Subdiffusion equation with Caputo fractional derivative with respect to another function in modelling diffusion in a complex system consisting of matrix and channels
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Diffusion equation with a fractional Caputo time derivative with respect to another function $g$ is applied to describe anomalous diffusion of antibiotic (colistin) in a system consisting of packed gel (alginate) beads immersed in water. The function $g$ defines new time scale of the process. We show that ultraslow diffusion of colistin in the system occurs. The equation, which is more general than the ”ordinary” fractional subdiffusion equation, can be widely used in various fields of science to model diffusion in a matrix with channels system when subdiffusion parameters and even a type of diffusion evolves over time.

PACS numbers:

Introduction. Subdiffusion is a process in which the movement of molecules is very hindered by a complex internal structure of the medium [1–8]. This process was observed, among others, in diffusion of sugars in agarose gel [3] and in antibiotics diffusion in a bacterial biofilm [10, 11], the reference list can be extended significantly. A distinctive feature of “ordinary” subdiffusion is the relation $\sigma^2(t) \sim t^\alpha$, where $\sigma^2$ is the Mean Square Displacement of a diffusing particle and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Within the Continuous Time Random Walk model, for “ordinary” subdiffusion the distribution of a waiting time for a molecule to jump $\psi$ has a heavy tail, $\psi(t) \sim 1/t^{\alpha+1}$, the average value of this time is infinite. The other type of subdiffusion, which is qualitatively different from ”ordinary” subdiffusion, is ultraslow diffusion (slow subdiffusion). It is characterized by the relation $\sigma^2(t) \sim \eta(t)$, where $\eta$ is a slowly varying function which is defined by the relation $\eta(at)/\eta(t) \to 1$ when $t \to \infty$ for any positive $a$ (in practice slowly varying functions are combination of logarithmic functions). “Ordinary” subdiffusion can be described by a differential equation with a time derivative of fractional order controlled by the parameter $\alpha$ and ultraslow diffusion is usually described by a differential equation with an integral operator in which a kernel involves slowly varying function [1–8, 12]. In general, subdiffusion processes occur when time of a molecule to jump is anomalously long.

The situation is more complicated when molecules diffuse in a system consisting of matrix and channels. The channels are defined here as ”free spaces” in the matrix which usually have a complicated geometric structure. The channels can be ”free spaces” in a porous medium, tubules, or spaces between packed beads. Diffusion occurs mainly in channels, but molecules can diffuse into and out of the matrix. Diffusion of various substances in a system consisting of channels and matrix has been considered in medicine, biology, engineering, geology, agriculture, and other fields of science. The examples are
diffusive release of vitamin from collagen [13], nutrients from a fertilizer to water and sand [14], fertilizers from beads [15, 16], diffusion of oxygen in soils [17], the process of active ingredients release which can be used in reduction of groundwater pesticide pollution [18], insulin release from chitosan beads [19], drug release from alginate beads [20, 21] and from coating beads [22]. Such studies are needed to establish the conditions under which the optimal dose of the drug is released [23, 24].

Theoretical models of the processes mentioned above have been based on diffusion–reaction equations [17, 24–30], “ordinary” subdiffusion equation with Caputo derivative in which source term is involved [31], “ordinary” subdiffusion–reaction equation [32], and on scaling approach [33]. Subdiffusion parameters depend on disorder of packing beads [34] and on the structure of beads [36].

We assume that diffusion in the channels is faster than in the matrix. If the molecules only diffuse in the channels, without being able to enter the matrix, the process could be described by the normal diffusion or “ordinary” subdiffusion equation with a fixed parameter \( \alpha \). However, getting the molecules into the matrix and back again slows down diffusion. This slowdown is greater when diffusive substance is initially in the matrix. To describe this process we use a subdiffusion equation with the fractional Caputo derivative with respect to another function \( g \).

We call this equation \( g \)-subdiffusion equation. Solutions to \( g \)-subdiffusion equation can be obtained from solutions to the “ordinary” subdiffusion equation with the same parameter \( \alpha \) by replacing the time variable with a function \( g \) in the former solutions, see Eq. (3). Thus, the function \( g \) controls a change of subdiffusion time scale. The key is to determine the \( g \) function experimentally. For this purpose it is convenient to define a function describing diffusion process and controlled by the function \( g \) that is relatively easily measurable experimentally.

We consider \( g \)-subdiffusion of an antibiotic (colistin) in a system in which gel (alginate) beads soaked with the antibiotic are placed in water. We show that the time evolution of the amount of the antibiotic released from the system allows the determination of the function \( g \).

**G-subdiffusion equation.** Subdiffusion is often described by the subdiffusion equation with the Riemann–Liouville fractional time derivative [14, 15, 12], which may be converted to the following form:

\[
C \partial_t^\alpha \hat{C}(x,t) = D \partial^2 \hat{C}(x, t)/\partial x^2
\]

(1)

where we call it the “ordinary” subdiffusion equation, its solution is denoted here as \( \hat{C} \) with the “ordinary” Caputo derivative of the order \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), \( C \partial_t^\alpha f(t) = \int_0^t (t-u)^{-\alpha} f'(u) du/\Gamma(1-\alpha) \), \( D \) is a subdiffusion coefficient given in the units of \( m^2/s^\alpha \). The normal diffusion equation may be treated as a special case of the above equation for \( \alpha = 1 \), thus we do not exclude normal diffusion from further considerations. Recently, differential equations with a fractional Caputo derivative with respect to another function \( g \) have been considered [37–40], for \( \alpha \in (0,1) \) this derivative is defined as

\[
\frac{C \partial_t^\alpha g(t)}{dt^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (g(t) - g(t'))^{-\alpha} f'(t') dt',
\]

the function \( g \) fulfills the conditions \( g(0) = 0 \), \( g(\infty) = \infty \), and \( g'(t) > 0 \) for \( t > 0 \). Involving this derivative in the diffusion equation we get the \( g \)-subdiffusion equation

\[
C \partial_t^\alpha C(x, t) = D \partial^2 C(x, t)/\partial x^2.
\]

(2)

The function \( g \) is given in a time unit, when \( g(t) \equiv t \) we get “ordinary” subdiffusion equation. Solutions \( \hat{C} \) of the “ordinary” subdiffusion equation and \( C \) of the \( g \)-subdiffusion equation are related to each other as follows:

\[
C(x, t) = \hat{C}(x, g(t)),
\]

if the boundary conditions and the initial condition are the same for both functions and the parameter \( \alpha \) is the same for both equations [14]. Thus, the \( g \)-subdiffusion equation describes the subdiffusion process with changed time variable. If diffusion is described by Eq. (2), then random walk of a single molecule is characterized by the relation

\[
\sigma^2(t) = \frac{2Dg^\alpha(t)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}
\]

(4)

When \( g(t) < t \), the process is slower compared to the “ordinary” subdiffusion with the same parameter \( \alpha \).

**System.** The system used for the experimental study consists of two regions separated by a thin membrane located at \( x = 0 \), see Fig. 1. We assume that the system is homogeneous in the plane perpendicular to the \( x \)-axis, so it is effectively one-dimensional. The membrane, which is very permeable to diffusing particles, keeps the beads in region \( A \). At the initial moment, all antibiotic is in beads, it is distributed uniformly in each bead. The antibiotic concentration is measured by means of the interferometric method. Since the region containing the beads is not transparent to the laser beam, measurements of the antibiotic concentration can only be made in region \( B \). We focus our attention on a time evolution of the amount of the antibiotic \( N(t) \) that has diffused from region \( A \) to \( B \).

The diffusion process in region \( A \) is a combination of two processes: subdiffusion of antibiotic molecules inside the bead to their exit outside and their further diffusion mainly in a “free space” between the beads. The border between a bead and the free space is not an obstacle for the particles exiting the bead. However, it is an obstacle for the particles trying to re-enter the bead because then the particle must hit a pore on the bead surface. We suppose that the return of the antibiotic molecule to a bead is possible when the molecule moves in region \( A \) and has frequent contact with the bead surfaces. When a molecule diffuses in region \( B \) near the border with region \( A \) the molecule contact with the beads is much less frequent and the molecule is unlikely to return to region \( A \).
Thus, the boundary between the regions can be regarded as fully absorbing wall for molecules located in region $A$. The most often used boundary condition at an absorbing wall is zero concentration of diffusing substance at the wall. The amount of antibiotic that is in region $B$ is equal to the amount of the antibiotic that left region $A$ at the same time. Therefore, in the following we consider diffusion of the antibiotic in region $A$. We use the approximation of a homogeneous medium for region $A$ and assume that the medium structure does not change in time. Then, the medium consisting of beads and “free spaces” between beads has assigned subdiffusion parameters such as for a homogeneous medium; similar approximation has been used in modeling of diffusion in disordered system of spheres [34, 35]. We also assume that the parameters are independent of time and a spatial variable and the antibiotic is distributed homogeneously in region $A$ at the initial moment. The parameters presented later in this paper and Eq. (2) show that $\sigma \approx 0.036$ cm for $t = 2400$ s whereas the length of vessel $A$ is 1.0 cm. Thus, we suppose that the influence of the outer wall of the vessel $A$ on molecules diffusing from $A$ to $B$ is negligibly small; at the outer wall the concentration is still $C_0$. To simplify the calculations, we assume that the wall is located at $-\infty$. Diffusion of antibiotic molecules in the vessel $A$ is described by the $g$–subdiffusion equation Eq. (2), the initial condition is $C(x, 0) = C_0$, the boundary conditions are $C(-\infty, t) = C_0$ and $C(0^-, t) = 0$.

The key is to find the $g$ function on the basis of experimental results. The function from which we get the function $g$ is a time evolution of the total amount of the substance that has diffused from region $A$ to $B$, $N(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} |C_0 - C(x, t)| dx$, see Fig. 1. Solutions to the $g$–subdiffusion equation can be obtained by means of the $g$-Laplace transform method. This transform is defined as $\mathcal{L}_g[f(t)](s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) g(t)dt$, it has the following property $\mathcal{L}[C \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}](t) = s^\alpha \mathcal{L}_g[C(t)](s) - s^{\alpha-1} f(0)$ [37, 38] that makes the procedure for solving Eq. (1) similar to the procedure for solving “ordinary” subdiffusion equation using the “ordinary” Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-st) f(t)dt$ [41]. In terms of the $g$–Laplace transform the $g$–subdiffusion equation is $s^\alpha \mathcal{L}_g[C(x, t)](s) - s^{\alpha-1} C_0 = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}$, the solution to this equation for the boundary conditions mentioned above is

$$\mathcal{L}_g[C(x, t)](s) = \frac{C_0}{s} \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{s^{\alpha}/D}x}\right).$$ (5)

The $\mathcal{L}_g$ transform is related to the “ordinary” Laplace transform by the formula $\mathcal{L}_g[f(t)](s) = \mathcal{L}[g^{-1}(t)](s)$; this formula is helpful in calculating the inverse $g$–Laplace transforms [37, 39]. Using $\mathcal{L}_g[1](s) = 1/s$ we obtain $\mathcal{L}_g[N(t)](s) = C_0 \sqrt{D/s^{1+\alpha/2}}$ and from $\mathcal{L}_g^{-1}[1/s^{1+\beta}](t) = g^\beta(t)/\Gamma(1 + \beta)$, $\beta > 0$, we get

$$N(t) = \kappa g^{\alpha/2}(t),$$ (6)

where $\kappa = C_0 \sqrt{D/\Gamma(1 + \alpha/2)}$.

Experiment. The scheme of the system is shown in Fig. 1. The system consists of two vessels separated by a thin membrane. The vessel sizes are: the cross-sectional area is $S = 7 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$, the length of the vessel $A$ (measured along the $x$ axis) is $L_A = 10^{-2}$ m, and the length of the vessel $B$ is $L_B = 5 \times 10^{-2}$ m. Antibiotic soaked alginate beads were made as follows. 1 mg of colistin in the form of methanesulfonate sodium (Fluka, Germany) was dissolved in 1 ml of 1.5 % alginate solution. Antibiotic solutions were prepared in double-deionized water. Using an automatic pipette, the alginate solution with an antibiotic was added dropwise to a calcium chloride solution of 0.15 mol/m$^3$ concentration. As a hydrogel carrier the sodium alginate (SAFC, USA) was used. Due to the biocompatibility as a crosslinking agent calcium cations were applied, the source of which was calcium chloride (POCH S.A., Poland). A single bead has a volume of 15 $\mu$l. Within the homogeneous medium approximation the initial colistin concentration in the vessel $A$ was calculated using the formula $C_0 = N_0/V_A$, where $N_0$ is the total amount of colistin in all beads at the initial moment. We obtained $C_0 = 0.50$ mol/m$^3$. Linear initial concentration used in theoretical model $C_0 = S C_0$ is $C_0 = 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/m$^3$. 27 beads were in the vessel $A$, the ratio of the total volume of all beads to the volume of the vessel $A$ is equal to 0.58. Concentration profiles of antibiotic were determined in region $B$ for different times $t \in [120 \text{ s}, 2400 \text{ s}]$ by means of the interferometric

FIG. 1: Top panel: scheme of the system used in the experiment, region (vessel) $A$ contains alginate beads impregnated with colistin placed in water and region $B$ contains water at the initial moment. As the beads are non–transparent to the laser beam, interferometric measurement of concentration is only possible in region $B$. Lower panel: $C$ denotes the concentration of the antibiotic, $C_0$ is the initial concentration in region $A$.

Theory. We use the $g$-subdiffusion equation to model antibiotic diffusion in a system consisting of antibiotic soaked alginate beads densely packed in water. Normal diffusion or subdiffusion may occur in the spaces between the beads. As we have mentioned, the motivation behind the use of the $g$-subdiffusion equation is that the release of the antibiotic from the gel beads, as well as the possibility of the antibiotic particles re-penetrating the beads, slows down antibiotic diffusion in the system.
method of concentration measurement, the experimental setup is described in detail in Refs. [10, 12]. The total amount of antibiotic in part B was calculated by numerical integration of concentration profiles.

**Determination of the function \( g \) and the parameter \( \alpha \).** We fit the theoretical function \( N \) to the function obtained empirically. Guided by the plot of empirical results, we assume that the theoretical function \( N \) has the form \( N(t) = \kappa t_0^{\alpha/2} \ln^g(1 + t/t_0) \), where \( \tau_0 \) is an arbitrarily chosen parameter given in a time unit. Assuming \( \tau_0 = 1 \) s, the fitting parameters are \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \). The values \( \kappa = 0.93 \times 10^{-9} \) mol/s\(^{\alpha/2}\) and \( \gamma = 1.125 \) give the best fit of the theoretical function to the empirical data, see Fig. 2. Comparing the above function with Eq. (6) we get \( g^{\alpha/2}(t) = \ln(1 + t)^{1.125} \). Unfortunately, this function does not allow for unambiguous determination of \( \alpha \) and \( g \), thus additional considerations are needed. We note that for \( t \in [120 \text{s}, 480 \text{s}] \) the experimental results are well approximated by a power function \( N(t) \sim t^{-0.25} \) which is characteristic for “ordinary” subdiffusion with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) in region A. Then, we obtain \( g(t) = \ln^{4.5}(1 + t) \). From the equation placed in the text just below Eq. (6), we get \( D = 0.58 \times 10^{-9} \) m\(^2/\)s\(^{0.5}\), then from Eq. (6) we obtain \( \sigma^2(t) = 1.28 \times 10^{-9} \ln^{2.25}(1 + t) \), which provides \( \sigma = 93 \times 10^{-1} \) m. Since \( \sigma^2(t) \) is a logarithmic function, the process is identified as ultraslow diffusion.

The fact that the experimentally obtained function \( N \) is well approximated by a power function for relatively short time while for a longer time it is approximated by a logarithmic function we interpret as follows. Colistin (Polymyxin E) is a cationic antimicrobial peptide. Due to a logarithmic function we interpret as follows. Colistin (Polymyxin E) is a cationic antimicrobial peptide. Due to the presence of positively charged five L-diaminobutyric acid (L-Dab) amino groups in colistin structure, it is possible that this molecule interacts with calcium alginate. Two mechanisms of polycations binding to alginate have been proposed: an electrostatic interaction and the formation of a calcium alginate gel by displacement of calcium ions in the presence of polycations [13]. Taking into account the interaction of colistin with alginate and the complex geometric structure of the channels in the alginate gel, subdiffusion of colistin in alginate beads is expected. The first stage of the process is the subdiffusive release of molecules located in the beads close to their surfaces to the space between the beads. This process is ruled by distribution of waiting time to take particle next step with a heavy tail controlled by the parameter \( \alpha \), as for “ordinary” subdiffusion. Initially, releasing antibiotic molecules from region A to B is subdiffusion of molecules from beads located at the border between the regions. Later, the process may change its nature when molecules located in A in the layers more distant from the border diffuse into region B. These molecules have a more complicated path from the inside of vessel A to the vessel B. The later process appears to be ultraslow diffusion.

**Final remarks.** We postulate: **Diffusion in a system composed of channels and matrix can be described by the \( g \)-subdiffusion equation** Eq. (3), as in the packed beads system. The solution to the \( g \)-subdiffusion equation provides the time evolution of the amount of colistin released from region A which is consistent with the empirical results. We mention that different models of normal and anomalous diffusion, describing the release of substances from the (sub)diffusive medium, give power functions \( N(t) \sim t^\beta \) (when “ordinary” subdiffusion occurs in \( A \)) or exponential functions of the form \( N(t) \sim 1 - ae^{-bt^\theta} \), where \( \beta > 0 \) and \( a > 0 \), we have subdiffusion process in which subdiffusion parameter changes from \( \alpha \) for a short time to \( \beta \) for a long time. When \( g(t) = t/(1 + t/\tau_0) + h(t) \), where \( h \) is a slowly varying function, “ordinary” subdiffusion (for \( t \ll \tau_0 \)) evolves into ultraslow diffusion. To solve the \( g \)-subdiffusion equation with \( g \)-Caputo fractional derivative the \( g \)-Laplace transform can be used. The other way is to use the “ordinary” Laplace transform and then apply Eq. (3).

Recently, anomalous diffusion equations with various fractional derivatives have been considered, see the references cited in Ref. [11]. Unfortunately, such equations often do not have a stochastic interpretation. The interpretation of Eq. (2) is based on Eq. (1). We mention that the derivation of Eq. (2) can be based on the “ordinary” Continuous Time Random Walk model [1, 2], in
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which, as in Eq. (3), the time variable in the distribution of waiting time for a molecule to jump is changed, \( \psi(t) \to \psi(g(t)) \). The model of diffusion in a matrix with channels system can be used, among others, in the mathematical characteristic of drug delivery system for wound healing, the extracellular matrix mimetic to deliver and retain therapeutic cells at the site of administration for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine or "smart" hydrogels as thermo- or pH-responsive. Al-ginate and the other polysaccharides are the most commonly used materials for polymyxin delivery systems as provide suitable drug loading efficiency and controlled drug release. So, it seems to be important to characteris-tic these effects on a theoretical level for proper design of the chemical structure of gels as a controlled matrix for drug releasing. It is crucial for effective bacteria eradication by a lethal concentration of antibiotics obtain in the wound healing environment or stimuli the tissue re-generation.
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