

# SOME PROPERTIES OF MULTIWAVELET SET AND FRAME SET ON LOCAL FIELDS OF POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

DEBASIS HALDAR

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a discussion on wavelet set and framelet set on local fields of positive characteristic (LFPC).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated from the recent works of Behara and Jahan [3, 5], Shukla and Maury [6], our main goal is to develop the theory of multiwavelet set, scaling set, Parseval scaling set, Parseval framelet set in local field of positive characteristic(LFPC).

Benedetto and Benedetto has discussed the existance of wavelet sets for locally compact abelian group (LCAG) and related groups in [11, 13] and Curry and Mayeli has discussed same in [12]. We characterize finite ordered multiwavelet set in LFPC. We also give some equivalent conditions of finite ordered multiwavelet set in LFPC. Moreover, we give characterization of Parseval frame set and equivalent condition of set induced from a Besselet family with bound 1.

The dimension function of multiwavelets was introduced and studied by Auschar in [1]. Later Bownik et al. have studied this for MRA and non-MRA wavelets over  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  in [2].

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In ‘Preliminaries’ section, we discuss a brief introduction to the local fields and multiframelets needed throughout the paper. We characterize Parseval frame set and give some equivalent condition of multiwavelet set in section ‘Multiwavelet sets and frame set’. In section ‘MRA multiwavelet sets and scaling sets’, we discuss about scaling set and set associated

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C15, 43A70; Secondary 42C40.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -adic setting, besselet, multiframelet, multiframelet set, super-wavelet.

to dimension function. Finally in ‘Super-wavelet’ section, we discuss about decomposable Parseval framelet and equivalency of two Parseval frame super-wavelet.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Preliminaries on local fields.** Throughout this article,  $K$  denotes a local field and a topological space. By a locally compact field or, in short, a local field we mean a locally compact, complete, non-discrete and totally disconnected field.  $K^+$  and  $K^*$  denote as the additive and multiplicative groups of  $K$  respectively.

For proofs of all the results stated in this section, readers are referred to [7] and [8].

The valuation or absolute value  $|x|$  of  $x \in K$  satisfies the following properties :

- (i)  $|x| \geq 0$  and  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $|xy| = |x||y|$ ;
- (iii)  $|x + y| \leq \max \{|x|, |y|\}$ .  $|x + y| = \max \{|x|, |y|\}$  if  $|x| \neq |y|$ .

Property (iii) is called the ultrametric inequality and hence  $K$  is an non-Archimedean field. Define  $\mathfrak{B} = \{x \in K : |x| < 1\}$  and  $\mathfrak{D} = \{x \in K : |x| \leq 1\}$ . Then they both are compact and open.  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the prime ideal in  $K$  and the ring of integers  $\mathfrak{D}$  is the unique maximal compact subring of  $K$ .

The set of valuation of the elements of  $K$  is a discrete set of the form  $\{v^s : s \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$  for some positive  $v$  as  $K$  is totally disconnected. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a fixed element of maximum valuation in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \mathfrak{p} \rangle = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{D}$ . It is not tough to see that  $\mathfrak{D}$  is compact and open, hence  $\mathfrak{B}$  is so. Therefore  $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{B} \cong GF(q)$  where  $q = p^c$  for some prime  $p$  and  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $GF(q)$  is a  $c$ -dimensional vector space over  $GF(p)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}^s = \mathfrak{p}^s\mathfrak{D} = \{x \in K : |x| \leq q^{-s}\}$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . These  $\mathfrak{B}^s$  are said to be fractional ideals which are also compact open subgroup of  $K^+$ .

Since  $K^+$  is a locally compact abelian group, we may choose a Haar measure  $dx$  for  $K^+$ . For  $\alpha \in K \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $d(\alpha x)$  is also a Haar measure and  $d(\alpha x) = |\alpha|dx$ . This Haar measure is normalized by  $|\mathfrak{D}| = \int_K \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}}(x)dx = 1$ . If  $dx$  is a Haar measure on  $K^+$ , then a Haar measure on  $K^*$  is given by  $\frac{dx}{|x|}$ . It is easy to see the following

- $|\mathfrak{p}| = q^{-1} = |\mathfrak{B}|$ .

- valuation set of  $K$  is  $\{q^s : s \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$ .

The Hilbert space of all complex-valued functions on  $K$ , square integrable with respect to the measure  $dx$ , is denoted by  $L^2(K)$ . The inner product in this space is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_K f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \quad \text{for } f, g \in L^2(K).$$

Any  $x \in \mathfrak{B}^s$  can be uniquely expressed as

$$x = \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} c_n \mathfrak{p}^n, \quad c_n \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad c_s \neq 0$$

where  $\mathfrak{U} = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{q-1}\}$  is a fixed full set of coset representatives of  $\mathfrak{B}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}$ . In this case  $|x| = q^{-s}$ . As  $K$  is a local field, consider  $\chi$  be a fixed non-trivial, unitary, continuous character on  $K^+$  which is trivial on  $\mathfrak{D}$  but non-trivial on  $\mathfrak{B}^{-1}$ . For fixed  $y \in K$ , we define  $\chi_y(x) = \chi(yx) \quad \forall x \in K$ . This character satisfy following important result proved by Taibleson [8].

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is complete list of distinct coset representatives of  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $K^+$ , then  $\{\chi_{u(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a complete list of distinct characters on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Further, it is a complete orthonormal system on  $\mathfrak{D}$ .*

The Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(\mathfrak{D})$  is defined as  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(u(n)) \chi_{u(n)}$  where Fourier coefficients are  $\hat{f}(u(n)) := \int_{\mathfrak{D}} f(x) \overline{\chi_{u(n)}(x)} dx$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *For  $f \in L^1(K) \cap L^2(K)$ , the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by*

$$\mathcal{F}(f) := \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_K f(x) \overline{\chi_{\xi}(x)} dx = \int_K f(x) \chi(-\xi x) dx.$$

This Fourier transform satisfy following

- $\mathcal{F} : L^1(K) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(K)$  is a bounded linear transformation satisfying  $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_1$ .
- If  $f \in L^1(K)$  then  $\hat{f}$  is uniformly continuous on  $K$ .
- **Plancherel equality :**  $\|\hat{f}\|_2 = \|f\|_2, \quad \forall f \in L^2(K)$ .
- **Parseval equality :**  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle$  for all  $f, g \in L^2(K)$ .
- **Riemann-Lebesgue Theorem :** If  $f \in L^1(K)$ , then  $\hat{f}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ .

We now give a example of a character  $\chi$  on  $K$  that is trivial on  $\mathfrak{D}$  but non-trivial on  $\mathfrak{B}^{-1}$  as follows [10]

$$\chi(\epsilon_k \mathfrak{p}^{-j}) = \begin{cases} \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p}) & \text{if } k = 0, j = 1; \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \dots, c-1 \text{ or } j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

In order to impose ‘natural’ order on  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  used to develop the Fourier series theory on  $L^2(\mathfrak{D})$ , we use a set  $\{1 = \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{c-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{D}^* = \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\text{span}\{\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{c-1}\} \cong GF(q)$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, q)$ , we have,  $n = \sum_{k=0}^{c-1} a_k p^k$ ,  $0 \leq a_k < p$ .

Define  $u(n) = (\sum_{k=0}^{c-1} a_k \epsilon_k) \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ . Note that  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^{q-1}$  is a complete set of coset representatives of  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $\mathfrak{B}^{-1}$ . Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [q, \infty)$ , we have,  $n = \sum_{k=0}^s b_k q^k$ ,  $0 \leq b_k < q$ . Define  $u(n) = \sum_{k=0}^s \mathfrak{p}^{-k} u(b_k)$ .

This defines  $u(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In general that  $u$  is not linear but satisfies

$$u(rq^k + s) = \mathfrak{p}^{-k} u(r) + u(s) \text{ where } r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0; 0 \leq s < q^k.$$

In the following proposition, Behera and Jahan [5] proved important properties of aforementioned  $u(n)$  which has repeated application later on.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $u(n)$  be defined as above for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

- (a)  $u(n) = 0$  if and only if  $n = 0$ . If  $k \geq 1$ , then we have  $|u(n)| = q^k$  if and only if  $q^{k-1} \leq n < q^k$ .
- (b)  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty = \{-u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ .
- (c) For a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have  $\{u(m) + u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty = \{u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ .

It is clearly follow from the Proposition 2.4 that  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a subgroup of  $K^+$ . Since  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{p}^{-j} \mathfrak{D} = K$ , we shall use  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  as the dilation

and since  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a complete list of distinct coset representatives of  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $K$ , we shall use  $\{u(n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  as the translation set in rest of this article.

A function  $f \in L^2(K)$  is said to be integral periodic if,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$f(\cdot + u(n)) = f(\cdot)$$

## 2.2. Preliminaries on Framelets.

**Definition 2.5.** (Multiwavelet.) A set of functions  $\Psi = \{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L\} \subset L^2(K)$  is said to be a multiwavelet of order  $L$  if affine system

$$\mathcal{W}(\Psi) := \{\psi_{j,t}^l := q^{\frac{j}{2}} \psi^l(\mathfrak{p}^{-j} \cdot -u(t)) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \in \mathfrak{L}\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(K)$ .

Note that for  $f \in L^2(K)$  and  $\xi \in K$ ,

$$\widehat{f_{j,t}}(\xi) = q^{-\frac{j}{2}} \chi_{u(t)}(-\mathfrak{p}^j \xi) \hat{f}(\mathfrak{p}^j \xi).$$

**Definition 2.6.** (*Multiwavelet Set.*) A measurable set  $W \subset K$  is said to be a multiwavelet set of order  $L$  if  $W = \bigcup_{l=1}^L W_l$  for measurable sets  $W_n$  and  $\hat{\psi}^l = \mathbb{1}_{W_l}$  where  $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L\}$  is a multiwavelet for  $L^2(K)$ .

When  $L = 1$ ,  $W$  is simply said to be a wavelet set.

**Definition 2.7.** (*Multiframelet.*) For a set of basic functions  $\mathcal{F} = \{f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(L)}\} \subset L^2(K)$ , affine system  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$  is said to be a multiframelet of order  $L$  if there exist finite  $A, B > 0$  so that for all  $g \in L^2(K)$  we have,

$$(1) \quad A \|g\|^2 \leq \sum_{l \in \mathfrak{L}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\langle g, f_{j,t}^{(l)} \rangle|^2 \leq B \|g\|^2.$$

When  $L = 1$ , it is simply said to be a framelet.  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$  is said to be a Besselet with bound  $B$  if it satisfy 2nd inequality of equation (1).  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$  is called a tight multiframelet if  $A = B$  and **Parseval multiframelet** if  $A = 1 = B$  in equation (1). It is well-known that  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{F})$  is a Parseval multiframelet for  $L^2(K)$  if and only if  $g = \sum_{l \in \mathfrak{L}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \langle g, f_{j,t}^{(l)} \rangle f_{j,t}^{(l)}$  for all  $g \in L^2(K)$ . Therefore, Parseval multiframelet is a generalization of the concept of orthonormal basis to a system having no minimality condition.

**Definition 2.8.** (*Super-wavelet.*) Let  $\Theta = \{\eta^{(1)}, \dots, \eta^{(m)}\} \subset L^2(K)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(\Theta)$  is a Parseval multiframelet for  $L^2(K)$ . We call  $\Theta$  a super-wavelet of length  $m$  if

$$\mathcal{B}(\Theta) := \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^m q^{\frac{j}{2}} \eta^{(i)}(\mathfrak{p}^{-j} \cdot -u(t)) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for  $\bigoplus_m L^2(K)$ . Each  $\eta^{(i)}$  is called a component of the super-wavelet. Also,  $\Theta$  is called a Parseval frame super-wavelet if  $\mathcal{B}(\Theta)$  is a Parseval frame for  $\bigoplus_m L^2(K)$ .

### 3. MULTIWAVELET SETS AND FRAME SET

Behera and Jahan [3] has given following characterization of orthonormality of an affine system.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\Psi = \{\psi_m : m = 1, 2, \dots, L\} \subset L^2(K)$ . The affine system  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is orthonormal in  $L^2(K)$  if and only if*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \widehat{\psi_l}(\xi + u(t)) \overline{\widehat{\psi_m}(\mathbf{p}^{-j}(\xi + u(t)))} = \delta_{j,0} \delta_{l,m}$$

for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.,  $1 \leq l, m \leq L$ ,  $j \geq 0$ .

Following proposition can be derive with the help of Lemma 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_L\} \subset L^2(K)$  such that  $\widehat{\psi_m} = \mathbb{1}_{W_m}$ , where  $\bigcup_{m=1}^L W_m \subset K$  is measurable. Then affine system  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is an orthonormal system in  $L^2(K)$  if and only if*

- (1) for  $m = 1, \dots, L$ ;  $\{W_m + u(t) : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a partition of  $K$ .
- (2) for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\{W_m + u(t) : m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a partition of a subset of  $K$ .
- (3) for  $j \geq 1$ ,  $|W_l \cap \mathbf{p}^j W_m| = 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\widehat{\psi_m} = \mathbb{1}_{W_m}$ ,  $\forall m$ ; equation (2) changes to

$$(3) \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{W_l \cap \mathbf{p}^j W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = \delta_{j,0} \delta_{l,m}$$

for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.,  $1 \leq l, m \leq L$  and  $j \geq 0$ .

- When  $j = 0$  :

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{W_l \cap W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = \delta_{l,m}$$

$$- \text{ when } l = m, \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = 1.$$

Hence  $\{W_m + u(t) : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a partition of  $K$  for  $m = 1, \dots, L$ .

$$- \text{ when } l \neq m, \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{W_l \cap W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = 0.$$

Hence  $\{W_m : m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a partition of a subset of  $K$ .

- When  $j \neq 0$  :

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{W_l \cap \mathbf{p}^j W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{W_l \cap \mathbf{p}^j W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

$$\Rightarrow |W_l \cap \mathbf{p}^j W_m| = 0$$

Converse is easy. □

The following theorem is a necessary and sufficient condition for a affine system  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  to be a Parseval frame for  $L^2(K)$ , proved by Behera and Jahan in [3].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose  $\Psi = \{\psi^{(m)} : m = 1, 2, \dots, L\} \subset L^2(K)$ . Then the affine system  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is a Parseval multiframelet for  $L^2(K)$  if and only if the following equalities holds for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.*

(i)

$$(4) \quad \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\psi^{(m)}}(\mathbf{p}^{-j}\xi)|^2 = 1.$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \widehat{\psi^{(m)}}(\mathbf{p}^{-j}\xi) \overline{\widehat{\psi^{(m)}}(\mathbf{p}^{-j}(\xi + u(t)))} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus q\mathbb{N}_0.$$

In particular,  $\Psi$  is an multiwavelet of order  $L$  in  $L^2(K)$  if and only if  $\|\psi^{(m)}\| = 1$  for all  $1 \leq m \leq L$  and satisfy above two equations.

Similar to multiwavelet set, one can define parseval multiframelet set. We now characterize parseval multiframelet set in  $K$  with the help of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4.** *A set  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{m=1}^L W_m$  be a **Parseval multiframelet set** for  $L^2(K)$  if and only if*

- (1)  $\{\mathbf{p}^j W_m : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a measurable partition of a subset of  $K$ .
- (2) for all  $m = 1, \dots, L$  and for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{p}^j W_m \cap [\mathbf{p}^j W_m + u(t)] = \emptyset$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus q\mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{P}$  is a Parseval multiframelet set, there exist  $\Psi = \{\psi^{(m)} : m = 1, 2, \dots, L\} \subset L^2(K)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is a Parseval multiframelet for  $L^2(K)$  where  $\widehat{\psi^{(m)}} = \mathbf{1}_{W_m}$ ,  $\forall m$ .

From (i) of Theorem 3.3, we have, for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.

$$\sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbf{1}_{W_m}(\mathbf{p}^{-j}\xi)|^2 = 1 \iff \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}^j W_m}(\xi) = 1.$$

Therefore a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbf{p}^j W_m$  for some  $m = 1, \dots, L$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\{\mathbf{p}^j W_m : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a measurable partition of a subset of  $K$ .

Also from (ii) of Theorem 3.3, we have, for  $\xi \in K$  a.e. and  $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus q\mathbb{N}_0$

$$\sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}^j W_m}(\xi) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}^j W_m}(\xi + u(t)) = 0 \iff \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}^j W_m \cap [\mathbf{p}^j W_m + u(t)]}(\xi) = 0$$

Therefore for all  $m = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus q\mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\mathfrak{p}^j W_m \cap [\mathfrak{p}^j W_m + u(t)] = \emptyset.$$

Converse is easy to see. In particular, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a multiwavelet set then  $|W_m| = 1$  for all  $m$ , in addition satisfying above two conditions.  $\square$

Behera and Jahan have given following three equivalent conditions of Parseval multiframelet in [3].

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose  $\Psi = \{\psi^{(m)} : m = 1, 2, \dots, L\} \subset L^2(K)$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is a Besselet family with bound 1. Then the following are equivalent

- (1)  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is a Parseval multiframelet.
- (2)  $\Psi$  satisfies  $\sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\psi^{(m)}}(\mathfrak{p}^{-j}\xi)|^2 = 1$  for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.
- (3)  $\Psi$  satisfies

$$(5) \quad \sum_{m=1}^L \int_K \frac{|\widehat{\psi^{(m)}}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|} d\xi = \frac{q-1}{q}.$$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\Psi = \{\psi^{(1)}, \dots, \psi^{(L)}\} \subset L^2(K)$  with  $\widehat{\psi^{(m)}} = \mathbb{1}_{W_m}$ , where  $\bigcup_{m=1}^L W_m \subset K$  is measurable. If  $\mathcal{W}(\Psi)$  is a Besselet family bound by 1, then the following statements are equivalent

- (1)  $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} q^{-j} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-j}W_m} \hat{f}(\xi) \chi_{u(t)}(\mathfrak{p}^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right|^2, \forall f \in L^2(K).$
- (2)  $\{\mathfrak{p}^j W_m : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a measurable partition of a subset of  $K$ .
- (3)  $\int_{\bigcup_{m=1}^L W_m} \frac{1}{|\xi|} d\xi = \frac{q-1}{q}.$



*Proof.* By (1) of Theorem 3.5,  $\forall f \in L^2(K)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^2 &= \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\langle f, \psi_{j,t}^{(m)} \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\langle \hat{f}, \widehat{\psi_{j,t}^{(m)}} \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{By Parseval equality}) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left| q^{-\frac{j}{2}} \int_K \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\chi_{u(t)}(-\mathfrak{p}^j \xi)} \mathbb{1}_{W_m}(\mathfrak{p}^j \xi) d\xi \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} q^{-j} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-j} W_m} \hat{f}(\xi) \chi_{u(t)}(\mathfrak{p}^j \xi) d\xi \right|^2, \quad \forall f \in L^2(K).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, it clearly follows that  $\{\mathfrak{p}^j W_m : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a measurable partition of a subset of  $K$ .

Now by (3) of Theorem 3.5

$$\sum_{m=1}^L \int_K \frac{\mathbb{1}_{W_m}(\xi)}{|\xi|} d\xi = \sum_{m=1}^L \int_{W_m} \frac{1}{|\xi|} d\xi = \int_{\bigcup_{m=1}^L W_m} \frac{1}{|\xi|} d\xi = \frac{q-1}{q}.$$

So our assertion is tenable.  $\square$

Theorem 3.5 of [3] states that

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose  $\Psi = \{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L\} \subset L^2(K)$ . Then the following are equivalent

- (i)  $\Psi$  is a multiwavelet of  $L^2(K)$ .
- (ii)  $\Psi$  satisfy equations (2) and (4).
- (iii)  $\Psi$  satisfy equations (2) and (5).

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $\Psi = \{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L\} \subset L^2(K)$  such that  $\widehat{\psi^m} = \mathbb{1}_{W_m}$ , where  $W := \bigcup_{m=1}^L W_m$  is a measurable subset of  $K$ . Then the following are equivalent

- (1)  $W$  is a multiwavelet set in  $K$ .
- (2)  $W$  satisfies
  - (a) equation (3),
  - (b)  $\{\mathfrak{p}^j W_m : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 1, \dots, L\}$  is a measurable partition of a subset of  $K$ .
- (3)  $W$  satisfies

- (a) equation (3),  
 (b)  $\int_W \frac{1}{|\xi|} d\xi = \frac{q-1}{q}.$

*Proof.* This clearly follows from Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.7 with the help of equation (3).  $\square$

#### 4. MRA MULTIWAVELET SETS AND SCALING SETS

Wavelet on local field can be constructed from a multiresolution analysis (MRA) similar to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Jiang et al. [9] define an MRA and construct orthogonal wavelet on local field of positive characteristic. We now discuss MRA and its properties.

**Definition 4.1.** (*Multiresolution analysis.*) Let  $K$  be a local field of positive characteristic. A multiresolution analysis of  $L^2(K)$  is a sequence  $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of closed subspaces of  $L^2(K)$  satisfying following conditions :

- (i)  $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j} = L^2(K)$ ,
- (iii)  $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$ ,
- (iv)  $f \in V_j$  if and only if  $f(\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \cdot) \in V_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- (v) there exist a function  $\phi \in V_0$ , namely the scaling function, such that  $\{\phi(\cdot - u(t)) : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .

If we replace (v) by (vi) as follows, then the sequence  $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is said to be a Parseval multiresolution analysis (PMRA).

- (vi) there is a function  $\phi \in V_0$ , namely the Parseval scaling function, such that  $\{\phi(\cdot - u(t)) : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is an Parseval frame for  $V_0$ .

A measurable set  $S \subset K$  is a scaling set/Parseval scaling set if  $|\hat{\phi}| = \mathbf{1}_S$  for some scaling function/Parseval scaling function  $\phi$ . Behera and Jahan have proved following important characterization of a scaling set in [5].

**Theorem 4.2.** A function  $\phi \in L^2(K)$  is a scaling function for a multiresolution analysis of  $L^2(K)$  if and only if

- (1)  $\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\hat{\phi}(\xi + u(t))|^2 = 1$  for  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$  a.e.
- (2)  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\phi}(\mathfrak{p}^j \xi)| = 1$  for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.

(3) there exist an integral periodic function  $m_0 \in L^2(\mathfrak{D})$  such that

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = m_0(\mathfrak{p}\xi)\hat{\phi}(\mathfrak{p}\xi)$$

for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.

We can deduce the following result with the help of Theorem 4.2.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be the scaling set correspond to scaling function  $\phi$  of an MRA in  $L^2(K)$ . Then  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{p}^{-j}S = K$ .*

*Proof.* From (2) of Theorem 4.2, we have, for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\phi}(\mathfrak{p}^j \xi)| &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{1}_S(\mathfrak{p}^j \xi)| &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{p}^{-j}S}(\xi) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \xi &\in \mathfrak{p}^{-j}S \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

So  $K = \mathfrak{p}^{-j}S$  a.e. when  $j$  is large enough.

It is also to be noted from (1) of Theorem 4.2 that  $\{S + u(t) : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a partition of  $\mathfrak{D}$ .  $\square$

Shukla et al. [4] characterize of Parseval scaling function in term of Parseval scaling set in following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** *A function  $\phi$  such that  $|\hat{\phi}| = \mathbb{1}_S$ , for some measurable  $S \subset K$ , is a Parseval scaling function of a PMRA if and only if*

- (a)  $\{S + u(t) : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a measurable partition of a subset of  $K$ ,
- (b)  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{p}^{-j}S = K$ ,
- (c)  $S \subset \mathfrak{p}^{-j}S$ .

**Definition 4.5.** (*Dimension function.*) Suppose  $\Psi = \{\psi^m : m = 1, 2, \dots, L\} \subset L^2(K)$  is a multiwavelet for  $L^2(K)$ . The dimension function of  $\Psi$  is defined as

$$D_\Psi(\xi) = \sum_{m=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\widehat{\psi^m}(\mathfrak{p}^{-j}(\xi + u(t)))|^2, \text{ for } \xi \in K \text{ a.e.}$$

It is to be observe that if  $\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L \in L^2(K)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\widehat{\psi^m}(\mathfrak{p}^{-j}(\xi + u(t)))|^2 d\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-j} \int_K |\widehat{\psi^m}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Gripenberg [14] and Wang [15] independently proved that a wavelet is an MRA wavelet if and only if its dimension function is 1 a.e. in

real setting. In following theorem, Behera and Jahan [3] proved same result for  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *A multiwavelet  $\Psi = \{\psi^m : m = 1, 2, \dots, q-1\} \subset L^2(K)$  is an MRA multiwavelet if and only if  $D_\Psi(\xi) = 1$  for  $\xi \in K$  a.e.*

Based on the above theorem, we can see

**Theorem 4.7.** *A multiwavelet set  $W := W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_{q-1} \subseteq K$  induces an MRA if and only if  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{q-1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{\mathfrak{p}^j W_m + u(t)\} = K$  a.e.*

*Proof.* As  $W$  is a multiwavelet set, there exists a multiwavelet  $\Psi = \{\psi^m : m = 1, \dots, q-1\}$  such that  $\widehat{\psi^m} = \mathbf{1}_{W_m}$ .

We know from Theorem 4.6 that a multiwavelet is an MRA multiwavelet if and only if  $D_\Psi \equiv 1$  on  $K$  a.e. Therefore for  $\xi \in K$  a.e

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{1}_{W_m}(\mathfrak{p}^{-j}(\xi + u(t))) = 1 \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{p}^j W_m + u(t)}(\xi) = 1 \\ \Rightarrow & \bigcup_{m=1}^{q-1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{\mathfrak{p}^j W_m + u(t)\} = K. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. SUPER-WAVELET

In this section we discuss different properties of super-wavelet and associated set.

**Definition 5.1.** *(Equivalent super-wavelets.) Two Parseval frame super-wavelets  $(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m)$  and  $(\mu^1, \dots, \mu^n)$  are said to be equivalent if there exists a unitary operator*

$$U : \bigoplus_{j=1}^m L^2(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n L^2(K)$$

such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$U(\eta_{k,l}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \eta_{k,l}^m) = (\mu_{k,l}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_{k,l}^n).$$

**Definition 5.2.** *A Parseval framelet  $\Upsilon$  is said to be a  $n$ -decomposable, where  $n > 1$ , if  $\Upsilon$  is equivalent to a Parseval frame super-wavelet of length  $n$ .*

**Proposition 5.3.** ([6], Proposition 5.1). *Let  $(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)$  and  $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$  be Parseval frame super-wavelet in  $L^2(K)$ . Then they are equivalent if and only if for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\xi \in K$  a.e.*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \widehat{\psi_j}(\mathfrak{p}^{-n}(\xi + u(t))) \overline{\widehat{\psi_j}(\xi + u(t))} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \widehat{\phi_j}(\mathfrak{p}^{-n}(\xi + u(t))) \overline{\widehat{\phi_j}(\xi + u(t))}.$$

Now considering  $\widehat{\psi_j} = \mathbb{1}_{W_j}$  and  $\widehat{\phi_j} = \mathbb{1}_{S_j}$  for some measurable  $W_j, S_j \subset K$ , the equation (6) changes to

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{[\mathfrak{p}^n W_j + u(t)] \cap [W_j + u(t)]}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{[\mathfrak{p}^n S_j + u(t)] \cap [S_j + u(t)]}(\xi).$$

Shukla and Maury [6] gave following necessary condition for a Parseval framelet to be decomposable.

**Proposition 5.4.** *If  $\psi$  is a  $m$ -decomposable Parseval framelet then*

$$(7) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\widehat{\psi}(\xi + u(t))|^2}{|\xi|^2} d\xi \geq m \frac{q-1}{q}.$$

With  $\widehat{\psi} = \mathbb{1}_W$ , equation (7) changes to

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{W+u(t)}(\xi)}{|\xi|^2} d\xi \geq m \frac{q-1}{q}.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is highly indebted to the fiscal support of Ministry of Human Resource Development (M.H.R.D.), Government of India.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Auscher : Solution of two problems on wavelets. J. Geom. Anal. 5 (1995), 181 – 236.
- [2] M. Bownik, R. Rzesotnik, D. Speegle : A Characterization of Dimension Functions of Wavelets. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis 10, 71 – 92 (2001).
- [3] B. Behera, Q. Jahan : Characterization of wavelets and MRA wavelets on local fields of positive characteristic, Collect. Math. 66(1) (2015), 33–53.
- [4] N.K. Shukla, S.C. Maury & S. Mittal : Semi-orthogonal Parseval Wavelets Associated with GMRA's on Local Fields of Positive Characteristic, Mediterr. J. Math. 16(5) (2019): 120.
- [5] B. Behera, Q. Jahan : Multiresolution analysis on local fields and characterization of scaling functions. Adv. Pure Appl. Math. 3, 181–202 (2012)

- [6] N.K. Shukla, S.C. Maury : Super-wavelets on local fields of positive characteristic, *Mathematische Nachrichten.* 2017;1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201500344>
- [7] D. Ramakrishnan, R. J. Valenza : *Fourier Analysis on Number Fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [8] M.H. Taibleson : *Fourier analysis on Local Fields*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975.
- [9] H. Jiang, D. Li & N. Jin : Multiresolution analysis on local fields. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 294, 523–532 (2004).
- [10] S. Zheng : Riesz type kernels over the ring of integers of a local field. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 208, 528 – 552 (1997).
- [11] J.J. Benedetto, R.L. Benedetto : A wavelet theory for local fields and related groups. *J. Geom. Anal.* 14(3), 423 – 456 (2004).
- [12] B. Currey, A. Mayeli : Gabor fields and wavelet sets for the Heisenberg group. *Monatsh. Math.* 162(2), 119 – 142 (2011).
- [13] R.L. Benedetto : Examples of wavelets for local fields, *Wavelets, frames and operator theory. Contemp. Math.*, 345, 27 – 47 (2004).
- [14] G. Gripenberg : A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a father wavelet. *Stud. Math.*, 114, 207–226 (1995).
- [15] X. Wang : The study of wavelets from the properties of their Fourier transform, PhD. Thesis, Washington University (1995).
- [16] Bhandari, A., Mukherjee, S.: *Atomic subspaces for operators*, *Ind. J. Pure and Appl. Math.* (2019).
- [17] Christensen, O.: *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhauser (2002).
- [18] Daubechies, I., Grossmann, A., Meyer, Y.: *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **27**(5), 1271 – 1283 (1986).
- [19] Duffin, R.S., Schaeffer, A. C.: *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**, 341 – 366 (1952).
- [20] Kozyrev, S.V.: *Wavelet analysis as a p-adic spectral analysis*, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat.*, **66**(2), 149 – 158 (2002).
- [21] Mallat, S.: *A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing*, Academic Press (1998).
- [22] Young, R.: *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, New York (1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIT ROURKELA, ROURKELA 769008, INDIA  
*Email address:* debamath.haldar@gmail.com