Signatures of discrete time-crystallinity in transport through quantum dot arrays
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Discrete time-crystals are periodically driven quantum many-body systems with broken discrete-time translational symmetry. Measurements of time-crystallinity are currently limited to optical experiments due to the conception that the presence of an environment destroys the time-crystal behavior. We demonstrate that a periodically driven quantum dot array attached to electrodes exhibits both stable and meta-stable discrete time-crystal and quasi-crystal behaviors. These behaviors are manifested in spin-current measurable in transport set-up. The present-day availability of quantum dot arrays with electrically controllable sites makes our findings experimentally verifiable.

Introduction: Periodically driven (Floquet) closed quantum systems that never reach a ground state exhibit a discrete-time crystal (DTC) behavior [1–12]. The breaking of discrete time translation symmetry is manifested in the sub-harmonic oscillations of the order parameter with a period longer than, and more specifically integer multiple of the period of the drive and typically rely on disorder and localization to avoid reaching a stationary state of infinite temperature that oppose time crystalline order [1, 4, 13, 14].

The first signature of DTC was reported in strongly interacting spin systems [11, 12], measured using spin-dependent fluorescence (optical measurements) [11, 12, 15]. NMR experiments have also confirmed the existence of a DTC in systems described by central spins interacting with a larger number of non-interacting satellite spins [16]. DTC, space-time crystals and the interaction between two DTCs have also been observed in a variety of other systems [17–19]. Recent advances in the fabrication and control of exchange coupled quantum dot (QD) arrays [20–24] led to the theoretical proposal of observing a DTC [25] and subsequent experimental realization of the same leading to stable quantum information processing, a practical use of the DTC [26, 27].

Measuring DTCs is limited to closed Floquet quantum systems (i.e., periodically driven quantum system without dissipation). Opening the system to an environment presents a challenge of defining the notion of DTC. Nevertheless, plausible criteria, based on the spectrum of the Floquet propagator (i.e., the one period time evolution operator), to define and characterize DTC, has been put forward [28] in open systems governed by a Markovian Lindblad master equation [29–32]. It relies on the existence of several non-decaying states of the Floquet propagator with eigen-values $\mathcal{E}_{\text{DTC}} \in \{\mathcal{E}_\mu\}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\text{DTC}} \neq 1$ but $(\mathcal{E}_{\text{DTC}})^p = 1$ for some integer $p$ [28]. The DTCs in open systems were observed in driven spin ensembles [16, 33] where coupling to an external thermal bath reduces magnitude of the oscillation, however, the period of the DTC remains locked [16, 34]. However, none of the experiments (both with closed and open systems) so far has been able to observe a DTC in a transport set-up.

DTCs have been proposed to be useful as quantum computing elements [16, 26, 27, 35]. Modern day abilities in performing highly controllable transport experiments have established the QD systems as a resourceful platform for quantum simulations [36–40]. QD array (2-QDs and more) not only provide natural realization of Fermi-Hubbard model but also is a standard test-bed for the observation of a large number of phenomena, ranging from Kondo-effect to variety of magnetic phases [36, 41–43]. Motivated by the proposed usefulness of the DTCs in the quantum-computing and QD array in the quantum simulations, we investigate the transport signature of the DTC in a QD array.

Motivated also by recent realization of Fermi-Hubbard model in a semiconductor QD array [44], in this letter we theoretically show that a stable and a meta-stable dissipative DTC and dissipative discrete-time quasi-crystal (DTQC) can be observed via measurement of the spin-current in a QD array connected to external leads. Our proposal is based on the existence of a unique “weak local symmetry,” to be described later, that is preserved as long as the system-lead coupling is weak. The DTC (and the DTQC) manifests itself in a sharp peak of the Fourier transform of the expectation value of the spin-current (that respects the “weak local symmetry”) which is locked at the DTC sub-harmonic frequency.

Model: The Hamiltonian corresponding to periodically driven QD array, see Fig. 1 (a), comprising of N
QDs is given by $\mathcal{H}(t) = \mathcal{H}_0 + V(t)$ where \[ \mathcal{H}_0 = -t_{\text{hop}} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,\sigma}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{U}{2} n_j n_j \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} K n_j n_{j+1}; \text{ with } n_j = \sum_{\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} n_{j,\sigma}, \]
\[ V(t) = B \sum_{j=1}^{N} (S_j^x \cos \omega t + S_j^y \sin \omega t). \]
\[ (1) \]

In eq. (1), $t_{\text{hop}}$, $U$, and $K$ are the nearest-neighbor hopping, onsite electron-electron, and the nearest-neighbor electron-electron interaction strengths, respectively; $B$ is the magnitude of the external magnetic field induced by a circularly polarized laser of frequency $\omega = \frac{2 \pi}{T}$ leading to $\mathcal{H}(t) = \mathcal{H}(t + T)$. The system is then subjected to onsite dephasing, represented by the Lindblad operators, $V_{D,j} = \sum \sqrt{\gamma} n_j,\sigma$ and connected to leads, $V_L = \sqrt{\gamma L} \sum \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \frac{1}{2}c_{N,\sigma}$ and $V_R = \sqrt{\gamma R} \sum \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow c_{N,\sigma}$, where $\gamma_{L(R)}$ is the system-left(right) lead coupling which we take to be equal. The choice of the lead operators mimic the infinite bias condition. The non-unitary dynamics of the system (and the corresponding density matrix $\rho$) is governed by the Floquet-Lindblad equation (within Born-Markov approximation) \[ \dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}_t(\rho) = -i[\mathcal{H}(t), \rho] \\
+ \sum_{\mu = D, L, R} \left( V_{\mu} \rho V_{\mu}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ V_{\mu}^\dagger V_{\mu}, \rho \} \right), \]
\[ (2) \]
(we set $\hbar = 1$). The periodicity of the Hamiltonian guarantees the periodicity of the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}_t = \mathcal{L}_{t+T}$.

**Local Floquet-dynamical symmetry:** In absence of system-lead coupling (i.e., $\gamma_L = \gamma_R = 0$) the appearance of the TC in the system is stabilized by the presence of a Floquet-dynamical symmetry (FDS) [45–51], defined as follows. Given a Floquet propagator $U_F = T \left( \exp \left[ \int_0^T \mathcal{L}_s ds \right] \right)$ and $U_F^{-1} = T \left( \exp \left[ -\int_0^T \mathcal{L}_s ds \right] \right)$ where $T$ is time ordering if there exists an operator $A$ (in this case it is the total spin raising operator $S^+ = \sum_{j} S_j^+$) which satisfies $A(T) = U_F A U_F^{-1} = e^{i\lambda T} A$, and all the Lindblad operators $V_{\mu}$ satisfy $[V_{\mu}, A(t)] = [V_{\mu}^\dagger, A(t)] = 0$, then the system exhibits the FDS and $A(t)$ oscillates in the long-time limit. While the oscillations in $A(t)$ were shown to be protected against dephasing [45], this is not the case for system-lead coupling; this form of dissipation breaks the FDS.

However, even if the FDS is not fully protected against the system-lead coupling, a DTC behavior can still emerge as a transient phenomenon. To demonstrate this, consider the “local” operator $S_{\text{loc}}^+ = (S^+_1 + S^+_N)$, which satisfies \[ (U_F)^p S_{\text{loc}}^+ (U_F)^{-p} = e^{ip\lambda T(1+i\gamma/\lambda)} S_{\text{loc}}^+, \]
\[ (3) \]
[see Supplementary section S1 for a proof to this statement] after $p$ driving periods, given $[V_{L(R)}, S_{\text{loc}}^+] \propto \gamma$ ($\gamma_L = \gamma_R = \gamma$ is assumed). In the long time limit (corresponding to $p \to \infty$) (3) represents an approximate FDS as long as $\gamma \ll \lambda$. We call it a “weak local Floquet dynamical symmetry”. The notion of the locality comes from the local operator defined only on the sites connected to the leads, and it is “weak” because of the existence of the damping term. For $\gamma \approx \lambda$ the FDS is indeed not preserved during the time evolution.

In the Floquet basis the equation of motion for $S_{\text{loc}}^+$ can be shown to be generated by a Floquet Lindbladian $\mathcal{L}_F$ whose coherent part is governed by a Floquet Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_F = \mathcal{H}_0 + \hbar \cdot \mathbf{S}$, where $\hbar \cdot \mathbf{S}$ is a Zeeman term arising from an effective homogeneous and static magnetic field, $\hbar = (B, 0, \omega)$ at each dot [see supplementary Equations S11]. Given such a Zeeman term we construct, from the local operator $S_{\text{loc},|h\rangle}^+ = (S^+_{1|h\rangle} + S^+_{N|h\rangle})$ in the Floquet basis (rotating the axis of quantization along $\hbar$), a set of coherent states $\rho_{m,n} = (S^+_{\text{loc},|h\rangle})^m \rho_{\text{FSS}} (S^-_{\text{loc},|h\rangle})^n$ (with integer values of $m, n$) from the Floquet steady state $\rho_{\text{FSS}}$.
satisfying,

\[
U_F(p_{m,n}) = e^{i(m-n)\lambda T}e^{-(m+n)\gamma T}(p_{m,n}),
\]

where \( \lambda = |\mathbf{h}| = \sqrt{B^2 + \omega^2} \) (modulo \( \omega \)).

For \( \gamma \neq 0 \), (4) shows that we have one and only one unique Floquet steady state (FSS) corresponding to \( m = n = 0 \), i.e., \( p_{0,0} = \rho_{FSS} \), and the rest of the states corresponding to \( m = n \) are decaying states. However, for \( \gamma = 0 \) there exists multiple FSS corresponding to \( m = n \) [45]. For \( m \neq n \), taking \( m - n = 1 \) without the loss of the generality, (4) becomes,

\[
U_F(p_{n+1,n}) = e^{i\lambda T}e^{-(2n+1)\gamma T}(p_{n+1,n}),
\]

where \( p_{n+1,n} \) are the Floquet coherent states with an oscillatory component (with a characteristic energy scale \( \lambda \)) and a decaying part (with a characteristic energy scale \( \gamma \)). Here \( \lambda \) satisfies the usual FDS structure [45], viz., \( \lambda/\omega = q/p \) with co-prime integers \( p \geq 2 \) and \( q \), a DTC emerges with a period \( T_{DTC} = p\tau \), otherwise DQTC emerges, and \( \gamma/\lambda \) determines the decay of the of the DTC (and DQTC).

A DTC density matrix (as well as DQTC) can be written as \( \rho_{DTC} = \sum_n c_{n+1,n}\rho_{n+1,n} \), where \( c_{n+1,n} \) are the coefficients of the superposition. For \( \gamma << \lambda \), only a few coherent states \( p_{n+1,n} \) decay sufficiently slowly and most of the other coherent states (corresponding to larger integer values of \( n \)) decay in the long time limit.

**Numerical analysis:** Following the standard prescription [28], we numerically evaluate the eigen-spectrum of the Floquet propagator (taking 3 QDs), viz., \( U(rho) = \mathcal{E}_\alpha\rho \) on the complex plane in Fig. 1(b). This eigen-value equation defines the DTC (DQTC) eigen-values as \( \mathcal{E}_{DTC(DQTC)}(p) = e^{ip\lambda T} = 1 \), DTC for integer \( p > 2 \) and DQTC for fractional \( p(>2) \), leading the the periodicity of the TC to be \( T_{DTC(DQTC)} = p\tau \), and the FSS eigen-value as \( \mathcal{E}_{FSS} = 1 \).

The system parameters chosen for the above numerical calculations are well within the reach of present day experiments [22, 36-38, 44]. We choose \( U_{hop} = U = K = 20\pi \) MHz = 0.26 \( \mu \)eV, all being tunable electostatically, and \( \Gamma = 0.1U_{hop} \). The frequency of the external drive is \( \omega = 20\pi \) (therefore, a period of 0.1 \( \mu \)s) assuming the typical resolution of nano-seconds in the time-dependent measurements in experiments with QD array, and magnitude of the external magnetic field \( B \) is of the order of millitesla.

Corresponding to the specific case of DTC, Fig. 1 (c) shows the absolute value (Abs[\( \mathcal{E}_{DTC} \)]) and the imaginary part (Im[\( \mathcal{E}_{DTC} \)]) of the DTC eigenvalue \( \mathcal{E}_F \) as a function of \( \gamma \). For sufficiently small values of \( \gamma \), Abs[\( \mathcal{E}_{DTC} \)] = 1 showing the DTC eigen-value lies on the unit-circle of the spectrum, and Im[\( \mathcal{E}_{DTC} \)] = \( \sin(\lambda\tau) \) shows that the DTC state has a period \( T_{DTC} = 3T \). Stronger system-lead coupling leads DTC eigen-value to move away from the periphery and also introduces a detuning in the DTC time-period as shown in Fig. 1 (c). Therefore, irrespective of the choice of the initial state the spectrum supports the DTC with a period 3\( T \) as long as \( \gamma \) remain small enough, and Fig. 1 (c) corroborates (3). Fig. 1 (d) plots the deviation form the periphery, \( \Delta \mathcal{E}_{DTC} = (|\mathcal{E}_{FSS}| - \text{Abs}[\mathcal{E}_{DTC}]) \) as function of \( \gamma \), showing how the DTC moves away from the peripheral spectrum. Since the least decaying coherent states contribute the most to the DTC, we can therefore identify the DTC density matrix to be \( \rho_{DTC} = \sqrt{2}(c_{1,0}\rho_{1,0} + c_{2,1}\rho_{2,1}) \), which is further supported by the numerical calculations shown in Fig. 1 (d) [see supplementary equation S(18) - S(20) for details].

**Synchronized stable and meta-stable DTC and DTQC:** With the notion of the density matrix corresponding to the DTC (and DTQC) in terms of the decaying coherent states, we numerically demonstrate the role of system-lead coupling in bringing a synchronized stable and meta-stable DTC and DTQC fingerprinted in the oscillation of \( \langle S_j^y \rangle \) (\( j = 1, 2, 3 \) is the site index) and the spin current. Following the standard notions [51], by synchronization we mean that the oscillation of \( \langle S_j^y \rangle \) corresponding to each dot is locked to the same frequency and phase, independent of the specific value of any microscopic parameters.

We start from an initial density matrix corresponding to a half filled thermal state at 77K, where the system is placed under a constant static magnetic field \( B_z \) in z-direction (a ferromagnetic configuration). Such a configuration is purely chosen as a convenience because it is easy to create in a QD array. Subsequently, the system is quenched to a state of \( B_z = 0 \), and at the same time applied with a circularly polarized magnetic field \( V(t) \). However, a random initial density matrix also shows the same results provided it has substantial overlap with the decaying coherent states \( \rho_{mn} \).

Fig. 2 (a) shows the time evolution of \( \langle S_j^y \rangle \) in the DTC state for \( B = \frac{\omega}{2} \) such that \( |\mathbf{h}| = \frac{\omega}{2} \) (note the modulo operation). Three representative values of \( \gamma \) are considered here, corresponding to \( \gamma = 10^{-5}\omega \) (very weak system-lead coupling), \( \gamma = 10^{-3}\omega \) (moderate system-lead coupling), and \( \gamma = \omega/50 \) (strong system-lead coupling). After an initial relaxation dynamics characterized by the value of the dephasing strength \( \Gamma \) (0.1\( U_{hop} \) in our case), \( \langle S_j^y \rangle \) exhibits a stable oscillation with a period 3\( T \) for weak system-lead coupling, see top pannel of Fig. 2 (a). Moreover, the dynamics of \( \langle S_j^y \rangle \) corresponding to all the three sites are synchronized owing to the spatial translational symmetry in the density matrix corresponding to the FSS and the other coherent states [45, 47, 51]. Fig. 2 (b) shows the corresponding normalized discrete Fourier transform (DFT) exhibiting a peak at \( \frac{\omega}{2} \) commensurately with the driving frequency \( \omega \). The secondary peak at \( \frac{\omega}{4} \) is an integer multiple of the DTC peak.

In the middle panel of Fig. 2 (a) we find that after the initial relaxation \( \langle S_j^y \rangle \) oscillates with decaying magnitude leading to a meta-stable oscillation over a con-
FIG. 2. (a) and (c): Plots of the time evolution of $\langle S^y_j \rangle$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) as a function of the dimensionless time $t/T$ showing the appearance of DTC and DTQC, respectively, in the long-time limit for three values of system-lead couplings $\gamma = 10^{-5}\omega$ (top panel), $\gamma = 10^{-3}\omega$ (middle panel), and $\gamma = \omega/50$ (bottom panel). Black line represents $\cos(\pi t/T)$, the driving period. (b) and (d): Plots of the discrete Fourier transform (DTF) of $\langle S^y_3 \rangle$ as a function frequency domain variable $f/\omega$ corresponding to (a) and (b), respectively, showing the $\lambda = 2\omega/3$ corresponding to the DTC case and $\lambda = (\sqrt{2} - 1)\omega$ corresponding to the DTQC case, and in both the cases the frequencies corresponding to the DTC and DTQC are locked even if the amplitude of the oscillation decays. There exists another frequency at an integer multiple of the DTC (and DTQC) frequency in the form of a tiny peak in DTF above $\omega$.

The bottom panel of Fig. 2 (a) further indicates that a stronger damping due to larger values of $\gamma$ leads to a broadened peak in DFT indicating superposition of many frequencies around $\frac{2}{3}\omega$ and $\omega$ signaling a noisy oscillation. This is a manifestation of the fact that $\gamma$ acts as a detuning parameter that force Im[$\xi_{DTC}$] to deviate from its $\gamma = 0$ value, see Fig. 1 (c).

The above conclusions hold also true for the DTQC shown in Fig. 2 (c) where $\lambda = (\sqrt{2} - 1)\omega$. Fig. 2 (d) shows the corresponding normalized DFT exhibiting a peak at $(\sqrt{2} - 1)\omega$ incommensurate with the driving frequency $\omega$. It is worthwhile to point out that if $B$ and $\omega$ are of the same orders of magnitude or at-least $B >> \omega$, then the DTC/DQTC can be observed. In the case of $B << \omega$, the coherence frequency scale $\lambda \approx \omega$ and the system follows the conventional Floquet response oscillating with the period of the drive, and no time crystallinity can be observed.

**Limit-cycles** Although the previous section showed a DTC behavior in $S^y$, we point out that $S^y$ is not easily observed. A connection of the DTC behavior in $S^y$ to a more accessible quantity such as $S^z$, is provided through the limit cycle analysis. A limit cycle is a closed trajectory in the phase-space [52], in our case the phase-space of the dynamical variables, viz., $\langle S^x_j \rangle$, $\langle S^y_j \rangle$ and $\langle S^z_j \rangle$. Fig. 3 shows the limit cycle oscillations for both
stable and meta-stable DTC and DTQC, respectively. The limit cycles are plotted for a time duration of fifty driving periods, for \( \gamma = (10^{-5}\omega, 10^{-3}\omega) \) [Fig.3(a,c)] and \( B = (\frac{1}{2}\omega, \omega) \) [Fig.3(b,d)]. While Fig. 3 (a) and (b) show a stable limit cycle characterized by a well defined closed path, 3 (c) and (d) show that the limit cycle shrinks (but very slowly) over fifty driving periods. The limit cycle oscillations indicates that although \( S_{x}^{(y)}(t) \) respect weak local FDS, \( S_{y}^{(y)} \) also oscillates, providing the means of experimentally extracting a measurable transport signature.

**Transport signature—oscillating spin-current:** Spin current (operator) is defined as,

\[
\hat{J}_{s} = e\gamma(n_{N,\uparrow} - n_{N,\downarrow}) = 2e\gamma S_{x}^{(y)},
\]

where \( e \) is electronic charge, \( n_{N,\sigma} \) is the density operator corresponding to the spin \( \sigma \) at the extraction site connected to the right lead, see Supplementary section S2. Fig. 4 plots the time evolution of the expectation value of the spin-current, defined above, viz., \( J_{s}(t) = \text{Tr}[\hat{J}_{s}\rho(t)] \). In the case of DTC corresponding to Fig. 4 (a) and (b) a stable, a meta-stable DTC are seen for weak and moderate system-lead coupling, respectively. Importantly enough, the DFT of the corresponding oscillations show only one sharp peak at \( f = \frac{q}{\omega} \) for both stable and meta-stable DTC. This is a direct measurable signature of the DTC in the sense that if the DFT from the spin-current shows a peak at a rational fraction of the driving frequency, i.e., \( f/\omega = q/p \) one concludes there exists a DTC with a period \( pt \). As usual, a strong system-lead coupling destroys the DTC indicated by the broadened peak in the DFT of the spin current, see bottom panel of Fig. 4 (b). Fig. 4 (c) and (d) show the DTQC counterpart. Likewise, the sharp peak in DFT of the spin current directly provides the exact value of the time period of the DTQC.

**Discussion:** We identify a paradigmatic experimental setting of a QD array placed between leads that can identify the appearance of DTC and DTQC through the oscillations of the spin-current. We show that a unique form of “weak local” symmetry preserves the DTC and the DTQC provided the time scale corresponding to the system-lead coupling is much larger than the time period of the drive, viz., \( \gamma^{-1} \gg T \). Given the pure time-dependent spin current is detectable in the inverse spin-Hall effect [53], our proposal is experimentally demonstrable in the presently available QD array set-up [22, 36–38, 44, 54].
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