Active particles in narrow environments: Swim-speed diversity reduces cluster sizes
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Self-propelled swimmers such as bacteria agglomerate into clusters as a result of their persistent motion. In 1D, those clusters do not coalesce macroscopically and the stationary cluster size distribution (CSD) takes an exponential form. We develop a minimal lattice model for active particles in narrow channels to study how clustering is affected by the interplay between speed diversity and confinement. A mixture of run-and-tumble particles with a distribution of swim speeds is simulated in 1D. Particles can swap positions at rates proportional to their relative speed. Without swapping, we find that the average cluster size $L_c$ decreases with diversity and follows a non-arithmetic power mean of the single-component $L_c$'s, unlike the case of tumbling-rate diversity previously studied. Effectively, the mixture is thus equivalent to a system of identical particles whose speed is the harmonic mean speed of the mixture. With swapping, particles escape more quickly from clusters. As a consequence, $L_c$ decreases with swapping rates and depends less strongly on diversity. We derive a dynamical equilibrium theory for the CSDs of binary and fully polydisperse systems. Similarly to the clustering behaviour of one-component models, our qualitative results for mixtures are expected to be universal across active matter. Using literature experimental values for the speed diversity of unicellular swimmers known as choanoflagellates, which naturally differentiate into slower and faster cells, we predict that the error in estimating their $L_c$ via one-component models which use the conventional arithmetic mean speed is around 30%.

1 Introduction

A collection of self-propelled particles can spontaneously separate into dense and dilute regions even without attractive forces. This process, known as motility-induced phase separation (MIPS),\textsuperscript{1,4} occurs if the propulsion direction is sufficiently persistent against stochasticity, in which case there is enough time for the particles to trap each other and form large clusters.\textsuperscript{6} For one-dimensional (1D) systems, such as fertilizing bacteria living in long narrow soil pores,\textsuperscript{7} MIPS generates an exponentially decaying stationary cluster size distribution (CSD) as shown for run-and-tumble (RT), active Brownian, and active Ornstein-Uhlenbeck particles.\textsuperscript{11,19} When the self-propellers are sufficiently strong to push each other, the clusters themselves can also move persistently,\textsuperscript{19,20} producing deviations from a simple exponential CSD.\textsuperscript{19} In two-dimensional or quasi-two-dimensional systems, an exponential CSD modulated by a power law arises as observed in experiments with gliding bacteria under suppressed biochemical signalling,\textsuperscript{21} in experiments and simulations with active colloids,\textsuperscript{22,23} and in lattice models.\textsuperscript{2}

In a typical bacteria population there is a broad dispersion of motility parameters, i.e. the bacteria are not identical swimmers.\textsuperscript{24-28} However, for simplicity, effects of motility diversity on CSDs are usually overlooked.\textsuperscript{27} For RT bacteria, one can consider that the tumbling rate or the swim speed (or both) is not the same for all particles, that is, the system has a distribution of motility parameters. The fact that in a population of bacteria different swim speeds are found is a result of their different ages, reproduction stages, shapes, sizes, running modes, etc.\textsuperscript{24-28} For both passive and active fluids, diversity of some particle attribute can fundamentally change phase behaviour.\textsuperscript{24-26} In Ref.\textsuperscript{26}, of which the present work can be regarded as a companion...
paper, we considered a multi-component mixture of RT particles on a 1D discrete lattice interacting only via excluded volume, i.e. they do not push each other. The distinct particle types were characterized by their own tumbling rates as inspired by experimental observations with *Escherichia coli*; these experiments show that the tumbling rate of each bacterium changes stochastically but slowly, leading approximately to a log-normal distribution of constant tumbling rates for the system.\(^{24,46}\)Particles moving directly towards each other were allowed to cross at a constant rate, therefore mimicking the effects of a “soft” confinement where particles can swap their positions along the quasi-1D channel. Interactions via biochemical signalling were assumed negligible or absent. We observed an exponential CSD with an average cluster size \(L_c\) that increases with tumbling-rate diversity. This clustering amplification phenomenon is induced solely by tumbling-rate diversity as the global average tumbling rate remains fixed. On the other hand, by relaxing the confinement, large cluster sizes are suppressed and tumbling-rate diversity becomes less important. Moreover, tumbling-rate diversity generates an average cluster size \(L_c\) that is given by an arithmetic average of the \(L_c\)’s that the single-component systems would have at the same global density. The swim speeds in Ref.\(^{26}\) were set to be the same for all particles. Their motility diversity was therefore entirely encoded into the tumbling-rate distribution. In the present work we consider the complementary and fundamentally distinct case of speed diversity effects on the stationary cluster size distributions of active particles in narrow environments, where particles differ only in their swim speed but are assigned the same tumbling rate. Particle “overtaking” is allowed by considering that faster particles can overtake slower ones—if moving in the same direction—with a rate proportional to their relative speed. Since in the monodisperse case (i.e. without diversity) \(L_c\) depends on the motility parameters swim speed and tumbling rate (denoted by \(v\) and \(\alpha\), respectively) as \(L_c \sim \sqrt{v/\alpha}\), one could naïvely think that knowing \(L_c\) for tumbling-rate diversity automatically provides a prescription for obtaining \(L_c\) in the case of speed diversity. We show that this is not true. By employing an arithmetic average of the \(L_c\)’s of the single-component systems, one would still get the correct qualitative result that \(L_c\) decreases with speed diversity (see Fig.\(^{1b}\)), but the simulation values for \(L_c\) presented below are much lower than the arithmetic average, implying that this type of average is quantitatively inadequate to describe speed diversity.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 our lattice model is presented. Sections 3 and 4 contain our main numerical and analytical results, respectively, for each model variant: (i) binary mixture, (ii) binary mixture with particle swapping, and (iii) fully polydisperse mixture. Section 5 gives our conclusions and discussion.

## 2 Model

Let us review the RT model presented in Ref.\(^{2}\) where all particles are identical. Consider a 1D discrete lattice with \(N\) sites and periodic boundary conditions. The maximum occupancy per site is one. Each particle has a propulsion director, which can be left or right. The total number of particles is \(M = \phi N\), where \(\phi\) is the dimensionless global particle concentration. The initial positions are all distinct and otherwise random. Each particle is also given an initial random director. In each time step, \(M\) individual particle updates are performed. The update algorithm is as follows. A particle is selected at random and a new director for this particle is chosen at random, with probability \(\alpha\). Thus, the probability to have a different director is \(\alpha/2\). A tumble event occurs if the particle changes its director. Otherwise, the particle preserves its previous director. Next, if the propulsion director points towards a neighbouring empty site, then the particle moves to this new position. A particle is then chosen anew. The updates are sequential. Our units are such that the lattice spacing and
the time step are fixed to unity. A particle can be chosen more than once in a single time step, so that the swim speed (i.e. the free-space self-propulsion speed acquired in the run mode) of the mobile particles fluctuates around unity. To consider a nominal swim speed $v \neq 1$, all one needs to do is to perform $vM$ particle updates, that is, an average of $v$ updates per particle. In this case, we divide $\alpha$ by $v$ to prevent the tumbling rate from scaling with the self-propulsion speed. There is no Vicsek-like velocity alignment \cite{Vicsek1995}. Also, although active particles in a channel may push large clusters for long distances \cite{Guhlke2017}, it is not completely clear to which extent this is reflected in experimental CSDs \cite{BoGr15,Bo16,Bo18}. Such effects are not considered here. Finally, a cluster is defined as a contiguous group of occupied sites.

The above model is monodisperse: all particles have the same motility properties, i.e. they have identical swim speed and tumbling rate. Here we consider a more realistic scenario where the particles have distinct swim speeds, while their tumbling rates continue to be monodisperse. To simulate a system of particles of different speeds, we use the following approach. A selected particle that has been assigned a fixed speed $v$ will be updated if $v$ is larger than a random variable between 0 and $v_{\text{max}}$, a simulation cutoff parameter. Otherwise, the selection is discarded. Once the total amount of individual particle updates is $\langle v \rangle M$, where $\langle v \rangle$ is the average particle speed in the sense of an arithmetic average, the time step ends. By using this procedure, the number of updates per particle per time step is $\langle v \rangle$, and faster (slower) particles are more (less) likely to be updated (and therefore to move), proportionally to their nominal speeds. Here, too, we divide $\alpha$ by the selected particle’s $v$ so that the tumbling rate does not scale up with the self-propulsion speed. For a binary mixture, we consider half the particles with swim speed $v_A = v_0(1 + \delta)$ and the other half with speed $v_B = v_0(1 - \delta)$. As we vary the degree of speed diversity, $\delta$, the average speed $\langle v \rangle = v_0$ remains fixed. We use specifically $v_{\text{max}} = 2v_0$, as that is the largest value the larger speed $v_A$ can have (for $\delta = 1$), and set $v_0 = 1$. The speeds are assigned so that the initial state is randomly homogeneous and well-mixed. For simplicity, we do not present simulation data for mixing proportions other than 50-50%. This is because the continuous distribution case described below already covers a more general situation, although the binary theory in Section 2 was also validated via simulations with different global proportions.

We also consider a fully polydisperse system, i.e. with a continuous distribution of speeds. We choose a log-normal distribution, which is adequate for non-negative variables. Also, it corresponds to the same shape as the distribution of tumbling rates in E. coli bacteria \cite{Higashi2017} and is visually similar (see Fig. 1b) to the experimental speed distributions of many swimming microorganisms, E. coli included \cite{BoGr15,Bo16,Bo18}. Our normalized fully-polydisperse distribution thus reads

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} v_0 \lambda v} \exp \left( - \frac{\log(v/v_0)^2}{2\lambda^2} \right),$$

where $v_0$ and $\lambda$ are the distribution parameters. To isolate the effects of speed diversity, we keep $\langle v \rangle \equiv \int_0^\infty v f(v) dv = v_0 \exp(\lambda^2/2)$ fixed while the polydispersity degree is changed by varying $\lambda$.

The monodisperse case corresponds to the limit $\lambda \to 0$. For the simulations, the cutoff $v_{\text{max}} = 4\langle v \rangle$ was found to be sufficiently large. In any case, according to the theory in Section 2, the particular functional form or parameters of the speed distribution do not affect our main qualitative results as described below.

To mimic the effects of a narrow channel whose width allows for neighbouring bacteria to swap positions, we proceed as follows. Consider a particle of type $i$ after it has potentially tumbled and moved but before a new particle selection occurs. At this stage of the algorithm, we allow for head-to-head crossing, i.e. the particle will exchange positions with its neighbour with a probability $p_s$ if, and only if, their directors point towards each other. Here $p_s$ is a constant rate such that an increase in channel width corresponds to an increase in $p_s$. With this algorithm, out of all possible head-to-head crossing events involving particles of types $i$ and $j$ within a given time step, a fraction approximately equal to $p_s(v_i + v_j)$ will indeed occur, on average. The scaling with the speeds occurs because the number of particle updates is proportional to the speed. Here, we do not divide $p_s$ by speed: it is physically reasonable that the effective swapping rates are proportional to the relative speed since the self-propulsion forces of bacteria are typically proportional to their self-propulsion speeds. Similarly, we allow for overtaking, i.e. particle $i$ will swap positions with its neighbouring particle $j$ with a probability proportional to $v_i - v_j$ if, and only if, their directors point in the same directions and $i$ is behind $j$ with $v_i > v_j$. Since in our algorithm the possibility of overtaking is considered only when the faster particle is selected, we set the nominal overtaking swapping rate to be $p_o(v_i - v_j)/v_i$; otherwise the effective swapping rate would scale with the square of the speeds. In summary, faster particles can overtake slower ones at an effective rate proportional to their relative speed.

### 3 Simulations

Our stationary numerical results were obtained from simulations with periodic boundary conditions and $N = 2000$ sites, except where a proper sampling of the swim speed distribution requires a larger system, in which case we used $N = 10^4$. For visualization, we recorded snapshots of the steady-state system for 300 successive time steps after $t = 10^7$. The CSD and other similarly averaged quantities were calculated from 9000 uncorrelated configurations recorded every $10^4$ time steps from $t = 10^7$ onwards, within the same simulation.

#### 3.1 Binary mixture

We start by analysing the binary mixture model for 50-50% global composition with $p_s = 0$, i.e. no particle swapping. Fig. 2 shows snapshots of a section of the 1D simulated system at successive times within the stationary state for different degrees of bidispersity $\delta$. From a homogeneous initial state, particles start to trap each other and form clusters, reaching a steady state characterized by the CSD. For $\delta = 0$, all particles are identical and thus have the same tendency to form clusters. In this case, the average cluster size is given by $L_c \approx \sqrt{2\lambda \phi}/[\alpha(1 - \phi)]$ and the CSD is proportional to $\exp(-L/L_c)$. For $\delta > 0$, there are two groups of
particles, each with a different tendency to form clusters. Distinct typical slopes in the space-time plot indicate distinct swim speeds. Since particle swapping is not yet allowed, the random sequence of particle types does not change in time. The probability to have many particles of the same type at successive positions is vanishingly small, except for small clusters, which are dominated by slower particles as they are more likely to tumble before being absorbed by a cluster.

![Fig. 2 As Fig. 1a but for the steady state of a binary system of run-and-tumble particles on a 1D lattice for different bidispersities $\delta$. Only 500 of $N = 2000$ simulated sites are shown. The monodisperse case ($\delta = 0$) is shown for comparison. In the bidisperse cases ($\delta > 0$), particles with higher (lower) swim speed are in red (blue). The global average speed, tumbling rate, concentration, and composition of the entire simulated system are $\langle v \rangle = v_0 = 1$, $\alpha = 0.01$, $\phi = 0.5$, and 50-50%, respectively.]

The CSD is defined as the average number of clusters of size $l$, $F_c(l)$. Fig. 3a shows that as $\delta$ increases the CSD moves towards smaller clusters, even though the global average speed $\langle v \rangle = v_0$ is fixed. The exponential shape of the CSD remains preserved (which allows us to map onto a monodisperse system; see Section 4). Fig. 3b shows that $L_c$ indeed decreases with $\delta$. The inset of Fig. 3b has the ratio between the bidisperse and monodisperse values of $L_c$. This ratio quantifies the clustering suppression by speed diversity. It does not depend on $\phi$ (for $\delta \approx 1$, a small dependence on $\phi$ is found, indicating that additional simulation statistics would be required). Similarly, it does not depend on $\alpha$ (data not shown).

Notice that clusters of size $l = 1$ correspond to isolated particles and therefore should, in principle, be considered as part of the gas. However, our theory in Section 4 relies on integrating quantities across all positive $l$. Thus, $l = 1$ is included in calculating $L_c$ for a more appropriate comparison. In any case, since at low tumbling rates the gas density is typically small, the contribution to $L_c$ from isolated particles is likewise very small, as confirmed numerically. The gas concentration $\phi_g$, which is defined as the average particle concentration in the regions occupied only by isolated particles (i.e. not occupied by clusters of size $\geq 2$), increases with $\delta$ (see Fig. 3c) since at higher speed diversity fewer particles participate in clusters of size $l > 1$, as seen in Fig. 3b.

### 3.2 Binary mixture with particle swapping

With $p_s \neq 0$, particles have a higher chance to escape from clusters as now this can occur by either tumbling or swapping, where the latter includes both head-to-head crossing and overtaking. Thus, the tumbling rate is effectively increased (see Section 4) and therefore cluster formation is further suppressed. Fig. 4 shows snapshots for different $p_s$ values. The higher the swapping rate, the more the cluster sizes fluctuate in time. At high $p_s$, the clusters have been mostly destroyed.

With increasing $p_s$ the CSDs recede towards low cluster sizes while approximately maintaining a purely exponential functional form. Fig. 5a shows $L_c$ versus $\delta$ for various values of $p_s$, whereas Fig. 5b shows $L_c$ versus $p_s$ for fixed values of $\delta$. For high $p_s$, the cluster size dependence on $\delta$ is negligible. In this scenario, the particles end up leaving the cluster sooner by swapping than by tumbling. Since tumbling is no longer important, the diversity in the ratio of speed to tumbling rate becomes irrelevant. As a result, the clustering process becomes controlled by swapping events. Fig. 5b shows that if overtaking is turned off but head-to-head crossing is kept on, one obtains higher values of $L_c$, but the overall behaviour with $p_s$ is similar. The inset of Fig. 5b shows that the difference between these two swapping scenarios peaks at small values of $p_s$. This is because at high $p_s$ bidispersity becomes irrelevant and therefore overtaking should not be important since its rate is zero without diversity.

### 3.3 Fully polydisperse mixture

For the fully polydisperse distribution of swim speeds in eqn (1), we keep $p_s = 0$ as particle swapping effects are analogous to those in the binary mixture. Fig. 6 shows the average cluster size. The
higher the $\lambda$, the smaller are the clusters, at fixed $\langle v \rangle$. The CSD maintains an approximately purely exponential form becoming almost horizontal at sufficiently high $\lambda$ (data not shown). Additional details for this case are discussed in Section 4.

Fig. 3 (a) Cluster size distribution (log scale) from simulations for various bidispersities $\delta$, with $\langle v \rangle = 1$, $\alpha = 0.005$, $\phi = 0.5$, $N = 2000$, and $p_s = 0$. Global composition: 50-50%. The inset shows the cases $\delta = 0.2$ and $\delta = 0.8$ (with the same plot markers as in the main figure) compared against the corresponding theoretical results [eqn (6)]. (b) Average cluster size $L_c$ versus bidispersity $\delta$ at fixed average swim speed, with other parameters as in (a). The points show the simulation results and the lines are the theoretical predictions from Section 4. The inset shows the ratio to the corresponding monodisperse case, which is independent of $\phi$. (c) Gas concentration $\phi_g$ vs. $\delta$ with parameters as in (a). Points are the simulation results and the solid line is the theory as obtained by identifying an effective tumbling rate for the mixture.

Fig. 4 As Fig. 2 but for fixed $\delta = 0.9$ and swapping rate $p_s > 0$ as indicated, with both particle head-to-head crossing and particle overtaking mechanisms turned on.

4 Theory

In the following, we review the CSD derivation for the monodisperse case without particle swapping. In doing so, we will provide some previously omitted details and derive the case of arbitrary speed $v$. Then, we will extend the derivation to include the speed-diverse and swapping cases.

4.1 Monodisperse systems

When $\phi \gg \alpha / v$, cluster-cluster interactions are weak and occur via uncorrelated emissions and absorptions. The motion of each cluster border is then diffusive. Each cluster evolves indepen-
Within this approximation, the steady-state clustering can be obtained independently of the others, except for particle conservation constraints. Within this approximation, the steady-state clustering can be mapped onto an equilibrium process for the sizes of independent clusters. The gas phase concentration $\phi_g$ fixes the total number of particles inside clusters, $N_c$. Another restriction comes from the total number of clusters, $C$. The relevant configurational entropy is therefore $S = \log [C! / \prod_i F_c(i)] - \lambda_1 [N_c - \sum_i I F_c(i)] - \lambda_2 [C - \sum_i F_c(i)]$, where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are Lagrange multipliers. After maximization, one obtains $F_c(i) = A e^{-i/L_c}$, with constants to be determined. Similar reasoning gives $F_g(i) = A g e^{-i/L_g}$ for the size distribution of gas regions. Notice that the $L_c$ and $L_g$ length scales are equal to the average cluster and gas region sizes $L_c$ and $L_g$, respectively, and so they can be referred to interchangeably.

Four approximate conservation and steady-state criteria are used to fix the four CSD parameters $A_c, L_c, A_g$, and $L_g$. (i) Cluster and gas regions together cover the entire system: $\sum_i I F_c(i) + \sum_i F_g(i) = N$. (ii) The average cluster and gas region sizes are such that the total concentration $\phi$ is recovered: $L_c \phi_c + L_g \phi_g = (L_c + L_g) \phi$, with $\phi_c = 1$. (iii) The number of gas regions equals the number of cluster regions: $\sum_i F_c(i) = \sum_i F_g(i)$. (iv) There is a dynamical balance in the number of dimers: $W_2^+ = W_2^-$, where $W_2^+$ ($W_2^-$) is the total rate of dimer production (evaporation). To calculate $W_2^-$, we first notice that a dimer is composed of two particles that must be facing each other, as otherwise it would either have evaporated or be quickly absorbed by bigger clusters (and so it would not appear in the steady-state statistics). The probability rate at which a single particle of the dimer tumbles to a different direction is $\alpha/2$, that is, a dimer evaporates at rate $\alpha$. As a result, $W_2^- = \alpha F_c(2)$, where $F_c(l = 2)$ is the total number of dimers.

For $W_2^+$, we proceed as follows. Since $\alpha$ is small, we use that $\alpha L_c < v$, implying that in each gas region there are essentially no particles. Now, consider a gas region of size $L_g$. At rate $\alpha/2$ a particle is emitted from the cluster border located on the left side, for instance. Because $\alpha L_g < v$, the particle will either reach the right border of the gas region after a time $L_g/v$ or meet another particle. In the latter case, the second particle must be emitted before $L_g/v$ time steps have elapsed. For a particle on the right border to be emitted $\tau$ time steps after the emission of the first particle, it cannot be emitted until $\tau - 1$ steps have elapsed. This occurs with probability $(1 - \alpha/2)^{\tau-1} \alpha/2$. Thus, the probability that the pair forms is

$$2 \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \sum_{\tau=0}^{L_g/v} (1 - \alpha/2)^{\tau-1} = \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \left[ 1 - (1 - \alpha/2)^{L_g/v} \right].$$

(2)

where the prefactor 2 accounts for the fact that the first particle could have been emitted from the right border. Approximating $(1 - \alpha/2) \approx e^{-\alpha/2}$ and multiplying by the total number of gas regions, one finds

$$W_2^+ \approx \alpha \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha L_g/2v} \right) \sum_l F_g(l).$$

(3)

In order to obtain closed-form expressions, we return to the linearized form of the exponential in $W_2^+$, then replace the summations in the criteria (i)-(iv) above by integrals from $l = 0$ to $\infty$.
and use that at equilibrium \( \phi_i \approx \alpha/v_i \) yielding

\[
A_c \approx \frac{N\alpha(1-\phi)}{2v} \quad \text{and} \quad L_c \approx l_c(v, \alpha, \phi) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2v\phi}{\alpha(1-\phi)}},
\]

where, due to the small \( \alpha \) limit (i.e. \( \phi_i \approx 0 \)), all instances of \( v\phi - \alpha \) and \( v - \alpha \) have been replaced by \( v\phi \) and \( v \), respectively.

### 4.2 Speed-diverse systems

To include speed diversity, let us consider a multi-component system with an arbitrary number of particle types \( Q \), which are characterized by speeds \( v_i \) and are present at global concentrations \( \phi_i \), with \( i = 1, \ldots, Q \) and \( \sum \phi_i = \phi \). In this case, the size distributions of clusters and gas regions are still given by exponentials. This fact can be verified either via simulations, as shown in Section 3, or by noticing that the entropic derivation in Section 4.1 becomes specific to the case of identical particles only after the exponential shape is obtained. For the CSD, we thus have \( F_c(l) = A_c e^{-l/v} \) with new constants \( A_c \) and \( L_c \) to determine. In principle, two equations would require alterations. Firstly, now one writes \( \phi_i \approx \alpha_i/v_i \) (more below), where \( \alpha_i \) is the effective tumbling rate in the monodisperse case that gives the same CSD as in the speed-diverse case. An equivalent alternative is to define a monodisperse effective speed \( v_{\text{eff}} \) that encodes speed diversity, as used below, with \( \phi_i \approx \alpha_i/v_{\text{eff}} \). Although \( \alpha_i \) is initially unknown, our assumption that tumbling rates are small includes the effective tumbling rate, so that we can still use \( \phi_i \approx 0 \) as in the monodisperse case. Secondly and more importantly, we now have

\[
W_{\alpha}^+ \approx \sum_{ij} \alpha_i \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha L_{\alpha}/v_i} \right) N_{\alpha}^{ij},
\]

where the summation runs over particle types and \( N_{\alpha}^{ij} \) is the total number of gas regions bounded by particles of types \( i \) and \( j \) on the left and right borders, respectively. (Since \( N_{\alpha}^{ij} \) is symmetric in \( i \) and \( j \), the exponential itself does not need to be symmetrized.) A cluster border will be occupied by a particle of type \( i \) with a probability that depends only on the global concentration \( \phi_i \) and \( \alpha_i \), independently of \( v_i \). As a result, the chance of finding a gas region simultaneously bounded by types \( i \) and \( j \) obeys \( N_{\alpha}^{ij} \sim \phi_i \phi_j \), which is then normalized so that \( \sum_{ij} N_{\alpha}^{ij} \) gives the total number of gas regions. On the other hand, \( W_{\alpha}^+ \) remains unchanged since it is speed-independent. As a result, we obtain

\[
A_c \approx \frac{N\alpha(1-\phi)}{2v_{\text{eff}}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_c \approx l_c(v_{\text{eff}}, \alpha, \phi), \quad \text{with} \quad v_{\text{eff}} = \left( \sum_i \frac{\phi_i}{\phi v_i} \right)^{-1}
\]

a monodisperse effective speed which is found to be the component-weighted harmonic mean speed and \( l_c \) as defined in 4. This result allows to compute \( \alpha_i \) from the identification \( l_c(v_{\text{eff}}, \alpha, \phi) = l_c(v, \alpha_i \phi v_i) \).

We briefly remind the reader that if a vehicle travels a certain distance at speed \( v_1 \) and returns the same distance at speed \( v_2 \), then its average speed is the harmonic mean of \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), not the arithmetic mean. The total travel time is the same as if it had traveled the whole distance at that average speed. However, if the vehicle travels for a certain amount of time at speed \( v_1 \) and then the same amount of time at a speed \( v_2 \), then its average speed is the arithmetic mean of \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). Therefore, our result can be understood as follows. The average cluster size is ultimately set by the time that an arbitrary particle takes to cross a typical gas region. This time is an arithmetic average over the times taken by each particle type, where we consider that the chance of having a particle of type \( i \) travelling in the gas is just proportional to \( \phi_i \). Thus, the average speed at which such typical fixed distance is covered is the harmonic average of the speeds, as given in eqn (6), not the arithmetic one.

For the 50-50\% binary and fully polydisperse mixtures, one has

\[
L_c \approx \sqrt{\frac{2v_0(1-\delta^2)}{\alpha(1-\phi)}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_c \approx \sqrt{\frac{2v_0\phi}{\alpha(1-\phi)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2T}} = \sqrt{\frac{2v(\phi(1-\phi^{1/2})}{\alpha(1-\phi)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2T}},
\]

respectively, where we have recalled that in the fully polydisperse case \( \phi = v_0 \exp(\lambda^2/2) \). Expressions (7) are in excellent agreement with the simulation results as presented in Section 3. In particular, the theory predicts that the ratio between the speed-diverse and monodisperse values of \( L_c \) does not depend on \( \phi \) or \( \alpha \) as shown in the inset of Fig. 3.b. The gas concentration is obtained by defining a monodisperse effective speed (or effective tumbling rate) and using \( \phi_i = \alpha_i/v_{\text{eff}} \) (or equivalently \( \phi_i = \alpha_i/v_i \)). The resulting expression is highly accurate, as shown in Fig. 3.c. Our theory in (6) becomes less accurate at high speed diversity as a large number of very slow particles arise, in which case the assumption \( \phi \gg \alpha/v \) is no longer valid.

### 4.3 Swapping

Particle swapping is taken into account by adding appropriate terms to the monodisperse effective tumbling rate, whose definition can be also given via \( \alpha_i = \alpha_i/v_{\text{eff}} \) using eqn (6). This is because particle swapping enables an additional mechanism for cluster-border evaporation, other than tumbling. For simplicity, we consider only the 50-50\% binary mixture as other cases are analogous. A satisfactory approximation for head-to-head crossing effects is to consider \( \alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_i + \kappa_{\text{HC}} p_i \), where \( \kappa_{\text{HC}} \) is a parameter proportional to the fraction of pairs susceptible to head-to-head crossing. In principle, it depends on \( p_i \), too. But, to first order in \( p_i \), it can be considered a constant. Because head-to-head crossing rates are proportional to the relative speed between the particle types, there should be a corresponding dependence on \( \delta \), but in the 50-50\% binary mixture this cancels out because the average of \( v_i + v_j \) across particle types is independent of \( \delta \). By fitting data without overtaking for several values of \( \delta \) and \( p_i \), we find \( \kappa_{\text{HC}} \approx 0.56 \).

Proceeding similarly for the case with both head-to-head crossing and overtaking mechanisms, we use

\[
\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_i + \kappa_{\text{HC}} p_i + \kappa_T p_i \delta,
\]

where \( \kappa_T \) is taken as a constant and the new term is linear in \( \delta \) since overtaking depends on the relative speed only between the particles of faster-behind-slower pairs. Fitting data from simulations with overtaking, we obtain \( \kappa_T \approx 1.97 \). As shown in Fig. 5
this approach provides sufficiently good results.

5 Conclusions and discussion

In this work we showed how motility-induced phase separation leads to suppression induced by active speed diversity. Also, cluster sizes are further suppressed by confinement relaxation. We used a minimal quasi-1D discrete lattice model of run- and- tumble particles with a distribution of swim speeds. Neighbouring particles were allowed to perform head-to-head crossing and overtaking at rates proportional to their relative speeds, depending on whether they are face-to-face oriented or there is a faster particle behind a slower one. This mimics a narrow channel whose width is large enough to allow for some position swapping events. A binary mixture and a fully polydisperse system were studied. Without swapping, the average cluster size \( L_c \) decreases with diversity. This is equivalent to a system of identical particles whose speed is the harmonic mean speed of the mixture. With swapping, particles can escape from clusters more quickly. Consequently, \( L_c \) decreases with swapping rates and depends less strongly on diversity. At sufficiently high swapping rates, clustering then becomes controlled by head-to-head crossing and overtaking events and thus speed diversity becomes irrelevant. We derived an accurate dynamical equilibrium theory for the CSDs and gas concentrations that is applicable to all models studied here.

Unlike the case of tumbling-rate diversity, we highlight that the above results for speed diversity imply that the average cluster size follows a non-arithmetic generalized power mean of the one-component \( L_c \)'s. In fact, our average cluster size result in eqn (6) can be rewritten as

\[
L_c \approx \left( \sum_i \frac{\phi_i L_i^p}{\phi} \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{with} \quad L_i = \sqrt{\frac{2v_i \phi}{\alpha(1-\phi)}} \tag{9}
\]

and the exponent \( p = -2 \). This is different from the case of tumbling-rate diversity previously studied where we had \( p = 1 \), that is, the arithmetic mean. In fact, although for speed diversity an arithmetic mean of the one-component \( L_c \)'s would qualitatively capture a decrease in the mixture's \( L_c \), it is quantitatively wrong. Also, notice that the arithmetic mean result for tumbling-rate diversity can in principle also be obtained by the analytical method described in Section 4, but in that case we no longer have \( N_{ij} \sim \phi_i \phi_j \) as the number of gas regions bounded by a certain particle type depends non-trivially on that particle's tumbling rate (still, we have inserted numerical results for \( N_{ij} \) and obtained accurate values for \( L_c \); data not shown).

To estimate whether our qualitative clustering behaviour is also valid for other models of active particles that are not on-lattice or run-and-tumble, one can look at the answer to this question for monodisperse systems. For off-lattice models, simulations show that monodisperse systems of run-and-tumble bacteria, active Brownian particles, and active Ornstein-Uhlenbeck particles, have an average cluster size given by \( L_c \sim \sqrt{\rho u/\omega} \), where \( \rho \) is the off-lattice model global concentration, \( u \) is the active speed, and \( \omega \) is an inverse persistence time parameter. This is precisely the off-lattice version of the length scale expression [4]. Consequently, our qualitative results for mixtures are indeed expected to be universal across the most used models in 1D scalar active matter.

The clustering suppression effects presented here can indeed be relevant in biological experimental situations. Consider the unicellular microorganisms known as chlorella. Although monodisperse systems are better described as smooth, active Brownian swimmers, their clustering behaviour is expected to be equivalent to that of run-and-tumble particles because of the equivalence between these models with respect to collective phenomena. These cells naturally differentiate into slower and faster particles with a speed-diversity standard deviation that can be obtained from literature experimental data as in Ref. 29. One can then calculate the error in estimating their \( L_c \) via one-component models that use the arithmetic mean speed instead of the harmonic mean speed, leading to \( \Delta L_c \equiv [L_c(v_{eff}, \alpha, \phi) - L_c(\langle v \rangle, \alpha, \phi)]/L_c(v_{eff}, \alpha, \phi) \approx 30\% \).

In future work, it would be relevant to include features such as propulsion mechanisms that are so strong that the particles collectively move and merge clusters, as well as new rules to mimic hydrodynamic interactions that can substantially change cluster escape times. Another interesting future avenue is the case of direction-dependent speeds arising due to external forces.
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