# Global existence of strong solutions to the multi-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations

Baoquan Yuan\*and Xueli Ke School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Henan, 454000, China. (bqyuan@hpu.edu.cn, kexueli123@126.com)

### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the Cauchy problem of the multi-dimensional incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with inhomogeneous density and fractional dissipation. It is shown that when  $\alpha+\beta=1+\frac{n}{2}$  satisfying  $1\leq\beta\leq\alpha\leq\min\{\frac{3\beta}{2},\frac{n}{2},1+\frac{n}{4}\}$  and  $\frac{n}{4}<\alpha$  for  $n\geq 3$ , then the inhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations has a unique global strong solution for the initial data in Sobolev space which do not need a small condition.

**Key words:** inhomogeneous magnetohydrodynamic equations; fractional dissipation; global strong solution.

MSC(2000): 35Q35; 35B65; 76N10.

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in studying the following multi-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with fractional dissipation:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{t}\rho + div(\rho u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t > 0, \\
\partial_{t}(\rho u) + div(\rho u \otimes u) + (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + \nabla P = (b \cdot \nabla)b, \\
\partial_{t}b + (u \cdot \nabla)b + (-\Delta)^{\beta}b = (b \cdot \nabla)u, \\
\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0, \\
\rho(x, 0) = \rho_{0}(x), & u(x, 0) = u_{0}(x), & b(x, 0) = b_{0}(x),
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\rho = \rho(x,t)$  represents the density,  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$  the fluid velocity,  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  the magnetic field and P = P(x,t) the scalar pressure, respectively. Here  $\rho_0, u_0, b_0$  are the prescribed initial data for the density, the velocity and the magnetic field with property  $\nabla \cdot u_0 = \nabla \cdot b_0 = 0$ . The fractional Laplacian operator  $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$  is defined via the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{(-\Delta)^{\alpha}}f(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\alpha}\widehat{f}(\xi),$$

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: B. Yuan

where

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x) dx.$$

We write  $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for notational convenience. The MHD equations are a combination of the inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equation of fluid dynamics and Maxwell's equation of electromagnetism, where the displacement current can be neglected (see, e.g. [15, 17]). If it is not affected by the magnetic field, namely b=0, the inhomogeneous MHD equations will reduce into inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equation, which has been studied by many scholars, refer to [1, 2, 24, 26, 5, 4, 13, 19, 29] and references therein. If the density  $\rho$  is a positive constant, the system (1.1) reduces to the homogeneous MHD equations, which have been extensively studied. For instance, G. Duvaut and J.-L. Lions [9] constructed the Leray-Hopf type global weak solutions of MHD equations with the finite energy method. M. Sermange and R. Teman further discussed the properties of these solutions [25]. Later, the MHD equations have been extensively studied, please see [16, 27, 14, 23, 22] and references therein. In particular, C. Cao, J. Wu and B. Yuan [8] examined the global regularity of MHD equations with only fractional Laplace operators  $(-\Delta)^{\beta}$ ,  $\beta > 1$  and no dissipation in  $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ , s > 2.

For the inhomogeneous fluid, it has been also studied by many authors. It is known that J. Gerbeau and C. Le Bris [10] established the global existence of the weak solutions by the finite energy in 3D bound domains. Global existence of strong solutions with small initial data in Besov spaces was considered by Abidi-Paicu [3]. Moreover, under the natural compatibility condition

$$-\mu \Delta u_0 + \nabla P_0 - (b_0 \cdot \nabla) b_0 = \sqrt{\rho_0} g, (P_0, g) \in H^1 \times L^2,$$

Chen, Tan, and Wang obtained the unique local strong solutions and the global existence of strong solutions under data satisfy some smallness condition in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Lü, Xu and Zhong [20] proved the global existence and large time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the inhomogeneous MHD equations with vacuum. Relevant problems can also be referred to [12, 11, 32].

Recently, focuses have been on the magnetohydrodynamic equations with partial or fractional dissipation (see, e.g.,[7, 28, 8, 30, 31]) and references therein. For example, Dehua Wang and Zhuan Ye in [29] proved that if  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$  and  $n \geq 3$  then there exists a unique strong solution to the inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for large initial data. When  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$ , Ye [30] got global existence of strong solution to the multi-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations.

In this paper, inspired by [30], when  $\alpha + \beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}$  satisfying  $1 \le \beta \le \alpha \le \min\{\frac{3\beta}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, 1 + \frac{n}{4}\}$  and  $\frac{n}{4} < \alpha$  for  $n \ge 3$ , we obtain the global existence of strong solution to the multi-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations. Our main result is presented as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** Consider the magnetohydrodynamic equations 1.1 with  $\alpha + \beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}$  satisfying  $1 \le \beta \le \alpha \le \min\{\frac{3\beta}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, 1 + \frac{n}{4}\}$  and  $\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha$  for  $n \ge 3$ . Assume that the initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, b_0)$  satisfy the following conditions

$$0 < c_0 < \rho_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad \nabla \rho_0 \in L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha - n}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$\nabla \cdot u_0 = \nabla \cdot b_0 = 0, \qquad u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad b_0 \in H^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad \sqrt{\rho_0} u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $c_0$  is a given positive constant. Then the magnetohydrodynamic equations (1.1) have a

unique global strong solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  satisfying for any given  $0 < T < \infty$ 

$$\begin{cases} u \in C([0,T]; \dot{H}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \rho u \in C([0,T]; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), b \in C([0,T]; H^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \\ \rho \in C([0,T]; L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \frac{n}{2\alpha} \leq q < \infty, \\ c_{0} < \rho \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \nabla \rho \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \\ \sqrt{\rho}u, \Lambda^{\alpha}u, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \\ b, \Lambda^{\beta}b, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda^{\beta}b, \partial_{t}b \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \\ \Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{2\alpha}u, \sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u, \Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u, \nabla P \in L^{2}(0,T; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})), \\ \Lambda^{\beta}b, \Lambda^{2\beta}b, \partial_{t}b, \Lambda^{\beta}\partial_{t}b \in L^{2}(0,T; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})). \end{cases}$$

$$(1.2)$$

Moreover, the solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  admits the following decay rates for all t > 0

$$\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u(t)\|_{L^{2}} + \|\partial_{t}b(t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{0}t^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $C_0$  depends on  $\|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}}$ ,  $\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_{L^2}$ ,  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}$ ,  $\|b_0\|_{H^{\beta}}$ .

Remark 1.1. For the inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, Dehua Wang and Zhuan Ye in [29] proved that if  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$  and  $n \geq 3$  then there exists a unique global strong solution for large initial data. For the case  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$  Zhuan Ye in [30] obtained the unique global strong solution to the inhomogeneous MHD equations (1.1) for large initial data. The novelty of this paper is that we establish the unique global strong solution under the conditions  $\alpha + \beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq \min\{\frac{3\beta}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, 1 + \frac{n}{4}\}$  and  $\frac{n}{4} < \alpha$ , which is that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not too far but not necessarily equal. when  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$  we obtain the result of [30], therefor Theorem 1.1 generalizes the results of [30] to the case  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and we require the initial density is bounded below.

To prove the global well-posedness Theorem 1.1, we need the local well-posedness result of strong solutions which can be proved by a standard procedure of approximate scheme. For the proof of this proposition, readers can refer to [30].

**Proposition 1.1.** (local strong solution). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. For the initial data  $(\rho_0(x), u_0(x), b_0(x))$ , there exists a small time  $T^*$  dependent on  $\|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}}, \|\nabla\rho_0\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}}, \|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_{L^2}, \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}, \|b_0\|_{H^{\beta}}$  such that the MHD equations (1.1) have a unique strong solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  satisfying (1.2) on  $[0, T^*)$ .

The rest of this paper is organized in four sections. In Sect. 2, we introduce several important lemmas, which will be used in the paper. In Sect. 3, we give some a priori estimates for the local solutions. In Sect. 4, we are devoted to proving Theorem 1.1. Throughout this paper, we will use C denote a finite inessential constant which may be different from line to line, but do not depend on particular solutions or function.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some lemmas. The first one is the classical Grönwall inequality, which will be used frequently. The second lemma is used to prove the uniqueness of strong solutions.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let X(t), Y(t),  $\beta(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$  are non-negative functions, and  $\beta(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$  are two integrable functions over [a,b]. If the following differential inequality holds

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}X(t) + Y(t) \le \beta(t) + \gamma(t)X(t), \qquad a \le t \le b,$$

then

$$X(t) + \int_{a}^{t} Y(s) ds \le \left(X(a) + \int_{a}^{t} \beta(s) ds\right) e^{\int_{a}^{\eta} \gamma(s) ds}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** ([18]) Let X(t), Y(t), Z(t),  $\gamma(t)$  and  $\eta(t)$  are non-negative functions. Let X(t) and Y(t) are absolutely continuous on [0,T] and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}X(t) \leq AZ^{\frac{1}{2}}(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}Y(t) + Z(t) \leq \gamma(t)Y(t) + \eta(t)X^{2}(t), \\ X(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where A is a positive constant,  $\gamma(t)$  and  $\eta(t)$  are two integrable functions over [0,T]. Then, the following estimates hold

$$X(t) \le AY^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)t^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t (\gamma(s) + A^2s\eta(s))ds},$$

$$Y(t) + \int_0^t Z(s) ds \le Y(0) e^{\int_0^t (\gamma(s) + A^2 s \eta(s)) ds}.$$

In particular, if Y(0) = 0, then we get

$$X(t) = Y(t) = Z(t) = 0.$$

# 3 A priori estimates

In this section, we establish some necessary bounds for a strong solution. The following Lemma 3.1 shows the estimates of  $\|\rho(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\infty})}$  and  $\|(\sqrt{\rho}u,b)(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2})}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the corresponding solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  of the equations (1.1) admits the following bounds for any t > 0

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}},$$
 (3.1)

$$\|(\sqrt{\rho}u,b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2\int_{0}^{t} \|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \le \|(\sqrt{\rho_{0}}u_{0},b_{0})\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* We note that (3.1) follows from the property of transport equation  $(1.1)_1$  and using the divergence free condition  $(1.1)_4$ . To prove (3.2), multiplying the equation  $(1.1)_{2,3}$  by (u,b), adding the results together and integrating by parts, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|(\sqrt{\rho}u,b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = 0.$$
(3.3)

Integrating (3.3) over [0, t], we arrive at (3.2).

Next, we calculate the estimates in the  $L^{\infty}(0,T;\dot{H}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ -norm of the velocity field and the  $L^{\infty}(0,T;\dot{H}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ -norm of the magnetic field.

**Lemma 3.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the corresponding solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  of the equations (1.1) admits the following bounds for any t > 0

$$\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + \int_{0}^{t} \|(\Lambda^{2\alpha}u, \Lambda^{2\beta}b, \sqrt{\rho}\partial_{\tau}u, \partial_{\tau}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \le C_{0},$$
(3.4)

$$t\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + t\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + \int_{0}^{t} \tau\|(\Lambda^{2\alpha}u, \Lambda^{2\beta}b, \sqrt{\rho}\partial_{\tau}u, \partial_{\tau}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}d\tau \leq C_{0},$$
 (3.5)

where  $C_0$  depends only on  $\|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}}$ ,  $\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_{L^2}$ ,  $\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u_0\|_{L^2}$ ,  $\|b_0\|_{H^{\beta}}$ , and  $C_0(t)$  depends only on the initial data and time t.

*Proof.* First, multiplying  $(1.1)_2$  by  $\partial_t u$  and integrating by parts, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_{t}u \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_{t}u \mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.6}$$

Second, taking the  $L^2$  inner product of  $(1.1)_3$  with  $\partial_t b$  and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Lambda^{\beta}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_{t}b\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_{t}b\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, adding the equalities (3.6) and (3.7) together, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u,\partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{4}I_{i},$$
(3.8)

where

$$I_{1} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_{t} u dx, \qquad I_{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_{t} u dx,$$
$$I_{3} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_{t} b dx, \qquad I_{4} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_{t} b dx.$$

We use Hölder, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequalities and the a priori estimates (3.1), (3.2) to estimate each term on the right-hand side of (3.8) as follows:

$$|I_{1}| \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} (\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}})$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} (\|u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} (\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (1 + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}). \tag{3.9}$$

By a similar argument as the estimate (3.9), we have

$$|I_{3}| + |I_{4}| \leq C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} (\|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}})$$

$$\leq C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} (\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
(3.10)

For the term  $I_2$ , by a simple calculation to transform the derivative with respect to t from u(t) to b(t), and the similar argument yields

$$I_{2} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\partial_{t}b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla \partial_{t}b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\partial_{t}b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} b_{i}\partial_{i}\partial_{t}b_{j}u_{j}\mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\partial_{t}b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} b_{i}\partial_{t}b_{j}\partial_{i}u_{j}\mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x + C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} + C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x + C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} (\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Inserting estimates (3.9) - (3.11) into (3.8), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + M(t)) + \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u, \partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \le C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 1), \quad (3.12)$$

where, by integrating by parts, we use

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot u \mathrm{d}x = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot b \mathrm{d}x,$$

and we denote

$$M(t) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot b dx.$$

On the one hand, we can obtain by direct calculation

$$| M(t) | \leq ||b||_{L^{2}} ||b \cdot \nabla u||_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C ||b_{0}||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla u||_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} ||b||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}}$$

$$\leq C(||\Lambda^{\alpha} u||_{L^{2}}^{2} + ||\Lambda^{\beta} b||_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$
(3.13)

On the other hand, we also obtain

$$\begin{split} \mid M(t) \mid & \leq \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-\beta}}}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \\ & \leq C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}, \end{split}$$

which immediately gives

$$M(t) \ge -\frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \tilde{C} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}.$$
(3.14)

In order to close the above inequality, we need to deal with  $\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^2}$ . We apply the operator  $\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  on the both sides of the equation  $(1.1)_3$  and multiply the result equation by  $\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b$  to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(u\cdot\nabla b)\cdot\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(b\cdot\nabla u)\cdot\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.15}$$

The first term at the right hand side of (3.15) can be estimated as follows by the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b dx \leq C \|u \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} b\|_{L^{2}} 
\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} b\|_{L^{2}} 
\leq C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} 
\leq C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}} 
\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
(3.16)

The second term at the right hand side of (3.15) is similarly estimated as follows

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b \mathrm{d}x &\leq C \|b \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\beta}}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-\beta}}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-\beta}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{split} \tag{3.17}$$

Then, inserting inequalities (3.16)-(3.17) into (3.15), we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \le C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

By the a priori estimate (3.2) and the Grönwall Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}}b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \le C_{0}.$$
(3.18)

Moreover, we also have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} \le C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}. \tag{3.19}$$

Multiplying (3.19) by  $\tilde{C}+1$ , we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}((\tilde{C}+1)\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4}) \leq (\tilde{C}+1)\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}.$$
(3.20)

Summing up the estimates (3.12) and (3.20), we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}} + M(t) + (\tilde{C} + 1)\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4}) + \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u, \partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 1 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}). \tag{3.21}$$

Next, using the lower bound (3.14) and integrating (3.21) with respect to time t, we obtain

$$\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + \int_{0}^{t} \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u, \partial_{t}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}d\tau$$

$$\leq C_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 1 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{4})d\tau.$$

Using the Grönwall Lemma 2.1 and the a priori estimate (3.2), it yields

$$\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 1 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + \int_{0}^{t} \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u, \partial_{t}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \le C_{0}.$$
(3.22)

We can also obtain from estimate (3.21) by multiplying time t that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(t\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1+tM(t)+t(\tilde{C}+1)\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4})+t\|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u,\partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq \|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+M(t)+(\tilde{C}+1)\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}+Ct\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1+\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}) \\ &\leq C\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+(\tilde{C}+1)\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}+Ct\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1+\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{4}), \end{split}$$

where in the last line we have applied the estimate (3.13). Integrating the above inequality with respect to time t, we have

$$t\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + t + tM(t) + t(\tilde{C} + 1)\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + \int_{0}^{t} \tau\|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{\tau}u, \partial_{\tau}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}d\tau$$

$$\leq C_{0} + C\int_{0}^{t} \tau\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 1 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{4})d\tau,$$

where we used a Sobolev interpolation inequality,

$$\int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^4 d\tau \le \int_0^t \|b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\beta}b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \le C_0.$$

Applying of the lower bound (3.14), we can get

$$t\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+t+t\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4}+\int_{0}^{t}\tau\|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{\tau}u,\partial_{\tau}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}d\tau$$

$$\leq C_{0}+C\int_{0}^{t}\tau\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1+\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{4})d\tau.$$

The Grönwall Lemma 2.1 and the a priori estimate (3.2) allow us to deduce

$$t(\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u, \Lambda^{\beta}b)(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 1 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}b(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{4}) + \int_{0}^{t} \tau \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{\tau}u, \partial_{\tau}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \le C_{0}.$$
(3.23)

Let us recall the Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + \nabla P = (b \cdot \nabla)b - \rho \partial_t u - (\rho u \cdot \nabla)u, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (3.24)

It is easy to deduce from (3.24) that

$$\|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|b \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\rho\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C\|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq C\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}b\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \|b\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}} + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$$

$$\leq C\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} (\|b\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}) + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|u\|_{L^{2}}),$$

which implies

$$\int_{0}^{t} \tau \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \tau \|\Lambda^{\beta} b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) d\tau + C \int_{0}^{t} \tau \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau 
+ C \int_{0}^{t} \tau \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) d\tau 
\leq C_{0}t + \int_{0}^{t} \tau \|\Lambda^{\beta} b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau 
\leq C_{0}(t) + C_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}} b(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d\tau 
\leq C_{0}(t),$$

where we have used the a priori estimate (3.2) and the inequalities (3.22)- (3.23). Similarly, we can get from the equation  $(1.1)_3$  that

$$\begin{split} \|\Lambda^{2\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} &\leq \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} + \|b \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{2}} + \|u \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} + C\|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} + C\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \\ &\leq C\|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \end{split}$$

then we get

$$\int_0^t \tau \|\Lambda^{2\beta} b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \le C_0(t).$$

Clearly, we also have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|(\Lambda^{2\alpha}u, \Lambda^{2\beta}b)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{0}.$$

We thus complete the proof of Lemma 3.2.

The following Lemma 3.3 is used for the estimation of high order derivative estimates for (u,b) .

**Lemma 3.3.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the corresponding solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  of the equations (1.1) admits the following a priori bounds for any t > 0

$$t^{k} \| (\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u, \partial_{t} b)(t) \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{k} \| (\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{\tau} u, \Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{\tau} b)(\tau) \|_{L^{2}}^{2} \le C_{0}(t^{k}), k = 0, 1, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla P\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \le C_0, \tag{3.27}$$

where  $C_0$  depends only on  $\|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}}$ ,  $\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_{L^2}$ ,  $\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u_0\|_{L^2}$  and  $\|b_0\|_{H^{\beta}}$ .

*Proof.* Differentiating the equation  $(1.1)_2$  with respect to t gives

$$\rho \partial_{tt} u + \rho u \cdot \nabla \partial_{t} u + (-\Delta)^{\alpha} \partial_{t} u + \nabla \partial_{t} P = -\partial_{t} \rho \partial_{t} u - \partial_{t} (\rho u) \cdot \nabla u + \partial_{t} (b \cdot \nabla b). \tag{3.28}$$

Multiplying  $\partial_t u$  on the both sides of (3.28) and integrating by parts, we obtain after using the equation (1.1)<sub>1</sub> that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| \sqrt{\rho} \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \rho \cdot \partial_t u \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x 
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho \partial_t u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x 
= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho u \cdot \nabla \partial_t u \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho u \nabla (u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_t u) \mathrm{d}x 
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho \partial_t u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_t u \mathrm{d}x 
\triangleq \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i.$$
(3.29)

Next, we estimate the terms on the right hand side one by one. Using Hölder, Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Young's inequalities, we get

$$|J_{1}| \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}}$$

$$\leq C \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} (\|u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$

$$\begin{split} \mid J_{2} \mid \leq \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_{t} u \mathrm{d}x \mid + \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot u \cdot \nabla^{2} u \cdot \partial_{t} u \mathrm{d}x \mid + \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \partial_{t} u \mathrm{d}x \mid \\ & \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}}^{2} \|\partial_{t} u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha-1}}}^{2} \|\nabla^{2} u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{4+n-4\alpha}}} \\ & + C \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}}^{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|\nabla \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \\ & \leq C \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}} + C \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \\ & + C \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}} \\ & \leq C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|(u,\Lambda^{\alpha} u)\|_{L^{2}}^{4} \|(\Lambda^{\alpha} u,\Lambda^{\beta} b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|(u,b,\Lambda^{\alpha} u,\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}\beta} b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \end{split}$$

where we have applied the following estimate

$$\|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} \leq \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}}(\|b\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\frac{3\beta}{2}}b\|_{L^{2}}) + \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}(\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|u\|_{L^{2}}),$$
which is proved in the estimate (3.25).

The term  $J_3$  can be similarly estimated as follows

$$|J_{3}| \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \|\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq C \|\rho_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$

For the last term  $J_4$  we can get that by integration by parts and a similar argument

$$|J_{4}| = |\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \partial_{t}(b_{i}\partial_{i}b_{j})\partial_{t}u_{j}x |$$

$$= |-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \partial_{t}(b_{i}b_{j})\partial_{t}\partial_{i}u_{j}x |$$

$$\leq C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}} \|\partial_{t}\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}}$$

$$\leq C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\partial_{t}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

Inserting all the above estimates into the equality (3.29) it follows that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \|(u, b, \Lambda^{\alpha} u, \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}\beta} b)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t b\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Lambda^{\beta} b\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|(u, \Lambda^{\alpha} u)\|_{L^2}^4 \|(\Lambda^{\alpha} u, \Lambda^{\beta} b)\|_{L^2}^2.$$
(3.30)

Moreover, differentiating the equation  $(1.1)_3$  with respect to t shows

$$\partial_{tt}b + (u \cdot \nabla)\partial_t b + (-\Delta)^\beta \partial_t b = \partial_t (b \cdot \nabla u) - \partial_t u \cdot \nabla b.$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by  $\partial_t b$  and integrating the resulting equality by parts and using a similar argument as deducing the estimate (3.30) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\partial_t b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta \partial_t b\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_t b \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t u \cdot \nabla b \cdot \partial_t b \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t b \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_t b \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b \cdot \nabla \partial_t u \cdot \partial_t b \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t u \cdot \nabla b \cdot \partial_t b \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \|\partial_t b\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|\partial_t b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}} + \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\beta}}} \|\nabla \partial_t u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}} \|\partial_t b\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \|\partial_t b\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^\alpha \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^\beta \partial_t b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t b\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^\beta b\|_{L^2}^2). \end{split}$$

Summing up the above estimate with the estimate (3.30) and using  $c_0 ||u||_{L^2} \le ||\sqrt{\rho}u||_{L^2}$ , we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| (\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t u, \partial_t b) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| (\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_t u, \Lambda^{\beta} \partial_t b) \|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq C \| (\sqrt{\rho} \partial_t u, \partial_t b) \|_{L^2}^2 \| (\sqrt{\rho} u, b, \Lambda^{\alpha} u, \Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}\beta} b) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| (\sqrt{\rho} u, \Lambda^{\alpha} u) \|_{L^2}^4 \| (\Lambda^{\alpha} u, \Lambda^{\beta} b) \|_{L^2}^2.$$
(3.31)

Using the Grönwall Lemma 2.1 and the a priori estimates (3.2), (3.4), it yields

$$\|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_t u, \partial_t b)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|(\Lambda^\alpha \partial_\tau u, \Lambda^\beta \partial_\tau b)(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_0(t).$$

In addition, we can get from the estimate (3.31) by multiplying time t that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(t\|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u,\partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + t\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u,\Lambda^{\beta}\partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} 
\leq \|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u,\partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + Ct\|(\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u,\partial_{t}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|(\sqrt{\rho}u,b,\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} 
+ \|(\sqrt{\rho}u,\Lambda^{\alpha}u)\|_{L^{2}}^{4}t\|(\Lambda^{\alpha}u,\Lambda^{\beta}b)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 and the Grönwall Lemma 2.1, we have

$$t\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + t\|\partial_t b\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \tau\|\Lambda^\alpha \partial_\tau u\|_{L^2}^2 + \tau\|\Lambda^\beta \partial_\tau b\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \le C_0.$$

It follows from the Stokes equations (3.24) that

$$\|\nabla P\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|\rho\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|b \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^{2}}$$
  
$$\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{2}} + C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}(\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}} + \|u\|_{L^{2}}) + C\|\Lambda^{\beta}b\|_{L^{2}}(\|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}\beta}b\|_{L^{2}} + \|b\|_{L^{2}}).$$

We thus deduce by the a priori estimates (3.2), (3.4) and the inequality (3.19) that

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla P\|_{L^2}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \le C_0.$$

We thus conclude the proof of Lemma 3.3.

The following Lemma 3.4 plays a key role in proving the uniqueness of a solution.

**Lemma 3.4.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the corresponding solution  $(\rho, u, b)$  of the equations (1.1) admits the following bounds for any t > 0

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \le C_0(t), \tag{3.32}$$

$$\|\nabla \rho(t)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \le C_0(t), \tag{3.33}$$

where  $C_0(t)$  depends only on the initial data and the time t.

*Proof.* First, for any 2 , we have by the interpolation inequality of Lebesgue spaces

$$\|\rho \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{p}} \leq \|\rho \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta} \|\rho \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^{1-\theta}$$

$$\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\theta} \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta} \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1-\theta} \|\partial_{t} u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^{1-\theta}$$

$$\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\theta},$$
(3.34)

where  $\theta \triangleq 1 - \frac{n}{2\alpha} + \frac{n}{p\alpha} \in (0,1)$ . Next, by the Hölder and Sobolev's inequalities we have

$$\|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \le C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}$$

$$\le C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2-\frac{n}{2\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}-1} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

$$\le C\|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{3-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}$$

$$(3.35)$$

Thus, by the interpolation in Lebesgue space, it can be deduced

$$\|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{p}} \leq C \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta} \|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^{1-\theta}$$

$$\leq \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}}^{\theta} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta} \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1-\theta} \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^{1-\theta}$$

$$\leq C_{0} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{3-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1})^{1-\theta}$$

$$\leq C_{0} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2})^{1-\theta}, \tag{3.36}$$

where we have used that

$$||u \cdot \nabla u||_{L^{2}} \leq C||u||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} ||\nabla u||_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq C||\Lambda^{\alpha}u||_{L^{2}} ||\Lambda^{\beta}u||_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq C||\Lambda^{\alpha}u||_{L^{2}} (||\Lambda^{\alpha}u||_{L^{2}} + ||u||_{L^{2}})$$

$$\leq C_{0}.$$

Using a similar argument as (3.36), it can be obtained that

$$||b \cdot \nabla b||_{L^p} \le C(||\Lambda^{\beta}b||_{L^2}^2 + ||\Lambda^{2\beta}b||_{L^2}^2)^{1-\theta}.$$
(3.37)

Next, applying the  $L^p$ -estimate to Stoke equations (3.24), we arrive at

$$\|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{p}} \le C\|\rho\partial_{t}u\|_{L^{p}} + C\|\rho u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^{p}} + C\|b \cdot \nabla b\|_{L^{p}}.$$
(3.38)

Now, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, inserting (3.34), (3.36)-(3.38), it can be deduced that for  $p > \frac{n}{2\alpha-1}$ 

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\beta}}}^{1-\eta} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{p}}^{\eta} \leq C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\eta} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{p}}^{\eta} \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\eta} (\|\rho \partial_{t} u\|_{L^{p}} + (\|\Lambda^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{2\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\beta} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{2\beta} b\|_{L^{2}}^{2})^{1-\theta})^{\eta}, \end{split}$$

where  $\eta = \frac{(2+n-2\alpha)p}{p(n+2\alpha)-2n} \in (0,1)$ . Using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \le C_0(t).$$

Finally, applying  $\nabla$  on the both sides of the equation  $(1.1)_1$ , we get

$$\partial_t \nabla \rho + u \cdot \nabla (\nabla \rho) = -\nabla u \cdot \nabla \rho.$$

Due to the divergence free condition  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \le \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}}.$$

Using the Gronwall Lemma 2.1 and the a priori estimate (3.32) it follows that

$$\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \le \|\nabla \rho_0\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \exp \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} d\tau \le C_0(t).$$

We thus complete the proof of Lemma 3.4.

# 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section aims at the proof of Theorem 1.1. Thanks to Lemmas 3.1-3.4 and by the local existence Proposition 1.1, we can get the global existence of strong solutions directly. In the following part, we prove the continuity and uniqueness of the solution which we have just constructed.

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove the time continuity of the solution, namely

$$\rho \in C([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), \frac{n}{2\alpha} \le p < \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\rho u \in C([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$
 (4.2)

$$u \in C([0,T]; \dot{H}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)). \tag{4.3}$$

$$b \in C([0,T]; H^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)). \tag{4.4}$$

To prove the continuity on [0,T], without loss of generality, we only need to prove the continuity at the initial time t=0. Since  $\partial_t \rho = -u \cdot \nabla \rho$ , it has

$$\begin{split} \|\rho(t) - \rho(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} &= \|\int_0^t \partial_t \rho(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \\ &= \|\int_0^t u \cdot \nabla \rho(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|u \cdot \nabla \rho(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \|\nabla \rho(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\Lambda^\alpha u(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \rho(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C_0(t)t, \end{split}$$

where in the last line we have used the a priori estimates (3.4) and (3.33). By the Hölder inequality, one has

$$\|\rho(t) - \rho(0)\|_{L^p} \le C \|\rho(t) - \rho(0)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha p}}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha p}} \|\rho(t) - \rho(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1 - \frac{n}{2\alpha p}} \le C_0(t)t^{\frac{n}{2\alpha p}},$$

which implies that  $\rho$  is continuous at the original time and satisfies  $\lim_{t\to 0} \|\rho - \rho_0\|_{L^p} = 0$ . To prove (4.2), we first notice that

$$\begin{split} \|\rho u(t) - \rho u(0)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} &= \|\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{t}(\rho u)(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} \\ &= \|\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{t}\rho u(\tau) + \rho \partial_{t}u(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{t}\rho u(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|\rho \partial_{t}u(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|u \cdot \nabla \rho u(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla \rho(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^{2} \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|\rho(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2\alpha}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C_{0}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}\tau + C_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C_{0}(t)t + C_{0}t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{0}^{t} \|\sqrt{\rho}\partial_{t}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_{0}(t)t + C_{0}t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

By the Hölder inequality, one has

$$\|\rho u(t) - \rho u(0)\|_{L^{2}} \leq \|\rho u(t) - \rho_{0} u_{0}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2\alpha}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\rho u(t) - \rho_{0} u_{0}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq (C_{0}(t)t + C_{0}t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus we have that  $\rho u$  is continuous at the original time and satisfies  $\lim_{t\to 0} \|\rho u - \rho u(0)\|_{L^2} = 0$ . To prove (4.3), we can obtain by direct calculation,

$$\begin{split} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u(t) - \Lambda^{\alpha}u(0)\|_{L^{2}} &= \|\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{\tau}(\Lambda^{\alpha}u)(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{\tau}(\Lambda^{\alpha}u)(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leq C(\int_{0}^{t} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{\tau}u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot t^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_{0}t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

Using a similar argument, we get

$$\|\Lambda^{\beta}b(t) - \Lambda^{\beta}b(0)\|_{L^{2}} \le C_{0}t^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$||b(t) - b(0)||_{L^2} \le C_0 t^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We obtain that  $||b||_{H^{\beta}}$  is continuous at the original time and satisfies  $||b||_{H^{\beta}}|_{t=0} = ||b_0||_{H^{\beta}}$ . Next, we show the uniqueness of the solution. Assume that  $(\rho^{(1)}, u^{(1)}, b^{(1)})$  and  $(\rho^{(2)}, u^{(2)}, b^{(2)})$  are two solutions of the equations (1.1) emanating from the same initial data  $(\rho_0, u_0, b_0)$ , and possess the properties in Theorem 1.1. Their difference  $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{b})$  denoted by

$$\tilde{\rho} = \rho^{(2)} - \rho^{(1)}, \tilde{u} = u^{(2)} - u^{(1)}, \tilde{b} = b^{(2)} - b^{(1)}$$

satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{t}\tilde{\rho} + u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla\tilde{\rho} = -\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla\rho^{(1)}, \\
\rho^{(2)}\partial_{t}\tilde{u} + \rho^{(2)}u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla\tilde{u} + (-\Delta)^{\alpha}\tilde{u} + \nabla(P^{(2)} - P^{(1)}) \\
= -\tilde{\rho}(\partial_{t}u^{(1)} + u^{(1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)}) - \rho^{(2)}\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} + \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla b^{(2)} - b^{(1)} \cdot \nabla\tilde{b}, \\
\partial_{t}\tilde{b} + u^{(2)} \cdot \nabla\tilde{b} + (-\Delta)^{\beta}\tilde{b} = \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla u^{(2)} + b^{(1)} \cdot \nabla\tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla b^{(1)}.
\end{cases}$$
(4.5)

We estimate the difference  $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{b})$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  space. Dotting the equations  $(4.5)_{2,3}$  by  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{b})$  and applying the divergence free condition, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho^{(2)}}\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = L_{1} + L_{2} + L_{3} + L_{4},\tag{4.6}$$

where

$$L_{1} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho^{(2)} \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{u} dx, \qquad L_{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tilde{\rho} (\partial_{t} u^{(1)} + u^{(1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)}) \cdot \tilde{u} dx,$$
  

$$L_{3} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla b^{(2)} \cdot \tilde{u} dx, \qquad L_{4} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\tilde{b} \cdot \nabla u^{(2)} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla b^{(1)}) \cdot \tilde{b} dx.$$

By Hölder inequality, one has

$$L_1 \le C \|\nabla u^{(1)}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\sqrt{\rho^{(2)}}\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.7}$$

By the Hölder, Sobolev and Young's inequalities and the estimate (3.35), it yields

$$L_{2} \leq C \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} (\|\partial_{t}u^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} + \|u^{(1)} \cdot \nabla u^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}})$$

$$\leq C \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{3-\frac{n}{2\alpha}-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}-1})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}}^{2} (\|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{6-\frac{n}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\alpha}-2}). \tag{4.8}$$

By a similar argument as deriving  $L_2$ , we obtain

$$L_{3} \leq C \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla b^{(2)}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq C \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} b^{(2)}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\Lambda^{\beta} b^{(2)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

$$(4.9)$$

and

$$L_{4} \leq C \|\nabla u^{(2)}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla b^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq C \|\nabla u^{(2)}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\beta} b^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\Lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} (\|\nabla u^{(2)}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\Lambda^{\beta} b^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}). \tag{4.10}$$

Inserting (4.7)-(4.10) into (4.6), it leads to

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\sqrt{\rho^{2}}\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq C(\|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{6 - \frac{n}{\alpha} - \frac{2}{\alpha}} \|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{2}{\alpha} - 2})\|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}\alpha}}^{2} \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla u^{(2)}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}b^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}b^{(2)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2})(\|\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\sqrt{\rho^{(2)}}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}). \end{split}$$

In order to close the above inequality, we need to derive the estimate of  $\|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| \tilde{\rho} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}} &\leq C \| \tilde{\rho} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}-1} \| \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \rho^{(1)} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \\ &\leq C \| \tilde{\rho} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}-1}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}-1} \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2\alpha}}} \| \nabla \rho^{(1)} \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}} \\ &\leq C \| \tilde{\rho} \|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}-1}}^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}-1} \| \Lambda^{\alpha} \tilde{u} \|_{L^{2}} \| \nabla \rho^{(1)} \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}}, \end{split}$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} \le C \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla \rho^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}}.$$

Now let us denote

$$\begin{split} X(t) &\triangleq \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}}, \qquad Y(t) \triangleq \|\sqrt{\rho^{(2)}}\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\tilde{b}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \\ Z(t) &\triangleq \|\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}\tilde{b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \qquad A \triangleq \|\nabla\rho^{(1)}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{6\alpha-n}}}, \\ \gamma(t) &\triangleq C(\|\nabla u^{(2)}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}b^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\beta}b^{(2)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}), \\ \eta(t) &\triangleq C(\|\Lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{t}u^{(1)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Lambda^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{6-\frac{n}{\alpha}-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\|\Lambda^{2\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{n}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\alpha}-2}), \end{split}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}X(t) \leq AZ^{\frac{1}{2}}(t),\\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}Y(t) + Z(t) \leq \gamma(t)Y(t) + \eta(t)X^{2}(t),\\ X(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

According to the estimates of Lemmas 3.1-3.4, we get

$$\int_0^t \gamma(\tau) d\tau \le C_0(t), \qquad \int_0^t \tau \eta(\tau) d\tau \le C_0(t).$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho^{(2)}}\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\tilde{b}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \|(\Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{u}, \Lambda^{\alpha}\tilde{b})(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \|\tilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2\alpha}}} = 0.$$

which implies that

$$\rho^{(2)} \equiv \rho^{(1)}, \qquad u^{(2)} \equiv u^{(1)}, \qquad b^{(2)} \equiv b^{(1)} \qquad \text{on } [0, T],$$

and we prove the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is thus completed.

**Acknowledgements** The research of B Yuan was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471103).

# References

- [1] S. A. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikov, Mathematical questions of the dynamics of nonhomogeneous fluids, Lecture notes, Novosibirsk State University, 1973.
- [2] S. A. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikov, V. N. Monakhow, Boundary value problems in mechanics of nonhomogeneous fluids. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [3] H. Abidi, M. Paicu, Global existence for the magnetohydrodynamic system in critical spaces, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 138(2008), 447-476.
- [4] W. Craig, X. Huang, Y. Wang, Global well-posedness for the 3D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 15(2013),747-758.
- [5] H. J. Choe, H. Kim, Strong solutions of the Navier-stokes equations for nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids, *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.* **28**(2003), 1183-1201.
- [6] Q. Chen, Z. Tan and Y. Wang, Strong solutions to the incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **34**(2011), 94-107.
- [7] C. Cao, J. Wu, Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mxied partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion, *Adv. Math.* **226**(2011), 1803-1822.
- [8] C. Cao, J. Wu, B. Yuan, The 2D incompressible magnetohydronamics equations with only magnetic diffusion, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46(2014), 588-602.
- [9] G. Duvaut, J.-L. Lions, Inéquations en thermoélasticité et magnétohydrodynamique, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **46**(1972), 241-279.
- [10] J. Gerbeau, C. Le Bris, Existence of solution for a density-dependent magnetohydrodynamic equation, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2(1997), 427-452.

- [11] G. Gui, Global well-posedness of the two-dimensional incompressible magnetohydrodynamics system with variable density and electrical conductivity, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267**(2014),1488-1539.
- [12] X. Huang, Y. Wang, Global strong solution to the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations, J. Differential Equations 254(2013), 511-527.
- [13] X. Huang, Y. Wang, Global strong solution of 3D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity, *J. Differ. Equ.* **259**(2015), 1606-1627.
- [14] C. He, Z. P. Xin, On the regularity of weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations, J. Different Equations 213(2005), 235-254.
- [15] J. U. Kim, G. Ponce, Weak solutions of an initial boundary value proble for an incompressible viscous fluid with nonnegative density, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18(1987), 89-96.
- [16] H. Kozono, On Weak and Classical Solutions of the Two-Dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic equations, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* **44**(1989), 83-86.
- [17] P.L. Lions, Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [18] G. Lukaszewicz, Micropolar Fluids. Theory and Applications, Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [19] J. Li, Local existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative density, J. Differ. Equ. 263(2017), 6512-6536.
- [20] B. Lü, Z. Xu, X. Zhong, Global existence and large time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of 2D density-dependent magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum. J. Math. Pures Appl. 108(2017), 41-62.
- [21] A. J. Majda, A. L. Bertozzi, Vorticity and incompressible flow, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [22] C. X. Miao, B. Q. Yuan, B. Zhang, Well-posedness for the incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **30**(2007), 961-976.
- [23] S. J. Quan, C. He, Remarks on the Regularity to 3-D Ideal Magnetohydrodynamic Equations, *Acta. Mathematica Sinica English Series* **20**(2004), 695-708.
- [24] J. Simon, Nonhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluids: existence of velocity, density, and pressure, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 21(1990), 1093-1117.
- [25] M. Sermange, R. Temam, Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 36(1983), 635-664.
- [26] Ton, Bui An, On the existence and uniqueness of a local classical solution of an initial-boundary value problem for incompressible nonhomogeneous viscous fluids. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 131982, 699-716.
- [27] J. H. Wu, Viscous and inviscid magneto-hydrodynamics equations, Journal Analyse Math., 73(1997), 251-265.
- [28] J. H Wu, The generalized MHD equations, J. Differ. Equ. 195(2003),284-312.

- [29] D. Wang, Z. Ye, Global existence and exponential decay of strong solutions for the inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, arXiv: 1806.04464vl [math. AP], 2018.
- [30] Z. Ye, Global existence of strong solutions with vacuum to the multi-dimensional inhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations, *J. Differ. Equ.* **267**(2019),2891-2917.
- [31] B. Yuan, J. Zhao, Global regularity of 2D almost resistive MHD equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 41(2018),53-65.
- [32] P. Zhang, H. Yu, Global regularity to the 3D incompressible MHD equations, *J. Math. Anal.* **432**(2015),613-631.