Exact zeros of Loschmidt echo and quantum speed limit time for dynamical quantum phase transition in finite size systems
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We study exact zeros of Loschmidt echo and quantum speed limit time for dynamical quantum phase transition in finite size systems. Our results illustrate that exact zeros of Loschmidt echo exist even in finite size quantum systems when the postquench parameter takes some discrete values in regions with the corresponding equilibrium phase different from the initial phase. As the system size increases and tends to infinity, the discrete parameters distribute continuously in the parameter regions. We further analyse the first time for the appearance of the exact zero of Loschmidt echo which is known as the quantum speed limit time \( \tau_{QSL} \). We demonstrate that the maximal value of \( \tau_{QSL} \) is proportional to \( L \) and approaches infinity in the thermodynamical limit, when we quench the initial non-critical state to the critical phase. We also calculate the minimal value of \( \tau_{QSL} \) and find that its behaviour is dependent on the phase of initial state.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the development of quantum simulation platforms, such as neutral atom arrays1–4, stimulates the intensive studies on the nonequilibrium dynamics of quantum many-body systems. An interesting issue is the dynamical quantum phase transition (DQPT) 5–7, which describes dynamical quantum critical phenomena presented in quench dynamics of kinds of quantum systems with initial state chosen as the ground state of a given Hamiltonian and evolving under a sudden change of a Hamiltonian parameter. The DQPT is characterized by the emergence of zero points of Loschmidt echo (LE) at a series of critical times, where the LE is defined by \( \mathcal{L}(t) = |\mathcal{G}(t)|^2 \) with the Loschmidt amplitude given by

\[
\mathcal{G}(t) = \langle \psi_i | e^{-iH_f t} | \psi_i \rangle. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( |\psi_i\rangle \) is the ground state of prequench Hamiltonian and \( H_f \) is the postquench Hamiltonian. The LE measures the overlap between initial quantum state and time-evolved state 22, with wide application in various contexts ranging from the theory of quantum chaos 23–26 and the Schwinger mechanism of particle production 27–29, to work distribution functions in the context of nonequilibrium fluctuation theorem 30–32. The existence of zero points of LE means the occurrence of nonanalytic behaviors of dynamical free-energy, i.e., the rate function of LE given by \( \lambda(t) = -\frac{1}{T} \ln \mathcal{L}(t) \), at these critical times. It has been shown that DQPT and the equilibrium quantum phase transition are closely related as the nonanalyticities in the rate function of LE occur for quenches crossing the quantum phase transition point 33,34, although a one-to-one correspondence between them does not always hold true 35–37. The relation between the longtime average of the LE and the ground state fidelity susceptibility 38,39 was also unveiled recently 40.

In general, exact zeros of LE or nonanalyticities of dynamical free-energy only occur when the system size tends to infinity. This is very similar to Fisher zeros of the partition function in statistical physics 41. It is well known that the Fisher zeros in a finite size system do not lie on the real temperature axis, and exact zeros only emerge in the thermodynamic limit 42,43. Similarly, the exact zeros of LE in a finite size quantum system can only appear in the complex time plane. When the system size tends to infinity, the zeros approach to the real time axis for quenches crossing the quantum phase transition point 44. Now a question arise here, one may ask whether exact zeros of LE can occur in real time axis for a finite size quantum system? If the answer is yes, it seems that there exists controversy with the known results and how to understand the seeming controversy?

Aiming to answer the above questions and deepen our understanding of DQPT in the finite size systems, we shall explore the exact zeros of LE by focusing on a well-known exact solvable model, i.e., the transverse field Ising model (TFIM), which is well studied and known to exhibit DQPT in the thermodynamic limit. The existence of exact solutions enable us to analytically derive the condition for the existence of exact zeros of LE in finite size systems. For a given initial state prepared as the ground state of pre-quench Hamiltonian, our results illustrate that exact zeros of LE exist even in finite size quantum systems when the post-quench parameter takes some discrete values. These discrete parameters are found to locate in regions with the corresponding equilibrium phase different from the initial phase. As the system size increases and tends to infinity, the discrete parameters distribute continuously in the parameter regions and thus are consistent with previous results.

Further, once we know the exact zeros of LE in finite size quantum systems, it’s natural to explore the minimum time of an initial state evolving to its orthogonal state which corresponds to the first time for the emergence of exact zeros of LE. The minimum time required for arriving an orthogonal quantum state is
called quantum speed limit (QSL) time\cite{47,48}, denoted as $\tau_{\text{QSL}}$. The QSL time gives fundamental limit on the time scale for how fast a quantum state evolves in real-time dynamics\cite{47,59} and can be traced back to the early time when Mandelstam and Tamur studied the time-energy uncertainty in non-relativistic quantum mechanics\cite{50}. The connection of QSL to the DQPT has been discussed in the previous work\cite{61} which however only concerns on the dynamics of quantum critical state. In our parer, we shall explore both the maximum and minimum value of $\tau_{\text{QSL}}$. For infinite size 1D TFIM, we find that the maximum value of $\tau_{\text{QSL}}$ approaches infinity, which is independent of initial state. However, the behaviour of the minimum value of $\tau_{\text{QSL}}$ is distinct if the initial state is chosen in different phase. We demonstrate that non-analytical behaviours appear in both the average of $\tau_{\text{min}}(L)$ and the variance of $\tau_{\text{min}}(L)$ when we change the prequench parameter across the static quantum phase transition point.

II. EXACT ZEROS OF LOSCHMIDT ECHO IN FINITE SIZE SYSTEMS

We consider the one-dimensional (1D) transverse field Ising model (TFIM) described by the following Hamiltonian\cite{22}:

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x - h \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^z,$$

where $J$ is the nearest-neighbor spin coupling, $h$ is the external magnetic field along the $z$ axis and the periodical boundary condition $\sigma_{j+L}^z = \sigma_j^z$ is assumed. The three Pauli matrices are $\sigma_j^\alpha (\alpha = x, y, z)$. $j = 1, \ldots, L$ with $L$ denoting total number of lattice sites. The TFIM fulfills a duality relation\cite{53,64} $U H(h) U^{-1} = H(1/h)$. By using the Jordan-Wigner transformation, the even-parity and odd-parity of the TFIM with periodical boundary condition can be mapping to the anti-periodical Kitaev chain and periodical Kitaev chain, respectively. Then we can write the Hamiltonian in the fermion representation as

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left( c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j + \text{H.c.} \right) - 2h \sum_{j=1}^{L} c_j^\dagger c_j$$

$$\pm \left( c_{L}^\dagger c_1 + c_1^\dagger c_L + \text{H.c.} \right),$$

where plus sign or minus sign of $h$ is corresponding to the even-parity or odd-parity. For convenience, we shall set $J = 1$ as the unit of energy in the following calculation.

It is convenient to diagonalize the Hamiltonian in the momentum space by using the Fourier transform $c_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k e^{ikj} c_k^\dagger$. Here values of $k$ should be chosen in set $K_{\text{PBC}} = \left\{ k = \frac{2\pi m}{L} \mid m = -L/2 + 1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, L/2 \right\}$ for periodical boundary condition (PBC) and $K_{\text{aPBC}} = \left\{ k = \pm \frac{\pi (2m-1)}{L} \mid m = 1, \ldots, L/2 \right\}$ for anti-periodical boundary condition (aPBC). In the following discussion, we focus on the even site of lattice with even parity which is corresponding to aPBC. It should be noted that all terms of Hamiltonian come into pairs $(k, -k)$ for aPBC. Define the positive $k$ values as $K_{\text{aPBC}}^+ = \left\{ k = \frac{\pi (2m-1)}{L} \mid m = 1, \ldots, L/2 \right\}$. Then the Hamiltonian in momentum space is

$$H = -2 \sum_{k \in K_{\text{aPBC}}^+} \left[ \cos(k + h) \left( c_k^\dagger c_k - c_{-k} c_{-k}^\dagger \right) \right.$$

$$+ \left( i \sin k c_k^\dagger c_{-k}^\dagger + \text{H.c.} \right],$$

(4)

By using the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\beta_k = \cos \theta_k c_k + i \sin \theta_k c_{-k}^\dagger,$$

$$\beta_k^\dagger = i \sin \theta_k c_k + \cos \theta_k c_{-k}^\dagger,$$

where $\frac{\pi f_k}{2} = \cos 2 \theta_k$ and $\frac{\pi h_k}{2} = \sin 2 \theta_k$ with $k = -\cos k - h$ and $c_k = -\sin k$. we arrive at a Hamiltonian given by\cite{62,65,66}

$$H = 2 \sum_{k \in K_{\text{aPBC}}^+} \left( E_k \beta_k \beta_k^\dagger - E_k \beta_k^\dagger \beta_k \right),$$

(5)

where $E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + c_k^2}$.

Then we consider the quench dynamic driven by the transverse field $h$ which can be described by $h(t) = h(t) \Theta(-t) + h(t) \Theta(t)$. The analytical formula of Loschmidt echo has the form

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \prod_{k \in K_{\text{aPBC}}^+} \left[ 1 - \sin^2(2 \delta \theta_k) \sin^2(2E_k t) \right],$$

(6)

where $\delta \theta_k = \theta_{kj} - \theta_{ki}$ with $\theta_{ki}$ is Bogoliubov angle of prequench Hamiltonian and $\theta_{kj}$ is Bogoliubov angle of postquench Hamiltonian, and $E_k(t)$ is the energy of postquench Hamiltonian. To ensure $\mathcal{L}(t) = 0$, we must have $\sin^2(2 \delta \theta_k) = 1$, which gives rise to the following constraint relation

$$h_f = -1 + h \cos k \over h + \cos k.$$  (7)

For a finite size system, the momentum $k$ takes discrete values. Given the prequench parameter $h$, we can get a series of $h_f$ determined by Eq.\{7\} for various $k$. When the postquench parameter takes these discrete values, we have $\mathcal{L}(t) = 0$ at

$$t = t_n^* = \frac{\pi}{2E_k f} (n + 1/2),$$

(8)

with

$$E_k f = \sqrt{(\cos k + h)^2 + (\sin k)^2},$$

(9)

i.e., there exist exact zeros of LE as long as Eq.\{7\} is fulfilled. According to Eq.\{7\}, if $h \in (-1, 1)$, the exact zeros of LE emerge only for $h_f \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$. For
the 1D transverse field Ising chain, we can prove that the Loschmidt echo fulfills the following dynamical duality relation

\[ \mathcal{L}(h_i, h_f, t) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{h_i}, \frac{1}{h_f}, h_f t\right). \]

(10)

Due to the existence of dynamical duality relation (see appendix for details), we only need to consider the case of \( h_i \in (-1, 1) \) as the cases \( h_i \in (-\infty, -1) \) and \( h_i \in (1, \infty) \) can be obtained by using the dynamical duality relation.

As displayed in Fig. 1(a) for the system with lattice size \( L = 14 \), for a given \( h_t \), only \( L/2 \) discrete values of \( h_f \) satisfy Eq. (7). Continuously varying \( h_i \) leads to the formation of a series of curves in the parameter space spanned by \( h_i \) and \( h_f \). And those curves become more and more dense as we increase the lattice size, as shown in Fig. 1(b) for the system with \( L = 400 \). To characterize the average distance between neighboring curves, we define the quantity \( \Delta \) as

\[ \Delta = \frac{1}{L - 1} \sum_{k = \frac{-L}{2}, \pi}^{\frac{L}{2}, \pi} \left| h_f(k + \frac{2\pi}{L}) - h_f(k) \right|, \]

(11)

where \( h_f(k) \) is the solution of Eq. (7) for a \( k \) mode. In the thermodynamic limit we can turn the sum into an integral and it could be found that \( \Delta \) is approximately equal to \( 4/L \) which approaches to 0 as \( L \to \infty \). This is also confirmed by the numerical result as displayed in Fig. 2(a). Therefore the discrete \( h_f \) tend to distribute continuously in thermodynamic limit, which is consistent with the general knowledge about the DQPT that zeros of LE shall appear when we quench the system across the critical point.

If we quench the system to the critical point \( h_f = 1 \) (-1), from Eq. (7), we can see that no exact zeros of LE are available unless the initial state is prepared in the other critical point \( h_t = -1 \) (1). Interesting, if we restrict \( h_t \geq 0 \) and \( h_f \geq 0 \), no exact zero of Loschmidt echo can be found if the value of \( h_i \) or \( h_f \) is in the interval \( (-\cos \frac{L-1}{L} \pi \), \( -\cos \frac{L+1}{L} \pi) \) for the finite size system. The interval \( (-\cos \frac{L-1}{L} \pi \), \( -\cos \frac{L+1}{L} \pi) \approx \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^2, 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^2\right) \) is around the critical point \( h_c = 1 \) and the boundary of the interval are reciprocal due to the existence of dynamical duality for the TFIM. Moreover, we can define a quantity \( \Delta_c(h_i) \) which represents the shortest distance between \( h_f = 1 \) and the solution of Eq. (7) for arbitrary value of \( h_i \). The numerical result of \( \Delta_c(h_i) \) is shown in Fig. 2(b) for \( h_t = -1.5, -0.2, 0.6, 2 \) represented by different marks. The approximate formula of \( \Delta_c(h_i) \) for large \( L \) can be derived from Eq. (7), which reads as

\[ \Delta_c(h_i) = \frac{\alpha(h_i)}{L^2}, \]

(12)

where \( \alpha(h_i) = \pi^2(1 + h_i) / (2(1 - h_i)) \). The results of Eq. (12) for \( h_t = -1.5, -0.2, 0.6, 2 \) are shown in Fig. 2(b) which are denoted by black dashed lines. So, if we quench the system from arbitrary value of \( h_t \) except \(-1 \) to \( h_f \) near the critical point, then there exists a region in which no exact zeros of LE are available for finite size system. The width of this region is dependent on \( h_t \) and \( \Delta_c(h_i) \to 0 \) in the thermodynamic limit for any \( h_i \). Together with the result of \( \Delta \to 0 \), we can see that exact zeros of LE would exist so long as we quench across the critical point for the infinite size system, in agreement with the previous work. The result of the shortest distance between \( h_f = -1 \) and the solution of Eq. (7) for arbitrary value of \( h_i \) is similar to Eq. (12).

**III. QUANTUM SPEED LIMIT TIME FOR DYNAMICAL QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITION**

From previous section, we know that there exist exact zeros of LE as we quench the ground state across the static phase transition point. It is known that the quantum speed limit (QSL) time is the minimal time for the evolution of an initial state to its orthogonal state, and thus the first time for the emergence of exact zero of LE
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gives the QSL time, i.e.,

\[ \tau_{\text{QSL}} = \frac{\pi}{4E_{kf}}. \] (13)

According to Eq.9, the QSL time is dependent on the values \( h_f \).

As displayed in Fig.3 for the system with \( L = 22 \), we show that series of divergence points of rate function, corresponding to exact zeros of LE, appear in the real time axis. The QSL time corresponds to the first divergence point of rate function, which is labeled by black dashed line. To see the dependence of \( \tau_{\text{QSL}} \) on \( h_f \), we plot rate function versus \( t \) for all permitted \( h_f > 0 \) determined by Eq.7. Particularly, we denote the maximal value of quantum speed limit time as \( \tau_{\text{max}} = \max[\tau_{\text{QSL}}] \). It is found that \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) is corresponding to the quench process with the postquench parameter closest to \( h_c = 1 \). From the analytical result Eq.7 and the formula of \( E_{kf} \), it follows that \( E_{kf} \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \) is minima if \( k = \frac{\pi}{2} \) or \( k = \frac{\pi}{L} \). According to Eq.9, it should also be noted that the mode \( k = \frac{\pi}{2} \) and \( k = \frac{\pi}{L} \) is corresponding to \( h_f \) close to \(-1\) and \( 1 \) for finite size system, respectively. Then we have \( \tau_{\text{max}} \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \) which can be regarded as a upper bound of QSL time. In the thermodynamic limit, \( k \rightarrow 0 \) and \( k \rightarrow \pi \) is corresponding to \( h_f \rightarrow -1 \) and \( h_f \rightarrow 1 \), respectively. When \( L \rightarrow \infty \), we have \( \tau_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty \) with \( |h_f| \rightarrow 1 \). This means that we can not observe the DQPT in a finite time if we quench the system from a non-critical phase to the critical phase with \( |h_f| = 1 \).

For any ground state of 1D TFIM, it is also interesting to ask how fast could the ground state achieve to its orthogonal state as we quench the parameter of the system? The answer of the question is by the minimal value of QSL time denoted as \( \tau_{\text{min}} = \min[\tau_{\text{QSL}}] \). In Fig.4, we demonstrate the behaviour of \( \tau_{\text{min}}(L) \) for various prequench parameters \( h_i \) as the system size increases. It could be found that if the initial state lies in the paramagnetic phase (Fig.4(a)), \( \tau_{\text{min}} \) would approach to zero as the system size increase. However, \( \tau_{\text{min}} \) would approach to some finite values if the initial state lies in the ferromagnetic phase (Fig.4(b)).

To get a better understanding, now we discuss two limiting cases. One is the initial state chosen in the ferromagnetic phase, i.e., the ground state of the pre-

quench Hamiltonian with \( h_i = \infty \). It can be seen that \( \tau_{\text{min}} \) is corresponding to the maximal value of \( E_{kf} = \sqrt{(\cos k + h_f)^2 + \sin^2 k} \) with \( h_f \) fulfilling Eq.7. For \( h_i = \infty \), the maximal value of \( E_{kf} \) in the thermody-

namic limit is \( E_{kf} = 1 \) corresponding to \( k = \pi/2 \), so we have \( \tau_{\text{min}} = \pi/4 \). This limiting case can be observed in Fig.4(b) for \( h_i = 1000 \), where the black dashed line guides the value of \( \pi/4 \). On the contrary, if we consider the prequench Hamiltonian lying in the paramagnetic phase with \( h_i = 0 \). From Eq.7, we have \( h_f = -1/\cos k \), and it follows that the maximal value of \( E_{kf} \) is \( E_{kf} = \infty \) corresponding to \( k = \pi/2 \), which means \( \tau_{\text{min}} = 0 \) in the thermodynamic limit. For the finite size system, \( k \) can be exactly equal to \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) if \( \text{mod}(L, 4) = 2 \), so we have \( \tau_{\text{min}} = 0 \). Otherwise, \( k = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \) is the mode closest to \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) for \( \text{mod}(L, 4) = 0 \). In this case, we have \( h_f \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \) for \( L \rightarrow \infty \) and the maximal value of \( E_{kf} \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \) with \( k = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \).

Then we have \( \tau_{\text{QSL}} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{2E_{kf}} \) which is illustrated in Fig.4(a) by the green dashed line and it is shown that the asymptotic behavior of \( \tau_{\text{QSL}} \) is captured by the line of \( \frac{\pi^2}{2E_{kf}} \) for \( |h_f| < 1 \).

From the previous works, we know that the lower bound of QSL time can be given by Mandelstam–Tamm bound \( \tau_{\text{MT}} \):

\[ \tau_{\text{QSL}} \geq \tau_{\text{MT}} = \frac{\pi \hbar}{2\Delta E}, \] (14)

where \( (\Delta E)^2 = \langle \psi_i | H_f^2 | \psi_i \rangle - (\langle \psi_i | H_f | \psi_i \rangle)^2 \) with \( H_f \) denotes the postquench Hamiltonian. Next, we consider the two cases discussed above. For the case with ferromagnetic initial state \( |\psi_i\rangle = \bigotimes_{j=1}^L | \uparrow \rangle \) and the postquench Hamiltonian \( H_f = -\sum_{j=1}^L \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x (h_f = 0) \), we have \( \Delta E = E \) due to \( \langle \psi_i | H_f^2 | \psi_i \rangle = L \) and \( \langle \psi_i | H_f | \psi_i \rangle = 0 \). So the Mandelstam–Tamm bound is \( \tau_{\text{MT}} \rightarrow 0 \) in the thermodynamic limit. For the other case with the paramagnetic initial state \( |\psi_i\rangle = \bigotimes_{j=1}^L (\sigma_j^z = | 0 \rangle \rightarrow | 1 \rangle + \sigma_j^z = | -1 \rangle \) and \( H_f = -h_f \sum_{j=1}^L \sigma_j^z \) with \( h_f \rightarrow \infty \), we have \( \Delta E \rightarrow \infty \) and \( \tau_{\text{MT}} \rightarrow 0 \). It can be seen that the Mandelstam–Tamm bound of QSL time is equal to zero for both two cases. Compare with our exact result of \( \tau_{\text{QSL}} \), it can be found that the Mandelstam–Tamm bound \( \tau_{\text{MT}} \) is tight if the prequench Hamiltonian lies in the paramagnetic phase.

To see clearly how \( \tau_{\text{min}}(L) \) changes with \( h_i \), we can calculate the mean value of \( \tau_{\text{min}}(L) \) numerically from \( L_{\text{min}} \) to \( L_{\text{max}} \) and denote it as:

\[ \tau_{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{L_{\text{max}} - L_{\text{min}}} \sum_{L=L_{\text{min}}}^{L_{\text{max}}} \tau_{\text{min}}(L). \] (15)

Meanwhile, we can also calculate the variance of \( \tau_{\text{min}}(L) \)
We have examined the quantum speed limit time and studied the limit. For finite size system of 1D TFIM, we have shown agreement with previous work in the thermodynamic system. We have illustrated that our analytical result is exist exact zeros of LE even for the finite size quantum zeros of the LE for the 1D TFIM and shown that there exist zeros in Fig. 5(b) which remains non-zero value as \( |\hbar| \) approaches 1. The non-analytical behaviours appearing in the change of prequench parameter across the static quantum phase transition point indicates clearly the minima of QSL time relies on the choice of initial states.

**IV. SUMMARY**

In summary, we have analytically calculated the exact zeros of the LE for the 1D TFIM and shown that there exist exact zeros of LE even for the finite size quantum system. We have illustrated that our analytical result is in agreement with previous work in the thermodynamic limit. For finite size system of 1D TFIM, we have examined the quantum speed limit time and studied the behaviors of the maximum and minimum values of quantum speed limit time. From our analytical result in thermodynamic limit, it is shown that no DQPT occurs in a finite time if we quench from non-critical system to critical system due to corresponding \( \tau_{max} \) is approaching infinity. We have also illustrated the existence of non-analytical behaviors in both the average of \( \tau_{min}(L) \) and the variance of \( \tau_{min}(L) \) when we change the parameter of prequench Hamiltonian across the static critical point.
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**Appendix A: The dynamical duality of the Loschmidt echo**

For quantum TFIM, the Loschmidt echo can be represented as

\[
\mathcal{L}(J_i, J_f, \hbar_i, \hbar_f, t) = \prod_k \mathcal{L}_k(J_i, J_f, \hbar_i, \hbar_f, t), \quad (A1)
\]

where the \( k \)-component of the Loschmidt echo is

\[
\mathcal{L}_k(J_i, J_f, \hbar_i, \hbar_f, t) = 1 - \sin^2 (2\theta_k) \sin^2 (2E_{kft}). \quad (A2)
\]

Here we have

\[
\sin^2 (2\theta_k) = \left( \frac{\zeta_f \epsilon_i - \epsilon_f \zeta_i}{E_f E_i} \right)^2 = \frac{(\zeta_f \epsilon_i - \epsilon_f \zeta_i)^2}{(\epsilon_i^2 + \zeta_i^2)(\epsilon_f^2 + \zeta_f^2)} = \frac{(J_f \hbar_i - J_i \hbar_f)^2 \sin^2 k}{(J_i^2 + 2J_i \hbar_i \cos k + \hbar_i^2)(J_f^2 + 2J_f \hbar_f \cos k + \hbar_f^2)},
\]

and

\[
\sin^2 (2E_{kft}) = \sin^2 \left( 2t \sqrt{J_i^2 + 2J_f \hbar_i \cos k + \hbar_i^2} \right).
\]

After a dual transformation: \( J \to 1/J \) and \( \hbar \to 1/\hbar \), the \( k \)-component of Loschmidt echo of the dual model can be written as

\[
\mathcal{L}_k \left( \frac{1}{J_i}, \frac{1}{J_f}, \frac{1}{\hbar_i}, \frac{1}{\hbar_f}, t \right) = 1 - \sin^2 \left( 2\bar{\theta}_k \right) \sin^2 \left( 2\bar{E}_{kft} \right), \quad (A3)
\]
with

\[
\sin^2 \left( 2\delta \theta_k \right) = \left( \frac{1}{J_i h_i} - \frac{1}{J_f h_f} \right)^2 \sin^2 k \left( \frac{1}{J_f} + 2 \frac{1}{J_f h_f} \cos k + \frac{1}{h_f^2} \right) = (h_i^2 + 2 J_i h_i \cos k + J_i^2) \left( h_f^2 + 2 J_f h_f \cos k + J_f^2 \right) = \sin^2 (2 \delta \theta_k),
\]

and

\[
\sin^2 \left( 2E_{k_f} t \right) = \sin^2 \left( 2t \sqrt{\frac{1}{J_f^2} + 2 \frac{1}{J_f h_f} \cos k + \frac{1}{h_f^2}} \right) = \sin^2 \left( 2 \sqrt{J_f^2 + 2 J_f h_f \cos k + h_f^2 \frac{t}{J_f h_f}} \right) = \sin^2 \left( 2 E_{k_f} \frac{t}{J_f h_f} \right).
\]

We can observe that

\[
\mathcal{L}_k(\frac{1}{J_i}, \frac{1}{J_f}, \frac{1}{h_i}, \frac{1}{h_f}, t) = \mathcal{L}_k(J_i, J_f, h_i, h_f, \frac{t}{J_f h_f}), \quad (A4)
\]

In a more convenient form, it can be written as

\[
\mathcal{L}_k(\frac{1}{J_i}, \frac{1}{J_f}, \frac{1}{h_i}, \frac{1}{h_f}, J_f h_f t) = \mathcal{L}_k(J_i, J_f, h_i, h_f, t). \quad (A5)
\]

For the case of \( J_i = J_f = 1 \) in the main text, we have

\[
\mathcal{L}_k(\frac{1}{h_i}, \frac{1}{h_f}, h_f t) = \mathcal{L}_k(h_i, h_f, t), \quad (A6)
\]

which gives rise to the dynamical dual relation Eq.\[10\] directly.

---