

WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR THE OPDAM–CHEREDNIK TRANSFORM

SHYAM SWARUP MONDAL AND ANIRUDHA PORIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study several weighted norm inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. We establish different versions of the Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl inequality for this transform. In particular, we give an extension of this inequality using weights with different exponents and present a variation of the inequality that incorporates L^p -norms for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. Also, we prove a version of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for this transform. Finally, we give other variations of the Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl inequality such as the Nash-type and Clarkson-type inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform.

1. INTRODUCTION

Uncertainty principles have long been a mainstay of mathematical physics and classical Fourier analysis and have been studied from different points of view by several authors [8, 12]. The classical uncertainty principle is an essential restriction in harmonic analysis and it states that a non-zero function and its Fourier transform cannot be simultaneously sharply localized, i.e., it is impossible for a non-zero function and its Fourier transform to be simultaneously small. Depending on various ways of measuring the localization of a function, one can obtain different interpretations of the uncertainty principle in different contexts. Some classical uncertainty principles for the Fourier transform are Hardy [11], Cowling and Price [5], Morgan [20], and Beurling [14] theorems. Uncertainty inequalities are some special class of uncertainty principles that give us information about how a function and its Fourier transform relate and have got considerable importance in signal analysis, physics, optics, and many other well-known areas [3, 7, 10, 28]. A well-known example of uncertainty inequality is the Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl (HPW) inequality. The remarkable Heisenberg uncertainty principle [13] was generalized by Weyl in [35] and attributed the result to Pauli. The HPW inequality states that for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_j^2 |f(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_j^2 |\hat{f}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^2, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Another variation of the HPW inequality states that for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive constant C_α such that

$$\| |\cdot|^\alpha f \|_2 \| |\cdot|^\alpha \hat{f} \|_2 \geq C_\alpha \|f\|_2^2.$$

A strong additive version of this inequality for the classical Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^n was proved by Cowling and Price in [5] and it states that for all $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ and for all tempered functions f for which \hat{f} is a function, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\| |\cdot|^a f \|_p + \| |\cdot|^b \hat{f} \|_q \geq C \|f\|_2^2, \quad a, b > 0$$

if and only if $a > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$.

Date: October 17, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 44A15; Secondary 43A32, 33C45, 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Opdam–Cherednik transform; weighted norm inequalities; Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl inequality; Hardy–Littlewood inequality; uncertainty principle.

Research supported by ERC Starting Grant No. 713927.

Considerable attention has been devoted to discovering generalizations to new contexts for the HPW inequality and its weighted variations for various generalized transforms by several researchers. For instance, these uncertainty inequalities were obtained in [27] for the classical Fourier transform, in [23] for the Fourier transform associated with the Riemann–Liouville operator, in [21] for the Fourier transform associated with the spherical mean operator, in [16] for the Heckman–Opdam transform, in [30, 32] for the Dunkl transform, and in [29] for the generalized Hankel transform. Further, Wiener in [36] established the HPW inequality using the Hermite polynomials for the classical Fourier transform. Building on the ideas of Ciatti–Ricci–Sundari [4], Soltani in [33] proved a more general form of the HPW inequality for the Dunkl transform using weights with different exponents. Moreover, Johansen in [15] proved several weighted inequalities and uncertainty principles for the (k, a) -generalized Fourier transform. In this paper, we study the HPW inequality and its several weighted variations for the Opdam–Cherednik transform.

Recently, various uncertainty principles were investigated for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. Daher et al. in [6] studied some qualitative uncertainty principles for the Cherednik transform as a generalization of the Euclidean uncertainty principles for the Fourier transform. Mejjaoli in [18] obtained some qualitative uncertainty principles for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. These results were further extended to modulation spaces by the second author in [26]. Moreover, the Benedicks-type uncertainty principle for the Opdam–Cherednik transform was investigated by Achak and Daher in [1]. In this paper, we establish several weighted norm inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. We prove different versions of the HPW inequality for this transform. In particular, we give an extension of this inequality using weights with different exponents and present a variation of the inequality that incorporates L^p -norms for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. Also, we study a version of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for this transform. Finally, we give other variations of the HPW inequality such as the Nash-type and Clarkson-type inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. Mainly, we establish the following uncertainty inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. First, we prove that for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})$, there exists a constant $C(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ such that

$$\| |\cdot| f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \| |\cdot| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \geq C(\alpha, \beta) \| f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}^2.$$

Then, we give another variation of the HPW inequality using weights with different exponents as follows: for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})$ and $a, b \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ such that

$$\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^{\frac{b}{a+b}}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^{\frac{a}{a+b}}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \geq C(\alpha, \beta) \frac{ab}{a+b} \| f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}.$$

Moreover, using the heat kernel decay estimates, we obtain a version of the HPW inequality which incorporates L^p -norms and it states as follows: let $p \in (1, 2]$, $b > 0$, $0 < a < \frac{1}{q}$, where q is the conjugate exponent of p . Then for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})$ and any $t > 1$, there exists a constant $C(a, b) > 0$ such that for all $b \leq 2$, we have

$$\| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \leq C(a, b) t_0^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}},$$

and for all $b > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} &\leq C(a, 1) \frac{b(a+1)}{a+b} \left[b(b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}-1} \right]^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \left(t_1^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \right)^{\frac{b(a+1)}{a+b}} \\ &\quad \times \| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_0 = t_0(a, b) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{2}{a+b}} \left(N \frac{\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}}{\| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}} \right)^{\frac{2}{a+b}}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1 = t_0(a, 1)$. Further, we give some other variations of the HPW inequality that involves a mixed of L^1 and L^2 norms estimate. In particular, we prove the Nash-type and Clarkson-type inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik

transform. The Nash-type inequality states as follows: for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ and $s > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{4s}{2\alpha+3+2s}} \|\cdot\|^s \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{2(2\alpha+3)}{2\alpha+3+2s}}.$$

Finally, we prove the Clarkson-type inequality as follows: for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ and $s > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C \exp \left\{ \rho \left(N \frac{\|\cdot\|^s \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+4s}} \right\} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{4s}{1+4s}} \|\cdot\|^s \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{1+4s}}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries related to the Opdam–Cherednik transform. Also, we recall some basic definitions and properties of the weak type L^p -space for σ -finite measure spaces. In Section 3, we prove several weighted norm inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. First, we establish a version of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for this transform. Then, we prove a version of the HPW inequality for the Opdam–Cherednik transform and give an extension of this inequality using weights with different exponents. Also, we present another variation of the HPW inequality for this transform, which incorporates L^p -norms. Finally, we study other variations of the HPW inequality. In particular, we give the Nash-type and Clarkson-type inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Harmonic analysis and the Opdam–Cherednik transform. In this subsection, we collect the necessary definitions and results from the harmonic analysis related to the Opdam–Cherednik transform. The main references for this subsection are [2, 17, 24, 25, 31]. However, we will use the same notation as in [26].

Let $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ denote the Jacobi–Cherednik differential–difference operator (also called the Dunkl–Cherednik operator)

$$T_{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + \left[(2\alpha + 1) \coth x + (2\beta + 1) \tanh x \right] \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - \rho f(-x),$$

where α, β are two parameters satisfying $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, and $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The Opdam hypergeometric functions $G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}$ on \mathbb{R} are eigenfunctions $T_{\alpha,\beta} G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = i\lambda G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ of $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ that are normalized such that $G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(0) = 1$. The eigenfunction $G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is given by

$$G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) - \frac{1}{\rho - i\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + \frac{\rho + i\lambda}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(x),$$

where $\varphi_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\rho-i\lambda}{2}; \alpha+1; -\sinh^2 x\right)$ is the classical Jacobi function.

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the eigenfunction $G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}$ satisfy

$$|G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)| \leq C e^{-\rho|x|} e^{|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)||x|},$$

where C is a positive constant. Since $\rho > 0$, we have

$$|G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)| \leq C e^{|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)||x|}. \quad (1)$$

Let us denote by $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support. The Opdam–Cherednik transform is the Fourier transform in the trigonometric Dunkl setting, and it is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ with $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$. The Opdam–Cherednik transform $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f)$ of a function $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(-x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (\sinh |x|)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh |x|)^{2\beta+1}. \quad (2)$$

The inverse Opdam–Cherednik transform for a suitable function g on \mathbb{R} is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1}(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda) G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) d\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$d\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi|C_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2}$$

and

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{\rho-i\lambda}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1+i\lambda}{2}\right)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{N}.$$

We have the following estimates for $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ (see [18]). There exists $N > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda| \geq N$ and for constants $k_1, k_2 > 0$, we have

$$k_1|\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} \leq |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^{-2} \leq k_2|\lambda|^{2\alpha+2}. \quad (3)$$

The Plancherel formula is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(\lambda) \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(\check{f})(-\lambda)} d\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda), \quad (4)$$

where $\check{f}(x) := f(-x)$.

Let $L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ (resp. $L^p(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})$), $p \in [1, \infty]$, denote the L^p -spaces corresponding to the measure $A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)dx$ (resp. $d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(x)$). Let $p \in [1, 2)$ and p' is the conjugate exponent of p . Then there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}, \quad (5)$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ (see [16]). In particular, if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, then

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}. \quad (6)$$

Let $t > 0$. The heat kernel $E_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ associated with the Jacobi–Cherednik operator is defined by

$$E_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1}(e^{-t\lambda^2})(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7)$$

For all $t > 0$, $E_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ is an C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, for all $t > 0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(E_t^{\alpha,\beta})(\lambda) = e^{-t\lambda^2}. \quad (8)$$

Let $r > 1$ and $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq r\}$. In the following proposition, we obtain estimates for the measure of B_r with respect to $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Proposition 2.2.

- (1) $A_{\alpha,\beta}(B_r) \leq 2re^{2\rho r}$, where $\rho > 0$.
- (2) $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(B_r) \leq C r^{2\alpha+3}$, where C is a positive constant.

Proof. (1) Since $A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (\sinh |x|)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh |x|)^{2\beta+1} \leq e^{2(\alpha+\beta+1)|x|} = e^{2\rho|x|}$, where $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$, we have

$$A_{\alpha,\beta}(B_r) = \int_{|x| \leq r} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \leq \int_{|x| \leq r} e^{2\rho|x|} dx \leq 2re^{2\rho r}.$$

(2) Using the estimates in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(B_r) &= \int_{|\lambda| \leq r} d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \rho^2} \lambda^{2\alpha+1} d\lambda + \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \int_1^r \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} + \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \int_0^r \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha+3}\right) r^{2\alpha+3} = C r^{2\alpha+3},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} (1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha+3})$. \square

Let $\gamma_t(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(E_t^{\alpha,\beta})(\lambda) = e^{-t|\lambda|^2}$ for all $t > 0$. Then, we obtain the following estimate for γ_t .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $q \geq 1$. Then $\|\gamma_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \left(C + D t^{-(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, where C and D are positive constants.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^q &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-qt|\lambda|^2} d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \int_0^1 e^{-qt\lambda^2} \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \rho^2} \lambda^{2\alpha+1} d\lambda + \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \int_1^\infty e^{-qt\lambda^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} + \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \int_1^\infty e^{-qt\lambda^2} \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty e^{-qt\lambda^2} \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda\right) \\
&= \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{3}{2})}{2q^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} t^{-(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}\right) \\
&= C + D t^{-(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}$ and $D = \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sqrt{1 + \rho^2} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}{2q^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}}$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $q \geq 2$ and $0 < a < \frac{1}{q}$. Then $\|\cdot\|^{-a} \chi_{B_r} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C r^{\frac{1}{q}} e^{\frac{2\rho r}{q}}$, where C is a positive constant.*

Proof. Using the relation (1) of Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\cdot\|^{-a} \chi_{B_r} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^q &= \int_{|x| \leq r} |x|^{-aq} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 x^{-aq} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx + 2 \int_1^r x^{-aq} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\
&\leq 2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(1) \int_0^1 x^{-aq} dx + 2 \int_1^r A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\
&\leq \frac{2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(1)}{1 - aq} + 2 \int_0^r A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\
&\leq \frac{2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(1)}{1 - aq} + 2re^{2\rho r} \leq \left(\frac{2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(1)}{1 - aq} + 2\right) re^{2\rho r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\cdot\|^{-a} \chi_{B_r} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C r^{\frac{1}{q}} e^{\frac{2\rho r}{q}}$, where $C = \left(\frac{2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(1)}{1 - aq} + 2\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. \square

2.2. Weak type L^p -spaces. In this subsection, we recall some basic definitions and properties of the weak type L^p -space on σ -finite measure spaces. The main references for this subsection are [9, 15, 34].

Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and let f be a measurable function on X . We define the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(X,\mu)} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty t^{q/p-1} f^*(t)^q dt \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t(\lambda_f(t))^{1/p} & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where λ_f is the distribution function of f and f^* denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of f , i.e.,

$$\lambda_f(s) = \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > s\}) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(t) = \inf \{s : \lambda_f(s) \leq t\}.$$

The Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ consists of measurable functions f on X for which $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(X,\mu)} < \infty$. When $p = q$, then $L^{p,p}(X, \mu) = L^p(X, \mu)$.

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, and let T be a linear operator from $L^p(X, \mu)$ to $L^q(Y, \nu)$. Then T is said to be a weak type (p, q) or (p, q) -weak operator, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\nu(\{y \in Y : |Tf(y)| > t\}) \leq \left(C \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}}{t} \right)^q, \quad (9)$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$, i.e., T maps $L^p(X, \mu)$ boundedly into $L^{q,\infty}(Y, \nu)$. Moreover, we say that T is of strong type (p, q) if it is bounded from $L^p(X, \mu)$ to $L^q(Y, \nu)$.

The inclusion relation between Lorentz spaces is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. [9]

- (1) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < r \leq \infty$. Then $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subset L^{p,r}(X, \mu)$ and consequently, there exists a constant $C_{p,q,r} > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,r}(X,\mu)} \leq C_{p,q,r} \|f\|_{L^{p,q}(X,\mu)}.$$

- (2) For any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$, we have $\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(X,\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(X,\mu)}$.

The following result on the pointwise product of two functions in Lorentz spaces is due to O'Neil [22].

Theorem 2.6. Let $q \in (2, \infty)$ and set $r = \frac{q}{q-2}$. If $g \in L^q(X, \mu)$ and $h \in L^{r,\infty}(X, \mu)$, then $gh \in L^{q',q}(X, \mu)$ with

$$\|gh\|_{L^{q',q}(X,\mu)} \leq \|g\|_{L^q(X,\mu)} \|h\|_{L^{r,\infty}(X,\mu)}.$$

The interpolation result between Lorentz spaces is given in the following theorem and can be found in [34].

Theorem 2.7. Suppose T is a subadditive operator of (restricted) weak types (r_j, p_j) , $j = 0, 1$, with $r_0 < r_1$ and $p_0 \neq p_1$, then there exists a constant $B = B_\theta$ such that $\|Tf\|_{L^{p,q}(X,\mu)} \leq B \|f\|_{L^{r,q}(X,\mu)}$ for all f belonging to the domain of T and to $L^{r,q}(X, \mu)$, where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{r_0} + \frac{\theta}{r_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

3. WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR THE OPDAM-CHEREDNIK TRANSFORM

In this section, we prove several weighted norm inequalities for the Opdam-Cherednik transform. First, we establish a version of the Hardy-Littlewood inequality for the Opdam-Cherednik transform. To obtain the result, we specialize the definition of Orlicz-type space, given in [15], to the case of the measure $A_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a positive measure on \mathbb{R} given in (2). A Young function is a measurable function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $A_{\alpha,\beta}(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |\phi(x)| \leq t\}) \leq Ct$ for all $t > 0$ and for some constant $C > 0$. Given such a function ϕ , let $L_{\phi}^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, $2 < p < \infty$, denote the Orlicz-type space of measurable functions f on \mathbb{R} for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{\phi}^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p |\phi(x)|^{p-2} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

i.e., $f \in L_{\phi}^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ if and only if $f\phi^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $q > 2$ and $f \in L_{\phi}^{(q)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, where ϕ is a Young function relative to $A_{\alpha,\beta}$. There exists a constant $C_q > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^q d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \leq C_q^q \|f\|_{L_{\phi}^{(q)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^q.$$

Proof. Assume that f is a simple function on \mathbb{R} . Let $Tf(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, using the relation (6), we get

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} = \|Tf\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} = \|f\|_{L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

Also, using the Plancherel formula (4) and Proposition 2.5, we get

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \|Tf\|_{L^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} = \|Tf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2.7, we obtain $\|Tf\|_{L^{q,q}(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{q',q}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}$. Now, we consider a function $g(x) = f(x)\phi(x)^{1-\frac{2}{q}}$. Then by hypothesis, $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$. Since ϕ is a Young function, we have

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |\phi(x)|^{\frac{2}{q}-1} > t \right\} \right) = A_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |\phi(x)|^{1-\frac{2}{q}} < \frac{1}{t} \right\} \right) \leq Ct^{-\frac{q}{q-2}},$$

and consequently $\phi^{\frac{2}{q}-1} \in L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, where $r = \frac{q}{q-2}$. Now, using O'Neil's theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(\lambda)|^q d\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \|f\|_{L^{q',q}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \|\phi^{\frac{2}{q}-1}\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \\ &\leq C_q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^q |\phi(x)|^{q-2} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = C_q \|f\|_{L_{\phi}^{(q)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the desired conclusion holds for simple functions. Now, using a standard density argument we extend the result for general functions in $L_{\phi}^{(q)}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Next, we obtain a version of the HPW inequality for the Opdam-Cherednik transform. To prove the result, we use the fact that the differential-difference operator $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} - |x|^2$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$. The operator $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} - |x|^2$ has a discrete spectrum. Also, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} f)(\lambda) = -|\lambda|^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda). \quad (10)$$

For a more detailed study on the operator $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, we refer to [19, 31]. We begin with the following additive inequality.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, then

$$\| |\cdot| f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + \| |\cdot| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \geq \lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2,$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta})$ is the minimum eigenvalue of the operator $|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Proof. Using the Plancherel formula (4) and the relation (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &= \langle | \cdot |^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \\ &= -\langle \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} f), \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \\ &= -\langle \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} f, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 = \langle (| \cdot |^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) f, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

Since the self-adjoint operator $|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ has only discrete spectra, we obtain

$$||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \geq \lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2,$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta})$ is the minimum eigenvalue of the operator $|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$. Then there exists a constant $C(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ such that*

$$||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \geq C(\alpha, \beta) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2.$$

Proof. For $c > 1$, we define $f_c(x) := f(cx)$. Now, replacing f_c for f in Lemma 3.3, we get

$$||| \cdot | f_c |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f_c |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \geq \lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \|f_c\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2. \quad (11)$$

Using the Plancherel formula (4) and the relation (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||| \cdot | f_c |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &= \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(| \cdot | f_c)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(|\lambda| f(c\lambda))|^2 d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{c} f(\lambda) \right) \right|^2 \left| 1 - \frac{c\rho}{i\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\frac{\lambda}{c})|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{k_2}{8\pi c^{2\alpha+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(|\lambda| f(\lambda))|^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} |\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{k_2}{8\pi c^{2\alpha+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(|\lambda| f(\lambda))|^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} |\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1 c^{2\alpha+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(|\lambda| f(\lambda))|^2 \left| 1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2} \\ &= \frac{k_2}{k_1 c^{2\alpha+4}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(| \cdot | f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 = \frac{k_2}{k_1 c^{2\alpha+4}} ||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the relation (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f_c |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^2 |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(c\lambda)|^2 d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^2 |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \left| 1 - \frac{c\rho}{i\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\frac{\lambda}{c})|^2} \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{8\pi c^{2\alpha+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^2 |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{c^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} |\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{8\pi c^{2\alpha+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^2 |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} |\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1 c^{2\alpha+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^2 |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \left| 1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2} \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1 c^{2\alpha+4}} ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&||| \cdot | f_c |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f_c |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{k_2}{k_1 c^{2\alpha+3}} [c^{-1} ||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + c ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2].
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Further, using the Plancherel formula (4) and the relation (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_c\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &= \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f_c\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(c\lambda)|^2 d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \left| 1 - \frac{c\rho}{i\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\frac{\lambda}{c})|^2} \\
&\geq \frac{k_1}{8\pi c^{2\alpha+3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{c^2 \rho^2}{\lambda^2}} |\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\geq \frac{k_1}{8\pi c^{2\alpha+3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda^2}} |\lambda|^{2\alpha+2} d\lambda \\
&\geq \frac{k_1}{k_2 c^{2\alpha+3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f(\lambda)|^2 \left| 1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |C_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2} \\
&= \frac{k_1}{k_2 c^{2\alpha+3}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 = \frac{k_1}{k_2 c^{2\alpha+3}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Therefore, from (11), (12) and (13), we obtain

$$[c^{-1} ||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + c ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2] \geq \frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2} \lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2. \tag{14}$$

Since for any $f \neq 0$, $\frac{||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}} > 0$, by the Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists a natural number N such that $N \frac{||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}} > 1$. Choosing $c = N \frac{||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}}$, from (14), we get

$$||| \cdot | f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} ||| \cdot | \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f |||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \geq C(\alpha, \beta) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2,$$

where $C(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{k_1^2 N}{k_2^2 (N^2 + 1)} \lambda_{\min}(|x|^2 - \Delta_{\alpha,\beta})$. □

In the following, we obtain an extension of the HPW inequality for the Opdam–Cherednik transform using weights with different exponents.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ and $a, b \geq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ such that*

$$\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}} \geq C(\alpha, \beta)^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

Proof. Let $a > 1$ and a' such that $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a'} = 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a'}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{2a} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2a}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2a'}} \\ &= \| |\cdot|^2 |f|^{\frac{2}{a}} \|_{L^a(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| |f|^{\frac{2}{a'}} \|_{L^{a'}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x|^2 |f(x)|^{\frac{2}{a}})^a A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f(x)|^{\frac{2}{a'}})^{a'} A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a'}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{2a} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a'}}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a}} \geq \frac{\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{1-\frac{1}{a}}}. \quad (15)$$

Also, by applying the same argument on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f$, we obtain

$$\| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{b}} \geq \frac{\| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{1-\frac{1}{b}}}. \quad (16)$$

From (15), (16) and Theorem 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}} &= \left[\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a}} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{b}} \right]^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \\ &\geq \left[\frac{\| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \| |\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{1-\frac{1}{a}} \| \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{1-\frac{1}{b}}} \right]^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \\ &\geq C(\alpha, \beta)^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{(2-2+\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b})\frac{ab}{a+b}} \\ &= C(\alpha, \beta)^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C(\alpha, \beta)$ as in Theorem 3.4. This completes the proof. \square

Next, we give another variation on the HPW inequality for the Opdam–Cherednik transform, which incorporates L^p -norms. To prove the result, we use the heat kernel decay estimates. Recall that $\gamma_t(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(E_t^{\alpha,\beta})(\lambda) = e^{-t|\lambda|^2}$ for all $t > 0$, where $E_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ is the heat kernel given in (7). In the following lemma, we obtain the decay estimate for the Opdam–Cherednik transform.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $p \in (1, 2]$ and $0 < a < \frac{1}{q}$, where q is the conjugate exponent of p . Then for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$ and any $t > 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\| \gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C t^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t}{q}} t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \| |\cdot|^a f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} < \infty$. Let $r > 1$ and $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq r\}$. Then using the relation (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r^c})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} &\leq \|\gamma_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r^c})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq C_p \|f \chi_{B_r^c}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq C_p r^{-a} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Also, using Hölder's inequality and the relation (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} &\leq \|\gamma_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq \|\gamma_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \|f \chi_{B_r}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq \|\gamma_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \|\cdot\|^{-a} \chi_{B_r}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using estimates of $\|\gamma_t\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}$ and $\|\cdot\|^{-a} \chi_{B_r}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}$ from Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, respectively, we get

$$\|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \leq C(1 + t^{-(\alpha + \frac{3}{2})})^{\frac{1}{q}} (re^{2\rho r})^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}. \quad (18)$$

Thus, from (17) and (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} &\leq \|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r^c})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} + \|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(f \chi_{B_r})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq \left[C_p + Cr^a (1 + t^{-(\alpha + \frac{3}{2})})^{\frac{1}{q}} (re^{2\rho r})^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] r^{-a} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $r = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} &= \left[C_p + Ct^{\frac{a}{2}} (1 + t^{-(\alpha + \frac{3}{2})})^{\frac{1}{q}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\rho t^{\frac{1}{2}}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq \left[C_p + Ct^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \right] t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *Let $a, b > 0$. Then under the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.6, there exists a constant $C(a, b) > 0$ such that for all $b \leq 2$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} \leq C(a, b) t_0^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}},$$

and for all $b > 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} &\leq C(a, 1)^{\frac{b(a+1)}{a+b}} \left[b(b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}-1} \right]^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \left(t_1^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \right)^{\frac{b(a+1)}{a+b}} \\ &\quad \times \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_0 = t_0(a, b) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{2}{a+b}} \left(N \frac{\|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})}}{\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})}}\right)^{\frac{2}{a+b}}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1 = t_0(a, 1)$.

Proof. For a fixed $p \in (1, 2]$, we assume that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})$ satisfy $\|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta})} + \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha, \beta})} < \infty$. For all $t > 1$, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} &\leq \|\gamma_t\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} + \|(1-\gamma_t)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \\
&\leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})} + \|(1-\gamma_t)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1-\gamma_t)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} &= t^{\frac{b}{2}} \left\| (t|\cdot|^2)^{-\frac{b}{2}} (1-\gamma_t)|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \\
&\leq t^{\frac{b}{2}} \left\| (t|\cdot|^2)^{-\frac{b}{2}} (1-\gamma_t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \\
&\leq C_1 t^{\frac{b}{2}} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}, \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

whenever $b \leq 2$. Thus, from (19) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} &\leq C t^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})} + C_1 t^{\frac{b}{2}} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \\
&\leq C_2 t^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \left[t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})} + t^{\frac{b}{2}} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \right]. \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $f \neq 0$, $\frac{a\|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{b\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}} > 0$, there exists a natural number N such that $N \frac{a\|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{b\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}} > 1$. We consider the function g defined on $[1, \infty)$ by

$$g(t) = t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})} + t^{\frac{b}{2}} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}.$$

Then the minimum of the function g is attained at the point

$$t_0 = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{2}{a+b}} \left(N \frac{\|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}} \right)^{\frac{2}{a+b}} \tag{22}$$

and

$$g(t_0) = \left[\left(\frac{b}{aN}\right)^{\frac{a}{a+b}} + \left(\frac{aN}{b}\right)^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \right] \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}}.$$

Thus, from (21), we get

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C(a,b) t_0^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \|\cdot|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}}, \tag{23}$$

where $C(a,b) = C_2 \left[\left(\frac{b}{aN}\right)^{\frac{a}{a+b}} + \left(\frac{aN}{b}\right)^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \right]$.

Now, we consider the case for $b > 2$. Since $u \leq 1 + u^b$ for all $u > 0$, in particular for u of the form $u = \frac{|\lambda|}{\varepsilon}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the inequality becomes $\frac{|\lambda|}{\varepsilon} \leq 1 + \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{\varepsilon}\right)^b$. Then, we have

$$\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq \varepsilon \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} + \varepsilon^{1-b} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}. \tag{24}$$

Let

$$g(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} + \varepsilon^{1-b} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}. \tag{25}$$

Then, the minimum of the function g is attained at the point

$$\varepsilon_0 = (b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}} \left(\frac{\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}} \right)^{\frac{1}{b}}.$$

Optimizing in ε_0 , from (24) and (25), we get

$$\|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq b(b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}-1} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{1-\frac{1}{b}} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{b}}. \tag{26}$$

Again, from the relation (23) with $b = 1$, we get

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C(a,1) t_1^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a+1}} \|\cdot\| \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+1}}, \quad (27)$$

where $t_1 = t_0(a,1)$. Therefore, using the relation (27), from (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} &\leq C(a,1) \left[b(b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}-1} \right]^{\frac{a}{a+1}} t_1^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \\ &\quad \times \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a+1}} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a(b-1)}{b(a+1)}} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{b(a+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a+b}{b(a+1)}} &\leq C(a,1) \left[b(b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}-1} \right]^{\frac{a}{a+1}} t_1^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \\ &\quad \times \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{a+1}} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{b(a+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})} &\leq C(a,1) t_1^{\frac{b(a+1)}{a+b}} \left[b(b-1)^{\frac{1}{b}-1} \right]^{\frac{ab}{a+b}} \left(t_1^{\frac{1}{2q}+1} e^{\frac{2\rho t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q}} \right)^{\frac{b(a+1)}{a+b}} \\ &\quad \times \|\cdot\|^a f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{b}{a+b}} \|\cdot\|^b \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{a}{a+b}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Next, we study other variations of the HPW inequality. In particular, we give the Nash-type and Clarkson-type inequalities for the Opdam–Cherednik transform. These inequalities involve a mixed L^1 and L^2 norms estimate.

Theorem 3.8 (Nash-type inequality). *Let $s > 0$. Then for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{4s}{2\alpha+3+2s}} \|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{2(2\alpha+3)}{2\alpha+3+2s}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, $r > 1$ and $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq r\}$. Then, using the Plancherel formula (4), we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 = \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 = \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f\chi_{B_r})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f\chi_{B_r^c})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2. \quad (28)$$

Now, from the relation (2) of Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f\chi_{B_r})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 = \int_{B_r} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f(\lambda)|^2 d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \leq C_1 \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 r^{2\alpha+3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(f\chi_{B_r^c})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &= \int_{B_r^c} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f(\lambda)|^2 d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\ &\leq r^{-2s} \int_{B_r^c} |\lambda|^{2s} |\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f(\lambda)|^2 d|\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}|(\lambda) \\ &\leq r^{-2s} \|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the relation (6), from (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 &\leq C_1 r^{2\alpha+3} \|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + r^{-2s} \|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \\ &\leq C_1 r^{2\alpha+3} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R},A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 + r^{-2s} \|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since for any $f \neq 0$, $\frac{\|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2}{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2} > 0$, by the Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists a natural number N such that $N \frac{\|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2}{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2} > 1$. Then, the right hand side of (29) is minimized for $r^{2\alpha+3+2s} = N \frac{\|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2}{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^2}$. Therefore

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{4s}{2\alpha+3+2s}} \|\cdot\|^s \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{2(2\alpha+3)}{2\alpha+3+2s}},$$

where $C = (C_1 N + 1) N^{-\frac{2s}{2\alpha+3+2s}}$. \square

Theorem 3.9 (Clarkson-type inequality). *Let $s > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C \exp \left\{ \rho \left(N \frac{\|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+4s}} \right\} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{4s}{1+4s}} \|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{1+4s}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})$, $r > 1$ and $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq r\}$. Using Hölder's inequality and the relation (1) of Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} &= \int_{B_r} |f(x)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx + \int_{B_r^c} |f(x)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\ &\leq A_{\alpha,\beta}(B_r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} + r^{-2s} \int_{B_r^c} |x|^{2s} |f(x)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\rho r} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} + r^{-2s} \|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \\ &\leq e^{\rho r} (2^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} + r^{-2s} \|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since for any $f \neq 0$, $\frac{\|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}} > 0$, by the Archimedean property of real numbers, there exists a natural number N such that $N \frac{\|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}} > 1$. Then, the right hand side of (30) is minimized for $r^{\frac{1}{2}+2s} = N \frac{\|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}$. Therefore

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})} \leq C \exp \left\{ \rho \left(N \frac{\|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}} \right)^{\frac{2}{1+4s}} \right\} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{4s}{1+4s}} \|\cdot\|^{2s} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta})}^{\frac{1}{1+4s}},$$

where $C = (2^{\frac{1}{2}} N + 1) N^{-\frac{4s}{1+4s}}$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author gratefully acknowledges the support provided by IIT Delhi, Government of India. The second author is deeply indebted to Prof. Nir Lev for several fruitful discussions and generous comments. The second author is also grateful to the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India for providing the National Post-Doctoral Fellowship [File No. PDF/2021/000192].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Achak and R. Daher, *Benedicks–Amrein–Berthier type theorem related to Opdam–Cherednik transform*, J Pseudo-Differ Oper Appl. 9:431–441 (2018). [2]
- [2] N.B. Andersen, *Real Paley–Wiener theorems and Roe’s theorem associated with the Opdam–Cherednik transform*, J Math Anal Appl. 427(1):47–59 (2015). [3]
- [3] I. Białynicki-Birula, *Entropic Uncertainty Relations in Quantum Mechanics*, In: Quantum Probability and Applications II, Springer, Berlin, 90–103 (1985). [1]
- [4] P. Ciatti, F. Ricci and M. Sundari, *Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl uncertainty inequalities and polynomial volume growth*, Adv Math. 215:616–625 (2017). [2]
- [5] M.G. Cowling and J.F. Price, *Generalizations of Heisenberg’s inequality*, In: Harmonic analysis (G. Mauceri, F. Ricci and G. Weiss (eds.)), Springer, Berlin, 443–449 (1983). [1]
- [6] R. Daher, S.L. Hamad, T. Kawazoe and N. Shimeno, *Uncertainty principles for the Cherednik transform*, Proc Indian Acad Sci Math Sci. 122(3):429–436 (2012). [2]
- [7] D.L. Donoho and P.B. Stark, *Uncertainty principles and signal recovery*, SIAM J Appl Math. 49(3):906–931 (1989). [1]
- [8] G.B. Folland and A. Sitaram, *The uncertainty principle: A mathematical survey*, J Fourier Anal Appl. 3:207–238 (1997). [1]
- [9] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, 2nd edn., Springer, Berlin (2008). [6]
- [10] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2001). [1]
- [11] G.H. Hardy, *A theorem concerning Fourier transforms*, J Lond Math Soc. 8:227–231 (1933). [1]
- [12] V. Havin and B. Jöricke, *The uncertainty principle in harmonic analysis*, In: A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Vol. 28, Springer–Verlag, Berlin (1994). [1]
- [13] W. Heisenberg, *Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik*, Z Phys. 43:172–198 (1927). [1]
- [14] L. Hörmander, *A uniqueness theorem of Beurling for Fourier transform pairs*, Ark Mat. 29:237–240 (1991). [1]
- [15] T.R. Johansen, *Weighted inequalities and uncertainty principles for the (k, a) -generalized Fourier transform*, Int J Math. 27(3):1650019 (2016). [2, 6]
- [16] T.R. Johansen, *Uncertainty principles for the Heckman–Opdam transform*, Bull Sci Math. 140:687–717 (2016). [2, 4]
- [17] H. Mejjaoli, *Spectral theorems associated with the Jacobi–Cherednik operator*, Bull Sci Math. 138(3):416–439 (2014). [3]
- [18] H. Mejjaoli, *Qualitative uncertainty principles for the Opdam–Cherednik transform*, Integral Trans Spec Funct. 25(7):528–546 (2014). [2, 4]
- [19] H. Mejjaoli, *Spectral theorems associated with the Cherednik operators on \mathbb{R}^d* , Afr Mat. 27:1091–1110 (2016). [7]
- [20] M.W. Morgan, *A note on Fourier transforms*, J Lond Math Soc. 9:188–192 (1934). [1]
- [21] N. Msehli and L.T. Rachdi, *Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl uncertainty principle for the spherical mean operator*, Mediterr J Math. 7:169–194 (2010). [2]
- [22] R. O’Neil, *Convolution operators and $L(p, q)$ spaces*, Duke Math J. 30:129–142 (1963). [6]
- [23] S. Omri and L.T. Rachdi, *Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl uncertainty principle for the Riemann–Liouville operator*, J Inequal Pure Appl Math. 9(3):1–23 (2008). [2]
- [24] E.M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta Math. 175(1):75–121 (1995). [3]
- [25] E.M. Opdam, *Lecture notes on Dunkl operators for real and complex reflection groups*, In: Mem Math Soc Japan 8, Tokyo (2000). [3]
- [26] A. Poria, *Uncertainty principles for the Opdam–Cherednik transform on modulation spaces*, Integral Trans Spec Funct. 32(3):191–206 (2021). [2, 3]
- [27] J.M. Rassias, *On the Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl inequality*, J Inequal Pure Appl Math. 5(1):1–70 (2004). [2]
- [28] B. Ricaud and B. Torrsani, *A survey of uncertainty principles and some signal processing applications*, Adv Comput Math. 40:629–650 (2014). [1]
- [29] M. Rösler and M. Voit, *An uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms*, Proc Amer Math Soc. 127(1):183–194 (1999). [2]
- [30] M. Rösler, *An uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform*, Bull Austral Math Soc. 59:353–360 (1999). [2]
- [31] B. Schapira, *Contributions to the hypergeometric function theory of Heckman and Opdam: sharp estimates, Schwartz space, heat kernel*, Geom Funct Anal. 18:222–250 (2008). [3, 7]
- [32] N. Shimeno, *A note on the uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform*, J Math Sci Univ Tokyo 8:33–42 (2001). [2]
- [33] F. Soltani, *A general form of Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl uncertainty inequality for the Dunkl transform*, Integral Trans Spec Funct. 24(5):40–409 (2013). [2]

- [34] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1971). [6]
- [35] H. Weyl, *Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik*. S. Hirzel, Leipzig, 1928; and Dover edition, New York (1950). [1]
- [36] N. Wiener, *The Fourier integral and certain of its applications*, Reprint of the 1933 edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988). [2]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, NEW DELHI 110016, INDIA
Email address: mondalshyam055@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI 600036, INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT-GAN 5290002, ISRAEL
Email address: anirudhamath@gmail.com