Introduction.— Unconventional superconductivity is one of the most active and exciting fields of physics research. The discovery of high-temperature superconductivity in doped cuprate materials in 1986 [1] and in iron-based superconductors 20 years later [2, 3] mark most notable events and has continuously fueled the search for room temperature superconductors. Similarly, inherent topological superconductivity [4–7] has recently gained high importance, as the quasiparticles at zero energy (referred to as Majorana zero modes) exhibit non-Abelian braiding statistics [8], rendering them promising candidates for topological quantum computing [9]. Note that in order to narrow down the meaning of topological superconductors that is henceforth implied in this manuscript, it should be distinguished from proximity-induced topological superconductors, where in general a conventional superconductor induces topological pairing in an adjacent spin-orbit coupled electron liquid [10–14]. The list of candidate materials for inherent topological superconductivity as a potential Majorana platform is rather short. This is because at least at a moderate level of sophistication where one intends to accomplish an odd number of vortex core Majorana zero modes, one is primarily interested in chiral triplet superconductors, which as a predominant initial challenge brings about the task to identify triplet pairing candidate materials in the first place. Examples which have attracted much attention lately are CePt₃Si [15, 16], Cu₂Bi₂Se₃ [17, 18], FeSe₀.₄₅Te₀.₅₅ [19–21] and UTe₂ [22]. The most prominent example, however, had been Sr₂RuO₄ [23] – for decades the prime candidate for p-wave superconductivity. Yet, only recently, it was realized that the Knight shift evidence in favor of triplet pairing had to be reconsidered, now favouring singlet pairing [24] which, in order to comply with the other experimental evidence for strontium ruthenate, has to be described by a two-component complex order parameter [25–27].

Two-dimensional atom lattices on semiconductor substrates are a material platform with a rather simple electronic structure. The adsorption of only 1/3 monolayer of group-IV elements such as Pb and Sn forms a (√3 × √3)R30° structure, realizing a triangular lattice. Three out of four of the adatoms' valence orbitals are engaged in covalent back bonds with the substrate, leaving the fourth orbital as a half-filled dangling bond. As a consequence for the electronic structure, a single metallic surface band is present within the substrate's band gap. Such a half-filled surface band is subject to significant electron-electron interactions. For some of these materials, the presence of non-negligible non-local Coulomb interactions was suggested as the driving mechanism for their charge-ordered ground states (e.g. in Pb/Ge(111), Sn/Ge(111) or Pb/Si(111) [28–32]). For Sn/Si(111), it was shown that electron-electron interactions even result in an antiferromagnetic Mott insulator [33] and also that non-local Coulomb interactions play an important role [29, 30]. Most recently, the onset of superconductivity at 4.7 ± 0.3 K has been observed in strongly boron-doped Sn/Si(111) [34]. The hole-doped silicon substrate was grown first, and the tin surface layer was deposited afterwards, keeping the perfect triangular structure of the tin lattice. Doping levels of up to ten percent could be reached. A sharp tunneling dip near zero bias is observed for low temperatures, compatible with a superconducting gap. It is further shown that the gap can be suppressed with increasing magnetic field strength. Detailed analysis of the superconducting gap deviates from conventional BCS behavior, hinting at the unconventional character of the pairing state [34], in agreement with the Mott insulating state of the undoped material [33]. The results reproduce many features of the correlated electron physics seen in complex oxides such as high-$T_c$ square lattice cuprate superconductors. In contrast to cuprates, Sn/Si(111) is much simpler, both chemically and electronically, and would thus provide the cleanest platform for studying superconductivity emerging from a doped Mott insulator [35].
FIG. 1. (a) Tight-binding bandstructure (1) for Sn/Si(111). Fermi surfaces for different fillings are shown for Sn/Si(111) (b) and for the nearest-neighbor triangular lattice (c) as black lines. The van-Hove fillings are shown in red.

In this Letter, we investigate the competing pairing channels of correlated electrons in Sn/Si(111). By applying the weak-coupling renormalization group (WCRG) method, we are able to find the leading superconducting instabilities in an analytically controlled way in the limit of weak interactions. While correlated electrons on a hexagonal lattice have a generic propensity towards chiral d-wave pairing [36–43], we find that the FS structure of Sn/Si(111) leads to a competition between singlet and triplet pairing channels. The inclusion of non-local Coulomb interactions strongly suppresses the chiral d-wave state, and instead favors f-wave and chiral p-wave triplet pairing. We further substantiate our findings by an intermediate coupling analysis through functional renormalization group (FRG) calculations [44, 45]. From the synopsis of all results, we find that significant hole-doping in combination with significant non-local Coulomb interactions—as proposed earlier [29, 30]—might stabilize topologically non-trivial chiral p-wave pairing in Sn/Si(111).

Model and Method.— We assume that boron-doped Sn/Si(111) is well-described by an extended Hubbard model on the triangular lattice. The electronic bandstructure is derived from \textit{Local Density Approximation} (LDA) first-principle calculations [29, 30, 33], resulting in a single metallic band well-separated from other bands. A tight-binding fit to the LDA band yields hopping terms up to fourth-nearest neighbors, \( \mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\sigma} \) and \( t_{ij} \equiv t_{ij-i} \); the bandstructure reads

\[
\varepsilon_k = -2t_1 \left[ \cos k_x + 2 \cos \sqrt{3}/3 k_y \cos k_x/2 \right] \\
-2t_2 \left[ \cos \sqrt{3} k_y + 2 \cos 2/3 k_x \cos \sqrt{3}/3 k_y \right] \\
-2t_3 \left[ \cos 2k_x + 2 \cos k_x \cos \sqrt{3}/3 k_y \right] \\
-4t_4 \left[ \cos 5/2 k_x \cos \sqrt{3}/3 k_y + \cos 2k_x \cos \sqrt{3} k_y \right] \\
\cos k_x/2 \cos 3\sqrt{3}/2 k_y
\]

with \( t_1 = -52.7 \text{ meV} \) and \( t_2/t_1 = -0.389, t_3/t_1 = 0.144, t_4/t_1 = -0.027 \). The bandstructure along the high-symmetry path is shown in Fig. 1(a) along with its contour plot in the Brillouin zone in Fig. 1(b) where constant-energy lines correspond to the FSs at different fillings. For comparison, Fig. 1(c) displays the analogous plot for an isotropic, nearest-neighbor triangular lattice.

The extended Hubbard Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + U_0 \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + U_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_{i\uparrow} n_{j\downarrow},
\]

with \( n^\dagger_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} \equiv c^\dagger_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma}, n_i \equiv n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}, \) and the local (nearest neighbour) Hubbard interaction strength \( U_0 \) \((U_1)\). Longer-ranged interactions are generically present, but are often screened and hence mostly assumed subdominant by comparison to \( U_0 \). Notably, the situation is different for several group-IV adsorbates on semiconductor substrates many of which possess charge-ordered ground states, which likewise tend to be favoured by long-range Coulomb repulsion [29, 30]. Sn/Si(111) features a homogeneous charge distribution, but instead orders magnetically, albeit with significant non-local Coulomb interaction being present [46]; upon increasing \( U_1 \) the strongest spectral weight of the single-particle spectral function is shifted from the \( K \) to and beyond the \( M \) point [46], as observed in ARPES experiments [33] and in agreement with previous theoretical work [29]. The experimental data is best described by assuming a ratio of nearest-neighbor and local Hubbard interactions \( 1/3 \leq U_1/U_0 \leq 1/2 \) [29, 30]. This appears to be reasonable, and we will consider these parameters for the remainder part of the work [47].

We investigate the superconducting instabilities and form factors of the model Hamiltonian (2) for the hole-doped case by virtue of the WCRG method [48–50] which builds upon the pioneering work of Kohn and Luttinger [51]. The idea is to assume sufficiently small interactions such that a renormalized interaction near the Fermi surface can be safely calculated by perturbation theory and still remain in a weak-coupling range. For the remainder effective degrees of freedom, a standard RG procedure [52] is applied, which predominantly yields significant renormalization for couplings in the Cooper channel. This of course only holds if the system is not at a highly fine tuned point of the phase diagram such as van Hove singularities or quadratic band touchings at which even infinitesimally small interactions induce...
FIG. 2. (a) Weak coupling phase diagram as a function of non-local Coulomb interaction $U_1/U_0$ vs. band filling $n$ for Sn/Si(111). For band fillings above the van Hove singularity, triplet superconductivity with $f_{(3\times 2-y_2)}$-wave symmetry ($B_2$ irrep) is found. For fillings below van Hove (corresponding to hole-dopings larger than 8.5%) chiral triplet superconductivity with $p_d + ip_p$-wave symmetry ($E_1$ irrep) is present. (b) Zoom into the phase diagram with very small non-local Coulomb interactions reveal a small area with chiral $d$-wave ($E_2$ irrep) pairing as well as regions where $d$-wave is degenerate with $f$-wave (electron-doped) and with $p$-wave (hole-doped). (c) Effective coupling for $U_1 = 0$: $p$- and $d$-wave pairings ($n < n_{vH}$) and $f$- and $d$-wave pairings ($n > n_{vH}$) are degenerate. (d) For significant $U_1/U_0$ as assumed for Sn/Si(111) $d$-wave pairing is suppressed, leading to chiral $p$-wave and $f$-wave superconductivity.

other competing channels (which is not the case for the studied model (2)). We therefore determine the effective interaction $U_{\text{eff}}$ at energy scales close to the Fermi surface by calculating the lowest order diagrams shown in Fig. S1 [53]. We note that these diagrams contain as integrands the static particle-hole susceptibility $\chi_{\text{ph}}$ and the static particle-particle susceptibility $\chi_{\text{pp}}$. While the latter diverges at the superconducting instability, the former does not, and is hence evaluated in order to identify the symmetries of the superconducting order parameter. For all WCRG calculations we choose between 228 and 312 patching points on the FS and an adaptive integration grid [50], with effectively (640)$^2$ points in the Brillouin zone [54].

Results.— For the particular bandstructure of Sn/Si(111), at $U_1 = 0$, we find a leading chiral $d$-wave instability only in a comparatively small range of dopings $0.9 \leq n \leq 0.95$ [see Fig. 2(a) and (b)]. Instead, for $0.95 < n < 1$ we find a close-to-degeneracy of $d$-wave and $f$-wave solutions ($E_2$ and $B_2$ irrep). For electron doping $n > 1$, the $f$-wave instability is most favorable. Considering hole dopings $n < 0.9$, chiral $d$-wave and chiral $p$-wave solutions are close-to-degenerate ($E_2$ and $E_1$ irrep). The amplitude of the superconducting form factor, $U_{\text{eff}}$, is shown in Fig. 2(c) and reveals the aforementioned competition between the different pairing channels. This competition between odd- and even-parity pairing ($d$-wave) is a priori unexpected as most often chiral $d$-wave is the leading instability for hexagonal tight-binding models [39–43]. For comparison, in Fig. 1(b) and (c) we display the FSs of the Sn/Si(111) band and of an isotropic nearest-neighbor triangular lattice, respectively. Pronounced peaks in the bare susceptibility for the latter are suppressed when switching to Sn/Si(111) where the FS is warped due to longer-ranged hoppings. Thus, even without the inclusion of $U_1$, we can see why singlet-pairing is less favorable than in other hexagonal systems.

Motivated by previous work [29, 30], we assume that non-local Coulomb interactions – modeled via nearest-neighbor repulsion $U_1$ – are crucial for boron-doped Sn/Si(111). The presence of $U_1$ further suppresses chiral $d$-wave, and leaves odd-parity $f$-wave pairing ($n > 0.91$) or chiral $p$-wave pairing ($n < 0.91$) as the leading instability (see Fig. 2(a) for $U_1/U_0 = 1/3$ and Fig. S2 in the supplement for $U_1/U_0 = 1/2$). As a central result we identify sufficiently hole-doped Sn/Si(111) as a topological superconductor stabilized by non-local Coulomb repulsion.

As a complementary approach to our WCRG analysis, we employ FRG [44, 45, 53] to our model (2). As a diagrammatic resummation scheme that includes all two-particle channels on equal footing and vertex corrections to leading order, we intend to assess how the WCRG results carry over from weak to intermediate coupling. For $U_0 = 5t_1$ and $U_1 = 0$, $d$-wave becomes the dominant instability within FRG. This is in line with previous FRG studies: for a Fermiology such as given by Sn/Si(111), sufficient onsite Hubbard coupling strength tends to give preference to singlet pairing. In line with WCRG, however, the addition of $U_1$ indeed can tilt the balance in favor of triplet pairing, as we observe the $d$-wave state in FRG to start to destabilize at finite $U_1$ in favor of $f$-wave pairing at half filling $n = 1$ and $p$-wave pairing at $n < 0.75$. Fig. 3 depicts a representative FRG flow diagram for $U_1/U_0 = 1/2$ where the $p$-wave instability turns out to dominate, and to eventually yield a preferred chiral $p$-wave order parameter in order to maximize condensation energy. Note that if the interaction scale is increased even further within FRG which would likely be out of the scope of Sn/Si(111), density wave instabilities start to become competitive to the superconducting instability. In total, aside from constraining the preferred doping regime a bit further, WCRG and FRG confirm the propensity of triplet pairing in Sn/Si(111), which in particular turns out to be strong by comparison to previous models studied within FRG.

Discussion.— Single layers of ordered metal atoms such as Pb and In on Si(111) offer themselves as in
teresting platforms for conventional electron-phonon mediated superconductivity up to 3K [55, 56]. In principle, we cannot entirely exclude a similar mechanism for Sn/Si(111); however, we consider a system consisting of a 1/3 monolayer of Sn on Si(111), leading to a more correlated electron state as compared to Refs. [55, 56]. Moreover, the experimentally observed antiferromagnetic order for the undoped system [33] hints at an unconventional pairing mechanism. Our work thus propounds a purely unconventional scenario, where superconductivity emerges upon hole doping of a Mott insulating phase.

In this work we have neglected the role of spin-orbit coupling (SOC). LDA calculations including SOC show that its effect is rather small. In addition, small to modest contributions of SOC only give rise to some mild mixing of the different pairing channels [57] and the conclusions regarding the dominant superconducting instability will not change.

Our work emphasizes the role of non-local Coulomb interactions in order to stabilize spin-triplet pairing. The same had been previously observed for one-band Hubbard models on the square, triangular, and honeycomb lattice [40, 50]. In a recent paper [58] it was found for a Rashba-Hubbard model on the square lattice that the inclusion of $U_1$ leads to an enhancement of triplet pairing.

Another group-IV adsorbate, which features essentially the same bandstructure as Sn/Si(111) and is also believed to have significant non-local Coulomb interactions, is Pb/Si(111) [29, 30, 39]; it was estimated that $U_1/U_0 = 3/5$ and, according to Fig. 2 (a), we find the same pairing instabilities as for Sn/Si(111). Given the experimental efforts to dope Pb/Si(111), this might be another promising candidate to search for spin-triplet pairing.

**Conclusion.**—We have shown theoretically that the recently discovered superconductivity in Sn/Si(111) could realize the elusive case of spin-triplet pairing. In contrast to most scenarios on hexagonal lattices where chiral $d$-wave dominates, we show that the bandstructure of Sn/Si(111) leads to a competition between $d$-wave and spin-triplet pairings. We further argue that non-local Coulomb interactions are non-negligible in Sn/Si(111); including them suppresses the chiral $d$-wave state. For moderate hole-dopings we find $f$-wave pairing; most interestingly, hole dopings larger than 8.5%, as achieved in recent experiments, stabilize the archetypal topologically non-trivial $p+ip$-wave state. Our weak-coupling analysis is backed up by intermediate-coupling FRG simulations which further substantiate our results. Given the simple chemical and electronic structure of Sn/Si(111) and other adatom lattices on semiconductor substrates, they might provide the cleanest platform for studying (topological) superconductivity emerging from a doped Mott insulator in the future.
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I. WEAK COUPLING RENORMALIZATION GROUP

Here, only a short overview of the weak coupling renormalization group (WCRG) method will be given, a detailed description can be found in various works [1–5]. An important aspect of this method is that it becomes asymptotically exact in the limit of infinitesimal coupling, \( U \to 0 \).

For the WCRG, we consider all terms in the perturbative expansion of the effective two-particle-vertex up to second order in the onsite interaction, \( U_0 \). The RG flow equation is given by [1, 5, 6]

\[
\frac{\partial g(k_2, k_1)}{\partial \ln(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon)} = - \int_{FS} dk_3 g(k_2, k_3)g(k_3, k_1),
\]

where \( g \) denotes the effective two-particle-vertex, and \( k_1 \) (\( k_2 \)) the momenta of the pair electrons with zero total momentum before (after) the scattering process via the interaction \( \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_0 \). The integral on right hand side runs over the Fermi surface. \( \varepsilon_0 \) denotes the initial infrared cutoff and \( \varepsilon \) a lowered cutoff. Note that the final result is still independent on the initial (arbitrary) cutoff parameter \( \varepsilon_0 \) [6].

In the weak coupling limit we can bypass the procedure of the RG flow, i.e., lowering the cutoff \( \varepsilon \) in consecutive steps, and obtain the final \( g \) directly. All terms which contribute in this manner for the given system are the diagrams shown in Fig. S1, where we used the spinless variant. Assuming the nearest neighbor interaction to be quadratic in the onsite interaction, i.e.,

\[
U_1 = \frac{U_0^2}{W},
\]

where \( W \) denotes the bandwidth, the diagrams 1, 2a, 2b, and 2c are explicitly given by

\[
g^{(1)}(k_2, k_1) = U_0 + U_1 \varepsilon_1(k_2 - k_1) = U_0 + \alpha U_0^2 \varepsilon_1(k_2 - k_1),
\]

\[
g^{(2a)}(k_2, k_1) = \frac{U_0^2}{W} \int_{FS} dk \frac{1 - 2f(E(k))}{-2E(k)},
\]

\[
g^{(2b)}(k_2, k_1) = \frac{U_0^2}{W} \int_{FS} dk_3 X_{ph}(k_3, k_1 + k_2 + k_3),
\]

\[
g^{(2c)}(k_2, k_1) = \frac{U_0^2}{W} \int_{FS} dk_3 X_{ph}(k_3, k_1 - k_2 + k_3),
\]

with \( \tau(k) \) a \( k \)-dependent scaling factor,

\[
\int_{FS} dk \tau^2(k) = \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(E),
\]

the nearest-neighbor kinetic energy \( \varepsilon_1(k) = \partial \varepsilon(k)/\partial t_1 \), and the integrand of the particle-hole susceptibility \( X_{ph} \),

\[
X_{ph}(k_1, k_2) = \frac{f(E(k_1)) - f(E(k_2))}{E(k_1) - E(k_2)}.
\]

with \( f(E) \) is the the Fermi distribution function. Note that the first order in \( U_0 \) just suppresses the \( s \)-wave solution.

The leading superconducting instability is given by the most negative eigenvalue, \( \lambda_{\text{min}} \), of \( g \). Then, the effective interaction reads \( V_{\text{eff}} = \lambda_{\text{min}} / \rho \), where \( \rho \) is the total density of states at the Fermi level, and the relation to the critical temperature is given by

\[
T_c \sim e^{1/(\rho V_{\text{eff}})} = e^{1/\lambda_{\text{min}}}
\]

The corresponding eigenfunction, \( \psi_{\text{min}} \), yields the form factor of the order parameter, which can be classified by the irreducible representations of the symmetry group of the crystal lattice.

Since the three spin orientations of the triplet states are degenerate, it is enough to only calculate \( g^{(2b)} \) (yielding the results for spin-singlets and spin-triplets with total spin \( S = 0 \)), since the contribution of \( g^{(2c)} \) (yielding the results for spin-triplets with total spin \( S = \pm 1 \)) is already captured.

The strength of the second neighbor interaction, \( U_1 \), is adjusted by the parameter \( \alpha \), such that \( U_1/U_0 = \alpha U_0/W \).

FIG. S1. Non-vanishing spinless particle-hole diagrams of the weak coupling expansion of the effective two-particle-vertex up to second order in the onsite interaction \( U_0 \). Solid lines represent free particle propagators and dashed lines interactions \( U_0 \).
A. WCRG phasediagram for $U_1/U_0 = 1/2$

In the main paper, it is shown that finite $U_1$ leads to a suppression of the chiral $d$-wave singlet pairing state. Instead, triplet pairing (either chiral $p$-wave or $f$-wave) becomes the leading instability. In Fig. 2d the ratio $U_1/U_0 = 1/3$ is shown; further increase of $U_1$ does not change the results qualitatively. In Fig. S2 we present the leading instabilities for $U_1/U_0 = 1/2$ which are almost the same as in Fig. 2d.

**FIG. S2.** Effective coupling for $U_1/U_0 = 1/2$ (as relevant for SnSi(111)): chiral $d$-wave pairing is suppressed, leading to chiral $p$-wave (below van Hove) and $f$-wave superconductivity (above van Hove). The color code is the same as in Fig.2 in the main paper.

II. FUNCTIONAL RENORMALIZATION GROUP

Similar to section I, we will only review the basic concepts of the functional renormalization group (FRG) method here, since all technical details have been described in various places in the literature, for example [7–10] and references therein. The starting point for FRG (as for the WCRG) is an itinerant electron model at large energies, as one might obtain it from first-principles calculations. The idea of both approaches is to connect this theory to a low-energy effective model that only takes into account modes close to the Fermi level and absorb the effect of higher energy modes into the renormalized effective interaction. In contrast to the WCRG approach, this renormalization group flow is evaluated numerically instead of analytically. This is implemented by connecting the effective interaction at a lower energy scale $\Lambda$ to the effective interaction at a higher energy scale by a set of integro-differential equations, whose analytical form can be calculated exactly. However, it turns out that these flow equations do not form a closed set of equations since each equation connects the flow of $n$-particle vertex functions with the value of $n+1$-particle vertices at that scale. Since we are interested in the two-particle vertex function, we make use of the common truncation scheme for this problem, i.e., we neglect the effect of three-particle and higher order vertex functions as well as self energy corrections in the flow of the two-particle vertex. This truncation was justified in numerous other places [7, 9] and critically shown to capture the relevant interaction between particle-hole (e.g. antiferromagnetic) fluctuations and the particle-particle channel (where antiferromagnetic fluctuations may induce $d$-wave superconductivity). By using a multi-index notation $\kappa_i = (k_i, \sigma_i, \omega_i)$ the flow equation for the two-particle vertex function can be written as

$$
\frac{d}{d\Lambda} \Gamma^\Lambda_{\kappa_2,\kappa_3;\kappa_0,\kappa_1} = \sum_{\{c\}} C^\Lambda_{i_0,i_2} S^\Lambda_{i_1,i_3} \left( \Gamma^\Lambda_{\kappa_2,\kappa_3;\kappa_0,i_2} \Gamma^\Lambda_{i_1,i_3;\kappa_1} \right)
- \left[ \Gamma^\Lambda_{\kappa_2,\kappa_3;\kappa_0,i_0} \Gamma^\Lambda_{i_2,\kappa_3;i_1,\kappa_1} + (i_0 \leftrightarrow i_1, i_2 \leftrightarrow i_3) \right]
+ \left[ \Gamma^\Lambda_{\kappa_3,i_3;\kappa_0,i_0} \Gamma^\Lambda_{i_2,\kappa_3;i_1,\kappa_1} + (i_0 \leftrightarrow i_1, i_2 \leftrightarrow i_3) \right].$

Note that the three topologically distinct contributions can be further expanded into five diagrams by using the $SU(2)$ symmetry of the problem, i.e., explicitly performing the sum over different spin contributions of the bare $\{G^\Lambda_{i_0,i_2} = G^\Lambda_{i_0,i_2} = \delta_{\omega_0,\omega_2} = \omega_2 \delta_{\sigma_0,\sigma_2}\}$ and single-scale propagator $S^\Lambda_{i_1,i_2} = S^\Lambda_{i_1,i_2} = \delta_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$. The result can be represented by the diagrams shown in Fig. S1, albeit one needs to convolve one of the propagator lines to a single scale propagator in each diagram and take care of the additional graphs produced by this asymmetry. Finally we reduce the numerical effort needed to solving this problem by only keeping track of vertex arguments directly on the Fermi surface and using a projection scheme to resolve the created ambiguities. We implement the flow parameter $\Lambda$ in the temperature-flow scheme. This allows for an unbiased treatment of particle-particle and particle-hole instabilities as well as providing an intuitive picture of understanding the flow as cooling down the system.

We solve the resulting integro-differential equation using the Euler method. The initial condition to the problem is given by enforcing the bare action to equal the renormalized one at an initial cutoff $\Lambda_{\text{init}} = 10t_1 > U_0$. A nice way to analyse the physical implications of the changing two-particle vertex during the flow is to analyse its mean-field components at each point during the flow and plot the effective interaction strength of the leading instabilities as a function of $\Lambda$.

Due to the perturbative nature of the approximations made by the truncation of the flow equation, the couplings in the bare vertex will in general grow upon lowering of the cutoff, i.e., integrating out high energy modes. This eventually results in a breakdown of the truncation conditions and the flow has to be terminated. Here this termination condition is fixed to be $\max \{ \Gamma^\Lambda_{\kappa_2,\kappa_3;\kappa_0,\kappa_1} > U_c = 100t_1 \}$. Usually the analysis of the mean-field order parameter decomposition of the final vertex identifies a clear instability channel, in the present calculations always in the superconducting channel, and diagonalization analogous to the Cooper vertex analysis for the WCRG method allows us to identify the symmetry of the principal superconducting instability. This procedure is performed completely analogous to the one described
in Ref. [9] and references therein. The critical equation to solve determines not only the irreducible point group representation under which the order parameter $\Delta(k)$ transforms but also its complete momentum dependence along the Fermi surface.
