Non-Abelian Half-Quantum Vortices in $^3P_2$ Topological Superfluids
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The existence and stability of non-Abelian half-quantum vortices (HQVs) are established in $^3P_2$ superfluids in neutron stars with strong magnetic fields, the largest topological quantum matter in our Universe. Using a self-consistent microscopic framework, we find that one integer vortex is energetically destabilized into a pair of two non-Abelian HQVs due to the strong spin-orbit coupled gap functions. We find a topologically protected Majorana fermion on each HQV, thereby providing two-fold non-Abelian anyons characterized by both Majorana fermions and a non-Abelian first homotopy group.

Introduction.— Quantum physics tells us that all particles are either fermions or bosons under certain assumptions; a wave function of multi-particle states is symmetric (asymmetric) under exchange of two bosons (fermions). There are, however, exceptions: anyons. Exchanging two anyons attributes a phase factor of a wave function [1, 2]. Such anyons explain physics of fractional quantum Hall states [3, 4], and have been experimentally observed for a $ν = 1/3$ fractional quantum Hall state [5]. Recently, a yet new option has been attracted great attention, that is non-Abelian anyons. Exchanging two non-Abelian anyons attributes a unitary matrix acting on a set of wave functions as a generalization of a phase factor for Abelian anyons. While non-Abelian anyons are yet to be observed, it is theoretically predicted to exist in $ν = 5/2$ fractional quantum Hall states [6], topological superconductors [7, 8], and spin liquids [9, 10]. They have attracted great interests because of a possibility for a platform of topological quantum computations [11–13] robust against noises, in contrast to conventional quantum computations.

There are two apparently different origins of non-Abelian anyons: one is fermionic and the other is bosonic. The fermionic origin is based on Majorana fermions realized in topological superconductors [8, 14–19]. Majorana fermions are particles that coincide with their own anti-particles [20]. This is a main route for topological quantum computations as mentioned above. On the other hand, non-Abelian anyons are also realized in bosonic systems, whose statistics is due to non-Abelian vortices supported by a non-Abelian first homotopy group of order parameter (OP) manifolds, giving non-commutativity under exchanges of two vortices [21, 22]. Examples can be found in liquid crystals [23, 24] and spinor Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) [22, 25–27]. Such two apparently different non-Abelian anyons were discussed separately thus far and their relation is yet to be clarified.

The aim of this Letter is to present vortices simultaneously accompanied by the two different non-Abelian natures: fermionic and bosonic origins of non-Abelian anyons. A system that realizes them is a neutron superfluid expected to be realized in neutron star cores. It is called the $^3P_2$ superfluid (spin-triplet $p$-wave pairings) of neutrons [28–33], which has been recently shown to be the largest topological quantum matter in our universe [34] (a class DIII in the classification of topological insulators and superconductors [35, 36]), allowing gapless Majorana fermion on its boundary [34] and vortex cores [37]. From the Ginzburg–Landau (GL) theory [32, 38–43], this matter was found to admit non-Abelian half-quantum vortices (HQVs) [44] in addition to integer vortices [32, 38–41, 45, 46], coreless vortices [47], domain walls [48] and boojums on the surface [49]. Such topological defects may play crucial roles in dynamics and evolution of neutron stars. In particular, the existence of HQVs was proposed to explain a longstanding unsolved problem of neutron stars: the origin of pulsar glitch phenomena, i.e., sudden speed-up events of neutron stars [50]. Unlike the Feynman-Onsagar’s quantization of circulations, HQVs or more generally fractionally quantized vortices [51–53] ubiquitously appear in diverse subjects with

![FIG. 1. Schematic of a pair of non-Abelian HQVs $(κ, n) = (1/2, +1/4)$ at $x = d_v/2$ and $(1/2, −1/4)$ at $x = −d_v/2$ in the $D_4$-BN state. Its spin-momentum structure is shown by the objects with color arrows representing $d'$ vectors.]
multiple components. Topological stability of HQVs (or fractional quantum vortices) were predicted in the A phase [54, 55] of the superfluid \(^3\)He, unconventional superconductors [56–61], spinor BECs [25–27, 62–66], multicomponent superconductors [67–72] and BECs [73–82], and even high energy physics such as quantum chromodynamics [83–87] and physics beyond the Standard Model of elementary particles [88, 89]. In experiments, Abelian HQVs were confirmed in the uniaxially disordered superfluid \(^3\)He [90, 91] and in a spinor BEC [92]. However, no systems admitting non-Abelian HQVs with Majorana fermions were known thus far.

In this Letter, we microscopically establish the existence and stability of non-Abelian HQVs and find a topologically-protected gapless Majorana fermion along each of them. In the presence of strong magnetic field relevant for magnets, i.e., neutron stars accompanied by extraordinary large magnetic fields, the ground state is in a dileptral-four biaxial nematic (\(D_4\)-BN) phase [34, 45, 93]. There, one integer vortex is shown to be split into two non-Abelian HQVs. Each HQV admits a gapless Majorana fermion, thereby being a new type of non-Abelian anyons. We also calculate interaction energy between HQVs and find an intrinsic mechanism of their thermodynamic stability due to the uniaxial nematic pairing induced around the cores.

Non-Abelian HQVs.— Here we focus on non-Abelian HQVs in the \(D_4\)-BN phase of the \(^3\)He superfluid. Let us consider systems invariant under a U(1) gauge transformation and an SO(3) spin-momentum rotation. The \(^3\)He superfluid is the condensation of spin-triplet Cooper pairs with total angular momentum \(J=2\), and its OP is given by a \(3\times3\) traceless symmetric tensor, \(\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu}(\mu,\nu=x,y,z)\), with spin index \(\mu\) and momentum index \(\nu\). The continuous symmetries act as \(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow e^{i\phi} g \mathcal{A} g^\dagger\), \(e^{i\phi} \in \text{U}(1)\) and \(g \in \text{SO}(3)\). The \(D_4\)-BN state is time reversal invariant and a diagonal form of its homogeneous OP with the amplitude \(\Delta\) is given by [39]

\[
\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} = \Delta \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu},
\]

(1)

which is also invariant under the \(C_4\) rotation around the \(z\) axis, a point node direction, combined with the \(\pi\) phase rotation. Its spin-momentum structure is schematically shown by \(d\) vectors, \(d_\mu(k) = \sum_\nu \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} k_\nu\), using arrows in the left-top object in Fig. 1. A large magnetic field relevant to magnetars thermodynamically stabilizes the \(D_4\)-BN state with point nodes along the direction of the magnetic field [34, 42, 45, 93].

The OP manifold in the \(D_4\)-BN state, \(R = [\text{U}(1) \times \text{SO}(3)]/D_4\), leads to rich topological charges of line defects supported by the first homotopy group \(\pi_1(R) = \mathbb{Z} \times h D_4\) [94]. This includes non-Abelian HQVs [44], which are vortices with noncommutative topological charges. An asymptotic form for an isolated vortex is given with the azimuthal angle \(\theta \equiv \tan^{-1}(y/x)\) as

\[
\mathcal{A}(\theta) = e^{i\kappa \theta} R_n(\theta) \mathcal{A} R_n^\dagger(\theta),
\]

(2)

where \(R_n(\theta) \in \text{SO}(2)\) is an \(n\)-fold rotation matrix around the \(z\)-axis. Integer vortices are characterized by \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(n = 0\). In the \(D_4\)-BN state, the \(\pi\) phase jump arising from \(k \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2\) is compensated by the \(C_4\) rotation with \(n \in \mathbb{Z} \pm 1/4\). Thereby, HQVs are topologically allowed and one integer vortex is predicted to be split into a pair of HQVs as illustrated in Fig. 1, where HQVs with \((k, n) = (1/2, -1/4)\) and \((1/2, +1/4)\) are placed at \(x = -d_\nu/2\) and \(x = d_\nu/2\), respectively.

Structure and stability of HQVs.— To microscopically discuss the stability of non-Abelian HQVs, we utilize the quasiclassical theory. A fundamental quantity is the quasiclassical propagator, \(\tilde{g}(k_F, R; i\omega_n)\), with the Fermi momentum \(k_F\), governed by the Eilenberger equation [95–97],

\[
0 = i v_F \cdot \nabla \tilde{g} + [i\omega_n \tilde{\tau}_z + \tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\sigma}_3, \tilde{g}],
\]

(3)

where the symbol \(\tilde{\tau}\) denotes a \(4 \times 4\) matrix in the spin and Nambu space, \(v_F\) is the Fermi velocity, and \(\omega_n = \pi \Gamma (2m + 1)\) is the fermionic Matsubara frequency \((m \in \mathbb{Z})\). The Zeeman field \(\gamma_2\) along the \(z\) axis is introduced via \(\tilde{\nu} = \gamma_2 \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_3)\). The Pauli matrices in the Nambu and the spin space are also introduced as \(\tilde{\tau}_z\) and \(\tilde{\sigma}_\mu\), respectively, where \(\gamma\) denotes a \(2 \times 2\) matrix in the spin space. The self-energy matrix \(\tilde{\sigma}_\mu\) is composed of the \(P_2\) OP \(A_{\mu\nu}\). Assuming the uniformity along the \(z\) direction, we determine the spatial profile of \(A_{\mu\nu}(R) = (x, y)\) by self-consistently solving Eq. (3) complemented with a gap equation for interacting neutrinos via a zero-range attractive \(P_2\) force (see Ref. [97] for the detail). Below, we show the numerical results at \(T = 0.4 T_c\) and \(V_Z = 0.5 T_c\) with the critical temperature \(T_c\). For this parameter set, the \(D_4\)-BN state is stable in the bulk.

In Fig. 2, we show a pair of the HQVs with finite inter-vortex distance \(d_\nu = 10.7 \xi_0\), where \(\xi_0 = v_F/(2\pi T_c)\) is the coherence length. It is convenient to expand \(A_{\mu\nu}\) as \(A_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\Omega = -2}^{2} \gamma_M(R) \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\), where \(\Gamma_M\) is a \(3 \times 3\) basis tensor of the \(z\) component of the total angular momentum \(J_z\) such that \(J_z = M\) and \(\gamma_M(R) = \sum_{\Omega = -2}^{2} \gamma_M(R) e^{i(\kappa \theta - M \phi)} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}\) with the vorticity \(\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}/2\) and the rotation angle of the triad \(\phi = n \theta \pm \pi/4\). We set \(\kappa > 0\) without loss of generality, while the choice of \(n = +1/4(-1/4)\) corresponds to the clockwise (counterclockwise) texture of the gap structure. The two HQVs displayed in Figs. 1 and 2 are characterized by a pair of \((k, n) = (1/2, -1/4)\) at \(x = -d_\nu/2\) and \((1/2, +1/4)\) at \(x = d_\nu/2\). The amplitudes (phases) of \(\gamma_M(R)\) are shown in the left (right) panels of Fig. 2. In each of \(M = \pm 2\) sector, a single winding structure is realized [panels (b) and (f)], and in \(M = 0\) sector a structure with a winding of \(2 \times 1\) is induced as seen from panel (d). Note that in the bulk region \(\gamma = 0\) goes to zero.

Each HQV consists of three components: for \(n = +1/4\) (1/4), singular vortex component \([M = -2\) (2)], almost uniform unwinding component \([M = 2\) (2)] and the induced component \([M = 0\). It can be regarded as the chiral \(p\)-wave superconducting vortex with spin parallel to the chirality, and the
phase windings of the induced components are, respectively, $-1$ for $(\kappa, n) = (1/2, 1/4)$ and $3$ for $(1/2, -1/4)$ [98, 99]. In the former (latter) case, the vorticity is antiparallel (parallel) to the chirality. The amplitude of the induced component $|\gamma_{M=0}(\mathbf{R})|$ breaks, however, the axial symmetry in contrast to the simpler case of the chiral $p$-wave superconductors: 3-fold symmetry for $n = 1/4$ and 5-fold symmetry for $n = -1/4$ (see Ref. [97]). Note that the axial symmetry is also broken by the boundary condition. The interaction between the two HQVs in the different angular momentum sectors $M = \pm 2$ is expected to appear through the sector of the induced components $M = 0$.

The two kinds of internal structures in the $M = 0$ components of HQVs with $n = \pm 1/4$ are modulated by the connection of these two HQVs. We find that this modulation causes an interaction between the two HQVs and binds them together. To unveil the interaction between HQVs, we compute the Luttinger–Ward energy functional $\mathcal{F}_n$ from the self-consistently determined $g$ [100]. For several values of $d_v$, we calculate the interaction energy of two HQVs in the following steps. We construct three solutions: One includes two HQVs with their centers at $\mathbf{R}_1 = (d_v/2, 0)$ and $\mathbf{R}_2 = (-d_v/2, 0)$ as shown in Fig. 2, and this energy is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2)$. The other two are the corresponding isolated HQVs $(\kappa, n) = (1/2, 1/4)$ and $(1/2, -1/4)$, whose centers are at $\mathbf{R}_1$ and $\mathbf{R}_2$, respectively. The energies of these two solutions are, respectively, $\mathcal{F}_n^*(\mathbf{R}_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_n^*(\mathbf{R}_2)$, where the superscripts stand for the rotational direction of the triad. The interaction energy is defined by $\Delta\mathcal{F}_n(d_v) = \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2) - \mathcal{F}_n^*(\mathbf{R}_1) - \mathcal{F}_n^*(\mathbf{R}_2)$. We remark on the boundary effects. For an isolated HQV, the energy is independent of its center position. However, the long tailed flows of the mass and spin currents are cut off owing to a finite sized simulation box, and the translational symmetry for the single HQV is broken. Similar effects are also included in two HQV solution and its energy. In our strategy to construct the two isolated HQVs at the corresponding positions, the boundary contributions in $\mathcal{F}_n$ cancel out with those in $\mathcal{F}_n^*$ and only the interaction energy of two HQVs becomes available.

In Fig. 3, we show the interaction energy of two HQVs calculated as mentioned above. Circle symbols are calculated by neglecting the induced components with $M = \pm 1$, while the triangular symbols are calculated by taking account of all the components. The difference appears only for $d_v = 0$, where single integer vortices are realized; The triangular symbol at $d_v = 0$ stands for the double core vortex ($d$ vortex), whose core is occupied with $\gamma_{M=\pm 1}$, similarly to the superfluid $^3$He B phase [101]. The $d$ vortex (triangular symbol) has the lower energy than the vortex without $\gamma_{M=\pm 1}$ (circle symbol) because condensation energy due to $\gamma_{M=\pm 1}$ is gained at the origin. Significantly, for finite $d_v$, the interaction energy decreases as $d_v$ increases from zero, and takes the minimum at finite intervortex distance $d_v$, which means that the $d$ vortex is unstable to be split into the two HQVs. The gain in the interaction is due to the deformations in $\gamma_{M=0}(\mathbf{R})$, and the two HQVs form a bound molecule with the optimal separation.

Molecules of HQVs are also discussed in the superfluid $^3$He [54] and unconventional superconductors [57], but their stabilization mechanisms are different from ours: In the super-
The energy levels of the vortex bound states are discretized with level spacing on the order of $\omega_0^2/\varepsilon_F \sim 0.255 T_c$.

It is worth noting that each vortex hosts a single zero energy state within numerical accuracy. Let us now clarify the symmetry protection and the non-Abelian nature of the zero modes. For this purpose, we employ the semiclassical approximation as $\mathcal{H}_B(k, \theta) \mapsto \mathcal{H}(k, \theta)$, which varies slowly in the real-space coordinate. The spatial modulation due to a vortex line is considered as adiabatic changes in the Hamiltonian as a function of the azimuthal angle $\theta$ around the vortex line. For the topological protection of zero-energy states in a vortex, the mirror reflection $\mathcal{M}_{xy}$ with respect to the $xy$ plane is essential. As demonstrated in Refs. [109, 110], if the gap function is odd under the mirror reflection, the HQV may support the Majorana zero mode protected by the mirror symmetry. For the mirror reflection invariant momentum $k_M \equiv (k_x, k_y, k_z = 0)$, the BdG Hamiltonian is commutable with $\mathcal{M}_{xy} = \text{diag}(i\delta z, i\delta z)$ as $[\mathcal{H}(k_M, \theta), \mathcal{M}_{xy}] = 0$, since $\mathcal{A}_{xz} = \mathcal{A}_{yz} = 0$, i.e., $\gamma M_{\pm 1} = 0$ in non-Abelian HQVs. Hence, the Hamiltonian with $k_z = 0$ is block-diagonalized in terms of the eigenvalues of the mirror operator $\lambda = \pm i$, as $\mathcal{H}(k_M, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_A(k_M, \theta)$, where the 2 x 2 submatrix $\mathcal{H}_A$ is still subject to the particle-hole symmetry. In terms of the Altland-Zirnbauer symmetry classes, each subsector belongs to class D like spinless chiral superconductors. The topological invariant relevant for the class-D BdG Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}_A$ on the base space $(k_M, \theta) \in S^2 \times S^1$, is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ number defined as $[111, 112]$

$$v_A = \left( \frac{i}{\pi} \right)^2 \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \text{tr}[A dA + \frac{2}{3} A^3] \mod 2, \quad (4)$$

with the Berry connection $A$ obtained from the occupied eigenstates of $\mathcal{H}_A(k_M, \theta)$ on the mirror invariant plane. The non-Abelian HQV in the $\Delta_A$-BN state has the nontrivial value of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant in each mirror subsector, $v_A = +1 (-1)$ for $\lambda = +i (-i)$. The nontrivial (odd) values ensure a single Majorana zero mode in each HQV which behaves as a non-Abelian (Ising) anyon [110, 113]. In addition to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ number, such a zero mode is protected by the winding number associated with the magnetic $\pi$ rotation [97].

In Figs. 4(b)–4(d), we show the wave functions of these zero modes obtained by separating the edge mode and the vortex core mode through a linear combination of the two particle-hole symmetric eigen partners. We assign label $\zeta = +(-)$ to the state localized at around $R_1$ ($R_2$) instead of $(a, k_z = 0)$. By choosing the global phase of the wave function properly, the Majorana condition $u_{\zeta, \sigma}(R) = \{u_{\zeta, \sigma}(R)\}^*$ is satisfied. The real parts of $u_{\zeta, 1}$ and $u_{\zeta, -1}$ along $y = 0$ are shown in panel (b), and for the other combinations of $\zeta$ and $\sigma$ the wave functions $u_{\zeta, \sigma}$ are zero. The phase windings of $u_{\zeta, 1}$ and $u_{\zeta, -1}$ are 1 and 0, as indicated by the two dimensional colormap in panels (c) and (d), respectively, for the same colorbar in Fig. 2(b). The two Majorana fermions in two non-Abelian HQVs are in opposite spin sectors, and different structures in the phase winding.
Summary.— We have found two-fold non-Abelian anyons in the $^3P_2$ nematic superfluid: non-Abelian HQVs characterized by a non-Abelian first homotopy group and Majorana fermions present inside their cores. The HQVs are stabilized in a form of molecule by the interaction mediated by the uniaxial nematic component. This is the first microscopic approach to the stability of HQVs, and we have clarified a new stabilization mechanism of HQVs due to the gap functions with strong spin-orbit coupling. Our finding opens a new era for non-Abelian anyons, possibly applicable to new directions in topological quantum computation and neutron star physics.
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This supplemental material consists of the summary of our microscopic approach, and boundary conditions in Secs. S1 and S2, respectively. The order parameter profiles of two isolated half-quantum vortices (HQVs) are shown in Sec. S3, and in Sec. S4 mass current and spin current profiles are shown for a pair of such two HQVs. In Sec. S5 we also discuss the symmetry and topology of zero-energy states bound to non-Abelian HQVs in the dihedral-four $D_4$ biaxial nematic (BN) phase of $^{3}P_2$ superfluids.

S1. MICROSCOPIC THEORY

In this section, we derive a quasiclassical framework and the Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) equation from an interacting neutron system via zero-range attractive $^{3}P_2$ force. The derivation can be also referred to our previous paper[S1]. The starting microscopic Hamiltonian $H$ is composed of the one-body terms $H_1$ and the interaction term $H_2$ given, respectively, in the following:

\[
H_1 = \int dr \sum_{\sigma, \sigma' = \uparrow, \downarrow} \psi^\dagger_{\sigma}(r)[h_0(-i\nabla)\delta_{\sigma \sigma'} + U_{\sigma \sigma'}]\psi_{\sigma'}(r), \quad H_2 = -\int dr \sum_{\alpha \beta = x,y,z} \frac{g_2}{2} T^\dagger_{\alpha \beta}(r)T_{\alpha \beta}(r), \tag{S1}
\]

where $H_1$ consists of the kinetic energy $h_0(-i\nabla) = (-\nabla^2/2m - \mu)$ measured from the chemical potential $\mu$, and the Zeeman energy $\hat{U}(r) = -V_2\hat{\sigma}_z$ along the $z$ axis; $\hat{\sigma}$ denotes a $2 \times 2$ matrix in the spin space. The $^{3}P_2$ force with coupling strength $g > 0$ is represented by $H_2$, and the pair creation and annihilation operators $T^\dagger$ and $T$ are defined, respectively, by

\[
\hat{T}^\dagger_{\alpha \beta}(r) = \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} \psi^\dagger_{\sigma}(r)[i_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \sigma'}(i\nabla)\psi_{\sigma'}(r)], \quad T_{\alpha \beta}(r) = \sum_{\sigma \sigma'} [i_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \sigma'}(-i\nabla)\psi_{\sigma'}(r)]\psi_{\sigma}(r). \tag{S2}
\]

We have introduced a spin-momentum coupling in the pair force via the $2 \times 2$ matrix in spin space $i_{\alpha \beta}$ defined by

\[
i_{\alpha \beta}(-i\nabla) = i_{\alpha \beta}(-i\nabla/k_F) = i\delta\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[\delta_{\alpha \beta}(-i\nabla) + \delta_{\alpha \beta}(-i\nabla)] - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\delta_{\alpha \beta}\hat{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla)\right) = [i_{\alpha \beta}(i\nabla)]^\dagger. \tag{S3}
\]

Following Ref. [S1], we obtain the quasiclassical transport equation called the Eilenberger equation:

\[
0 = i\hbar \vec{v}_F \cdot \nabla \vec{g} + [i\omega_n \vec{r}_{\xi} + \vec{u} + \hat{\sigma}_S, \vec{g}], \tag{S4}
\]

where $\hat{\vec{r}}$ is a $4 \times 4$ matrix in the spin and Nambu space, $\vec{r}_{\xi} = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$ is the third component of the Pauli matrices in the Nambu space, $\vec{u} = V_2\text{diag}(\vec{r}_{\xi}, \hat{\sigma}^\dagger_{\xi})$ denotes the Zeeman field. The quasiclassical propagator $\vec{g}$ is defined by

\[
\vec{g}(k_F, R; i\omega_n) = \left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{g} \\
-\frac{i\vec{v}}{\hbar}
\end{array}\right] = \int_{C_{\vec{k}}} d\xi \frac{1}{i\hbar} \vec{G}(k, R; i\omega_n), \tag{S5}
\]

\[
\vec{G}(k, R; i\omega_n) = \int_0^B d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \int d(r_1 - r_2)e^{-ik \cdot (r_1 - r_2)} \vec{T}_{\xi} e^{i\vec{r}_{\xi} \cdot \vec{r}} \langle \vec{T}_{\xi} \Psi(r_1, \tau) \Psi^\dagger(r_2) \rangle. \tag{S6}
\]

In the first line, the integral with respect to the energy $\xi = k^2/2m - \mu$ is performed along the contour $C_{\vec{k}}$, which consists of the counterclockwise contour in the half upper $\xi$ plane and the clockwise contour in the half lower $\xi$ plane. Here, $R = (r_1 + r_2)/2$ is a coordinate to describe the spatial inhomogeneity of the superfluid order parameter, $\vec{T}_{\xi}$ is an imaginary time ordered
operator, and the Nambu spinor $\Psi$ has been introduced as $\Psi(r,\tau) = (\psi^\uparrow(r,\tau), \psi^\downarrow(r,\tau), \psi^\dagger_\uparrow(r,\tau), \psi^\dagger_\downarrow(r,\tau))$ with $\psi_\sigma^\dagger(r,\tau) = e^{iH\tau} \psi^\dagger_\sigma(r) e^{-iH\tau}$. The order parameter matrix is defined by

$$\hat{\Delta}(k_F, R) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\Delta} & 0 \\ -\hat{\Delta}^\dagger & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}(k_F, R) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(R) \hat{\sigma}_\alpha \hat{\sigma}_\beta,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ is a $3 \times 3$ order parameter tensor of a spin-triplet $p$-wave superfluid, and $\hat{k}_F = k_F/k_F$ is a normalized Fermi momentum parametrized as $(\cos \alpha \sin \chi, \sin \alpha \sin \chi, \cos \chi)$. The order parameter tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is connected to the anomalous quasiclassical propagator $\hat{f}$ by the gap equation

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(R) + \Delta_{\beta\alpha}(R)}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sum_{\gamma} \Delta_{\gamma\gamma}(R)}{3\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(R) = g v_n i\pi T \sum_{n:|\omega_n| \leq \omega_c} \langle \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{k}_F) \hat{f}(k_F, R; i\omega_n) \rangle_F,$$

where $g$ is the strength of the coupling constant and $v_n$ is the density of state for the normal state at the Fermi energy, and $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle_F$ denotes the Fermi surface average defined by $\int d\alpha \int d\cos \chi/(4\pi) \langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$. The cut-off energy of the Matsubara summation is denoted by $\omega_c$ and related to $g v_n$ as

$$\frac{3}{g v_n} = \log \frac{T}{T_c} + \sum_{n:|\omega_n| < \omega_c} \frac{\pi}{\omega_n}.$$

An order parameter profile with a vortex is determined by self-consistently solving the Eilenberger equation and the gap equations with the boundary condition explained below. In order to study the interaction energy of the HQVs, we utilize the Luttinger–Ward energy functional:

$$J_{\text{sn}} = \frac{v_n}{2} \int_0^1 d\lambda \text{Sp} \left[ \hat{\Delta} \left( \hat{g}_{\lambda} - \frac{i}{2} \hat{g} \right) \right],$$

where $\text{Sp} \left[ \cdot \cdot \cdot \right] = i\pi T \sum \int dR (\text{Tr}[\cdot \cdot \cdot])_F$, and $\hat{g}_{\lambda}$ is the solution to the equation $[i\omega_n \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\gamma} + \lambda \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma\lambda}, \hat{g}_{\lambda}] + i v_F \cdot \nabla \hat{g}_{\lambda} = 0$.

The Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) equation also can be derived following Ref. [S1] as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{BdG}}(R) \hat{u}_\nu(R) = \epsilon_{\nu} \hat{u}_\nu(R),$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{BdG}}(R) = \begin{pmatrix} h_0(-i\nabla) & \hat{U} \\ -\hat{U}^* & -h_0(i\nabla) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{U}$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix, and the gap matrix is given, using the anticommutator $\{a, b\}_+ = a b + b a$, by

$$\hat{\Lambda}(R) = -\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\gamma}{2k_F} \{ \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(R), \nabla_\beta \}_+.$$

### S2. Boundary Condition and Basis Tensor of $\mathcal{A}$

In this section, we detail the boundary conditions for isolated HQVs and a pair of HQVs. For this purpose, it is convenient to expand the order parameter tensor $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ with respect to the $z$-component of the total angular momentum as $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(R) = \sum_{M=-2}^{2} \gamma_M(R) \Gamma_{M,\alpha\beta}$, where $\Gamma_M$ is the $3 \times 3$ basis tensor with the angular momentum $M$ such that $J_z \Gamma_M = M \Gamma_M$, and $\gamma_M(R)$ is a complex scalar function and denotes the projected order parameter to the sector $M$. The operation $J_z$ on a tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is written down as $[J_z \mathcal{A}]_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\gamma} \epsilon_{\gamma \delta \beta} - \epsilon_{\gamma \alpha \delta} \mathcal{A}_{\gamma\delta\beta}$ with antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. A representation of $\Gamma_M$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm i & 0 \\ \pm i & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mp 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ \mp 1 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The $D_4$-BN state with point node along the $z$ axis is represented for this basis set as $|\gamma_2\rangle = |\gamma_{-2}\rangle$ and $\gamma_{0,\pm 1} = 0$. In the following, we consider asymptotic forms of vortices, which give boundary conditions for the above equation set. An integer vortex for such a $D_4$-BN state has an asymptotic form:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) = \sum_{M=\pm 2} e^{i \kappa \theta} \gamma_M \Gamma_{M,\alpha\beta} (\kappa = \pm 1).$$
This boundary condition breaks the axial symmetry which is described by a form $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{M} e^{i(k-M)\theta} \gamma_{M} \Gamma_{M,\alpha\beta}$. In addition to such a non-axisymmetric integer vortex, a HQV is topologically allowed in the $D_{x}$-BN state. Its asymptotic form is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) = \sum_{M=2} e^{i(k-M)\theta} \gamma_{M} \Gamma_{M,\alpha\beta} \quad (\kappa = \pm 1/2, n = \pm 1/4),$$

(S17)

which indicates that there are two kinds of HQVs in terms of the relative sign between $k$ and $n$. Without loss of generality, we set $\kappa = 1/2$ in the main text and in the following. It is proposed that an integer vortex with $\kappa = 1$ can split into two HQVs with $(\kappa,n) = (1/2, 1/4)$ and $(\kappa,n) = (1/2, -1/4)$ [S2]. Such a configuration is schematically given as follows: Let a vortex center with $n = -1/4 (n = 1/4)$ be $R_{1}$ ($R_{2}$), and define the polar angle measured from its center as $\theta_{1}$ ($\theta_{2}$). An asymptotic form of two HQVs which is equivalent to that of a single integer vortex is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{M=2} e^{i(k-M)\theta_{1}+i(k-M)\theta_{2}} \gamma_{M} \Gamma_{M,\alpha\beta} \quad (\kappa = 1/2, n = 1/4),$$

(S18)

where the phase behaves as $2\kappa \theta$ for $|R| \to \infty (\theta_{1,2} \to \theta)$.

S3. ISOLATED HALF QUANTUM VORTICES

In this section, we present self-consistent solutions of isolated HQVs. As mentioned in the previous section and in the main text, there are two kinds of HQVs characterized by $n = \pm 1/4$ implying two possible rotational directions of the triad relative to the vorticity. In Fig. S1, a HQV solution with $(n, \kappa) = (1/2, -1/4)$ is shown. The relative phase of $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{-2}$ is set to zero in Eq. (S17), and the schematic image of the boundary condition is shown in panel (b). In panel (b), $\theta$ denotes the azimuthal angle in the real space and the red dashed line implies the branch cut. The colored arrows in each circle object represent the direction of the $d$ vectors, whose color bar is identical to those of panels (b), (f), and (h). The cyan and the magenta lines in each object stand for the directions of the eigenvalues 1 and $-1$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}(R)$, respectively. Panel (a) shows the total amplitude defined by $\left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\Delta}(k_{F}, R) \right\} \right)^{1/2} = \left[ \sum_{M} |\gamma_{M}(R)|^{2} \right]^{1/2}$. Panels (c), (e), and (g) show $|\gamma_{-2}|, |\gamma_{0}|$, and $|\gamma_{2}|$, respectively, while panels (d), (f), and (h) show the corresponding phase $\text{arg}(\gamma_{M})$. As expected from the boundary condition, the sector $M = -2$ has a single winding, while the sector $M = 2$ has no winding, which results in an almost uniform amplitude profile. It is remarkable that the amplitude of induced component $M = 0$ seems three fold. Its phase winding around the core is single but opposite to the winding in $M = -2$. Although the phase change along the loop around the core is non linear, the opposite single winding can be

\[ \frac{2 \kappa \pi}{\xi_{0}}, \quad \frac{2 \kappa \pi}{\xi_{0}} \]
understood as an analogy to a vortex in a spinless chiral \( p \)-wave superconductor. The gap matrices contributed from the sectors \( M = -2 \) and \( M = 0 \) are given, respectively, by

\[
\hat{\Delta}_{-2} = \gamma_{-2}(R) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{k}_x - i \hat{k}_y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Delta}_0 = \frac{\gamma_0(R)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{k}_x - i \hat{k}_y & 0 \\ 0 & - (\hat{k}_x + i \hat{k}_y) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{S19}
\]

where we set \( \hat{k}_z = 0 \) for simplicity. In the case of an axisymmetric vortex in a spinless chiral \( p \)-wave superconductor, the induced component has the opposite chirality against the component which has non-zero order parameter far from the vortex core [S3, S4]. Here we call the latter component the \textit{bulk component}. In this case, the vorticity of the induced component is determined such that a simultaneous rotation in the real space and the momentum space gives the same phase change between the bulk component and the induced component. In more details, let \( \kappa_b (\kappa_i) \) and \( \chi_b (\chi_i) \) be the vorticity and chirality of the bulk component (the induced component), respectively. The chirality is defined so that

\[
\kappa = \kappa_b + i \chi_b = e^{i \chi_b}, \quad \text{and it takes either 1 or -1}, \quad \chi_i = - \chi_b.
\]

The phase factor is given by \( \kappa_b \theta + \chi_b \alpha \) and \( \kappa_i \theta + \chi_i \alpha \), and the simultaneous rotation by angle \( \Delta \theta \) gives the phase change \( \kappa_b + \chi_b ) \Delta \theta \) and \( \kappa_i + \chi_i \Delta \theta \), respectively. Therefore, the vorticity for the induced component is determined as \( \kappa_i = \kappa_b + \chi_b \kappa_i = \kappa_b + 2 \chi_b \equiv (\kappa_b + \chi_b) + \chi_b \), where \( \kappa_b + \chi_b \) is the sum of the vorticity and chirality, characterizing two inequivalent vortices in chiral \( p \)-wave superconductors. By applying this argument to the present HQV case, the winding of the induced component is obtained as follows: From Eqs. (S19) and (S17), \( \kappa_b = 1 \) and \( \chi_b = -1 \) for \( M = -2 \), and thus we obtain \( \kappa_i = -1 \). This is consistent with the opposite single winding indicated by panel (f). Again we remark that the phase change is non linear and the amplitude does not enjoy the axial symmetry in the present case. In terms of the spin sectors, the winding in the bulk component appears only in the spin down sector as seen from Eq. (S19).

Next, we present a self-consistent solution of the other isolated HQV. In order to describe the HQV in the left hand side of a pair of the HQVs, we shift the phase of \( \gamma_{-2} \) by \( \pi \) in Eq. (S17), as seen from Eq. (S18) by setting \( \theta_1 = \pi \) for \( R_2 = (0, 0) \) and \( R_1 = (\infty, 0) \). The numerical solution of this HQV is shown in Fig. S2. The meaning of each panel is the same as in Fig. S1. The boundary condition schematically shown in panel (b) stands for the opposite rotation compared with Fig. S1(b). Correspondingly, the sector with \( M = -2 \) has no winding, while that with \( M = 2 \) has a single winding. The gap matrix contributed from the sector \( M = 2 \) is written down as

\[
\hat{\Delta}_{2} = - \gamma_{2}(R) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{k}_x + i \hat{k}_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S20}
\]

It can be seen that only the spin-up sector in the bulk component has the winding in this case, in contrast to Eq. (S19) for the spin-down sector in the previous case. The winding of the induced component can be understood by applying the above argument. In the present case, it follows from Eqs. (S17) and (S20) that \( \kappa_b = 1 \) and \( \chi_b = 1 \) for \( M = 2 \), while \( \chi_1 = -1 \) for the spin-up sector.

---

**FIG. S2.** HQV with \((\kappa, \eta) = (1/2, 1/4)\). (a) Total intensities \( |\Sigma_M | |\gamma_M|^2 |^{1/2} \). (b) Schematic image of the boundary condition. (c), (e), (g) Amplitudes \( |\gamma_M| \) for \( M = -2, 0 \), and 2. (d), (f), (h) Phases \( \tan^{-1}(\gamma_M) \) for \( M = -2, 0 \), and 2.
FIG. S3. (a) Mass current \( j_m \) and (b) spin current \( j_z^s \) for the \( z \) component for a pair of HQVs. In each panel, the direction of the in-plane current is indicated by the colors and the directions of the arrows, while its intensity is indicated by the lengths of the arrows and the colormap behind the arrows.

of \( M = 0 \) as seen from Eq. (S19). Therefore, we obtain \( k_1 = 3 \), which is consistent with the winding indicated by Fig. S2(f). We note that its change is nonlinear as well as the previous HQV. Correspondingly, the amplitude \( |\gamma_0| \) is five fold, in contrast to a three-fold symmetry in the previous case.

S4. MASS CURRENT AND SPIN CURRENT FOR A PAIR OF HQVS

In this section, we show the spatial profiles of the mass current density \( j_m \) and the spin current density with spin along the \( z \) axis \( j_z^s \) for a pair of HQVs. Within the quasiclassical theory, these currents are calculated by

\[
\begin{align*}
j_m(R) &= \frac{i\pi T \nu_0}{2m} \sum_{n | \omega_n \leq \omega_c} \text{Tr} \left[ \langle k_F \hat{r}_z \hat{g}^M(k_F, R; i\omega_n) \rangle \right], \\
j_z^s(R) &= \frac{i\pi T \nu_0}{2m} \sum_{n | \omega_n \leq \omega_c} \text{Tr} \left[ \langle k_F \hat{r}_z \hat{g}^M(k_F, R; i\omega_n) \hat{S}_z \rangle \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \hat{S}_{x,y,z} = \text{diag}(\hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_y, \hat{\sigma}_z)/2 \). The summations over the Matsubara frequency are cut-off by \( \omega_c \) which is used for the above gap equation, and it is (at least) qualitatively correct. In Fig. S3, we show the in-plane components of \( j_m \) in panel (a) and \( j_z^s \) in panel (b). The currents along the \( z \) axis is zero, and the spin currents for \( \mu = x \) and \( y \) are zero as well. In each panel, the colored arrows show the direction of the current and its intensity, and the colormap behind the arrows also indicates the intensity of the current. The mass current reflects the vorticity of the two HQVs, and it rotates in the same sense around the two cores. In the region far from the core, it gradually decays in a way similar to one for a single integer vortex. Between the two cores, the mass currents contributed from the two cores cancel out. The spin current has an opposite behavior against the mass current: it rotates in the opposite sense between the cores because the localized states contributing to the current have opposite spins. As a result, the contributions far from the cores vanishes, while the region between the cores have rather strong flows.

S5. SYMMETRY AND TOPOLOGY OF VORTEX-BOUND STATES IN THE \( D_4 \)-BN PHASE

Here we discuss the symmetry and topology of zero-energy states bound at a molecule of non-Abelian HQVs in the \( D_4 \)-BN state. For comparison, we also show the axisymmetric \( o \)-vortex in the \( D_4 \)-BN state, which is the most symmetric vortex with normal core, hosting multiple Majorana zero modes. In Ref. [S1], we demonstrated that under constraints of the axial symmetry of vortices, the \( e \) vortex with the uniaxial-nematic superfluid core is thermodynamically stable in low magnetic fields, while the \( o \) vortex becomes stable as the magnetic field increases. We also found that the \( o \) vortex has topologically-protected zero-energy modes, while the axisymmetric \( e \) vortex has a finite excitation gap in the low-lying quasiparticle spectrum. In this work, we
TABLE I. Classification of vortices for the $D_4$-BN phase in terms of the vorticity $\kappa$, the $n$-fold rotation of the $D_4$-BN order, the mirror ($M_{xy}$) and $P_3$ (magnetic $\pi$ rotation) symmetries, and topological invariants $\text{Ch}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\nu_{1d} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda = \pm i$ is the eigenvalue of $M_{xy}$. The column “Core states” corresponds to the superfluid components which may be induced around the vortex core (also see the discussion below Eq. (S35)), and “non-Abelian” is the existence of the zero-energy state (ZES) which has the nature of a non-Abelian anyon. We numerically confirmed the self-consistent solutions of axisymmetric $o$- and $v$-vortices in addition to HQVs, while no stable solutions of $u$, $w$, and $uvw$ vortices have been found with assuming the axial symmetry.  

| Symmetry | $M_{xy}$ | $\kappa$ | $n$ | Core states | $\text{Ch}_2$ | $(\nu_{1d}, \nu_4, \nu_{1d})$ | $|\nu_{1d}|$ | ZES | Non-Abelian anyon |
|----------|---------|--------|-----|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-----|------------------|
| $o$ vortex | $P_1, P_2, P_3$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\text{Re} \gamma_0$ | $0$ | $(1, -1)$ | $2$ | Yes | Yes |
| $u$ vortex | $P_1$ | $-$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\gamma_0$ | $-$ | $-$ | No |
| $v$ vortex | $P_2$ | $-$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\text{Re} \gamma_{1+1}$, $\text{Re} \gamma_0$ | $0$ | $-$ | $-$ | No |
| $w$ vortex | $P_3$ | $-$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\text{Im} \gamma_{1+1}$, $\text{Re} \gamma_0$ | $0$ | $-$ | $-$ | No |
| $uvw$ vortex | $-$ | $-$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\gamma_{0, \pm 1}$ | $-$ | $-$ | No |
| HQV | $P_3$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} + 1/2$ | $\mathbb{Z} + 1/4$ | $\text{Re} \gamma_0$ | $0$ | $(1, 0)$ or $(0, -1)$ | $1$ | Yes | Yes |

investigate the stability and microscopic structure of non-Abelian HQVs without assuming axial symmetry. As mentioned in the main text, the low-lying quasiparticle structure in the HQV is distinct from those of the $o$ vortex and $v$ vortex, since a single zero energy state appears at each core of HQVs. Below, we uncover the robustness and non-Abelian anyonic nature of the zero-energy states bound at a molecule of non-Abelian HQVs on the basis of symmetry and topology.

S5.1. Symmetry classification

To unveil the symmetry and topology of vortices, following Refs. [S5–S7], we start with the semiclassical approximation where the Hamiltonian varies slowly in the real-space coordinate. The Bogoliubov quasiparticle structure is governed by the BdG Hamiltonian in Eq. (S13). The $^3P_2$ order parameter in the BdG Hamiltonian has the spatial modulation due to a vortex line. In the semiclassical approximation, the spatial modulation is considered as adiabatic changes as a function of the real-space coordinate surrounding the defect with an angle $\theta$, where we introduce the cylindrical coordinate $R = (\rho, \theta, z)$. Then, the BdG Hamiltonian in the base space, $(k, \theta)$ is obtained from Eq. (S13), as

$$\hat{H}(k, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} h_0(k) \hat{1} + \hat{U} & \hat{\Lambda}(k, \theta) \\ \hat{\Lambda}^\dagger(k, \theta) & -h_0(k) \hat{1} - \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S23)

where $\hat{U}$ is the Zeeman energy due to a magnetic field $H$, and the $2 \times 2$ spin matrix of the $^3P_2$ pair potential is given by

$$\hat{\Lambda}(k, \theta) = i\hat{\sigma}_\mu \hat{A}_\mu(\theta)k_\mu/k_\mu \hat{\sigma}_\nu.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S24)

Here we consider the $D_4$-BN phase of $^3P_2$ superfluids. The asymptotic form of the order parameter with an isolated vortex satisfies the boundary condition

$$\hat{A}_\mu(\theta) = \Delta_0 e^{i\kappa \theta} R_n(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_n^\dagger(\theta),$$ \hspace{1cm} (S25)

where $R_n^z(\theta) \in \text{SO}(2)$ is the rotation matrix about the $z$ axis by angle $n\theta$

$$R_n^z(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(n\theta) & -\sin(n\theta) & 0 \\ \sin(n\theta) & \cos(n\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (S26)

We assume the spatial uniformity of the order parameter along the vortex line, i.e., the $z$-axis. The parameters $\kappa$ and $n$ in Eq. (S25) represents the U(1) phase winding and the $n$-fold texture of the $D_4$-BN order along the azimuthal angle $\theta$, respectively (see Fig. 1 in the main text).

Let us consider systems invariant under a U(1) gauge transformation and an SO(3) spin-momentum rotation. The continuous symmetry group, $G = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SO}(3)$, acts on the the $3 \times 3$ traceless symmetric tensor, $\hat{A}_\mu$, as $\hat{A} \rightarrow e^{i\varphi} g \hat{A} g^\dagger$, where $e^{i\varphi} \in \text{U}(1)$.
and \( g \in \text{SO}(3) \) are elements associated with a gauge transformation and simultaneous spin-orbit rotation, respectively. The \( D_4 \)-BN order, \( \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\text{BN}} \), is invariant under the dihedral-four (\( D_4 \)) group, whose elements \( (e^{i\alpha}, g) \) are

\[
D_4 = \{(1, 1), (-1, R_x^1), (1, I^y), (-1, I^y R_z^1), (1, I^y), (1, I^x)\},
\]

where \( I^j \) and \( R_x^j \) represent \( \pi \) rotations around the \( j \)-axis \((j = x, y, z)\) and \( \pi/2 \) \((n = 4)\) rotations around the \( z \) axis, respectively, and \( 1 \) is the \( 3 \times 3 \) unit matrix. The OP manifold in the \( D_4 \)-BN state is then characterized by the broken symmetry, \( R = [U(1) \times \text{SO}(3)]/D_4 \).

The topological charges of line defects are characterized by the first homotopy group

\[
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where \( \hat{P}_3 \equiv \text{diag}(\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_3) \) and \( \hat{P}_3 \equiv \text{diag}(\hat{P}_3, \hat{P}_3^\dagger) \) are the \( P_{1,2,3} \) operators extended to the Nambu space. The \( \alpha \) vortex is the most symmetric vortex preserving all discrete symmetries, \( \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \). The \( P_3 \) operator composed of the \( \pi \)-rotation about the \( x \) axis and \( T \) flips the momentum and spin as \( \mathbf{k} \rightarrow (-k_x, k_y, k_z) \) and \( \sigma \rightarrow (\sigma_x, -\sigma_y, -\sigma_z) \) and changes the azimuthal angle \( \theta \rightarrow -\theta \). The \( 3 \) \( P_2 \) order parameter is transformed as

\[
P_3 : \mathcal{A}(\theta) \to \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{A}^*_xx(-\theta) & -\mathcal{A}^*_xy(-\theta) & -\mathcal{A}^*_xz(-\theta) \\
-\mathcal{A}^*_yx(-\theta) & \mathcal{A}^*_yy(-\theta) & \mathcal{A}^*_yz(-\theta) \\
-\mathcal{A}^*_zx(-\theta) & \mathcal{A}^*_zy(-\theta) & \mathcal{A}^*_zz(-\theta)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(S35)
corresponding to \( \gamma_M(\rho, \theta) \to (-1)^M\gamma_M^*(\rho, -\theta) \). In the \( D_4 \)-BN state, an axisymmetric vortex represented by Eq. (S29) with \( \gamma_M(\rho, \theta) = \gamma_M e^{i(\kappa - M)\theta} \) maintains the \( P_3 \) symmetry when \( \text{Re} \gamma_{\pm 1}(\rho) = 0 \). The \( P_1 \) symmetry requires the order parameters to satisfy the relations, \( \gamma_M(\rho, \theta) = -\gamma_M(\rho, \theta + \pi) \). For axisymmetric vortices, this implies that nonvanishing \( \gamma_{\pm 1} \) or an even \( \kappa_M \) breaks the \( P_1 \) symmetry. In the same manner, the \( P_2 \) symmetry leads to the relations \( \gamma_M(\rho, \theta) \to (-1)^M\gamma_M^*(\rho, -\theta + \pi) \). For axisymmetric vortices with an odd \( \kappa \), the \( P_2 \) symmetry is preserved if \( \text{Im} \gamma_M(\rho) = 0 \). The vortex state preserving only the \( P_2 \) \( (P_3) \) symmetry is referred to as the \( \nu \)-vortex \((w\)-vortex\) state. As discussed in Sec. S3 and Figs. S2 and S3, the nonvanishing \( \gamma_0 \) component induced around the core breaks the axial symmetry but satisfies \( \gamma_0(\rho, \theta) = \gamma_0^*(\rho, -\theta) \). In addition, no \( \gamma_{\pm 1} \) components are induced. Hence, the HQV maintains the \( P_3 \) symmetry. Table I summarizes the possible classes of vortices in terms of these discrete symmetries. In the previous work [S1], we demonstrated that only \( \alpha \) vortex and \( \nu \) vortex are the stable solution of the self-consistent equations, while the no stable solutions of the \( w \), \( w \), and \( w w \) vortex are obtained in the \( D_4 \)-BN state with the constraints of the axial symmetry.

The mirror reflection with respect to the \( xy \)-plane is defined as the following transformation of the real-space, the momentum, and the spin variables: \( (x, y, z) \to (x, y, -z), (k_x, k_y, k_z) \to (k_x, k_y, -k_z), \) and \( (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \to (-\sigma_x, -\sigma_y, \sigma_z) \). For systems with spatial uniformity along the \( z \)-axis, only the momentum and spin variables transform under the mirror reflection since the BdG Hamiltonian does not depend on \( z \). The mirror reflection operator in the spin space, \( M_{xy} \), is simply given by \( \hat{M}_{xy} = i\hat{\sigma}_z \).

When the \( 3 \) \( P_2 \) superfluid order parameter is invariant under the mirror reflection symmetry, the operator acts as

\[
\hat{M}_{xy}\hat{A}(k, \theta)\hat{M}_{xy}^\dagger = \eta\hat{\sigma}_z(k_x, k_y, -k_z, \theta).
\]

(S36)

As the parity under the mirror reflection, \( \eta = \pm \), is compensated by the discrete \( U(1) \) rotation, the mirror operator in the Nambu space is given as \( \hat{M}_{xy}^{\dagger} = \text{diag}(\hat{M}_{xy}, \eta \hat{M}_{xy}^\dagger) \). The BdG Hamiltonian (S13) is invariant under mirror reflection symmetry, i.e.,

\[
\hat{M}_{xy}^{\dagger}\hat{H}(k, \theta)\hat{M}_{xy} = \hat{H}(k_x, k_y, -k_z, \theta).
\]

(S37)
The mirror reflection operator thus acts on the symmetric traceless tensor \( \mathcal{A}_{\mu \nu} \) as

\[
M_{xy} : \mathcal{A}_{\mu \nu} \to \begin{pmatrix}
-\mathcal{A}_{xx} & -\mathcal{A}_{xy} & \mathcal{A}_{xz} \\
-\mathcal{A}_{yx} & \mathcal{A}_{yy} & \mathcal{A}_{yz} \\
\mathcal{A}_{zx} & \mathcal{A}_{zy} & -\mathcal{A}_{zz}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(S38)

Therefore, the BdG Hamiltonian is invariant under the mirror reflection if \( \mathcal{A}_{xz} = \mathcal{A}_{yz} = 0 \), i.e., \( \gamma_M = \gamma_{\pm 1} = 0 \). This condition can be satisfied by the \( \alpha \) vortex and the non-Abelian HQVs. In Table I, we summarize the symmetry and topology of the several classes of topological line defects in the \( D_4 \)-BN states.

**S5.2. Topological invariants for vortex-bound states**

One of the topological invariants appropriate for line defects in the Altland-Zirnbauer symmetry class \( D \) is the second Chern number [S5, S10]. Consider the BdG Hamiltonian at an infinite point from a vortex, \( \hat{H}(k, \theta) \), which is obtained from Eq. (S13) on the four-dimensional space \( (k, \theta) \) as \( \hat{H}(k, \theta) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \hat{H}(k, R) \). The second Chern number is given by

\[
\text{Ch}_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^3 \times S^1} \text{tr} \left[ \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \right],
\]

(S39)

where \( \mathcal{F} = dA + A \wedge A \) is the non-Abelian field strength in the four-dimensional base space. Let \( |u_n(\mathbf{k}, \theta)\rangle \) be the \( n \)th occupied eigenstate of \( \hat{H}(\mathbf{k}, \theta) \). The non-Abelian Berry’s connection, \( A_{\mu n}^\alpha \), is defined with the eigenstates as

\[
A_{\mu n}^\alpha = -i \langle u_n(\mathbf{k}, \theta) | \partial_{\alpha} u_m(\mathbf{k}, \theta) \rangle.
\]

(S40)
Here we introduce $(\partial_1 W, \partial_2 W, \partial_3 W, \partial_4 W) \equiv (\partial_k x, \partial_k y, \partial_k z, \partial_\theta)$ with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Owing to the particle-hole symmetry, the value of the second Chern number in the class D is even, i.e., $C_D = 2\mathbb{Z}$ [S10]. Hence, the nontrivial value of $C_2$ ensures the appearance of paired Majorana fermions at a topological line defect. It has also been pointed out that the second Chern number vanishes in the presence of the $P_1$ symmetry [S5], and thus the $o$ vortex has $C_2 = 0$. We also find that the Chern number in the other vortices is trivial, $C_2 = 0$.

When the BdG Hamiltonian (S23) satisfies Eq. (S37) and keeps the mirror reflection symmetry, one can introduce a topological number called the mirror $\mathbb{Z}_2$ number. Since the mirror invariant BdG Hamiltonian commutes with the mirror operator, it can be block diagonal by using the eigenvalues of the mirror operator. Here we should emphasize that the zero-energy vortex-bound state can be the Majorana zero mode only for $M_{xy}^\eta$ while the mirror $\mathbb{Z}_2$ number can be defined for both of the two possible mirror symmetries $\tilde{M}_{xy}^{\eta=\pm}$. This is because the particle-hole symmetry is still supported within each subsector for $\tilde{M}_{xy}$ but not for $\tilde{M}_{xy}^\eta$. In terms of the Altland-Zrinbauer symmetry classes, each subsector for $\tilde{M}_{xy}$ belongs to the class D as well as spinless chiral superconductors. In contrast, for $\tilde{M}_{xy}^\eta$, each mirror subsector does not maintain the particle-hole symmetry, and its Hamiltonian belongs to the class A, like a quantum Hall state. Thus, the zero-energy states behave as Majorana fermions only for $\tilde{M}_{xy}$, and only Dirac fermions can be obtained for $\tilde{M}_{xy}^\eta$. For $\tilde{M}_{xy}$, if the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant is odd, the vortex can host a single Majorana zero mode which behaves as a non-Abelian (Ising) anyon [S11–S13].

As mentioned in Eq. (S38), the BdG Hamiltonian for the $o$ vortex and the non-Abelian HQVs is invariant under $\tilde{M}_{xy}$ if $\mathcal{M}_{xz} = \mathcal{M}_{yz} = 0$, i.e., $\gamma_M = \pm 1 = 0$. On the mirror reflection invariant plane at $k_M \equiv (k_x, k_y, k_z = 0)$, the BdG Hamiltonian is commutable with $\tilde{M}_{xy}$, $[\hat{H}(k_M, \theta), \tilde{M}_{xy}] = 0$. Hence, the BdG Hamiltonian is block-diagonal to the $2 \times 2$ submatrices, $\hat{H}_A(k_M, \theta)$, in terms of the eigenvalues of the mirror operator $\lambda = \pm i$ as
\begin{equation}
\hat{H}(k_M, \theta) = \bigoplus_{A} \hat{H}_A(k_M, \theta) \quad (S41)
\end{equation}

where $\hat{H}_A(k_M, \theta)$ is still subject to the particle-hole symmetry with $\tilde{C} = \tau_z K$ ($\tau_{\mu=x,y,z}$ are the $2 \times 2$ Pauli matrix in a reduced subspace) and belongs to the class D in each mirror subsector. Then, the BdG Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}_A$, is obtained on the base space $(k_M, \theta) \in S^2 \times S^1$, which is composed of the two-dimensional mirror-invariant momentum space and a loop enclosing the line defect on the mirror invariant plane in the real space. The topological invariant for the Altland-Zrinbauer symmetry class D is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ number [S10, S14]. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ number in each mirror subsector is
\begin{equation}
v_\lambda = \left(\frac{i}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_{S^2 \times S^1} Q_4 \quad \text{mod } 2, \quad (S42)
\end{equation}

where $Q_4 = \text{tr} [\hat{A} d\hat{A} + \frac{3}{2} \hat{A}^3]$ is the Chern-Simons form and the Berry connection $\hat{A}$ is obtained from the eigenstates on the mirror reflection invariant plane. For the vortex preserving the mirror symmetry, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant in the $\lambda$ subsector is
\begin{equation}
v_\lambda = \ell k_\lambda \quad \text{mod } 2, \quad (S43)
\end{equation}

where $k_\lambda$ is the vorticity of the order parameter in the $\lambda$ subsector, and $\ell$ is the first Chern number characterizing the bulk topology of the mirror invariant plane and $\ell = \pm 1$ for $\lambda = \pm i$. On the basis of the total angular momentum $\Gamma_M$, the vorticity $k_\lambda$ is equivalent to the vorticity $k_M$ of $J_z = M$ Cooper pairs. Hence, the mirror-protected $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariants for an isolated HQV with $(k, n) = (1/2, 1/4)$ and $(1/2, -1/4)$ are $(v_{\ell=+i}, v_{\ell=-i}) = (0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, respectively. Hence, the zero energy state bound at each HQV is protected by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant regardless of the intervortex distance $d_V$. In Ref. [S1], we found that a pair of the zero energy states exist in the $o$ vortex. The topological protection of a pair of zero energy states are also characterized by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariants as $(v_{\ell=+i}, v_{\ell=-i}) = (1, -1)$.

Finally, we introduce another topological invariant associated with the chiral symmetry [S1, S5, S6, S13]. Consider the vortex preserving the $P_3$ symmetry, that is, the magnetic $\pi$ rotation symmetry. Then, one can construct the chiral operator $\Gamma$ as a combination of $C$ in Eq. (S31) and $P_3$ operator, and the BdG Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(k, \theta)$ preserves the chiral symmetry,
\begin{equation}
\{\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{H}(k, \theta)\} = 0, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^2 = +1 \quad (S44)
\end{equation}

for $k_y = k_z = 0$. As long as the chiral symmetry is preserved, one can define the one-dimensional winding number for $\theta$ as
\begin{equation}
w_{1d}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i} \int dk_x \text{tr} \left[\hat{\Gamma} \hat{H}(k, \theta) \partial_k, \hat{H}(k, \theta)\right]_{k_y = k_z = 0}. \quad (S45)
\end{equation}
The winding number for the \(o\)-vortex state is \(w_{1d}(\theta = 0) = 2\) and \(w_{1d}(\theta = \pi) = -2\), regardless of the boundary condition. Then, the topological invariant is defined as the difference of \(w_{1d}(\theta)\), \(w_{1d} = \frac{w_{1d}(0) - w_{1d}(\pi)}{2} = 2\). This ensures the presence of the two zero energy states at \(k_z = 0\). Similarly, the winding number describes the topological protection of the zero energy state in an isolated HQV. We thus conclude that the zero energy state and its non-Abelian anyonic nature in the HQVs are protected by both the mirror \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) invariants \(\nu_4\) and the winding number \(w_{1d}\).

In summary, a single Majorana zero mode bound at the HQV in the \(D_4\)-BN state is protected by the two topological invariants associated with the mirror reflection symmetry and chiral symmetry. These topological invariants ensure that the Majorana zero mode behaves as a non-Abelian (Ising) anyon. Therefore, the HQV hosts two-fold non-Abelian anyons characterized by both Majorana fermions and a non-Abelian first homotopy group. Both the symmetries can be preserved and topological invariants are unchanged even if two HQVs form a bound molecule and the magnetic field is applied along the vortex line. Therefore, the Majorana zero mode and its non-Abelian anyonic nature are tolerant against a magnetic field.
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