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Abstract

In our previous work, we investigated the relation between zeta functions and discrete-time models including random and quantum walks. In this paper, we introduce a zeta function for the continuous-time model (CTM) and consider CTMs including the corresponding random and quantum walks on the d-dimensional torus.
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1 Introduction

Our previous three papers [3, 4, 5] are devoted to the relation between zeta functions and discrete-time models (DTMs): Grover walk in [3], random walk (RW) and quantum walk (QW) in [4], interacting particle system (IPS) with probabilistic or quantum interactions in [5]. As for QW, see [7, 9, 11, 13], as for RW, see [8, 10, 12], and as for IPS, see [2], for examples. The corresponding works are called “Grover/Zeta, Walk/Zeta, and IPS/Zeta Correspondence”, respectively.

On the other hand, the present paper deals with the relation between zeta functions and continuous-time models (CTMs), in particular, continuous-time RW (CTRW) and continuous-time QW (CTQW). Concerning CTRW and CTQW, see [6, 10]. We call this relation “CTM/Zeta Correspondence” here.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the definition of the CTM. In Section 3, we introduce a zeta function for the CTM and present our main result. Section 4 deals with CTMs on the d-dimensional torus. In Section 5, we discuss the relation between our results and related topics. Finally, Section 6 summarizes our results.

2 CTM

First we introduce the following notation: $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integers, $\mathbb{Z}_\geq = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_\geq = [0, \infty)$, and $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers.

Let $P^{(D,c)}$ denote an $n \times n$ transposed stochastic matrix (also called transition matrix) for a discrete-time Markov chain, where superscript $D$ stands for “Discrete” and $c$ for “classical”. Then we define the evolution matrix at time $t$ for the corresponding continuous-time classical model by

$$P_t^{(C,c)} = \exp \left\{ t \left( P^{(D,c)} - I_n \right) \right\} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_\geq),$$

where superscript $C$ stands for “Continuous” and $I_n$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. As a special case, this model contains CTRW. Moreover, if we consider the location of CTRW as a configuration, then we can treat IPS with a probabilistic interaction.

On the other hand, when $P^{(D,c)}$ is symmetric, the evolution matrix at time $t$ for the corresponding continuous-time quantum model is given by

$$P_t^{(C,q)} = \exp \left\{ it \left( P^{(D,c)} - I_n \right) \right\} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_\geq),$$

where superscript $q$ stands for “quantum” and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Remark that $P_t^{(C,q)}$ is unitary for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_\geq$. This model contains CTQW as a special case. If we consider the location of CTQW as a configuration, then we can treat IPS with a quantum interaction.
The present paper introduces the following evolution matrix at time $t$ for the CTM (including the above mentioned two models for extreme cases) with a parameter $\xi$ as

$$P_t^{(C,\xi)} = \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot t \left( P^{(D,c)} - I_n \right)} \right\} \quad (\xi \in [0, \pi/2], \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

In fact, if $\xi = 0$, then Eq. \(3\) becomes Eq. \(1\) (classical case), if $\xi = \pi/2$, then Eq. \(3\) becomes Eq. \(2\) (quantum case).

### 3 Zeta Function

Let $G_n$ denote a simple connected graph with $n$ vertices. Following our previous papers \[3, 4, 5\], we introduce a zeta function for CTM on $G_n$ as

$$\zeta_t^{(C,\xi)}(G_n, u) = \left\{ \det \left( I_n - u P_t^{(C,\xi)} \right) \right\}^{-1/n} \quad (\xi \in [0, \pi/2], \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Furthermore, we define $C_{r,n,t}^{(C,\xi)} \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\zeta_t^{(C,\xi)}(G_n, u) = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{r,n,t}^{(C,\xi)}}{r} u^r \right) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Let Spec($A$) denote the set of eigenvalues of a square matrix $A$. We put

$$\text{Spec} \left( P^{(D,c)} \right) = \{ \lambda_j \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 1**

$$\zeta_t^{(C,\xi)}(G_n, u)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - u \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot t \left( \lambda_j - 1 \right)} \right\} \right) \right] ,$$

$$C_{r,n,t}^{(C,\xi)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot r \left( \lambda_j - 1 \right)} \right\} .$$

**Proof.** Combining Eqs. \(3\) and \(4\) with Eq. \(6\) implies

$$\zeta_t^{(C,\xi)}(G_n, u)^{-1} = \left\{ \det \left( I_n - u P_t^{(C,\xi)} \right) \right\}^{1/n}$$

$$= \left\{ \det \left( I_n - u \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot t \left( P^{(D,c)} - I_n \right)} \right\} \right) \right\}^{1/n}$$

$$= \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - u \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot t \left( \lambda_j - 1 \right)} \right\} \right)^{1/n} \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{n} \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - u \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot t \left( \lambda_j - 1 \right)} \right\} \right) \right) \right]$$

$$= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - u \exp \left\{ e^{i \xi \cdot t \left( \lambda_j - 1 \right)} \right\} \right) \right] .$$
So we have the first part. As for the second part, from Eqs. (4) and (5), we get
\[
\left\{ \det \left( I_n - uP_t^{(C,\xi)} \right) \right\}^{-1/n} = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{r,n,t}^{(C,\xi)}}{r} u^r \right).
\]
Thus
\[
-\frac{1}{n} \log \left\{ \det \left( I_n - uP_t^{(C,\xi)} \right) \right\} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{r,n,t}^{(C,\xi)}}{r} u^r. \tag{7}
\]
In a similar way, it follows from Eq. (6) that the left-hand side of Eq. (7) becomes
\[
-\frac{1}{n} \log \left\{ \det \left( I_n - uP_t^{(C,\xi)} \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - u \exp \{ e^{i\xi} \cdot t (\lambda_j - 1) \} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( \exp \{ e^{i\xi} \cdot t (\lambda_j - 1) \} \right)^r u^r.
\]
By this and the right-hand side of Eq. (7), we have the desired conclusion:
\[
C_{r,n,t}^{(C,\xi)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp \{ e^{i\xi} \cdot r t (\lambda_j - 1) \}.
\]

4 CTM on Torus

Let \( T_d^d \) denote the \( d \)-dimensional torus where \( d, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Remark that \( T_N^d \) is expressed as \((\mathbb{Z} \mod N)^d\) and has \( N^d \) vertices.

Let
\[
e^{(n)}(w) = e^{(n)}(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) = w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_n
\]
for \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Moreover we put \( K_N = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\} \) and \( K_N = \{0, 2\pi/N, \ldots, 2\pi(N-1)/N\} \). For \( k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \in K_N^d \), we define
\[
\tilde{k}_j = \frac{2\pi k_j}{N}, \quad \tilde{k} = (\tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2, \ldots, \tilde{k}_d) \in K_N^d.
\]
In this setting, we introduce
\[
e^{(n,\text{cos})}(\tilde{k}) = e^{(n)}(\cos \tilde{k}_1, \cos \tilde{k}_2, \ldots, \cos \tilde{k}_n),
\]
where \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Similarly, for \( \Theta^{(n)} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n) \in [0, 2\pi)^n \),
\[
e^{(n,\text{cos})}(\Theta^{(n)}) = e^{(n)}(\cos \theta_1, \cos \theta_2, \ldots, \cos \theta_n),
\]
where \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

From now one, we consider CTMs on \( T_N^d \) including CTRW and CTQW as special cases. From definition of the (simple symmetric) DTRW on \( T_N^d \) (see [10] [12]), we easily see that
\[
\text{Spec} \left( \hat{P}^{(D,c)} \right) = \left\{ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k_j}{N} \right) \mid k_1, \ldots, k_d \in K_N \right\}
\]
\[
= \left\{ \frac{1}{d} e^{(d,\text{cos})}(\tilde{k}) \mid k_1, \ldots, k_d \in K_N \right\}. \tag{8}
\]
Here the DTRW on $T_d^N$ jumps to each of its nearest neighbours with equal probability $1/2d$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\text{unif}}^{(d)}$ denote the uniform measure on $[0, 2\pi]^d$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\text{unif}}^{(d)} = \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \cdots \frac{d\theta_d}{2\pi}.
$$

Applying Eq. (8) to Theorem 1 gives

**Corollary 1**

$$
\zeta_t^{(C, \xi)} (T_d^N, u)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{N-1} \log \left\{ F^{(C, \xi)} \left( \mathbf{k}, u \right) \right\} \right],
$$

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \zeta_t^{(C, \xi)} (T_d^N, u)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \int_{[0, 2\pi]^d} \log \left\{ F^{(C, \xi)} \left( \Theta^{(d)}, u \right) \right\} d\mathcal{S}_{\text{unif}}^{(d)} \right],
$$

where

$$
F^{(C, \xi)} (w, u) = 1 - u \times \exp \left\{ e^{i\xi \cdot r} \left( \frac{1}{d} e^{(d, \cos)}(w) - 1 \right) \right\}.
$$

We should note that when we take a limit as $N \to \infty$, we assume that the limit exists throughout this paper.

Let $I_\alpha(x)$ be the modified Bessel function with the first kind of order $\alpha$ as

$$
I_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x/2)^{2k+\alpha}}{k! \Gamma(\alpha + k + 1)},
$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function (see Andrews et al. [1], Watson [14]). In a similar fashion, applying Eq. (8) to Theorem 1 yields

**Corollary 2**

$$
C_{r, N^d, t}^{(C, \xi)} = \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{N-1} G^{(C, \xi)} (\mathbf{k}),
$$

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} C_{r, N^d, t}^{(C, \xi)} = \int_{[0, 2\pi]^d} G^{(C, \xi)} (\Theta^{(d)}) d\mathcal{S}_{\text{unif}}^{(d)}
$$

$$
= \exp \left( -e^{i\xi \cdot r t} \cdot \left\{ I_0 \left( \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot r t}}{d} \right) \right\} \right)^d,
$$

where

$$
G^{(C, \xi)} (w) = \exp \left\{ e^{i\xi \cdot r t} \left( \frac{1}{d} e^{(d, \cos)}(w) - 1 \right) \right\}.
$$

In the final part of this section, following the discrete-time walk case in our previous paper [4], we consider DTM on a graph $G_n$ with $n$ vertices. Then the zeta function for DTM is given by

$$
\zeta^{(D, c)} (G_n, u) = \left\{ \det \left( I_n - uP^{(D, c)} \right) \right\}^{-1/n}.
$$
Recall that the zeta function for CTM is
\[ \zeta_t^{(C,\xi)}(G_n, u) = \left\{ \det \left( I_n - uP_t^{(C,\xi)} \right) \right\}^{-1/n} (\xi \in [0, \pi/2], t \in \mathbb{R}_+), \]
where \( P_t^{(C,\xi)} = \exp \left\{ e^{i\xi \cdot t} \left( P^{(D,c)} - I_n \right) \right\}. \)

Moreover, we define \( C_{r,n}^{(D,c)}(\in \mathbb{C}) \) by
\[ \zeta^{(D,c)}(G_n, u) = \exp \left( \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{r,n}^{(D,c)}}{r} u^r \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

As in the proof of Theorem 1, from Eqs (6), (10), and (11), we have the following result.

**Proposition 1**
\[ \zeta^{(D,c)}(G_n, u)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - u\lambda_j \right) \right], \]
\[ C_{r,n}^{(D,c)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda_j)^r. \]

Furthermore, we consider DTRW on \( d \)-dimensional torus \( T_d^N \). In [4], we treated DTRW only on one-dimensional torus \( T_1^N \). So in order to clarify the difference between CTRW and DTRW on \( T_d^N \), we will deal with general \( d \)-dimensional case. Applying Eq. (8) to Propostion 1 implies

**Corollary 3**
\[ \zeta^{(D,c)}(T_d^N, u)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{N-1} \log \left\{ F^{(D,c)}(\overline{k}, u) \right\} \right], \]
\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} \zeta^{(D,c)}(T_d^N, u)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} \log \left\{ F^{(D,c)}(\Theta^{(d)}(u)) \right\} d\Theta^{(d)}_{\text{unif}} \right], \]
where
\[ F^{(D,c)}(w, u) = 1 - u \times \frac{1}{d} e^{(d,\cos)}(w). \]

Moreover, we have
\[ C_{r,N^d}^{(D,c)} = \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{N-1} G^{(D,c)}(\overline{k}), \]
\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} C_{r,N^d}^{(D,c)} = \int_{[0,2\pi]^d} G^{(D,c)}(\Theta^{(d)}) d\Theta^{(d)}_{\text{unif}}, \]
where
\[ G^{(D,c)}(w) = \left( \frac{1}{d} e^{(d,\cos)}(w) \right)^r. \]
Remark that \( \lim_{N \to \infty} C_{r,N}^{(D,c)} \) is nothing but the return probability for DTRW at time \( r \). In fact, if \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \), then

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} C_{r,N}^{(D,c)} = \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos \theta)^r \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{r}{r/2} \right)^r \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } r \text{ is even}, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}
\]

However, if \( d = 3, 4, \ldots \), then such a simple form is not known (see [10, 12]).

5 Discussion

In this section, we discuss the relation between our results and related topics. For the sake of simplicity, we focus on the one-dimensional case \( \mathbb{Z} \). First we define the discrete Laplacian \( \Delta \) by

\[
\Delta F(x) = F(x-1) + F(x+1) - 2F(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

By using this, we consider the following diffusion equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \phi(t,x)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi(t,x) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

Moreover we introduce the fundamental solution:

\[
g_t(x) = e^{-t} I_x(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}),
\]

where \( I_\alpha(x) \) is the modified Bessel function with the first kind of order \( \alpha \). For an initial condition \( \phi(0,x) = f(x) \), where \( f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a suitable function, a solution of the diffusion equation (12) is given by

\[
\phi(t,x) = E \left[ f \left( x + S_t \right) \right] = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+y) P(S_t = y) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+y) e^{-t} I_y(t) = (g_t * f)(x),
\]

where \( S_t \) is CTRW starting from the origin on \( \mathbb{Z} \) and \( * \) means the convolution. We should note that

\[
P(S_t = x) = g_t(x) = e^{-t} I_x(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

Next we consider the following Schrödinger equation:

\[
i \frac{\partial \Psi(t,x)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \Psi(t,x) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

This is rewritten as

\[
\frac{\partial \Psi(t,x)}{\partial t} = i \times \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Psi(t,x) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}).
\]

As in the case of the above mentioned diffusion equation (12), for an initial condition \( \phi(0,x) = f(x) \), where \( f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) is a suitable function, a solution of the Schrödinger equation (13) is given by

\[
\Psi(t,x) = (g_t * f)(x).
\]
Remark that
\[ g_{it}(x) = e^{-it} I_x(it) = e^{-it} i^x J_x(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}), \]
where \( J_\alpha(x) \) denotes the Bessel function with the first kind of order \( \alpha \) as
\[ J_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (x/2)^{2k+\alpha}}{k! \Gamma(\alpha + k + 1)}. \]

Let \( X_t \) be CTQW starting from the origin on \( \mathbb{Z} \). Like CTRW on \( \mathbb{Z} \), we see that
\[ P(X_t = x) = |g_{it}(x)|^2 = |I_x(it)|^2 = J_x(t)^2 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}). \]

As for CTRW and CTQW, see [6, 10], for example. In this setting, our CTM model is related to the following partial differential equation:
\[ \frac{\partial \psi^{(\xi)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = e^{i\xi \cdot t} \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi^{(\xi)}(t, x) \quad (\xi \in [0, \pi/2], t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (14) \]
If \( \xi = 0 \), then Eq. (14) becomes Eq. (12) (diffusion equation) related to CTRW on \( \mathbb{Z} \), if \( \xi = \pi/2 \), then Eq. (14) becomes Eq. (13) (Schrödinger equation) related to CTQW on \( \mathbb{Z} \). As in the cases of the above mentioned diffusion equation (12) and Schrödinger equation (13), for an initial condition \( \psi^{(\xi)}(0, x) = f(x) \), where \( f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) is a suitable function, a solution of Eq. (13) is given by
\[ \psi^{(\xi)}(t, x) = (g_{e^{i\xi \cdot t}} * f)(x). \]

Here
\[ g_{e^{i\xi \cdot t}}(x) = e^{-e^{i\xi \cdot t}} I_x(e^{i\xi} \cdot t) \quad (\xi \in [0, \pi/2], t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (15) \]

We should note that Eq. (15) with \( x = 0 \) (the origin) is equal to \( \lim_{N \to \infty} C_{r,N^d,t}^{(C,\xi)} \) in Eq. (9) with \( r = 1 \) and \( d = 1 \).

## 6 Summary

In our previous works [3 4 5], we studied the relation between zeta functions and DTMs including Grover walk, DTRW, DTQW, and IPS, as special cases. The corresponding works are called “Grover/Zeta, Walk/Zeta, and IPS/Zeta Correspondence”, respectively. On the other hand, this paper introduced a zeta function for CTM and investigated the relation between the zeta function and CTMs including CTRW and CTQW as extreme cases. We called this relation “CTM/Zeta Correspondence”. In particular, we treated CTMs on the \( d \)-dimensional torus, so one of the interesting future problems might be to extend the torus to a suitable class of graphs.
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