easy to verify the coincidence for a vector field with a non-degenerate singular point. The situation is quite different for 1-forms (for a non-trivial group  $G$ ). A  $G$ -invariant 1-form cannot, in general, be deformed to one with non-degenerate singular points. It is not clear how one can describe non-removable singularities of invariant 1-forms for an arbitrary finite group  $G$  (in order to verify the coincidence of the described indices for them). This was made in [94] for the group  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  of order 3. For an arbitrary finite group  $G$  the statement about the coincidence was proved in [74].

**4.6. Equivariant Euler obstruction.** The Euler obstruction of a vector field or of a 1-form at an isolated singular point on a (quasi-projective) singular variety can be regarded as a version of an index of it. Similar to the GSV index, the usual (non-equivariant) version of the Euler obstruction is defined in terms of the (first) obstruction to extend a section of a bundle. An equivariant version of the notion of the first obstruction is not defined (at least as an element of the Burnside ring  $A(G)$ ). Therefore, to define an equivariant version of the Euler obstruction (of a vector field or of a 1-form), one has to use another approach.

A method to define the (local) equivariant Euler obstruction of an invariant 1-form was suggested in [45]. The idea resembles the one used for the definition of the equivariant radial index. Let  $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$  be a germ of a complex analytic variety with an action of a finite group  $G$  and let  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $G$ -invariant Whitney stratification of it. Let  $\omega$  be a germ of a  $G$ -invariant complex 1-form on  $(V, 0)$  (that is the restriction of a  $G$ -invariant 1-form on  $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ ) with an isolated singular point at

the origin. Let  $B_\varepsilon$  be a ball of a small radius  $\varepsilon$  around the origin such that representatives of  $V$  and of  $\omega$  are defined in  $B_\varepsilon$  and the 1-form  $\omega$  has no singular points on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  inside  $B_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\tilde{\omega}$  be a  $G$ -invariant 1-form on  $V \cap B_\varepsilon$  described in Subsection 2.5.

**Definition.** The  $G$ -equivariant local Euler obstruction of the 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(V, 0)$  is defined by

$$\text{Eu}^G(\omega; V, 0) = \sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } \tilde{\omega})/G} (-1)^{\dim V - \dim V_{(p)}} \text{Eu}(V, V_{(p)}) \cdot \text{ind}(\tilde{\omega}|_{V_{(p)}}; V_{(p)}, p)[Gp],$$

where  $p$  is a point of the orbit  $\bar{p} = Gp$ ,  $\text{ind}(\cdot)$  is the usual index of a 1-form on a smooth manifold.

It is not difficult to show that the equivariant local Euler obstruction is well defined (that is, that the definition does not depend on the choice of a 1-form  $\tilde{\omega}$ ) and its reduction under the natural reduction homomorphism  $R_{\{e\}}^G : A(G) \rightarrow A(\{e\}) = \mathbb{Z}$  gives the usual Euler obstruction of the 1-form  $\omega$ .

One has a global version of this notion defined either for a projective or for an affine variety, see Section 2.5. Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -invariant affine variety in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and let  $\eta$  be a  $G$ -invariant real 1-form on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  which is radial at infinity (this means that it does not vanish on the vectors

$$\sum_i \left( x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right)$$

for  $\|z\|$  large enough,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ ,  $z_j = x_j + y_j \sqrt{-1}$ ) and has only isolated singular points on  $V$ .

**Definition.** The equivariant global Euler obstruction of the affine variety  $V$  is defined by

$$\text{Eu}^G(V) := \sum_{\bar{p} \in (\text{Sing } \eta_{\text{rad}})/G} I_{Gp}^G(\text{Eu}^{Gp}(\eta_{\text{rad}}; V, p)) \in A(G).$$

The same definition makes sense for a projective (therefore compact) variety. The only difference is that one has to take an arbitrary 1-form  $\eta$  with only isolated singular points.

**4.7. Real quotient singularities.** Let a finite group  $G$  act (linearly) on the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (and thus on its complexification  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ). For an analytic (real) 1-form  $\omega$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ , there is defined a natural (Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili) quadratic form  $B$  on

$$\Omega_\omega := \Omega_{\mathbb{R}^n, 0}^n / \omega \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{R}^n, 0}^{n-1} :$$

see Section 1.4. Its signature is equal to the index  $\text{ind}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  of the 1-form  $\omega$ . If the 1-form  $\omega$  is  $G$ -invariant, its (equivariant) index  $\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  is defined as an element of the Burnside ring  $A(G)$ . In this case the Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili quadratic form is also  $G$ -invariant and therefore its (equivariant) signature  $\text{sgn}^G B$  is defined as an element of the ring  $R_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$  of real representations of the group  $G$ . One can expect a relation between the equivariant signature  $\text{sgn}^G B$  and the equivariant index  $\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  (or rather its reduction  $r(\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0))$  under the natural homomorphism  $r : A(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ ).

The most straightforward conjecture would be that the reduction  $r(\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0))$  is equal to the equivariant signature  $\text{sgn}^G B$ . In [71] and also in [29], it was explained that (for differentials of function germs) this was not the case. The reason is roughly speaking the following. For a ( $G$ -invariant) morsification of a function germ, the usual signature of the residue pairing can be expressed in terms of the real critical points of the morsification, whence an equation for the equivariant signature involves also critical points whose complex conjugates lie in the same  $G$ -orbit.

A weaker conjecture can be as follows. Let  $r^{(0)} : A(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the group homomorphism defined by  $r^{(0)}([G/H]) = 1$ , that is,  $r^{(0)}(\sum a_H [G/H]) = \sum a_H$ . Let  $B_{\omega}^G : \Omega_{\omega}^G \times \Omega_{\omega}^G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the restriction of the residue pairing to the  $G$ -invariant part  $\Omega_{\omega}^G$  of  $\Omega_{\omega}$ . It is a non-degenerate bilinear form as well. It is possible to show that the image of the index  $\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  under the map  $r : A(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  is equal to the class  $[\Omega_{\omega}^{\mathbb{C}}]$  of the  $G$ -module  $\Omega_{\omega}^{\mathbb{C}}$ : [74]. Therefore, for the  $G$ -invariant part  $(\Omega_{\omega}^{\mathbb{C}})^G$  of  $\Omega_{\omega}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , one has

$$(4.4) \quad \dim (\Omega_{\omega}^{\mathbb{C}})^G = r^{(0)}(\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{C}^n, 0)).$$

Taking into account relations between dimensions of modules in the complex case and signatures of quadratic forms in the real case in the Eisenbud–Levine–Khimshiashvili theory, one can conjecture that

$$\text{sgn} B_{\omega}^G = r^{(0)}(\text{ind}^G(\omega; \mathbb{R}^n, 0)).$$

Again, in general this is not the case.

Let  $W$  be the real part of the quotient  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$ . Note that in general  $W \neq \mathbb{R}^n/G$ . A real analytic 1-form  $\eta$  on  $W$  defines a  $G$ -invariant analytic 1-form  $\omega = \pi^* \eta$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ( $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/G$  is the quotient map) which is real (that is real on  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ) and, moreover, real on  $\pi^{-1}(W)$ .

One can prove the following statement ([46], [47]):

**Theorem 54.** *For an abelian finite group  $G$  and for a real analytic  $G$ -invariant 1-form  $\omega$  one has*

$$(4.5) \quad \operatorname{sgn} B_{\omega}^G = r^{(0)}(\operatorname{ind}^G(\omega; \pi^{-1}(W), 0)).$$

**Remark 55.** It is very probable that the statement holds for non-abelian groups as well. However, this is not proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Aguilar, M. A., Seade, J. A., Verjovsky, A.: Indices of vector fields and topological invariants of real analytic singularities. *J. reine angew. Math.* **504**, 159–176 (1998)
- [2] Aleksandrov, A. G.: The homological index and the de Rham complex on singular varieties. *J. Singul.* **9**, 1–26 (2014)
- [3] Aleksandrov, A. G.: The Poincaré index and the  $\chi_y$ -characteristic of Hirzebruch. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **61**, no. 2, 166–212 (2016)
- [4] Ament, D. A. H., Nuño-Ballesteros, J. J., Oréface-Okamoto, B., Tomazella, J. N.: The Euler obstruction of a function on a determinantal variety and on a curve. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **47**, no. 3, 955–970 (2016)
- [5] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, J. Harris: *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, **267**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1985)
- [6] Arnold, V. I.: Index of a singular point of a vector field, the Petrovskii–Oleinik inequality, and mixed Hodge structures. (Russian) *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **12**, no. 1, 1–14 (1978); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12**, no.1, 1–12 (1978)
- [7] Arnold, V. I.: Indices of singular points of 1-forms on a manifold with boundary, convolution of invariants of reflection groups, and singular projections of smooth surfaces. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **34**, no. 2, 3–38 (1979); translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **34**:2, 1–42 (1979)
- [8] Arnold, V. I., Gusein-Zade, S. M., Varchenko, A. N.: *Singularities of Differentiable Maps, Vol. I*, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin (1985)
- [9] Arnold, V. I. (ed.): *Arnold’s Problems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; PHASIS, Moscow (2004)
- [10] Atiyah M., Segal, G.: On equivariant Euler characteristics. *J. Geom. Phys.* **6**, no.4, 671–677 (1989)
- [11] Baum, P. F., Bott, R.: On the zeros of a meromorphic vector field. In: *Essays on Topology and Related Topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham)*, pp.29–47. Springer, New York (1970)
- [12] Bonatti, Ch., Gómez-Mont, X.: The index of holomorphic vector fields on singular varieties I. *Astérisque* **222**, 9–35 (1994)
- [13] Graf von Bothmer, H.-Ch., Ebeling, W., Gómez-Mont, X: An algebraic formula for the index of a vector field on an isolated complete intersection singularity. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **58**, no. 5, 1761–1783 (2008)
- [14] Brasselet, J.-P.: From Chern classes to Milnor classes — a history of characteristic classes for singular varieties. In: Brasselet, J.-P., Suwa, T. (eds)

- Singularities — Sapporo 1998, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **29**, pp. 31–52. Kinokuniya, Tokyo (2000)
- [15] Brasselet, J.-P., Grulha, N. G., Jr., Ruas, M. A. S.: The Euler obstruction and the Chern obstruction. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42**, no. 6, 1035–1043 (2010)
- [16] Brasselet, J.-P., Chachapoyas, N., Ruas, M. A. S.: Generic sections of essentially isolated determinantal singularities. *Internat. J. Math.* **28**, no. 11, 1750083, 13 pp. (2017)
- [17] Brasselet, J.-P., Lê D. T., Seade, J.: Euler obstruction and indices of vector fields. *Topology* **39**, 1193–1208 (2000)
- [18] Brasselet, J.-P., Massey, D., Parameswaran, A. J., Seade, J.: Euler obstruction and defects of functions on singular varieties. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **70**, 59–76 (2004)
- [19] Brasselet, J.-P., Seade, J., Suwa, T.: A proof of the proportionality theorem. *math.AG/0511601*
- [20] Brasselet, J.-P., Seade, J., Suwa, T.: An explicit cycle representing the Fulton-Johnson class. I. *Singularités Franco-Japonaises*, pp. 21–38. *Sémin. Congr.*, 10, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2005)
- [21] Brasselet, J.-P., Seade, J., Suwa, T.: Proportionality of indices of 1-forms on singular varieties. *Singularities in geometry and topology 2004*, pp. 49–65. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **46**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo (2007)
- [22] Brasselet, J.-P.; Seade, J., Suwa, T.: *Vector fields on singular varieties. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1987**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2009)
- [23] Brasselet, J.-P., Schwartz, M.-H.: Sur les classes de Chern d’un ensemble analytique complexe. In: *Caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré*, *Astérisque* **82–83**, 93–147 (1981)
- [24] Buchweitz, R.-O., Greuel, G.-M.: The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities. *Invent. Math.* **58**, 241–281 (1980)
- [25] Buryak, A. Y.: Existence of a singular projective variety with an arbitrary set of characteristic numbers. *Math. Res. Lett.* **17**, no. 3, 395–400 (2010)
- [26] Callejas-Bedregal, R., Morgado, M. F. Z., Saia, M., Seade, J.: The Lê-Greuel formula for functions on analytic spaces. *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **68**, no. 3, 439–456 (2016)
- [27] Chachapoyas Siesquén, N. C.: Euler obstruction of essentially isolated determinantal singularities. *Topology Appl.* **234** (2018), 166–177 (2018)
- [28] Dalbelo, T. M., Grulha, N. G., Jr., Pereira, M. S.: Toric surfaces, vanishing Euler characteristic and Euler obstruction of a function. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **24**, no. 1, 1–20 (2015)
- [29] J. Damon: Equivariant morsifications and bifurcations for finite groups. In: *Singularity Theory and Its Applications: Warwick 1989*, vol.2, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1463**, pp.80–106. Springer, Berlin (1991)
- [30] Dutertre, N.: Radial index and Poincaré-Hopf index of 1-forms on semi-analytic sets. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **148**, no. 2, 297–330 (2010)
- [31] Dutertre, N., Grulha, N. G., Jr.: Lê-Greuel type formula for the Euler obstruction and applications. *Adv. Math.* **251** (2014), 127–146 (2014)
- [32] Dutertre, N., Grulha, N. G., Jr.: Some notes on the Euler obstruction of a function. *J. Singul.* **10**, 82–91 (2014)

- [33] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: On the index of a vector field at an isolated singularity. In: Bierstone, E., Khesin, B., Khovanskii, A., Marsden, J. (eds) *The Arnoldfest: Proceedings of a Conference in Honour of V. I. Arnold for his Sixtieth Birthday*. Fields Institute Communications, Vol. **24**, pp. 141–152. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1999)
- [34] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: On the index of a holomorphic 1-form on an isolated complete intersection singularity. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **380**, no. 4, 458–461 (2001); translation in *Dokl. Math.* **64**, no. 2, 221–224 (2001)
- [35] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Indices of 1-forms on an isolated complete intersection singularity. *Moscow Math. J.* **3**, 439–455 (2003)
- [36] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: On indices of meromorphic 1-forms. *Compos. Math.* **140** 809–817 (2004)
- [37] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Radial index and Euler obstruction of a 1-form on a singular variety. *Geom. Dedicata* **113**, 231–241 (2005)
- [38] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Indices of vector fields or 1-forms and characteristic numbers. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **37**, 747–754 (2005)
- [39] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Quadratic forms for a 1-form on an isolated complete intersection singularity. *Math. Z.* **252**, no. 4, 755–766 (2006)
- [40] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Indices of vector fields and 1-forms on singular varieties. *Global aspects of complex geometry*, pp. 129–169, Springer, Berlin (2006)
- [41] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Chern obstructions for collections of 1-forms on singular varieties. *Singularity theory*, pp. 557–564. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2007)
- [42] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Indices of collections of 1-forms. *Singularities in geometry and topology*, pp. 629–639, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2007)
- [43] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: On the indices of 1-forms on determinantal singularities. (Russian) *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **267**, *Osobennosti i Prilozheniya*, 119–131 (2009); translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **267**, no. 1, 113–124 (2009)
- [44] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Equivariant indices of vector fields and 1-forms. *Eur. J. Math.* **1**, no. 2, 286–301 (2015)
- [45] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: An equivariant version of the Euler obstruction. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **48**, no. 2, 199–208 (2017)
- [46] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: The index of a 1-form on a real quotient singularity. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **52**, no. 2, 78–81 (2018); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **52**, no. 2, 144–146 (2018)
- [47] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: An algebraic formula for the index of a 1-form on a real quotient singularity. *Math. Nachr.* **291**, no. 17–18, 2543–2556 (2018)
- [48] Ebeling, W., Gusein-Zade, S. M., Seade, J.: Homological index for 1-forms and a Milnor number for isolated singularities. *Int. J. of Math.* **15**, 895–905 (2004)
- [49] Eisenbud, D., Levine, H.: An algebraic formula for the degree of a  $C^\infty$  map germ. *Ann. Math.* **106**, 19–38 (1977)

- [50] Esterov, A.: Indices of 1-forms, resultants and Newton polyhedra. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **60**, no. 2, 181–182 (2005); translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **60**:2, 352–353 (2005)
- [51] Esterov, A.: Indices of 1-forms and Newton polyhedra. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **18**, no. 1, 233–242 (2005)
- [52] Esterov, A.: Indices of 1-forms, intersection indices, and Newton polyhedra. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **197**, no. 7, 137–160 (2006); translation in *Sb. Math.* **197**, no. 7–8, 1085–1108 (2006)
- [53] Frühbis-Krüger, A.: On discriminants, Tjurina modifications and the geometry of determinantal singularities. *Topology Appl.* **234**, 375–396 (2018)
- [54] Frühbis-Krüger, A., Zach, M.: On the vanishing topology of isolated Cohen-Macaulay codimension 2 singularities. Preprint arXiv:1501.01915 (2015)
- [55] Gaffney, T.: The multiplicity polar theorem and isolated singularities. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **18**, no. 3, 547–574 (2009)
- [56] Gaffney, T., Grulha, N. G., Jr.: The multiplicity polar theorem, collections of 1-forms and Chern numbers. *J. Singul.* **7**, 39–60 (2013)
- [57] Gaffney, T., Grulha, N. G., Jr., Ruas, M. A. S.: The local Euler obstruction and topology of the stabilization of associated determinantal varieties. *Math. Z.* **291**, no. 3–4, 905–930 (2019)
- [58] Giraldo, L., Gómez-Mont, X.: A law of conservation of number for local Euler characteristics. *Contemp. Math.* **311**, 251–259 (2002)
- [59] Giraldo, L., Gómez-Mont, X., Mardešić, P.: On the index of vector fields tangent to hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **65**, no. 2, 418–438 (2002)
- [60] Gómez-Mont, X.: An algebraic formula for the index of a vector field on a hypersurface with an isolated singularity. *J. Alg. Geom.* **7**, 731–752 (1998)
- [61] Gómez-Mont, X., Mardešić, P.: The index of a vector field tangent to a hypersurface and the signature of the relative Jacobian determinant. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **47**, 1523–1539 (1997)
- [62] Gómez-Mont, X., Mardešić, P.: The index of a vector field tangent to an odd-dimensional hypersurface and the signature of the relative Hessian. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **33**, no. 1, 1–13, 96 (1999); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **33**, no.1, 1–10 (1999)
- [63] Gómez-Mont, X., Seade, J., Verjovsky, A.: The index of a holomorphic flow with an isolated singularity. *Math. Ann.* **291**, 737–751 (1991)
- [64] Gorsky, E., Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Homological indices of collections of 1-forms. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **50**, no. 2, 261–273 (2018)
- [65] Goryunov, V.: Functions on space curves. *J. London Math. Soc.* **61**, 807–822 (2000)
- [66] Greuel, G.-M.: Der Gauß-Manin-Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten. *Math. Ann.* **214**, 235–266 (1975)
- [67] Greuel, G.-M.: Deformation and smoothing of singularities. This handbook, Volume I, Chapter 7 (2020)
- [68] Griffiths, Ph., Harris, J.: *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, New York etc. (1978)
- [69] Grulha, N. G., Jr.: L’obstruction d’Euler locale d’une application. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* **17**, no. 1, 53–71 (2008)

- [70] Gusein-Zade, S. M.: The index of a singular point of a gradient vector field. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **18**, no. 1, 7–12 (1984); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18**, no.1, 6–10 (1984)
- [71] Gusein-Zade, S. M.: An equivariant analogue of the index of a gradient vector field. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1214**, pp.196–210. Springer, Berlin (1986)
- [72] Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Index of a singular point of a vector field or of a 1-form on an orbifold. (Russian) *Algebra i analiz*, v.33, no.3, 73–84 (2021); translation of a preliminary version available as Preprint 2019, arXiv:1903.06978
- [73] Gusein-Zade, S. M., Luengo, I., Melle-Hernández, A.: The universal Euler characteristic of V-manifolds. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **52**, no. 4, 72–85 (2018); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **52**, no. 4, 297–307 (2018)
- [74] Gusein-Zade, S. M., Mamedova, F. I.: On equivariant indices of 1-forms on varieties. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **51**, no. 3, 22–32 (2017); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **51**, no. 3, 177–184 (2017)
- [75] Hirzebruch, F., Höfer, Th.: On the Euler number of an orbifold. *Math. Ann.* **286**, no.1-3, 255–260 (1990)
- [76] Honda, T., Suwa, T.: Residue formulas for meromorphic functions on surfaces. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **7**, 443–463 (1998)
- [77] Husemoller, D.: *Fibre Bundles*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Math. 20, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin (1975)
- [78] Izawa, T., Suwa, T.: Multiplicity of functions on singular varieties. *Intern. J. Math.* **14**, 541–558 (2003)
- [79] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P.: *Sheaves on Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1990)
- [80] Khimshiashvili, G. N.: On the local degree of a smooth map. (Russian) *Comm. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR.* **85**, no. 2, 309–311 (1977)
- [81] King, H., Trotman, D.: Poincaré-Hopf theorems for singular spaces. Preprint (1996)
- [82] King, H., Trotman, D.: Poincaré-Hopf theorems on singular spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **108**, no. 3, 682–703 (2014)
- [83] Klehn, O.: Local residues of holomorphic 1-forms on an isolated surface singularity. *Manuscripta Math.* **109**, no. 1, 93–108 (2002)
- [84] Klehn, O.: On the index of a vector field tangent to a hypersurface with non-isolated zero in the embedding space. *Math. Nachr.* **260**, 48–57 (2003)
- [85] Klehn, O.: Real and complex indices of vector fields on complete intersection curves with isolated singularity. *Compos. Math.* **141**, no. 2, 525–540 (2005)
- [86] Lapebie, J.: Radial index related to an intersection index. *J. Singul.* **17** (2018), 403–412 (2018)
- [87] Lê, D. T.: Computation of the Milnor number of an isolated singularity of a complete intersection. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **8**, no. 2, 45–49 (1974); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8**, 127–131 (1974)
- [88] Lê, D. T.: Some remarks on relative monodromy. In: Holm, P. (ed) *Real and Complex Singularities*, Oslo 1976, pp.397–403. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen a.d. Rijn (1977)
- [89] Lê, D. T., Nuño-Ballesteros, J. J., Seade, J.: The topology of the Milnor fibration. This handbook, Volume 1, Chapter 6 (2020)

- [90] Lehmann, D., Suwa, T.: Residues of holomorphic vector fields relative to singular invariant subvarieties. *J. Differential Geom.* **42**, no. 1, 165–192 (1995)
- [91] Lehmann, D., Soares, M., Suwa, T.: On the index of a holomorphic vector field tangent to a singular variety. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* **26**, no. 2, 183–199 (1995)
- [92] W. Lück, J. Rosenberg: The equivariant Lefschetz fixed point theorem for proper cocompact  $G$ -manifolds. In: *High-dimensional manifold topology*, pp. 322–361. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (2003)
- [93] MacPherson, R.: Chern classes for singular varieties. *Annals of Math.* **100**, 423–432 (1974)
- [94] Mamedova, F. I.: Simplest singular points of 1-forms invariant with respect to an action of group of order three. (Russian) *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2018**, no.5, 60–63 (2018); translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **73**, no. 5, 199–202 (2018)
- [95] Mardešić, P.: Index of singularities of real vector fields on singular hypersurfaces. *J. Singul.* **9**, 111–121 (2014)
- [96] Massey, D. B.: Characteristic cycles and the relative local Euler obstruction. *A panorama of singularities. Contemp. Math.*, **742**, pp. 137–156. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2020)
- [97] Milnor, John W.: *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*. Based on notes by David W. Weaver The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va. (1965)
- [98] Mond, D., van Straten, D.: Milnor number equals Tjurina number for functions on space curves. *J. London Math. Soc.* **63**, 177–187 (2001)
- [99] Nuño-Ballesteros, J. J., Oréface-Okamoto, B., Tomazella, J. N.: The vanishing Euler characteristic of an isolated determinantal singularity. *Israel J. Math.* **197**, no. 1, 475–495 (2013)
- [100] Nuño-Ballesteros, J. J., Oréface-Okamoto, B., Tomazella, J. N.: Erratum to “The vanishing Euler characteristic of an isolated determinantal singularity”. *Israel J. Math.* **197** (2013), 475–495. *Israel J. Math.* **224**, no. 1, 505–512 (2018)
- [101] Nuño-Ballesteros, J. J., Tomazella, J. N.: The Milnor number of a function on a space curve germ. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40**, no. 1, 129–138 (2008)
- [102] Palamodov, V. P.: The multiplicity of a holomorphic transformation. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **1**, no. 3, 54–65 (1967); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **1**, 218–226 (1967)
- [103] Ruas, M. A. S., Da Silva Pereira, M.: Codimension two determinantal varieties with isolated singularities. *Math. Scand.* **115**, no. 2, 161–172 (2014)
- [104] Schürmann, J.: *Topology of Singular Spaces and Constructible Sheaves*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2003)
- [105] Schürmann, J.: A general intersection formula for Lagrangian cycles. *Compositio Math.* **140**, 1037–1052 (2004)
- [106] Schwartz, M.-H.: Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d’une variété analytique complexe. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **260**, 3262–3264, 3535–3537 (1965)
- [107] Schwartz, M.-H.: Champs radiaux et préradiaux associés à une stratification. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **303**, 239–241 (1986)

- [108] Schwartz, M.-H.: Une généralisation du théorème de Hopf pour les champs sortants. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **303**, 307–309 (1986)
- [109] Schwartz, M.-H.: Champs radiaux sur une stratification analytique. *Travaux en Cours*, **39**, Hermann, Paris (1991)
- [110] Seade, J.: The index of a vector field on a complex analytic surface with singularities. The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984). *Contemp. Math.*, 58, III, pp. 225–232. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1987)
- [111] Seade, J.: The index of a vector field on a singular variety. In: Lê, D. T., Saito, K., Teissier, B. (eds) *Singularity theory*(Trieste, 1991), pp. 673–696. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ (1995)
- [112] Seade, J.: Indices of vector fields and Chern classes for singular varieties. In: Bridson, M. R., Salamon, S. S.(eds) *Invitations to geometry and topology*. Oxf. Grad. Texts Math., **7**, pp. 292–320. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (2002)
- [113] Seade, J.: Remarks on Laufer’s formula for the Milnor number, Rochlin’s signature theorem and the analytic Euler characteristic of compact complex manifolds. *Methods Appl. Anal.* **24**, no. 1, 105–123 (2017)
- [114] Seade, J.: On Milnor’s fibration theorem and its offspring after 50 years. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **56**, no. 2, 281–348 (2019)
- [115] Seade, J. A., Suwa, T.: A residue formula for the index of a holomorphic flow. *Math. Ann.* **304**, 621–634 (1996)
- [116] Seade, J., Suwa, T.: An adjunction formula for local complete intersections. *Intern. J. Math.* **9**, 759–768 (1998)
- [117] Seade, J., Tibăr, M., Verjovsky, A.: Global Euler obstruction and polar invariants. *Math. Ann.* **333**, 393–403 (2005)
- [118] Seade, J., Tibăr, M., Verjovsky, A.: Milnor numbers and Euler obstruction. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **36**, no. 2, 275–283 (2005)
- [119] Steenrod, N.: *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*. Princeton Math. Series, Vol. **14**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. (1951)
- [120] Suwa, T.: Indices of holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves on surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123**, no. 10, 2989–2997 (1995)
- [121] Suwa, T.: Indices of vector fields and residues of singular holomorphic foliations. *Actualités Mathématiques*. Hermann, Paris (1998)
- [122] Suwa, T.: Residues of Chern classes on singular varieties. In: Brasselet, J.-P., Suwa, T. (eds) *Singularités Franco-Japonaises. Séminaires et Congrès* **10**, pp. 265–286. Soc. Math. France, Paris (2005)
- [123] Suwa, T.: Residue theoretical approach to intersection theory. Real and complex singularities. *Contemp. Math.*, **459**, pp. 207–261. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2008)
- [124] Suwa, T.: GSV-indices as residues. *J. Singul.* **9**, 206–218 (2014)
- [125] Tamanoi, H.: Generalized orbifold Euler characteristic of symmetric products and equivariant Morava  $K$ -theory. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* **1**, 115–141 (2001)
- [126] Teissier, B.: Variétés polaires. II. Multiplicités polaires, sections planes, et conditions de Whitney. In: Aroca, J. M., Buchweitz, R., Giusti, M., Merle, M. (eds) *Algebraic geometry (La Rábida, 1981)*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **961**, pp. 314–491. Springer, Berlin (1982)

- [127] Tjurina, G.N.: Absolute isolation of rational singularities, and triple rational points. *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **2** no. 4, 70–81 (1968); translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **2**, no. 4, 324–333 (1968)
- [128] tom Dieck, T.: Transformation groups and representation theory. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **766**, Springer, Berlin (1979)
- [129] Trotman, D.: Stratification theory. This handbook, Volume I, Chapter 4 (2020)
- [130] Varchenko, A. N.: Local residue and the intersection form in vanishing cohomology. *Math. USSR Izv.* **26**, 31–52 (1986)
- [131] Verdier, J.-L.: Caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **101**, 441–445 (1973)
- [132] Viro, O.Ya.: Some integral calculus based on Euler characteristic. In: *Topology and geometry — Rohlin Seminar, Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1346**, pp. 127–138, Springer, Berlin (1988)
- [133] Wall, C.T.C.: A note on symmetry of singularities. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **12**, no.3, 169–175 (1980)
- [134] Whitney, H.: Local properties of analytic varieties. *Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse)* pp. 205–244. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. (1965)

WOLFGANG EBELING, INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ  
UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, POSTFACH 6009, D-30060 HANNOVER, GERMANY  
*Email address:* `ebeling@math.uni-hannover.de`

SABIR M. GUSEIN-ZADE, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ME-  
CHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL AND AP-  
PLIED MATHEMATICS, GSP-1, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA & NATIONAL RE-  
SEARCH UNIVERSITY “HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS”, USACHEVA STREET 6,  
MOSCOW, 119048, RUSSIA.

*Email address:* `sabir@mccme.ru`