Coherent ground-state transport of neutral atoms
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Quantum state transport is an important way to study the energy or information flow. By combining the unconventional Rydberg pumping mechanism and the diagonal form of van der Waals interactions, we construct a theoretical model via second-order perturbation theory to realize a long-range coherent transport inside the ground-state manifold of neutral atoms system. With the adjustment of the Rabi frequencies and the interatomic distance, this model can be used to simulate various single-excitation dynamics such as Heisenberg XX spin chain, perfect quantum state transfer, Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model, and chiral ground-state current, which effectively avoid the influence of atomic spontaneous emission at the same time. Moreover, the mismatch of the unconventional Rydberg pumping condition caused by the fluctuation of the atomic position only affects the period of quantum state transfer rather than the fidelity of state. Therefore, our work provides a robust and easy-implemented scheme for quantum-state engineering with neutral atoms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum state transport plays an important role in understanding the energy or information flow at the microscopic particle level. Because of its simplicity, spin-chain system with nearest-neighbor hopping has been extensively used to realize quantum state transmission [1–10]. In order to achieve high-fidelity transfer of quantum information, various transport protocols have been put forward, such as modulation of the couplings between neighboring spins [11–16], exploitation of the chiral topological edge states [17, 18], and construction of a stimulated Raman adiabatic passage [19–21], especially combined with the topologically protected edge states [22, 23]. Among many physical systems, Rydberg atoms have been regarded as a good candidate to simulate spin-chain models on account of its remarkable properties [24–28]. In particular, the long-range interactions are capable of causing diverse consequences such as Rydberg blockade [29–31] and antiblockade [32, 33] over long-range molecules [34, 35].

Recently quantum state transfer schemes based on Rydberg atoms have made rapid progresses both theoretically and experimentally [36–47]. According to different coding modes of qubit, these schemes can be divided into three categories. One is the spin-exchange between Rydberg states [36–39]. For instance, Barredo et al. [36] studied this hopping in a spin chain constructed by individually addressable Rydberg atoms by utilizing the long-range resonant dipole-dipole coupling. The second one is the quantum state transfer between ground state and Rydberg state which remains as a second-order process in terms of laser-spin coupling [40–43]. To reach this target, Yang et al. [42] constructed an exchange interaction between ground state and Rydberg state, mediated by synthetic spin exchange arising from diagonal van der Waals interaction. In the latter case, the excitation transport takes place in the ground-state manifold through a fourth-order process [44–46], where the effective spin-spin interactions between ground state atoms are obtained by dressing Rydberg states with dipole-dipole interaction, vdW interactions, and Förster-resonance interaction.

In this work, we make use of the the diagonal vdW interactions and the unconventional Rydberg pumping [48, 49] to realize coherent excitation transport inside ground-state manifold of a series of three-level Rydberg atoms. The simple energy level structure can help reduce the complexity of the operation experiment. Because the evolution dynamics of the whole system is a second-order process, we can easily modulate the effective coupling strength between adjacent sites. Consequently, the current system can be used to simulate various single-excitation dynamics of few-body systems such as Heisenberg XX spin chain [50–52], perfect state transfer (PST), and one-dimensional Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (1D SSH) model [53–55] by rearrangement of the atoms. The advantage of our system is that no-fine tuning of atomic position is required because the deviation from the unconventional Rydberg pumping condition will only alter the evolution period of the quantum state without destroying the realization of the scheme.

Moreover, focusing on three Rydberg atoms arranged in an equilateral triangle, a chiral ground-state current can be obtained via periodically switching on and off the weak driving fields [56], in which the excitation hops from site to site in a preferred direction induced by a synthetic gauge field and breaks the time-reversal symmetry (TRS). Compared with the recent experimental observation of chiral motion in spin-orbit coupled Rydberg system [57], our scheme does not require precise control of electric or magnetic fields.
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The considered system is shown in Fig. 1(a), which incorporates \( N \) three-level Rydberg atoms. The position of the \( j \)th atom is labeled as \( r_j \) and the distance between the \( j \)th and \( k \)th atoms is \( r_{jk} = |r_j - r_k| \). The configuration of each atom shown in Fig. 1(b) consist of two ground states \( |g⟩ \), \( |e⟩ \) and a Rydberg state \( |r⟩ \). The ground state \( |g⟩ \) is dispersively coupled to the excited state \( |r⟩ \) by a weak laser field of Rabi frequency \( \Omega_j \) at site \( j \), detuning \( \delta \), while the transition between \( |e⟩ \) and \( |r⟩ \) is driven by a strong laser field of Rabi frequency \( \Omega_p \), detuned by \( \Delta \). In the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian of the system reads (\( \hbar = 1 \))

\[
H_I = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Omega_j |g⟩⟨r_j| + \Omega_p |e⟩⟨r_j| + \text{H.c.} + \delta |g⟩⟨g| + \Delta |e⟩⟨e| + \sum_{j \neq k} U_{jk} |r_j r_k⟩⟨r_j r_k|,
\]

where \( U_{jk} \) is the vdW interaction between atoms in the Rydberg state located in \( r_j, k \). To be concrete, we are considering \( ^{87}\text{Rb} \) atoms as example. The ground states here are chosen as \( |g⟩ = |5S_{1/2}, F = 1, m_F = 1⟩ \), \( |e⟩ = |5S_{1/2}, F = 2, m_F = 0⟩ \) and the Rydberg state is chosen as \( |r⟩ = |7S_{1/2}, m_j = 1/2⟩ \). The transition between \( |e⟩ \), \( |g⟩ \) to the Rydberg state \( |r⟩ \) are driven by two-photon process in which state \( |g⟩ \) is first addressed to the intermediate state \( |5P_{3/2}, F = 2, m_F = 2⟩ \) with a weak laser field at 780 nm and \( \sigma_+ \) polarized, and then coupled to \( |r⟩ \) with a counter \( \sigma_- \) polarized propagating field at 479 nm, while state \( |e⟩ \) is driven to the intermediate state \( |5P_{3/2}, F = 1, m_F = 0⟩ \) with a strong \( \pi \)-polarized laser field at 795 nm and then coupled to Rydberg state \( |r⟩ \) at 474 nm [58, 59]. The second order non-degenerate perturbation theory gives that the dispersion coefficient \( C_6 \) of the van der Waals interaction is 1.448 THz \( \cdot \mu \text{m}^6 \) for state \( |7S_{1/2}⟩ \) [60]. Nevertheless, the perturbative calculations are not working at all because splittings between energy levels are smaller than interaction energies. In order to find a more practical system parameters, we consider the states with similar energy and quantum numbers \( |n - 73| \leq 5 \) and \( |L| \leq 4 \) and their interactions based on the open software “pairinteraction” [61]. After diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of these quantum states, the energy map is shown in Fig. 1(c) and the population of the state \( |rr⟩ \) is the Rydberg state is chosen as \( \Omega_p \). For \( R \in [2, 10] \) \( \mu \text{m} \), according to the overlap with the state \( |rr⟩ \), there are mainly three eigenstates, one with positive energy and the other two with negative energy. In the later discussion, it will be found that, within the parameters discussed in our article, the state of negative eigenvalue does not work for our system and can be effectively ignored. Thus we only focus on the positive one and the vdW interaction continuously varies from \( 2\pi \times 1500 \) MHz to \( 2\pi \times 1422 \) MHz with \( R \) adjusted from 2 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). Unless otherwise specified, we assume that distance between the nearest neighbor (NN) atoms \( R = 3.6 \) \( \mu \text{m} \) for the following numerical simulation, which corresponds to \( U_{ij,j+1} \approx 2\pi \times 300 \) MHz.

In the limit of large detuning \( \Delta \gg \Omega_p, \delta \gg \Omega_j \) and the unconventional Rydberg pumping condition \( U_{ij,j+1} = \Delta \), the high-frequency oscillating term proportional to \( \Delta \) can be neglected and the computational space is simplified by considering the initial state \( |eg...g⟩ \). At the same time, the limit condition \( \Omega_p \gg \Omega_j \) allows us to further adiabatically eliminate the Rydberg states via the effective operator method [62, 63]. Taking \( N = 2 \) as an example and assuming \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \Omega \), the Hamiltonian of the system in the interaction picture reads

\[
H_I^2 = \Omega_p e^{-i\Delta t} \left(|re⟩⟨ee| + |er⟩⟨ee| + |rg⟩⟨eg| + |gr⟩⟨ge| + \Omega e^{-i\delta t}(|re⟩⟨ge| + |rg⟩⟨gg⟩ + |er⟩⟨eg| + |gr⟩⟨gg|) + \Omega e^{i(\Delta - \delta) t}(|rr⟩⟨gr| + |rr⟩⟨rg|) + \Omega e^{i(\Delta - \Delta - \delta) t}(|rr⟩⟨er| + |rr⟩⟨re|) + \text{H.c.} \right)
\]

(2)

If the system is initialized at state \( |eg⟩ \) and considering the condition \( U_{12} = \Delta \) and \( \Delta \gg \{|\Omega_p, \delta\} \), the high-frequency oscillating terms can be neglected and the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

\[
H_I^2 = \Omega p e^{-i\delta t} (|re⟩⟨ge| + |er⟩⟨eg| + \Omega_p (|rr⟩⟨er| + |rr⟩⟨re|) + \text{H.c.}
\]

(3)

In a rotating frame with respect to \( U = e^{i(\delta |eg⟩⟨eg| + \delta |ge⟩⟨ge|) t} \), the system is modeled by the Hamiltonian \( H = H_0 + V_+ + V_- \), where

\[
H_0 = \Omega_p (|er⟩⟨rr| + |re⟩⟨rr|) + \text{H.c.} + \delta (|eg⟩⟨eg| + |ge⟩⟨ge|),
\]

(4)
the effects of spontaneous emission. (b) Populations of states |eg⟩ and |ge⟩ together with the singly (purple) and doubly (green) excited-states. (c) Population evolutions with spontaneous emission.

FIG. 2. (a) Populations of the two atom states |eg⟩ (solid) and |ge⟩ (dashed) governed by full and effective master equation neglecting the effects of spontaneous emission. (b) Populations of states |eg⟩ and |ge⟩ under the perfect transmission condition Ω = 0.05Ωp. (b) The evolution of ground states governed by full Hamiltonian under the perfect transmission condition Ω = 0.05Ωp. While the other parameters are taken as Ωp = 2π × 1 MHz, δ = 0.05Ωp, and Δ = 300Ωp.

and
\[
V_+ = V_+^\dagger = \Omega (|re⟩⟨ge| + |er⟩⟨eg|). \quad (5)
\]
After shifting the energy to make the energies of ground states |ge⟩ and |eg⟩ zero, the Hamiltonian H0 can be rewritten as
\[
H_0 = \Omega_p(|er⟩⟨rr| + |re⟩⟨rr|) + H.c. - \delta(|er⟩⟨er| + |re⟩⟨re| + |rr⟩⟨rr|). \quad (6)
\]
Here we also consider the branching ratio of the spontaneous emission rate of the excited states of the atoms to ground states are the same, i.e. \(L_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|g⟩⟩r|\), \(L_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|g⟩⟩e|\), \(L_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|e⟩⟩r|\), \(L_4 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|e⟩⟩e|\). The excited states can be adiabatically eliminated when the optical pumping laser is sufficiently weak, provided that the excited states are not initially populated. Under the second-order perturbation theory, the dynamics is given by the effective Hamiltonian
\[
\begin{align*}
H_{\text{eff}} &= -\frac{1}{2}[V - H_{\text{NH}}^{-1}] V + V - (H_{\text{NH}}^{-1})^1 V. \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]
Applying the above equation to our setup with \(H_{\text{NH}} = H_0 - \sum_j L_j^\dagger L_j\), a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, the effective Hamiltonian and master equation can be obtained as
\[
H_{\text{eff}} = J_{12}(\sigma_1^- \sigma_2^- + \sigma_1^+ \sigma_2^+),
\]
\[
\dot{\rho} = -i[H_{\text{eff}}, \rho] + \sum_{i=1}^4 L_i^{\text{eff}} \rho L_i^{\text{eff}} - \frac{1}{2}(L_i^{\text{eff}} L_i^{\text{eff}}^\dagger + L_i^{\text{eff}}^\dagger L_i^{\text{eff}}), \quad (9)
\]
where
\[
L_i^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_1 |ge⟩⟨ge| + \Gamma_2 |ge⟩⟨eg| + \Gamma_3 (|gr⟩⟨ge| + |rg⟩⟨eg|),
\]
\[
L_2^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_1 |eg⟩⟨eg| + \Gamma_2 |eg⟩⟨ge| + \Gamma_3 (|rg⟩⟨ge| + |rg⟩⟨eg|),
\]
\[
L_3^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_1 |ee⟩⟨ge| + \Gamma_2 |ee⟩⟨eg| + \Gamma_3 (|er⟩⟨ge| + |re⟩⟨eg|),
\]
\[
L_4^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_1 |ee⟩⟨ge| + \Gamma_2 |ee⟩⟨eg| + \Gamma_3 (|re⟩⟨ge| + |re⟩⟨eg|),
\]
in which \(J_{12} = \Omega_2^2 \Omega_p^2/(\delta^4 - 2\delta^2 \Omega_2^2)\) describes the effective coupling between ground states, and \(\Gamma_1 = \Omega \chi (\gamma^2 - 3\gamma \delta - 2\delta^2 + 2\Omega^2)/\gamma^2\), \(\Gamma_2 = -2\Omega \chi^2 \chi / (\gamma - 2i\delta), \Gamma_3 = \Omega_2 \chi^2 \chi, \chi = \sqrt{27}/(\Omega^2 - 3\gamma \delta - 2\delta^2 + 10\Omega^2)\) are effective spontaneous emission rates.

The resulting population oscillation between states |eg⟩ and |eg⟩ can be clearly seen in Fig. 2(a) without considering the dissipative parts under parameters Ωp = δ = 2π × 1 MHz, Ω = 0.05Ωp, and Δ = 300Ωp. The evolution governed by the effective Hamiltonian is well coincident with the full one.

In Fig. 2(b), populations of singly (purple) and doubly (green) excited states were measured to compare with the method Wüster et.al provided in Ref. [44]. The inhibition effect of doubly and singly excited states of our scheme is better under the same evolutionary cycle. Fig. 2(c) shows the evolution governed by the effective master equation with \(\gamma = 2\pi \times 0.005\) MHz. The result illustrates that although the system cannot completely simulate multiple perfect transmissions when consider the atom spontaneous emission, the system can still be well described by a two-level form. Now the effective Hamiltonian for arbitrary N particles reduces to
\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} J_{j,j+1}(\sigma_j^+ \sigma_{j+1}^- + \sigma_j^- \sigma_{j+1}^+),
\]
\[
L_1^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_1 |ge⟩⟨ge| + \Gamma_2 |ge⟩⟨eg| + \Gamma_3 (|gr⟩⟨ge| + |rg⟩⟨eg|).
\]
where \( \sigma_j^+ \) is a pseudo spin operator read as \( \sigma_j^+ = |e_j\rangle\langle g_j| \), while \( J_{j,j+1} = \Omega_j\Omega_{j+1}\Omega_j^2/(\delta^3 - 2\delta\Omega_j^2) \). Note that when \( \Omega_p \) and \( \delta \) hold the same magnitude, the form of coupling strength between ground states is simplified as \(-\Omega_j\Omega_{j+1}/\delta\) which is only related to the properties of the weak driving fields. As \( J_{j,j+1} = J \), Eq. (14) is equivalent to a Heisenberg XX spin chain in individually excited space. Fig. 3(a) depicts the spin chain dynamics of five particle governed by the full Hamiltonian (1). The corresponding parameters are taken as \( \Omega_p = \delta = 2\pi \times 1 \text{ MHz}, \Delta = 2\pi \times 300 \text{ MHz}, \) and \( \Omega_j = 0.05\Omega_p \) in order to be consistent with the effective Hamiltonian (14). Meanwhile, the PST can be achieved by tuning the Rabi frequencies \( \Omega_j \) to meet the condition \( J_{j,j+1} = J\sqrt{J(N-j)} \) [11]. For the same five particle case as above, the corresponding parameters can be selected as \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_5 = \Omega, \Omega_2 = \Omega_4 = 2\Omega, \) and \( \Omega_3 = \sqrt{3}/2\Omega \), where \( \Omega = 0.025\Omega_p \), and the populations of single-excited states governed by the full Hamiltonian (1) are shown in Fig. 3(b).

The fluctuation of atoms around their equilibration places can break the condition \( U_{ij,j+1} = \Delta \). To take this into account, we introduce the degree of deviation \( \Delta U = U_{ij,j+1} - \Delta \) and further discuss the dynamics of the system with respect to \( \Delta U \). Note that the detuning considered here is not very large to ensure that the near resonance term \( \Omega_p \) held is still dominant. After using the effective operator method, the effective Hamiltonian keeps the same form as Eq. (8) with a new effective coupling strength related to \( \Delta U \)

\[
J_{12} = \frac{\Omega_j^2\Omega_{j+1}^2}{\delta^3 - 2\delta\Omega_j^2 - \delta^2\Delta U}.
\]

Setting \( \Omega_p = \delta = 2\pi \times 1 \text{ MHz}, \Delta = 2\pi \times 300 \text{ MHz} \) and \( \Omega = 0.05\Omega_p \), the dynamical evolution is numerically modeled with different \( \Delta U \) shown in Fig. 4. Since \( \delta^3 - 2\delta\Omega_j^2 < 0 \), according to Eq. (15), the increase of value for a positive \( \Delta U \) will lead to the decrease of coupling coefficient \( J_{12} \) and the extension of evolution period. While for a negative \( \Delta U < 0 \), the evolution period is shortened under the condition \( 0 < |\Delta U| < (1 + 2\delta\Omega_p^2 - \delta^3)/\delta^2 \) and extended under the condition \( |\Delta U| > (1 + 2\delta\Omega_p^2 - \delta^3)/\delta^2 \). In short, in the case of small deviation, the above derivation process is still valid and the effective coupling strength \( J_{j,j+1} \) becomes a function of \( \Delta U \) which will only change the evolution cycle of the system, and will not invalidate the scheme.

**III. TOPOLOGICAL SPIN MODEL**

Inspired by the inherent adjustable coupling of the system, we show that the following 1D SSH model can be constructed [53–55, 64–67]

\[
H_{\text{ssh}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_a \sigma_{2i-1}^+ \sigma_{2i}^- + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_b \sigma_{2i}^+ \sigma_{2i+1}^- + \text{H.c.,}
\]

(16)

with regard to even number of particles, where \( J_a \) and \( J_b \) represent real intra- and inter-unit-cell hopping coefficients, respectively. Different from the previous scheme (i.e. \( U = \Delta \)),

![FIG. 5. (a) The effective coupling processes have been chosen between ground states together considering \( \Delta \) with atoms arranged in a line. (b) The ratio of \( J_a \) to \( J_b \) is shown as a function of the detuning \( \Delta \). (c) Populations of ground states \( |\text{egg}\rangle \) (solid), \( |\text{gggg}\rangle \) (dot-dash), \( |\text{gggg}^{-}\rangle \) (dotted) and \( |\text{ggge}^{-}\rangle \) (dash) governed by full and effective Hamiltonian (1) with \( \Delta = 2\pi \times 340 \text{ MHz} \). (d) The population evolutions of ground states with \( \Delta = 2\pi \times 300 \text{ MHz} \). (e) The energy spectrum with topological phase with \( N = 100 \). The parameters are taken as \( \Omega_p = \delta = 2\pi \times 1 \text{ MHz}, \Omega_j = 0.05\Omega_p, \tau_{2\pi-1,2i} = 3.4 \mu\text{m}, \) and \( \tau_{2\pi,2i+1} = 3.7 \mu\text{m} \).

![FIG. 4. The mismatch of the unconventional Rydberg pumping condition. The value of \( \Delta U \) affects the evolution cycle while less affects the transfer effect. The parameters are taken as \( \delta = \Omega_p = 2\pi \times 1 \text{ MHz}, \Delta = 2\pi \times 300 \text{ MHz}, \) and \( \Omega = 0.05\Omega_p \).]
we here take the deviation of the unconventional Rydberg pumping condition $\Delta U$ as an control parameter to achieve our goal. When $U_{j,j+1}$ is significantly different from $\Delta$ ($\Delta U$ is relatively large), the extra coupling induced by doubly “excited” states with two atoms in state $|e\rangle$ while others in $|g\rangle$ should also be considered. For the simplest system composed of three particles with non-identical coupling, the transition paths between ground states are shown in Fig. 5(a). Thus the form of $H_{NH}$ and $V_{+}$ should be rewritten as

$$H_{NH} = (\Delta U_{a} - \delta)[|rrg\rangle\langle rrg| - \delta(|erg\rangle\langle erg| + |reg\rangle\langle reg| + |ger\rangle\langle ger| + |gre\rangle\langle gre| + (\Delta U_{b} - \delta)|grr\rangle\langle grr| + (\Delta - \delta)(|egg\rangle\langle egg| + |gee\rangle\langle gee|) + \Omega_{p}(|ger\rangle\langle grr| + |gre\rangle\langle grr| + |gee\rangle\langle gee| + H.c.),$$

$$V_{+} = \Omega_{2}|egg\rangle\langle egg| + \Omega_{1}|reg\rangle\langle reg| + \Omega_{3}|ger\rangle\langle ger| + \Omega_{2}|gre\rangle\langle gre|,$$

where $\Delta U_{k} = U_{k} - \Delta$, $k = a, b$, $\Delta U_{a} \propto 1/r_{2i-1,2i}$ and $\Delta U_{b} \propto 1/r_{2i,2i+1}$. Assuming $\Omega_{1} = \Omega_{2}$, via applying the effective operator method, the effective coupling strength can be obtained as

$$J_{k} = -\frac{\Omega_{2}^{2}\Omega_{1}^{2}(U_{k} - 2\tilde{\delta})}{\eta_{k} - \delta^{2}(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\delta)},$$

where $\tilde{\delta} = \delta + \Omega_{p}^{2}/\Delta$, $\eta_{k} = (U_{k} - 2\tilde{\delta}) + \delta^{2}(\tilde{\delta} - U_{k}/2)^{2}$. If the two distances between atoms are set to $r_{2i-1,2i} = 3.4 \mu m$ and $r_{2i,2i+1} = 3.7 \mu m$ respectively, the corresponding vdW interactions are $U_{a} \approx 2\pi \times 370.277 MHz$ and $U_{b} \approx 2\pi \times 280.675 MHz$. Therefore, after setting other parameters as $\Omega_{p} = \delta = 2\pi \times 1 MHz$, $\Omega = 0.05\Omega_{p}$, the effective coupling strength $J_{k}$ become a single valued function of $\Delta$. As illustrated in Fig. 5(b), the relation $|J_{a}/J_{b}|$ is related to topological phase. When $\Delta \in 2\pi \times [300,320) MHz$, the system corresponds to trivial topological phase for $|J_{a}/J_{b}| < 1$, while an additional signal localized at the boundaries around zero energy can be observed. When $\Delta \in 2\pi \times [320,340) MHz$, the system corresponds to trivial phase for $|J_{a}/J_{b}| > 1$ with two discrete energy bands.

So the system can be modulated from the nontrivial topological phase to trivial topological phase by regulating the detuning $\Delta$ from $2\pi \times 300 MHz$ to $2\pi \times 340 MHz$ within the current parameter setting range. Fig. 5(c) and 5(d) respectively show the evolutions of ground states of $N = 4$ under the parameters $\Delta = 2\pi \times 340 MHz$ and $\Delta = 2\pi \times 300 MHz$, where the evolutions governed by the effective Hamiltonian is well coincident with the full one, proving that the approximation is valid. In Fig. 5(e), we further characterize the energy spectrum of a multi-particle $(N = 100)$ SSH model in the case of single excitation under the parameter $\Delta = 2\pi \times 300 MHz$. The gap between the edge state and bulk is about 0.52 Hz which corresponds to nontrivial topological phase with two zero-energy edge states.

FIG. 6. The transport dynamics and the edge states of the system with $N = 8$. (a) and (b) correspond to the populations of two edge states governed by the effective Hamiltonian. (c) Populations of the edge state A governed by the full Hamiltonian (solid line) and the populations of states $|egg\ldots g\rangle$ and $|gg\ldots g\rangle$ governed by the full (dash and dotted) and effective (diamond and circle) Hamiltonian initially excited at second particle, respectively. The parameters are taken as $\Omega_{p} = \delta = 2\pi \times 1 MHz$, $\Omega = 0.05\Omega_{p}$, $\Delta = 2\pi \times 300 MHz$, $r_{2i-1,2i} = 3.4 \mu m$, and $r_{2i,2i+1} = 3.7 \mu m$.

FIG. 7. (a) Schematic representations of Rydberg atoms arranged as equilateral triangle form, $J_{jk}$ describes the effective coupling between the $j$th and $k$th atom. (b) Periodic modulated pulses for realizing the chiral ground-state current. (c) The effective coupling processes have been chosen between ground states with atoms arranged in an equilateral triangle.
intermediate particles except the two sides. These behaviors characterize as the topological structure. We can also see from Fig. 6 that the dynamics described by the effective Hamiltonian (marked with circle and diamond) is the same as the full Hamiltonian. Therefore, using the ground state of Rydberg atom can construct an effective SSH model, and help to further provides an alternative way for realization of quantum state transmission based on topological model [22, 23].

IV. GROUND-STATE CHIRAL CURRENT

Compared with the tight binding model with open boundary condition, the tight binding model with periodic boundary condition can show more abundant physical properties. For three particles arranged in an equilateral triangle shown in Fig. 7(a), the following form of Hamiltonian can exist under the induction of gauge field

\[ H_{\Phi_z} = -J_z (e^{i\Phi_{z1}} \sigma_1^+ \sigma_2^- + e^{i\Phi_{z2}} \sigma_2^+ \sigma_3^- + e^{i\Phi_{z3}} \sigma_3^+ \sigma_1^-) + H.c. \]

(20)

where \( J_z \) is a positive real number and \( \Phi_z = \Phi_{z1} + \Phi_{z2} + \phi_{z3} \) can been seen as a synthetic flux behaving similarly to physical magnetic flux. When \( \Phi_z = \pi/2 \), the atomic excitation \( |e\rangle \) propagates in the counter clockwise direction \( 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \) while \( \Phi_z = -\pi/2 \), the direction of transmission reverses. This kind of directional motion breaks the time-reversal symmetry which is known as a chiral current [56, 57].

In our scheme, this chiral current model can be simulated by periodic modulation under the floquet theorem [68–73], and the phase of the hopping amplitude between two sites can be induced through the noncommutativity between Hamiltonians. To be specific, the piecewise constant Hamiltonian is shown in the form of

\[ H(t) = \begin{cases} H_1, & t \in [0, T/3) \\ H_2, & t \in (T/3, 2T/3) \\ H_3, & t \in [2T/3, T) \end{cases} \]

(21)

where

\[ H_i = \Omega_i |r\rangle_3 \langle g| + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Omega_p |r\rangle_j \langle e| + H.c. + \delta |g\rangle_3 \langle g| + \Delta |e\rangle_3 \langle e| + \sum_{j \neq k} J_{jk} |r_j r_k\rangle \langle r_j r_k| \]

(22)

In this case, the evolutionary period of the system has been set as \( T \), it contains three processes and each process is described by \( H_i \) corresponding to the evolution time \( t = T/3 \), which can be achieved by switching on and off the weak fields coupled to the transition between states \( |g\rangle \) and \( |r\rangle \) of atom in sequence. Considering the effect of all 27 states on the system dynamics during alternation, the effective Hamiltonian is presented as \( H_{\text{eff}} = \log(e^{-iH_3/\tau} e^{-iH_2/\tau} e^{-iH_1/\tau})/T \) [74]. The quasi-energy spectrum of the effective Hamiltonian under various time intervals is displayed in Fig. 8(a), which keeps only the eigenenergies in the ground-state manifolds constructed by \( |egg\rangle, |geg\rangle \), and \( |gge\rangle \) for the sake of clarity. When the time interval \( \tau < 0.035 \mu s \), there exists a double-degenerated quasi-energy and the effective Hamiltonian can be well described by the Trotter product formula \( \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{-iH_3 T / 3N} e^{-iH_2 T / 3N} e^{-iH_1 T / 3N} = e^{-i(H_1 + H_2 + H_3) T / 3} \) [75, 76], from which we obtain \( H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} J'_{j,j+1} |\sigma_j^+ \sigma_{j+1}^- + \sigma_j^- \sigma_{j+1}^+| \). where \( J'_{j,j+1} = \Omega_j \Omega_{j+1}^{\text{eff}} \sum_{s} 3|\delta^3 - 2\Omega_s^2|, (\Omega_{j+1} = \Omega_j) \). This condition coincides with the population evolutions of ground states with \( \tau = 0.01 \mu s \) shown in Fig. 8(d). Due to the
influence of the hopping phase, the degeneracy is removed as $\tau$ gets longer and the population evolutions are shown in Fig. 8(e) with $\tau = 0.1 \mu s$ as an example. When the difference between the eigenenergies is equal as shown in Fig. 8(c), the phase induced by the alternate evolution are just $\pm \pi/2$ which can result in a ground-state chiral current as shown in Fig. 8(d) corresponding $\tau = 0.12425 \mu s$.

A combination of numerical and analytical methods is used to gain the specific value of $\Pi_{12(23,31)}$ under various time intervals. According to Eq. (22), under the condition $U_{\ell,j+1} = \Delta$, $\Delta \gg \Omega_p$ and $\delta \gg \Omega$, we can neglect the high-frequency oscillating terms and the dynamics of the system mainly locals in the space constructed by $|eg\rangle$, $|gr\rangle$, $|rg\rangle$, $|ge\rangle$, $|ge\rangle$, $|rg\rangle$, $|gr\rangle$, $|gr\rangle$, and $|erg\rangle$ as shown in Fig. 7(c). Thus, in order to simplify computational space, we complete the following calculations in the subspace composed of above 12 states. Once interval $\tau$ is chosen, the effective Hamiltonian can be numerically obtained via the second order perturbation theory (see Appendix for details). To promise the results consistent with the ground-state dynamics, we keep the convergent results and discard the divergent results. On average, $J_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Phi$ according to different time intervals based on above method are shown in Fig. 9(a) and 9(b), respectively, from which, we can read the specific value of $J_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Phi$ for any $\tau$. For example, when $\tau = 0.01 \mu s$, $J_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.001763$ MHz, $\Phi \approx 0$, and when $\tau = 0.1 \mu s$, $J_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.0017$ MHz, $\Phi \approx 0.0263\pi$. The evolutions of the populations governed by these effective Hamiltonian with $J_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Phi$ shown in Fig. 8(d)-(f) with different symbols coincide with the full one which indicates that the effective results are reliable.

When $\Phi = \pm \pi/6$, the ground-state current can be successfully obtained since the effective Hamiltonian of the system fits Eq. (20). As can be seen from Fig. 9(b), there are multiple time intervals that can be selected, and we only choose the shortest time to do the following discussion for convenience. Fig. 9(c)(e) and 9(d)(f) respectively show the excited population transport between different atoms governed by full Hamiltonian Eq. (21) with $\tau_1 = 0.12425 \mu s$ and $\tau_2 = 0.15025 \mu s$. From the atomic arrangement shown in Fig. 7(a), we can see that $\tau_{1(2)}$ leads to the antclockwise (clockwise) current. Thus, the direction of current can be controlled by changing $\tau$. The parameters are taken as $\Omega_p = \delta = 2\pi \times 1$ MHz, $\Omega = 0.05 \Omega_p$, $\Delta = U_{\ell,k} = 2\pi \times 300$ MHz, and the effective coupling strength $J_{\text{eff}}(2) \approx 0.00155 (0.00314)$ MHz corresponds to $\tau_{1(2)}$. Since the effective coupling strength is related to $\Omega_p$, $\Omega$, and $\delta$, the time interval $\tau$ required to get the chiral current possibly related to these parameters. We have performed numerical simulation under different parameters and the comparison shows that $\tau$ is mainly related to $\delta$ and $\Omega_p$. Fig. 9(g) and 9(h) further characterize the change of $\tau$ with $\delta$ and $\Omega_p$, respectively. After polynomial fitting, we obtain $\tau$ as functions of $\delta$ and $\Omega_p$ presented as $\tau = p_3\delta^3 + p_4\delta^2 + p_3\delta + p_1$ and $\tau = q_3\Omega_p^3 + q_4\Omega_p^2 + q_3\Omega_p + q_1$, where the coefficients corresponding to $\Phi = \pm \pi/2$ are shown in Ta-

---

**FIG. 9.** The chiral ground-state current under the equilateral triangle structure. (a) and (b) respectively show average value of the effective coupling intensity and induced phases under different time intervals. (c) and (d) intuitively represent the transfer of the ground state between different time intervals based on above method are shown in Fig. 8(c), thus, in order to simplify computational space, we complete the following calculations in the subspace composed of above 12 states. Once interval $\tau$ is chosen, the effective Hamiltonian can be numerically obtained via the second order perturbation theory (see Appendix for details). To promise the results consistent with the ground-state dynamics, we keep the convergent results and discard the divergent results. On average, $J_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Phi$ according to different time intervals based on above method are shown in Fig. 9(a) and 9(b), respectively, from which, we can read the specific value of $J_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Phi$ for any $\tau$. For example, when $\tau = 0.01 \mu s$, $J_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.001763$ MHz, $\Phi \approx 0$, and when $\tau = 0.1 \mu s$, $J_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.0017$ MHz, $\Phi \approx 0.0263\pi$. The evolutions of the populations governed by these effective Hamiltonian with $J_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Phi$ shown in Fig. 8(d)-(f) with different symbols coincide with the full one which indicates that the effective results are reliable.
Therefore, according to any $\delta \in [0.8\pi, 2\pi]$ MHz or $\Omega_p \in [1.6\pi, 3.2\pi]$ MHz, the time interval $\tau$ for realizing the chiral current can be estimated through the above functions.

Table I. The coefficients corresponding to numerical fitting results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Phi_x = \pi/2$</th>
<th>$\Phi_x = -\pi/2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_1 = 0.23278$ MHz$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$p_1 = 0.24661$ MHz$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_2 = -4.0934 \times 10^{-2}$ MHz$^{-2}$</td>
<td>$p_2 = -3.2661 \times 10^{-2}$ MHz$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_3 = 7.0238 \times 10^{-3}$ MHz$^{-3}$</td>
<td>$p_3 = 7.3238 \times 10^{-3}$ MHz$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_4 = -7.3771 \times 10^{-4}$ MHz$^{-4}$</td>
<td>$p_4 = -1.0702 \times 10^{-3}$ MHz$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_5 = 3.4895$ MHz$^{-5}$</td>
<td>$p_5 = 5.4603 \times 10^{-5}$ MHz$^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_1 = 0.52719$ MHz$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$q_1 = 9.0639 \times 10^{-2}$ MHz$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_2 = -0.16104$ MHz$^{-2}$</td>
<td>$q_2 = 5.1437 \times 10^{-2}$ MHz$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_3 = 2.5016 \times 10^{-2}$ MHz$^{-3}$</td>
<td>$q_3 = -1.1041 \times 10^{-2}$ MHz$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_4 = -1.8631 \times 10^{-3}$ MHz$^{-4}$</td>
<td>$q_4 = 8.3451 \times 10^{-4}$ MHz$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_5 = 5.3498 \times 10^{-5}$ MHz$^{-5}$</td>
<td>$q_5 = -2.2295 \times 10^{-5}$ MHz$^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have proposed a theoretical framework for studying quantum state transfer scheme inside ground-state manifold of neutral atoms by only considering the diagonal vdW interaction and combining with unconventional Rydberg pumping condition. The scheme successfully realized the Heisenberg XX spin chain dynamics. Meanwhile, depending on the choice of parameters, the system dynamics can be equivalent to a second-order process only relating to weak fields and the PST is realized by simply regulating the weak fields of atoms. We further discuss the system dynamics with the mismatch of the unconventional Rydberg condition, and found that it only affects the period of quantum state transfer but not the fidelity of state. An 1D SSH model is then constructed by differencing the distance between atoms, and the system can be flexibly changed from topological trivial phase to nontrivial phase by adjusting the detuning $\Delta$. Finally, a method to realize the ground-state chiral current is provided in the equilateral triangle structure. A total flux $\Phi_z = \pm \pi/2$ can be obtained via periodically modulating the weak pulses without introducing any other external fields. In a word, we can get abundant physical pictures by using such a simple physical system, and we hope that our work may pave a new avenue for quantum simulation of neutral atomic system.
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Appendix: Building block for Chiral ground-state current

To construct the ground-state current, we introduce the piecewise Hamiltonian.

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} H_1, & t \in [0, T/3) \\ H_2, & t \in [T/3, 2T/3) \\ H_3, & t \in [2T/3, T) \end{cases}$$ (A.1)

where

$$H = \Omega_\lambda e^{-i\theta}\langle i|g|e\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Omega_\phi e^{-i\Delta t}\langle r_j|e\rangle + \text{H.c.}$$

$$+ \sum_{j \neq k} U_{jk}\langle r_j r_k\rangle \langle r_j r_k\rangle.$$ (A.2)

Since $H_\lambda (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are not commuted with each other, this periodical driving will induce the effective coupling intensities associating with the period $T$ and the phases between ground states. Because the computational space is very large, we calculate the effective Hamiltonian by combining analytic and numerical methods. By plugging in specific values, the system Hamiltonian can be numerically obtained as a large matrix and the effective Hamiltonian can be expressed in logarithmic form

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{i}{T} \log(e^{-iH_1\tau} e^{-iH_2\tau} e^{-iH_3\tau}).$$ (A.3)

According to Eq. (A.2), under the unconventional Rydberg pumping condition and in the limit of $\Delta \gg \Omega_\phi$ and $\delta \gg \Omega_\phi$, the high oscillating term proportional to $\Delta$ can be neglected. Thus, the mainly dynamics occurs between states $|egg\rangle, |egp\rangle, |rgr\rangle, |rge\rangle, |gge\rangle, |gre\rangle, |grr\rangle, |ger\rangle, |geg\rangle, |reg\rangle, |rrg\rangle,$ and $|erg\rangle$. To simplify computational space, we only consider the subspace constructed by these 12 states in subsequent calculations. To obtain the specific value of phases, we first numerically expended the effective Hamiltonian as a twelve by twelve matrix with some definite value of $\tau$ read as...
FIG. 10. The effective coupling intensities and phases between arbitrary ground states induced by periodical driving. The parameters are taken as $\Omega_p = \delta = 2\pi \times 1$ MHz, $\Omega = 0.05\Omega_p$ MHz, and $\Delta = 2\pi \times 300$ MHz.

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho_{1.1} & \rho_{1.2} & \rho_{1.3} & \rho_{1.4} & \rho_{1.5} & \rho_{1.6} & \rho_{1.7} & \rho_{1.8} & \rho_{1.9} & \rho_{1.10} & \rho_{1.11} & \rho_{1.12} \\
\rho_{1.2}^* & \rho_{2.2} & \rho_{2.3} & \rho_{2.4} & \rho_{2.5} & \rho_{2.6} & \rho_{2.7} & \rho_{2.8} & \rho_{2.9} & \rho_{2.10} & \rho_{2.11} & \rho_{2.12} \\
\rho_{1.3} & \rho_{2.3} & \rho_{3.3} & \rho_{3.4} & \rho_{3.5} & \rho_{3.6} & \rho_{3.7} & \rho_{3.8} & \rho_{3.9} & \rho_{3.10} & \rho_{3.11} & \rho_{3.12} \\
\rho_{1.4}^* & \rho_{2.4}^* & \rho_{3.4}^* & \rho_{4.4}^* & \rho_{4.5} & \rho_{4.6} & \rho_{4.7} & \rho_{4.8} & \rho_{4.9} & \rho_{4.10} & \rho_{4.11} & \rho_{4.12} \\
\rho_{1.5}^* & \rho_{2.5}^* & \rho_{3.5}^* & \rho_{4.5}^* & \rho_{5.5} & \rho_{5.6} & \rho_{5.7} & \rho_{5.8} & \rho_{5.9} & \rho_{5.10} & \rho_{5.11} & \rho_{5.12} \\
\rho_{1.6}^* & \rho_{2.6}^* & \rho_{3.6}^* & \rho_{4.6}^* & \rho_{5.6} & \rho_{6.6} & \rho_{6.7} & \rho_{6.8} & \rho_{6.9} & \rho_{6.10} & \rho_{6.11} & \rho_{6.12} \\
\rho_{1.7}^* & \rho_{2.7}^* & \rho_{3.7}^* & \rho_{4.7}^* & \rho_{5.7}^* & \rho_{6.7} & \rho_{7.7} & \rho_{7.8} & \rho_{7.9} & \rho_{7.10} & \rho_{7.11} & \rho_{7.12} \\
\rho_{1.8}^* & \rho_{2.8}^* & \rho_{3.8}^* & \rho_{4.8}^* & \rho_{5.8}^* & \rho_{6.8} & \rho_{7.8} & \rho_{8.8} & \rho_{8.9} & \rho_{8.10} & \rho_{8.11} & \rho_{8.12} \\
\rho_{1.9}^* & \rho_{2.9}^* & \rho_{3.9}^* & \rho_{4.9}^* & \rho_{5.9} & \rho_{6.9} & \rho_{7.9} & \rho_{8.9} & \rho_{9.9} & \rho_{9.10} & \rho_{9.11} & \rho_{9.12} \\
\rho_{1.10} & \rho_{2.10} & \rho_{3.10} & \rho_{4.10} & \rho_{5.10}^* & \rho_{6.10}^* & \rho_{7.10}^* & \rho_{8.10}^* & \rho_{9.10}^* & \rho_{10.10} & \rho_{10.11} & \rho_{10.12} \\
\rho_{1.11} & \rho_{2.11} & \rho_{3.11} & \rho_{4.11} & \rho_{5.11}^* & \rho_{6.11}^* & \rho_{7.11} & \rho_{8.11}^* & \rho_{9.11}^* & \rho_{10.11} & \rho_{10.12} & \rho_{11.12} \\
\rho_{1.12} & \rho_{2.12} & \rho_{3.12} & \rho_{4.12}^* & \rho_{5.12} & \rho_{6.12}^* & \rho_{7.12}^* & \rho_{8.12}^* & \rho_{9.12}^* & \rho_{10.12} & \rho_{11.12} & \rho_{12.12}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.4)}

The order of basis vectors is taken as $|egg\rangle$, $|egr\rangle$, $|rgr\rangle$, $|rge\rangle$, $|gge\rangle$, $|gre\rangle$, $|grr\rangle$, $|ger\rangle$, $|egm\rangle$, $|reg\rangle$, and $|erg\rangle$. Then the Hamiltonians respectively correspond to the three processes coupling arbitrary two ground states read as

\[
H_{12} = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho_{5.5} & \rho_{5.6} & \rho_{5.7} & \rho_{5.8} & \rho_{5.9} \\
\rho_{5.6}^* & \rho_{6.6} & \rho_{6.7} & \rho_{6.8} & \rho_{6.9} \\
\rho_{5.7}^* & \rho_{6.7} & \rho_{7.7} & \rho_{7.8} & \rho_{7.9} \\
\rho_{5.8}^* & \rho_{6.8} & \rho_{7.8} & \rho_{8.8} & \rho_{8.9} \\
\rho_{5.9}^* & \rho_{6.9} & \rho_{7.9} & \rho_{8.9} & \rho_{9.9}
\end{bmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.6)}
\]

\[
H_{11} = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho_{1.1} & \rho_{1.2} & \rho_{1.3} & \rho_{1.4} & \rho_{1.5} \\
\rho_{1.2}^* & \rho_{2.2} & \rho_{2.3} & \rho_{2.4} & \rho_{2.5} \\
\rho_{1.3}^* & \rho_{2.3} & \rho_{3.3} & \rho_{3.4} & \rho_{3.5} \\
\rho_{1.4}^* & \rho_{2.4}^* & \rho_{3.4}^* & \rho_{4.4} & \rho_{4.5} \\
\rho_{1.5}^* & \rho_{2.5}^* & \rho_{3.5}^* & \rho_{4.5}^* & \rho_{5.5}
\end{bmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} H_{13} = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho_{9.9} & \rho_{9.10} & \rho_{9.11} & \rho_{9.12} & \rho_{1.9}^* \\
\rho_{9.10}^* & \rho_{10.10} & \rho_{10.11} & \rho_{10.12} & \rho_{1.10} \\
\rho_{9.11}^* & \rho_{10.11} & \rho_{11.11} & \rho_{11.12} & \rho_{1.11} \\
\rho_{9.12}^* & \rho_{10.12} & \rho_{11.12} & \rho_{12.12} & \rho_{1.12} \\
\rho_{1.9} & \rho_{1.10} & \rho_{1.11} & \rho_{1.12} & \rho_{1.1}
\end{bmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.7)}
\]
According to the above three 5 by 5 matrices, the effective couplings between any two ground states in states \(|egg\rangle, |reg\rangle, \text{and} |gge\rangle\) can be obtained simultaneously. In the following paper, taking \(H_{11}\) as an example shows the specified calculation process of the effective coupling. According to the perturbation theory, we first diagonalize the strong coupling parts corresponding to the 3 by 3 matrix in the middle of \(H_{11}\). Thus the Hamiltonian of the strongly coupled part can be rewritten with the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

\[ H_{sc} = E_1|\psi_1\rangle\langle \psi_1| + E_2|\psi_2\rangle\langle \psi_2| + E_3|\psi_3\rangle\langle \psi_3|, \]  

where the eigenvectors are composed of \(|erg\rangle, |rrg\rangle\) and \(|reg\rangle\) given by

\[ |\psi_1\rangle = C_{11}|erg\rangle + C_{12}|rrg\rangle + C_{13}|reg\rangle, \]  
\[ |\psi_2\rangle = C_{21}|erg\rangle + C_{22}|rrg\rangle + C_{23}|reg\rangle, \]  
\[ |\psi_3\rangle = C_{31}|erg\rangle + C_{32}|rrg\rangle + C_{33}|reg\rangle. \]  

By representation transformation, the basis vectors can be changed to states \(|egg\rangle, |reg\rangle\), and \(|\psi_i\rangle (i = 1, 2, 3)\), and Eq. (A.5) changes to

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{1,1} & \rho_{1,2} & \rho_{1,3} & \rho_{1,4} & \rho_{1,5} \\ \rho_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{3,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{3,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{3,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{3,4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{3,5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{5,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{5,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{5,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{5,4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_{5,5} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]  

where

\[ \tilde{\rho}_{1,2} = \rho_{1,2}C_{11} + \rho_{1,3}C_{12} + \rho_{1,4}C_{13}, \]  
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{1,3} = \rho_{1,2}C_{21} + \rho_{1,3}C_{22} + \rho_{1,4}C_{23}, \]  
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{1,4} = \rho_{1,2}C_{31} + \rho_{1,3}C_{32} + \rho_{1,4}C_{33}, \]  
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{2,5} = \rho_{2,5}C_{11} + \rho_{3,5}C_{12} + \rho_{4,5}C_{13}, \]  
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{3,5} = \rho_{2,5}C_{21} + \rho_{3,5}C_{22} + \rho_{4,5}C_{23}, \]  
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{4,5} = \rho_{2,5}C_{31} + \rho_{3,5}C_{32} + \rho_{4,5}C_{33}. \]  

According to Eq. (A.12), the coupling between ground states \(|egg\rangle\) and \(|reg\rangle\) is provided by multiple three-level systems. Each equivalent three-level system consists of two ground states \(|egg\rangle, |reg\rangle\) and an excited state \(|\psi_i\rangle\). The Hamiltonian of equivalent three-level systems can be written as

\[ H_{11} = \tilde{\rho}_{5,2}e^{-iE_{13}|egg\rangle\langle \psi_1| + \tilde{\rho}_{1,2}e^{iE_{13}}|\psi_1\rangle\langle \psi_1| + |egg\rangle + H.c., \]  
\[ H_{12} = \tilde{\rho}_{5,2}e^{-iE_{13}|egg\rangle\langle \psi_2| + \tilde{\rho}_{1,2}e^{iE_{13}}|\psi_2\rangle\langle \psi_2| + |egg\rangle + H.c., \]  
\[ H_{13} = \tilde{\rho}_{5,2}e^{-iE_{13}|egg\rangle\langle \psi_3| + \tilde{\rho}_{1,2}e^{iE_{13}}|\psi_3\rangle\langle \psi_3| + |egg\rangle + H.c.. \]  

In the limit of large detunings with \(E_1 \gg \tilde{\rho}_{1,2}(2,5)\), \(E_2 \gg \tilde{\rho}_{1,3}(3,5)\), and \(E_3 \gg \tilde{\rho}_{1,4}(4,5)\), the excited states \(|\psi_i\rangle\) can be adiabatically eliminated, and the effective coupling of ground state \(|egg\rangle \rightarrow |reg\rangle\) is

\[ J_1 = J_{\text{eff}}^{(1)} = \tilde{\rho}_{1,5} \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{5,2}\tilde{\rho}_{5,3}}{E_1} - \tilde{\rho}_{1,3}\tilde{\rho}_{5,3} \frac{E_2}{E_2} - \tilde{\rho}_{1,4}\tilde{\rho}_{5,4} \frac{E_3}{E_3}. \]
Thus, the coupling strength and the induced phase read as

\[ J_{\text{eff}}^i = |J_1|, \quad \phi_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{\text{Im}(J_1)}{\text{Re}(J_1)} \right). \quad (A.18) \]

The same operations can be performed for the other two processes \(|geg\rangle \rightarrow |gge\rangle\) and \(|gge\rangle \rightarrow |egg\rangle\) and the effective Hamiltonian of the whole system can be obtained

\[ H_{\text{eff}} = J_{\text{eff}}^1 e^{i\phi_1} |geg\rangle \langle egg| + J_{\text{eff}}^2 e^{i\phi_2} |gge\rangle \langle gge| + J_{\text{eff}}^3 e^{i\phi_3} |egg\rangle \langle gge| + H.c., \quad (A.19) \]

which can successfully lead to a chiral ground-state current with \(\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 = \pm \pi/2\). Note that all the above processes here implement by numerical simulation. Fig. 10 shows the numerical results of the effective couplings \(J_{\text{eff}}^i\) and induced phases \(\phi_i (i = 1, 2, 3)\) between arbitrary ground states with different time intervals \(\tau\), in which we calculate the original Hamiltonian shown as a \(27 \times 27\) matrix for higher precision. To promise the results consistent with the ground-state dynamics, we keep the convergent results and discard the divergent results.

Since the effective coupling strength is related to \(\Omega_j, \Omega_p\) and \(\delta\), the time interval \(\tau\) required to get the chiral current possibly related to these parameters. To promise the effective coupling strength between arbitrary two ground states equal to each other, we consider \(\Omega_j = \Omega\). Fig. 11(a)-(c) characterize the change of \(\tau\) with \(\Omega, \delta\), and \(\Omega_p\), respectively. It illustrates that \(\tau\) is mainly related to \(\delta\) and \(\Omega_p\). Meanwhile, on average, homologous effective coupling strengths \(J_{\text{eff}}\) are shown in Fig. 11(d)-(f).
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