

# CATEGORIES OF QUANTUM LIQUIDS II

Liang Kong<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Hao Zheng<sup>d,e,f</sup> <sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering,  
Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

<sup>b</sup> International Quantum Academy, Shenzhen 518048, China

<sup>c</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Science and Engineering,  
Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

<sup>d</sup> Institute for Applied Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

<sup>e</sup> Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Beijing 101408, China

<sup>f</sup> Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

ABSTRACT. We continue to develop the theory of separable higher categories, including center functors, higher centralizers, modular extensions and group theoretical higher fusion categories. Moreover, we outline a theory of orthogonal higher categories to treat anti-unitary symmetries. Using these results we derive a systematic classification of gapped quantum liquids and predict many new SPT orders in spacetime dimension  $\geq 3$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Elements of separable $n$ -categories	4
3. Center functors	7
3.1. $E_0$ -center functors	7
3.2. $E_1$ -center functors	9
4. Centralizers and modular extensions	11
4.1. Centralizers	11
4.2. Perfect pairing	13
4.3. Modular extensions	15
5. Group theoretical fusion $n$ -categories	17
5.1. Linear representations of higher groups	17
5.2. Pointed fusion $n$ -categories	19
6. Orthogonal higher categories	21
7. Classification of gapped quantum liquids with finite symmetries	23
7.1. Unitary symmetries	23
7.2. Anti-unitary symmetries	24
7.3. External symmetries	25
References	26

---

<sup>1</sup>Emails: kongl@sustech.edu.cn, haozheng@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the first part of this series [KZ22], we developed a mathematical theory of separable higher categories (including higher  $E_m$ -multi-fusion categories) based on Gaiotto and Johnson-Freyd's work on condensation completion [GJF19], and we also introduced and studied higher categories of quantum liquids in all spacetime dimensions.

The goal of this work is to further develop the mathematical theory of separable higher categories and derive a systematic classification of *gapped* quantum liquids. With the aid of the powerful theory of condensation completion [GJF19], we are able to generalize a lot of results on fusion 1-categories to higher fusion categories and provide mathematical proofs of many earlier physical results on gapped quantum liquids obtained or conjectured in [KWZ15, LKW18, KLVZZ20] and their generalizations.

In the rest of this section, we provide some explanations of the physical origins and a brief summary of our results.

**Center functors.** This is the main topic of Section 3. One of the fundamental guiding principles in our study of quantum liquids is the *boundary-bulk relation* proposed in [KWZ15, KWZ17], which says that the bulk of a quantum liquid is the center of its boundaries. This result generalizes and unifies the boundary-bulk relations in 1+1D rational conformal field theories (RCFT) [FFRS08, KR08, Dav10] and those in 2+1D topological orders [KK12] (in a lattice model approach) and [FSV14, Kon14] (in a model-independent approach). However, as observed in [DKR15, KWZ15], this boundary-bulk relation is only the first layer of a hierarchical structure, which can be obtained by including higher codimensional defects. As a consequence, a complete statement of the boundary-bulk relation can be formulated as the functoriality of the  $E_1$ -center or the so-called  $E_1$ -center functor. This  $E_1$ -center functor has been rigorously constructed for a few special families of quantum liquids: (1) for all 1+1D RCFT's with a fixed chiral symmetry [DKR15] and for all 1+1D RCFT's with arbitrary chiral symmetries [KYZ21]; (2) for 2+1D non-chiral topological orders with only gapped boundaries [KZ18] and for all 2+1D topological orders with all (potentially gapless) boundaries [KZ21]. These rigorous results only involve monoidal 1-categories or algebras in them.

In this work, we prove the functoriality of the  $E_1$ -center for higher categories (see Theorem 3.23). Although the proofs for the 1-category cases [KZ18, KYZ21, KZ21] are very technical, it turns out that the proof for higher categories are surprisingly simple. The reason behind this miracle is that the theory of separable  $n$ -categories allows us to reduce the functoriality of the  $E_1$ -center to that of the  $E_0$ -center (see Theorem 3.10), which is much easier to prove. These center functors provide powerful tools that are useful in other studies, for example, in the study of modular extensions.

**Modular extensions.**<sup>2</sup> This is the main subject of Section 4. The mathematical notion of a (minimal) modular extension<sup>3</sup> of a braided fusion 1-category was introduced by Müger in [Mu03b], but was largely unnoticed until the discovery of its physical meaning as a gauging process of the finite internal symmetry in a 2+1D SPT/SET order [LKW15, LKW17, BGHN+17]. Extensive research on this subject followed (see, for example, [GVR17, VR19, DN20, OY21, JFR21, Nik22] and references therein). Based on a different realization of the gauging process via the boundary-bulk relation, a one-to-one

---

<sup>2</sup>Modular extensions are also called nondegenerate extensions in the literature. We follow Müger's terminology. In fact, a nondegenerate braided fusion category admits a canonical modular structure in the unitary settings.

<sup>3</sup>In this work, a modular extension means a minimal modular extension.

correspondence between modular extensions and braided equivalences of  $E_1$ -centers was obtained on a physical level of rigor and was generalized to higher categories [KLWZZ20].

Roughly speaking, the theory of modular extensions tells the story about a pair of  $E_m$ -fusion  $n$ -categories centralizing each other in a nondegenerate one. We call such a structure a perfect pairing (see Definition 4.18). In order to define the notion of a modular extension properly for higher categories, we first develop the mathematical theory of  $E_m$ -centralizers in Subsection 4.1 (largely parallel to that of  $E_m$ -centers in [KZ22]) and that of perfect pairings in Subsection 4.2. Similar to the proof of the functoriality of centers, we reduce the original problem of modular extensions of an  $E_2$ -fusion  $n$ -category (see Definition 4.37) to the  $E_1$ -settings (see Definition 4.32) and the  $E_0$ -settings (see Definition 4.27). Then we establish one-to-one correspondence between modular extensions and equivalences of centers (see Theorem 4.29 and Corollary 4.34, Corollary 4.38).

**Group-theoretical fusion  $n$ -categories.** This is the subject of Section 5. Group-theoretical fusion 1-categories are a family of well studied fusion 1-categories and play a crucial role in the classification of low-dimensional gapped quantum liquids. Moreover, higher groups are main examples of higher symmetries, so their representation theory are among the most important problems in the theory of quantum liquids. In Subsection 5.1, we define the representation  $n$ -category of a finite higher group and prove that it is indeed a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category (see Theorem 5.8). In Subsection 5.2, we study the so-called pointed fusion  $n$ -categories which is a natural generation of pointed fusion 1-categories. These results are used in the classification of gapped quantum liquids with group symmetries.

**Orthogonal higher categories.** This is the subject of Section 6. The motivation is to set up a categorical foundation for the time-reversal symmetry. Note that the time-reversal symmetry is a kind of anti-unitary symmetry and can be naturally described by the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ . We observe that the twisted group algebra of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathbb{R}$  (see Example 6.9(2)). Therefore, the time-reversal symmetry demands a theory of separable  $n$ -categories based on real Hilbert spaces instead of complex Hilbert spaces. This leads to the notion of an orthogonal  $n$ -category (see Definition 6.2) which is a real analogue of a unitary  $n$ -category.

The major issue of orthogonal  $n$ -categories is that the field  $\mathbb{R}$  is not separably closed. Fortunately, most results on unitary  $n$ -categories have counterparts for orthogonal  $n$ -categories. There are also interesting new features arising in the orthogonal settings that are briefly discussed in this part of work. This approach to the time-reversal symmetry is justified by the examples of time-reversal SPT's presented in Subsection 7.2 (see Example 7.4).

**Classification of gapped quantum liquids.** This is the task of Section 7 and relies on the mathematical results established in the previous sections. Recall that gapped quantum liquids include topological orders, SPT/SET orders and symmetry-breaking orders. In Subsection 7.1, we give a precise formulation of and slightly generalize the classification result of gapped quantum liquids presented in [KLWZZ20] and discuss some examples.

Then we consider gapped quantum liquids with spacetime symmetries (including the time-reversal symmetry) in the next two subsections. Indeed, such phases do not belong to the higher category  $\mathcal{QL}^n$  introduced in [KZ22] because spacetime symmetries are not local and because a domain wall between such phases hardly makes sense. We refer to them as *orbifold quantum liquids* and reduce their classification problem to quantum

liquids without spacetime symmetries. In Subsection 7.2, we deal mainly with the time-reversal symmetry. Surprisingly, we find new time-reversal SPT's in spacetime dimension  $\geq 3$  (see Example 7.4, Example 7.6 and Example 7.7). In Subsection 7.3, we deal with general finite spacetime symmetries and try to recover and generalize the crystalline equivalence principle [TE18] which claims that space symmetries can be dualized to internal symmetries.

We follow [GJF19, JF22, KZ22] to use  $n$ -category to mean a weak  $n$ -category without specifying a concrete model. Assumptions on (co)limits follow those in [GJF19, JF22]. We admit that implementing the technical details in a specific model will be a substantial task.

We assume that readers are familiar with the notions of a multi-fusion  $n$ -category [JF22] and a separable  $n$ -category [KZ22] and the notations and the results in [KZ22].

We work on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear settings unless stated otherwise as in [KZ22]. All the results have a  $*$ -version. The results also apply to the  $k$ -linear settings, where  $k$  is an arbitrary field, with some minor exceptions that are clarified at the end of each subsection.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Zheng-Cheng Gu, Tian Lan, Yin Tian, Yilong Wang, Xiao-Gang Wen, Yong-Shi Wu, Hao Xu, Zhi-Hao Zhang for helpful discussions. LK is supported by NSFC under Grant No. 11971219 and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation under Grant No. 2020B1515120100 and Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory (Grant No.2019B121203002). HZ is supported by NSFC under Grant No. 11871078 and by Startup Grant of Tsinghua University and BIMSA.

## 2. ELEMENTS OF SEPARABLE $n$ -CATEGORIES

We prove some preliminary results of separable  $n$ -categories in this section for later use.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category. Then  $X \otimes Y$  is nonzero for any two nonzero objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.*  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a condensate of  $(X^L \otimes X) \otimes (Y \otimes Y^R)$ . □

**Proposition 2.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent for  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in E_1 \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ :*

- (1) *There is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ .*
- (2) *There is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{B}$ .*
- (3) *There is an invertible  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule in  $\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

*Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, then there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) The full subcategory  $\Sigma \mathcal{A}$  of  $\text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$  consists of tiny objects. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $F : \Sigma \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{B}$  gives an invertible  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $F(\mathcal{A})$ . (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) An invertible  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $-\boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M} : \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}})$ . Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{A})$ , a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{B}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$ . □

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a separable  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. (1) The  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$  is left dual to  $\mathcal{M}$ . (2) The  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$  is right dual to  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* (2) is obtained from (1) by viewing  $\mathcal{M}$  as a  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ - $\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$ -bimodule. To establish (1) we need to show that  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} -) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}, -)$  for  $\mathcal{P} \in \Sigma \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$ .

The equivalence holds for  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}$  as  $\text{Fun}(n\text{Vec}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} -) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), -)$  by [KZ22, Theorem 3.1(3)], hence holds for any condensate  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a multi-fusion  $n$ -category,  $\mathcal{M}$  be a separable right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $\mathcal{N}$  be a separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. (1) We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence*

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^L, \mathcal{N}), \quad X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y \mapsto (f \mapsto f(X) \otimes Y),$$

where  $\mathcal{M}^L = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$ . (2) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category, then  $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$  is nonzero for nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof.* (1) By [KZ22, Theorem 3.1(3)],  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Fun}(n\text{Vec}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^L, \mathcal{N})$  that maps  $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$  to the composition  $\mathcal{M}^L \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}^L} \boxtimes X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y} \mathcal{M}^L \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{N}$ . (2) In particular, we have  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}^L, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $X \mapsto (f \mapsto f(X))$ . Then there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}^L$  such that  $f(X)$  is nonzero. Then  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes Y$  is a condensate of  $f(X)^L \otimes f(X) \otimes Y$  hence  $f(X) \otimes Y$  is nonzero. Therefore,  $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$  is nonzero.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category and let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a nonzero separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. (1) The  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible. (2) The canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* Consider the indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} & \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}) \\ \mathcal{M} & \mathcal{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The composition  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$  maps  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ . We obtain (1). Moreover,  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \Omega(\Sigma \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \Omega(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ . We obtain (2).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. Then*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{P} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{P})$$

for separable left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{N}$  and left  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* By [KZ22, Theorem 3.1(2)],  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, -)$  preserves colimits.  $\square$

**Definition 2.7.** We say that  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is *trivial* if the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is invertible.

**Proposition 2.8.** *For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial if and only if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity is trivial. To show the other direction, it suffices to show that the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $u : n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is invertible as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor. Since the composition  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{u \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the identity functor and since  $\Omega \mathcal{A}$  is braided,  $u$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an invertible separable  $n$ -category.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an invertible separable  $n$ -category. Then the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  is trivial by Proposition 2.8. In particular, there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \simeq n\text{Vec}$  mapping  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$  to  $\mathbf{1}_{n\text{Vec}}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ . Invoking Proposition 2.8 again, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *A separable  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C})$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a nonzero separable  $n$ -category. If  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}$  then  $\mathcal{C} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ .*

*Proof.* The claim is clear for  $n = 0$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C})$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq (n-1)\text{Vec}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{C})$  is trivial by Proposition 2.8. Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible hence equivalent to  $n\text{Vec}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a nonzero  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $n$ -category. If  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functors  $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$  and  $r : n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  exhibit  $\mathcal{C}$  as a retract of  $n\text{Vec}$ , i.e.  $r \circ i \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , then  $i$  and  $r$  are inverse to each other.*

*Proof.* Let  $e = i \circ r$  so that  $e \circ e \simeq e$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is nonzero,  $e$  is nonzero. By regarding  $e$  as an object of  $n\text{Vec}$ , we conclude from Proposition 2.11 that  $e \simeq \text{Id}_{n\text{Vec}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.13.** A *condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A}$*  in  $E_{m+1}\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \in E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})$ .

**Remark 2.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1}\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \in E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}$  admits an associative left action of  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})$  as well as an associative right action of  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})^{\text{op}(-m)}$  in  $E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Promoting  $\mathcal{M}$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to endowing  $\mathcal{M}$  with an associative right action of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . For example, the evident associative right action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on itself induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})$ , promoting  $\mathcal{A}$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over itself.

**Remark 2.15.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a separable  $n$ -category. Assume  $\mathcal{C} = \Sigma\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ . We have monoidal equivalences  $\text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}, \Sigma\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{B}}(n\text{Vec}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{B})^{\text{rev}}$ . This yields a canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}), \quad F \mapsto (F^L)^{\text{op}}.$$

It follows that there is a canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}(-m)} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(1-m)})$$

for every  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $E_{m+1}$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category, then  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(1-m)}$  defines an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category where  $m, n \geq 1$  and let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be a condensation-complete  $E_m$ -monoidal full subcategory. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has duals and that  $\mathcal{B} \in \Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is also an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category.*

*Proof.* Every object of  $\Sigma\mathcal{B}$  is a condensate of  $\mathcal{B}$  as a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module hence as a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. The condition  $\mathcal{B} \in \Sigma\mathcal{A}$  then implies a well-defined forgetful functor  $G : \Sigma\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{A}$  which is right adjoint to  $\Sigma F : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is 1-rigid, the  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{B}$  contains  $\mathcal{A}$  as a direct summand. Hence  $G \circ \Sigma F$  contains  $\text{Id}_{\Sigma\mathcal{A}}$  as a direct summand, extending  $G$  to a condensation. Therefore  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is a separable  $(n+1)$ -category. By [KZ22, Theorem 3.35],  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category.  $\square$

**Remark 2.17.** Let  $K/k$  be a field extension. The inclusion  $\iota : k \hookrightarrow K$  induces a  $k$ -linear symmetric monoidal functor

$$\Sigma^{n+1}\iota : \Sigma^{n+1}k \rightarrow \Sigma^{n+1}K, \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_k \Sigma^n K.$$

That is, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a separable  $n$ -category over  $k$  (i.e. an object of  $\Sigma^{n+1}k$ ) then  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_k \Sigma^n K$  is a separable  $n$ -category over  $K$  (i.e. an object of  $\Sigma^{n+1}K$ ) and, moreover,  $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_k \Sigma^n K) \boxtimes_K$

$(\mathcal{D} \boxtimes_k \Sigma^n K) \simeq (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_k \mathcal{D}) \boxtimes_k \Sigma^n K$ . We use  $\mathcal{C}^K$  to denote  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_k \Sigma^n K$  and refer to it as the *extension* of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $K$ .

Field extensions are compatible with many categorical constructions. For example,  $\Sigma(\mathcal{A}^K) \simeq (\Sigma\mathcal{A})^K$ ,  $\Omega(\mathcal{A}^K) \simeq (\Omega\mathcal{A})^K$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}^K) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})^K$  for an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $k$ . If  $K/k$  is a separable finite extension, then  $K$  is a symmetric fusion 0-category over  $k$  therefore  $\Sigma^{n+1}K$  is a symmetric fusion  $(n+1)$ -category over  $k$ ; the forgetful functor  $\Sigma^{n+1}K \rightarrow \Sigma^{n+1}k$  is right adjoint to  $\Sigma^{n+1}\iota$ .

**Remark 2.18.** Proposition 2.3 for  $n = 1$  is due to [DSPS20, Proposition 3.2.1]. Proposition 2.4(1) for  $n = 1$  is due to [ENO10, Proposition 3.5], [Gr10, Theorem 3.20] and [DSPS20, Proposition 2.4.10]. Proposition 2.5 for  $n = 1$  is due to [EO04, Theorem 3.27 and 3.31].

### 3. CENTER FUNCTORS

#### 3.1. $E_0$ -center functors.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. We say that a separable  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$  is *closed* if the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is invertible. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *nondegenerate* if the  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be nonzero separable  $n$ -categories. Then the  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ - $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ -bimodule  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$  hence is closed. In particular,  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  is nondegenerate.

**Proposition 3.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate.
- (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is an invertible separable  $(n+1)$ -category.
- (3)  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.
- (4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) The equivalence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$  maps  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , inducing the desired equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes (\Sigma\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \simeq \Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$ , hence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is invertible.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is indecomposable,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  by [KZ22, Lemma 3.50].

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \boxtimes \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})) \simeq n\text{Vec}$  by [KZ22, Proposition 3.51(2)], hence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial by Corollary 2.9.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** We say that a nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -category is *chiral* if it is not the  $E_0$ -center of a separable  $n$ -category.

**Proposition 3.5.** *A nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  is chiral if and only if  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is a nontrivial invertible separable  $(n+1)$ -category.*

*Proof.* If  $(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq ((n+1)\text{Vec}, \mathcal{C})$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ . Conversely,  $\Sigma\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$  for a nonzero separable  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$  is non-chiral.*

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{A}$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  by Proposition 2.2, if and only if  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma(\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *The construction  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma\mathcal{A}$  gives a one-to-one correspondence between the following two types of data:*

- (a) *Morita equivalence classes of nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -categories.*
- (b) *Equivalence classes of invertible separable  $(n+1)$ -categories.*

Let  $\text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is an indecomposable separable  $n$ -category and a morphism is an equivalence class of nonzero  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functors. By [KZ22, Corollary 3.12(1)], the composition of morphisms is well-defined.

Let  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is a nondegenerate fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  and a morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence class of pairs  $(\mathcal{M}, X)$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a closed  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and  $X$  is a nonzero object of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Two pairs  $(\mathcal{M}, X)$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, Y)$  are equivalent if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear bimodule equivalence  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that  $F(X) \simeq Y$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  be nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -categories and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a closed  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule,  $\mathcal{N}$  be a closed  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. Then the  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Replacing  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$  by  ${}_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}}\mathcal{M}_{n\text{Vec}}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}}$  by  ${}_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}}(\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}})_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}}}$  if necessary, we assume that  $\mathcal{A} = n\text{Vec}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . Consider the canonical monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ . Since the composition  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \xrightarrow{F \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  is invertible and since  $\Sigma\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Sigma \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  and  $\Sigma \text{Fun}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  are all equivalent to  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ ,  $\Sigma F$  is invertible. Therefore,  $F$  is invertible, as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *The composition of morphisms of  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is well-defined.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{M}, X) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, Y) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two morphisms of  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ . By Proposition 3.8, the  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}$  is closed. By Proposition 2.4(2),  $Y \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} X$  is nonzero.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  and  $(F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), F)$  defines a symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}.$$

*Proof.* By Example 3.2, the assignment is well-defined on objects and morphisms. Moreover,  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  hence the assignment preserves composition of morphisms.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is no nontrivial invertible separable  $n$ -category.*
- (2)  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_{n-1}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_{n-1}^{\text{cl}}$  *is essentially surjective.*
- (3)  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  *is full.*
- (4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$  *is faithful.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is Proposition 3.5.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) For any closed  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ - $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C}$  is a closed  $n\text{Vec}$ - $n\text{Vec}$ -bimodule hence equivalent to  $n\text{Vec}$  by assumption. Therefore,  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D} \boxtimes n\text{Vec} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) An invertible separable  $n$ -category defines a closed  $n\text{Vec}$ - $n\text{Vec}$ -bimodule which has to be trivial by assumption.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) For  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}}$  we have  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}) | \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq (n+1)\text{Vec}$ . Thus there is no nontrivial bimodule auto-equivalence of  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ .



(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) An invertible separable  $n$ -category defines an  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ - $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ -bimodule auto-equivalence of  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$  which has to be trivial.  $\square$

**Example 3.12.** (1) For  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is invertible. In fact, there is a unique indecomposable separable 0-category  $\mathbb{C}$  and a unique nondegenerate fusion 0-category  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(2) For  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is invertible. In fact, there is a unique indecomposable separable 1-category  $\text{Vec}$  and a unique nondegenerate fusion 1-category  $\text{Vec}$ .

(3) Condition (1) of Proposition 3.11 holds for  $n = 0, 1, 2$  but fails at least for  $n = 3, 4$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  is fully faithful but not essentially surjective for  $n = 2$ ;  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  is faithful but neither full nor essentially surjective for  $n = 3$ ;  $\mathfrak{Z}_0$  is neither faithful nor full for  $n = 4$ .

**Remark 3.13.** The results of this subsection except Example 3.12 apply to an arbitrary base field  $k$ . However, when  $k$  is not separably closed, the tensor products of  $\text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}}$  and  $\text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  are ill-defined therefore  $\mathfrak{Z}_0 : \text{Sep}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Fus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is merely a functor.

The proof of (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) of Proposition 3.3 only applies to a separably closed base field, but the general case can be reduced to the separably closed case by applying a field extension: the equation  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  holds provided it holds after a field extension.

**3.2.  $E_1$ -center functors.** Recall that given a braided monoidal  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we use  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  to denote  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-1)}$ . Informally speaking,  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{A}$  by reversing the braiding.

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be braided fusion  $n$ -categories. A *multi-fusion  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule* is a multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{X}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{X})$ . In the special case where  $\psi_{\mathcal{X}}$  is invertible, we say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *closed*. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *nondegenerate* if the multi-fusion  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed.

**Remark 3.15.** A multi-fusion  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule was called a multi-fusion  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule in [KZ18]. We change the convention to avoid unnecessary confusion of notations.

**Proposition 3.16.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate.
- (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate.
- (3)  $\Sigma^2\mathcal{A}$  is invertible.
- (4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma^2\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.
- (5)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) By [KZ22, Lemma 3.50],  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, the canonical braided monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is invertible if and only if  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is invertible. (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5) is due to Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.17.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category, then the braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is nondegenerate.*

*Proof.* By [KZ22, Lemma 3.50],  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ , which is nondegenerate by Example 3.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.18.** According to [JF22, Corollary IV.3], Condition (5) of Proposition 3.16 is equivalent to the condition that  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial. We give a different proof of this fact in Proposition 5.18.

**Definition 3.19.** We say that a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category is *chiral* if it is not the  $E_1$ -center of a fusion  $n$ -category. We say that two nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are *Witt equivalent* if  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}$  is non-chiral.

**Proposition 3.20.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is chiral.
- (2)  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is chiral.
- (3)  $\Sigma^2\mathcal{A}$  is a nontrivial invertible separable  $(n+2)$ -category.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{B})$  for a fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) If  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$  for a fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{B})$ .

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) is due to Proposition 3.5.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category and a morphism is an equivalence class of nonzero separable bimodules. By [KZ22, Theorem 3.22], we have a symmetric monoidal equivalence:

$$\Sigma : \mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}}, \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec}), \quad {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  denote the symmetric monoidal 1-category where an object is a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  and a morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence class of closed multi-fusion  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules.

**Proposition 3.21.** *The composition of morphisms of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  is well-defined and we have a symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\Sigma : \mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}, \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma\mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{X} \mapsto (\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}). \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* The assignment (3.1) is well-defined on objects by Proposition 3.16. For  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ , we have  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}$ , which is of fusion type by [KZ22, Lemma 3.49(1)]. Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is connected so that  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \Sigma\Omega\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 3.16, (3.1) is essentially surjective.

Let  $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ . We have  $(\Sigma\mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{B} \simeq \Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{X})$ . Therefore,  $(\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  is a well-defined morphism of  $\mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ .

Conversely, let  $(\mathcal{M}, x) : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ . Since  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Sigma\mathcal{B}$  are indecomposable as separable  $(n+1)$ -categories, so is  $\mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Omega(\mathcal{M}, x)) \simeq \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{M}) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ , i.e.  $\Omega(\mathcal{M}, x) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a well-defined morphism of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ .

Since  $\Omega(\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{X}$  and  $\Sigma\Omega(\mathcal{M}, x) \simeq \mathcal{M}$ , the construction  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto (\Sigma\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  on morphism is bijective.

Let  $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two morphisms of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ . We have  $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{Y} \boxtimes_{\Sigma\mathcal{B}} \Sigma\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{Y} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a well-defined morphism of  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$  and (3.1) is a well-defined functor.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.22.** *The construction  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma\mathcal{A} \mapsto \Sigma^2\mathcal{A}$  gives a one-to-one correspondence between the following three types of data:*

- (a) Witt equivalence classes of nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -categories.
- (b) Morita equivalence classes of nondegenerate fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories.
- (c) Equivalence classes of invertible separable  $(n+2)$ -categories.

**Theorem 3.23.** *The assignment  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  defines a symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1 : \mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}.$$

*Proof.* Combine Theorem 3.10 and the equivalences  $\Sigma : \mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Sep}_{n+1}^{\text{ind}}$  and  $\Sigma : \mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Fus}_{n+1}^{\text{cl}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.24.** Unwinding the constructions, we see that an isomorphism  $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}}$ , i.e. an invertible bimodule, induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  then a monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{B})$  and then a braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$  giving rise to the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{M}) : \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})$  in  $\mathcal{BFus}_n^{\text{cl}}$ .

**Corollary 3.25.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  be multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{B}$  is indecomposable. We have an equivalence of multi-fusion  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{C})$ - $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodules*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}), \quad g \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} f \mapsto f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} g$$

for separable bimodules  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Moreover, we have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}') \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{P} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}'), \quad g \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B})} f \mapsto f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} g$$

for separable bimodules  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{Q}'_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$  are indecomposable and that  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  are nonzero. Then the first claim follows from Theorem 3.23. Taking  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}'$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q}'$  we obtain the second claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.26.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{A}$  is indecomposable. We have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}, \quad f \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} X \mapsto fF(X).$$

*Proof.* Use  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Fun}_{n\text{Vec}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \text{Fun}_{n\text{Vec}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.27.** In Corollary 3.26, the composition  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to  $F$ . Conversely, if there is a multi-fusion  $n\text{Vec}$ - $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{E}$  and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to  $F$ , then  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Remark 3.28.** This subsection relies heavily on [KZ22, Lemma 3.49 and Lemma 3.50], thus the results only apply to separably closed base fields. However, Proposition 3.16 and Proposition 3.20 apply to an arbitrary base field, because nondegeneracy is stable under field extensions and because the equation  $\Sigma\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  holds provided it holds after a field extension. We conjecture that Corollary 3.22 also hold for an arbitrary base field.

**Remark 3.29.** Direction (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) of Proposition 3.3 is due to [JF22, Theorem 2]. Direction (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) of Proposition 3.16 for  $n = 1$  is due to [DN20, Proposition 4.16]. Corollary 3.17 for  $n = 1$  is due to [ENO04, Proposition 4.4]. The notion of Witt equivalence was introduced in [DMNO13] for nondegenerate braided fusion 1-categories. Theorem 3.23 for  $n = 1$  is a main result of [KZ18].

## 4. CENTRALIZERS AND MODULAR EXTENSIONS

**4.1. Centralizers.** The following definition is standard. See [Lur14, Section 5.3].

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in E_m\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . The  $E_m$ -centralizer of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is the universal condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  equipped with a unital action  $G : \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes$

$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , i.e. a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor rendering the following diagram in  $E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  commutative up to equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} & \\ \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})} \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} \nearrow & & \searrow G \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

**Remark 4.2.** The  $E_m$ -centralizer  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  in itself is the  $E_m$ -center  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})$  for  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . The  $E_m$ -centralizer  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(n\text{Vec}, \mathcal{A})$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 4.3.** By definition,  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}k}, \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}k}) = \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}k}$ .

**Example 4.4.** For  $m = 0$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = (\text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), F)$ . In fact, giving a unital action  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  that maps  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $F$ .

**Example 4.5.** For  $\mathcal{A} \in E_1 \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  and a condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M})) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . In fact, giving a unital action  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M})$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Example 4.6.** For  $m = \infty$ , we have  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . In fact, giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to giving a pair of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . In another word,  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$  is the coproduct of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $E_{\infty} \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  (see [Lur14, Proposition 3.2.4.7]).

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor where  $\mathcal{A} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \in E_{m-1} \text{KarCat}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \Omega\mathcal{B}) = \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma$  is left adjoint to  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}, \Omega\mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{E_{m-1}}(\Sigma\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

for  $\mathcal{C} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \Omega\mathcal{B})) \simeq \text{Fun}^{E_{m-1}}(\Sigma\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}))$$

where the right hand side is equivalent to  $\text{Fun}^{E_m}(\mathcal{C}, \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}))$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \Omega\mathcal{B}) = \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** We have  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega^k \mathfrak{Z}_{m-k}(\Sigma^k \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^k \mathcal{B})$  where  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega^m(\text{Fun}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{A}, \Sigma^m \mathcal{B}), \Sigma^m F)$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 4.7 for  $k$  times.  $\square$

**Example 4.9.** If  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between multi-fusion  $n$ -categories, then  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Omega(\text{Fun}(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{B}), \Sigma F) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Corollary 4.10.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -categories, then  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is also an  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) = \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$ .

*Proof.* Combine Theorem 4.8 and the equivalence  $\text{Fun}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma^m \mathcal{B}, \Sigma^m \mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{A}, \text{Fun}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{B}, \Sigma^m \mathcal{C}))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal  $n$ -category over  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1}\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We have*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{m+1}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})) = \Omega \mathfrak{Z}_m(\Sigma \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma \mathcal{M}))$$

for  $m \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{m+1}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)}, \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M})) = \Omega^m \text{Fun}_{(\Sigma^m \mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}}}(\Sigma^m \mathcal{M}, \Sigma^m \mathcal{M}).$$

*Proof.* Combining Lemma 4.7 with the equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{M}) = \Omega \mathfrak{Z}_{m-1}(\Sigma \mathcal{M})$ , we obtain the first identity. The second identity follows from the first one and Example 4.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories. Then  $\Sigma \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{B})$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\text{Fun}(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{B}) \simeq (\Sigma \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \Sigma \mathcal{B}$ , which is indecomposable by [KZ22, Lemma 3.49(1)]. Hence  $\Sigma \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Sigma \Omega \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{B}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate then  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is nondegenerate.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma \mathcal{A}$  is invertible, we have  $\Sigma \mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{A}, \Sigma \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \Sigma \mathcal{A}$  which is equivalent by Lemma 4.13 to  $\Sigma \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \Sigma \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.15.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor between braided fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate. Then  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is nondegenerate.*

**Remark 4.16.** When the base field is not separably closed, Lemma 4.13 needs an additional assumption, for example, either  $\mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate.

**Remark 4.17.** Corollary 4.15 for  $n = 1$  is due to [Mu03b, Proposition 4.1], [DMNO13, Corollary 3.26] and [DGNO10, Theorem 3.13].

## 4.2. Perfect pairing.

**Definition 4.18.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We say that a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a *perfect pairing* if the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  are both invertible, i.e.  $\phi$  exhibits  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  as  $E_m$ -centralizers of each other in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 4.19.** According to Proposition 4.12, the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_{m+1}$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}(-m)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A})$  is a perfect pairing for any  $\mathcal{A} \in E_{m+1} \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Proposition 4.20.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}$  be indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{M}$  is nondegenerate. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing if and only if  $\Sigma \phi : \Sigma \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.13,  $\Sigma \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{B}, \Sigma \mathcal{M})$ . Then an equivalence  $\Sigma \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma \mathcal{B}, \Sigma \mathcal{M})$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$  and vice versa.  $\square$

**Definition 4.21.** We say that an  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  is *indecomposable* (resp. *nondegenerate*) if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an indecomposable (resp. invertible) separable  $n$ -category and  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is nonzero.

**Proposition 4.22.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor between  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate. Then the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is an invertible separable  $n$ -category, the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$  is invertible. Hence the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$  is invertible.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.23.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor between indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate. Then the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 4.13, Proposition 4.20 and Proposition 4.22.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.24.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functors where  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in E_m \text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ . If  $G$  is fully faithful, then the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_m$ -monoidal functor  $G' : \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  is also fully faithful.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  be the full subcategory consisting of the condensates of the image of  $G'$ . Then the unital action  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  restricts to a unital action  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mathcal{E}$  shares the same universal property as  $\mathfrak{Z}_m(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . Therefore,  $G'$  is fully faithful onto  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.25.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}$  be braided fusion  $n$ -categories where  $\mathcal{M}$  is nondegenerate. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing if and only if  $\Sigma\phi : \Sigma\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing.*

*Proof.* Sufficiency. The equivalence  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$ .

Necessity. Let  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  so that  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \Omega\mathcal{A}'$  and  $\mathcal{B} \simeq \Omega\mathcal{B}'$ . We need to show that  $\mathcal{A}'$  is connected whence the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is invertible. By Corollary 4.23,  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}', \Sigma\mathcal{M}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{B}', \Sigma\mathcal{M}) \simeq \Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . We have a commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{MFus}_n^{\text{ind}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}'} & \mathcal{A}' \\ \Sigma\mathcal{M} \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \Sigma\mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{B}'^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}' \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{M}} & \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes \Sigma\mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are invertible by Proposition 2.5(1). By the functoriality of  $E_1$ -center, we obtain a commutative diagram (up to equivalence) of fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}') \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{M}})} & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{B}' \boxtimes \Sigma\bar{\mathcal{M}}). \end{array}$$

Since  $\psi'$  is fully faithful by Lemma 4.24,  $\psi$  is also fully faithful. Thus we have a fully faithful  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A}'^{\text{rev}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}'^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}')} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}') \simeq \text{Fun}_{\Sigma\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}')$ . In particular,  $\text{Fun}_{\Sigma\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}')$  has a simple tensor unit, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}'$  is connected, as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 4.26.** Proposition 4.25 applies to an arbitrary base field, because field extensions are compatible with centralizers and because  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{B}, \Sigma\mathcal{M})$  is connected provided it is connected after a field extension.

### 4.3. Modular extensions.

**Definition 4.27** ( $E_0$ -version). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category over a nonzero multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ . An  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nondegenerate  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the composite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing. Two modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are *equivalent* if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Example 4.28.** In the special case where  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, X)$  equipped with the canonical monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $(n\text{Vec}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}))$  is an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  because the evaluation functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (n\text{Vec}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}))$  is a perfect pairing.

**Theorem 4.29.** *The equivalence classes of  $E_0$ -modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  one-to-one correspond to the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalences  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_0$ -modular extension if and only if such an equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  exists.*

*Proof.* Given such an equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$ , we let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a closed  $n\text{Vec}$ - $n\text{Vec}$ -bimodule by Proposition 3.8, hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is nondegenerate. It is clear that the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_0$ -monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  factors through  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$  and similarly  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{E}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Conversely, given an  $E_0$ -modular extension  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a closed  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{M})$ - $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$ -bimodule by Example 3.2, hence we obtain  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}}$ . It is clear that the composition  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}$ , therefore this construction is inverse to the previous one.  $\square$

**Example 4.30.** According to Corollary 2.10, if  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is nondegenerate, then  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_0$ -modular extension if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial. Moreover, the only  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  itself.

**Remark 4.31.** Let  $\text{MExt}^{E_0}(\mathcal{E})$  be the group formed by the (equivalence classes of)  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalences  $\phi : \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$ . Then Theorem 4.29 implies that the collection of the (equivalence classes of)  $E_0$ -modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$ , if not empty, is a torsor over  $\text{MExt}^{E_0}(\mathcal{E})$ . In particular, in the situation of Example 4.28 where  $(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, X)$  admits a canonical  $E_0$ -modular extension, the  $E_0$ -modular extensions of  $(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, X)$  form a group that is canonically identified with  $\text{MExt}^{E_0}(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Definition 4.32** ( $E_1$ -version). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an indecomposable multi-fusion  $n$ -category over a braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$ . An  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nondegenerate multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the composite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing. Two  $E_1$ -modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are *equivalent* if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Example 4.33.** In the special case where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  equipped with the canonical braided monoidal functor  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}})$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$  is an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$ . In fact, according to Example 4.5,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  are centralizers of each other in  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Corollary 4.34.** *The equivalence classes of  $E_1$ -modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  one-to-one correspond to the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalences  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  such that the composition  $\overline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_1$ -modular extension if and only if such an equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  exists.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is an  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $(n+1)$ -category over  $\Sigma\mathcal{E}$ . According to Proposition 4.20, giving an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to giving an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal equivalence  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, the claim follows from Theorem 4.29.  $\square$

**Remark 4.35.** Unwinding the proof we see that the  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{E})}} \mathcal{E}$ .

**Example 4.36.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  itself is nondegenerate, then  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an  $E_1$ -modular extension if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial. Moreover, the only  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  itself.

**Definition 4.37** ( $E_2$ -version). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a braided fusion  $n$ -category over an  $E_3$ -fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$ . A *modular extension* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the composite  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a perfect pairing. Two modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are *equivalent* if there is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Corollary 4.38.** *The equivalence classes of modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  one-to-one correspond to the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal equivalences  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  such that the composition  $\overline{\Sigma\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\Sigma\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a modular extension if and only if such an equivalence  $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  exists.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$  is a fusion  $(n+1)$ -category over  $\Sigma\mathcal{E}$ . According to Proposition 4.25, giving a modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to giving an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, the claim follows from Corollary 4.34.  $\square$

**Remark 4.39.** To summarize, modular extensions are special cases of  $E_1$ -modular extensions of fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories;  $E_1$ -modular extensions are the same as  $E_0$ -modular extensions of indecomposable  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $(n+1)$ -categories.

**Remark 4.40.** The notion of (minimal) modular extension was originally considered for braided fusion 1-categories [Mu03b, Section 5.1] and was further developed in [LKW15, LKW17, BGHN+17, GVR17, VR19, DN20, OY21, JFR21, Nik22]. In fact, in the special case where  $n = 1$ , if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are both full subcategories of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{E}$  must be the Müger center  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  and one has  $\dim \mathcal{M} = \dim \mathcal{A} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  as formulated in [Mu03b, Conjecture 5.2]. The modular extensions of higher braided fusion categories were first considered in [KLWZZ20].

**Remark 4.41.** Corollary 4.38 was conjectured in [KLWZZ20]. A proof in the case  $n = 1$  was obtained by Johnson-Freyd and Reutter [JFR21] when this paper was in preparation.

**Theorem 4.42.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a multi-fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

(1) *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_0$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor*

$$F \circ G : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$$



is invertible where  $G$  is the right adjoint functor to  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ .

(2) The following three types of data one-to-one correspond to each other:

- (a) Equivalence classes of  $E_0$ -modular extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
- (b) Equivalence classes of invertible objects of  $\mathcal{E}$  modulo invertible objects of  $n\text{Vec}$ ;
- (c) Equivalence classes of invertible objects  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $F(E) \simeq \mathbf{1}_{n\text{Vec}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) We have  $n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}, n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathcal{A}, F)$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{A}, F) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \simeq n\text{Vec}.$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, X) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, X) \simeq (\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, G(X))$  for  $X \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, X) \simeq (\mathcal{A}, F) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, X) \simeq (\mathcal{A}, F) \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})} (\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, G(X)) \simeq (n\text{Vec}, FG(X))$ .

(2) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Make a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear identification  $\mathcal{M} = n\text{Vec}$  and suppose that the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is represented by  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . The composition  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear equivalence  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{E}$ ,  $Y \mapsto Y \otimes E$ . Thus  $E$  is an invertible object of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Two modular extensions  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are equivalent if and only if the corresponding invertible objects  $E, E' \in \mathcal{E}$  differ by an invertible object of  $n\text{Vec}$ . We obtain the desired one-to-one correspondence between (a) and (b). The one-to-one correspondence between (b) and (c) is clear.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.43.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a braided fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $E_1$ -modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the evident  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal functor*

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M})$$

is invertible.

*Proof.* The  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} - : \Sigma \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow (n+1)\text{Vec}$  is invertible by Theorem 4.42(1).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.44.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $E_3$ -fusion  $n$ -category which admits a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $E_3$ -monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a modular extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the evident  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear braided monoidal functor*

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(n\text{Vec} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M})$$

is invertible.

**Remark 4.45.** For a field  $k$  that is not separably closed, Corollary 4.38 needs an additional assumption that  $\Omega^n \mathcal{E} \simeq \Omega^n \mathcal{A} \simeq k$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  remain of fusion type after base extensions.

## 5. GROUP THEORETICAL FUSION $n$ -CATEGORIES

**5.1. Linear representations of higher groups.** Let  $G$  be a (discrete) group, regarded as a monoidal 0-category. We use  $n\text{Rep}G$  to denote the condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal  $n$ -category  $\text{LMod}_G(n\text{Vec}) = \text{Fun}(BG, n\text{Vec})$ . By definition,  $\Omega(n+1)\text{Rep}G \simeq n\text{Rep}G$ .

We use  $n\text{Vec}_G$  to denote the condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal  $n$ -category  $G \times n\text{Vec}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LMod}_{n\text{Vec}_G}((n+1)\text{Vec}) &\simeq (n+1)\text{Rep}G, \\ \text{Fun}_{n\text{Vec}_G}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) &\simeq \Omega(n+1)\text{Rep}G. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. (1)  $n\text{Vec}_G$  is a fusion  $n$ -category. (2)  $n\text{Rep}G$  is a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category and we have  $(n+1)\text{Rep}G \simeq \Sigma n\text{Rep}G$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\mathcal{A} = n\text{Vec}_G$ . The tensor product functor  $\otimes : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  extends to a condensation in  $\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  by the consecutive counit maps  $v_1 : \otimes \circ \otimes^R \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $v_2 : v_1 \circ v_1^R \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}}$ , etc. terminated by an identity  $v_n \circ \frac{1}{|G|}u = 1$ . Here,  $u$  is the unit map  $\text{Id}_{\dots \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow v_{n-1} \circ v_{n-1}^R$  as  $v_i^R \simeq v_i^L$  canonically. We obtain a condensation  $-\boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $\mathcal{M} = \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  which extends  $-\boxtimes \mathcal{A} : (n+1)\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  to a condensation. This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is separable hence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \Omega(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$  is a fusion  $n$ -category.

(2) Since  $n\text{Vec}_G$  is a fusion  $n$ -category,  $(n+1)\text{Rep } G$  is connected. Then from  $\Omega(n+1)\text{Rep } G \simeq n\text{Rep } G$  we obtain  $(n+1)\text{Rep } G \simeq \Sigma n\text{Rep } G$ . Invoking [KZ22, Theorem 3.35], we conclude that  $n\text{Rep } G$  is a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. For an  $(n+2)$ -cocycle  $\omega : G^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , let  $n\text{Vec}_G^\omega$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal  $n$ -category obtained from  $n\text{Vec}_G$  by twisting the coherence relation for the tensor product of  $n+2$  objects. The monoidal equivalence class of  $n\text{Vec}_G^\omega$  depends only on the cohomology class of  $\omega$ . Note that  $n\text{Vec}_G^\omega$  defines a monoidal functor  $G \rightarrow \text{Fun}((n+1)\text{Vec}, (n+1)\text{Vec})$ , hence an invertible object  $X$  of  $(n+2)\text{Rep } G$ . We have  $\text{Hom}_{(n+2)\text{Rep } G}(\mathbf{1}, X) \simeq \text{LMod}_{n\text{Vec}_G^\omega}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  and we denote this separable  $(n+1)$ -category by  $(n+1)\text{Rep } G^\omega$ . It follows that  $n\text{Vec}_G^\omega$  is a fusion  $n$ -category.

**Definition 5.3.** An  $n$ -groupoid  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $n$ -category where all (higher) morphisms are invertible. An  $n$ -group is a monoidal  $(n-1)$ -category  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $B\mathcal{G}$  is an  $n$ -groupoid. We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is *finite* if all the homotopy groups  $\pi_i(\mathcal{G})$  are finite. A *group homomorphism* between two  $n$ -groups is a monoidal functor.

**Remark 5.4.** A 1-group is simply a group. An  $n$ -group can be regarded as an  $m$ -group for  $m > n$ . We adopt the convention that a 0-group is a trivial group.

For an  $m$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$ , we use  $n\text{Rep } \mathcal{G}$  to denote the condensation-complete  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric monoidal  $n$ -category  $\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{G}}(n\text{Vec}) = \text{Fun}(B\mathcal{G}, n\text{Vec})$ . By definition,  $\Omega(n+1)\text{Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq n\text{Rep } \mathcal{G}$ . Moreover,  $n\text{Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq n\text{Rep } \tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G}$ , where  $\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G}$  is the  $n$ -truncation of  $\mathcal{G}$  (i.e.  $\pi_i(\tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{G}) = \pi_i(\mathcal{G})$  for  $i < n$  and vanishes for  $i \geq n$ ).

The forgetful functor  $\text{KarCat}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{KarCat}_{n+1}$  has a left adjoint

$$L : \text{KarCat}_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{KarCat}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

For an  $n$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$ , we use  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  to denote  $\Omega L(B\mathcal{G})$  so that

$$\text{LMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}((n+1)\text{Vec}) \simeq (n+1)\text{Rep } \mathcal{G},$$

$$\text{Fun}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}(n\text{Vec}, n\text{Vec}) \simeq \Omega(n+1)\text{Rep } \mathcal{G}.$$

Indeed, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a group  $G$  then  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is precisely  $n\text{Vec}_G$  defined above. In general,  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  has the form  $\bigoplus_{g \in \pi_0(\mathcal{G})} \Sigma(n-1)\text{Vec}_{\Omega\mathcal{G}}$ . It follows immediately that  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is finite.

**Remark 5.5.**  $n\text{Rep } \mathcal{G}$  might be a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category for infinite  $\mathcal{G}$ . For example,  $\text{Rep } S_\infty \simeq \text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2$  where  $S_\infty = \varinjlim S_n$  is the infinite symmetric group.

**Remark 5.6.** Note that  $L(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}) \simeq L(\mathcal{C}) \boxtimes L(\mathcal{D})$ . Thus  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2} \simeq n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}_1} \boxtimes n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}_2}$ .

**Example 5.7.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. Then  $B^{n-1}G$  is a finite  $n$ -group for  $n \geq 1$ . By induction on  $n$ , we have  $n\text{Vec}_{B^{n-1}G} \simeq n\text{Rep } \chi(G)$  where  $\chi(G) = \text{hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  is the character group. It follows that  $n\text{Rep}(B^{n-1}G) \simeq n\text{Vec}_{\chi(G)}$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite  $n$ -group. (1)  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category. (2)  $n\text{Rep } \mathcal{G}$  is a symmetric fusion  $n$ -category and we have  $(n+1)\text{Rep } \mathcal{G} \simeq \Sigma n\text{Rep } \mathcal{G}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We prove by induction on the product of the orders of  $\pi_i(\mathcal{G})$ . If  $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$  is trivial then  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \Sigma(n-1)\text{Vec}_{\Omega\mathcal{G}}$ . Therefore, replacing  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $\Omega\mathcal{G}$  repeatedly if necessary, we assume that  $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$  is nontrivial. Let  $\mathcal{H} = B\Omega\mathcal{G}$  so that  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  has the form  $\bigoplus_{g \in \pi_0(\mathcal{G})} n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}g}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}g}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category. By a similar argument as the proof of Proposition 5.1, we deduce that the tensor product  $\otimes : n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} \boxtimes_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}g}} n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  extends to a condensation in  $\text{BMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}|n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  hence  $-\boxtimes_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}g}} n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}} : \text{RMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{H}g}}((n+1)\text{Vec}) \rightarrow \text{RMod}_{n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}}((n+1)\text{Vec})$  extends to a condensation. Therefore,  $n\text{Vec}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a fusion  $n$ -category.

(2) The proof is the same as Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

**Remark 5.9.** In the  $k$ -linear settings where  $k$  is a field of positive characteristic, the results of this and next subsections need an additional assumption that  $\text{char } k$  does not divide the order of  $G$  or none of the order of  $\pi_i(\mathcal{G})$ .

## 5.2. Pointed fusion $n$ -categories.

**Definition 5.10.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category and let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{g \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_g$  be the simple decomposition of the underlying separable  $n$ -category. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *pointed* if  $\Lambda$  admits the structure of a group such that the tensor product  $\otimes : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_h \simeq \mathcal{A}_{gh}$  for all  $g, h \in \Lambda$ . We refer to  $\Lambda$  as the *fusion group* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 5.11.** For a finite group  $G$ ,  $n\text{Vec}_G^\omega$  from Remark 5.2 is a typical example of a pointed fusion  $n$ -category.

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a pointed fusion  $n$ -category with fusion group  $G$ . We have the following assertions:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_e$  is a trivial fusion  $n$ -category where  $e \in G$  is the unit element.
- (2)  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is invertible for all  $g \in G$ .
- (3)  $X \otimes Y$  is simple for any simple objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (4)  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq (n-1)\text{Rep } G$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\mathcal{A}_e \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_e \simeq \mathcal{A}_e$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_e \simeq n\text{Vec}$  by Proposition 2.11. By Proposition 2.8,  $\mathcal{A}_e$  is trivial.

(2) is a consequence of (1).

(3) is a consequence of [KZ22, Lemma 3.49(1)].

(4) Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  defines a monoidal functor  $G \rightarrow \text{Fun}((n+1)\text{Vec}, (n+1)\text{Vec})$ , hence an invertible object  $X$  of  $(n+2)\text{Rep } G$ . Observe that the fiber product  $\mathcal{A}_e \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\Omega \text{Hom}_{(n+2)\text{Rep } G}(X, X)$ . Since  $X$  is invertible,  $\text{Hom}_{(n+2)\text{Rep } G}(X, X) \simeq \Omega(n+2)\text{Rep } G$ . Therefore,  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \Omega(\mathcal{A}_e \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})) \simeq (n-1)\text{Rep } G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.13.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group. The following three types of data one-to-one correspond to each other:*

- (a) *Equivalence classes of modular extensions of  $n\text{Rep } G$  (regarded as a braided fusion  $n$ -category over itself).*
- (b) *Equivalence classes of invertible objects of  $(n+2)\text{Rep } G$  on  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ ;*
- (c) *Equivalence classes of pointed fusion  $n$ -categories with fusion group  $G$ .*

*Proof.* (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) is due to Theorem 4.42(2).

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c). A pointed fusion  $n$ -category defines a monoidal functor  $G \rightarrow \text{Fun}((n+1)\text{Vec}, (n+1)\text{Vec})$  by Proposition 5.12(1)(2), hence an invertible object of  $(n+2)\text{Rep } G$  on  $(n+1)\text{Vec}$ , and vice versa.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category and let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{g \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_g$  be the simple decomposition of the separable  $n$ -category. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is pointed.
- (2)  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial and  $X^L \otimes X$  is simple for any simple  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (3)  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial and  $\Lambda$  can be promoted to a group such that  $\mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_h \subset \mathcal{A}_{gh}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is immediate from Proposition 5.12(1)(3).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$  are simple, then  $(X^L \otimes X) \otimes (Y \otimes Y^R)$  is simple by [KZ22, Lemma 3.49(1)]. By Proposition 2.1,  $X \otimes Y$  has to be simple. Therefore, the tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  promotes  $\Lambda$  to a group such that  $\mathcal{A}_g \otimes \mathcal{A}_h \subset \mathcal{A}_{gh}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) We need to show that the tensor product functor  $v : \mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_e$  is invertible for all  $g \in \Lambda$ . Indeed, by the duality of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $v$  exhibits the separable  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  dual to  $\mathcal{A}_g$ . The associativity of the tensor product functor  $\mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$  then implies that  $v$  and the unit map  $u : n\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  exhibit  $\mathcal{A}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}}$  as a retract of  $n\text{Vec}$ . Invoking Corollary 2.12 we conclude that  $v$  is invertible, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category such that  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial where  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is pointed.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  be a simple object. The equivalence  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}, X \otimes X^L)$  maps  $\text{Id}_X$  to the unit map  $u : \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X \otimes X^L$ . Thus  $u$  is simple. Note that  $u$  can be regarded as a morphism of  $\Sigma\Omega\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Vec}$ . The morphism  $u \otimes \text{Id}_X : X \rightarrow X \otimes X^L \otimes X$  is simple by [KZ22, Lemma 3.49(2)]. Therefore, the induced morphism  $\text{Id}_{X^L \otimes X}$  is also simple. Namely,  $X^L \otimes X$  is simple. Invoking Proposition 5.14 we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is pointed.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.16.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fusion  $n$ -category where  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial if and only if  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Omega^{n-1}\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A})$  are both trivial.*

*Proof.* Combine Proposition 5.12(4) and Theorem 5.15.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a nondegenerate braided fusion  $n$ -category where  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial if and only if  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Omega\mathcal{A}$  is trivial. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate,  $\Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \Omega(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{A}})$  is trivial. Then by Corollary 5.16,  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.18.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a braided fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$ :*

- (5)  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.
- (6)  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$  is trivial.

*Proof.* (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6)  $\mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}) = \Omega\mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  is trivial. (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Assume  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{Z}_1(\Sigma\mathcal{A})$  so that  $\Omega\mathcal{B}$  is trivial. By Corollary 3.17,  $\mathcal{B}$  is nondegenerate. Then by Corollary 5.17,  $\mathcal{B}$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 5.19.** Proposition 5.12(3), Proposition 5.14 and Theorem 5.15 only apply to separably closed base fields. Corollary 5.16, Corollary 5.17 and Proposition 5.18 apply to an arbitrary base field because the claims can be reduced to the separably closed case.

**Remark 5.20.** Theorem 5.15 for  $n = 2$  is due to [JFY20, Theorem A]. Corollary 5.17 and Proposition 5.18 are due to [JF22]. Direction (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6) of Proposition 3.16 and 5.18 for  $n = 1$  is due to [DGNO10, Proposition 3.7].

## 6. ORTHOGONAL HIGHER CATEGORIES

An  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -category is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear involution  $*$  :  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{opn}}$  which fixes all the objects and all the  $k$ -morphisms for  $k < n$ . An  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between two  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -categories is a  $*$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear functor. Similarly, a (higher)  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -natural transformation is a  $*$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear (higher) natural transformation.

Let  $\text{Cat}_n^{\mathbb{R}^*}$  denote the  $(n + 1)$ -category formed by  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -categories,  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -functors and (higher)  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -natural transformations and let  $\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{R}^*}$  denote the full subcategory of  $*$ -condensation-complete  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -categories. By slightly abusing notation, we use  $\text{Fun}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  to denote  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_n^{\mathbb{R}^*}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ . We use  $\boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}}$  to denote the tensor product of  $\text{KarCat}_n^{\mathbb{R}^*}$  and leave the notation  $\boxtimes$  for  $\text{KarCat}_n^*$ .

The theory of  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -categories is completely parallel to that of  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear  $n$ -categories with some new features arising from the  $*$ -structure.

We use  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  to denote the symmetric monoidal  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -1-category of finite-dimensional real Hilbert spaces, and use  $n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  to denote the symmetric monoidal  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -category  $\Sigma_*^{n-1}\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}} = \Sigma_*^n \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *The functor  $\text{Hom}_{(n+1)\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\bullet, -) : (n + 1)\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_n^{\mathbb{R}^*}$  is fully faithful.*

**Definition 6.2.** An *orthogonal  $n$ -category* is an  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -category that lies in the essential image of the above functor.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an orthogonal  $n$ -category. Then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$  is an orthogonal  $(n - 1)$ -category for any two objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

**Definition 6.4.** An *orthogonal  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category* is an  $E_m$ -monoidal  $\mathbb{R}^*$ - $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Sigma_*^m \mathcal{A}$  is an orthogonal  $(n + m)$ -category. An orthogonal  $E_m$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category with a simple tensor unit is also referred to as an *orthogonal  $E_m$ -fusion  $n$ -category*.

**Remark 6.5.** Since  $n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is an iterated delooping of  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , it is *positive*:  $f^* \circ f \neq 0$  for any nonzero  $n$ -morphism  $f$ . Since orthogonal  $n$ -categories are hom categories of  $(n + 1)\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , they are also positive.

**Example 6.6.** (1) Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is an orthogonal symmetric fusion 0-category,  $n\text{Hilb}$  is an orthogonal symmetric fusion  $n$ -category. Therefore, unitary  $n$ -categories are orthogonal  $n$ -categories. In this sense, unitary theory is a subtheory of orthogonal theory.

(2) There are a total of three orthogonal fusion 0-categories:  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  and the quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{H}$ . Therefore, there are a total of three indecomposable orthogonal 1-categories:  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\text{Hilb}$  and the  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -1-category of finite-dimensional quaternionic Hilbert spaces  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}}$ .

(3) We have isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H} \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2), \quad \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H} \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4).$$

Thus

$$\text{Hilb} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Hilb} \simeq \text{Hilb} \oplus \text{Hilb}, \quad \text{Hilb} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}} \simeq \text{Hilb}, \quad \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}} \simeq \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Therefore, the orthogonal 1-categories  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}}$  are invertible, but  $\text{Hilb}$  is not.

**Remark 6.7.** The inclusion  $\iota : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  induces an orthogonal symmetric monoidal functor

$$\Sigma_*^{n+1} \iota : (n + 1)\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow (n + 1)\text{Hilb}, \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} n\text{Hilb}.$$

It is left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $(n+1)\text{Hilb} \rightarrow (n+1)\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . We refer to  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}$  as the *complexification* of  $\mathcal{C}$  and refer to  $\mathcal{C}$  as a *real form* of  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . For example,  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}}$  is a real form of  $\text{Hilb}$ .

**Remark 6.8.** A simple object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  of an orthogonal 1-category describes a *time-reversal singlet* in the physics literature if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X) = \mathbb{R}$ ; a *time-reversal doublet* if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X) = \mathbb{C}$ ; a *Kramer doublet* if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X) = \mathbb{H}$ . According to Example 6.6(3), a time-reversal singlet remains simple in  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}$ ; a time-reversal doublet breaks into a direct sum of two distinct simple objects; a Kramer doublet breaks into a direct sum of two same simple objects.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2^T$  denote the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{1, \dagger\}$  where  $\dagger : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the complex conjugation. For a finite group  $G$  equipped with a group homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^T$ , the *twisted group algebra*  $\mathbb{C}[G^\pi]$  is defined to be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra obeying the multiplication rule

$$g \cdot h = gh, \quad g \cdot \lambda = \pi(g)(\lambda)g, \quad g, h \in G, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We use  $\text{Rep } G^\pi$  to denote the orthogonal symmetric fusion 1-category  $\text{LMod}_{\mathbb{C}[G^\pi]}(\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}})$  where the tensor product of two modules  $X$  and  $Y$  is  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Y$ . Define  $n \text{Rep } G^\pi = \Sigma_*^{n-1} \text{Rep } G^\pi$ .

**Example 6.9.** (1) If  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^T$  is trivial, i.e.  $\pi(G) = \{1\}$ , then  $\mathbb{C}[G^\pi]$  coincides with the usual group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  and  $n \text{Rep } G^\pi$  coincides with  $n \text{Rep } G = \text{LMod}_G(n\text{Hilb})$ .

(2) We have  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_2^T] \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_2^T$  is equipped with the identity homomorphism onto itself. Thus  $n \text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2^T \simeq n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

(3) We have  $\mathbb{C}[H \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T] \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[H]$  thus  $n \text{Rep}(H \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T) \simeq n \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} H = \text{LMod}_H(n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}})$  where  $H \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T$  is equipped with the projection onto the second variable.

More generally, one can assign a super element, i.e. a central element  $z \in G$  of order two such that  $\pi(z) = 1$ , to define an orthogonal symmetric fusion 1-category  $\text{sRep } G^\pi$  where the braiding is twisted by  $z$ . As before, let  $n \text{sRep } G^\pi = \Sigma_*^{n-1} \text{sRep } G^\pi$ . For example,  $\text{sRep } \mathbb{Z}_2^f$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  denotes the super  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , is the unitary symmetric fusion 1-category of finite-dimensional super Hilbert spaces. Similarly,  $\text{sRep}(\mathbb{Z}_2^f \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T) \simeq \text{sRep}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_2^f$  is the orthogonal symmetric fusion 1-category of finite-dimensional super real Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 6.10.** A *unitary (resp. orthogonal) modular tensor  $n$ -category*,  $n\text{UMTC}$  (resp.  $n\text{OMTC}$ ) for short, is a nondegenerate unitary (resp. orthogonal) braided fusion  $n$ -category.

**Remark 6.11.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $n\text{OMTC}$ . The orthogonal symmetric monoidal equivalence  $\dagger : n\text{Hilb} \rightarrow n\text{Hilb}$  induced by the complex conjugation  $\dagger : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  induces an anti- $\mathbb{C}$ -linear orthogonal braided monoidal equivalence  $\tau : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . In the special case where  $n = 1$ , this implies that the central charge of the UMTC  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is divided by 4. One can show that a UMTC  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a real form if and only if there is an anti- $\mathbb{C}$ -linear orthogonal braided monoidal equivalence  $\tau : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\tau^2 \simeq \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Using this criterion and the results from [ACRW16, GNN09], one verifies that the  $so(p)_2$  UMTC for prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  admits real forms. Details will be given in a forthcoming paper [WZ22]. We also remark that the  $so(8)_1$  UMTC<sup>4</sup> has an obvious real form.

**Example 6.12.** There are two real forms of the unitary symmetric fusion 1-category  $\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2$ : one is  $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_2$  and the other is  $\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_4^T$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_4^T$  denotes the

<sup>4</sup>The  $so(8)_1$  UMTC is a modular extension of  $\text{sRep } \mathbb{Z}_2^f$  of central charge 4.

group  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  equipped with the nontrivial homomorphism onto  $\mathbb{Z}_2^T$ . Indeed,  $\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_4^T$  has the form  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}}$  hence consists of two simple objects  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $e$  obeying the fusion rule  $e \otimes e = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ .

There are four real forms of the UMTC  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2)$ : one is  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and the other three have the form  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}} \oplus \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}}$ . Three of them are non-chiral but one is chiral. Moreover, there are two modular extensions of  $\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_4^T$ :  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_4^T)$  and the chiral real form of  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . There are four modular extensions of  $\text{sRep}(\mathbb{Z}_2^f \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T)$ :  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , the chiral real form of  $\mathfrak{Z}_1(\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and two real forms of the  $so(8)_1$  UMTC.

## 7. CLASSIFICATION OF GAPPED QUANTUM LIQUIDS WITH FINITE SYMMETRIES

We use  $nD$  to represent the spacetime dimension.

**7.1. Unitary symmetries.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a unitary multi-fusion  $n$ -category. According to [KLWZZ20] and [KZ22, Subsection 5.2],  $nD$  gapped quantum liquids with internal symmetry  $\mathcal{E}$  are classified (modulo invertible topological orders) by the equivalence classes of pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unitary  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category and  $\phi : \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is a unitary monoidal equivalence. Two pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi)$  are equivalent if there is a unitary  $E_0$ -monoidal equivalence  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(F) \circ \phi \simeq \psi$ .

Consider the composite  $*$ -functor  $\gamma : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ .

- If  $\gamma$  is a  $*$ -equivalence, then the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  classifies an SPT order.<sup>5</sup> The SPT order is trivial if and only if  $\phi$  is induced by  $\gamma$ .
- If  $\gamma$  is not a  $*$ -equivalence and if  $\gamma$  is conservative<sup>6</sup>, then the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  classifies an SET order.
- Otherwise, the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  classifies a symmetry breaking order.

Two approaches were proposed in [KLWZZ20] to the classification of gapped quantum liquids: one is via modular extension and the other is via boundary-bulk relation. The  $*$ -versions of Theorem 4.29 and Corollary 4.34 show that these two approaches indeed yield the same results.

**Example 7.1.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. The  $*$ -version of Theorem 5.13 shows that  $nD$  SPT orders with onsite symmetry  $G$  are classified by pointed unitary fusion  $(n-2)$ -categories with fusion group  $G$ . By the  $*$ -version of Remark 5.2, there is a pointed unitary fusion  $(n-2)$ -category  $(n-2)\text{Hilb}_G^{\omega}$  for each  $\omega \in H^n(G, U(1))$ . Since there is no nontrivial invertible unitary  $(n-2)$ -category for  $n \leq 4$ ,  $nD$  SPT orders with onsite symmetries are classified by group cohomology. However, the classification is beyond group cohomology for  $n \geq 5$ . For example, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a chiral  $(n-4)$ UMTC such that  $\mathcal{A}^{\boxtimes m}$  is non-chiral, then  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \Sigma_*^2 \mathcal{A}^{\boxtimes i}$  carries the structure of a pointed unitary fusion  $(n-2)$ -category. It seems to us that this classification is more than that given in [Wen15].

**Remark 7.2.** The above classification of  $nD$  SPT orders with symmetry  $n\text{Hilb}$  (aka invertible topological orders) is completely trivial: one has  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Hilb}$ . Conversely, if a fusion  $(n-1)$ -category describes an  $nD$  invertible topological order, then it is trivial by the  $*$ -version of Corollary 2.9. This is why the above classification is up to invertible topological orders.

Nevertheless, there is still a categorical approach to invertible topological orders: the invertible objects of  $n\text{Hilb}$  (i.e. invertible unitary  $(n-1)$ -categories) classify those  $nD$  invertible topological orders with gapped boundaries. This classification is in the sense

<sup>5</sup>An  $nD$  fermionic invertible topological order is regarded as an  $nD$  SPT order with internal symmetry  $n\text{sRep } \mathbb{Z}_2^f$ .

<sup>6</sup>A functor is conservative if it respects equivalence of objects.

that the invertible objects of  $n\text{Hilb}$  classify the invertible  $n\text{D}$  extended TQFT's with target  $n\text{Hilb}$  by the cobordism hypothesis.

Recall that giving an invertible object of  $n\text{Hilb}$  is equivalent to giving a Witt class of  $(n-3)\text{UMTC}$ 's by the  $*$ -version of Corollary 3.22. It follows that the 4D invertible topological orders are classified by the Witt group of UMTC's introduced in [DMNO13]. It is known that the invertible 4D TQFT associated to a UMTC [CY93] is realized by the Walker-Wang model [WW12] and only sensitive to the central charge (mod 8) of the UMTC. But an invertible 4D extended TQFT encodes topological excitations on the gapped boundaries of an invertible topological order hence is sensitive to the Witt class of a UMTC.

**Remark 7.3.** It is useful to distinguish out a class of symmetry breaking orders which are characterized by the property that the topological defects of such a phase all come from the symmetry charges of a smaller symmetry. More precisely, let  $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0$  be a unitary monoidal functor, for example, the forgetful functor  $F : n\text{Rep } G \rightarrow n\text{Rep } H$  where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . A gapped quantum liquid classified by a pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  is a *symmetry breaking trivial order* with internal symmetry  $\mathcal{E}$  broken to  $\mathcal{E}_0$  if there is a  $*$ -equivalence  $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$  such that the composition  $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{E}$  is equivalent to  $F^R \circ F$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \simeq (\mathcal{E}, F^R F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}})) \simeq (\mathcal{E}, [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_0}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_0}])$ . Applying Remark 4.31, we conclude that the classifications of the following two types of phases are the same:

- (a)  $n\text{D}$  symmetry breaking trivial orders with internal symmetry  $\mathcal{E}$  broken to  $\mathcal{E}_0$ .
- (b)  $n\text{D}$  SPT orders with internal symmetry  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**7.2. Anti-unitary symmetries.** Consider an  $n\text{D}$  time-reversal SPT order. The topological defects of the SPT order form an orthogonal  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $n\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2^T$ . However, we have  $n\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_2^T \simeq n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by Example 6.9(2). This forces  $\mathcal{A} \simeq n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This situation is similar to Remark 7.2: the invertible objects of  $n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  (i.e. invertible orthogonal  $(n-1)$ -categories) classify  $n\text{D}$  time-reversal SPT orders with gapped boundaries. This classification is in the sense that the invertible objects of  $n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  classify the invertible  $n\text{D}$  extended TQFT's with target  $n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by the cobordism hypothesis.

**Example 7.4.** Below are examples of time-reversal SPT's in low dimensions:

- There is only one invertible orthogonal 0-category  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus there is only one 1D time-reversal SPT order.
- According to Example 6.6(3), there are two invertible orthogonal 1-categories  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{H}}$ . Thus there are two 2D time-reversal SPT orders.
- There is only one invertible unitary 2-category  $2\text{Hilb}$  and there is only one real form of  $2\text{Hilb}$ . Therefore, there is only one 3D time-reversal SPT order.
- According to the  $\mathbb{R}^*$ -variant of Remark 5.2, each cohomology class  $\omega \in H^n(\mathbb{Z}_2^T, U_T(1))$  induces an invertible object of  $n\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  which is a real form of  $(n-1)\text{Hilb}$ . Thus  $H^n(\mathbb{Z}_2^T, U_T(1))$  classifies  $n\text{D}$  time-reversal SPT orders that arise from a part of real forms of  $(n-1)\text{Hilb}$ . The above examples match [CGLW13].
- There are infinitely many inequivalent invertible objects of  $4\text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{R}}$  arising from chiral OMTC's as shown in Remark 6.11. Therefore, the classification of 4D time-reversal SPT orders is beyond group cohomology. The 4D time-reversal SPT order associated to the obvious real form of the  $so(8)_1$  UMTC was studied in [VS13, WS13], but the others are missing in the physics literature. See [BBJCW20] for a related work on unoriented 4D TQFT's.



In what follows, classification of gapped quantum liquids with unitary symmetries is modulo invertible topological orders and that with anti-unitary symmetries is modulo  $\mathbb{Z}_2^T$  SPT orders.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an orthogonal multi-fusion  $n$ -category. The  $n$ D gapped quantum liquids with internal symmetry  $\mathcal{E}$  are classified (modulo invertible topological orders or  $\mathbb{Z}_2^T$  SPT orders) by the equivalence classes of pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an orthogonal  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category and  $\phi : \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_0(\mathcal{A})$  is an orthogonal monoidal equivalence. Two pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \psi)$  are equivalent if there is an orthogonal  $E_0$ -monoidal equivalence  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Z}_0(F) \circ \phi \simeq \psi$ .

**Remark 7.5.** In the special case where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unitary multi-fusion  $n$ -category, the orthogonal monoidal equivalence  $\phi$  promotes  $\mathcal{A}$  to a unitary  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category, thus the classification recovers the unitary case.

**Example 7.6.** According to Example 6.12, there are two modular extensions of  $\text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_4^T$ . On the other hand,  $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_4^T, U_T(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_1$ . Therefore, the classification of 3D  $\mathbb{Z}_4^T$  SPT orders is beyond group cohomology. The nontrivial 3D  $\mathbb{Z}_4^T$  SPT order was claimed in [Wen17, Section VI.B] but the modular data therein are slightly different from ours. More generally,  $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4^T) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_m \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Rep } \mathbb{Z}_4^T$  admits at least two evident modular extensions while  $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4^T, U_T(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_1$  for odd  $m$ . Again the classification of 3D  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_4^T$  SPT orders is beyond group cohomology.

**Example 7.7.** According to Example 6.12, there are four modular extensions of  $\text{sRep}(\mathbb{Z}_2^f \times \mathbb{Z}_2^T)$  while the complexifications of the former two give the trivial modular extension of  $\text{sRep } \mathbb{Z}_2^f$ . Therefore, there are two fermionic 3D time-reversal SPT orders. We failed to find the nontrivial one in the physics literature. C.f. [GW14].

**7.3. External symmetries.** Let  $G$  be a finite group that acts on the  $n$ D spacetime. We have a group homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^T$  such that  $\pi(g) = \dagger$  if  $g$  reverses the orientation. Consider an  $n$ D SPT order with the spacetime group symmetry  $G$ . The topological defects of the SPT order form an orthogonal  $E_0$ -multi-fusion  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $n \text{Rep } G^\pi$ .

First, we assume that  $G$  acts faithfully on the spacetime. On the one hand, gauging the symmetry  $G$  we obtain an  $E_0$ -modular extension  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand, the symmetry  $G$  is completely broken, thus the modular extension  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  also characterizes a symmetry breaking trivial order. Combining Remark 7.3, we reach the conclusion that the classifications of the following three types of phases are the same:

- (a)  $n$ D SPT orders with spacetime group symmetry  $G$ ;
- (b)  $n$ D symmetry breaking trivial orders with onsite symmetry  $G$  completely broken;
- (c)  $n$ D SPT orders with onsite symmetry  $G$ .

This result recovers the crystalline equivalence principle [TE18].

Next, we assume that the action of  $G$  on the spacetime is not faithful and let  $G_0$  be the stabilizer subgroup. In this case, the symmetry  $G$  is broken to  $G_0$  and we have  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \simeq (n \text{Rep } G^\pi, [\mathbf{1}_{n \text{Rep } G_0^\pi}, \mathbf{1}_{n \text{Rep } G_0^\pi}])$ . Therefore, the classifications of the following three types of phases are the same:

- (a)  $n$ D SPT orders with mixed group symmetry  $(G, G_0)$ ;
- (b)  $n$ D symmetry breaking trivial orders with onsite symmetry  $G$  broken to  $G_0$ ;
- (c)  $n$ D SPT orders with onsite symmetry  $G$ .

The above results generalize to other higher symmetries straightforwardly. Let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0$  be an orthogonal monoidal functor between orthogonal multi-fusion  $n$ -categories where

$\mathcal{E}$  describes a total higher symmetry and  $\mathcal{E}_0$  describes the internal higher symmetry. The classifications of the following two types of phases are the same:

- (a)  $nD$  gapped quantum liquids with mixed symmetry  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_0)$ ;
- (b)  $nD$  gapped quantum liquids with internal symmetry  $\mathcal{E}$  broken at least to  $\mathcal{E}_0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [ACRW16] E. Ardonne, M. Cheng, E. C. Rowell, Z. Wang, *Classification of metaplectic modular categories*, *J. Algebra* 466 (2016), 141–146. [arXiv:1601.05460](#).
- [BBJCW20] M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, C.-M. Jian, M. Cheng, K. Walker, *Reflection and time reversal symmetry enriched topological phases of matter: path integrals, non-orientable manifolds, and anomalies*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 374 (2020), 1021–1124. [arXiv:1612.07792](#).
- [BGHN+17] P. Bruillard, C. Galindo, T. Hagge, S.-H. Ng, J. Y. Plavnik, E. C. Rowell, Z. Wang, *Fermionic modular categories and the 16-fold way*. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 58(4):041704, 2017. [arXiv:1603.09294](#).
- [CGLW13] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, *Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group*, *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 155114 (2013). [arXiv:1106.4772](#).
- [CY93] L. Crane, D. N. Yetter, *A categorical construction of 4D TQFTs*, in *Quantum Topology*, edited by L. Kauffman and R. Baadhio, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993. [arXiv:hep-th/9301062](#).
- [Dav10] A. Davydov. *Centre of an algebra*. *Adv. Math.*, 225(1):319–348, 2010. [arXiv:0908.1250](#).
- [DGNO10] V. G. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *On braided fusion categories I*, *Selecta Math.* 16 (2010) 1–119. [arXiv:0906.0620](#).
- [DKR15] A. Davydov, L. Kong, I. Runkel, *Functoriality of the center of an algebra*, *Advances in Mathematics* 285 (2015) 811–876, [arXiv:1307.5956](#)
- [DMNO13] A. Davydov, M. Müger, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *The Witt group of nondegenerate braided fusion categories*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 677 (2013) 135–177. [arXiv:1009.2117](#).
- [DN20] A. Davydov, D. Nikshych, *Braided Picard groups and graded extensions of braided tensor categories*, [arXiv:2006.08022v1](#).
- [DR18] C. L. Douglas and D. J. Reutter, *Fusion 2-categories and a state-sum invariant for 4-manifolds*, [arXiv:1812.11933](#).
- [DSPS20] C. L. Douglas, C. Schommer-Pries and N. Snyder, *Dualizable tensor categories*, *Memoirs of the AMS*, 2020. [arXiv:1312.7188](#).
- [ENO04] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *An analogue of Radford’s  $S^4$  formula for finite tensor categories*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 54 (2004), 2915–2933. [arXiv:math/0404504](#).
- [ENO10] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Fusion categories and homotopy theory*, *Quantum Topol.* 1 (2010), no. 3, 209–273. [arXiv:0909.3140](#).
- [EO04] P. Etingof, V. Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, *Mosc. Math. J.* 4 (3) (2004) 627–654, 782–783. [arXiv:math/0301027](#).
- [FFRS08] J. Fjelstad, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, C. Schweigert. *Uniqueness of open/closed rational CFT with given algebra of open states*. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 12(6):1283–1375, 2008. [arXiv:hep-th/0612306](#).
- [FSV14] J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, A. Valentino, *A geometric approach to boundaries and surface defects in Dijkgraaf-Witten theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 332, (2014) 981-1015. [arXiv:1307.3632](#)
- [Gr10] J. Greenough, *Monoidal 2-structure of bimodule categories*, *J. Algebra* 324 (2010), no. 8, 1818–1859. [arXiv:0911.4979v4](#).
- [GJF19] D. Gaiotto and T. Johnson-Freyd, *Condensations in higher categories*. [arXiv:1905.09566](#).
- [GNN09] S. Gelaki, D. Naidu, and D. Nikshych, *Centers of graded fusion categories*, *Algebra Number Theory* 3 (2009), no. 8, 959–990. [arXiv:0905.3117](#).
- [GVR17] C. Galindo, C. F. Venegas-Ramírez, *Categorical fermionic actions and minimal modular extensions*. [arXiv:1712.07097](#).
- [GW14] Z.-C. Gu, X.-G. Wen, *Symmetry-protected topological orders for interacting fermions – Fermionic topological nonlinear  $\sigma$  models and a special group supercohomology theory*, *Phys. Rev. B* 90, 115141 (2014). [arXiv:1201.2648](#).
- [JF22] T. Johnson-Freyd, *On the classification of topological orders*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-022-04380-3>, [arXiv:2003.06663](#).
- [JFR21] T. Johnson-Freyd, D. Reutter, *Minimal nondegenerate extensions*, [arXiv:2105.15167](#).
- [JFY20] T. Johnson-Freyd, M. Yu, *Fusion 2-categories with no line operators are grouplike*, [arXiv:2010.07950](#).

- [KK12] A. Kitaev, L. Kong, *Models for Gapped Boundaries and Domain Walls*, Commun. Math. Phys. 313, 351–373 (2012) [arXiv:1104.5047](#)
- [Kon14] L. Kong, *Anyon condensation and tensor categories*, Nucl. Phys. B 886 (2014) 436–482; Erratum and addendum: “Anyon condensation and tensor categories” [Nucl. Phys. B 886 (2014) 436–482], Nucl. Phys. B 973 (2021) 115607; see also a refinement [arXiv:1307.8244v7](#).
- [KR08] L. Kong, I. Runkel, *Morita classes of algebras in modular tensor categories*. Adv. Math., 219(5):1548–1576, 2008. [arXiv:0708.1897](#).
- [KWZ15] L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, H. Zheng, *Boundary-bulk relation for topological orders as the functor mapping higher categories to their centers*, [arXiv:1502.01690](#)
- [KWZ17] L. Kong, X.-G. Wen and H. Zheng, *Boundary-bulk relation in topological orders*, Nucl. Phys. B 922 (2017), 62–76. [arXiv:1702.00673](#).
- [KLWZZ20] L. Kong, T. Lan, X.-G. Wen, Z.-H. Zhang and H. Zheng, *Classification of topological phases with finite internal symmetries in all dimensions*, J. High Energ. Phys., 2020, 93 (2020). [arXiv:2003.08898](#).
- [KYZ21] L. Kong, W. Yuan, H. Zheng, *Pointed Drinfeld Center Functor*, Commun. Math. Phys. 381, 1409–1443 (2021), [arXiv:1912.13168](#)
- [KZ18] L. Kong and H. Zheng, *The center functor is fully faithful*, Adv. Math. 339 (2018), 749–779. [arXiv:1507.00503](#).
- [KZ21] L. Kong and H. Zheng, *A mathematical theory of gapless edges of 2d topological orders, Part II*, Nucl. Phys. B 966 (2021), 115384. [arXiv:1912.01760](#).
- [KZ22] L. Kong and H. Zheng, *Categories of quantum liquids I*, J. High Energ. Phys. 2022, 70 (2022). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2022\)070](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2022)070) [arXiv:2011.02859](#).
- [LKW15] T. Lan, L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, *A theory of 2+1D fermionic topological orders and fermionic/bosonic topological orders with symmetries*, Phys. Rev. B 94, 155113 (2016). [arXiv:1507.04673](#).
- [LKW17] T. Lan, L. Kong and X.-G. Wen, *Modular extensions of unitary braided fusion categories and 2 + 1D topological/SPT orders with symmetries*, Commun. Math. Phys. 351 (2017) 709–739. [arXiv:1602.05936](#).
- [LKW18] T. Lan, L. Kong and X.-G. Wen, *A classification of 3+1D bosonic topological orders (I): the case when point-like excitations are all bosons*, Phys. Rev. X 8, 021074 (2018), [arXiv:1704.04221](#)
- [Lur14] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, 2014, <http://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Mu03a] M. Müger, *From subfactors to categories and topology I. Frobenius algebras in and Morita equivalence of tensor categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 180 (2003), 81–157. [arXiv:math/0111204](#).
- [Mu03b] M. Müger, *On the structure of modular categories*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 87 (2003) 291–308. [arXiv:math/0201017](#).
- [Nik22] D. Nikshych, *Computing the group of minimal non-degenerate extensions of a super-Tannakian category*, [arXiv:2201.04076](#).
- [OY21] V. Ostrik and Z. Yu, *On the minimal extension and structure of weakly group- theoretical braided fusion categories*, [arXiv:2105.01814](#).
- [TE18] R. Thorngren and D. V. Else, *Gauging spatial symmetries and the classification of topological crystalline phases*, Phys. Rev. X 8, 011040 (2018). [arXiv:1612.00846](#).
- [VR19] C. F. Venegas-Ramírez, *Minimal modular extensions for super-Tannakian categories*, [arXiv:1908.07487](#).
- [VS13] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, *Physics of three-dimensional bosonic topological insulators: surface-deconfined criticality and quantized magnetoelectric effect*, Phys. Rev. X 3, 011016 (2013). [arXiv:1209.3058](#).
- [WS13] C. Wang and T. Senthil, *Boson topological insulators: A window into highly entangled quantum phases*, Phys. Rev. B 87, 235122 (2013). [arXiv:1302.6234](#).
- [WW12] K. Walker, Z. Wang, *(3+1)-TQFTs and topological insulators*, Frontiers of Physics 7 (2012), 150–159. [arXiv:1104.2632](#).
- [WZ22] Y. Wang, H. Zheng, *Real fusion categories*, under preparation.
- [Wen15] X.-G. Wen, *Construction of bosonic symmetry-protected-trivial states and their topological invariants via  $G \times SO(\infty)$  non-linear  $\sigma$ -models*, Phys. Rev. B 91, 205101 (2015). [arXiv:1410.8477](#).
- [Wen17] X.-G. Wen, *Exactly soluble local bosonic cocycle models, statistical transmutation, and simplest time-reversal symmetric topological orders in 3+1 dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B 95, 205142, (2017). [arXiv:1612.01418](#).