

Product isometry of generalized weighted composition operator on general weighted Hardy space

Anuradha Gupta and Geeta Yadav*

Abstract

We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the composition and weighted composition operator and product of composition operators to be isometry and unitary on $H_E(\xi)$. With the help of counter example we also prove that the product of two non isometric composition operator and weighted composition operator can be isometry on $H_E(\xi)$. We also completely characterize the boundedness of generalized weighted composition operators on $H_E(\xi)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B33, 47B37

Keywords: Adjoint composition operator, Generalized weighted composition operator, m -isometry, Weighted Hardy space

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\xi_n)^{1/n} = \infty \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbb{Z}_+ denotes the set of non negative integers. By Hilbert space $H_E(\xi)$ (see [6]), we denote the weighted Hardy space of entire functions induced by ξ as

$$H_E(\xi) = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n : \|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \xi_n^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and for $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ in $H_E(\xi)$ the inner product is defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \overline{c_n} \xi_n^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Elements of Hilbert space $H_E(\xi)$ are entire functions if and only if (1.1) holds [2].

For the sequence $\xi = (\sqrt{n!})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, Hilbert space $H_E(\xi)$ is the well known Fock space \mathcal{F}^2 . The sequence $e_n(z) = \frac{1}{\xi_n} z^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ forms an orthonormal basis for $H_E(\xi)$.

The space $H_E(\xi)$ is also reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The reproducing kernel, $K_p \in H_E(\xi)$, for point evaluation at $p \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(p) = \langle f, K_p \rangle$ for each $f \in H_E(\xi)$, is

$$K_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \overline{p}^n z^n.$$

Let W be a subset of \mathbb{C} and $H(W)$ denote the Hilbert space of analytic functions on W . If Φ and Υ are holomorphic functions on W such that Φ maps W into W then, for $f \in H(W)$

$$C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} f = \Upsilon \cdot (f \circ \Phi)$$

denote the weighted composition operator and if $\Upsilon \equiv 1$, then it is the composition operator $C_{\Phi} f = f \circ \Phi$. Researchers, find it interesting to analyze the relation between the operator-theoretic behaviours of $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ and function-theoretic properties of Υ and Φ . These relations have been studied on various function spaces on the unit disk like Hardy space, Bergman space, derivative Hardy space and on Hilbert spaces of entire functions like Fock space. In this paper we discuss the results on $H_E(\xi)$, the weighted Hardy space of entire functions on \mathbb{C} .

Let $\Phi, \Upsilon : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be entire functions and p be a nonnegative integer. The formal generalized weighted composition expression on $H_E(\xi)$ is defined as

$$T(p, \Phi, \Upsilon) f = \Upsilon \cdot f^p \circ \Phi$$

where f^p is the p th derivative of function f in $H_E(\xi)$. The generalized weighted composition operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p$ (see [7], [8]) on $H_E(\xi)$ corresponding to the expression $T(p, \Phi, \Upsilon)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p f = T(p, \Phi, \Upsilon) f \text{ for } f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p)$$

where $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p) = \{f \in H_E(\xi) : T(p, \Phi, \Upsilon) f \in H_E(\xi)\}$. For $p = 0$, $\Upsilon \equiv 1$, $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p$ is the composition operator C_{Φ} induced by Φ ; for $p = 0$, it is the weighted composition operator $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = \Upsilon C_{\Phi}$ and for $\Upsilon \equiv 1$, $\Phi(z) = z$ it is \mathcal{D}^p , the p -th differentiation operator.

Throughout the paper we assume that Φ and Υ are entire functions on \mathbb{C} . A bounded linear operator S on a Hilbert space H is said to be isometry if $\|Sx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in H$ or equivalently $\langle Sx, Sy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$ or equivalently $S^*S = I_H$. In section 2, the necessary and sufficient condition for composition operator and product of composition operators to be isometry or unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ are obtained. In section 3, we study the results of weighted composition operators on $H_E(\xi)$. In section 4, we discuss m -isometry and invertible composition operators on $H_E(\xi)$. In the last section, we give the characterization for boundedness of generalized weighted composition operators on $H_E(\xi)$.

2 Isometry composition operator

We begin this section with the definition of the adjoint of a bounded linear operator on $H_E(\xi)$.

Definition 2.1. *The adjoint of a bounded linear operator S on $H_E(\xi)$, denoted by S^* , is the operator which satisfies*

$$\langle Sg, f \rangle = \langle g, S^* f \rangle$$

for $f, g \in H_E(\xi)$.

For function Φ defined by $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\mu| \leq 1$, the composition operator induced by Φ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $|\mu| \leq 1$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, C_{Φ} is an isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(z) = \mu z$. Then,

$$C_{\Phi}^* = C_{\Psi} \quad \text{where } \Psi(z) = \bar{\mu}z \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.1)$$

For $f \in H_E(\xi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} f)(z) = (C_{\Psi} C_{\Phi} f)(z) = ((f \circ \Phi) \circ \Psi)(z) = f(|\mu|^2 z). \quad (2.2)$$

Let C_{Φ} be an isometry. Then, for $f \in H_E(\xi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} f)(z) = f(z). \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, for function $f(z) = z$ in $H_E(\xi)$, equations (2.2) and (2.3) give $|\mu|^2 z = z$. Then, for $z = 1$, $|\mu| = 1$.

Conversely, let $|\mu| = 1$. Then, $(C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} f)(z) = f(z)$. This implies $C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} = I_{H_E(\xi)}$ and hence, C_{Φ} is an isometry.

In the following result we characterize the unitary composition operator induced by Φ defined in Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $|\mu| \leq 1$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, C_{Φ} is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1$.*

Proof. Let C_{Φ} be unitary. Then, C_{Φ} is isometry and by Theorem 2.2, it follows that $|\mu| = 1$. Conversely, let $|\mu| = 1$ then by Theorem 2.2, $C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} = I_{H_E(\xi)}$. To prove C_{Φ} is unitary, it is sufficient to prove that $C_{\Phi} C_{\Phi}^* = I_{H_E(\xi)}$. Now using equation (2.1), for $f \in H_E(\xi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(C_{\Phi} C_{\Phi}^* f)(z) = (C_{\Phi} C_{\Psi} f)(z) = ((f \circ \Psi) \circ \Phi)(z) = f(|\mu|^2 z) = f(z) \quad (2.4)$$

Thus $C_{\Phi} C_{\Phi}^* = I_{H_E(\xi)}$.

Combination of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 lead to the following result:

Corollary 2.4. *Let $|\mu| \leq 1$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, C_{Φ} is isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if it is unitary.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Phi_1(z) = \mu_1 z$ and $\Phi_2(z) = \mu_2 z$ for $|\mu_1| \leq 1$ and $|\mu_2| \leq 1$ respectively. Then, the product $C_{\Phi_1} C_{\Phi_2}$ is isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $|\mu_2| = 1$. Moreover, the product $C_{\Phi_1} C_{\Phi_2}$ is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $|\mu_2| = 1$.*

Proof. For $f \in H_E(\xi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(C_{\Phi_1} C_{\Phi_2} f)(z) = ((f \circ \Phi_2) \circ \Phi_1)(z) = f((\mu_2 \mu_1)z).$$

Thus, $C_{\Phi_1} C_{\Phi_2} = C_{\Phi}$ where $\Phi(z) = (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1)(z) = (\mu_2 \mu_1)z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\mu_2 \mu_1| = |\mu_2| |\mu_1| \leq 1$. Let $C_{\Phi_1} C_{\Phi_2}$ be isometry. Then, by Theorem 2.2

$$|\mu_2| |\mu_1| = |\mu_2 \mu_1| = 1 \quad (2.5)$$

It follows clearly that $|\mu_1| \neq 0$, $|\mu_2| \neq 0$. Now, since $|\mu_1| \leq 1$ and $|\mu_2| \leq 1$, therefore, equation (2.5) gives

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{|\mu_2|} = |\mu_1| \leq 1.$$

Thus, $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $|\mu_2| = 1$.

Conversely, let $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $|\mu_2| = 1$. Then, $|\mu_2\mu_1| = 1$ and by Theorem 2.3, $C_{\Phi_1}C_{\Phi_2} = C_{\Phi}$ is unitary and hence, an isometry.

If $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\mu| \leq 1$, then $|\bar{\mu}| \leq 1$. This along with equation (2.1) and Theorem 2.5 leads to the following result:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\Phi_1(z) = \mu_1z$ and $\Phi_2(z) = \mu_2z$ for $|\mu_1| \leq 1$ and $|\mu_2| \leq 1$. Then $C_{\Phi_1}^*C_{\Phi_2}$ (or $C_{\Phi_1}C_{\Phi_2}^*$ or $C_{\Phi_1}^*C_{\Phi_2}^*$) is isometry if and only if $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $|\mu_2| = 1$. Moreover, $C_{\Phi_1}^*C_{\Phi_2}$ (or $C_{\Phi_1}C_{\Phi_2}^*$ or $C_{\Phi_1}^*C_{\Phi_2}^*$) is unitary if and only if $|\mu_1| = 1$ and $|\mu_2| = 1$.*

In the following result Φ (for $N = 1$) is chosen according to the result on necessary condition given by Doan et al. ([3], Theorem 4.6) for composition operator C_{Φ} to be bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. In [6] Tan and Khoi discussed the boundedness of composition operators and obtained many important results on $H_E(\xi)$. The necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded composition operator on $H_E(\xi)$ to be isometry or unitary is as follows:

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\Phi(z) = \mu z + d$ for $|\mu| \leq 1$ and $d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that C_{Φ} is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, C_{Φ} is an isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1$ and $d = 0$. Moreover, C_{Φ} is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1$ and $d = 0$.*

Proof. Let C_{Φ} be an isometry. Then, for $g, h \in H_E(\xi)$

$$\langle C_{\Phi}h, C_{\Phi}g \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle$$

that is,

$$\langle h \circ \Phi, g \circ \Phi \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle. \quad (2.6)$$

By our assumption C_{Φ} is isometry implies, $d = 0$ because if $d \neq 0$ then for functions $h(z) = 1$ and $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in $H_E(\xi)$

$$(C_{\Phi}h)(z) = 1 \text{ and } (C_{\Phi}g)(z) = \Phi(z) = \mu z + d \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since ξ_0 is positive, therefore, by combining equations (1.2) and (2.7) we get

$$\langle h \circ \Phi, g \circ \Phi \rangle = \bar{d}\xi_0^2 \neq 0 = \langle h, g \rangle$$

which contradicts equation (2.6). Hence $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now, if $h(z) = z$ and $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in $H_E(\xi)$ then

$$(C_{\Phi}h)(z) = \Phi(z) = \mu z \text{ and } (C_{\Phi}g)(z) = \mu z \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.8)$$

Combining equations (1.2), (2.6) and (2.8) we obtain

$$|\mu|^2\xi_1^2 = \xi_1^2$$

or

$$(|\mu|^2 - 1)\xi_1^2 = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Since ξ_1 is positive, therefore, from equation (2.9) we get $|\mu| = 1$.

Conversely, let $|\mu| = 1$ and $d = 0$ then, by Theorem 2.3 it follows that C_{Φ} is unitary and hence isometry.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\Phi_1(z) = \mu_1 z + d_1$, $|\mu_1| \leq 1$ and $\Phi_2(z) = \mu_2 z + d_2$, $|\mu_2| \leq 1$ such that C_{Φ_1} and C_{Φ_2} are bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, the product $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1}$ is isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu_1| = 1$, $|\mu_2| = 1$ and $\mu_1 d_2 + d_1 = 0$. Moreover, the product $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1}$ is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu_1| = 1$, $|\mu_2| = 1$ and $\mu_1 d_2 + d_1 = 0$.

Proof. Clearly $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1} = C_{\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2}$, $|\mu_1 \mu_2| = |\mu_1| |\mu_2| \leq 1$ and for $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)(z) = \Phi_1(\Phi_2(z)) = (\mu_1 \mu_2)z + (\mu_1 d_2 + d_1).$$

Let $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1}$ be isometry. Then, by Theorem 2.7, $|\mu_1 \mu_2| = 1$ and $\mu_1 d_2 + d_1 = 0$. Further, $|\mu_1| = 1$, $|\mu_2| = 1$ since $|\mu_1| |\mu_2| = |\mu_1 \mu_2| = 1$ and $|\mu_1| \leq 1$, $|\mu_2| \leq 1$.

Conversely, let $|\mu_1| = 1$, $|\mu_2| = 1$ and $\mu_1 d_2 + d_1 = 0$. Since $|\mu_1 \mu_2| = 1$ by Theorem 2.7, $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1}$ is unitary and hence isometry.

The following example shows that in Theorem 2.8, $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1}$ can be isometry even when both C_{Φ_2} and C_{Φ_1} are not isometry.

Example 2.9. Let $\Phi_1(z) = z + d$ and $\Phi_2(z) = z - d$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $0 \neq d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that C_{Φ_1} and C_{Φ_2} are bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, by Theorem 2.7, the composition operator C_{Φ_1} and C_{Φ_2} are not isometry on $H_E(\xi)$. Clearly,

$$(\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)(z) = \Phi_1(z - d) = (z - d) + d = z \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By Theorem 2.8, it follows that $C_{\Phi_2}C_{\Phi_1}$ is unitary and hence isometry on $H_E(\xi)$.

The sum of two isometric composition operator C_{Φ_1} and C_{Φ_2} on $H_E(\xi)$ need not be an isometry. This can be verified from the following example.

Example 2.10. Let $\Phi_1(z) = z$ and $\Phi_2(z) = -z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by Theorem 2.2, C_{Φ_1} and C_{Φ_2} are isometry on $H_E(\xi)$.

Now, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((C_{\Phi_1} + C_{\Phi_2})f)(z) &= (f \circ \Phi_1 + f \circ \Phi_2)(z) \\ &= f(\Phi_1(z)) + f(\Phi_2(z)) \\ &= f(z) + f(-z). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $g \in H_E(\xi)$ defined by $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ above equation reduces to

$$((C_{\Phi_1} + C_{\Phi_2})g)(z) = g(z) + g(-z) = z + (-z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}$$

that is, $(C_{\Phi_1} + C_{\Phi_2})g$ is zero function.

Thus, $\|(C_{\Phi_1} + C_{\Phi_2})g\| = 0 \neq \|g\|$. Hence, $C_{\Phi_1} + C_{\Phi_2}$ is not an isometry.

3 Isometric weighted composition operator

In this section, first we will discuss that for $\mu (\neq 0)$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ whether the sequence $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfies the following equation or not.

$$\xi_0^2 = |\nu|^{2n} |\mu|^{n(n-1)} \xi_n^2 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

For $\xi = (\sqrt{n!})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $n = 1, 2, 3$ equation (3.1) reduces to

$$1 = |\nu|^2 (1!), \quad 1 = |\nu|^4 |\mu|^2 (2!) \text{ and } 1 = |\nu|^6 |\mu|^6 (3!), \quad (3.2)$$

respectively. Infact, $|\nu| = 1$ and substituting this value in the remaining two equalities of equation (3.2) we obtain $|\mu|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\mu|^6 = \frac{1}{6}$ which cannot be true for $|\mu|$. Hence, sequence $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ can not satisfy the equation (3.1).

In 2014, Le [5] obtained that an isometric weighted composition operator is unitary operator on \mathcal{F}^2 . The following result gives characterization for a weighted composition operator to be isometry on $H_E(\xi)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Upsilon(z) = \nu z + c$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\nu, c, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu| \leq 1$ such that $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is an isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1, \nu = 0$ and $|c| = 1$. Moreover, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1, \nu = 0$ and $|c| = 1$.*

Proof. Let $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ be an isometry. Then, $\mu \neq 0$ and for $g, h \in H_E(\xi)$

$$\langle C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} h, C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} g \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle$$

that is,

$$\langle \Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi), \Upsilon \cdot (g \circ \Phi) \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

Now we consider the following cases for $h, g \in H_E(\xi)$:

Case I. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, if $h(z) = z^n$ and $g(z) = z^n$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then,

$$(\Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi))(z) = \Upsilon(z)h(\mu z) = \nu \mu^n z^{n+1} + c \mu^n z^n \text{ and } (\Upsilon \cdot (g \circ \Phi))(z) = \nu \mu^n z^{n+1} + c \mu^n z^n. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, combining equations (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$|\nu|^2 |\mu|^{2n} \xi_{n+1}^2 + |c|^2 |\mu|^{2n} \xi_n^2 = \xi_n^2$$

or

$$|\nu|^2 |\mu|^{2n} \xi_{n+1}^2 + (|c|^2 |\mu|^{2n} - 1) \xi_n^2 = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

From equation (3.5) it follows that if $h(z) = z^0 = 1$ and $g(z) = z^0 = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then

$$|\nu|^2 \xi_1^2 + (|c|^2 - 1) \xi_0^2 = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, if $h(z) = z$ and $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then,

$$|\nu|^2 |\mu|^2 \xi_2^2 + (|c|^2 |\mu|^2 - 1) \xi_1^2 = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Case II. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, if $h(z) = z^n$ and $g(z) = z^{n+1}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ then,

$$(\Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi))(z) = \Upsilon(z)h(\mu z) = \nu \mu^n z^{n+1} + c \mu^n z^n \text{ and } (\Upsilon \cdot (g \circ \Phi))(z) = \nu \mu^{n+1} z^{n+2} + c \mu^{n+1} z^{n+1}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, combining equations (3.3) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\nu \bar{c} \mu^n \overline{\mu^{n+1}} \xi_{n+1}^2 = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Since $\xi_{n+1} \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, therefore, from equation (3.9) it follows that either $\nu = 0$ or $c = 0$.

Case(a) If $\nu = 0$ then equations (3.6) and (3.7) give

$$(|c|^2 - 1) \xi_0^2 = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$(|c|^2 |\mu|^2 - 1) \xi_1^2 = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

respectively. Since $\xi_0 \neq 0$ from equation (3.10) it follows that $|c|^2 = 1$ and further substituting this value in equation (3.11) we get $|\mu| = 1$ because $\xi_1 \neq 0$.

Case(b) If $c = 0$ then equations (3.6) and (3.7) give

$$\xi_0^2 = |\nu|^2 \xi_1^2 \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\xi_1^2 = |\nu|^2 |\mu|^2 \xi_2^2 \quad (3.13)$$

respectively. Combining equations (3.12) and (3.13) we get

$$\xi_0^2 = |\nu|^2 \xi_1^2 = |\nu|^4 |\mu|^2 \xi_2^2.$$

With the help of equation (3.5) it can be proved using Principle of Mathematical Induction that

$$\xi_0^2 = |\nu|^{2n} |\mu|^{n(n-1)} \xi_n^2 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.14)$$

But this can not be true for sequence $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$. Hence, Case(b) is not possible.

Conversely, let $|\mu| = 1, \nu = 0$ and $|c| = 1$. Then,

$$(C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} h)(z) = (\Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi))(z) = \Upsilon(z)(C_{\Phi} h)(z) = c(C_{\Phi} h)(z) = ((c C_{\Phi})h)(z).$$

Thus, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = c C_{\Phi}$. By our assumption $|\mu| = 1$, Theorem 2.3 implies that C_{Φ} is unitary and since $|c| = 1$, therefore,

$$C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^* C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = (c C_{\Phi})^* (c C_{\Phi}) = \bar{c} c C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} = |c|^2 I_{H_E(\xi)} = I_{H_E(\xi)}$$

and

$$C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^* = (c C_{\Phi})(c C_{\Phi})^* = c \bar{c} C_{\Phi} C_{\Phi}^* = |c|^2 I_{H_E(\xi)} = I_{H_E(\xi)}.$$

Thus, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is unitary and hence an isometry.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Upsilon_1(z) = \nu_1 z + c_1, \Upsilon_2(z) = \nu_2 z + c_2, \Phi_1(z) = \mu_1 z$ and $\Phi_2(z) = \mu_2 z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\nu_1, \nu_2, c_1, c_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu_1| \leq 1$ and $|\mu_2| \leq 1$, respectively, such that C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} are bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, the product $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}$ of isometric weighted composition operators C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} is an isometry on $H_E(\xi)$. Moreover, the product $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}$ of isometric weighted composition operators C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$.*

Proof. Let C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} be isometries on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, by Theorem 3.1 C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} are unitaries. Since the product of two unitary is unitary, therefore, $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}$ is unitary and hence an isometry on $H_E(\xi)$.

The following result follows from Theorem 3.2 on the fact that adjoint of a unitary operator is unitary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\Upsilon_1(z) = \nu_1 z + c_1, \Upsilon_2(z) = \nu_2 z + c_2, \Phi_1(z) = \mu_1 z$ and $\Phi_2(z) = \mu_2 z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\nu_1, \nu_2, c_1, c_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu_1| \leq 1$ and $|\mu_2| \leq 1$, respectively, such that C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} are isometries on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}^* C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}^*, C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}^* C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}$ and $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}^*$ are unitaries on $H_E(\xi)$.*

The converse of the Theorem 3.2 is not true. This can be verified from the following example:

Example 3.4. Let $\Phi_1(z) = iz$, $\Phi_2(z) = -iz$, $\Upsilon_1(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Upsilon_2(z) = 2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by Theorem 3.1, C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} are not isometries on $H_E(\xi)$ because Υ_1 and Υ_2 are not constant modulus one. For each $f \in H_E(\xi)$

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2})f &= C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}(C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}f) \\ &= C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}(\Upsilon_2 \cdot (f \circ \Phi_2)) \\ &= C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}(2(f \circ \Phi_2)) \\ &= 2(\Upsilon_1 \cdot ((f \circ \Phi_2) \circ \Phi_1)) \\ &= \left(2\frac{1}{2}\right)(f \circ (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1)) \\ &= C_{\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1}f. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1)(z) = \Phi_2(\Phi_1(z)) = \Phi_2(iz) = -i(iz) = z \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}$$

therefore,

$$C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2} = C_{\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1} = I_{H_E(\xi)}.$$

Hence, $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}$ is unitary and so isometry on $H_E(\xi)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\Upsilon(z) = K_c(z)$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\mu, c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu| \leq 1$ such that $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is an isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1, c = 0$ and $\xi_0 = 1$. Moreover, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is unitary on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if $|\mu| = 1, c = 0$ and $\xi_0 = 1$.

Proof. Let $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ be an isometry. Then, $\mu \neq 0$ and for $g, h \in H_E(\xi)$

$$\langle C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}h, C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}g \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle$$

that is,

$$\langle \Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi), \Upsilon \cdot (g \circ \Phi) \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle. \quad (3.15)$$

Now we consider the following cases for $h, g \in H_E(\xi)$:

Case I. If $h(z) = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$(\Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi))(z) = \Upsilon(z)h(\mu z) = \Upsilon(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n z^n$$

and taking $g = h$ in equation (3.15) we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c|^{2n}}{\xi_n^2} = \xi_0^2. \quad (3.16)$$

Case II. If $h(z) = 1$ and $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$(\Upsilon \cdot (g \circ \Phi))(z) = \Upsilon(z)g(\mu z) = (\mu z)\Upsilon(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \mu \bar{c}^n z^{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_{n-1}^2} \mu \bar{c}^{n-1} z^n$$

and

$$(\Upsilon \cdot (h \circ \Phi))(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n z^n = \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n z^n.$$

Substituting h and g functions in equation (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\bar{c}^n}{\xi_n^2} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}c^{n-1}}{\xi_{n-1}^2} \right) \xi_n^2 &= 0 \\ (\bar{c}\bar{\mu}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{c}^{n-1}c^{n-1}}{\xi_{n-1}^2} &= 0 \\ (\bar{c}\bar{\mu}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c|^{2n}}{\xi_n^2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining equation (3.16) with the above equation we get $\bar{c}\bar{\mu}\xi_0^2 = 0$. This implies $c = 0$ because $\mu \neq 0$ and $\xi_0 > 0$. Then, $\Upsilon(z) = K_0(z) = \frac{1}{\xi_0^2}$ so

$$C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} C_{\Phi} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^* = \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} C_{\Phi}^*.$$

Since $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is an isometry, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^* C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = I_{H_E(\xi)}$. For each f in $H_E(\xi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^* C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} f)(z) &= f(z) \\ \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} (C_{\Phi}^* C_{\Phi} f)(z) &= f(z) \\ \frac{1}{\xi_0^4} f(\mu\bar{\mu}z) &= f(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

In particular for $f(z) = z$ in $H_E(\xi)$ and $z = 1$ we get

$$\frac{1}{\xi_0^4} |\mu|^2 = 1, \quad \text{that is, } |\mu| = \xi_0^2.$$

Further, from equation (3.17) for $f(z) = z + 1$ in $H_E(\xi)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\xi_0^4} (|\mu|^2 z + 1) &= z + 1 \\ z + \frac{1}{\xi_0^4} &= z + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\xi_0 = 1$ because $\xi_0 > 0$ and hence, $|\mu| = \xi_0^2 = 1$.

Conversely, let $|\mu| = 1, c = 0$ and $\xi_0 = 1$. Then, $\Upsilon \equiv 1$ and this implies $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = C_{\Phi}$. By Theorem 2.3, it follows that $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is unitary and hence an isometry.

In Theorem 3.5 if we take $\Phi(z)$ to be $\mu z - d$ where $|\mu| \leq 1$, then for isometry $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ we only succeed in proving the necessary condition that $c = \frac{d}{\mu}$.

Case I. If $h \equiv 1$, then $(C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} h)(z) = \frac{1}{\xi_0^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n z^n$. Taking g to be the same as h in equation (3.15) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c|^{2n}}{\xi_n^2} = \xi_0^2. \tag{3.18}$$

Case II. If $h(z) = 1$ and $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Upsilon \cdot (g \circ \Phi))(z) &= \Upsilon(z)g(\mu z - d) \\
&= \mu z \Upsilon(z) - d \Upsilon(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n z^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n z^n \\
&= \left(\frac{-d}{\xi_0^2} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\mu \frac{1}{\xi_{n-1}^2} \bar{c}^{n-1} - d \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n \right) z^n.
\end{aligned}$$

For these g and h , equation (3.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2} \right) \left(\frac{-\bar{d}}{\xi_0^2} \right) \xi_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{c}^n \right) \left(\bar{\mu} \frac{1}{\xi_{n-1}^2} c^{n-1} - \bar{d} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} c^n \right) \xi_n^2 &= 0 \\
\left(\frac{-\bar{d}}{\xi_0^2} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bar{\mu} \bar{c} \frac{|c|^{2(n-1)}}{\xi_{n-1}^2} - \bar{d} \frac{|c|^{2n}}{\xi_n^2} \right) &= 0 \\
\bar{\mu} \bar{c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c|^{2n}}{\xi_n^2} - \bar{d} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_0^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|c|^{2n}}{\xi_n^2} \right) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining equation (3.18) with the above equation we get

$$(\bar{\mu} \bar{c} - \bar{d}) \xi_0^2 = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

It clearly follows that $\mu \neq 0$ because if $\mu = 0$, then $d = 0$ and $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ will not be isometry. Hence, $c = \frac{d}{\mu}$.

4 m -isometry and invertible composition operator

Let H be a Hilbert space, m be a positive integer and S be a bounded linear operator on H then S is called m -isometry (see [1]) if it satisfies

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} S^{*k} S^k = 0.$$

In the following result we characterize m -isometry composition operator in terms of isometry on $H_E(\xi)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mu \neq 0$ with $|\mu| \leq 1$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then C_{Φ} is m -isometry on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if C_{Φ} is isometry.*

Proof. Let $\Psi(z) = \bar{\mu} z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $C_{\Phi}^* = C_{\Psi}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^m ((-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} C_{\Phi}^{*k} C_{\Phi}^k f)(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^m ((-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} C_{\Psi}^k C_{\Phi}^k f)(z) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} f(|\mu|^{2k} z).
\end{aligned}$$

Let C_Φ be m -isometry. Then $\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} C_\Phi^{*k} C_\Phi^k f = 0$ for all f in $H_E(\xi)$. In particular, f in $H_E(\xi)$, defined by $f(z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} |\mu|^{2k} z = 0.$$

Further, for $z = 1$ this implies $\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} |\mu|^{2k} = 0$, that is, $(1 - |\mu|^2)^m = 0$. Hence, $|\mu| = 1$ and by Theorem 2.2, C_Φ is isometry.

Conversely, let C_Φ be isometry. Then, $C_\Phi^* C_\Phi = I_{H_E(\xi)}$ which further implies C_Φ is m -isometry.

Proposition 4.2. *Let C_{Υ_1, Φ_1} and C_{Υ_2, Φ_2} be two bounded linear operators on $H_E(\xi)$ then*

$$C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2} = C_{\Upsilon_1(\Upsilon_2 \circ \Phi_1), \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1}.$$

Proof. For each $f \in H_E(\xi)$

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2})f &= C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}(C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2}f) \\ &= C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1}(\Upsilon_2 \cdot (f \circ \Phi_2)) \\ &= \Upsilon_1 \cdot ((\Upsilon_2 \cdot (f \circ \Phi_2)) \circ \Phi_1) \end{aligned}$$

and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} ((C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2})f)(z) &= \Upsilon_1(z)((\Upsilon_2 \cdot (f \circ \Phi_2)) \circ \Phi_1)(z) \\ &= \Upsilon_1(z)(\Upsilon_2 \cdot (f \circ \Phi_2))(\Phi_1(z)) \\ &= \Upsilon_1(z)\Upsilon_2(\Phi_1(z))(f \circ \Phi_2)(\Phi_1(z)) \\ &= \Upsilon_1(z)\Upsilon_2(\Phi_1(z))f(\Phi_2(\Phi_1(z))) \\ &= \Upsilon_1(z)(\Upsilon_2 \circ \Phi_1)(z)(f \circ (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1))(z) \\ &= (C_{\Upsilon_1(\Upsilon_2 \circ \Phi_1), \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1}f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $C_{\Upsilon_1, \Phi_1} C_{\Upsilon_2, \Phi_2} = C_{\Upsilon_1(\Upsilon_2 \circ \Phi_1), \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1}$.

For positive integer k , let $\Phi_{[k]}$ denote the k times composition of Φ with itself and in particular $\Phi_{[1]} = \Phi$. From Proposition 4.2, it follows that $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^2 = C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} = C_{\Upsilon(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[1]}), \Phi_{[2]}}$. Further, by applying the Principle of Mathematical Induction the following result follows:

Corollary 4.3. *Let $k > 1$ be a positive integer and $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ be bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then,*

$$C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k = C_{\Upsilon(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[1]})(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[2]}) \dots (\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[k-1]}), \Phi_{[k]}}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\Upsilon(z) = \nu z$ and $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu| \leq 1$ such that $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$. Then, $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$ is m -isometry if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \xi_{n+k}^2 |\nu|^{2k} |\mu|^{k(2n+k-1)} = (-1)^{m+1} \xi_n^2 \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in H_E(\xi)$. Then, using Corollary 4.3 for $k > 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k g)(z) &= (C_{\Upsilon(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[1]})(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[2]}) \dots (\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[k-1]}), \Phi_{[k]}} g)(z) \\
&= \Upsilon(z)(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[1]})(z)(\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[2]})(z) \dots (\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{[k-1]})(z) g(\Phi_{[k]}(z)) \\
&= \nu^k \mu^{1+2+3+\dots+(k-1)} z^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (\mu^k z)^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \nu^k \mu^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}} z^{n+k} \\
&= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{n-k} \nu^k \mu^{\frac{k(2n-k-1)}{2}} z^n.
\end{aligned}$$

The above calculation also holds for $k = 1$ since $C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^1 = C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}$, therefore,

$$(C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^1 g)(z) = (C_{\Upsilon, \Phi} g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \nu \mu^n z^{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n-1} \nu \mu^{n-1} z^n.$$

This implies for $K_w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{w}^n z^n$ and $e_n(z) = \frac{1}{\xi_n} z^n$

$$(C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k K_w)(z) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_{n-k}^2} \bar{w}^{n-k} \nu^k \mu^{\frac{k(2n-k-1)}{2}} z^n \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k e_n)(z) &= \nu^k \mu^{1+2+3+\dots+(k-1)} z^k \frac{1}{\xi_n} (\mu^k z)^n \\
&= \frac{1}{\xi_n} \nu^k \mu^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}} z^{n+k}.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Let $((C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k)^* g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n z^n$ then,

$$\langle (C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k)^* g, e_n \rangle = r_n \xi_n.$$

In fact using (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n &= \frac{1}{\xi_n} \langle (C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k)^* g, e_n \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\xi_n} \langle g, C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k e_n \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\xi_n} b_{n+k} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n} \bar{\nu}^k \bar{\mu}^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}} \right) \xi_{n+k}^2 \\
&= \frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2} b_{n+k} \bar{\nu}^k \bar{\mu}^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$((C_{\Upsilon, \Phi}^k)^* g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2} b_{n+k} \bar{\nu}^k \bar{\mu}^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}} \right) z^n. \quad (4.3)$$

Applying equations (4.1) and (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^k)^* C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^k K_w)(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{w}^n \nu^k \mu^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}} \right) \bar{\nu}^k \bar{\mu}^{\frac{k(2n+k-1)}{2}} \right) z^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2 \xi_n^2} |\nu|^{2k} |\mu|^{k(2n+k-1)} \bar{w}^n z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

It is well known that for a bounded operator S , $S^{*k} = (S^k)^*$. This along with (4.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^{*k} C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^k K_w)(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2 \xi_n^2} |\nu|^{2k} |\mu|^{k(2n+k-1)} \bar{w}^n z^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2 \xi_n^2} |\nu|^{2k} |\mu|^{k(2n+k-1)} \right) \bar{w}^n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$ and set $Span\{K_w : w \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is dense in $H_E(\xi)$, therefore, $C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}$ is m -isometry if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^{*k} C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^k K_w)(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z, w \in \mathbb{C}$$

equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} (C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^{*k} C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}^k K_w)(z) &= (-1)^{m+1} (I_{H_E(\xi)} K_w)(z) \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \frac{\xi_{n+k}^2}{\xi_n^2 \xi_n^2} |\nu|^{2k} |\mu|^{k(2n+k-1)} \right) \bar{w}^n z^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \frac{1}{\xi_n^2} \bar{w}^n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients on both sides we get $C_{\Upsilon,\Phi}$ is m -isometry if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \xi_{n+k}^2 |\nu|^{2k} |\mu|^{k(2n+k-1)} = (-1)^{m+1} \xi_n^2 \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

For $\mu (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ and for sequence $\mu\xi := \left(\frac{1}{|\mu|^n} \xi_n\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{|\mu|^n} \xi_n \right)^{1/n} = \frac{1}{|\mu|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n^{1/n} = \infty$$

and Hilbert space of entire functions $H_E(\mu\xi)$ is

$$H_E(\mu\xi) = \left\{ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n : \|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \frac{1}{|\mu|^{2n}} \xi_n^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that for $\mu \neq 0$ with $|\mu| \leq 1$, function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ in $H_E(\mu\xi)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \xi_n^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \frac{1}{|\mu|^{2n}} \xi_n^2 < \infty.$$

Thus, $H_E(\mu\xi) \subseteq H_E(\xi)$ and for $|\mu| = 1$, $H_E(\mu\xi) = H_E(\xi)$. The following result deals with the invertibility.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\mu \neq 0$ with $|\mu| \leq 1$ and let functions Φ and Ψ be defined by $\Phi(z) = \mu z$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{\mu}z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $C_\Phi : H_E(\xi) \rightarrow H_E(\mu\xi)$ is invertible with inverse $C_\Psi : H_E(\mu\xi) \rightarrow H_E(\xi)$.

Proof. Clearly, if $f \in H_E(\mu\xi)$ and $g \in H_E(\xi)$ then $C_\Psi f \in H_E(\xi)$ and $C_\Phi g \in H_E(\mu\xi)$. Now, for $f \in H_E(\mu\xi)$, $g \in H_E(\xi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(C_\Phi C_\Psi f)(z) = f(\Psi(\Phi(z))) = f(z)$$

$$(C_\Psi C_\Phi g)(z) = g(\Phi(\Psi(z))) = g(z).$$

Thus, $C_\Phi C_\Psi = I_{H_E(\mu\xi)}$ and $C_\Psi C_\Phi = I_{H_E(\xi)}$. Hence, C_Φ is invertible and $C_\Phi^{-1} = C_\Psi$.

Example 4.6. Let $\Phi(z) = (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i)z$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{1-i}z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, operator $C_\Psi : H_E((\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i)\xi) \rightarrow H_E(\xi)$ is inverse of $C_\Phi : H_E(\xi) \rightarrow H_E((\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i)\xi)$

5 Generalized weighted composition operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p$

Kumar and Abbas [4] obtained the necessary and sufficient condition for operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^1$ to be bounded on weighted Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} , the open unit disk. On the similar lines we generalize this for operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p$ on $H_E(\xi)$ and prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let Φ, Υ be entire functions on \mathbb{C} such that $\{\Phi^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is orthogonal. Then the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if

$$\|\Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\| \leq \frac{M\xi_n}{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))} \text{ for all } n \geq p.$$

Proof. Now for $n \geq p$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p z^n\| &= n \|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^{p-1}(z^{n-1})\| \\ &= n(n-1) \|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^{p-2}(z^{n-2})\| \\ &= n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1)) \|\Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Now let $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p$ be a bounded linear operator then, there exists a number M such that

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p f\| \leq M \|f\| \text{ for all } f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p). \quad (5.2)$$

From equations (5.1) and (5.2) it follows that for $n \geq p$

$$n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1)) \|\Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\| \leq M \|z^n\| = M\xi_n$$

that is, $\|\Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\| \leq \frac{M\xi_n}{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))}$.

Conversely, let for $n \geq p$

$$\|\Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\| \leq \frac{M\xi_n}{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))}. \quad (5.3)$$

For $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p)$,

$$f^p(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1)) b_n z^{n-p}.$$

Now using the fact that $\{\Phi^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p f\|^2 &= \|\Upsilon(f^p \circ \Phi)\|^2 \\
&= \|\Upsilon \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))b_n \Phi^{n-p}\|^2 \\
&= \|\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))b_n \Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \|n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))b_n \Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2(n-2)^2\dots(n-(p-1))^2 |b_n|^2 \|\Upsilon \Phi^{n-p}\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Applying equation (5.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)^2(n-2)^2\dots(n-(p-1))^2 |b_n|^2 \frac{M^2 \xi_n^2}{n^2(n-1)^2(n-2)^2\dots(n-(p-1))^2} \\
&= M^2 \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \xi_n^2 \\
&\leq M^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \xi_n^2 \\
&= M^2 \|f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p f\| \leq M \|f\|$ for all $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p)$.

If $\Upsilon \equiv 1$ then the Theorem 5.1 reduces to the following result:

Corollary 5.2. *Let Φ be entire function on \mathbb{C} such that $\{\Phi^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is orthogonal. Then the operator $C_{\Phi} \mathcal{D}^p$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if*

$$\|\Phi^{n-p}\| \leq \frac{M \xi_n}{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(p-1))} \text{ for all } n \geq p.$$

If $\Upsilon \equiv \Phi'$ and $p = 1$ then $\mathcal{D}_{\Phi, \Upsilon}^p f = \Phi'(f' \circ \Phi) = (f \circ \Phi)' = \mathcal{D}C_{\Phi} f$. Combining this with Theorem 5.1 we have the following result:

Corollary 5.3. *Let Φ be entire function on \mathbb{C} such that $\{\Phi^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is orthogonal. Then, the operator $\mathcal{D}C_{\Phi}$ is bounded on $H_E(\xi)$ if and only if*

$$\|\Phi' \Phi^{n-1}\| \leq \frac{M \xi_n}{n}.$$

References

- [1] J. Agler, *A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators*, Amer. J. Math. **112** (1990), no. 1, 1–14. MR1037599
- [2] M. L. Doan and L. H. Khoi, *Hilbert spaces of entire functions and composition operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **10** (2016), no. 1, 213–230. MR3441477
- [3] M. L. Doan, L. H. Khoi and T. Le, *Composition operators on Hilbert spaces of entire functions of several variables*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **88** (2017), no. 3, 301–330. MR3682192
- [4] P. Kumar and Z. Abbas, *Product of multiplication, composition and differentiation operators on weighted Hardy spaces*, International Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **12** (2017), no. 3, 769–778.
- [5] T. Le, *Normal and isometric weighted composition operators on the Fock space*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), no. 4, 847–856. MR3239622
- [6] P. L. Tan and L. H. Khoi, *Bounded composition operators on general weighted Hardy spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **14** (2020), no. 5, Paper No. 54, 1–29 MR4116176
- [7] X. Zhu, *Generalized weighted composition operators from Bloch type spaces to weighted Bergman spaces*, Indian J. Math. **49** (2007), no. 2, 139–150. MR2341712
- [8] X. Zhu, *Products of differentiation, composition and multiplication from Bergman type spaces to Bers type spaces*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), no. 3, 223–231. MR2319584

Anuradha Gupta

Department of Mathematics, Delhi College of Arts and Commerce,
University of Delhi, New Delhi-110023, India.
email: dishna2@yahoo.in

Geeta Yadav

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi,
New Delhi-110007, India.
email: ageetayadav@gmail.com