

Eccentricity energy change of complete multipartite graphs due to edge deletion

Iswar Mahato ^{*} M. Rajesh Kannan [†]

January 13, 2022

Abstract

The eccentricity matrix $\varepsilon(G)$ of a graph G is obtained from the distance matrix of G by retaining the largest distances in each row and each column, and leaving zeros in the remaining ones. The eccentricity energy of G is sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $\varepsilon(G)$. Although the eccentricity matrices of graphs are closely related to the distance matrices of graphs, a number of properties of eccentricity matrices are substantially different from those of the distance matrices. The change in eccentricity energy of a graph due to an edge deletion is one such property. In this article, we give examples of graphs for which the eccentricity energy increase (resp., decrease) but the distance energy decrease (resp., increase) due to an edge deletion. Also, we prove that the eccentricity energy of the complete k -partite graph $K_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $n_i \geq 2$, increases due to an edge deletion.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 05C12, 05C50.

Keywords. Complete multipartite graph, Eccentricity matrix, Eccentricity energy.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider finite simple connected graphs. For a graph G , let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set of G , respectively. *The adjacency matrix* of a graph G on n vertices, denoted by $A(G)$, is an $n \times n$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertices of G and the entries are defined as: $A(G) = (a_{uv})$, where $a_{uv} = 1$ if the vertices u and v are adjacent and $a_{uv} = 0$ otherwise. The set of all eigenvalues of $A(G)$ is the spectrum of G . *The energy (or the adjacency energy)* of G is defined as $E_A(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $A(G)$. The *distance* between

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur 721302, India.
Email: iswarmahato02@gmail.com

[†]Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur 721302, India.
Email: rajeshkannan@maths.iitkgp.ac.in, rajeshkannan1.m@gmail.com

the vertices u and v in G , denoted by $d_G(u, v)$, is the length of a shortest path between them. The *distance matrix* of a connected graph G on n vertices, denoted by $D(G)$, is an $n \times n$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertices of G and the entries are defined by $D(G)_{uv} = d_G(u, v)$. The eigenvalues of $D(G)$ are the *distance eigenvalues* of G and the largest eigenvalue of $D(G)$ is the *distance spectral radius* of G . The distance energy of G is defined by $E_D(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ are the distance eigenvalues of G .

The *eccentricity* of a vertex $u \in V(G)$, denoted by $e(u)$, is defined by $e(u) = \max\{d_G(u, v) : v \in V(G)\}$. The *eccentricity matrix* $\varepsilon(G)$ of a graph G is an $n \times n$ matrix indexed by the vertices of G and the entries are defined as

$$\varepsilon(G)_{uv} = \begin{cases} d_G(u, v) & \text{if } d_G(u, v) = \min\{e(u), e(v)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [6], Randić introduced the notion of eccentricity matrix of a graph, then known as D_{MAX} -matrix. It was renamed as eccentricity matrix by Wang et al. in [13]. The eigenvalues of $\varepsilon(G)$ are the ε -eigenvalues of G and the set of all ε -eigenvalues of G is the ε -spectrum of G . The largest eigenvalue of $\varepsilon(G)$ is the ε -spectral radius and is denoted by $\rho_\varepsilon(G)$. The *eccentricity energy* (or the ε -energy) of a graph G is defined [12] as $E_\varepsilon(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|$, where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ are the eigenvalues of $\varepsilon(G)$.

Recently, the eccentricity matrices stimulated a lot of interest and brought the attention of researchers. By looking at the definition, one may lead to think that the eccentricity matrices of graphs may behave like the distance matrices. But this is not true in general. For example, the eccentricity matrices of connected graphs could be reducible, while the distance matrices are always irreducible. The eccentricity matrix of a complete bipartite graph on n vertices with maximum degree less than $n - 1$ is reducible; while the eccentricity matrices of trees of order $n \geq 2$ are irreducible [13]. Indeed, characterizing the classes of graphs with irreducible eccentricity matrices is an interesting and nontrivial problem considered in the literature [13, 14]. The distance matrices of trees are always invertible, but the eccentricity matrices of trees need not be invertible. Recently, Mahato et al., [4] characterized all the trees with invertible eccentricity matrices. For recent works on the eccentricity matrix, we refer to [5, 12, 13, 14].

In recent years, the problem of energy change (for various matrices associated with graphs) due to an edge deletion considered by the researchers. The adjacency energy change of graphs due to edge deletion have been studied in [1, 3, 7, 15]. Recently, in [11], Varghese et al., verified that the distance energy of complete bipartite graphs increase when an edge is removed and conjectured that the complete multipartite graphs have the same property. In [10], Tian et al., proved that the conjecture holds for the complete multipartite graph $K_{p, \dots, p}$ and the tripartite Turán graph. In [8, 9], Sun and Das solved the conjecture affirmatively. Motivated by the above-mentioned works, we study the problem that change in the eccentricity energy of graphs due to an edge removal. Note that the eccentricity energy of a graph may increase, decrease or remain the same due to an edge deletion (See Table 1).

The change of eccentricity energy of a graph due to an edge deletion is not similar to that of the distance energy change. The eccentricity energy of G_3 (resp., G_4) increases



Figure 1: G_1 and $G_1 - e$




Figure 2: G_2 and $G_2 - e$

Graphs	Eccentricity energy	Graphs	Eccentricity energy	Comparison
K_6	$= 10$	$K_6 - e$	≈ 10.7446	$E_\varepsilon(K_6) < E_\varepsilon(K_6 - e)$
G_1	≈ 12.2814	$G_1 - e$	≈ 10.9282	$E_\varepsilon(G_1) > E_\varepsilon(G_1 - e)$
G_2	≈ 16.8327	$G_2 - e$	≈ 16.8327	$E_\varepsilon(G_2) = E_\varepsilon(G_2 - e)$

Table 1: Comparison of eccentricity energy change

(resp., decreases), but the distance energy of G_3 decreases (resp., increases) when the edge e is deleted (See, Table 2). The deletion of an edge from a connected graph with a unique positive distance eigenvalue always increases the distance energy provided that the resulting graph is connected [16]. But the deletion of an edge from a connected graph with exactly one positive ε -eigenvalue may not always increases the ε -energy. The graph G_4 in Figure 4 has exactly one positive ε -eigenvalue, but $E_\varepsilon(G_4) = 17.3808 > 16.9706 = E_\varepsilon(G_4 - e)$. This makes the problem more interesting. So we consider the following problem for the eccentricity energy of graphs:

Problem 1.1. *Classify the graphs for which the eccentricity energy always increases or decreases due to an edge deletion.*

In this article, we study the eccentricity energy change of complete k -partite graphs, and prove that the eccentricity energy of $K_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $n_i \geq 2$ always increases due to an edge deletion.




Figure 3: G_3 and $G_3 - e$



Figure 4: G_4 and $G_4 - e$

Graphs	D-energy	ε -energy	Graphs	D-energy	ε -energy	D-energy comparison	ε -energy comparison
G_3	≈ 23.5415	≈ 22.6856	$G_3 - e$	≈ 23.4115	≈ 24.0922	$E_D(G_3) > E_D(G_3 - e)$	$E_\varepsilon(G_3) < E_\varepsilon(G_3 - e)$
G_4	≈ 19.2470	≈ 17.3808	$G_4 - e$	≈ 22.4508	≈ 16.9706	$E_D(G_4) < E_D(G_4 - e)$	$E_\varepsilon(G_4) > E_\varepsilon(G_4 - e)$

Table 2: Comparison of distance energy and eccentricity energy change

2 Eccentricity energy change of complete k -partite graphs

It is known that the ε -eigenvalues of $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$ are -2 with multiplicity $(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k - k)$ and $2(n_i - 1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ [5]. Thus $E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}) = 4(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k - k)$. But it is not easy to compute the ε -eigenvalues and the ε -energy of $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} - e$ explicitly. First, in Theorem 2.3, we show that the ε -energy of complete bipartite graphs increase due to an edge deletion. Using this result, we shall prove that $E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} - e)$ for any edge e .

To begin with, in the next lemma, we establish that -2 is an ε -eigenvalue of $K_{m,n} - e$ with multiplicity at least $(m + n - 4)$ by constructing the corresponding eigenvectors explicitly. Indeed, later we will see that the multiplicity of -2 equals to $(m + n - 4)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $K_{m,n}$ be the complete bipartite graph with $m, n \geq 2$. Then for any edge e , -2 is an ε -eigenvalue of $K_{m,n} - e$ with multiplicity at least $m + n - 4$.*

Proof. Let V_1 and V_2 be the bipartition of the vertex set of $K_{m,n}$ with $V_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots, v_{m+n}\}$. Let e be any edge in $K_{m,n}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $e = v_1 v_{m+1}$. Let e_k denote the $(m+n)$ -vector with k -th entry 1 and 0 elsewhere. Let $X = \{e_2 - e_i : i = 3, 4, \dots, m\} \cup \{e_{m+2} - e_j : j = m+3, m+4, \dots, m+n\}$. Then for any vector $x \in X$, we have $\varepsilon(K_{m,n} - e)x = -2x$. Since $|X| = m+n-4$, and all vectors in X are linearly independent, $\varepsilon(K_{m,n} - e)$ has -2 as an eigenvalue with multiplicity at least $m+n-4$. $\square$

To find the remaining ε -eigenvalues of $K_{m,n} - e$, we use the equitable partition technique.

Definition 2.1 ([2]). (*Equitable partition*) Let A be a real symmetric matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\pi = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$ be a partition of X . The characteristic matrix C is an $n \times m$ matrix whose j -th column is the characteristic vector of the set X_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Let A be partitioned conformally with π as follows

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1m} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2m} \\ \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \dots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix},$$

where A_{ij} denotes the submatrix (block) of A formed by rows in X_i and the columns in X_j . If q_{ij} denote the average row sum of A_{ij} , then the matrix $Q = (q_{i,j})$ is called the quotient matrix of A . If the row sum of each block A_{ij} is constant, then the partition π is called equitable partition.

Now we state a well-known result about the spectrum of a quotient matrix corresponding to an equitable partition.

Theorem 2.1 ([2]). Let Q be a quotient matrix of any square matrix A corresponding to an equitable partition. Then the spectrum of A contains the spectrum of Q .

Let us partition the vertex set of $K_{m,n} - e$ as follows: $\pi = V(K_{m,n} - e) = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3 \cup P_4$, where $P_1 = \{v_1\}$, $P_2 = \{v_2, \dots, v_m\}$, $P_3 = \{u_1\}$ and $P_4 = \{u_2, \dots, u_n\}$. Note that π is an equitable partition of $\varepsilon(K_{m,n} - e)$ and the corresponding quotient matrix Q_π is given by

$$Q_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2(m-1) & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 2(m-2) & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 2(n-1) \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2(n-2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of Q_π is

$$p(x) = x^4 - 2(m+n-4)x^3 + [4mn - 12(m+n) + 15]x^2 + [16mn - 6(m+n) - 40]x - 4[5mn - 14(m+n) + 32]. \quad (1)$$

The eigenvalues of Q_π are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $p(x)$. Since Q_π is the quotient matrix corresponding to the equitable partition π , by Lemma 2.1, we have every eigenvalue of Q_π is an eigenvalue of $\varepsilon(K_{m,n} - e)$. Therefore, the roots of the polynomial $p(x)$ are in the ε -spectrum of $K_{m,n} - e$. In the following lemmas, we analyze the roots of $p(x)$, and compare the eccentricity energies of $K_{m,n}$ and $K_{m,n} - e$ for $m = 2, 3$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. If e is any edge of $K_{2,n}$, then $E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n} - e)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $E_\varepsilon(K_{2,2}) = 8 < 10 = E_\varepsilon(K_{2,2} - e)$ and $E_\varepsilon(K_{2,3}) = 12 < 13.8486 = E_\varepsilon(K_{2,3} - e)$.

Let $n \geq 4$ and $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \alpha_4$ be the roots of the equation

$$p(x) = x^4 + (4 - 2n)x^3 - (4n + 9)x^2 + 26(n - 2)x + 16(n - 1) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are the roots of the equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 &= 2n - 4 \\ \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 &= -(4n + 9) \\ \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 &= -26(n - 2) \\ \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 &= 16(n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq 4$, $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = 16(n - 1) > 0$ and hence $p(x)$ has either 0, 2 or 4 positive roots. As $n \geq 4$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 2n - 4 > 0$, so all α_i 's can not be negative. Again $\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 = -(4n + 9) < 0$ implies that α_i 's cannot be all positive. Thus $p(x)$ must have exactly two positive and two negative roots. Assume that $\alpha_4 \leq \alpha_3 < 0 < \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$.

Now $p(-5) = 36n + 144 > 0$ and $p(-4) = -24(n - 2) < 0$. By the intermediate value theorem, we have $-5 < \alpha_4 < -4$. As $p(-1) = -12(n - 2) < 0$ and $p(0) = 16(n - 1) > 0$, we have $-1 < \alpha_3 < 0$. Consequently,

$$\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 < (-4) + 0 = -4.$$

Now it is clear that all α_i s are different from -2 , so $E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n} - e) = 2n - 4 + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4|$. Note that $E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n}) = 4(2 + n - 2) = 4n$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n} - e) &= 2n - 4 + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4| \\ &= 2n - 4 + 2n - 4 - 2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \\ &= 4n - 8 - 2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \\ &> 4n = E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n \geq 3$. If e is any edge in $K_{3,n}$, then $E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n} - e)$.*

Proof. Note that $E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n}) = 4(3 + n - 2) = 4n + 4$. Next, we show that $E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n} - e) = 2n - 2 + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4|$, where $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \alpha_4$ are eigenvalues of the quotient matrix, with $m = 3$, corresponding to the equitable partition discussed before Lemma 2.2. The characteristic equation of the quotient matrix Q_π simplifies to

$$p(x) = x^4 + (2 - 2n)x^3 - 21x^2 + (42n - 58)x + 4(10 - n) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are the roots of the equation (3), we have

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 2n - 2 \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 = -21 \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = -(42n - 58) \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = 4(10 - n). \quad (7)$$

Case 1: Let $n < 10$. Then $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = 4(10 - n) > 0$, and hence $p(x)$ has either 0, 2 or 4 positive roots. As $n \geq 3$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 2n - 2 > 0$ and so all α_i 's cannot be negative. Again $\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 = -21 < 0$ implies that α_i 's cannot be all positive. Thus $p(x)$ must have exactly two positive and two negative roots. Assume that $\alpha_4 \leq \alpha_3 < 0 < \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$.

Now $p(-5) = 36(n + 5) > 0$ and $p(-4) = -4(11n - 16) < 0$. Thus $-5 < \alpha_4 < -4$. As $p(-1) = -4(11n - 19) < 0$ and $p(0) = 4(10 - n) > 0$, we have $-1 < \alpha_3 < 0$. Consequently,

$$\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 < (-4) + 0 = -4.$$

Thus all the roots of (3) are different from -2 , and hence we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n} - e) &= 2n - 2 + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4| \\ &= 2n - 2 + 2n - 2 - 2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \\ &= 4n - 4 - 2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \\ &> 4n + 4 = E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let $n > 10$. Then $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = 4(10 - n) < 0$, and hence $p(x)$ has either one or three negative roots. Suppose that $p(x)$ has three negative roots, say α_2, α_3 and α_4 . From (4), we have

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2(n - 1) - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 > 0, \quad \text{as } \alpha_3, \alpha_4 < 0.$$

Since $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 < 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$, therefore $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\alpha_3\alpha_4 > 0$. But from (6), we have $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = -(42n - 58) < 0$. Hence $p(x)$ has exactly one negative root:

$$\alpha_4 < 0 < \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1.$$

Now $p(-5) = 36(n+5) > 0$ and $p(-4) = -4(11n-16) < 0$ and hence $-5 < \alpha_4 < -4$. Thus all the roots of (3) are different from -2 , and hence we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n} - e) &= 2n - 2 + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4| \\ &= 2n - 2 + 2n - 2 - 2\alpha_4 \\ &= 4n - 4 - 2\alpha_4 \\ &> 4n + 4 = E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: For $n = 10$, we have $E_\varepsilon(K_{3,10}) = 44 < 45.0087 = E_\varepsilon(K_{3,10} - e)$. Thus for $n \geq 3$ and any edge e , we have

$$E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n} - e).$$

□

In the next crucial lemma, we show that the quotient matrix Q_π has exactly one negative eigenvalue for $n \geq 5$ and $m \geq 4$.

Lemma 2.4. *If $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 5$, then $p(x)$ (defined in (1)) has exactly three positive and one negative roots, that is, $\alpha_4 < 0 < \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$. Moreover, $-5 < \alpha_4 < -4$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ be the roots of the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= x^4 - 2(m+n-4)x^3 + (4mn - 12(m+n) + 15)x^2 + (16mn - 6(m+n) - 40)x \\ &\quad - 4(5mn - 14(m+n) + 32). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 2(m+n-4) \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 = 4mn - 12(m+n) + 15 \tag{9}$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = -(16mn - 6(m+n) - 40) \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = -4(5mn - 14(m+n) + 32). \tag{11}$$

Now $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = -4[5mn - 14(m+n) + 32] = -4[9(m-2)(n-2) - 4(m-1)(n-1)] < 0$. Thus $p(x)$ has either one or three negative roots. Suppose that $p(x)$ has three negative roots, say α_2, α_3 and α_4 . From (8), we have

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2(m+n-4) - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 > 0, \quad \text{as } \alpha_3, \alpha_4 < 0.$$

Since $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 < 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$, we have $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\alpha_3\alpha_4 > 0$. But $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = -(16mn - 6(m+n) - 40) = -2[(m-4)(n-5) + 7n(m-1) + 2m] < 0$. Hence $p(x)$ has exactly one negative root.

$$\alpha_4 < 0 < \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1.$$

Moreover, $p(-5) = 36(m+n) + 72 > 0$ and $p(-4) = -4[5mn - 4(m+n) - 4] = -4[4n(m-1) + m(n-4) - 4] < 0$, and hence $-5 < \alpha_4 < -4$. □

Using the previous lemmas, next we show that the -2 as an ε -eigenvalue of $K_{m,n} - e$ has multiplicity $m + n - 4$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $K_{m,n}$ be a complete bipartite graph with $m, n \geq 2$. Then for any edge e , the ε -eigenvalues of $K_{m,n} - e$ are -2 with multiplicity $m + n - 4$, and the roots of the polynomial*

$$p(x) = x^4 - 2(m+n-4)x^3 + [4mn - 12(m+n) + 15]x^2 + [16mn - 6(m+n) - 40]x - 4[5mn - 14(m+n) + 32].$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have -2 can not be a root of the polynomial $p(x)$, for all $m, n \geq 2$. Since the roots of the polynomial $p(x)$ are in the ε -spectrum of $K_{m,n} - e$, and all the roots of $p(x)$ are different from -2 , therefore the roots of $p(x)$ are the remaining ε -eigenvalues of $K_{m,n} - e$. $\square$

In the next theorem, we establish that the eccentricity energy of a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ always increases due to deletion of any edge.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $K_{m,n}$ be the complete bipartite graph with $m, n \geq 2$. Then for any edge e of $K_{m,n}$,*

$$E_\varepsilon(K_{m,n}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{m,n} - e).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $n \geq m \geq 2$. Let us consider the following cases:

Case 1: Let $m = 2$. For $n \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{2,n} - e).$$

Case 2: Let $m = 3$. For $n \geq 3$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{3,n} - e).$$

Case 3: Let $m \geq 4$. For $m = n = 4$, by direct calculation we have

$$E_\varepsilon(K_{4,4}) = 24 < 24.84886 = E_\varepsilon(K_{4,4} - e).$$

Let $n \geq 5$. By Theorem 2.2, the ε -eigenvalues of $K_{m,n} - e$ are -2 with multiplicity $m + n - 4$, and the roots $\alpha_4 \leq \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$ of the polynomial

$$p(x) = x^4 - 2(m+n-4)x^3 + [4mn - 12(m+n) + 15]x^2 + [16mn - 6(m+n) - 40]x - 4[5mn - 14(m+n) + 32].$$

Since $m \geq 4, n \geq 5$, by Lemma 2.4, we have $\alpha_4 < -4$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(K_{m,n} - e) &= 2(m+n-4) + |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4| \\ &= 2(m+n-4) + 2(m+n-4) - 2\alpha_4 \\ &= 4m + 4n - 16 - 2\alpha_4 \\ &> 4m + 4n - 8 = E_\varepsilon(K_{m,n}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Next, using Theorem 2.3, we prove that the eccentricity energy of a complete k -partite graph increases when an edge deleted.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$ be the complete k -partite graph such that $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$ and $n_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then for any edge e of $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$,*

$$E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}) < E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} - e).$$

Proof. Let $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k$ be the k partition of the vertex set of $K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$, where $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n_1}\}$ and $V_i = \{v_{1+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j}, v_{2+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j}, \dots, v_{\sum_{j=1}^i n_j}\}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$. Let e be any edge in $K_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $e = v_1 v_{n_1+1}$. Then,

$$\varepsilon(K_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2}) & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(K_{n_1, \dots, n_k} - e) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2} - e) & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2(J_{n_3} - I_{n_3}) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2(J_{n_4} - I_{n_4}) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2(J_{n_k} - I_{n_k}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

By using Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) &= E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2}) + E_\varepsilon(B) \\ &< E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, n_2} - e) + E_\varepsilon(B) \\ &= E_\varepsilon(K_{n_1, \dots, n_k} - e). \end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Department of Science and Technology, India, for financial support through the projects Early Career Research Award (ECR/2017/000643) and MATRICS (MTR/2018/000986).

References

- [1] Saieed Akbari, Ebrahim Ghorbani, and Mohammad Reza Oboudi, *Edge addition, singular values, and energy of graphs and matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), no. 8-9, 2192–2199. MR 2503964
- [2] Andries E. Brouwer and Willem H. Haemers, *Spectra of graphs*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2012. MR 2882891
- [3] Jane Day and Wasin So, *Graph energy change due to edge deletion*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), no. 8-9, 2070–2078. MR 2401641

- [4] Iswar Mahato, R Gurusamy, M Rajesh Kannan, and S Arockiaraj, *On the spectral radius and the energy of eccentricity matrix of a graph*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.05609 (2019).
- [5] Iswar Mahato, R. Gurusamy, M. Rajesh Kannan, and S. Arockiaraj, *Spectra of eccentricity matrices of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **285** (2020), 252–260. MR 4110109
- [6] Milan Randić, D_{MAX} —*matrix of dominant distances in a graph*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **70** (2013), no. 1, 221–238. MR 3136762
- [7] Hai-Ying Shan, Chang-Xiang He, and Zhen-Sheng Yu, *The energy change of the complete multipartite graph*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **36** (2020), 309–317. MR 4108766
- [8] Shaowei Sun and Kinkar Chandra Das, *Corrigendum to “Proof of a conjecture on distance energy change of complete multipartite graph due to edge deletion” [Linear Algebra Appl. 611 (2021) 253–259]*, Linear Algebra Appl. **618** (2021), 203–204. MR 4232693
- [9] ———, *Corrigendum to “Proof of a conjecture on distance energy change of complete multipartite graph due to edge deletion” [Linear Algebra Appl. 611 (2021) 253–259]*, Linear Algebra Appl. **618** (2021), 203–204. MR 4232693
- [10] Gui-Xian Tian, Yuan Li, and Shu-Yu Cui, *The change of distance energy of some special complete multipartite graphs due to edge deletion*, Linear Algebra Appl. **584** (2020), 438–457. MR 4014741
- [11] Anu Varghese, Wasin So, and A. Vijayakumar, *Distance energy change of complete bipartite graph due to edge deletion*, Linear Algebra Appl. **553** (2018), 211–222. MR 3809376
- [12] Jianfeng Wang, Lu Lu, Milan Randić, and Guozheng Li, *Graph energy based on the eccentricity matrix*, Discrete Math. **342** (2019), no. 9, 2636–2646. MR 3962744
- [13] Jianfeng Wang, Mei Lu, Francesco Belardo, and Milan Randić, *The anti-adjacency matrix of a graph: Eccentricity matrix*, Discrete Appl. Math. **251** (2018), 299–309. MR 3906706
- [14] Jianfeng Wang, Mei Lu, Lu Lu, and Francesco Belardo, *Spectral properties of the eccentricity matrix of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **279** (2020), 168–177. MR 4092627
- [15] Wen-Huan Wang and Wasin So, *Graph energy change due to any single edge deletion*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **29** (2015), 59–73. MR 3414585
- [16] Bo Zhou and Aleksandar Ilić, *On distance spectral radius and distance energy of graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **64** (2010), no. 1, 261–280. MR 2677587