A PROOF OF THE CHAN-YOUNG-ZHANG CONJECTURE

RUIPENG ZHU

Abstract. We prove the Chan-Young-Zhang conjecture on graded isolated singularities.

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a base field that is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. Fix an integer $n \geq 2$. Let $A = \mathbb{k}_{-1}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ be the $(-1)$-skew polynomial ring, which is generated by $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ and subject to the relations

$$x_i x_j = (-1) x_j x_i$$

for all $i \neq j$. Let $G := C_n$ be the cyclic group of order $n$ acting on $A$ by permuting the generators of the algebra cyclically; namely, $C_n$ is generated by $\sigma = (0 1 2 \cdots n - 1)$ of order $n$ that acts on the generators by

$$\sigma x_i = x_{i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$ 

The pertinency of a $G$-action on $A$ [1, Definition 0.1] is defined to be

$$p(A, G) := \text{GKdim}(A) - \text{GKdim}(A^G/(e_0)),$$

where $(e_0)$ is the ideal of the skew group algebra $A^G$ generated by $e_0 := 1^{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g$.

To study noncommutative projective geometry, Ueyama gave the definition of a graded isolated singularity [8, Definition 2.2]. By [7, Theorem 3.10], $A^G$ is a graded isolated singularity if and only if $p(A, G) = \text{GKdim}(A)$. See [3, 2, 6, 5] for more examples of graded isolated singularities.

In [4], Chan, Young and Zhang proved the following theorem on graded isolated singularities.

**Theorem 0.1.** [4, Theorem 0.4] If either 3 or 5 divides $n$, then $p(A, G) < \text{GKdim} A = n$. Consequently, $A^G$ is not a graded isolated singularity.

Based on the above theorem and [4, Theorem 0.2], they made the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 0.2.** [4, Conjecture 0.5] Then $A^G$ is a graded isolated singularity if and only if $n$ is not divisible by 3 or 5.

Combining with the Theorem 0.1, to prove the conjecture 0.2, we only need to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.3.** If $n$ is not divisible by 3 or 5, then $p(A, G) = \text{GKdim} A = n$. As a consequence, $A^G$ is a graded isolated singularity.

1. Preliminaries

Before give the proof of Theorem 0.3, let’s recall some notations and results in [4].

Let $\omega$ be a primitive $n$th root of unity. For any $\gamma = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, define the following elements of $A \subseteq A^G$:

$$b_\gamma := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^{i\gamma} x_i,$$
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which is an $\omega^{-\gamma}$-eigenvector of $\sigma$. We also define the idempotent elements

$$e_{\alpha} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\omega^{\alpha} \sigma)^i$$

in $\mathbb{k} C_n \subseteq A \# C_n$.

Note that $A \# C_n$ is a graded ring. The graded commutator is denoted by $[-,-]$, for any homogeneous elements $u, v \in A \# C_n$ by $[u, v] = uv - (-1)^{\deg(u) \deg(v)} vu$.

**Lemma 1.1.** [4, Lemma 1.1] Suppose that $\deg(b_i) = 1$ and $\deg(e_i) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. The graded algebras $A$ and $A \# C_n$ can be presented as

$$A \cong \frac{\mathbb{k} \langle b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \rangle}{(b_0, b_k - [b_1, b_{k-1}])}, \quad A \# C_n \cong \frac{\mathbb{k} \langle b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}, e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1} \rangle}{(e_\alpha b_\gamma - b_\gamma e_\alpha - \varepsilon_\alpha e_j - \delta_{ij} e_i, [b_0, b_k] - [b_1, b_{k-1}])},$$

respectively.

For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, define the element

$$c_j := [b_k, b_{j-k}] = b_k b_{j-k} + b_{j-k} b_k = \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ij} x_i^2,$$

which is an $\omega^{-j}$-eigenvector of $\sigma$.

For a vector $i = (i_0, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we will use the following notations:

$$b^i = b_0^{i_0} \cdots b_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}, \quad c^i = c_0^{i_0} \cdots c_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}.$$

Let $R_\gamma$ be the subspace of $A$ spanned by the basis consisting of the elements $b^i c^j$ such that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (i_s + j_s) s = \gamma \mod n,$$

that is, $R_\gamma$ consists of $\omega^{-\gamma}$-eigenvector of $\sigma$. This gives an $R_0$-module decomposition

$$A = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n-1}.$$

**Definition 1.2.**

1. $\Phi_n := \{ k \mid c_k^{N_k} \in (e_0) \text{ for some } N_k \geq 0 \}$.
2. $\phi_2(n) := \{ k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \gcd(k, n) = 2^w \text{ for some } w \geq 0 \}$.
3. Define $\Psi^{[n]}_j := \{ i \mid c_i^N \in R_j A \text{ for some } N \geq 0 \}$.
4. [4, Definition 5.2 and Lemma 5.3(1)] We say $n$ is admissible if, for any $i$ and $j$, $i \in \Psi^{[n]}_j$, or equivalently, $\GKdim(A \# G/(e_0)) = 0$.
5. Write $\overline{A} := A/(c_k \mid k \in \Phi_n)$, and define $\overline{\Psi^{[n]}_j} := \{ i \mid c_i^N \in R_j \overline{A} \text{ for some } N \geq 0 \}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^*_n$ be the set of invertible elements in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

**Lemma 1.3.**

1. [4, Definition 6.1] $\Phi_n$ is a special subset of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, that is, $k \in \Phi_n$ if and only if $\lambda k \in \Phi_n$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^*_n$.
2. [4, Proposition 2.3] $\phi_2(n) \subseteq \Phi_n$.

By the proof of [4, Proposition 6.6], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let $n \geq 2$ with $3, 5 \nmid n$. Suppose that $1, \ldots, n-1 \in \overline{\Psi^{[n]}_1}$. Then $0 \in \overline{\Psi^{[n]}_1}$.

**Proposition 1.5.** [4, Proposition 6.8] Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose that

1. every proper factor of $n$ is admissible and that
2. for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $i \in \overline{\Psi^{[n]}_1}$.

Then $n$ is admissible.
2. Proof of the Theorem 0.3

Proof of Theorem 0.3. By induction on $n$, we will assume that every proper factor of $n$ is admissible. By Proposition 1.5, we only need to prove that

for each $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $i \in \mathcal{W}^{[n]}_1$.

If it is not true, that is, there is $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$ such that $m \notin \mathcal{W}^{[n]}_1$, then we will assume that

(1) $m \neq 0$, by Proposition 1.4;
(2) $m \mid n$, since $\mathbb{Z}^*_n \subseteq \phi_2(n) \subseteq \Phi_n$ by Lemma 1.3 (2);
(3) $m > 5$, by assumption $3, 5 \nmid n$ and Lemma 1.3 (2).

Write $n = mq$ with $q > 1$.

Since, $c_m$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma$, then $C_n$ acts on the localization $A[c_m^{-1}]$, and $A[c_m^{-1}]#C_n/(e_0) \cong (A#C_n/(e_0))[c_m^{-1}]$. Let

$$
\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}\langle b_0, \ldots, b_{m-1} \rangle /
\{(b_0, b_k) - (b_j, b_{k-l}) \mid l, k \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}
$$

be a subalgebra of $A$, and $\mathcal{R}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ spanned by the basis consisting of the elements $b^ie_j$ where $\sum_{s=0}^{m-1}(i_s + j_s)s = \gamma \mod m$. For any $b^ie_j = b_0^{i_0} \cdots b_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}c_0^{j_0} \cdots c_{m-1}^{j_{m-1}} \in \mathcal{R}$ with

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{m-1}(i_s + j_s)s = mk + 1 \text{ for some } k \geq 0,
$$

then there exists $l > 0$ such that $(l-1)q \leq k < lq$. Hence $b^ie_j, e_j^{m-k} \in R_1A$, and $b^ie_j \in R_1A[c_m^{-1}]$.

It follows that

$$
\mathcal{R} \subseteq R_1A[c_m^{-1}].
$$

Write $\tilde{\omega} = \omega^q$. Note that $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{k}[\tilde{x}_0, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{m-1}]$ via $b_\gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \tilde{\omega}^i \tilde{x}_i$. Then the cyclic group $C_m$ of order $m$ acting on $\mathcal{A}$ by permuting the generators of the algebra cyclically; namely, $C_m$ is generated by $\tilde{\sigma} = (012 \cdots m - 1)$ of order $m$ that acts on the generators by

$$
\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_{i+1}, \ \forall \ i \in \mathbb{Z}_m.
$$

Then $\mathcal{R}$ consists of $\tilde{\omega}^{-i}$-eigenvector of $\tilde{\sigma}$. By assumption, $m$ is admissible, so for any $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$, there exists $N_i$ such that

$$
c_i^{N_i} \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq R_1A[c_m^{-1}].
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be the right ideal $R_1A[c_m^{-1}] + \sum_{\exists N_k, c_k^{N_k} \in R_1A[c_m^{-1}]} c_kA[c_m^{-1}]$ of $A[c_m^{-1}]$. Next we prove that

$$
\Gamma = A[c_m^{-1}]\text{.}
$$

The following proof is quite similar to the proof of [4, Proposition 6.6].

Claim 1. Let $0 \leq j < \frac{m-1}{2}$. If $c_m^sb_j \in \Gamma$ for some $s > 0$, then $c_m^{s+1}b_{j+1} \in \Gamma$.

Proof of Claim 1. First of all, $b_{j+1}b_{m-j} \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq R_1A[c_m^{-1}]$ since $(j+1) + (m-j) = 1 \mod m$.

Due to $c_m^sb_j \in \Gamma$, then

$$
\Gamma \ni [b_{j+1}b_{m-j}, c_m^sb_j] = c_m^sb_{j+1}b_{m-j}b_j - c_m^sb_jb_{j+1}b_{m-j} = c_m^sb_{j+1}b_{m-j} - c_m^sb_{j+1}b_{m-j} - c_m^sb_jb_{m-j} + c_m^sb_jb_{j+1}b_{m-j} = c_m^{s+1}b_{j+1} - c_m^sb_{j+1}b_{m-j}.
$$

Since there exists $N_{2j+1} > 0$ such that $c_{2j+1}^{N_{2j+1}} \in \mathcal{R}$ for $2j + 1 < m$, then $c_m^{s+1}b_{j+1} \in \Gamma$. $\Box$
Claim 2. Suppose that \( m = 2k + 1 \). If \( c_m^s b_k \in \Gamma \), then \( c_m^{s+2} b_{k+2} \in \Gamma \).

Proof of Claim 2. Note that \( b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} \in \widetilde{R}_1 \widetilde{A} \subseteq \Gamma \) since \( (k + 1) + (k + 2) + (m - 1) = 1 \) mod \( m \).

\[
\Gamma \ni [c_m^s b_k, b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1}]
\]
\[
= c_m^s b_k b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} b_k
\]
\[
= c_m^s b_k b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} b_k
\]
\[
= c_m^s b_k b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2} - c_m^s b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1}
\]
\[
= c_m^s b_k b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2} - c_m^s b_{k+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1}
\]

Since \( c_{m+1} c_{m-1} \in R_1 A \), then \( c_m c_{m-1} \in R_1 A[c_m^{-1}] \). Hence \( c_m^{s+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2} \in \Gamma \).

\[
\Gamma \ni [c_m^{s+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2}, b_1]
\]
\[
= c_m^{s+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} - c_m^{s+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} + c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2} - c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2}
\]
\[
= c_m^{s+1} b_{k+2} - c_m^{s+1} b_{k+2} b_{m-1} - c_m^s c_{3k} b_{k+1} b_{k+2} - c_m^s c_{3k} c_{k+2} b_{k+2} + c_m^s c_{3k} c_{k+2} b_{k+2}
\]

By assumption \( m > 5 \), so \( k > 2 \). Since \( k + 2 < k + 3 < 2k + 1 = m \), \( c_{k+2} c_{k+3} \in \Gamma \) by assumption. It follows that \( c_m^{s+2} b_{k+2} \in \Gamma \).

Claim 3. \( c_m^{m-1} \in \Gamma \).

Proof of Claim 3. Assume that \( m \) is even. Starting with \( b_1 \), we can apply Claim 1 \( \left( \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) \) times to get \( c_m^{m-1} b_2 \in \Gamma \). Hence \( c_m^{m-1} = [c_{m-1}^{m-1} b_2, c_{m-2}^{m-1} b_2] \in \Gamma \).

If \( m = 2k + 1 \) is odd, then we apply Claim 1 \( (k - 2) \) and \( (k - 1) \) times to get \( c_m^{k-2} b_{k-1}, c_m^{k-1} b_k \in \Gamma \). Next we apply Claim 2 to get \( c_m^{k+1} b_{k+2} \in \Gamma \). Finally we have that

\[
c_m^{2k} = [c_m^{k-2} b_{k-1}, c_m^{k+1} b_{k+2}] \in \Gamma \).
\]

By Claim 3, \( \Gamma = A[c_m^{-1}] \). Recall that \( \Gamma = R_1 A[c_m^{-1}] + \sum_{\exists N, c_m^N \in R_1 A[c_m^{-1}]} c_k A[c_m^{-1}] \). It is not difficult to see that \( A[c_m^{-1}] = R_1 A[c_m^{-1}] \). So there exists \( N \geq 0 \) such that \( c_m^N \in R_1 A \), which is a contradiction (since \( m \not\in \mathfrak{V}_1^{(n)} \)). This implies \( \mathfrak{V}_1^{(n)} = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\} \), that is, \( n \) is admissible. So \( \text{GKdim}(A \# C_n/(c_0)) = 0 \), and \( p(A, G) = n \).
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