

Approximately dual pairs of wavelet frames

Ana Benavente, Ole Christensen, Marzieh Hasannasab
Hong Oh Kim, Rae Young Kim, Federico D. Kovac

November 28, 2021

Abstract

This paper deals with structural issues concerning wavelet frames and their dual frames. It is known that there exist wavelet frames $\{a^{j/2}\psi(a^j \cdot -kb)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for which no dual frame has wavelet structure. We first generalize this result by proving that there exist wavelet frames for which no approximately dual frame has wavelet structure. Motivated by this we show that by imposing a very mild decay condition on the Fourier transform of the generator $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a certain oversampling $\{a^{j/2}\psi(a^j \cdot -kb/N)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ indeed has an approximately dual wavelet frame; most importantly, by choosing the parameter $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large we can get as close to perfect reconstruction as desired, which makes the approximate dual frame pairs perform equally well as the classical dual frame pairs in applications.

Keywords: Wavelet frames, approximately dual frames, almost perfect reconstruction

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 42C40, 42C15

1 Introduction

Given any $a > 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the associated *scaling operator* D_a and translation operator T_b acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $D_a f(x) := a^{1/2}f(ax)$, resp., $T_b f(x) = f(x - b)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The *wavelet system* generated by a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the collection of functions $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{a^{j/2}\psi(a^j \cdot -kb)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. It is well-known [15, 11] that even though a wavelet frame always has a dual frame, there are cases

where no dual frame with wavelet structure exists. Thus, there might not exist a function $\tilde{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that the *perfect reconstruction formula*

$$f = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb} \tilde{\psi} \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi \quad (1.1)$$

holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For this reason we will consider a weakening of the condition (1.1); indeed, following [9], we say that two wavelet systems $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb} \tilde{\psi}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ form *approximately dual frames* if both systems form Bessel sequences, and there exists $\epsilon < 1$ such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb} \tilde{\psi} \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi \right\| \leq \epsilon \|f\|, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1.2)$$

Since real-life applications always involve some truncations and approximations, approximately dual frames with sufficiently small parameters ϵ (say, smaller than machine precision) will perform as well as the classical dual pairs of frames. At the same time it is a significantly weaker condition to ask for functions $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ to generate approximately dual frames, so giving up the perfect reconstruction property leads to a significant gain in design freedom. In our main result we prove that under a very mild decay condition on the Fourier transform of a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that generates a wavelet frame $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the *oversampled wavelet system* $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has an approximately dual wavelet frame; most importantly, by choosing N sufficiently large, we can get as close to perfect reconstruction as desired.

Approximate duals already appear in a number of contexts in the literature, see the papers [4, 2, 7, 17, 18, 19]. Also, the parallel case of Gabor frames was considered in the paper [8]. Even though some of the techniques are similar, there is a fundamental difference between the Gabor case and the wavelet case considered in the current paper: indeed, in contrast to the wavelet case, a Gabor frame always has an exact dual frames with the same structure. For the wavelet case, there is an extensive literature concerned with the case of (multi)wavelets where dual frames with the same structure exists, typically formulated within the setting of the unitary extension principle and its later variants. However, these constructions are based on refinable functions and thus yield a quite limited class. General dual wavelet frames have been considered and characterized, e.g., in [20, 3, 21], but the literature only contains sparse information about the case where a wavelet frames does not have a dual with the same structure.

Our first result, stated in Section 2, is negative and will serve as motivation for the rest of the paper: we prove that there exist wavelet frames, where not even an approximately dual wavelet system exist, i.e., giving up the perfect reconstruction property by itself does not lead to sufficient extra design freedom. Our main new contribution, outlined above, is then developed in Section 3

2 On the existence of approximately dual wavelet frames

The purpose in this Section is to show that there exist wavelet frames for which no approximate dual wavelet frame exists. The result will be derived as a consequence of a couple of results that are derived in the setting of frames for a general Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Since we are aiming at a no-go result, it is enough to consider a special class of frames, and our results will actually be derived in the setting of Riesz bases. The first result yields a lower bound for the deviation from perfect reconstruction that is obtained when the dual Riesz basis is replaced by any other Bessel sequence in the Hilbert space. For the sake of our application to wavelet systems we will index the Riesz basis by an arbitrary countable index set I .

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in I}$ be a Riesz basis for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with dual Riesz basis $\{g_k\}_{k \in I}$ and lower frame bound A . Then, for any Bessel sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in I}$ and any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sup_{\|f\|=1} \left\| f - \sum_{k \in I} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle f_k \right\|^2 \geq A \|g_\ell - \varphi_\ell\|^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, using that $f = \sum_{k \in I} \langle f, g_k \rangle f_k$, we obtain that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k \in I} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle f_k \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{k \in I} \langle f, g_k - \varphi_k \rangle f_k \right\|^2 \geq A \sum_{k \in I} |\langle f, g_k - \varphi_k \rangle|^2.$$

Now, if $g_\ell = \varphi_\ell$, the result (2.1) clearly holds; otherwise, taking $f := (g_\ell - \varphi_\ell) / \|g_\ell - \varphi_\ell\|$ yields the result. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1 we now show that if the vectors $\{g_k\}_{k \in I}$ in the dual Riesz basis do not have equal norm, then a sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in I}$ of vectors

with identical norms can not be an approximate dual frame for arbitrary small values of ϵ :

Corollary 2.2 *Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in I}$ be a Riesz basis with dual Riesz basis $\{g_k\}_{k \in I}$ and lower frame bound A . Assume that there exists $j, \ell \in I$ such that $\delta := \|g_j\| - \|g_\ell\| > 0$, and consider any Bessel sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in I}$ consisting of vectors with equal norm. Then*

$$\sup_{\|f\|=1} \left\| f - \sum_{k \in I} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle f_k \right\|^2 \geq \frac{A\delta^2}{4}.$$

Proof. Choose $j, \ell \in I$ such that $\delta := \|g_j\| - \|g_\ell\| > 0$. If the vectors $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in I}$ have equal norm, then either $\|g_j - \varphi_j\| \geq \delta/2$ or $\|g_\ell - \varphi_\ell\| \geq \delta/2$. Thus the result follows directly from Proposition 2.1. \square

The relevance of Corollary 2.2 for the aim of the section is immediately clear: indeed, if we take a Riesz basis $\{f_k\}_{k \in I}$ with wavelet structure and we aim at another wavelet system $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in I}$ being an approximately dual frame, then all the vectors in $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in I}$ have equal norm and we can apply the result in Corollary 2.2 directly. Using this idea we will now exhibit a class of wavelet frames, where it is impossible to get arbitrarily close to perfect reconstruction using another wavelet system. The frame construction itself originally appeared in [15, 11] and was elaborated further in [6].

Example 2.3 Let $\{D_{2^j} T_k \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a wavelet orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Given $\eta \in]0, 1[$, define a function θ by

$$\theta = \psi + \eta D_2 \psi.$$

In [15] it is proved that $\{D_{2^j} T_k \theta\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis and that the dual Riesz basis does not have wavelet structure. Using that the scaling operator D_2 is unitary and that $\{D_{2^j} T_k \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal system, it follows that for any finite scalar sequence $\{c_{j,k}\}_{(j,k) \in I}$, $I \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{(j,k) \in I} c_{j,k} (D_{2^j} T_k \theta - D_{2^j} T_k \psi) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{(j,k) \in I} c_{j,k} D_{2^j} T_k (\eta D_2 \psi) \right\| \\ &= \eta \left\| \sum_{(j,k) \in I} c_{j,k} D_{2^{j+1}} T_{2k} \psi \right\| = \eta \left(\sum_{(j,k) \in I} |c_{j,k}|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using standard perturbation results for frames (see, e.g., Theorem 22.1.1 in [6]), it follows that the Riesz basis $\{D_{2^j}T_k\theta\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ has lower frame bound $A = (1 - \eta)^2$. Let $\{\omega_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the dual Riesz basis associated with $\{D_{2^j}T_k\theta\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. In Example 16.1.1 in [6] it is proved that for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\omega_{j,2k+1} = D_{2^j}T_{2k+1}\psi$; thus $\|\omega_{j,2k+1}\| = 1$ for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is also proved that $\omega_{j,0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\eta)^n D_{2^j}T_{2k+1}\psi$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $\|\omega_{j,0}\| = (1 - \eta^2)^{-1/2}$. In particular, we see that $\delta := \|\omega_{0,0}\| - \|\omega_{0,1}\| = (1 - \eta^2)^{-1/2} - 1$. Now, consider any wavelet system $\{D_{2^j}T_k\varphi\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since a wavelet system always consists of vectors of equal norm, Corollary 2.2 immediately implies that

$$\sup_{\|f\|=1} \left\| f - \sum_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{2^j}T_k\varphi \rangle D_{2^j}T_k\theta \right\|^2 \geq \frac{(1 - \eta)^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \eta^2}} - 1 \right)^2.$$

This estimate immediately shows that for the Riesz basis $\{D_{2^j}T_k\theta\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ there is a limitation on how close we can get to perfect reconstruction using an “approximate dual wavelet system” $\{D_{2^j}T_k\varphi\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

3 Construction of approximately dual frames

Motivated by the negative result in Example 2.3 we will now develop a new strategy for obtaining pairs of approximately dual wavelet frames. The key idea is to use *oversampling*. Indeed, our main result shows that for a large class of wavelet systems $\{D_{a^j}T_{kb}\psi\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ we can get arbitrarily close to perfect reconstruction by using two wavelet systems that are obtained from the given one using oversampling.

A key step in our construction of an approximately dual wavelet frames is to truncate the given function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Throughout the paper, given $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and any $K > 0$, define the function $\psi_K \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\widehat{\psi}_K := \widehat{\psi} \chi_{[-K,K]}. \tag{3.1}$$

We will need the following result, which basically estimates the Bessel bound of the wavelet system $\{D_{a^j}T_{kb}(\psi - \psi_K)\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $a > 1$ and $b > 0$. Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and assume that there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$|\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |\gamma|^{1+\sigma}}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then

$$\sup_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi}_K)(a^j \gamma)(\widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi}_K)(a^j \gamma + k/b)| \leq \frac{2C^2}{\sigma K^{1+2\sigma}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{K} + b \right) \frac{a^{1+\sigma}}{a^{1+\sigma} - 1}.$$

Proof. For notational convenience, let $p_K(x) := \psi(x) - \psi_K(x)$. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{p}_K(a^j \gamma) \widehat{p}_K(a^j \gamma + k/b)| \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{|\gamma| \in [1, a], j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{p}_K(a^j \gamma + k/b) \right| \right) \left(\sup_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{p}_K(a^j \gamma) \right| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and $\widehat{p}_K(\gamma) = \widehat{\psi}(\gamma) \chi_{[-K, K]^c}(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. We now proceed with a number of estimates that finally yields the result.

Estimate of $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{p}_N(a^j \gamma + k/b) \right|$: Fix $\gamma \in [-a, -1] \cup [1, a]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the contribution from $a^j \gamma + k/b$ hitting the interval $]-\infty, -K] \cup [K, \infty[$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \widehat{\psi} \left(K + \frac{k}{b} \right) \right| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \widehat{\psi} \left(-K - \frac{k}{b} \right) \right| \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C}{\left(K + \frac{k}{b} \right)^{1+\sigma}} \\ & \leq \frac{2C}{K^{1+\sigma}} + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2C}{\left(K + \frac{t}{b} \right)^{1+\sigma}} dt \\ & = 2C \left(\frac{1}{K^{1+\sigma}} + \frac{b}{\sigma K^\sigma} \right) \\ & = \frac{2C}{\sigma K^\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{K} + b \right). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{p}_N(a^j \gamma + k/b) \right| \leq \frac{2C}{\sigma K^\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma}{K} + b \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Estimate of $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{p}_N(a^j \gamma) \right|$: Fix $\gamma \in [-a, -1] \cup [1, a]$. Then the contribution from $a^j \gamma$ hitting the interval $] \infty, -K] \cup [K, \infty[$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \widehat{\psi}(a^j K) \right|, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \widehat{\psi}(-a^j K) \right| \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{C}{(a^j K)^{1+\sigma}} = \frac{C}{K^{1+\sigma}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a^{1+\sigma})^j} = \frac{C}{K^{1+\sigma}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{a^{1+\sigma}}} = \frac{Ca^{1+\sigma}}{K^{1+\sigma}(a^{1+\sigma} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{p}_N(a^j \gamma) \right| \leq \frac{Ca^{1+\sigma}}{K^{1+\sigma}(a^{1+\sigma} - 1)}. \quad (3.5)$$

The result now follows from (3.3) together with (3.4) and (3.5). \square

Proposition 3.1 implies that if $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame and (3.2) holds, then also $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb} \psi_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame whenever $K \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large. We are now ready to present our main result.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that for some $a > 1, b > 0$, the function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ generates a wavelet frame and that for some $C > 0, \sigma > 0$,*

$$|\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |\gamma|^{1+\sigma}}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.6)$$

Given any $K \in \mathbb{N}$, let N denote the unique odd integer such that

$$N - 2 \leq 2bK < N, \quad (3.7)$$

and define the function $\widetilde{\psi}_K$ by

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}_K(\gamma) = \frac{b\widehat{\psi}_K(\gamma)}{N \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\psi}_K(a^k \gamma)|^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, letting

$$\varepsilon_K := \left(\frac{K + 1/b}{K^{3+2\sigma}} \right)^{1/2} 2C \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma}\right) \frac{a^{1+\sigma}}{a^{1+\sigma} - 1} \right]^{1/2} \quad (3.9)$$

and choosing $K \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $\varepsilon_K < \sqrt{2bA}$, we have

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_K}{\sqrt{2bA} - \varepsilon_K} \|f\|, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Using Proposition 3.1 on the function $\psi - \psi_K$ and with the translation parameter b replaced by b/N , (3.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N}{b} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi}_K)(a^j \gamma)(\widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi}_K)(a^j \gamma + kN/b)| \\
& \leq \frac{N}{b} \frac{2C^2}{\sigma K^{1+2\sigma}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{K} + \frac{b}{N} \right) \frac{a^{1+\sigma}}{a^{1+\sigma} - 1} \\
& = \frac{4C^2}{K^{2+2\sigma}} \left(\frac{N}{2b} + \frac{K}{2\sigma} \right) \frac{a^{1+\sigma}}{a^{1+\sigma} - 1} \\
& \leq \frac{4C^2}{K^{2+2\sigma}} \left(K + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{K}{2\sigma} \right) \frac{a^{1+\sigma}}{a^{1+\sigma} - 1} \\
& \leq \frac{4C^2}{K^{2+2\sigma}} \left(K + \frac{1}{b} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \right) \frac{a^{1+\sigma}}{a^{1+\sigma} - 1} =: R_K.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the wavelet system $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N}(\psi - \psi_K)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Bessel sequence with bound R_K . Now, since the oversampling parameter N is odd, the oversampling theorem by Chui and Shi [12] shows that the wavelet system $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame with lower frame bound AN ; hence by standard frame perturbation theory (see Cor. 22.1.5 in [6]), $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is also a frame whenever $R_K < AN$, with lower frame bound $AN \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_K}{AN}}\right)^2$. Invoking again the relationship between K and N in (3.7), we conclude that in particular $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame whenever $R_K < 2bAK$, with lower frame bound $AN \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_K}{2bAK}}\right)^2$. By construction, $\widehat{\psi}_K$ is supported on an interval of length $2K$; hence, again due to (3.7) the canonical dual frame of $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $\widetilde{\psi}_K$ defined as in (3.8). Note that the upper frame bound for $\{D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $\left[AN \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_K}{2bAK}}\right)^2\right]^{-1}$.

Thus, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f - \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi_K \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi_K - \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} (\psi - \psi_K) \right\|^2 \\
&\leq R_K \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle|^2 \\
&= \frac{R_K}{AN \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_K}{2bAK}}\right)^2} \|f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi_K \right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\frac{R_K}{AN}}}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_K}{2bAK}}} \|f\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\frac{R_K}{K}}}{\sqrt{2bA} - \sqrt{\frac{R_K}{K}}} \|f\|;$$

the proof is now completed by reformulating the result in terms of $\varepsilon_K = \sqrt{R_K/K}$.
 \square

Remark 3.3 Theorem 3.2 yields a very explicit relationship between the support-size of the truncated window, measured by the parameter K , and the degree of approximation; in fact, asymptotically as $K \rightarrow \infty$ and for some constant $D > 0$,

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \widetilde{\psi}_K \rangle D_{a^j} T_{kb/N} \psi \right\| \leq \frac{D}{K^{1+\sigma}} \|f\|, \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.11)$$

In particular, our method allows to us get as close as desired to perfect reconstruction using oversampling of the given wavelet system.

Corollary 3.4 *Under the assumptions in Theorem 3.2, given any $\epsilon > 0$, choose $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\frac{\epsilon_K}{\sqrt{2bA} - \epsilon_K} \leq \epsilon.$$

Then, denoting the synthesis operator for the oversampled frame $\{D_{aj}T_{kb/N}\psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by U_N and the synthesis operator for $\{D_{aj}T_{kb/N}\widetilde{\psi}_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by W_N , we have that

$$\|I - U_N W_N^*\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

Let us now return to the motivating Example 2.3, and show that the condition in Theorem 3.2 is satisfied when we apply the construction to the Battle-Lemarié wavelets:

Example 3.5 Let us first recall the key steps in the construction of the Battle-Lemarié wavelets [1, 22]; see, e.g., [16] for more details about the construction. The B-splines N_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined recursively by $N_1 := \chi_{[0,1]}$ and the convolution formula $N_{m+1} = N_m * N_1$. It is well known that $\widehat{N}_m(\gamma) = \left(\frac{1-e^{-2\pi i\gamma}}{2\pi i\gamma}\right)^m$ and that $\widehat{N}_m(2\gamma) = H_0(\gamma)\widehat{N}_m(\gamma)$, where $H_0(\gamma) = \left(\frac{1+e^{-2\pi i\gamma}}{2}\right)^m$; furthermore, there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that $A \leq G(\gamma) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{N}_m(\gamma+k)|^2 \leq B$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by its Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}$ via $\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{G(\gamma)}} \widehat{N}_m(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then φ is a scaling function; indeed, by direct calculation, $\widehat{\varphi}(2\gamma) = \widetilde{H}_0(\gamma)\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$, where $\widetilde{H}_0(\gamma) := \sqrt{\frac{G(\gamma)}{G(2\gamma)}} H_0(\gamma)$. The Battle-Lemarié wavelet ψ associated with the scaling function φ is given in the Fourier domain by the formula

$$\widehat{\psi}(\gamma) = \overline{\widetilde{H}_0(\gamma/2 + 1/2)} e^{-\pi i\gamma} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma/2) = \sqrt{\frac{G(\gamma/2 + 1/2)}{G(\gamma)G(\gamma/2)}} \left(\frac{1 - \cos \pi\gamma}{\pi i\gamma}\right)^m e^{-\pi i\gamma}.$$

By construction $\{D_{2^j}T_k\psi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Given $\eta \in]0, 1[$, define a function θ by $\theta = \psi + \eta D_2\psi$. Then, by Example 2.3, $\{D_{2^j}T_k\theta\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis and the dual Riesz basis does not have wavelet structure. Note that

$$\widehat{\theta}(\gamma) = \widehat{\psi}(\gamma) + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \widehat{\psi}(\gamma/2). \quad (3.13)$$

It is clear from (3.13) and the formula for $\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)$ that the function θ satisfies the decay condition in Theorem 3.2 for $m \geq 2$; thus, we can construct approximately

dual wavelet frames based on the Battle-Lemarié wavelets for all $m \geq 2$ and any $\eta \in]0, 1[$, as claimed. \square

As already mentioned, the decay condition in Theorem 3.2 is very mild; it is much weaker than the standard decay conditions used to guarantee the Bessel condition for a wavelet system, see, e.g., Theorem 15.2.3 and Lemma 15.2.5 in [6]. However, if we remove this condition, we can not be sure that the truncation ψ_K of the generator ψ for a wavelet frame generates a wavelet frame itself, regardless how large K is chosen. Based on the subsequent Lemma 3.6 we will provide a concrete example of this in Example 3.7.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $a > 1$ and $b > 0$. Given a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $K > 0$, consider the function ψ_K in (3.1), and assume that $\{D_{a^j}T_{kb/N}\psi_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $J_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\inf_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{J_0} |\widehat{\psi}(a^j \gamma)|^2 > 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Choose J_0 with $K < a^{J_0+1}$. Due to the compact support of $\widehat{\psi}_K$, we have

$$\inf_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\psi}_K(a^j \gamma)|^2 = \inf_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{J_0} |\widehat{\psi}_K(a^j \gamma)|^2 \leq \inf_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{J_0} |\widehat{\psi}(a^j \gamma)|^2.$$

Now, since $\{D_{a^j}T_{kb/N}\psi_K\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame, a result by Chui and Shi [11] says that $\inf_{|\gamma| \in [1, a]} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\psi}(a^j \gamma)|^2 > 0$; hence the desired conclusion follows. \square

Example 3.7 Let $a = 2, b = 1$, and let $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable sets such that $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[= \bigsqcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n$, where \bigsqcup denotes a disjoint union. Note that for $n \geq 1$, we have that $2^{-n}A_n \subset [0, \frac{1}{2}[$. Following [14, Example 4.5(xi)], consider the sets

$$B := \bigsqcup_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n}A_n, \quad C := \left[-1, -\frac{1}{2} \right[\setminus \left(\bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty (2^{-n}A_n - 1) \right), \quad D := \bigsqcup_{n=1}^\infty 2^n(2^{-n}A_n - 1),$$

and define the function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $\widehat{\psi} := \chi_{B \cup C \cup D}$.

It is shown in [14, Example 4.5(xi)] that $\{D_{2^j}T_k\psi\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis. Now, if $J_0 < -1$, then

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{J_0} |\widehat{\psi}(2^j\gamma)|^2 = 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in [-2, -1[;$$

and if $J_0 \geq -1$, then

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{J_0} |\widehat{\psi}(2^j\gamma)|^2 = 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in \cup_{n=J_0+1}^{\infty} (2^{-n}A_{n+1} - 2) \subset [-2, -1].$$

Thus, regardless of the choice of $J_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, the condition (3.14) is violated. Via Lemma 3.6 we conclude that $\{D_{a^j}T_{kb/N}\psi_K\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ can not be a frame, regardless how the parameters $K, N \in \mathbb{N}$ are chosen. \square

Acknowledgements: This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2016R1D1A1B02009954); and the 2018 Yeungnam University Research Grant.

References

- [1] G. Battle, A block spin construction of ondelettes. I. Lemarié functions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 110 (1987) 601–615.
- [2] A. Benavente, O. Christensen, M. Zakowicz, Generalized shift-invariant systems and approximately dual frames, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 8 (2017) 177–189.
- [3] M. Bownik, A characterization of affine dual frames in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 8 (2000) 203–221.
- [4] H. Bui, R. Laugesen, Frequency-Scale Frames and the Solution of the Mexican Hat Problem, *Constr. Approx.* 33 (2011) 163–189.
- [5] P.G. Casazza, O. Christensen, Weyl-Heisenberg frames for subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001) 145–154.
- [6] O. Christensen, An introduction to frames and Riesz bases, Second expanded ed., Birkhäuser, 2016.

- [7] O. Christensen, H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim, B-spline approximations of the Gaussian, their Gabor frame properties, and approximately dual frames, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 24 (2018) 1119–1140.
- [8] O. Christensen, H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim, A.E.J.M. Janssen, Approximately dual frames and almost perfect reconstruction based on a class of window functions, *Adv. Comput. Math.* 44 (2018) 1519–1535.
- [9] O. Christensen, R. Laugesen, Approximately dual frames in Hilbert spaces and applications to Gabor frames, *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.* 9 (2010) 77–90.
- [10] C. Chui, X. Shi, Bessel sequences and affine frames, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 1 (1993) 29–49.
- [11] C. Chui, X. Shi, Inequalities of Littlewood-Paley type for frames and wavelets, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 24 (1993) 263–277.
- [12] C. Chui, X. Shi, $n \times$ Oversampling preserves any tight affine frame for odd n , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121 (1994) 511–517.
- [13] C. Chui, X. Shi, Orthonormal wavelets and tight frames with arbitrary real dilations, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 9 (2000) 243–264.
- [14] X. Dai, D. Larson, Wandering vectors for unitary systems and orthogonal wavelets, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134(640) (1998).
- [15] I. Daubechies, The wavelet transformation, time-frequency localization and signal analysis. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 36 (1990) 961–1005.
- [16] I. Daubechies, Ten lectures on wavelets, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [17] M. Dörfler, E. Matusiak, Nonstationary Gabor frames - approximately dual frame and reconstruction errors, *Adv. Comput. Math.* 41 (2015) 293–316.
- [18] H.G. Feichtinger, A. Grybos, D.M. Onchis, Approximate dual Gabor atoms via the adjoint lattice method, *Adv. Comput. Math.* 40 (2014) 651–665.
- [19] H.G. Feichtinger, D.M. Onchis, C. Wiesmeyr, Construction of approximate dual wavelet frames, *Adv. Comput. Math.* 40 (2014) 273–282.

- [20] M. Frazier, G. Garrigos, K. Wang, G. Weiss, A characterization of functions that generate wavelet and related expansion, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 3 (1997) 883–906.
- [21] E. Hernandez, D. Labate, G. Weiss, A unified characterization of reproducing systems generated by a finite family. II, *J. Geom. Anal.* 12 (2002) 615–662.
- [22] P.G. Lemarié, Ondelettes a localisation exponentielle, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 67 (1988) 227-236.

Ana Benavente
Instituto de Matematica Aplicada San Luis
Universidad Nacional de San Luis and CONICET,
Av. Ejercito de Los Andes 950
D5700 HHW San Luis, Argentina
E-mail: abenaven@unsl.edu.ar

Ole Christensen
Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science
Technical University of Denmark, Building 303, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark
Email: ochr@dtu.dk

Marzieh Hasannasab
Institut für Mathematik
TU Berlin
Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany
Email: hasannas@math.tu-berlin.de

Hong Oh Kim
Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST
291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea
Email: kimhong@kaist.edu

Rae Young Kim
Department of Mathematics, Yeungnam University
280 Daehak-Ro, Gyeongsan, Gyeongbuk 38541, Republic of Korea
Email: rykim@ynu.ac.kr

Federico D. Kovac
Facultad de Ingenieria
Universidad Nacional de La Pampa

Calle 110 no. 390 - (6360) General Pico - La Pampa, Argentina
E-mail: kovacf@ing.unlpam.edu.ar