

# Isometries of the product of composition operators on weighted Bergman space

Anuradha Gupta and Geeta Yadav\*

## Abstract

In this paper the necessary and sufficient conditions for the product of composition operators to be isometry are obtained on weighted Bergman space. With the help of a counter example we also proved that unlike on  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the composition operator on  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{D})$  induced by an analytic self map on  $\mathbb{D}$  with fixed origin need not be of norm one. We have generalized the Schwartz's [4] well known result on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  which characterizes the almost multiplicative operator on  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47B33, 47B38

**Keywords:** Adjoint composition operator; Almost multiplicative operator; Composition operator; Invertible; Isometry; Unitary.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  denote the open unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H(\mathbb{D})$  denote the space of all analytic complex valued functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}) := \mathcal{H}^2$  denote the Hardy space defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

the derivative Hardy space denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{D}) := \mathcal{S}^2$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{D}) &= \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : f' \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}^2 = |a_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha > -1$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}) := \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  denote the weighted Bergman space defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) < \infty \right\},$$

where  $dA_\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$  and  $dA$  denote the normalized area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  is called the Bergman space and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D}) := \mathcal{A}^2$ . It is well known that  $e_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} z^n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $\Gamma$  is gamma function, forms an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ . Clearly,  $e_0 = 1$ . By  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , we denote the set of non negative integers.

The reproducing kernel denoted by  $K_w \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  for point evaluation at  $w$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  which satisfies  $f(w) = \langle f, K_w \rangle$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  is

$$K_w(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^{(\alpha+2)}} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\|K_w\|_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}. \quad (1.2)$$

By  $Aut(\mathbb{D})$  we denote the set of all disc automorphism, that is, the set of all maps in  $H(\mathbb{D})$  which maps  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathbb{D}$  and are bijective. Equivalently,  $\Phi \in Aut(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if there exist  $b \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\gamma| = 1$  and

$$\Phi(w) = \gamma \frac{b - w}{1 - \bar{b}w}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) := \mathcal{H}^\infty$  denote the space of all bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk with the supremum norm.

Let  $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\Phi$  be a self map on  $\mathbb{D}$ , that is,  $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ . Then the composition operator induced by  $\Phi$  on  $H(\mathbb{D})$  is defined as

$$C_\Phi f = f \circ \Phi \text{ for } f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

Let  $\Phi, u \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\Phi$  be a self map on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the weighted composition operator on  $H(\mathbb{D})$  is defined as

$$C_{u,\Phi} f = u \cdot (f \circ \Phi) \text{ for } f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

If  $u \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  then the weighted composition operator is the composition operator  $C_\Phi$  induced by  $\Phi$ .

Throughout the paper we assume that  $\Phi, \Psi \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and are self maps on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Carswell and Hammond gave the characterization for isometric composition operator on weighted Bergman space. From (Proposition 3.1, [1]) it follows that  $C_\Phi : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  is an isometry if and only if  $\Phi$  is a rotation. One can easily prove that if  $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a rotation, that is, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$  such that  $\Phi(z) = \lambda z$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $C_\Phi^* = C_\Psi$  where  $\Psi(z) = \bar{\lambda}z$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . In fact,  $C_\Phi^* C_\Phi = I$  and  $C_\Phi C_\Phi^* = I$  where  $I$  is identity operator on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ . Consequently,  $C_\Phi^{-1} = C_\Phi^*$ ,  $C_\Phi$  is unitary and we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *A composition operator  $C_\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  is an isometry if and only if it is unitary.*

A function  $g$  with domain  $X$  is said to be bounded away from zero on  $X$  if there exists a positive constant  $c$  which satisfy  $|g(z)| \geq c$  for each  $z$  in domain  $X$ .

In [5] Shaabani gave the following characterization for invertibility of weighted composition operator on the weighted Bergman space which is instrumental in proving the results in the subsequent sections.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Psi$  be an analytic map on  $\mathbb{D}$ , and let  $\Phi$  be an analytic self-map on  $\mathbb{D}$ . The weighted composition operator  $C_{\Psi,\Phi}$  is invertible on  $\mathcal{H}^2$  or  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  if and only if  $\Phi \in Aut(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero on  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

One can easily note that if  $\Psi \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  then the composition operator  $C_\Phi$  is invertible on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  if and only if  $\Phi \in Aut(\mathbb{D})$ .

Recently, Shaabani [6] discussed the necessary and sufficient condition for the product  $C_{u_1,\Phi_1} C_{u_2,\Phi_2}^*$  and  $C_{u_1,\Phi_1}^* C_{u_2,\Phi_2}$  to be invertible on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ . In section 2, we characterize the isometry operators  $C_\Phi C_\Psi^*$

and discuss the necessary condition for operators  $C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}$  to be isometry. With the help of a counter example we proved that unlike  $\mathcal{H}^2$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$  if an analytic self map  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  induces the composition operator  $C_{\Phi}$  on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  then the norm of  $C_{\Phi}$  may not be one. In section 3, we generalize the Schwartz's [4] well known result on  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$  which characterizes the almost multiplicative operators on  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . This result helps us in characterizing the isometry operators  $C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}$ .

## 2 The products $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$ and $C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}$

We begin this section with the definition of adjoint of composition operator on  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$  which will be used repeatedly.

**Definition 2.1.** *The adjoint operator of a composition operator  $C_{\Phi}$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$  denoted by  $C_{\Phi}^*$  is the operator which satisfy*

$$\langle C_{\Phi}f, g \rangle = \langle f, C_{\Phi}^*g \rangle$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$ . Also,

$$\langle f, C_{\Phi}^*K_w \rangle = \langle C_{\Phi}f, K_w \rangle = (f \circ \Phi)(w) = f(\Phi(w)) = \langle f, K_{\Phi(w)} \rangle$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$ . Thus,

$$C_{\Phi}^*K_w = K_{\Phi(w)} \tag{2.1}$$

Next we will generalize the Carswell and Hammond result for  $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$  to be an isometry.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The product  $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$  is an isometry if and only if both the composition operators  $C_{\Phi}$  and  $C_{\Psi}$  are isometries, equivalently, the inducing maps  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are rotations.*

**Proof.** Let  $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$  be an isometry. From the equivalent conditions of isometry on Hilbert space it follows that

$$C_{\Psi}(C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*) = (C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*)^*(C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*) = I. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus,  $C_{\Psi}$  is surjective. Hence  $C_{\Psi}$  is injective because  $\Psi$  is non constant. Therefore  $C_{\Psi}$  is invertible and  $\Psi$  is disc automorphism. So there exists  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\Psi(w) = 0$ . From Equation (1.1)  $K_0 = 1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Clearly,  $C_{\Phi}K_0 = K_0$ . From Equations (1.2) and (2.1) we get

$$\|C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*K_w\|_{\alpha}^2 = \|C_{\Phi}K_0\|_{\alpha}^2 = 1.$$

Since  $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$  is an isometry we have

$$1 = \|C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*K_w\|_{\alpha}^2 = \|K_w\|_{\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}}.$$

Thus,  $w = 0$ . This implies  $\Psi$  is a rotation because it is a disc automorphism and  $\Psi(0) = 0$ . Hence  $C_{\Psi}$  is an isometry and unitary as well. Now by pre and post multiplying Equation (2.2) with  $C_{\Psi}^*$  and  $C_{\Psi}$  respectively we get  $C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Phi} = I$ , that is  $C_{\Phi}$  is an isometry.

For the converse part, let  $C_{\Phi}$  and  $C_{\Psi}$  be isometries. Then both  $C_{\Phi}$  and  $C_{\Psi}^*$  are unitary. Since the product of two unitary operators is unitary we get  $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$  is unitary and hence isometry.

The following result is direct consequence of Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.3.** *The product  $C_{\Phi}C_{\Psi}^*$  is unitary if and only if  $C_{\Phi}$  and  $C_{\Psi}$  are isometry.*

**Theorem 2.4.** *The product  $C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}$  has norm one if and only if  $\Phi(0) = 0 = \Psi(0)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}\| = 1$ . Clearly, applying Equation (2.1) with the fact that  $C_{\Psi}K_0 = K_0$  we get

$$C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}K_0 = C_{\Phi}^*K_0 = K_{\Phi(0)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{(1 - |\Phi(0)|^2)^{(\alpha+2)}} = \|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}K_0\|_{\alpha} \leq \|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}\| \|K_0\|_{\alpha} = 1$$

so  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\|C_{\Psi}^*C_{\Phi}\| = \|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}\| = 1$ . Similarly,  $\|C_{\Psi}^*C_{\Phi}\| = 1$  gives  $\Psi(0) = 0$ .

For the converse part, let  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi(0) = 0$ . Then using the upper bound on the norm of a composition operator by (Theorem 11.6, [8])(with  $p = 2$ ) we have

$$\|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}\| \leq \|C_{\Phi}\| \|C_{\Psi}\| \leq \left(\frac{1 + |\Phi(0)|}{1 - |\Phi(0)|}\right)^{(\alpha+2)/2} \left(\frac{1 + |\Psi(0)|}{1 - |\Psi(0)|}\right)^{(\alpha+2)/2} = 1.$$

For reverse inequality, consider

$$\|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}\| \geq \frac{\|C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}K_0\|_{\alpha}}{\|K_0\|_{\alpha}} = \frac{\|K_{\Phi(0)}\|_{\alpha}}{\|K_0\|_{\alpha}} = \frac{\|K_0\|_{\alpha}}{\|K_0\|_{\alpha}} = 1.$$

As it is well known that the norm of an isometry operator on any Hilbert space is one but the converse is not true so the above theorem leads to the following result:

**Corollary 2.5.** *If the product  $C_{\Phi}^*C_{\Psi}$  is an isometry then  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi(0) = 0$ .*

Unlike  $\mathcal{H}^2$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$ , if an analytic self map  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  that induces the composition operator on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  and fixes the origin, then this does not imply that the norm of the composition operator induced by  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  is one. This can be verified from the following example.

**Example 2.6.** *Let  $\Psi$  be an analytic, self map defined by  $\Psi(z) = z^3 \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$  so that  $\Psi(0) = 0$ . Clearly,  $\Psi' \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$  and this implies that  $C_{\Psi}$  is a composition operator on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  (see [3]). Define  $f(z) = z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  so that  $f \in \mathcal{S}^2$ .*

*Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\Psi}\| &\geq \frac{\|C_{\Psi}f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}} \\ &= \frac{\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}} = \frac{3}{1} = 3 \end{aligned}$$

*Thus, we have proved that  $\Psi(0) = 0$  but  $\|C_{\Psi}\| \neq 1$ .*

The above example is instrumental in showing that unlike  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^2$  the converse part of the statement in Theorem 2.4 does not hold on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  in the following example.

**Example 2.7.** *Let  $\Psi$  be as defined in the Example 2.6 and  $\Phi$  be defined by  $\Phi(z) = z \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then  $\Phi$  is analytic, self map on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi' \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ . So  $C_{\Phi}$  and  $C_{\Psi}$  are composition operators on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  (see [3]). Clearly,  $C_{\Phi}f = f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}^2$  and this gives*

$$\langle C_{\Phi}f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, C_{\Phi}g \rangle \text{ for } f, g \in \mathcal{S}^2.$$

Thus,  $C_\Phi$  is an isometry and  $C_\Phi^* = C_\Phi$ . Consequently, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}^2$

$$\|C_\Phi^* C_\Psi f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2} = \|C_\Psi f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}$$

Using Example 2.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\Phi^* C_\Psi\| &= \text{Sup}_{f \neq 0 \in \mathcal{S}^2} \frac{\|C_\Phi^* C_\Psi f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}} \\ &= \text{Sup}_{f \neq 0 \in \mathcal{S}^2} \frac{\|C_\Psi f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}^2}} \\ &= \|C_\Psi\| \\ &\geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi(0) = 0$  but  $\|C_\Phi^* C_\Psi\| \neq 1$ .

### 3 Almost Multiplicative

In this section we will discuss the necessary and sufficient condition for operators  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  to be isometry on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ .

**Definition 3.1.** An operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be almost multiplicative if

$$T(f_1 \cdot f_2) = T f_1 \cdot T f_2$$

whenever  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfy  $f_1 \cdot f_2 \in \mathcal{H}$

For our convenience we will write  $f_1 f_2$  to denote  $f_1 \cdot f_2$ . In the following result on the weighted Bergman space we will generalize the Schwartz's [4] result which shows the relation between almost multiplicative and composition operator on the Hardy space.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $T$  be a non zero bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ . Then  $T$  is almost multiplicative if and only if  $T$  is a composition operator i.e,  $T = C_\Phi$  for some analytic function  $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be almost multiplicative. First, we will prove that

$$T e_n = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} (Tz)^n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

As  $T$  is non zero operator and for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$T e_n = T(e_n e_0) = (T e_n)(T e_0)$$

so  $T e_0$  can not be a zero function. Since

$$T e_0 = T(e_0 e_0) = (T e_0)(T e_0)$$

therefore,  $T e_0 = e_0$ . Now for  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} T e_n &= T \left( \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} z^n \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} (Tz)^n \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} \Phi^n \text{ where } \Phi = Tz \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This gives  $\Phi^n = \sqrt{\frac{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}} (Te_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Further

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi^n\|_\alpha &= \sqrt{\frac{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}} \|(Te_n)\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}} \|T\| \|e_n\|_\alpha \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}} \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sqrt{\frac{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}} \leq 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , therefore, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\|\Phi^n\|_\alpha \leq \|T\|$$

Thus  $\{\|\Phi^n\|_\alpha\}$  is a bounded sequence. Since  $\Phi = Tz \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  and any analytic self map on  $\mathbb{D}$  induces a composition operator on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ , therefore, it is sufficient to prove that  $\Phi$  is a self map on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Now, we will prove that  $|\Phi(z)| < 1 \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be any real number and let  $E = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\Phi(z)| \geq 1 + \delta\}$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi^n\|_\alpha^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\Phi(z)|^{2n} dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\geq \int_E |\Phi(z)|^{2n} dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\geq \int_E (1 + \delta)^{2n} dA_\alpha(z) \\ &= (1 + \delta)^{2n} A_\alpha(E). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 + \delta > 1$ , from above it follows that measure of set  $E$  is zero, i.e,  $A_\alpha(E) = 0$  otherwise

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi^n\|_\alpha = \infty$$

and this is a contradiction to the fact that  $\{\|\Phi^n\|_\alpha\}$  is a bounded sequence. So,  $|\Phi(z)| \leq 1$  a.e on  $\mathbb{D}$ . By combining (Corollary 1.29, [7] for  $n = 1$ ) with (Corollary 1.30, [7] for  $p = 2, n = 1$ ) and applying for analytic function  $\Phi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  we get that for all  $b \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $0 \leq r < 1 - |b|$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(b)|^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\Phi(b + rz)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} dA_\alpha(z) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $|\Phi(z)| \leq 1 \forall z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Now prove that  $|\Phi(z)| \neq 1$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

We will prove this by contradiction. Let  $|\Phi(z')| = 1$  for some  $z' \in \mathbb{D}$  then by the Maximum Modulus Principle there exists some  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 1$  such that  $\Phi(z) = \beta$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , that is,  $\Phi$  is a constant function. Now using this along with Equation (3.1) we have

$$\langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle = \langle e_0, T e_n \rangle = \langle e_0, \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} \beta^n \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} \bar{\beta}^n$$

Since  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T^* e_0 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e_n \text{ for some scalars } c_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle e_n \text{ where } c_n = \langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^* e_0\|_\alpha^2 &= \langle T^* e_0, T^* e_0 \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle e_n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle e_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle \overline{\langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle T^* e_0, e_n \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)} |\beta|^{2n} \\ &= \infty \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that the norm of  $T^* e_0 \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  is finite. Hence  $|\Phi(z)| < 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . From equation (3.1) we have

$$T e_n = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(2+\alpha)}} \Phi^n = e_n \circ \Phi = C_\Phi e_n$$

and it gives by linearity and continuity that  $T = C_\Phi$ . Hence, we have proved that  $T$  is a composition operator.

Conversely, let  $T$  be a composition operator, i.e,  $T = C_\Phi$ . Then for  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  such that  $f_1 f_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$  and for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  we have

$$(C_\Phi(f_1 f_2))(z) = ((f_1 f_2) \circ \Phi)(z) = (f_1 f_2)(\Phi(z)) = f_1(\Phi(z)) f_2(\Phi(z)) = ((C_\Phi f_1)(C_\Phi f_2))(z).$$

Thus,  $C_\Phi(f_1 f_2) = (C_\Phi f_1)(C_\Phi f_2)$  and  $T$  is almost multiplicative.

The following result is motivated by Clifford et al. (Lemma 4.4, [2]) and the proof follows on the similar lines using Theorem 3.2 .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $C_\Psi = C_\Phi S$ ,  $\Psi$  be a non constant function and let  $S$  be a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^2$ . Then  $S = C_\beta$  for some  $\beta \in H(\mathbb{D})$  which is a self map on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\Psi = \beta \circ \Phi$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *The product  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  of operators  $C_\Phi^*$  and  $C_\Psi$  is an isometry if and only if  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are rotations on  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  be an isometry. It follows from Corollary 2.5 that  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi(0) = 0$ . Thus,  $\|C_\Phi\| \leq 1$  and  $\|C_\Psi\| \leq 1$ . Then, by (Proposition 4.3, [2]) it follows that  $C_\Psi$  is an isometry and

$C_\Psi = C_\Phi C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$ . Since  $C_\Psi$  is an isometry, therefore  $\Psi$  is a rotation and hence, non constant. Applying Lemma 3.3 with  $S$  as  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  we get that  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi = C_\beta$  for some  $\beta \in H(\mathbb{D})$  which is a self map on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\Psi = \beta \circ \Phi$ . Combining the fact that  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  is an isometry and  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi = C_\beta$  we get that  $\beta$  is a rotation. Since  $\Psi$  and  $\beta$  are rotations on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\Psi = \beta \circ \Phi$ , therefore,  $\Phi$  is a rotation. Conversely, let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are rotations on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_\Phi$  and  $C_\Psi$  are isometry and unitary as well. This implies that  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  is unitary and hence an isometry.

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4.

**Corollary 3.5.** *The product  $C_\Phi^* C_\Psi$  of operators  $C_\Phi^*$  and  $C_\Psi$  is unitary if and only if  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are rotations on  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

## References

- [1] B. J. Carswell and C. Hammond, Composition operators with maximal norm on weighted Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), no. 9, 2599–2605. MR2213738
- [2] J. H. Clifford, T. Le and A. Wiggins, Invertible composition operators: the product of a composition operator with the adjoint of a composition operator, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **8** (2014), no. 8, 1699–1706. MR3275439
- [3] R. C. Roan, Composition operators on the space of functions with  $H^p$ -derivative, *Houston J. Math.* **4** (1978), no. 3, 423–438. MR0512878
- [4] H. J. Schwartz, Composition operators on  $H^p$  [Thesis-University of Toledo], *ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI*; 1969.
- [5] M. H. Shaabani, Fredholmness of multiplication of a weighted composition operator with its adjoint on  $H^2$  and  $A_\alpha^2$ , *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2018, no. 23, 1–9. MR3749277
- [6] M. H. Shaabani, Closed range weighted composition operators on  $H^2$  and  $A_\alpha^2$ , *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A: Sci.* **43** (2019), no. 3, 1167–1170. MR3977436
- [7] K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **226**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR2115155
- [8] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, second edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **138**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. MR2311536

### Anuradha Gupta

Department of Mathematics, Delhi College of Arts and Commerce,  
University of Delhi, New Delhi-110023, India.  
email: dishna2@yahoo.in

### Geeta Yadav

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi,  
New Delhi-110007, India.  
email: ageetayadav@gmail.com