

ON COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF THE SPACE  $c_0$  IN SPACES  $C_p(X, E)$ 

CHRISTIAN BARGETZ, JERZY KĄKOL, AND DAMIAN SOBOTA

**ABSTRACT.** We study the question for which Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and locally convex spaces  $E$  the space  $C_p(X, E)$  of continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  contains a complemented copy of the space  $(c_0)_p = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\omega : x(n) \rightarrow 0\}$ , both endowed with the pointwise topology. We provide a positive answer for a vast class of spaces, extending classical theorems of Cembranos, Freniche, and Domański and Drewnowski, proved for the case of Banach and Fréchet spaces  $C_k(X, E)$ . Also, for given infinite Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we show that  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  if and only if any of the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  contains such a subspace.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a topological space  $X$  and a locally convex space  $E$ , by  $C_p(X, E)$  and  $C_k(X, E)$  we denote the space of all continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  endowed with the pointwise topology (i.e. the topology inherited from the product  $E^X$ ) and the compact-open topology, respectively. Similarly, if  $X$  is a compact space and  $E$  is a Banach space, by  $C(X, E)$  we mean the Banach space of all continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  endowed with the sup-norm topology. If  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , then we simply write  $C(X)$ ,  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_k(X)$  instead of  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $C_k(X, \mathbb{R})$ , respectively.

Recall the following result due to Cembranos ([9]) and Freniche ([13, Corollary 2.5]).

**Theorem 1.1** (Cembranos, Freniche). *For an infinite compact space  $X$  and an infinite-dimensional Banach space  $E$ , the Banach space  $C(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of the Banach space  $c_0$ .*

Theorem 1.1 was extended by Domański and Drewnowski ([10, Theorem 1, p. 260]) to Fréchet spaces, i.e. complete and metrisable locally convex spaces, of the form  $C_k(X, E)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Domański–Drewnowski). *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space which contains an infinite compact subset and  $E$  a Fréchet space which is not Montel. Then the Fréchet space  $C_k(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of the space  $c_0$ .*

Both of the theorems have important consequences. E.g., since for each Tychonoff space  $X$  and locally compact space  $Y$ , the spaces  $C_k(X \times Y)$  and  $C_k(X, C_k(Y))$  are linearly homeomorphic (in short, *isomorphic*), see [29, Corollary 2.5.7], Theorem 1.1 yields that for infinite compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the Banach space  $C(X \times Y)$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ . Similarly, if  $X$  is a Tychonoff space containing an infinite compact subset and  $Y$  is a locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact Tychonoff space, then  $C_k(Y)$  is an infinite-dimensional Fréchet space which is not a Montel space ([17, Theorem 11.8.7]), and hence Theorem 1.2 implies that  $C_k(X \times Y) \approx C_k(X, C_k(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ .

Let the space  $(c_0)_p = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\omega : x(n) \rightarrow 0\}$  be endowed with the pointwise topology inherited from  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ . The present paper deals with the following question, motivated by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2: (\*) *When does a given space  $C_p(X, E)$  contain a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ ?*

We first answer question (\*) in the case of spaces of the form  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ —in Theorem 5.2 we show that  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  if and only if any of the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  contains such a copy. For characterisations and examples of classes of Tychonoff spaces  $X$  for which  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ , see e.g. [4], [20], [21], [22].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46E40, 46E10. Secondary: 46A03, 46A08.

*Key words and phrases.* Josefson–Nissenzweig theorem, complementability of  $c_0$ , locally convex spaces, vector-valued functions, separately continuous functions.

Regarding a more general case, we show in Theorem 6.2 that for every Tychonoff space  $X$  containing an infinite compact subset and barrelled locally convex space  $E$  with the Josefson–Nissenzweig property (see Section 4 for the definition) the space  $C_p(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . This not only generalises Theorem 1.1 (see Corollary 6.5) and provides a  $C_p$ -variant of Theorem 1.2 (Corollary 6.4), but also has several applications. For example, we get that if  $X$  is an infinite Tychonoff space and  $Y$  is an infinite compact space, then the space  $C_p(X, C(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  or a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  (Corollary 6.6; see also Corollary 6.7 for a  $C_k(X, C_k(Y))$ -version). We immediately get from this that the space  $C_p(X, C(Y))$  is isomorphic to  $C_p(X, C(Y)) \times \mathbb{R}$  (Corollary 6.9), which extends the number of known cases of locally convex spaces  $E$  for which  $E \approx E \times \mathbb{R}$ , see the remark following Corollary 6.9 and concerning a problem of Arkhangel'ski.

For the case of spaces of the form  $C_p(X, E_w)$ , where  $E_w$  means a locally convex spaces  $E$  with its weak topology, we show in Theorem 7.2 that, for every Tychonoff space  $X$  containing an infinite compact subset and locally convex space  $E$  containing a copy of the Banach space  $\ell_1$ , the space  $C_p(X, E_w)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . Hence, we get that if  $X$  is a Tychonoff space which contains an infinite compact subset and  $Y$  is an infinite Tychonoff space containing a non-scattered compact subset, then also  $C_p(X, C_k(Y)_w)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ , see Corollary 7.3. This applies to show that, e.g., there is no continuous linear surjection from  $C_p(\beta\omega, C_p(\beta\omega))$  onto  $C_p(\beta\omega, C(\beta\omega)_w)$ , as well as that for every infinite compact space  $X$  the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C(X)_w$  are not isomorphic (which is a special case of [26, Corollary 3.2], cf. Remark 3.6).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The cardinality of a set  $X$  is denoted by  $|X|$ . By  $\omega$  we denote the cardinality of the space of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  and, as usual, we identify  $\omega$  with  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $\beta\omega$  denotes the Čech–Stone compactification of  $\omega$ , and  $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ .

We assume that all topological spaces we consider are **Tychonoff**, that is, completely regular and Hausdorff. If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space (with the topology  $\tau$ ) and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then by  $\tau \upharpoonright Y$  we mean the restriction of  $\tau$  on  $Y$ , that is,  $\tau \upharpoonright Y = \{U \cap Y : U \in \tau\}$ .

For a locally convex space  $E$ ,  $E'$  denotes its topological dual and  $E_w$  denotes the pair  $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$ , i.e. the space  $E$  endowed with its weak topology.

If  $X$  is a Tychonoff space, then  $C_p(X) = (C_p(X))_w$  holds. We write  $L_p(X) = C_p(X)'$ . Recall that each  $\varphi \in L_p(X)$  may be uniquely represented as a finite linear combination of point-measures on  $X$ , i.e.  $\varphi = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha_x^\varphi \delta_x$ , where  $\alpha_x^\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_x^\varphi \neq 0$  only for finitely many  $x \in X$ . If  $A \subseteq X$ , then we set  $\varphi \upharpoonright A = \sum_{x \in A} \alpha_x^\varphi \delta_x$ . We put  $\text{supp}(\varphi) = \{x \in X : \alpha_x^\varphi \neq 0\}$ , and define the norm  $\|\varphi\|$  of  $\varphi$  by the formula  $\|\varphi\| = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)} |\alpha_x^\varphi|$ .

Note that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a family of semi-norms on a locally convex space  $E$  inducing the topology of  $E$ , then the pointwise topology of  $C_p(X, E)$  is induced by the family  $\mathcal{Q}$  of semi-norms given for every  $f \in C_p(X, E)$  by the formula:  $q_{x_1, \dots, x_n; p}(f) = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} p(f(x_i))$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ; see [33, Section I.2]. In particular, if  $E = C_p(Y)$  for Tychonoff  $Y$ , then the topology on  $E$  is induced by the semi-norms:  $p_{y_1, \dots, y_m}(f) = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} |f(y_i)|$ , with  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in Y$ , whence the topology on  $C_p(X, E)$  is given by the semi-norms of the form:

$$q_{x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m}(f) = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \max_{j=1, \dots, m} |f(x_i)(y_j)|,$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in Y$ .

If  $E$  and  $F$  are both locally convex, we write  $E \approx F$  if  $E$  and  $F$  are *isomorphic* (i.e. linearly homeomorphic). We also say that  $E$  *contains a complemented copy of  $F$*  (or that  $F$  is *complemented in  $E$* ) if there are closed linear subspaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  of  $E$  such that  $E$  is a direct algebraic sum of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  (i.e.  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$  as a vector space and  $E_1 \cap E_2 = \{0\}$ ),  $E_1$  is isomorphic to  $F$ , and the natural projection from  $E$  onto  $E_1$  is continuous.

By  $S$  we denote the non-trivial convergent sequence, i.e. the space  $S = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \omega, n > 0\}$  with the usual topology inherited from the real line. By  $c_0$  we mean the usual Banach space of all real-valued sequences convergent to 0, i.e.  $c_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\omega : x(n) \rightarrow 0\}$  endowed with the supremum

norm. The symbol  $(c_0)_p$  denotes the space  $c_0$  with the pointwise topology inherited from the product  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ . Note that  $(c_0)_p \approx C_p(S)$  (see [30, p.397]).

### 3. $C_p(X \times Y)$ VERSUS $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$

In this section we will briefly recall standard and folklore facts concerning spaces of separately continuous functions on products  $X \times Y$  of two Tychonoff spaces and their relations to the spaces  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ , which will be useful in the next sections.

Fix Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . Recall that a function  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *separately continuous* if the functions  $Y \ni y \mapsto f(x_0, y)$  and  $X \ni x \mapsto f(x, y_0)$  are continuous for every  $x_0 \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ . Of course, every continuous function is separately continuous, but the converse may not hold (e.g. for every infinite compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  there is a separately continuous non-continuous  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ). By  $SC(X \times Y)$  we denote the space of all real-valued separately continuous functions on  $X \times Y$  and by  $SC_p(X \times Y)$  we mean  $SC(X \times Y)$  endowed with the pointwise topology. Recall that  $C(X \times Y)$  is a linear subspace of  $SC(X \times Y)$  and that  $C_p(X \times Y)$  is dense in  $SC_p(X \times Y)$ .

Let the mapping  $A: SC_p(X \times Y) \rightarrow C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  be defined as follows: for every  $f \in SC_p(X \times Y)$ ,  $A(f)$  is the unique mapping in  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  with  $A(f)(x)(y) = f(x, y)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , that is,

$$SC_p(X \times Y) \ni f \xrightarrow{A} [x \mapsto [y \mapsto f(x, y)]] \in C_p(X, C_p(Y)).$$

The following theorem is folklore, cf. e.g. [14, Lemma 1.2].

**Theorem 3.1.** *The mapping  $A$  is a well-defined isomorphism between the spaces  $SC_p(X \times Y)$  and  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .*

The theorem implies that  $C_p(X \times Y)$  embeds as a dense linear subspace of the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. By  $\sigma$  let us denote the weak topology on  $X \times Y$  generated by the family of all separately continuous functions. This topology was investigated by Henriksen and Woods [15], who proved among others that:

- $(X \times Y, \sigma)$  is a Tychonoff space ([15, Theorem 4.8]);
- $(X \times Y, \sigma)$  is not pseudocompact provided that both  $X$  and  $Y$  are infinite ([15, Corollary 5.9.b]);
- $C(X \times Y, \sigma) = SC(X \times Y)$ , i.e. every continuous function on  $(X \times Y, \sigma)$  is separately continuous on  $X \times Y$  and *vice versa* ([15, Proposition 2.1]).

By the last listed result and Theorem 3.1, we immediately get the following theorem, which allows us to treat spaces of the form  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  the same way as spaces of the form  $C_p(X \times Y)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. Then, the space  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  is isomorphic to the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .*

Since for every Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the spaces  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  and  $C_p(Y \times X, \sigma)$  are isomorphic, Theorem 3.2 implies trivially a well-known fact that the spaces  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  and  $C_p(Y, C_p(X))$  are isomorphic, too.

The following folklore theorem follows immediately from the main result of Uspensky [35].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite pseudocompact spaces such that the product  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact. Then, the space  $C_p(X \times Y)$  cannot be mapped onto the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  by a continuous linear map. In particular,  $C_p(X \times Y)$  is not isomorphic to  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .*

Recall that the product of a compact space and a pseudocompact space is pseudocompact.

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $X$  is an infinite compact space and  $Y$  an infinite pseudocompact space, then the space  $C_p(X \times Y)$  cannot be mapped onto the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  by a continuous linear map.*

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are infinite compact spaces, then  $C_p(X \times Y)$  is not isomorphic to  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .*

Note that one cannot drop the compactness assumption in Corollary 3.5. Indeed, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are infinite and  $Y$  is discrete, then the canonical mapping  $A: C_p(X \times Y) \rightarrow C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  defined above is easily seen to be an isomorphism. Also, [15, Corollary 6.15] states that if  $X$  is a (locally) separable Tychonoff space and  $Y$  is a P-space, then  $C(X \times Y) = SC(X \times Y)$  and hence  $\sigma$  coincides with the product topology on  $X \times Y$ —it follows by Theorem 3.2 that the spaces  $C_p(X \times Y)$  and  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  are isomorphic. In particular, if  $X$  is a metric compact space or  $X = \beta\omega$  and  $Y$  a P-space, then  $C_p(X \times Y) \approx C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .

*Remark 3.6.* Krupski and Marciszewski [26, Corollary 3.2] proved that for all infinite compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the locally convex spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C(Y)_w$  are never isomorphic. It follows that  $C_p(X \times Y)$  and  $C(X \times Y)_w$  are not isomorphic. Since  $C(X \times Y)_w$  is isomorphic to  $C(X, C(Y))_w$ , we get that  $C_p(X \times Y)$  is not isomorphic to  $C(X, C(Y))_w$ . Thus, Corollary 3.5 may be thought of as a  $C_p$ -counterpart of the result of Krupski and Marciszewski. This observation leads to the following relevant question, some issues of which we will study in Section 7.

**Problem 3.7.** *For which infinite compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are the spaces  $C_p(X \times Y)$  and  $C_p(X, C(Y)_w)$  isomorphic?*

Note that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are both infinite countable compact spaces, then  $C_p(X \times Y)$  is metrisable, whereas  $C_p(X, C(Y)_w)$  is not, so these function spaces are not isomorphic.

Let us now provide an example and a question motivated by it.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = [0, 1]^\omega$  be endowed with the product topology. From Corollary 3.5 it follows that  $C_p(X \times X)$  is not isomorphic to  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$ . However, since  $C_p(X \times X)$  is isomorphic to  $C_p(X)$ ,  $C_p(X \times X)$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  (by [33, Theorem I.4.4]). A similar argument may be provided for any uncountable metrisable totally disconnected compact space  $X$ , e.g. for the Cantor space  $2^\omega$ .

**Problem 3.9.** *For which infinite compact spaces  $X$ , is the space  $C_p(X \times X)$  isomorphic to a complemented subspace of the space  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$ ? In particular, does there exist an infinite compact space  $X$  such that  $C_p(X)$  is not isomorphic to  $C_p(X \times X)$  and  $C_p(X \times X)$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$ ?*

In Corollary 5.5 we describe a class of infinite compact spaces  $X$  such that  $C_p(X \times X)$  is not complemented in  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$ . Note that if  $X$  is an infinite compact space such that  $C_p(X \times X)$  is complemented in  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$ , then the latter may be linearly mapped onto the former—a phenomenon which by Corollary 3.4 cannot occur in the reverse direction.

We finish the section with the following observation.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $E$  be a normed space and  $X$  a Tychonoff space. Then, the original pointwise topology of  $C_p(X, E)$  coincides with the weak topology of  $C_p(X, E)$  if and only if  $E$  is finite-dimensional space.*

*Proof.* If  $E$  is finite-dimensional, say  $E$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , then we have:  $C_p(X, E) \approx C_p(X, \mathbb{R}^n) \approx C_p(X)^n$ . Hence, the pointwise topology and weak topology coincide in  $C_p(X, E)$ , as they do on  $C_p(X)$ . Conversely, if both of the topologies coincide, then  $E$  is finite-dimensional. Indeed, by [33, Theorem I.4.4]  $E$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $C_p(X, E)$  and the weak topology on this complemented subspace agrees with the subspace topology inherited from the weak topology of  $C_p(X, E)$ . Since  $E$  is a normed space on which the norm topology and the weak topology agree, it is finite-dimensional.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $X$  is a Tychonoff space and  $Y$  is an infinite compact space, then the spaces  $C_p(X \times Y)$  and  $C_p(X, C(Y))$  are not isomorphic.*

#### 4. THE JOSEFSON–NISSENZWEIG PROPERTY

Following Banach and Gabrielyan [3], we say that a locally convex space  $E$  has the *Josefson–Nissenzweig property*, or the *JNP* in short, if the identity map

$$(E', \sigma(E', E)) \rightarrow (E', \beta^*(E', E))$$

is not sequentially continuous, i.e. if the dual space  $E'$  contains a weak\* null sequence which does not converge in the topology  $\beta^*(E', E)$ . The topology  $\beta^*(E', E)$  can be described as the topology of uniform convergence on so-called *barrel-bounded sets*, i.e. on sets which have the property that they are absorbed by all barrels—see [3, p. 3] for more details. Note that the JNP is preserved by isomorphisms, since the transpose of an isomorphism is also an isomorphism for the above topologies—see e.g. Corollary 8.6.6 in [17, p. 161]. By the famous Josefson–Nissenzweig Theorem, see e.g. [18, 32, 7], every infinite-dimensional Banach space has the JNP. On the other hand, no Montel space enjoys the JNP. Indeed: First, since Montel spaces are barrelled, the topologies  $\beta^*(E', E)$  and  $\beta(E', E)$ , where the strong topology  $\beta(E', E)$  is the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of  $E$ , coincide. Second, the strong dual of a Montel space is again Montel and hence on bounded sets the topologies  $\sigma(E', E)$  and  $\beta(E', E)$  coincide. In [5], Bonet, Lindström and Valdivia showed that for Fréchet spaces this is already a characterisation of the JNP, i.e. a Fréchet space has the JNP if and only if it is not Montel; see also [27] for a similar characterisation of non-Schwarz Fréchet spaces.

In the case of  $C_p(X)$ -spaces, Banach and Gabrielyan proved the following characterisation of the Josefson–Nissenzweig property.

**Theorem 4.1** ([3, Theorem 3.4]). *For every Tychonoff space  $X$ , the space  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP if and only if there exists a sequence of functionals  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in the dual space  $L_p(X)$  of  $C_p(X)$  such that  $\varphi_n(f) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $f \in C(X)$  and  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .*

If a sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of functionals on a given space  $C_p(X)$  has the properties as in the above theorem, then it will be called a *JN-sequence* on  $X$ . The existence of JN-sequences on arbitrary spaces was intensively studied in [4], [20], [21], and [22], where several classes of Tychonoff spaces were recognized to (not) admit such sequences (e.g. it appears that for every infinite compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  their product admits a JN-sequence, see [21, Theorem 1.2]). Note that if  $X$  is pseudocompact and  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP, then every JN-sequence on  $X$  satisfies already the conclusion of the Josefson–Nissenzweig theorem for the Banach space  $C(X)$  (by virtue of the Riesz representation of continuous functionals on  $C(X)$ ).

The following theorem provides a simple criterion for a space  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  to have the JNP.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite Tychonoff spaces. Then, the space  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  has the JNP if and only if the space  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP or the space  $C_p(Y)$  has the JNP.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  has the JNP and let  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a JN-sequence on  $(X \times Y, \sigma)$ . Put  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supp}(\varphi_n)$ . By [20, Proposition 4.1], every continuous function  $f \in C(X \times Y, \sigma)$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is,  $f[\mathcal{S}]$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . By [15, Corollary 5.9.a],  $\mathcal{S}$  must be contained in finitely many vertical sections or finitely many horizontal sections of the product  $X \times Y$ .

Let us assume that the former happens, i.e. there are  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{x_i\} \times Y$ . Since  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ , there are  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and a subsequence  $(\varphi_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$  such that  $\|\varphi_{n_k} \upharpoonright \{x_{i_0}\} \times Y\| \geq 1/m$  for every  $k \in \omega$ . We claim that the sequence  $(\psi_k)_{k \in \omega}$  defined for every  $k \in \omega$  by the formula

$$\psi_k = (\varphi_{n_k} \upharpoonright \{x_{i_0}\} \times Y) / \|\varphi_{n_k} \upharpoonright \{x_{i_0}\} \times Y\|$$

is a JN-sequence on  $\{x_{i_0}\} \times Y$ . Obviously,  $\|\psi_k\| = 1$  for every  $k \in \omega$ . Let  $f \in C_p(\{x_{i_0}\} \times Y)$ . Since  $X$  is Tychonoff, there is a function  $g \in C_p(X)$  such that  $g(x_{i_0}) = 1$  and  $g(x_i) = 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i_0\}$ . For every  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  we put:  $F(x, y) = g(x) \cdot f(x_{i_0}, y)$ . It follows that  $F \in SC(X \times Y) = C(X \times Y, \sigma)$ ,  $F(x_{i_0}, \cdot) \equiv f(x_{i_0}, \cdot)$ , and  $F(x_i, \cdot) \equiv 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i_0\}$ . Since  $(\varphi_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$  is also a JN-sequence on  $(X \times Y, \sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{x_i\} \times Y$ , we get that  $|\psi_k(f)| \leq |\varphi_{n_k}(F)| \cdot m \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves that  $(\psi_k)_{k \in \omega}$  is a JN-sequence on  $\{x_{i_0}\} \times Y$ —it follows easily that  $C_p(Y)$  has the JNP, too.

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is contained in finitely many horizontal sections of the product  $X \times Y$ , then we proceed similarly and prove that  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP.

Let us assume now that, conversely,  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP, that is, there is a JN-sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  on  $X$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  write:  $\varphi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n \delta_{x_i^n}$ . Fix  $y \in Y$  and define the functional  $\psi_n$



on  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  by the similar formula:  $\psi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n \delta_{(x_i^n, y)}$ . We claim that  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a JN-sequence on  $(X \times Y, \sigma)$ . Of course,  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $f \in C_p(X \times Y, \sigma) = SC_p(X \times Y)$ . Then, the function  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined for every  $x \in X$  by  $F(x) = f(x, y)$  is continuous on  $X$  and thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(F) = 0$ . Since for every  $n \in \omega$  we have  $\psi_n(f) = \varphi_n(F)$ , we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(f) = 0$ , too. It follows that the space  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  has the JNP.

We prove similarly that if  $C_p(Y)$  has the JNP, then  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  has the JNP.  $\square$

The first part of the proof suggests the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite Tychonoff spaces. Let  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a sequence in  $L_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  such that  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . Set  $S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supp}(\varphi_n)$ . If  $S$  is contained in neither finitely many vertical sections nor finitely many horizontal sections, then there exists  $f \in SC(X \times Y)$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_n(f)| > 0$ .*

We will now study the Josefson–Nissenzweig property of the spaces  $C_p(X, E)$  for  $X$  Tychonoff and  $E$  locally convex. Note that by [12, Proposition 19] the topological dual space of  $C_p(X, E)$  is algebraically isomorphic to the space  $L_p(X) \otimes E'$ , so each functional  $\varphi \in C_p(X, E)'$  may be represented as a finite sum of tensor products  $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} (\delta_{x_i} \otimes e'_j)$ , where  $x_i \in X$  and  $e'_j \in E'$ . Hence, if  $E = C_p(Y)$  for some Tychonoff space  $Y$ , then each  $\varphi \in C_p(X, E)'$  may be written as a finite sum  $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} (\delta_{x_i} \otimes \delta_{y_j})$ . Let us define the norm of  $\varphi$  (in  $L_p(X) \otimes L_p(Y)$ ) in the following natural way:  $\|\varphi\| = \sum_{i,j} |\alpha_{i,j}|$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces and assume that  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  has the JNP, that is, by Theorem 4.1, there is a sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $L_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  such that  $\varphi_n(f) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $f \in C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  and  $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  write:  $\varphi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n \delta_{(x_i^n, y_i^n)}$ . By Theorem 3.1, the operator  $A: C_p(X \times Y, \sigma) \rightarrow C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  is an isomorphism, so the sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  given for every  $n \in \omega$  by the formula:

$$\psi_n = A'(\varphi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n ((\delta_{x_i^n} \otimes \delta_{y_i^n}) \circ A),$$

where  $A'$  is the transpose of  $A$ , is weakly\* convergent to 0 (see [25, Theorem 21.5]). Obviously,  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .

Let us now assume that, conversely, the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  admits a weak\* null sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $L_p(X) \otimes L_p(Y)$  such that  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . By a very similar argument as above (this time applied to the transpose of  $A^{-1}$ ), one may obtain a JN-sequence on the space  $(X \times Y, \sigma)$ . This way we obtain the following

**Proposition 4.4.** *For every Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the space  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$  has the JNP if and only if there exists a sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in the dual  $L_p(X) \otimes L_p(Y)$  which is weakly\* convergent to 0 and such that  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .*

Since the Josefson–Nissenzweig property for locally convex spaces is preserved under topological isomorphisms, Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.1 yield the following characterisation of the property for  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ -spaces.

**Proposition 4.5.** *For every Tychonoff space  $X$  and  $Y$  the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  has the JNP if and only if there exists a sequence  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $L_p(X) \otimes L_p(Y)$  such that  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$  and  $\psi_n(f) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $f \in C_p(X, C_p(Y))$ .*

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.2 and 3.2.

**Corollary 4.6.** *For every infinite Tychonoff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  has the JNP if and only if  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP or  $C_p(Y)$  has the JNP.*

For general locally convex spaces  $E$ , we still have one of the implications observed in the above corollary.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space and let  $E$  be a locally convex space. If  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP or  $E$  has the JNP, then the same is true for the space  $C_p(X, E)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $E$  has the Josefson–Nissenzweig property. This allows us to pick a weak\* null sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  and a barrel-bounded set  $B \subset E$  on which it does not converge to zero uniformly. Let  $f \in C_p(X)$  and  $x \in X$  be such that  $f(x) = 1$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  set  $\psi_n = \delta_x \otimes \varphi_n$ ; of course,  $\psi_n \in L_p(X) \otimes E'$ . Note that for every  $g \in C_p(X, E)$  we have  $\langle \psi_n, g \rangle = \langle \varphi_n, g(x) \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a weak\* null sequence in  $(C_p(X, E))'$ .

We now exhibit a barrel-bounded subset of  $C_p(X, E)$  on which  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  does not converge uniformly. The tensor product  $C_p(X) \otimes E$  embeds (as a vector space) into the space  $C_p(X, E)$  by the formula

$$C_p(X) \otimes E \ni \sum_i f_i \otimes e_i \mapsto [y \mapsto \sum_i f_i(y)e_i] \in C_p(X, E).$$

Thus, the subspace of  $C_p(X) \otimes E$  given by the formula  $f \otimes E = \{f \otimes e : e \in E\}$  may be thought of as a subspace of  $C_p(X, E)$ . Moreover, by [33, Proposition I.4.3.(a)],  $f \otimes E$  is complemented (hence closed) in  $C_p(X, E)$ —a continuous linear projection is given by the mapping  $g \mapsto f \otimes g(x)$  since  $f(x) = 1$  by choice of  $f$  and  $x$ . Note that  $f \otimes E$  is isomorphic to  $E$ . For every barrel  $H \subset C_p(X, E)$  the intersection  $H \cap f \otimes E$  is also a barrel in  $f \otimes E$ . By the assumption,  $H \cap f \otimes E$  absorbs  $f \otimes B$ . This shows that  $f \otimes B$  is absorbed by every barrel in  $C_p(X, E)$ , which means that it is barrel-bounded. Moreover, since  $f(x) = 1$ , we have:

$$\sup_{g \in f \otimes B} |\langle \psi_n, g \rangle| = \sup_{e \in B} |\langle \delta_x \otimes \varphi_n, f \otimes e \rangle| = \sup_{e \in B} |\langle \varphi_n, f(x)e \rangle| = \sup_{e \in B} |\langle \varphi_n, e \rangle| \not\rightarrow 0$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows that  $(\psi_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a sequence witnessing the JNP of  $C_p(X, E)$ .

The proof for the case when  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP is analogous.  $\square$

We do not know whether the converse to Proposition 4.7 holds.

**Problem 4.8.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space and  $E$  a locally convex space. Assume that the space  $C_p(X, E)$  has the JNP. Does it follow that  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP or  $E$  has the JNP?*

Having in mind Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.5, we also ask the following.

**Problem 4.9.** *Can the Josefson–Nissenzweig property of the spaces  $C_p(X, E)$  for  $X$  Tychonoff and  $E$  an arbitrary locally convex space be characterised in terms of some special “JN-sequences” in  $L_p(X) \otimes E'$ , like it is done in the case of  $E = C_p(Y)$  for  $Y$  Tychonoff?*

## 5. COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF $(c_0)_p$ IN $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$

The following theorem of Banach, Kąkol and Śliwa characterizes in terms of the complemented copies of the space  $(c_0)_p$  those infinite Tychonoff spaces  $X$  for which the space  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP.

**Theorem 5.1** ([4, Theorem 1]). *Let  $X$  be an infinite Tychonoff space. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP;
- (2)  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ ;
- (3)  $C_p(X)$  admits a continuous linear surjection onto  $(c_0)_p$ .

This theorem, together with Theorems 3.2 and 4.2 and the fact that the JNP is preserved under isomorphisms, implies the following criterion for a given space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  to contain a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . Of course, by Theorem 3.2, the following result will remain true if we replace each occurrence of  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  by  $C_p(X \times Y, \sigma)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite Tychonoff spaces. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  has the JNP;
- (2)  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ ;
- (3)  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  admits a continuous linear surjection onto  $(c_0)_p$ ;
- (4)  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  or  $C_p(Y)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ ;

- (5)  $C_p(X)$  admits a continuous linear surjection onto  $(c_0)_p$  or  $C_p(Y)$  admits a continuous linear surjection onto  $(c_0)_p$ ;
- (6)  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP or  $C_p(Y)$  has the JNP.

**Corollary 5.3.** *For any infinite Tychonoff space  $X$ , the space  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  if and only if  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

By [21, Corollary 1.3], for any infinite compact space  $X$  the space  $C_p(X \times X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . Since  $C_p(\beta\omega)$  does not have any complemented copies of  $(c_0)_p$ , see [4, Corollary 2], Theorem 5.2 yields the following example.

**Example 5.4.** The space  $C_p(\beta\omega, C_p(\beta\omega))$  does not have any complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ , even though the space  $C_p(\beta\omega \times \beta\omega)$  does have such a copy.

As a corollary to Theorem 5.2 we obtain also the following result providing a large class of counterexamples to Problem 3.9.

**Corollary 5.5.** *If  $X$  is such an infinite compact space that  $C_p(X)$  does not have the JNP, then  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  does not contain any complemented copy of  $C_p(X \times X)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  contains a complemented copy of  $C_p(X \times X)$ . Since  $X$  is compact, by [21, Corollary 1.3],  $C_p(X \times X)$  (and hence  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$ ) contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . By Corollary 5.3,  $C_p(X)$  also contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . By Theorem 5.1,  $C_p(X)$  has the JNP, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 5.6.**  $C_p(\beta\omega \times \beta\omega)$  is not complemented in  $C_p(\beta\omega, C_p(\beta\omega))$ .

If  $X$  is a Tychonoff space and  $\kappa$  a cardinal number, then we assume that  $C_p(X)^\kappa$  is equipped with the standard product topology, that is, the topology given by basic open sets of the form  $\prod_{\xi < \kappa} U_\xi$ , where each  $U_\xi$  is open in  $C_p(X)$  and for all but finitely many  $\xi < \kappa$  we have  $U_\xi = C_p(X)$ . Using Theorem 5.1, it is easy to see that for every infinite Tychonoff space  $X$  the space  $C_p(X)^2 \approx C_p(X \sqcup X)$ , where  $X \sqcup X$  denotes the topological disjoint union of two copies of  $X$ , contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  if and only if  $C_p(X)$  contains such a copy. The following proposition generalizes this result to any infinite power of  $C_p(X)$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite Tychonoff space and  $\kappa$  an infinite cardinal number. Then, the space  $C_p(X)^\kappa$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  if and only if  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

*Proof.* If  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of the space  $(c_0)_p$ , then trivially  $C_p(X)^\kappa$  does it, too, since  $C_p(X)$  is complemented in  $C_p(X)^\kappa$ .

Conversely, assume that  $C_p(X)$  does not contain any complemented copies of  $(c_0)_p$ . Note that for any infinite discrete space  $Y$  the space  $C_p(Y)$  does not have the JNP, so if we equip the set  $\kappa = \{\xi: \xi < \kappa\}$  with the discrete topology, then the space  $C_p(\kappa)$  does not have the JNP. By Theorem 5.1, it follows that  $C_p(\kappa)$  does not contain complemented copies of  $(c_0)_p$ . Theorem 5.2 yields that the space  $C_p(X, C_p(\kappa))$  does not contain such a copy as well. Since  $C_p(\kappa) \approx \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ , we get the following equalities:

$$C_p(X)^\kappa = C_p(X, \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \approx C_p(X, \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \approx C_p(X, C_p(\kappa)),$$

which implies that  $C_p(X)^\kappa$  does not contain any complemented copies of the space  $(c_0)_p$ .  $\square$

## 6. COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF $(c_0)_p$ IN $C_p(X, E)$

We start with the following simple observation.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $E$  be a locally convex space and  $X$  a Tychonoff space containing an infinite compact subset. Then  $C_p(X, E)$  contains a closed copy of the space  $(c_0)_p$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that for such a space  $X$ , the space  $C_p(X)$  contains a closed copy of the space  $(c_0)_p$  (see the proof of [23, Theorem 5] for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ ). The thesis follows now from the fact that  $C_p(X)$  is complemented in  $C_p(X, E)$  (see [33, Theorem I.4.4]).  $\square$



Let us now prove the main result of this section. The proof is inspired by the arguments presented in the proof of Theorem 1 in Domański and Drewnowski [10].

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $E$  be a barrelled locally convex space with the Josefson–Nissenzweig property and let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space containing an infinite compact set. Then  $C_p(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  has the JNP, there is a weak\* null sequence  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E'$  which is not convergent in the  $\beta^*(E', E)$ -topology. Taking into account that  $E$  is barrelled this means that the sequence is not strongly convergent and hence we may choose a bounded sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $E$  with  $\langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle = 1$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $K \subset X$  be an infinite compact set. Using the Tychonoff property, we may choose a sequence of continuous functions  $\varphi_n: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with disjoint supports and  $\varphi_n(t_n) = 1$  for some  $t_n \in K$ .

We set

$$J: (c_0)_p \rightarrow C_p(X, E), \quad (a_n)_{n \in \omega} \mapsto \sum_{n \in \omega}^J a_n \varphi_n(t_n) x_n$$

and

$$P: C_p(X, E) \rightarrow (c_0)_p, \quad f \mapsto (\langle x_n^*, f(t_n) \rangle)_{n \in \omega}.$$

The mapping  $J$  is well-defined, since the sequence  $(a_n x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is bounded and the functions  $\varphi_n$  have disjoint supports—this implies that the series converges uniformly on  $X$ , and hence it defines a continuous function from  $X$  into  $E$ . Note that the property of the supports of  $\varphi_n$ 's implies that this function only takes values of the form  $a_n \varphi_n(t) x_n$ . Let us see why  $P$  is well-defined, too. Since  $f$  is continuous the set  $f(K) \subset E$  is compact. Since on equicontinuous subsets of  $E'$  the weak\* topology coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on precompact subsets of  $E$  (see e.g. [16, Proposition 3.9.8]) and the sequence  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ , being a weak\* null sequence, is equicontinuous, we may conclude that  $\langle x_n^*, e \rangle \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $e \in f(K)$ .

We now check that these mappings are continuous. Let  $p$  be a continuous semi-norm on  $E$  and  $C > 0$  such that  $p(x_n) \leq C$  for all  $n$  (such  $C$  exists, since  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a bounded sequence). For each  $t \in X$ , since the functions  $\varphi_n$  have disjoint supports, there is at most one  $m_t$  with  $\varphi_{m_t}(t) \neq 0$ . We pick this  $m_t$  if it exists and set  $m_t = 1$  otherwise (of course, any other  $m_t$  works as well in this case), and obtain that for every  $(a_n)_{n \in \omega} \in (c_0)_p$  and  $t \in X$  we have:

$$p\left(J((a_n)_{n \in \omega})(t)\right) = p\left(\sum_{n \in \omega} a_n \varphi_n(t) x_n\right) \leq C |a_{m_t}| \leq C \max_{n \leq N} |a_n|$$

for all  $N \geq m_t$ . It follows that  $J$  is continuous. Now, let  $f \in C_p(X, E)$  be given and  $N \in \omega$  be arbitrary. Observe that since the functionals  $x_n^*$  are continuous there is a continuous semi-norm  $p$  on  $E$  and  $\tilde{C} > 0$  with

$$\max_{n \leq N} |(P(f))_n| = \max_{n \leq N} |\langle x_n^*, f(t_n) \rangle| \leq \tilde{C} \max_{n \leq N} p(f(t_n)),$$

which implies the continuity of  $P$ .

Since

$$P(J((a_n)_{n \in \omega})) = \left( \left\langle x_n^*, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \varphi_m(t_n) x_m \right\rangle \right)_{n \in \omega} = (a_n \langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega} = (a_n)_{n \in \omega},$$

we have that  $J$  is an isomorphic embedding and  $P$  is a surjection. Since  $JPJP = JP$ , the mapping  $JP$  is a projection onto the image of  $J$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.3.* Note the following comments concerning Theorem 6.2.

(1) If  $X$  is infinite discrete and  $E$  is a Banach space, then  $C_p(X, E) \approx E^X$ , which does not contain any closed copies of  $(c_0)_p$ , as the latter is not complete.

(2) The existence of an infinite compact subspace in  $X$  is not necessary for Theorem 6.2. Indeed, if  $X$  is a pseudocompact space with all compact subsets being finite and  $K$  is an infinite compact space, then  $C_p(X, C(K)) \approx C_k(X, C_k(K)) \approx C_k(X \times K)$ , which, by [20, Proposition 2.10], contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .

(3) If  $C_p(Y)$  has the JNP for an infinite Tychonoff space  $Y$ , then  $C_p(Y)$  is not barrelled (by the Buchwalter–Schmets theorem [8] and [20, Proposition 4.1]), so it does not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.2, even though the space  $C_p(X, C_p(Y))$  still has the JNP for every space  $X$  (by Theorem 5.2).

As a corollary we immediately obtain the following  $C_p$ -variant of Domański–Drewnowski’s Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space containing an infinite compact set and  $E$  a Fréchet space which is not a Montel space. Then  $C_p(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

*Proof.* Since by [3, Theorem 2.3] and [5] a Fréchet space has the JNP if and only if it is not a Montel space, the claim is a special case of Theorem 6.2.  $\square$

Using the Closed Graph Theorem one can easily derive a special case of Theorem 1.2 for Fréchet spaces  $C_k(X, E)$ . Thus, if  $X$  is compact and  $E$  infinite-dimensional Banach, we obtain Cembranos’ and Freniche’s Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 6.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space containing an infinite compact subspace and let  $E$  be a Fréchet space which is not Montel. If  $C_k(X, E)$  is an infinite-dimensional Fréchet space, then  $C_k(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of the Banach space  $c_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_p$  and  $\tau_k$  denote the pointwise topology of the space  $C_p(X, E)$  and the compact-open topology of  $C_k(X, E)$ , respectively. By Theorem 6.2,  $C_p(X, E)$  contains a (closed) complemented subspace  $G$  which is isomorphic to  $(c_0)_p$ , i.e.  $(G, \tau_p \upharpoonright G) \approx (c_0)_p$ . Let  $\xi$  be the Banach space topology on  $G$  such that  $\tau_p \upharpoonright G \subseteq \xi$  and  $(G, \xi) \approx c_0$ . The identity map  $I: (G, \tau_p \upharpoonright G) \rightarrow (G, \tau_k \upharpoonright G)$  has closed graph, so also  $I: (G, \xi) \rightarrow (G, \tau_k \upharpoonright G)$  has closed graph. The Closed Graph Theorem ([6, Theorem 4.1.10]) assures that both  $I$  and  $I^{-1}$  are continuous, so  $(G, \xi) = (G, \tau_k \upharpoonright G)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Theorem 6.2 yields also the following dichotomy.

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite Tychonoff spaces. Assume that  $Y$  is compact. Then  $C_p(X, C(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  or a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

*Proof.* We need to consider the following three cases:

Case 1.  $X$  is not pseudocompact. Then  $C_p(X, C(Y))$  contains a complemented copy of  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  (since  $C_p(X)$  contains such a copy and is complemented in  $C_p(X, C(Y))$ ).

Case 2.  $X$  is pseudocompact and  $X$  contains an infinite compact subset. We apply Theorem 6.2 to get a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .

Case 3.  $X$  is pseudocompact and every compact set in  $X$  is finite. Since  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact, we apply [20, Proposition 2.10] to deduce that  $C_p(X, C(Y)) \approx C_k(X \times Y)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .  $\square$

Recall that a Tychonoff space  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact if  $X$  is covered by an increasing sequence of compact subsets. We provide the following extension of [20, Proposition 2.10].

**Corollary 6.7.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact spaces. Then  $C_k(X \times Y)$  contains a complemented copy of  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  or a complemented copy of the Banach space  $c_0$ .*

*Proof.* By [29, Corollary 2.5.7], the spaces  $C_k(X \times Y)$  and  $C_k(X, C_k(Y))$  are isomorphic. Assume first that  $Y$  is non-discrete. Since  $C_k(Y)$  is not Montel (see [17, Theorem 11.7.7]) and it is a Fréchet space [6, 10.1.25], we apply Corollary 6.5 to obtain a complemented copy of the Banach space  $c_0$  (note that the space  $C_k(X, C_k(Y))$  is Fréchet by [33, Theorem IV.1.3.(b)]). On the other hand, if  $Y$  is discrete, then  $Y$  is countable, and hence the space  $\mathbb{R}^\omega = C_k(Y)$  is complemented in  $C_k(X, C_k(Y)) \approx C_k(X \times Y)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.8.* If  $X$  is a locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact space without infinite compact subsets, then  $X$  must necessarily be discrete and countable, and hence homeomorphic to  $\omega$ . It follows that for such  $X$  and any locally convex space  $E$ , the space  $C_p(X, E) = C_k(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  (cf. Case 1 in the proof of Corollary 6.6).

Since  $(c_0)_p \approx C_p(S)$  is linearly homeomorphic to  $C_p(S) \times \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain the following application of Corollary 6.5.

**Corollary 6.9.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are as in Corollary 6.6, then the space  $C_p(X, C(Y))$  is isomorphic to the space  $C_p(X, C(Y)) \times \mathbb{R}$ .*

The above result may be thought of as a (partial) positive answer to a problem of Arkhangel'ski for spaces of the form  $C_p(X, E)$ . Recall that Arkhangel'ski (see [1]) asked if it is true that for every infinite (compact) space  $K$  the space  $C_p(K)$  is linearly homeomorphic to  $C_p(K) \times \mathbb{R}$ . For a wide class of spaces the answer to this question is affirmative, e.g. if the space  $X$  contains a non-trivial convergent sequence, or  $X$  is not pseudocompact (see [1, Section 4]), yet, in general, the answer is negative even for compact spaces  $K$ —namely, Marciszewski [28] showed that there exists an infinite compact space  $K$  such that  $C_p(K)$  cannot be mapped onto  $C_p(K) \times \mathbb{R}$  by a continuous linear surjection.

We finish this section with the following natural question motivated by Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

**Problem 6.10.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space (containing an infinite compact subspace) and  $E$  a locally convex space. Assume that  $C_p(X, E)$  has the JNP. Does it follow that  $C_p(X, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ ?*

## 7. COMPLEMENTED COPIES OF $(c_0)_p$ IN $C_p(X, E_w)$

In order to provide a “weak” version of Theorem 6.2, we need the following auxiliary result proved by Domański and Drewnowski ([10, Lemma 1]). The lemma plays a similar role in proving Theorem 7.2 as [5, Theorem 2] does in the proof of Theorem 6.2. As usual, by  $\ell_1$  we mean the standard Banach space of all summable real-valued sequences.

**Lemma 7.1** ([10, Lemma 1]). *Let  $E$  be a locally convex space containing a copy of the Banach space  $\ell_1$ . Then there exists an equicontinuous sequence  $(u_n)_n$  in  $E'$  which converges uniformly on each weakly compact set in  $E_w$  but on some bounded set  $B$  in  $E$  one has  $\sup_{x \in B} |u_n(x)| = 1$  for each  $n \in \omega$ .*

Following the main idea of the proof of Theorem 6.2, Lemma 7.1 can be used to obtain the next result.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space which contains an infinite compact subset. Let  $E$  be a locally convex space which contains an isomorphic copy of the Banach space  $\ell_1$ . Then  $C_p(X, E_w)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

Kąkol and Moll [24, Theorem 3] showed that for a Tychonoff space  $Y$  the space  $C_k(Y)$  does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$  if and only if every compact subset of  $Y$  is scattered. Hence, we have the following

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space which contains an infinite compact subset and let  $Y$  be an infinite Tychonoff space containing a non-scattered compact subset. Then  $C_p(X, C_k(Y)_w)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ .*

Recall that a Banach space  $E$  is a *Grothendieck space* if every weakly\* convergent sequence in the dual space  $E'$  is weakly convergent. If  $X$  is a compact space such that  $C(X)$  is a Grothendieck space (e.g. if  $X = \beta\omega$  or  $X = \omega^*$ ), then  $X$  is non-scattered (as otherwise it would contain a non-trivial convergent sequence and hence  $C(X)$  would not be Grothendieck) and  $C_p(X)$  does not contain a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  (see [22, Section 4]). It follows that both the spaces  $C_p(X, C_k(X)_w) = C_p(X, C(X)_w)$  and  $C_p(X, C(X))$  contain a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$  (Corollary 7.3 and Theorem 6.2, respectively), even though the space  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  does not contain such a copy (Corollary 5.3).

The next corollary implies that there is no continuous linear surjection from the space  $C_p(\beta\omega, C_p(\beta\omega))$  onto  $C_p(\beta\omega, C(\beta\omega)_w)$  (cf. Problem 3.7).

**Corollary 7.4.** *Let  $X$  be a non-scattered compact space such that  $C_p(X)$  does not contain a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . Then there is no continuous linear surjection from  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  onto  $C_p(X, C(X)_w)$ .*

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction assume that there exists a continuous linear surjection from  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  onto  $C_p(X, C(X)_w)$ . Since  $X$  is non-scattered, by Corollary 7.3,  $C_p(X, C(X)_w)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ , hence there exists a continuous linear surjection from  $C_p(X, C(X)_w)$  onto  $(c_0)_p$ . It follows that  $C_p(X, C_p(X))$  can be mapped onto  $(c_0)_p$  by a continuous linear map. We apply Theorem 5.2 to derive that  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The last corollary yields a variant of Krupski–Marciszewski’s result ([26, Corollary 3.2]), already mentioned in Section 3.

**Corollary 7.5.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite compact space. Then the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C(X)_w$  are not isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Assume that an isomorphism  $T: C_p(X) \rightarrow C(X)_w$  exists. Consider two cases:

Case 1.  $X$  is not scattered. Define a linear map  $S: C_p(X, C_p(X)) \rightarrow C_p(X, C(X)_w)$  by the formula  $S(f) = T \circ f$  for each continuous  $f: X \rightarrow C_p(X)$ . By [29, Theorem 2.2.4] the map  $S$  is continuous. Note that  $S$  is a surjection. Indeed, choose arbitrary continuous  $h: X \rightarrow C(X)_w$  and define the continuous function  $f(x) = T^{-1}(h(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ —clearly,  $S(f)(x) = T(f(x)) = h(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

By Corollary 7.4, the space  $C_p(X)$  contains a complemented copy of  $(c_0)_p$ . Since  $T$  is an isomorphism, also the space  $C(X)_w$  contains a complemented copy  $F$  of  $(c_0)_p$ . As both the weak topology  $\tau_w$  of  $C(X)$  and the normed topology  $\tau_\infty$  of  $C(X)$  have the same bounded sets, we have  $\tau_w \upharpoonright F = \tau_\infty \upharpoonright F$ , a contradiction (since  $C(X)_w$  does not contain an infinite-dimensional normed subspace).

Case 2.  $X$  is scattered. By [2, Theorem III.1.2] the space  $C_p(X)$  is Fréchet–Urysohn. Hence, the space  $C(X)_w$  is also Fréchet–Urysohn. By [19, Lemma 14.6] the weak and the Banach topologies of  $C(X)$  coincide which implies that  $X$  is finite, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The first and the third named authors are supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): I 4570-N. The second named author is supported by the GAČR project 20-22230L RVO: 67985840.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel’ski,  *$C_p$ -theory*, in: *Recent Progress in General Topology*, North-Holland, 1992, 1–56.
- [2] A. V. Arkhangel’ski, *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [3] T. Banach, S. Gabrielyan, *The Josefson–Nissenzweig property for locally convex spaces*, preprint (arXiv:2003.06764), 2020.
- [4] T. Banach, J. Kąkol, W. Śliwa, *Josefson–Nissenzweig property for  $C_p$ -spaces*, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **113** (2019), 3015–3030.
- [5] J. Bonnet, M. Lindström, M. Valdivia, *Two theorems of Josefson–Nissenzweig type for Fréchet spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 363–364.
- [6] J. Bonnet, P. Pérez Carreras, *Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **131**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [7] J. Bourgain, J. Diestel, *Limited operators and strict cosingularity*, *Math. Nachr.* **119** (1984), 55–58.
- [8] H. Buchwalter, J. Schmets, *Sur quelques propriétés de l’espace  $C_s(T)$* , *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **52** (1973), 337–352.
- [9] P. Cembranos,  *$C(K, E)$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **91** (1984), no. 4, 556–558.
- [10] P. Domański, L. Drewnowski, *Fréchet spaces of continuous vector-valued functions. Complementability in dual Fréchet spaces and injectivity*, *Studia Math.* **102** (1992), 257–267.
- [11] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [12] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, *Distinguished metrizable spaces  $E$  and injective tensor products  $C_p(x) \otimes_\varepsilon E$* , *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **28**(5) (2021), to appear.
- [13] F.J. Freniche, *Barrelledness of the space of vector valued and simple functions*, *Math. Ann.* **267** (1984), 479–486.
- [14] S. Gul’ko, G. Sokolov, *Compact spaces of separately continuous functions in two variables*, *Topol. Appl.* **107** (2000), 89–96.
- [15] M. Henriksen, R.G. Woods, *Separate versus joint continuity: A tale of four topologies*, *Topol. Appl.* **97** (1999), 175–205.
- [16] J. Horváth, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, vol. 1, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.–London–Don Mills, Ont., 1966.

- [17] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [18] B. Josefson, *Weak sequential convergence in the dual of a Banach space does not imply norm convergence*, Arkiv Mat. **13** (1975), 79–89.
- [19] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś, M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [20] J. Kąkol, W. Marciszewski, D. Sobota, L. Zdomskyy, *On complemented copies of the space  $c_0$  in spaces  $C_p(X \times Y)$* , Israel J. Math. **250** (2022), 139–177.
- [21] J. Kąkol, D. Sobota, L. Zdomskyy, *On complementability of  $c_0$  in spaces  $C(K \times L)$* , to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [22] J. Kąkol, D. Sobota, L. Zdomskyy, *Grothendieck  $C(K)$ -spaces and the Josefson–Nissenzweig theorem*, to appear in Fund. Math.
- [23] J. Kąkol, W. Śliwa, *On metrizable subspaces and quotients of non-Archimedean spaces  $C_p(X, \mathbf{K})$* , RACSAM **114** (2020), 125, 1–23.
- [24] J. Kąkol, S. Moll-Lopez, *A note on the weak topology of spaces  $C_k(X)$  of continuous functions*, RACSAM **115** (2021), Article Number 125.
- [25] J.L. Kelley, I. Namioka, *Linear Topological Spaces*, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1963.
- [26] M. Krupski, W. Marciszewski, *On the weak and pointwise topologies in function spaces. II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **452** (2017), no. 1, 646–658.
- [27] M. Lindström, T. Schlumprecht, *A Josefson–Nissenzweig theorem for Fréchet spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **25** (1993), 55–58.
- [28] W. Marciszewski, *A function space  $C_p(X)$  not linearly homeomorphic to  $C_p(X) \times \mathbb{R}$* , Fund. Math. **153** (1997), 125–140.
- [29] R.A. McCoy, I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1315**, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1988.
- [30] J. van Mill, *The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematical Library **64**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [31] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, 1985.
- [32] A. Nissenzweig,  *$w^*$  sequential convergence*, Israel J. Math. **22** (1975), 266–272.
- [33] J. Schmets, *Spaces of Vector-Valued Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1003**, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1983.
- [34] Z. Semadeni, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Monografie Matematyczne **55**, PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1971.
- [35] V.V. Uspensky, *A characterization of compactness in terms of the uniform structure in a space of functions* (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **37** (1982), no. 4 (226), 183–184.

UNIVERSITÄT INNSBRUCK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INNSBRUCK, AUSTRIA.

Email address: christian.bargetz@uibk.ac.at

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ, POLAND, AND  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC.

Email address: kakol@amu.edu.pl

KURT GÖDEL RESEARCH CENTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIENNA UNIVERSITY, VIENNA, AUSTRIA.

Email address: damian.sobota@univie.ac.at