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#### Abstract

Given positive integers $j, k$, with $j \geq 2$, we show that there are positive integers $d, e$ such that $\sqrt{d}$ has continued fraction expansion $\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{k, \ldots, k, 2 e}]$, with period $j$, if and only if $k$ is even or $3 \nmid j$, in which case we give closed formulae to find all such $d, e$ as well as the smallest solution in positive integers to the Fermat-Pell equation $X^{2}-d Y^{2}=(-1)^{j}$.


## 1. Introduction

Given a positive integer $d$ that is not a perfect square, its square root $\sqrt{d}$ has an infinite periodic continued fraction expansion

$$
\sqrt{d}=\left[e, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}, 2 e}\right]
$$

where $e=[\sqrt{d}]$ is the integral part of $\sqrt{d}, j \geq 1$ is the period of this expansion, and

$$
a_{1}=a_{j-1}, a_{2}=a_{j-2}, \ldots
$$

are positive integers [S]. Set

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y & x  \tag{1}\\
z & w
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 2 e
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_{j-1}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & e
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is a solution to the Fermat-Pell equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-d Y^{2}=(-1)^{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $j$ is even, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-d Y^{2}=-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no integral solutions, and $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-d Y^{2}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+y_{1} \sqrt{d}= \pm(x+y \sqrt{d})^{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $j$ is odd, then $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution to (3) (resp. (4)) if and only if (5) holds with $k$ odd (resp. even) [S. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+y \sqrt{d} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the smallest real number such that $x, y$ are both positive integers and $(x, y)$ is a solution to (2). For this reason, we will refer to $(x, y)$ as the smallest solution to (2).

For certain values of $d$, a closed formula for (6) is available. For instance, if $d=e^{2}+1$, then $(x, y)=(e, 1)$ is the smallest solution to (3), $\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{2 e}]$, and $j=1$. Also, if $d=e^{2}+2 e$, then

[^0]$(x, y)=(e+1,1)$ is the smallest solution to (4) , $\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{1,2 e}]$, and $j=2$. More generally, if $d=e^{2}+\frac{2 e}{k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \mid 2 e$, then
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)=(k e+1, k) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is the smallest solution to (4), $\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{k, 2 e}]$, and $j=2$, provided $k \neq 2 e$. The following table illustrates a few instances, with $j=2$, of the latter phenomenon.

| $e$ | $k$ | $d$ | $x$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 18 | 17 | 4 |

We seek a closed formula, such as (77), rather than algorithm, such as (1), for the smallest solution to (2), available for a suitable family of values for $d$. The preceding examples suggest that we consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{k, \ldots, k, 2 e}], \text { with period } j \geq 2 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $j \geq 2$ implies $k \neq 2 e$. However, given $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $j \geq 3$, there may not exist any $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (8) holds (unlike the case $j=2$ ). Indeed, let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, not a perfect square, with

$$
\sqrt{d}=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right], \text { with period } j \geq 1,
$$

and set

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q_{-2} & p_{-2}  \tag{9}\\
q_{-1} & p_{-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

as well as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q_{n-1} & p_{n-1}  \tag{10}\\
q_{n} & p_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_{n}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & a_{0}
\end{array}\right), \quad n \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
q_{n}=a_{n} q_{n-1}+q_{n-2}, p_{n}=a_{n} p_{n-1}+p_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

and, according to (11),

$$
(x, y)=\left(q_{j-1}, p_{j-1}\right)
$$

is the smallest solution to (2). Suppose, if possible, that

$$
\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{1,1,2 e}]
$$

for some $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$. By above,

| $n$ | $q_{n}$ | $p_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 | 1 | 0 |
| -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | $e$ |
| 1 | 1 | $e+1$ |
| 2 | 2 | $2 e+1$ |

and $(x, y)=(2 e+1,2)$ is a solution to (2). Thus $(2 e+1)^{2}-4 d=-1$, which implies

$$
d=\frac{4 e^{2}+4 e+2}{4}
$$

against the fact that $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The above discussion leads to the following
Goal. Given $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $j \geq 2$, we wish to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (8) holds. Moreover, when these conditions are satisfied, we aim to find all possible values for $d, e$, as well as a closed formula for the smallest solution $(x, y)$ to the Fermat-Pell equation (2).

For instance, when $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we look for all $e, d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{k, k, 2 e}], \text { with period } j=3 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the smallest solution to (21). Assuming (11) holds, the algorithm (11) produces

| $n$ | $q_{n}$ | $p_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 | 1 | 0 |
| -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | $e$ |
| 1 | $k$ | $k e+1$ |
| 2 | $k^{2}+1$ | $\left(k^{2}+1\right) 2 e+k$ |

where $q_{n}, p_{n}$ are defined in (19) and (10), so

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)=\left(\left(k^{2}+1\right) e+k, k^{2}+1\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the smallest solution to (2). Thus

$$
\left(\left(k^{2}+1\right) e+k\right)^{2}-d\left(k^{2}+1\right)^{2}=-1
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{\left(\left(k^{2}+1\right) e+k\right)^{2}+1}{\left(k^{2}+1\right)^{2}}=e^{2}+\frac{2\left(k^{2}+1\right) k e+k^{2}+1}{\left(k^{2}+1\right)^{2}}=e^{2}+\frac{2 k e+1}{k^{2}+1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
2 k e \equiv-1 \quad \bmod k^{2}+1
$$

is solvable if and only if $k$ is even, in which case there is a unique solution $e \equiv \frac{k}{2} \bmod k^{2}+1$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{k}{2}+\ell\left(k^{2}+1\right), \quad \ell \geq 1 \quad(\text { to ensure period } j=3) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, conversely, that $x, y, d, e$ are as indicated in (12)-(14). Then, by construction, we have $x / y=[e, k, k]$. Since $x^{2}-d y^{2}=-1$, it follows from [S, Exercises 7.7.15, 7.7.17] that $\sqrt{d}=$ [ $e, \overline{k, k, 2 e}$ ], with period $j=3$ as $2 e \neq k$. (There is a typing error at the beginning of [ , Exercise 7.7.17]: It should say $X^{2}-d Y^{2}= \pm 1$ instead of $X^{2}-d Y^{2}=1$.)

The following table lists a few examples.

| $e$ | $k$ | $d$ | $x$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2 | 41 | 32 | 5 |
| 11 | 2 | 130 | 57 | 5 |
| 16 | 2 | 269 | 82 | 5 |
| 19 | 4 | 370 | 327 | 17 |
| 36 | 4 | 1313 | 616 | 17 |
| 40 | 6 | 1613 | 1486 | 37 |
| 69 | 8 | 4778 | 4493 | 65 |

## 2. The general case

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j=m+1 \geq 2$, suppose that (8) holds, and let $(x, y)$ be the smallest solution to (2). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-2}=1, f_{-1}=0, f_{n}=k f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the algorithm (11) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)=\left(f_{m}+e f_{m-1}, f_{m}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We digress here to record a few properties of the sequence (15). We readily see by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{n}, f_{n-1}\right)=1, \quad n \geq-1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & k
\end{array}\right)^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{n-2} & f_{n-1} \\
f_{n-1} & f_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad n \geq 1
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n-1}^{2}+(-1)^{n}=f_{n} f_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 1 \text { (Cassini identity) } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing by induction, and making use of (15) and (18), we easily derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} f_{n-2} f_{n-1} \equiv k \quad \bmod f_{n}, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following table displays $f_{n}$ for all $-2 \leq n \leq 11$.

| $n$ | $f_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| -2 | 1 |
| -1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | $k$ |
| 2 | $k^{2}+1$ |
| 3 | $k^{3}+2 k$ |
| 4 | $k^{4}+3 k^{2}+1$ |
| 5 | $k^{5}+4 k^{3}+3 k$ |
| 6 | $k^{6}+5 k^{4}+6 k^{2}+1$ |
| 7 | $k^{7}+6 k^{5}+10 k^{3}+4 k$ |
| 8 | $k^{8}+7 k^{6}+15 k^{4}+10 k^{2}+1$ |
| 9 | $k^{9}+8 k^{7}+21 k^{5}+20 k^{3}+5 k$ |
| 10 | $k^{10}+9 k^{8}+28 k^{6}+35 k^{4}+15 k^{2}+1$ |
| 11 | $k^{11}+10 k^{9}+36 k^{7}+56 k^{5}+35 k^{3}+6 k$ |

As the table suggests, it is easily shown by induction that

$$
f_{n}=a_{n} k^{n}+a_{n-2} k^{n-2}+a_{n-4} k^{n-4}+\cdots, \quad n \geq 0
$$

where

$$
a_{n-2 i}=\binom{1}{0}+\binom{i}{1}+\binom{i+1}{2}+\cdots+\binom{n-i-1}{n-2 i}=\binom{1}{0}+\sum_{1 \leq s \leq n-2 i}\binom{s+(i-1)}{s}
$$

We now resume our prior discussion. Since (16) is a solution to (2), we have

$$
d=\frac{\left(f_{m} e+f_{m-1}\right)^{2}+(-1)^{m}}{f_{m}^{2}}=e^{2}+\frac{2 f_{m} f_{m-1} e+f_{m-1}^{2}+(-1)^{m}}{f_{m}^{2}}
$$

By virtue of (18), the above may rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=e^{2}+\frac{2 f_{m-1} e+f_{m-2}}{f_{m}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The congruence equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f_{m-1} e \equiv-f_{m-2} \quad \bmod f_{m} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solvable if and only if

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{m}, 2 f_{m-1}\right) \mid f_{m-2}
$$

By (17), the above translates into

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{m}, 2\right) \mid f_{m-2}
$$

which is true except only when $f_{m}$ is even and $f_{m-2}$ is odd. Suppose first $k$ is even. Then $f_{m}, f_{m-2}$ are both even if $m$ is odd (no constant term) and both odd if $m$ is even (constant term 1). Suppose next $k$ is odd. Then (15) yields the following parity for $f_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
f_{0} & f_{1} & f_{2} & f_{3} & f_{4} & f_{5} & \ldots \\
\text { odd } & \text { odd } & \text { even } & \text { odd } & \text { odd } & \text { even } & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

We deduce that

$$
f_{m} \text { is even and } f_{m-2} \text { is odd } \Leftrightarrow k \text { is odd and } m \equiv 2 \bmod 3 .
$$

Suppose henceforth that $k$ is even or $m \not \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{m}, f_{m+1}\right)=1$, we may multiply (21) by $f_{m+1}$ and obtain the equivalent equation

$$
2 f_{m-1} f_{m+1} e \equiv-f_{m-2} f_{m+1} \quad \bmod f_{m}
$$

By (18), this translates into

$$
2\left(f_{m}^{2}+(-1)^{m+1}\right) e \equiv-f_{m-2} f_{m+1} \quad \bmod f_{m}
$$

that is,

$$
2 e \equiv(-1)^{m} f_{m-2} f_{m+1} \equiv(-1)^{m} f_{m-2}\left(k f_{m}+f_{m-1}\right) \equiv(-1)^{m} f_{m-2} f_{m-1} \quad \bmod f_{m}
$$

In light of (19), this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e \equiv k \quad \bmod f_{m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Three cases arise.
Case 1. $k$ is even and $f_{m}$ is odd. Then all solutions to (22) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{k}{2}+\ell f_{m}, \quad \ell \geq 1 \quad(\text { to ensure period } j) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (20) and (23), we see that

$$
d=e^{2}+\frac{\left(k+2 \ell f_{m}\right) f_{m-1}+f_{m-2}}{f_{m}}=e^{2}+\frac{2 \ell f_{m} f_{m-1}+k f_{m-1}+f_{m-2}}{f_{m}}=e^{2}+\frac{2 \ell f_{m} f_{m-1}+f_{m}}{f_{m}}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=e^{2}+2 \ell f_{m-1}+1, \quad \ell \geq 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. $k$ is even and $f_{m}$ is even. Then all solutions to (22) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{k}{2}+\ell \frac{f_{m}}{2}, \quad \ell \geq 1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case the above calculations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=e^{2}+\ell f_{m-1}+1, \quad \ell \geq 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

CASE $3 . k$ is odd. In this case $m \not \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, so $f_{m}$ is also odd. Then $k+f_{m}$ is even, and all solutions to (22) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{k+f_{m}}{2}+\ell f_{m}, \quad \ell \geq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case the above calculations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=e^{2}+(2 \ell+1) f_{m-1}+1, \quad \ell \geq 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, conversely, that $x, y$ are as in (16) and that $d, e$ are as indicated in (23)-(28). Then, by construction, we have $x / y=[e, k, \ldots, k]$, where $k$ is repeated $m$ times. Since $x^{2}-d y^{2}=-1$, it follows from [S, Exercises 7.7.15, 7.7.17] that $\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{k, \ldots, k, 2 e}]$, with period $j$ as $2 e \neq k$.

We have proven the following
Theorem. Let $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $j=m+1 \geq 2$, and let $f_{-2}, f_{-1}, f_{0}, \ldots$ be defined as in (15). Then there exist $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\sqrt{d}=[e, \overline{k, \ldots, k, 2 e}], \text { with period } j \geq 2
$$

if and only if $k$ is even or $m \not \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, in which case all such $d, e$ are given by (23) and (24) if $k$ is even and $f_{m}$ is odd, by (25) and (26) if $k$ is even and $f_{m}$ is even, and by (27) and (28) if $k$ is odd; moreover, the smallest solution to (2) is as indicated in (16).

The following table illustrates a few instances of the above result.

| $m$ | $k$ | $f_{m-1}$ | $f_{m}$ | $\ell$ | $e$ | $d$ | $f_{m} e+f_{m-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 8 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 32 | 17 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 75 | 26 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 136 | 35 |
| 3 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 25 | 646 | 305 |
| 3 | 3 | 10 | 33 | 0 | 18 | 335 | 604 |
| 3 | 4 | 17 | 72 | 1 | 38 | 1462 | 2753 |
| 3 | 5 | 26 | 135 | 0 | 70 | 4927 | 9476 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 18 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 74 | 43 |
| 4 | 2 | 12 | 29 | 1 | 30 | 925 | 882 |
| 4 | 3 | 33 | 109 | 0 | 56 | 3170 | 6137 |
| 5 | 2 | 29 | 70 | 2 | 71 | 5100 | 4999 |
| 5 | 2 | 29 | 70 | 4 | 141 | 19998 | 9899 |
| 5 | 2 | 29 | 70 | 6 | 211 | 44696 | 14799 |
| 5 | 4 | 305 | 1292 | 2 | 1294 | 1675047 | 1672153 |
| 6 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 58 | 99 |
| 6 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 20 | 425 | 268 |
| 6 | 2 | 70 | 169 | 1 | 170 | 29041 | 28800 |
| 7 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 135 | 244 |
| 7 | 2 | 169 | 408 | 2 | 409 | 167620 | 167041 |
| 9 | 1 | 34 | 55 | 0 | 28 | 819 | 1574 |
| 10 | 1 | 55 | 89 | 0 | 45 | 2081 | 4060 |
| 12 | 1 | 144 | 233 | 0 | 117 | 13834 | 27405 |
| 13 | 1 | 233 | 377 | 0 | 189 | 35955 | 71486 |
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