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Abstract

A uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is corresponding to an adjacency tensor $A_\mathcal{H}$. We define an Estrada index of $\mathcal{H}$ by using all the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ of $A_\mathcal{H}$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_i}$. The bounds for the Estrada indices of uniform hypergraphs are given. And we characterize the Estrada indices of $m$-uniform hypergraphs whose spectra of the adjacency tensors are $m$-symmetric. Specially, we characterize the Estrada indices of uniform hyperstars.
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1. Introduction

For a simple undirected graph $H$ on $n$ vertices, Estrada [1] proposed the Estrada index $EE(H)$ of $H$ when analyzing the relationship between the structure and function of protein molecules, that is

$$EE(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_i},$$

where $\lambda_i$ is the eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of $H$. Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of the graph $H$. We know that $\mu_k(i) = (A^k)_{ii}$ is the number of the closed walks of length $k$ starting and ending on vertex $i$. The trace $tr(A^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_k(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j^k$ is the $k$ order spectral moment of $H$. Thus,

$$C_s(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k(i)}{k!}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

is an index reflecting the centrality of the vertex $i$ from the perspective of the number of the subgraphs [2]. Summing up the indices for all vertices in $H$ gives
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For corresponding adjacency tensor. Several bounds for the Estrada index are given.

The Estrada index of a uniform hypergraph in terms of the eigenvalues of the corresponding adjacency tensor has developed rapidly [15-18].

Several graphs, such as the connectivity [12,13], partitioning and so on. The research of spectral hypergraph theory via tensors has developed rapidly [15-18].

For a positive integer $n$, let $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. An order $m$ dimension $n$ tensor $T = (t_{i_1i_2\ldots i_m})$ ($i_j \in [n], j \in [m]$) is a multidimensional array with $n^m$ entries. When $m = 2$, $T$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. Let $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ be the complex field and real field, respectively. The notation $\mathbb{C}^n$ stands for the set of all the dimension $n$ vectors over complex field. Let $\mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ be the set of order $m$ dimension $n$ complex tensors. In 2005, the concept of eigenvalues of tensors was defined by Qi [9] and Lim [10], independently. For $T = (t_{i_1i_2\ldots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $T x^{m-1}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose $i$-th component is

$$
(T x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \ldots, i_m=1}^{n} t_{i_1i_2\ldots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}.
$$

A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an eigenvalue of $T$ if there exists a nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $T x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}$, where $x^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, \ldots, x_n^{m-1})^\top$. The number of the eigenvalues is $k = n(m-1)^{n-1}$. All the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ of $T$ is called the spectrum of $T$. The spectral radius of $T$ is $\rho = \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \ldots, |\lambda_k|\}$ (see [11]).

A hypergraph $H = (V(H), E(H))$ with vertex set $V(H)$ and edge set $E(H)$ is said to be $m$-uniform for an integer $m \geq 2$ if $|e| = m$ for all $e \in E(H)$ (see [11]). Uniform hypergraphs have a natural one-to-one correspondence with tensors. A tensor $A_H = (a_{i_1i_2\ldots i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$ is the adjacency tensor of an $m$-uniform hypergraph $H$, where

$$
a_{i_1i_2\ldots i_m} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(m-1)!}, & \text{if } i_1i_2\ldots i_m \in E(H), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $A_H$ is the adjacency matrix of $H$ when $H$ is 2-uniform.

The eigenvalues of tensors are useful tool to study the properties of hypergraphs, such as the connectivity [12,13], partitioning and so on. The research of spectral hypergraph theory via tensors has developed rapidly [15-18].

This paper concerns on the Estrada index of hypergraphs. We define an Estrada index of a uniform hypergraph in terms of the eigenvalues of the corresponding adjacency tensor. Several bounds for the Estrada index are given. For $m$-uniform hypergraphs whose spectra of the adjacency tensors are $m$-symmetric, we characterize their Estrada indices. Specially, we characterize the Estrada indices of uniform hyperstars.
2. The Estrada index of uniform hypergraphs

In this section, we define an Estrada index of a uniform hypergraph based on the $d$th order trace of tensors.

For a tensor $T = (t_{i_1i_2...i_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$, the $d$th order trace $Tr_d(T)$ is

$$Tr_d(T) = (m-1)^{n-1} \sum_{d_1+...+d_n=d \; i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d_i(m-1))!}(\sum_{y_i \in [n]^{m-1}} t_{iy_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{iy_i}})^{d_i} tr(A^{d(m-1)})_i,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ auxiliary matrix, $y_i = i_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot i_m$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{iy_i}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot \partial a_{i_m}}$. When $T$ is a matrix, the above $d$th order trace of $T$ is the trace of the $d$ power of $T$ (see [19]).

**Lemma 2.1.** [15, 20] Let $T \in \mathbb{C}^{[m,n]}$. Then $Tr_d(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^d$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are all the eigenvalues of $T$ and $k = n(m-1)^{n-1}$.

From the above lemma, we know the $d$th order trace of the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph $H$ is the $d$ order spectral moment of $H$.

In [11], the first $m$th order trace of the adjacency tensor of an $m$-uniform hypergraph were given.

**Lemma 2.2.** [11] Let $H = (V(H), E(H))$ be an $m$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. Then

1. $Tr_d(A_H) = 0$ for $d = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$;
2. $Tr_m(A_H) = m^{m-1}(m-1)^{n-m} |E(H)|$.

where $|E(H)|$ is the number of the edges in $H$.

For an $m$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices, let $\mu_d(j)$ be the $j$th term of the $d$th order trace of $A_H = (h_{i_1i_2...i_m})$, that is

$$\mu_d(j) = (m-1)^{n-1} \sum_{d_1+...+d_n=d \; i=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(d_i(m-1))!}(\sum_{y_i \in [n]^{m-1}} h_{iy_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{iy_i}})^{d_i}(A^{d(m-1)})_{jj}.$$

In [15], some graph theoretical formulas for $d$th order trace of tensors were established. From the graph theoretical formulas, we can see $\mu_d(j)$ is the number of the closed walks of length $d$ starting and ending on vertex $i$ in $H$ when $H$ is a 2-uniform hypergraph.

Let

$$EE(j) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_d(j)}{d!}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} EE(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_d(j)}{d!} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{Tr_d(A_H)}{d!}.$$
It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} EE(j) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{Tr_d(A_H)}{d!} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i^d}{d!} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_i},
\]

(2)

where \( \lambda_i \) is the eigenvalue of \( A_H \) and \( k = n(m-1)^{n-1} \).

**Definition 2.3.** For an \( m \)-uniform hypergraph \( H \) on \( n \) vertices, the index

\[
EE(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_i}
\]

is called the Estrada index of \( H \), where \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k \) are all the eigenvalues of \( A_H \) and \( k = n(m-1)^{n-1} \).

Clearly, when \( H \) is a 2-uniform hypergraph, the above index is the Estrada index as in Equation (1).

3. Estimating the Estrada index of \( m \)-uniform hypergraphs

In this section, we give some bounds for the Estrada indices of uniform hypergraphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( H = (V(H), E(H)) \) be an \( m \)-uniform hypergraph on \( n \) vertices. Then

\[
\frac{m^{m-1}(m-1)^{n-m} |E(H)|}{m!} + n(m-1)^{n-1} \leq EE(H) \leq n(m-1)^{n-1} e^\rho,
\]

(3)

where \( \rho \) is the spectral radius of \( A_H \), \( |E(H)| \) is the number of the edges in \( H \). The equalities on both sides of the above inequality are attained respectively if and only if \( H \) is an empty hypergraph.

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda_j = \alpha_j + i \beta_j \) be the eigenvalue of \( A_H \), where \( \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, j \in [k] \), \( i^2 = -1 \) and \( k = n(m-1)^{n-1} \). Then

\[
EE(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\alpha_j + i\beta_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (e^{\alpha_j} \cos \beta_j + ie^{\alpha_j} \sin \beta_j).
\]

Equation (2) gives

\[
EE(H) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{Tr_d(H)}{d!},
\]

and we know \( Tr_d(H) \) is a nonnegative real number, hence

\[
EE(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\alpha_j} \cos \beta_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\alpha_j} |\cos \beta_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\alpha_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^\rho = ke^\rho = n(m-1)^{n-1} e^\rho.
\]
From Lemma 2.2 (1), we know $Tr_d(\mathcal{H}) = 0, \ d \in [m - 1]$. Then

\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{Tr_d(\mathcal{H})}{d!} = Tr_0(\mathcal{H}) + \sum_{d=m}^{\infty} \frac{Tr_d(\mathcal{H})}{d!} = k + \sum_{d=m}^{\infty} \frac{Tr_d(\mathcal{H})}{d!}
\]

\[
\geq k + \frac{Tr_m(\mathcal{H})}{m!} = n(m - 1)^{n-1} + \frac{Tr_m(\mathcal{H})}{m!}
\]

It follows from Lemma 2.2 (2) that

\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) \geq n(m - 1)^{n-1} + \frac{m^{m-1}(m - 1)^{n-m} |E(\mathcal{H})|}{m!}.
\]

When $\mathcal{H}$ is an empty hypergraph, it is easy to see $EE(\mathcal{H}) = k = n(m-1)^{n-1}$. Then the equalities on both sides of Inequality (3) are attained, respectively.

On the other hand, if the equality on the left hand side of Inequality (3) holds, it follows from the proof of the inequality that $Tr_d(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H}) = 0$ for all $d \geq m + 1$, that is $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^d = 0$ for all $d \geq m + 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s$ are the distinct eigenvalues among $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$, and $l_i$ be the multiplicity of $\lambda_i, \ i \in [s]$. Then $M(l_1\lambda_1^{m+1}, l_2\lambda_2^{m+1}, \ldots, l_s\lambda_s^{m+1})^T = 0$, where

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \ldots & \lambda_s \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\lambda_1^{s-1} & \lambda_2^{s-1} & \ldots & \lambda_s^{s-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since $\det(M) \neq 0$, we have the solution of the equation is zero. That is all the eigenvalues $\lambda_j = 0, \ j \in [k]$. Then the spectral radius $\rho$ of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H}$ is zero. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is connected. Perron-Frobenius Theorem for tensors [22] gives there exists an $x > 0$ such that $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H}x^{m-1} = \rho x^{m-1} = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H}$ is a zero tensor, that is $\mathcal{H}$ is an empty hypergraph.

If the equality on the right hand side of Inequality (3) holds, then all eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}$ are equal to the spectral radius $\rho$. And since $Tr_1(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H}) = 0$, we have $\rho = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}$ is an empty hypergraph.

Thus, it is evident that equalities in Inequality (3) are attained respectively if and only if $\mathcal{H}$ is an empty hypergraph. \hfill \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V(\mathcal{H}), E(\mathcal{H}))$ be an $m$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. Then

(1) \quad $EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq k - 1 + ev^\mathcal{H}$; \quad (4)

(2) \quad $EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq k - 1 + ev^\mathcal{H} + \frac{m^{m-1}(m - 1)^{n-m} |E(\mathcal{H})|}{m!} - \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{r^l}{l!}$, \quad (5)

where $r = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H})$, and $\lambda_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j, \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \ j \in [k], \ i^2 = -1$, are all the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H}$, $k = n(m - 1)^{n-1}, |E(\mathcal{H})|$ is the number of the
edges in $H$. The equalities in the inequalities are attained respectively if and only if $H$ is an empty hypergraph.

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\text{Tr}_2(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\alpha_j + i\beta_j)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2) + i \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2\alpha_j\beta_j. $$

Note that $\text{Tr}_2(H)$ is a nonnegative real number, then

$$\text{Tr}_2(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\alpha_j^2 - \beta_j^2).$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - \text{Tr}_2(H)$$

which yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} |\lambda_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\alpha_j^2 + \beta_j^2) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - \text{Tr}_2(H). \quad (6)$$

Computation gives

$$EE(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_j)^l}{l!} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{i\theta_j} |\lambda_j|)^l}{l!} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\theta_j} |\lambda_j|^l}{l!}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(\theta_j l) + i \sin(\theta_j l)}{l!} |\lambda_j|^l. $$

Note that $EE(H)$ is a nonnegative real number, then

$$EE(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(\theta_j l) |\lambda_j|^l}{l!} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\cos(\theta_j l)| |\lambda_j|^l}{l!} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_j|^l}{l!} \leq k + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\lambda_j|^2 \frac{1}{2^l}$$

$$\leq k + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\lambda_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{l}{2}}.$$
It follows from Equation (6) that

\[ EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq k + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} (2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq k - 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} (2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq k - 1 + e \sqrt{2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H})}. \]

Thus, we obtain the statement (1).

We know

\[ EE(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_j^l}{l!} \]

\[ = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\cos(\theta_j l) + i \sin(\theta_j l)) |\lambda_j|^l}{l!}. \]

Note that \( EE(\mathcal{H}) \) is a nonnegative real number. Then

\[ EE(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\cos(\theta_j l) |\lambda_j|^l}{l!} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_j|^l}{l!} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (|\lambda_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\lambda_j|^2 \]

\[ \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (|\lambda_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

It follows from Equation (6) that

\[ EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} (2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{Tr_l(\mathcal{H})}{l!} + e \sqrt{2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H})} - \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{l!}. \]
By Lemma 2.2, we have
\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq k - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2} - \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{(2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^2 - Tr_2(\mathcal{H}))^{\frac{l}{2}}}{l!},
\]
where \(Tr_m(\mathcal{H}) = \frac{m^m - 1}{m - 1} \frac{n - 1}{n - 1} |E(\mathcal{H})|\). Thus, we obtain the statement (2).

If \(\mathcal{H}\) is an empty hypergraph, then the equality in Inequality (4) holds. On the other hand, suppose the equality in Inequality (4) holds. From the proof of the inequality, we have all the eigenvalues are real numbers, and all the eigenvalues are zero or there is only one nonzero eigenvalue. Since \(Tr_1(A_\mathcal{H}) = 0\), contradicts there being only one nonzero eigenvalue, we have all the eigenvalues are zero. Hence, \(\mathcal{H}\) is an empty hypergraph. Thus, the equality in Inequality (4) is attained if and only if \(\mathcal{H}\) is an empty hypergraph. Similarly, the equality in Inequality (5) is attained if and only if \(\mathcal{H}\) is an empty hypergraph. \(\Box\)

In the above theorem, when \(\mathcal{H}\) is a 2-uniform hypergraph, we have \(EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq n - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2}|E(\mathcal{H})|}\) and \(EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq n - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2}|E(\mathcal{H})|} - \sqrt{2}|E(\mathcal{H})|\) which were given in [5] and [7], respectively.

**Example 3.3.** For a 3-uniform hypergraph \(\mathcal{H}\) with 3 vertices and a single edge, all the distinct eigenvalues of \(A_\mathcal{H}\) are 0, 1, \(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{2}\), and their multiplicities are all 3. By the statements (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.2, we get \(EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq 11 + e^3\) and \(EE(\mathcal{H}) \leq \frac{1}{2} + e^3\), respectively.

For the \(m\)-uniform hypergraph \(\mathcal{H}\), when \(m\) is larger than 2, since \(Tr_2(\mathcal{H}) = 0\), and \(\alpha_j\) no larger than the spectral radius, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \(\mathcal{H}\) be an \(m\)-uniform hypergraph on \(n\) vertices and \(m \geq 3\). Then
\[
\begin{align*}
EE(\mathcal{H}) & \leq k - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2}k}; \\
EE(\mathcal{H}) & \leq k - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2}k} + \frac{m^{m-1}(m-1)^{n-m} |E(\mathcal{H})|}{m!} - \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{(\sqrt{2}\rho)^l}{l!},
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\rho\) is the spectral radius of \(A_\mathcal{H}\), \(k = n(m-1)^{n-1}\), \(|E(\mathcal{H})|\) is the number of the edges in \(\mathcal{H}\).

4. The Estrada index of special types of uniform hypergraphs

In this section, we characterize the Estrada indices of special types of uniform hypergraphs.

The spectrum of the adjacency tensor of a \(k\)-uniform hypergraph \(\mathcal{H}\) is said to be \(k\)-symmetric if the spectrum is invariant under a rotation of an angle \(\frac{2\pi}{k}\) in the
complex plane, that is, \( \lambda_j \) is an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) if and only if \( \lambda_j e^{\frac{2\pi r}{m}}, r \in [k] \), are eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) (see [15]). For example, the spectra of the adjacency tensors of hyperpaths, hyperstars, the \( p-hm \) bipartite \( k \)-uniform hypergraph with \( p, k \) coprime, \( k \)-partite hypergraph and \( hm \)-bipartite hypergraph are all \( k \)-symmetric [11,15,23].

A \( k \)-uniform hypergraph \( H \) is called \( k \)-partite if the vertices of \( H \) can be partitioned into \( k \) sets such that each edge has exactly one vertex from each set [11]. Clearly, the 2-partite hypergraph is a bipartite graph. A \( k \)-partitioned tensors of hyperpaths, hyperstars, the \( \mathcal{A}_H \) are eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{A}_H \), where \( \alpha = \lambda_1 e^{\frac{\pi r}{m}}, \lambda_2 e^{\frac{\pi r}{m}}, \ldots, \lambda_{n^*} e^{\frac{\pi r}{m}} : r \in [m] \) be the nonzero eigenvalues set of \( \mathcal{A}_H \).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( H \) be an \( m \)-uniform hypergraph on \( n \) vertices. If the spectrum of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) is \( m \)-symmetric, then

\[
EE(H) = n_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \sum_{r=1}^{m} e^{\alpha_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} - \beta_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m} \cos(\beta_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} + \alpha_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m})},
\]

where \( \alpha_j + i\beta_j = \tilde{\lambda}_j, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^2 = -1, j \in [n^*], n_0 \) is the number of the zero eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) and \( n^* = \frac{n(m-1)^{n-1} - n_0}{m} \).

**Proof.** Since the spectrum of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) is \( m \)-symmetric, we have

\[
e^{\tilde{\lambda_j} e^{\frac{2\pi r}{m}}} = e^{\lambda_j (\cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} + i \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m})} = e^{(\alpha_j + i\beta_j)(\cos \frac{\pi r}{m} + i \sin \frac{\pi r}{m})} = e^{(\alpha_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} + \beta_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} \alpha_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m} - \beta_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m})}.\]

Let \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k \) are all the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{A}_H \) and \( k = n(m-1)^{n-1} \), Then

\[
EE(H) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_j} = n_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \sum_{r=1}^{m} e^{\tilde{\lambda_j} e^{\frac{2\pi r}{m}}} = n_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \sum_{r=1}^{m} e^{(\alpha_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} + \beta_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} \alpha_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m} - \beta_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m})}
\]

\[
= n_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \sum_{r=1}^{m} e^{(\alpha_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} - \beta_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m}) + i(\beta_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} + \alpha_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m})}
\]

\[
= n_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \sum_{r=1}^{m} e^{\alpha_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} - \beta_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m} \cos(\beta_j \cos \frac{2\pi r}{m} + \alpha_j \sin \frac{2\pi r}{m})}.
\]
Since the number of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_H$ is $n(m-1)^{n-1}$, we have $n^*m + n_0 = n(m-1)^{n-1}$.

In the above theorem, when $m = 2$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is a bipartite graph, we have the following result,

$$EE(H) = n_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \sum_{r=1}^{2} e^{\alpha_j \cos(\pi r)} \cos(\alpha_j \sin(\pi r)) = n_0 + 2 \sum_{j} ch(\tilde{\lambda}_j),$$

where $ch(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ is the positive eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}_H$ and $\sum_{j}$ denotes the summation over all positive eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_H$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $m$-uniform hypergraph and the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_H$ is $m$-symmetric, when $m = 3$ and $m = 4$, we have the following conclusion.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. If the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_H$ is $3$-symmetric, then

$$EE(H) = n_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} (e^{\frac{\pi^2}{2} \beta_j} \cos(\sqrt{3} \alpha_j) ch(\sqrt{3} \beta_j) + \sin(\frac{1}{2} \beta_j) \sin(\sqrt{3} \alpha_j)$$

$$\times sh(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \beta_j)) + \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha_j} \cos(\beta_j),$$

where $\alpha_j + i \beta_j = \tilde{\lambda}_j$, $i^2 = -1$, $j \in [n^*]$, $ch(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$, $sh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$, $n_0$ is the number of the zero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_H$ and $n^* = \frac{n2^{m-1}-nm_0}{4}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 4-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. If the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_H$ is 4-symmetric, then

$$EE(H) = n_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} (\cos(\alpha_j ch \beta_j + \cos(\beta_j) ch \alpha_j),$$

where $\alpha_j + i \beta_j = \tilde{\lambda}_j$, $i^2 = -1$, $j \in [n^*]$, $ch(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$, $sh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$, $n_0$ is the number of the zero eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}_H$ and $n^* = \frac{n^4 - mm_0}{4}$.

An $m$-uniform hyperpath is an $m$-uniform hypergraph which obtained by adding $m - 2$ vertices with degree one to each edge of a path. An $m$-uniform hypergraph obtained from $m$-uniform hyperpaths with 1 edge by sharing a common vertex is called an $m$-uniform hyperstar. Clearly, the 2-uniform hyperstar is a star.

Here, we give another characterization of the Estrada indices of hyperstars.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $m$-uniform hyperstar on $n$ vertices and $q$ edges. Then

$$EE(H) = q(m-1)^{q(m-1)+1} - \sum_{r=0}^{q} (m-1)^{q-1} (m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r}$$

$$+ \sum_{r=0}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (q) (m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r} \left( e^{\sqrt{r} \cos \frac{2\pi i}{m}} \cos(\sqrt{r} \sin \frac{2\pi i}{m}) \right).$$

|
Proof. For an \( m \)-uniform hyperstar \( \mathcal{H} \), the characteristic polynomial [24] of \( \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H} \) of hyperstar is

\[
\Phi_\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \lambda^{q(m-1)^{q(m-1)+1}} \prod_{r=0}^{q} (\lambda - \frac{r}{\lambda^{m-1}})\left(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array}\right)(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r}.
\]

Then the set of all the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{H} \) is \( \{0, \sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}}: l \in [m], r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots q, 1 = -1\} \). Hence,

\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{\lambda_j} = q(m-1)^{q(m-1)+1} + e^{0} + \sum_{r=0}^{q} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \left(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array}\right)(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r} e^{\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} m}
\]

\[
= q(m-1)^{q(m-1)+1} - \sum_{r=0}^{q} (m-1)(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array})(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r} e^{0}
\]

\[
= q(m-1)^{q(m-1)+1} - \sum_{r=0}^{q} (m-1)(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array})(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r} e^{0}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=0}^{q} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \left(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array}\right)(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r}
\]

\[
\times \left( e^{\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} m} \cos(\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} \sin \frac{2l\pi}{m}) + e^{\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} m} \sin(\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} \sin \frac{2l\pi}{m}) \right).
\]

Note that \( EE(\mathcal{H}) \) is a nonnegative real number. Then

\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) = q(m-1)^{q(m-1)+1} - \sum_{r=0}^{q} (m-1)(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array})(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=0}^{q} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \left(\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array}\right)(m^{m-2})^r ((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2})^{q-r} e^{\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} m} \cos(\sqrt{\varphi e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}} \sin \frac{2l\pi}{m}).
\]

In the above theorem, When \( \mathcal{H} \) is a star, we have

\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) = n - 2 + e^{-\sqrt{n-1}} + e^{\sqrt{n-1}},
\]

which is given in [25]. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) is an \( m \)-uniform hyperstar, when \( m = 3 \) and \( m = 4 \), we have the following results.

**Corollary 4.4.** If \( \mathcal{H} \) is a 3-uniform hyperstar on \( n \) vertices and \( q \) edges. Then

\[
EE(\mathcal{H}) = 2^{q+1} q + \sum_{r=0}^{q} (\begin{array}{c} q \\ r \end{array}) (2e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}\varphi}{2}}) + e^{\sqrt{\varphi} - 2)) 232323
\]
If $\mathcal{H}$ is a 4-uniform hyperstar on $n$ vertices and $q$ edges. Then

$$EE(\mathcal{H}) = 3^{3q+1}q + \sum_{r=0}^{q} \left( \binom{q}{r} 2^{4r} 11^{q-r} (2 \cos \sqrt{r} + e^{-\sqrt{r}} + e^{\sqrt{r}} - 3) \right).$$

Since the spectra of the adjacency tensors of $m$-uniform hyperpaths are $m$-symmetric, and using the above theorems, the Estrada indices of some uniform hyperpaths and hyperstars are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypergraph</th>
<th>Estrada index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-uniform hyperpath with 1 edge</td>
<td>13.5125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-uniform hyperpath with 2 edges</td>
<td>92.1756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-uniform hyperstar with 3 edges</td>
<td>521.5079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-uniform hyperpath with 3 edges</td>
<td>5.2121e+0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-uniform hyperstar with 4 edges</td>
<td>2.6985e+0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-uniform hyperpath with 4 edges</td>
<td>2.6948e+0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1:
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