

# WELL-POSEDNESS OF TRICOMI-GELLERSTEDT-KELDYSH-TYPE FRACTIONAL ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY, BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK\*, BATIRKHAN KH. TURMETOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper Tricomi-Gellerstedt-Keldysh-type fractional elliptic equations are studied. The results on the well-posedness of fractional elliptic boundary value problems are obtained for general positive operators with discrete spectrum and for Fourier multipliers with positive symbols. As examples, we discuss results in half-cylinder, star-shaped graph, half-space and other domains.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Statement of the problem and historical background	1
1.2. Three-parameter Mittag-Leffler (Kilbas-Saigo) function	3
1.3. Ill-posedness of the non-sequential problem	4
1.4. One dimensional fractional differential equation	5
2. Well-posedness in a bounded domain	5
2.1. Particular cases	6
2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2	8
3. Well-posedness in $\mathbb{R}^N$	11
3.1. Particular cases	12
3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2	13
References	15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Statement of the problem and historical background.** The main purpose of this paper is to study the following fractional elliptic equation

$$\mathcal{D}^{2\alpha}u(x, y) - x^{2\beta}\mathcal{L}u(x, y) = 0, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

---

\*Corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A08, 35R11, 74S25.

*Key words and phrases.* Caputo derivative, fractional Laplacian, Kilbas-Saigo function, boundary value problem.

The first author was supported in parts by the FWO Odysseus Project 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, by the EPSRC grant EP/R003025/2 and by the Methusalem programme of the Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF) (Grant number 01M01021). The second author was supported in parts by the FWO Odysseus Project 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations and by a grant No.AP08052046 from the Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

where  $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\beta > -\alpha$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary or  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha}$  means  $\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} = \partial_{0+,x}^\alpha \partial_{0+,x}^\alpha$ . Here  $\partial_{0+,x}^\alpha$  is a Caputo fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha$  :

$$\partial_{0+,x}^\alpha u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{-\alpha} \partial_s u(s, y) ds,$$

and  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies one of the following properties

**(A):** a linear self-adjoint positive operator with a discrete spectrum  $\{\lambda_k \geq 0 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$ . According to  $\lambda_k$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  has the system of orthonormal eigenfunctions  $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

As an example of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we can consider all self-adjoint positive operators that were given in [22, 23]. For example:

- Dirichlet-Laplacian, Neumann-Laplacian or fractional Dirichlet-Laplacian in a bounded domain;
- Sturm-Liouville operator or its involution perturbations in a finite interval;
- integro-differential operators with fractional derivatives.

**(B):** Fourier multiplier  $a(D)$  with symbol  $a(\xi) \geq 0$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , i.e.  $a(D) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(a(\xi)\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier transform and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  is the inverse Fourier transform.

As an example of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we can consider all operators with nonnegative symbol (see [21]). For example:

- Laplace operator  $-\Delta$  with symbol  $|\xi|^2$  or fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , with symbol  $|\xi|^{2s}$ ;
- Linear partial differential operator  $\sum_{|\beta| \leq m} a_\beta D^\beta$ ,  $a_\beta \geq 0$ , with nonnegative

$$\text{symbol } \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} a_\beta \xi^\beta \geq 0, \text{ with } D^\beta = \left(\frac{1}{i} \partial_{x_1}\right)^{\beta_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{1}{i} \partial_{x_N}\right)^{\beta_N}.$$

The need to study the boundary value problems for the fractional elliptic equations to describe the production processes in mathematical modeling of socio-economic systems was shown in [19]. In [19] the attention was drawn to the fact that the problem of finding a generalized two-factor Cobb-Douglas function is reduced to the Dirichlet problem for the fractional elliptic equation.

The equation (1.1) is a generalization of the following well-known equations:

- If  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2}$ , then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Laplace equation

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + \Delta_y u(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

- If  $N = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ , then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Tricomi equation ([26])

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + xu_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R};$$

- If  $N = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = m > 0$  and  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ , then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Gellerstedt equation ([10])

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + x^m u_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R};$$

- If  $N = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = -k \in (-2, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ , then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Keldysh equation ([11])

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + x^{-k} u_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The above equations are used in transonic gas dynamics [7], and in mathematical models of cold plasma [20].

Note that the study of Tricomi, Gellerstedt and Keldysh equations was done in many papers [1, 4, 5, 6, 18, 29]. The boundary value problems for the fractional elliptic equations are studied in [2, 9, 16, 15].

**1.2. Three-parameter Mittag-Leffler (Kilbas-Saigo) function.** First, we recall the definition of the Kilbas-Saigo function (three-parameter Mittag-Leffler function) and some of its particular cases.

- **Classical Mittag-Leffler function.** The classical Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\alpha,1}(z)$  defined by ([17])

$$E_{\alpha,1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

is a natural extension of the exponential function  $E_{1,1}(z) = \exp(z)$ , and also of the hyperbolic cosine function  $E_{2,1}(z) = \cosh \sqrt{z}$ .

The most interesting properties of Mittag-Leffler function are associated with its upper-lower estimates for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  as follows ([24]):

$$\frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 - \alpha)z} \leq E_{\alpha,1}(-z) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}z}, \quad z \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

- **Two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function.** The two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  is defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This function, sometimes called a Mittag-Leffler-type function, first appeared in [28]. When  $\beta = 1$ ,  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  coincides with the classical Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\alpha,1}(z)$ .

- **Three-parameter (Kilbas-Saigo) Mittag-Leffler function.** Another generalization of the Mittag-Leffler function was introduced by Kilbas and Saigo [13] in terms of a special function of the form

$$E_{\alpha,m,n}(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(jm + n) + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha(jm + n + 1) + 1)} z^k, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\alpha, m$  are real numbers and  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\alpha > 0, \quad m > 0, \quad \alpha(jm + n) + 1 \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots (j \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, if  $m = 1$ , the function  $E_{\alpha,m,n}(z)$  is reduced to the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function:

$$E_{\alpha,1,n}(z) = \Gamma(\alpha n + 1)E_{\alpha,\alpha n+1}(z),$$

and if  $m = 1, n = 0$ , then it coincides with the classical Mittag-Leffler function:

$$E_{\alpha,1,0}(z) = E_{\alpha,1}(z).$$

Recently Simon et al. [8] obtained the following interesting estimates of the Kilbas-Saigo functions:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 - \alpha)z} \leq E_{\alpha,m,m-1}(-z) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Gamma(1+(m-1)\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+m\alpha)}z}, \quad z \geq 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $m > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**1.3. Ill-posedness of the non-sequential problem.** As generally

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_x^\alpha \neq \partial_x^{2\alpha},$$

the equation (1.1) is different from the following non-sequential equation

$$\partial_x^{2\alpha} u(x, y) - x^{2\beta} \mathcal{L}u(x, y) = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega. \quad (1.6)$$

However, we cannot consider the problem of bounded solutions of equation (1.6) in  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , since for such class of functions, nontrivial solutions of equation (1.6) may not exist. We demonstrate this with the following example:

Let  $1 < 2\alpha < 2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2}$  in (1.6). Then using the Fourier transform to (1.6) with respect to  $y$  we have

$$\partial_x^{2\alpha} \hat{u}(x, \xi) - |\xi|^2 \hat{u}(x, \xi) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.7)$$

The general solution to the equation (1.7) has the form [14, Example 4.10]

$$\hat{u}(x, \xi) = C_1(\xi)E_{\alpha,1}(|\xi|^2 x^\alpha) + C_2(\xi)tE_{\alpha,2}(|\xi|^2 x^\alpha),$$

where  $C_1(\xi), C_2(\xi)$  are arbitrary constants and  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function. From the asymptotic estimate of the Mittag-Leffler function

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \sim z^{\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}} e^{z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} E_{\alpha,1}(|\xi|^{2s} x^\alpha) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} E_{\alpha,2}(|\xi|^{2s} x^\alpha) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the equation (1.7) does not have a bounded solution in  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**1.4. One dimensional fractional differential equation.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\mu$  is a positive real number. For further exposition we need to give some information about the exact solutions of differential equations of the form:

$$\mathcal{D}^{2\alpha}h(x) - \mu^2 x^{2\beta}h(x) = 0, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Using the method of constructing the solution of the fractional-order differential equations developed in [3, 27], one can show that the functions

$$\left\{ E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}), E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(-\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}) \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

are solutions of the equation (1.8).

It is easy to show that the functions (1.9) are linearly independent. Hence, the system of functions (1.9) is a fundamental system for the equation (1.8), and therefore the general solution of this equation has the form:

$$h(x) = C_1 E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}) + C_2 E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(-\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}), \quad (1.10)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary constants.

It is easy to see that, if  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , then

$$E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

since

$$E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}) \geq \frac{\mu \Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} x^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.11)$$

And for the function  $E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(-\mu x^{\alpha+\beta})$ , the following estimate holds ([8]):

$$E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(-\mu x^{\alpha+\beta}) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \mu x^{\alpha+\beta}}, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.12)$$

## 2. WELL-POSEDNESS IN A BOUNDED DOMAIN

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a self-adjoint, positive operator with the discrete spectrum  $\{\lambda_k \geq 0 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on  $L^2(\Omega)$ . The main assumption in this section is that the system of eigenfunctions  $\{e_k \in L^2(\Omega) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 |(u, e_k)|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 |(u, e_k)|^2.$$

**Definition 2.1.** The generalised solution of equation (1.1) in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded function  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Omega))$ , such that  $x^{-2\beta} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u, \mathcal{L}u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Omega))$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)$ . Then the generalised solution of equation (1.1) satisfying conditions

$$u(0, y) = \phi(y), \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, y) \text{ is bounded for almost every } y \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

exists, it is unique and can be represented as

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) e_k(y), \quad (x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\phi_k = \int_{\Omega} \phi(y) \overline{e_k(y)} dy$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $E_{\alpha, m, l}(z)$  is a Kilbas-Saigo function.

In addition, the solution  $u$  satisfies the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\Omega))} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|x^{-2\beta} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{L}u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)}.$$

*Remark 2.3.* If in Theorem 2.2 we replace the boundedness condition (2.2) by condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, y) = 0, \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (2.4)$$

then the problem (1.1), (2.1), (2.4) for the self-adjoint operators  $\mathcal{L}$  with nonnegative eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \geq 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , becomes ill-posed. Indeed, it is easy to show that the bounded solution to Problem (1.1), (2.1) has the form (2.3). However, if we take into account condition (2.4), then, for the existence of a solution to problem (1.1), (2.1), (2.4), it is necessary and sufficient to have the condition

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi(y) dy = 0.$$

**2.1. Particular cases.** We now specify Theorem 2.2 to several concrete cases.

**2.1.1. Laplace equation in the half-strip and in the star-shaped graphs.** Our first example will focus on the Laplace equation.

- Let  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}, \quad D(\mathcal{L}) := \{u \in W_2^1([0, 1]), u(0) = u(1) = 0\}.$$

Then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Laplace equation on the half-strip

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + u_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (0, 1). \quad (2.5)$$

It is known that the unique solution to problem (2.5), (2.1), (2.2) is represented in the form

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k e^{-k\pi x} \sin k\pi y.$$

• Let  $\Omega$  be a star-shaped metric graph consisting of  $d$  segments of equal length,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a differential operator  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial^2 v_j(y)}{\partial y^2}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v_j(0) &= 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \\ v_1(\pi) &= v_2(\pi) = \dots = v_d(\pi), \\ v'_1(\pi) &+ v'_2(\pi) + \dots + v'_d(\pi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is known ([30]) that the above operator is self-adjoint in  $L^2_d([0, \pi]) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d L^2([0, \pi])$  and has discrete spectrum  $\lambda_k^d = (k - \frac{1}{2})^2$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the equation (1.1) coincides with the Laplace equation on the star-shaped graphs

$$\Delta u(x, y) \equiv \Delta \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x, y) \\ u_2(x, y) \\ \vdots \\ u_d(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the unique solution to problem (2.6), (2.1), (2.2) is represented in the form

$$u(x, y) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x, y) \\ u_2(x, y) \\ \vdots \\ u_d(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k e^{-(k-\frac{1}{2})x} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \left( k - \frac{1}{2} \right) y.$$

2.1.2. *Fractional analogue of the Laplace equation with involution.* Let  $\Omega = (-\pi, \pi)$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , and

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u(x) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u(-x), \quad |\varepsilon| < 1,$$

$$D(\mathcal{L}) := \{u \in W_2^1([-\pi, \pi]), u(-\pi) = u(\pi) = 0\}.$$

Then the equation (1.1) coincides with the fractional analogue of the Laplace equation with involution on the half-strip

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, y) + u_{yy}(x, y) - \varepsilon u_{yy}(x, -y) = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\pi, \pi). \quad (2.7)$$

It is known ([15]) that there exist a unique solution to problem (2.7), (2.1), (2.2) and it can be represented in the form

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1} \left( - (1 + (-1)^k \varepsilon) k \pi x^\alpha \right) \sin k \pi y.$$

2.1.3. *Elliptic Tricomi and Gellerstedt equation.* Let  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta > -2$ , and  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ ,  $D(\mathcal{L}) := \{u \in W_2^1([0, 1]), u(0) = u(1) = 0\}$ .

• If  $\beta = 1$  then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Tricomi equation

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + x u_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in (0, 1), \quad (2.8)$$

and the unique solution to problem (2.8), (2.1), (2.2) can be written as

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \text{Ai}(-k\pi x) \sin k\pi y,$$

where  $\text{Ai}(z)$  is the Airy function.

- If  $\beta > -2$  then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Gellerstedt equation

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + x^\beta u_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in (0, 1), \quad (2.9)$$

and the unique solution to problem (2.9), (2.1), (2.2) can be written as (see [18])

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \sqrt{x} K_{\frac{1}{\beta+2}} \left( \frac{2\pi k x^{\frac{2}{\beta+2}}}{\beta+2} \right) \sin k\pi y,$$

where  $K_\nu(z)$  is the Macdonald function.

2.1.4. *Fractional elliptic equation with variable coefficients.* If  $\beta = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{L} = (1-y)^\mu (1+y)^\mu D_{1-,y}^\mu \partial_{-1+,y}^\mu,$$

$$u(-1) = I_{1-,y}^{1-\mu} \partial_{-1+,y}^\mu u(1) = 0,$$

then the equation (1.1) coincides with the equation

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + (1-y)^\mu (1+y)^\mu D_{1-,y}^\mu \partial_{-1+,y}^\mu u(x, y) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad y \in (-1, 1), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $D_{1-,y}^\mu$  is a right-side Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\mu \in (0, 1)$

$$D_{1-,y}^\mu u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_y^1 (s-y)^{-\mu} u(x, s) ds,$$

$\partial_{-1+,y}^\mu$  is a left-side Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\mu \in (0, 1)$

$$\partial_{-1+,y}^\mu u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \int_{-1}^y (y-s)^{-\mu} u_s(x, s) ds,$$

$I_{1-,y}^{1-\mu}$  is a right-side Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\mu \in (0, 1)$

$$I_{1-,y}^{1-\mu} u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \int_y^1 (s-y)^{-\mu} u(x, s) ds.$$

The unique solution of problem (2.10), (2.1), (2.2) can be written as

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma(k+\mu)}{\Gamma(k-\mu)} x\right) (1+y)^\mu P_{k-1}^{-\mu, \mu}(y),$$

where  $P_{k-1}^{-\mu, \mu}(y)$  is the Jacobi polynomial ([31])

$$P_{k-1}^{-\mu, \mu}(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1-\mu}{k-1-n} \binom{k-1+\mu}{n} \left(\frac{y-1}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{y+1}{2}\right)^{k-1-n}.$$

## 2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

2.2.1. *Existence of solution.* As  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , any solution of problem (1.1), (2.1)–(2.2) can be represented as:

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x) e_k(y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega. \quad (2.11)$$

It is clear that if  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{\Omega})$ , then it can be represented in the form

$$\phi(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k e_k(y), \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\phi_k = \int_{\Omega} \phi(y) \overline{e_k(y)} dy$ .

Substituting function (2.11) into equation (1.1), we obtain the following problem for  $u_k(x)$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}^{2\alpha} u_k(x) - \lambda_k x^{2\beta} u_k(x) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$u_k(0) = \phi_k, \quad u_k(\infty) \leq C, \quad C = \text{const}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\lambda_k > 0$  are eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

According to formula (1.10), the general solution to equation (2.13) has the form:

$$u_k(x) = C_1 E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) + C_2 E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right),$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary constants.

Since

$$E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

we have  $C_1 = 0$ .

Since

$$E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

then by (2.14) we have

$$u_k(x) = \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right), \quad (2.15)$$

hence

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) e_k(y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega.$$

2.2.2. *Convergence of solution.* The estimate (1.12) gives

$$|u_k(x)| \leq \frac{|\phi_k|}{1 + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta}},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \geq 0} \|u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \sup_{x \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\phi_k|^2 \left| E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) \right|^2 \|e_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\leq \sup_{x \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\phi_k|^2}{\left( 1 + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right)^2} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\phi_k|^2 = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Parseval's identity. Let us calculate  $\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u$  and  $\mathcal{L}u$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) e_k(y) \\
&= x^{2\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) e_k(y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}u(x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) \mathcal{L}e_k(y) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_k} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) e_k(y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the above calculations and Parseval's identity we have

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|x^{-2\beta} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 |\phi_k|^2 = \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)}^2 < \infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{L}u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 |\phi_k|^2 = \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega)}^2 < \infty.$$

**2.2.3. Uniqueness of solution.** Suppose that there are two solutions  $u_1(x, y)$  and  $u_2(x, y)$  of problem (1.1), (2.1)–(2.2). Let

$$u(x, y) = u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y).$$

Then  $u(x, y)$  satisfies the equation (1.1) and homogeneous conditions (2.1)–(2.2).

Let us consider the function

$$u_k(x) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, y) \overline{e_k(y)} dy, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Applying  $\mathcal{D}^{2\alpha}$  to the function (2.16) by (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{2\alpha}u_k(x) &= \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha}u(x, y)\overline{e_k(y)}dy = x^{2\beta} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}u(x, y)\overline{e_k(y)}dy \\ &= x^{2\beta} \int_{\Omega} u(x, y)\mathcal{L}\overline{e_k(y)}dy = x^{2\beta}\lambda_k \int_{\Omega} u(x, y)\overline{e_k(y)}dy \\ &= x^{2\beta}\lambda_k u_k(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad x \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Also from (2.1) and (2.2) we have  $u_k(0) = 0$ ,  $u_k(\infty)$  is bounded. Then from (2.15) we conclude that  $u_k(x) = 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . This implies  $\int_{\Omega} u(x, y)\overline{e_k(y)}dy = 0$ , and the completeness of the system  $e_k(x)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , gives  $u(x, y) \equiv 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ .

### 3. WELL-POSEDNESS IN $\mathbb{R}^N$

The Sobolev space  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : a(\xi)\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-iy\xi} f(y)dy$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

The space  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a Hilbert space; it is equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |a(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

**Definition 3.1.** The generalised solution of equation (1.1) in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is a function  $u \in C([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ , such that  $x^{-2\beta}\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha}u, \mathcal{L}u \in C((0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then the generalized solution of equation (1.1) satisfying conditions

$$u(0, y) = \phi(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, y) \text{ is bounded for almost every } y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.2)$$

exists, it is unique and can be represented as

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-iy\xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) E_{\alpha, 1+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) d\xi, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-is\xi} \phi(s) ds$ .

In addition, the solution  $u$  satisfies the following estimates:

$$\|u\|_{C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|x^{-2\beta}\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha}u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{L}u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

**3.1. Particular cases.** We now specify Theorem 3.2 to several concrete cases.

**3.1.1. Laplace equation in the half-space.** Our first example will focus on the Laplace equation.

Let  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2}$ . Then the equation (1.1) coincides with the classical Laplace equation on the half-space

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + \Delta_y u(x, y) = 0, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.4)$$

It is known that the unique solution to problem (3.4), (3.1), (3.2) is represented by the Poisson integral ([25])

$$u(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma((N+1)/2)}{\pi^{(N+1)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x\phi(s)}{(|y-s|^2 + x^2)^{(N+1)/2}} ds.$$

**3.1.2. Multidimensional degenerate elliptic equations.** Let  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta > -2$  and  $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta_y$ .

• If  $\beta = 1$ , then the equation (1.1) coincides with the multidimensional Tricomi equation

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + x\Delta_y u(x, y) = 0, x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.5)$$

and the solution to problem (3.5), (3.1), (3.2) can be written as ([1])

$$u(x, y) = \frac{3^{n+1/2}\Gamma(2/3)\Gamma(N/2 + 1/3)}{2^{1/3}\pi^{N/2+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x\phi(s)}{(9|y-s|^2 + 4x^3)^{N/2+1/3}} ds.$$

• If  $\beta = m > -2$  then the equation (1.1) coincides with the multidimensional Gellerstedt equation

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + x^m \Delta_y u(x, y) = 0, x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.6)$$

and the unique solution to problem (3.6), (3.1), (3.2) can be written as ([1])

$$u(x, y) = \frac{(m+2)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{m+2})}{2^N \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{m+2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{x\phi(s)}{\left(x^{m+2} + \left(\frac{m+2}{2}\right)^2 |y-s|^2\right)^{\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{m+2}}} ds.$$

**3.1.3. Fractional Laplace equation.** Let  $\beta = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{L}v = (-\Delta)^s v = C_{N,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(v(y) - v(s))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $C_{N,s}$  is a normalizing constant (whose value is not important here). Then the equation (1.1) coincides with the equation

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, y) + (-\Delta)_y^s u(x, y) = 0, x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.7)$$

From Theorem 3.2 we have the unique solution of the problem (3.7), (3.1), (3.2) in the form

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-iy\xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) E_{\alpha,1}(-|\xi|^s x^\alpha) d\xi, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Rearranging the order of integration in the last representation, according to Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\xi(y-s)} E_{\alpha,1}(-|\xi|^s x^\alpha) d\xi ds, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Using the calculation of the Fourier transform of Mittag-Leffler functions from [12], we have

$$u(x, y) = \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi(s)}{|y-s|^N} H_{32}^{12} \left( \frac{2^s x^\alpha}{|y-s|^s} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-N/2-s/2), (0,1), (0,s/2) \\ (0,1), (0,\alpha) \end{matrix} \right) ds.$$

Here  $H_{pq}^{mn}(\cdot)$  is the Fox H-function defined via a Mellin-Barnes type integral as

$$H_{pq}^{mn} \left( z \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_i^1, a_i^2)_{1,p} \\ (b_i^1, b_i^2)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}(\tau) z^{-\tau} d\tau,$$

where  $(a_i^1, a_i^2)_{1,p} = ((a_1^1, a_1^2), (a_2^1, a_2^2), \dots, (a_p^1, a_p^2))$  and

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}(\tau) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j^1 + b_j^2 \tau) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_i^1 - a_i^2 \tau)}{\prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i^1 + a_i^2 \tau) \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j^1 - b_j^2 \tau)}.$$

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2.

3.2.1. *Existence of solution.* Applying the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  to problem (1.1), (3.1)–(3.2) with respect to space variable  $y$  yields

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} \hat{u}(x, \xi) - a(\xi) x^{2\beta} \hat{u}(x, \xi) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{u}(0, \xi) = \hat{\phi}(\xi), \quad \hat{u}(\infty, \xi) \text{ is bounded for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.9)$$

thank to  $\mathcal{F}\{\mathcal{L}u(x, y)\} = a(\xi)\hat{u}(x, \xi)$ . Then the solution of problem (3.8)–(3.9) can be represented as

$$\hat{u}(x, \xi) = \hat{\phi}(\xi) E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

By applying the inverse Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  we have (3.3), i.e.

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{iy\xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha+\beta} \right) d\xi, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

3.2.2. *Convergence of solution.* Now we prove the convergence of the obtained solution. Applying estimate (1.12) and Plancherel theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x, y)|^2 dy &= \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\hat{u}(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \hat{\phi}(\xi) \right|^2 \left| E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \hat{\phi}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi = \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate  $\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{iy\xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) d\xi \\ &= x^{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{iy\xi} \hat{\phi}(\xi) a(\xi) E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) d\xi, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \left\| x^{-2\beta} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a^2(\xi) |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 \left| E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |a(\xi) \hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^L(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $\mathcal{L}u$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \left\| \mathcal{L}u(x, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 &\leq \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a^2(\xi) |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 \left| E_{\alpha, 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left( -\sqrt{a(\xi)} x^{\alpha + \beta} \right) \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^L(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

3.2.3. *Uniqueness of solution.* Suppose that there are two solutions  $u_1(x, y)$  and  $u_2(x, y)$  of problem (1.1), (3.1)–(3.2). Let  $u(x, y) = u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)$ . Then  $u(x, y)$  satisfies the equation (1.1) and homogeneous conditions (3.1)–(3.2).

Let us consider the function

$$\hat{u}(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-iy\xi} u(x, y) dy, \quad x \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.11)$$

As  $u$  is bounded continuous in  $x$  function, applying  $\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha}$  to the function (3.11) by (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha}\hat{u}(x, \xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-iy\xi} \mathcal{D}_x^{2\alpha} u(x, y) dy \\ &= x^{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-iy\xi} \mathcal{L}u(x, y) dy \\ &= x^{2\beta} \mathcal{F} [\mathcal{F}^{-1}(a(\xi)\hat{u}(x, y))] \\ &= x^{2\beta} a(\xi)\hat{u}(x, \xi), \quad x \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.\end{aligned}$$

Also from (3.1) and (3.2) we have  $\hat{u}(0, \xi) = 0$ ,  $\hat{u}(\infty, \xi)$  is bounded. Then from (3.10) we conclude that  $\hat{u}(x, \xi) = 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Applying the inverse Fourier transform we have  $u(x, y) \equiv 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ . The proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. D. Algazin, Exact solution to the Dirichlet problem for degenerating on the boundary elliptic equation of Tricomi-Keldysh type in the half-space. ArXiv. (2016). arXiv:1603.05760v1
- [2] V. Ambrosio, L. Freddi, R. Musina. Asymptotic analysis of the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian in domains becoming unbounded. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 485 (2020), 123845.
- [3] R. R. Ashurov, A. Cabada, B. Kh. Turmetov, Operator method for construction of solutions of linear fractional differential equations with constant coefficients, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 19:1 (2016), 229–251.
- [4] J. Barros-Neto, I. M. Gelfand. Fundamental solutions for the Tricomi Operator, *Duke Math. J.* 98:3 (1999), 465–483.
- [5] J. Barros-Neto, I. M. Gelfand. Fundamental solutions for the Tricomi operator. II, *Duke Math. J.* 111:3 (2002), 561–584.
- [6] J. Barros-Neto, I. M. Gelfand. Fundamental solutions for the Tricomi operator. III, *Duke Math. J.* 128:1 (2005), 119–140.
- [7] L. Bers, *Mathematical Aspects of Subsonic and Transonic Gas Dynamics*, Surveys Appl. Math. 3, Wiley, New York, 1958.
- [8] L. Boudabsa, T. Simon, P. Vallois, Fractional extreme distributions, ArXiv. (2019). 1–46. arXiv:1908.00584v1.
- [9] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 32 (2007), 1245–1260.
- [10] S. Gellerstedt, Sur un probleme aux limites pour une equation lineaire aux derivees partielles du second ordre de type mixtes, Doctoral dissertation, Uppsala University, 1935.
- [11] M. V. Keldysh, On some cases of degenerate elliptic equations on the boundary of a domain, *Doklady Acad. Nauk USSR.* 77 (1951), 181–183.
- [12] J. Kemppainen, J. Siljander and R. Zacher, Representation of solutions and large-time behavior for fully nonlocal diffusion equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 263 (2017), 149–201.
- [13] A.A. Kilbas, M. Saigo, On the solution of integral equations of Abel-Volterra type. *Differential and Integral Equations.* 8 (1995), 993–1011.
- [14] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier. North-Holland. Mathematics studies. 2006. -539p.
- [15] M. Kirane, B. Kh. Turmetov, B. T. Torebek, A nonlocal fractional Helmholtz equation. *Fractional Differential Calculus.* 7:2, (2017), 225–234.
- [16] O. Masaeva. Dirichlet Problem for the Generalized Laplace Equation with the Caputo Derivative. *Differential Equations.* 48:3 (2012), 449–454.
- [17] G.M. Mittag-Leffler. Sur la nouvelle fonction  $E_\alpha(x)$ . *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* 137 (1903), 554–558.

- [18] E. I. Moiseev, On the solution of a nonlocal boundary value problem by the spectral method. *Differential Equations*. 35:8 (1999), 1105–1112
- [19] A. M. Nakhshuev, On mathematical and information technologies for modeling and control of regional development. *Dokl. Adygsk. (Cherkessk.) Mezhdunar. Akad. Nauk.* 9:1 (2007), 128–137. (in Russian)
- [20] T. H. Otway, Dirichlet Problem for Elliptic-Hyperbolic Equations of Keldych Type. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [21] M. Ruzhansky, V. Turunen, Pseudo-Differential Operators and Symmetries, vol. 2. Birkhauser, Boston (2009).
- [22] M. Ruzhansky, N. Tokmagambetov, Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 12 (2016), 3548–3615.
- [23] M. Ruzhansky, N. Tokmagambetov, Nonharmonic analysis of boundary value problems without WZ condition, *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.*, 12 (2017), 115–140.
- [24] T. Simon, Comparing Fréchet and positive stable laws. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19 (2014), 1–25.
- [25] E. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, (1970).
- [26] F. G. Tricomi, Sulle equazioni lineari alle derivate parziali di secondo ordine, di tipo misto, *Atti Accad. Nazionale dei Lincei*, 14 (1923), 134–247.
- [27] B. Kh. Turmetov, On a method for constructing a solution of integro-differential equations of fractional order, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 2018 (2018), 1–14.
- [28] A. Wiman. Über den fundamentalsatz in der theorie der funktionen  $E_\alpha(x)$ . *Acta Mathematica*. 29 (1905), 191–201.
- [29] N. Xu, H. Yin, The weighted  $W^{2,p}$  estimate on the solution of the Gellerstedt equation in the upper half space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332 (2007), 1148–1164.
- [30] C.-F. Yang, J.-X. Yang, Large eigenvalues and traces of Sturm-Liouville equations on star-shaped graphs. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 14:2 (2007), 179–196.
- [31] M. Zayernouri, G. E. Karniadakis, Fractional Sturm-Liouville eigen-problems: Theory and numerical approximation. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 252 (2013), 495–517.

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, GHENT, BELGIUM

AND

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, UNITED KINGDOM

*Email address:* [michael.ruzhansky@ugent.be](mailto:michael.ruzhansky@ugent.be)

BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, GHENT, BELGIUM

AND

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

AL-FARABI AVE. 71, 050040, ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING

125 PUSHKIN STR., 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN

*Email address:* [berikbol.torebek@ugent.be](mailto:berikbol.torebek@ugent.be)

BATIRKHAN KH. TURMETOV

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AKHMET YASAWI UNIVERSITY,  
29 B.SATTARKHANOV STR., 161200 TURKISTAN, KAZAKHSTAN

*Email address:* [turmetovbh@mail.ru](mailto:turmetovbh@mail.ru)