

Three solutions for a fractional elliptic problem with asymmetric critical Choquard nonlinearity

S. Rawat* and K. Sreenadh†

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
Hauz Khaz, New Delhi-110016, India.

Abstract

In this paper we study the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for the following asymmetric nonlinear Choquard problem on fractional Laplacian:

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s u &= -\lambda|u|^{q-2}u + au + b \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N with C^2 boundary, $N > 2s$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Here $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplace operator, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter, $q \in (1, 2)$, $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are given constants, and $2_{\mu,s}^* = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$ is the critical exponent in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the notation $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. We prove that the above problem has at least three nontrivial solutions using the Mountain pass Lemma and Linking theorem.

Key words: Fractional Laplacian, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent, Asymmetric non-linearities.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35J60, 35J20.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to study a doubly non-local Dirichlet problem with sub-linear and linear parts. We consider the problem

$$(P_\lambda) \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u &= -\lambda|u|^{q-2}u + au + b \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

*email: sushmita.rawat1994@gmail.com

†e-mail: sreenadh@maths.iitd.ac.in

where Ω is open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N having C^2 boundary, $N > 2s$ with $s \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter, $q \in (1, 2)$, $a, b > 0$, where $2_{\mu, s}^* = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$. The essential condition that we assume is $\lambda_{k, s} < a < \lambda_{k+1, s}$, where $\{\lambda_{k, s}\}_{k \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of $(-\Delta)^s$ and $0 < \mu < \min\{N, 4s\}$. The notation $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ and $u^- = \min\{-u, 0\}$. Here, $(-\Delta)^s$ is fractional Laplace operator defined as,

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) := C(N, s) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $C(N, s)$ is the normalization constant and $B_\epsilon(x)$ denotes the open ball of radius ϵ centred at x

$$C(N, s) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos(x_1)}{|x|^{N+2s}} dx \right)^{-1}.$$

Due to the vast applications of non local operators, including it being the infinitesimal generators of the Lévy stable diffusion process [1], a lot of research has been done on this operator. For a comprehensive guide to the fractional operator we refer the interested readers to [8, 17]. Servadei-Valdinoci [24] investigated the non-local fractional counterpart of the Laplace equation involving critical non-linearities, which was an extension of the famous work of Brezis and Nirenberg [3]. Author in [25] extended the result obtained by Capozzi, Fortunato and Palmieri[4] and by Gazzola and Ruf [12], to the non-local fractional counterpart of the Laplace equation with critical non-linearities. Objective of their work was to complete the existence result obtained in [24, Theorem 4] for any $\lambda > 0$ different from the eigenvalues of $(-\Delta)^s$.

In the local setting various authors have studied semi-linear elliptic problem of the type, for $1 < q < 2$

$$-\Delta u = -\lambda|u|^{q-2}u + g(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

where g is asymmetric and asymptotically linear. Here asymmetric means that g satisfies an Ambrosetti–Prodi type condition (i.e. $g_- := \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} < \lambda_k < g_+ := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t}$). Paiva-Massa [7] studied this problem when g is asymmetric and superlinear at $+\infty$, $g_+ = \infty$ and assumed that $\frac{g(t)}{t}$ crosses an eigenvalue of the Laplacian when t varies from 0 to $-\infty$ (i.e. $g'(0) < \lambda_k < g_-$). De Figueiredo-Yang [9] initiated the study of problem with critical exponent and asymmetric non-linearities to explore Ambrosetti-Prodi type problem for critical growth. Paiva-Presoto [6] studied the critical problem for the case $g(u) = au + b(u^+)^{p-1}$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1}$ and $b > 0$. Here $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$.

On the other hand one of the first applications of Choquard type non-linearity was given by Pekar in the framework of quantum theory [23] and Lieb [15] used it in approximation of Hartree-Fock theory. An extensive study has been done in the existence and uniqueness results due to its vast applications in physical models. For detailed understanding one can refer [21, 20, 19, 18] and references therein. In the local case ($s = 1$), Gao and Yang [11]

analyzed Brezis-Nirenberg type existence results for Choquard type critical nonlinearity. In [22], authors studied these results in the following non-local problem:

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \lambda u + \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(y)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary, λ is a real parameter, $s \in (0, 1)$, $N > 2s$, $0 < \mu < N$. Gao and Yang [10] established an existence and multiplicity result for the critical Choquard equation under the perturbation that is both sub-linear and sup-linear subcritical terms. They proved the existence of at least two positive solutions for an admissible small range of λ . Using sub- and super-solution techniques they obtained first solution which is local minimum for the functional. The second solution is obtained using Mountain-Pass lemma by studying the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences.

The motivation behind our paper is the inspiring work of Miyagaki et al. [16] where the authors have studied the following non-local problem consisting of sub-linear, linear and (critical) super-linear term,

$$(-\Delta)^s u = -\lambda|u|^{q-2}u + au + b(u^+)^{2_s^*-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,$$

where Ω is open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N having C^2 boundary, $N > 2s$ with $s \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter, $q \in (1, 2)$, $a, b > 0$, where $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ and $\lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s}$. They have proved that for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ the problem has atleast three non-trivial distinct solutions. First two solutions of opposite signs are obtained using Mountain-Pass theorem and Linking theorem is used to obtain the third solution.

To the best of our knowledge there is no work on doubly non-local case with sub-linear and linear term and even for the corresponding problem in the local case. In this article we study the multiplicity result of (P_λ) . Due to the lack of compactness we will be proving Palais-Smale condition is satisfied below some suitable critical level. For the non-trivial non-negative solution we will satisfy the Mountain-Pass geometry for some suitable choice of $\lambda > 0$. Whereas for the non-trivial non-positive solution we will satisfy the Mountain-Pass geometry for all $\lambda > 0$. Next we use minimax result of Generalized Mountain-Pass theorem to obtain third non-trivial solution. With this introduction we state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $1 < q < 2$, $\lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s}$, $b > 0$, $0 < s < 1$ and $N \geq 4s$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that if $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$, problem (P_λ) possesses at least three nontrivial solutions with one non-negative and one non-positive. The same conclusion holds for the case $2s < N < 4s$ if the hypothesis $\lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s}$ is met with an integer k large enough.*

Remark 1.2 *Our results will hold for the following local case problem with suitable modifi-*

ations of our analysis and method

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= -\lambda|u|^{q-2}u + au + b \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2^*_\mu-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N having C^2 boundary, $N \geq 3$, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter, $q \in (1, 2)$, $a, b > 0$, where $2^*_\mu = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2}$. Assuming that $\lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1}$, where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$ and $0 < \mu < \min\{N, 4\}$.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we present some preliminaries on function spaces required for variational settings. In section 3 we prove the result regarding Palais-Smale condition. In section 4 we infer the existence of two opposite sign solutions. In section 5 we obtain results required for the Linking theorem. In section 6 we prove Theorem 1.1 and show the existence of the third solution by estimating the minimax value obtained by Linking theorem.

2 Preliminaries

We recall some definitions of function spaces and results that will be required in later sections. Consider the functional space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the usual fractional Sobolev space defined as

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_s < \infty\},$$

where $[u]_s := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the Gagliardo seminorm of measurable function u and the space is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + [u]_s^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.1)$$

We define the functional space associated to this problem as

$$X_0 = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\}$$

which is a closed subspace of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, it can be shown that X_0 is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy,$$

for $u, v \in X_0$ and thus the corresponding norm,

$$\|u\|_{X_0} = \|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It can be shown that this is equivalent to (2.1) on X_0 . We refer to [8, Proposition 3.6] for the equality

$$[u]_s^2 = 2C(N, s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx,$$

for all $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, for all $u, v \in X_0$ there holds

$$2C(N, s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)(-\Delta)^s v(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy.$$

In particular, it follows that the linear operator $(-\Delta)^s$ is self-adjoint on $X_0(\Omega)$. $\{\lambda_{j,s}\}_{j \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of $(-\Delta)^s$ on the space X_0 satisfying

$$0 < \lambda_{1,s} < \lambda_{2,s} \leq \lambda_{3,s} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{k,s} \leq \lambda_{k+1,s} \dots, \lambda_{k,s} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where each eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,s}$ is repeated according to its multiplicity and $\phi_{k,s} \in C^{0,\sigma}$ is the eigen function associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,s}$, with some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. For the rest of the paper we fix a sequence $\{\phi_{k,s}\}$ of eigen functions forming an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ and an orthogonal basis in $X_0(\Omega)$. Furthermore, The smallest eigenvalue λ_1 is simple and isolated in the spectrum and we assume $\phi_{1,s} > 0$ in Ω .

Proposition 2.1 Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality: *Let $t, r > 1$ and $0 < \mu < N$ with $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\mu}{N} + \frac{1}{r} = 2$, $f \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exists a sharp constant $C(t, r, \mu, N)$ independent of f, h such that*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{f(x)h(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \leq C(t, r, \mu, N) \|f\|_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|h\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

We are looking for nontrivial solutions of the following problem (P_λ) and its weak solution which is defined as, $u \in X_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy &= -\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q-2} u(x) \phi(x) dx + a \int_{\Omega} u \phi(x) dx \\ &+ b \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu,s}} (u^+(x))^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1} \phi(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in X_0$. The energy functional associated with the problem (P_λ) is $J_\lambda : X_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &- \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu,s}} (u^+(x))^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

which is C^1 functional. For $\phi \in X_0(\Omega)$ we define the Gateaux derivative as,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u), \phi \rangle &= \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q-2} u(x) \phi(x) dx \\ &\quad - a \int_{\Omega} u(x) \phi(x) dx - b \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu, s}} (u^+(x))^{2^*_{\mu, s}-1} \phi(x)}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

From the embedding results, we conclude that X_0 is continuously embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$ when $1 \leq p \leq 2^*$. Also the embedding is compact for $1 \leq p < 2^*$, but not for the case $p = 2^*$. We define the best constant for the embedding X_0 into $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as,

$$S_s = \inf_{u \in X_0 \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} = 1 \right\}.$$

Consequently, we define

$$S_s^H = \inf_{u \in X_0 \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^*_{\mu, s}} |u(y)|^{2^*_{\mu, s}}}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.2 [14] *The constant S_s^H is achieved by u if and only if u is of the form $C \left(\frac{t}{t^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, C and $t > 0$. Moreover, $S_s^H = \frac{S_s}{C(N, \mu)^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu, s}}}}$.*

Consider the family of functions U_ϵ , where U_ϵ is defined as

$$U_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} u^* \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \epsilon > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$u^*(x) = \bar{u} \left(\frac{x}{S_s^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \right), \quad \bar{u}(x) = \frac{\tilde{u}(x)}{\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}(x) = \alpha(\beta^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}},$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta > 0$ are fixed constants. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, U_ϵ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{2^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and the equality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|U_\epsilon(x) - U_\epsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\epsilon|^{2^*} = S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

We recall

Definition 2.3 *Let Y be a real Banach space. Then*

1. *A sequence $(u_n) \subset Y$ is called a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $I \in C^1(Y, \mathbb{R})$ at the level $r \in \mathbb{R}$ if $I(u_n) \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $|(I'(u_n), \phi)|_Y \leq \epsilon_n \|\phi\|_Y$ for all $\phi \in Y$, where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

2. I is said to satisfy Palais-Smale condition at level r if every Palais-Smale sequence at level r has a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 2.4 *Let Y be a real Banach space satisfying $Y = V \oplus W$ with V finite dimensional. Suppose that the functional $I \in C^1(Y, \mathbb{R})$ fulfills the conditions:*

1. *there are constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $I_{\partial B_\rho \cap W} \geq \alpha$, where B_ρ denotes the open ball centered at zero and of radius ρ .*
2. *there are constants $R_1, R_2 > \rho$, $\beta < \alpha$ and a nonzero vector $e \in W$ such that $I_{\partial Q} \leq \beta$, with $Q = \{v + re : v \in B_{R_1} \cap V, 0 < r < R_2\}$.*

Then I possesses a Palais-Smale sequence at the level $c \geq \alpha$ where, $c = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in Q} I(h(u))$, and $\Gamma = \{h \in C(\bar{Q}, Y) : h = id \text{ on } \partial Q\}$. □

Throughout the paper we will use the notation,

$$\|u\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} |u(y)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy.$$

3 Palais-Smale condition

In this section we study the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences of the functional J_λ .

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\lambda > 0$, $1 < q < 2$, $\lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s}$, $b > 0$. Then the functional J_λ satisfies*

Palais-Smale condition at level $c < \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^ - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left[\frac{C(N, s) S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} b^{\frac{-1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}$.*

Proof. Let $\langle u_n \rangle$ be palais-smale sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$ for the functional J_λ . Then there exists a positive constant C such that $|J_\lambda(u_n)| \leq C$ and $|\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi \rangle| \leq \epsilon_n \|\phi\|$ for all $\phi \in X_0(\Omega)$ and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have to show that $\langle u_n \rangle$ has a convergent subsequence. First we will show that $\|\langle u_n \rangle\|$ is bounded. Let if possible $\|\langle u_n \rangle\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.2) and (2.3) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle}{2} &= \lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q dx + b \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \|u_n^+\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\geq \lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

As $1 < q < 2$ and by Palais-Smale condition we imply,

$$0 \leq \lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q dx \leq C + \epsilon_n \|u_n\|. \quad (3.1)$$

Set $v_n := \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$, and since $\|v_n\| = 1$, it is a bounded sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$. Thus upto a subsequence, there exists a function $v \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $X_0(\Omega)$, $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^r(\Omega)$ where $r \in [1, 2_s^*)$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ a.e in Ω . Now dividing (3.1) by $\|u_n\|$ and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)|^q dx}{\|u_n\|^{1-q}} = 0.$$

i.e

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q dx = 0.$$

If $v \neq 0$, then that gives us a contradiction. Thus, we assume $v = 0$

$$v_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } X_0(\Omega).$$

Next we consider

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(u_n) - \frac{\langle J'_{\lambda}(u_n), u_n \rangle}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \|u_n\|^2 + \lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q dx \\ &\quad - a \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\|u_n\|^2$ and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \left\{ 1 - a \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 dx \right\} &= 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{a} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

which holds since $a > 0$, but this contradicts the fact that $v = 0$. Hence our assumption is wrong and thus $\langle \|u_n\| \rangle$ is a bounded sequence.

Next we will show that $\langle u_n \rangle$ has a convergent subsequence. Since $X_0(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space, thus upto a subsequence, there exists a function $u \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X_0(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^r(\Omega)$ where $r \in [1, 2_s^*)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e in Ω and $\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_n^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dy \rightharpoonup \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dy$ weakly in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}$.

Note that u is the weak solution of our Problem (P_{λ}) . So we imply,

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = J_{\lambda}(u) - \frac{\langle J'_{\lambda}(u), u \rangle}{2} \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

From the (P.S) assumption we infer that,

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \|u_n\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u_n\|_{L^q}^q - \frac{a}{2} \|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \quad (3.3)$$

We set $v_n = u_n - u$, $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in X_0 . By Brezis-Lieb [2] and [11] we derive that for $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n\|^2 &= \|v_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + o(1) \\ \|u_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} &= \|v_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Using the above in (3.3) to get,

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(v_n) + J_\lambda(u). \quad (3.4)$$

By arguing as in (3.3) and using (3.2) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_\lambda(v_n), v_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|v_n\|^2 - b \|v_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.\end{aligned}$$

Fix a relabeled sequence such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|^2 = l$$

which implies,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n^+\|_0^2 = \left(\frac{C(N, s)l}{2b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*}}.$$

If suppose $l > 0$, then by Sobolev embedding (2.4) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_n\|^2 &\geq S_s^H \|v_n^+\|_0^2 \\ l &\geq (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} \left(\frac{C(N, s)}{2b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Combining (3.4), (3.2) and (3.5) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}c &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(v_n) = \frac{C(N, s)}{4} l - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \frac{C(N, s)l}{2b} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left[\frac{C(N, s)S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} b^{\frac{-1}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}},\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption on c . Hence, $l = 0$ which further implies $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_0(\Omega)$ upto a subsequence. Therefore, J_λ satisfies Palais-Smale condition at any level

$$c < \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left[\frac{C(N, s)S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} b^{\frac{-1}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}}. \quad \square$$

4 Constant sign solutions

In this section we will find two non-trivial solution of opposite sign for our problem (P_λ) . It is derived with the help of H^s v.s C_s^0 minimizer property proved by [13]. Recall that with $\delta^s(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, the space C_s^0 is defined as,

$$C_s^0(\overline{\Omega}) = \{u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) : \|\frac{u}{\delta^s}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C_s^0} = \|\frac{u}{\delta^s}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the positive case the corresponding auxiliary problem will be

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s u &= -\lambda(u^+)^{q-1} + au^+ + b \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The energy functional associated with the problem (4.1) is $J_\lambda^+ : X_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda^+(u) &= \frac{C(N,s)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^q dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that J_λ^+ is of C^1 class and its derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (J^+)'_\lambda(u), \phi \rangle &= \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^{q-1} \phi(x) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^+(x) \phi(x) dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \phi(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

thus the critical point of J_λ^+ are the non negative weak solution for problem (P_λ) .

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ for some $\lambda_0 > 0$. Then problem (P_λ) has a positive solution in $X_0(\Omega)$.*

Proof. We will show there exist positive constants α and ρ such that,

$$J_\lambda^+(u) \geq \alpha \quad \text{for all } u \in X_0(\Omega), \|u\| = \rho. \quad (4.3)$$

We construct a functional $I_\lambda(u)$ and claim $u = 0$ is strict local minimizer i.e. $I_\lambda(u) \geq 0$ for all $\|u\| < \rho_1$ for some $\rho_1 > 0$,

$$I_\lambda(u) := \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^q dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^2 dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy.$$

By [13] it suffices to prove that 0 is the local minimizer for I_λ on $X_0 \cap C_s^0(\overline{\Omega})$ i.e. $I_\lambda(u) \geq 0$ for all $\|u\|_{C_s^0} < \rho_2$ for some $\rho_2 > 0$. For any $u \in X_0 \cap C_s^0(\overline{\Omega})$ we imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u^+(x)}{\delta^s(x)} \right)^{2-q} (\delta^s(x))^{2-q} (u^+(x))^q dx \\ &\leq C_1 \|u\|_{C_s^0}^{2-q} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^q dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Since we are proving the claim for all $\|u\| < \rho_1$ for some $\rho_1 > 0$, thus it will also work for sufficiently small ball i.e. for $\|u\|$ very small. By Sobolev-embedding we have $\|u\|_{L^{2_s^*}} \leq c\|u\|$ for some constant $c > 0$. Hence, we conclude by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy &\leq c \|u^+\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \leq c \|u^+\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2_s^*} \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u^+(x)}{\delta^s(x)} \right)^{2_s^*-q} (\delta^s(x))^{2_s^*-q} (u^+(x))^q dx \\ &\leq C_2 \|u\|_{C_s^0}^{2_s^*-q} \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^q dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants. From (4.4) and (4.5) we get,

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq \left[\frac{\lambda}{q} - \frac{aC_1}{2} \|u\|_{C_s^0}^{2-q} - \frac{bC_2}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|_{C_s^0}^{2_s^*-q} \right] \int_{\Omega} (u^+(x))^q dx \geq 0,$$

whenever

$$\frac{\lambda}{q} \geq \frac{aC_1}{2} \|u\|_{C_s^0}^{2-q} + \frac{bC_2}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|_{C_s^0}^{2_s^*-q}.$$

Since

$$J_\lambda^+(u) = \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \|u\|^2 + I_\lambda(u) > 0,$$

we have proved the claim 4.3. Next we infer that there exist $\lambda_0, t_0 > 0$ such that $J_\lambda^+(t\phi_{1,s}) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda^+(t\phi_{1,s}) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \lambda_{1,s} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{q} t^q \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q dx - \frac{a}{2} t^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^2 dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\phi_{1,s}\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} [\lambda_{1,s} - a] + \frac{\lambda}{q} t^q \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\phi_{1,s}\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

As $q < 2 < 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*$ and $a > \lambda_{1,s}$ thus we prove the claim for $\lambda > 0$ small enough. From Lemma 3.1, the functional J_λ^+ has Palais-Smale condition at any level

$$c < \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left[\frac{C(N, s) S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} b^{\frac{-1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}.$$

Set the minimax value

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda^+(h(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{h \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(1) = t_0 \phi_{1,s}\}.$$

Hence,

$$c_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0, t_0]} J_\lambda^+(t \phi_{1,s}) \leq \frac{\lambda t_0}{q} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q dx.$$

Choose $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, say λ_1 such that further,

$$c_\lambda < \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left[\frac{C(N, s) S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} b^{\frac{-1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}.$$

Thus, for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, the functional J_λ^+ verifies Palais-Smale condition. Moreover, summing up all the observation we see Mountain-pass Lemma holds true and it follows that c_λ is the critical value of J_λ^+ . Hence, there exists a non-trivial solution say $u_1 \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that $J_\lambda^+(u_1) = c_\lambda \geq \alpha > 0$. Also it can be shown that it is a positive solution by taking $\phi = u^-$ in (4.2). Hence, u_1 is a non-trivial positive solution of problem (P_λ) . \square

In order to obtain the negative solution we consider the following auxiliary problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s u &= \lambda (u^-)^{q-1} - a u^- \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The energy functional associated with the problem (4.6) is $J_\lambda^- : X_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as,

$$J_\lambda^-(u) = \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u^-(x))^q dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^-(x))^2 dx$$

We observe that J_λ^- is of C^1 class and its derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (J_\lambda^-)'(u), \phi \rangle &= \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy - \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u^-(x))^{q-1} \phi(x) dx \\ &\quad + a \int_{\Omega} u^-(x) \phi(x) dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

thus the critical point of J_λ^- are the non positive weak solution for problem (P_λ) .

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\lambda > 0$. Then problem (P_λ) has a negative solution in $X_0(\Omega)$.*

Proof. We have to show that there exist positive constants α and ρ such that,

$$J_\lambda^-(u) \geq \alpha \quad \text{for all } u \in X_0(\Omega), \|u\| = \rho. \tag{4.8}$$

We construct a functional $I'_\lambda(u)$ and claim $u = 0$ is strict local minimizer,

$$I'_\lambda(u) := \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u^-(x))^q dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^-(x))^2 dx.$$

By [13] it suffices to prove for $X_0 \cap C_s^0(\overline{\Omega})$. Now we proceed as in [16] to prove the above claim (4.8) and also proceeding in similar manner we infer that there exists $t'_0 > 0$ such that $J_\lambda^-(-t\phi_{1,s}) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t'_0$. Hence, we have got Mountain-pass geometry and moreover, Palais-Smale condition will also hold true by [16]. Thus, setting the minimax value

$$c'_\lambda := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda^-(h(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma' = \{h \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(1) = -t_0\phi_{1,s}\}.$$

We get,

$$c'_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,t_0]} J_\lambda^-(-t\phi_{1,s}) \leq \frac{\lambda t'_0}{q} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q dx.$$

Hence, there exists a non-trivial solution say $u_2 \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that $J_\lambda^-(u_2) = c'_\lambda \geq \alpha > 0$. Also it can be shown that it is a non-positive solution by taking $\phi = u^+$ in (4.7). Hence, u_2 is a non-trivial non-positive solution of problem (P_λ) . \square

5 Linking geometry

In this section we will obtain the assumptions of Linking theorem for the functional J_λ , in order to get a Palais-Smale sequence at min-max critical level c_s . We consider the following orthogonal decomposition of the space X_0 ,

$$X_0 = V_k \oplus W_k$$

where

$$V_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{1,s}, \phi_{2,s}, \dots, \phi_{k,s}\}$$

and

$$W_k = \{u \in X_0(\Omega) : \langle u, \phi_{j,s} \rangle = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

P_- is the orthogonal projection of X_0 onto V_k and P_+ is the orthogonal projection of X_0 onto W_k .

Proposition 5.1 *There exist constants $\alpha, \rho > 0$ independent of $\lambda > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in W_k$ with $\|u\| = \rho$.*

Proof. By the minimizer property of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k+1,s}$ on the infinite dimensional space W_k , we note that $\|u\|^2 \geq \lambda_{k+1,s}\|u\|_{L^2}^2$, for $u \in W_k$. Also using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality along with embedding theorem we get,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|u\|^2 - a \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u\|_{L^q}^q - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\geq \frac{C(N,s)}{4} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k+1,s}} \right] \|u\|^2 - \frac{cb}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant c . Thus, by taking $\|u\| = \rho$ small enough, we get our desired result. \square

Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in \Omega$ and fix $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{4\delta} \subset \Omega$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a cut off function such that

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & B_\delta, \\ 0 & \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2\delta}, \end{cases}$$

and for each $\epsilon > 0$, let u_ϵ be defined as

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \eta(x)U_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5.1)$$

where U_ϵ is as defined in (2.5). Now we will construct a non-zero vector $e_\epsilon \in W_k$ for the Linking theorem,

$$e_\epsilon := P_+ u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - P_- u_\epsilon \in W_k \quad (5.2)$$

which is a continuous function. For the non-triviality of e_ϵ , we show that for every $K > 0$ there exists $\epsilon(K) > 0$ such that

$$0 \in \{x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > K\}$$

whenever $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon(K)$. By [5, p.286] we claim

$$\|P_- u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \quad (5.3)$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and with constant $C > 0$. Using (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$e_\epsilon(0) \geq \frac{1}{\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2_s^*}}} \epsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} - C \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.4)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$e_\epsilon \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0.$$

For $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ and $R_1, R_2 > 0$, we introduce the set

$$Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2} := \{u \in X_0(\Omega) : u = u_1 + r e_\epsilon, u_1 \in V_k \cap \overline{B_{R_1}}(0), 0 \leq r \leq R_2\}. \quad (5.5)$$

$\partial Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$ denotes the relative boundary of Q_{ϵ, R_1, R_2} in the underlying finite dimensional space $V_k \oplus \mathbb{R}e_\epsilon$.

Proposition 5.2 *There exist $R_1 > 0$ and $R_2 > 0$ sufficiently large such that*

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in \partial Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. We divide the boundary $\partial Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$ into three parts: $\partial Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2} = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= V_k \cap B_{R_1}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{u \in X_0(\Omega) : u = u_1 + r e_\epsilon, u_1 \in V_k, \|u_1\| = R_1, 0 \leq r \leq R_2\}, \\ \Gamma_3 &= \{u \in X_0(\Omega) : u = u_1 + R_2 e_\epsilon, u_1 \in V_k, \|u_1\| \leq R_1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Let $u \in \Gamma_1$, from (5.6) we know $u \in V_k$ and also as $a > \lambda_{k,s}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|u\|^2 - a \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u\|_{L^q}^q - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu, s}^*} \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu, s}^*} \\ &\leq \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k, s}} \right] \|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Further let $u \in \Gamma_2$. By (5.2) and [24, Proposition 21] we infer that for some constant $C > 0$

$$\|e_\epsilon\|^2 \leq \|u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + C\epsilon^{N-2s}. \quad (5.7)$$

Hence, take $\delta := \sup_{0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0} \|e_\epsilon\|$. In order to have $R_2 > \rho$, we must have $R_2 \|e_\epsilon\|_{X_0} > \rho$ for $\rho > 0$ as defined in Proposition 5.1, whenever $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. We observe that $\frac{\rho}{\delta} < R_2$. Set $r_0 = \max\{\frac{\rho}{\delta}, 1\}$. Thus, we get two cases,

Case I: $0 \leq r \leq r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k, s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k, s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{2} \delta^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $R_1 > 0$ large enough we get,

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx.$$

Case II: $r > r_0$

Without loss of generality we suppose $R_1 \geq 1$. Choose $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\gamma < \frac{N - 2s}{2(2_s^* - 1)(2 \cdot 2_{\mu, s}^* - 1)} \quad (5.8)$$

and since the space V_k is finite dimensional, thus for some positive constant c_0 and c_1 , we denote

$$K(R_1) := \frac{1}{r_0} \sup \{ \|u_1\|_{L^\infty} : u_1 \in V_k, \|u_1\| = R_1 \} \in [c_0 R_1, c_1 R_1].$$

We define

$$\Omega_\epsilon := \left\{ x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > \frac{c_1}{\epsilon^\gamma} \right\}. \quad (5.9)$$

From (5.8) and (5.4) we infer that $0 \in \Omega_\epsilon$ provided $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Let us suppose that $0 < \epsilon^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{R_1}$. Then from (5.9) we have

$$\Omega_{\epsilon, R_1} := \{x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > K(R_1)\} \supset \Omega_\epsilon.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{u_1(x)}{r} + e_\epsilon(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon, R_1}. \quad (5.10)$$

By (5.7) and (5.10), for some constant $C_1 > 0$ we deduce that

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} (S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + C_1) + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} r^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \left\| \frac{u_1}{r} + e_\epsilon \right\|_{0, \Omega_{\epsilon, R_1}}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Using the algebraic inequality where $a + b > 0$, $b > 0$, $p \geq 1$

$$(a + b)^p \geq |a|^p + |b|^p - p|a|^{p-1}|b| - p|a||b|^{p-1},$$

on the critical choquard term we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{\left(\frac{u_1}{r} + e_\epsilon\right)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(y) \left(\frac{u_1}{r} + e_\epsilon\right)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \geq -c \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{\left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x) \left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}(y) e_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \\ & - c \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{\left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x) \left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|(y) e_\epsilon^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy - c \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{|e_\epsilon|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x) \left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}(y) e_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \\ & - c \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{e_\epsilon^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x) \left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|(y) e_\epsilon^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy + \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{(e_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(y) (e_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\frac{u_1}{r} \in V_k$ that is $\left\| \frac{u_1}{r} \right\|_{L^{2_s^*}} \leq \frac{c}{r} \|u_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq cR_1$, applying Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, Hölder inequality and taking the estimates $\|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}$ and $\|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*}}$ from [25] we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{\left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}(x) \left|\frac{u_1}{r}\right|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}(y) e_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \leq cR_1^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}} \\ & \leq \epsilon^{-\gamma(2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*-1)} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2_s^*-1)}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{|\frac{u_1}{r}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}(x) |\frac{u_1}{r}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}(y) e_\epsilon^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy &\leq cR_1^{2^*_{\mu,s}+1} \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}}^{(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} \\
&\leq \epsilon^{-\gamma(2^*_{\mu,s}+1)} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}}\right). \\
\iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{|e_\epsilon|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}(x) |\frac{u_1}{r}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}(y) e_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy &\leq cR_1^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1} \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}} \\
&\leq \left[S_s^{\frac{2N-\mu}{4s}} + O(\epsilon^N) \right] \epsilon^{-\gamma(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}}\right). \\
\iint_{\Omega_\epsilon \times \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{e_\epsilon^{2^*_{\mu,s}}(x) |\frac{u_1}{r}|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}(y) e_\epsilon^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}(y)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy &\leq cR_1 \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}}^{(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} \\
&\leq \left[S_s^{\frac{2N-\mu}{4s}} + O(\epsilon^N) \right] \epsilon^{-\gamma} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(N-2s)(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma &> \frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2^*_{\mu,s}-1) > \frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}-1) > 0, \\
\frac{(N-2s)(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2^*_{\mu,s}+1) &> \frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}-1) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small and $2^*_{\mu,s} > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} r^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \left\| \frac{u_1}{r} + e_\epsilon \right\|_{0, \Omega_\epsilon}^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} &\leq -\frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} r^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \left[\|e_\epsilon\|_{0, \Omega_\epsilon}^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} - \epsilon^{-\gamma(2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}}\right) \right] \\
&\leq -\frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} r^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \left[C(N, \mu, s) (S_s)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} r^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \left[\epsilon^{-\gamma(2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used estimate of $\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2$ from [14] and also the following estimate

$$\left| \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} - \|e_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \right| \leq C(N, \mu, s) S_s^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}),$$

for some positive constant $C(N, \mu, s) > 0$. Hence, compiling all these we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} (S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + C_1) - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} r^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \left[C(N, \mu, s)^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} r^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \left[\epsilon^{-\gamma(2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}-1)} O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu,s}-1)}}\right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, since $2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s} > 2$, there exists a positive constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx + C_2.$$

Assuming $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small we have $R_1 > 0$ arbitrarily large by the expression $0 < \epsilon^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{R_1}$. Thus, we get the conclusion for $u \in \Gamma_2$.

Next, let $u \in \Gamma_3$, from (5.6) we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] \|u_1\|^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \left\| \left(\frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Let us suppose that $R_1, R_2 > 0$ are arbitrarily large with $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{R_1}{R_2} \leq 1$

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] \|u_1\|^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{2} \left[S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + C_1 \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{N-2s} \right] - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \left\| \left(\frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx.$$

As V_k is finite dimensional, thus there exists constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|u_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2 \|u_1\| \leq C_2 R_1.$$

Let us define

$$\Omega_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2} := \{x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > \frac{C_2 R_1}{R_2} + 1\} \supset \{x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > C_2 + 1\} := D_\epsilon,$$

with the Lebesgue measure $|D_\epsilon| > 0$ provided $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. On $\Omega_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$

$$\frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon > \frac{u_1}{R_2} + \frac{C_2 R_1}{R_2} + 1 \geq 1,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \left\| \left(\frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} &\geq \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \left\| \left(\frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right\|_{0, \Omega_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2} \times \Omega_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\geq \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \iint_{D_\epsilon \times D_\epsilon} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^\mu} \\ &= \frac{cb}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. As $2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] \|u_1\|^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{2} \left[S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + C_1 \right] - \frac{cb}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} R_2^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Last inequality holds since we have assumed $R_2 > 0$ to be large enough and $R_1 > 0$ such that the relation $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{R_1}{R_2} \leq 1$ holds. \square

6 Third solution

Our aim in this section is to show that the minimax value of J_λ along Q_{ϵ, R_1, R_2} is below the admissible level given in Lemma 3.1, for the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences. Thus, by Generalized Mountain-Pass theorem we claim the third critical point of the functional, for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ small enough.

Lemma 6.1 *Let us suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and $N > 2s$, then there holds the estimate*

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{2^*_{\mu, s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu, s}} \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu, s} - 1}} \left[\frac{\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2} \right]^{\frac{2^*_{\mu, s}}{2^*_{\mu, s} - 1}} + C \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2^*_{\mu, s} - 1)}} + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx$$

for all $u \in Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$ and some positive constant C independent of $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. Let $u = u_1 + r e_\epsilon \in Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$, where $u_1 \in V_k \cap \overline{B}_{R_1}$, $0 \leq r \leq R_2$ and $e_\epsilon \in W_k$. In addition we have that u_1 and e_ϵ are orthogonal in L^2 and X_0 which gives,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|u_1\|^2 - a \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{r^2}{2} \left[\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu, s}} \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu, s}} \\ &\leq \frac{r^2}{2} \left[\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu, s}} \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu, s}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

last inequality follows as $a > \lambda_{k, s}$. Next, we estimate the choquard term, and for that we rewrite u as,

$$u = u_1 + r e_\epsilon = u_1 + r u_\epsilon - r P_- u_\epsilon := \tilde{u}_1 + r u_\epsilon.$$

Next we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((u)^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}} ((u)^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}} (\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(r u_\epsilon)^{2^*_{\mu, s}} (r u_\epsilon)^{2^*_{\mu, s}}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \frac{((t \tilde{u}_1 + r u_\epsilon)^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}} ((t \tilde{u}_1 + r u_\epsilon)^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}}}{|x - y|^\mu} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{(t \tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}} (t \tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_{\mu, s}}}{|x - y|^\mu} dt dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry of the variables and Fubini's theorem we further get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((u)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} ((u)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (ru_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \\
& \leq 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left[\frac{((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} ((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}{|x-y|^\mu} \right] \tilde{u}_1(x) dx dy dt \\
& \quad - 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left[\frac{(t\tilde{u}_1^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (t\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}{|x-y|^\mu} \right] \tilde{u}_1(x) dx dy dt \\
& = 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} [((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} - (t\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}](x) \tilde{u}_1(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy dt \\
& \quad + 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{[((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} - (t\tilde{u}_1^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}] (t\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \tilde{u}_1(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem applied to both $\psi \mapsto \psi^{2_{\mu,s}^*}$ and $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}$ on $(0, \infty)$ we infer that for some θ_1 and $\theta_2 \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((u)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} ((u)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (ru_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \right| \\
& \leq 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* (2_{\mu,s}^* - 1) \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} |t\tilde{u}_1^+(x) + \theta_1 ((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+ - t\tilde{u}_1^+)(x)|^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}}{|x-y|^\mu} \\
& \quad \times |(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(x) - t\tilde{u}_1^+(x)| |\tilde{u}_1(x)| dx dy dt \\
& \quad + 2 \cdot (2_{\mu,s}^*)^2 \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} |\tilde{u}_1(x)| |t\tilde{u}_1^+(y) + \theta_2 ((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+ - t\tilde{u}_1^+)(y)|^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}{|x-y|^\mu} \\
& \quad \times |(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(y) - t\tilde{u}_1^+(y)| dx dy dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Also note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
|t\tilde{u}_1^+(x) + \theta_1 ((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+ - t\tilde{u}_1^+)(x)| & \leq \max\{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(x), t\tilde{u}_1^+(x)\} \\
& \leq t\tilde{u}_1^+(x) + ru_\epsilon(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Similarly for the other case. Next we observe that $\tau \mapsto \tau^+$ is a contraction map which gives

$$|(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+(x) - t\tilde{u}_1^+(x)| \leq ru_\epsilon(x) \tag{6.4}$$

Substituting (6.3) and (6.4) in (6.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((u)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} ((u)^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (ru_\epsilon)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \right| \\
& \leq 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* (2_{\mu,s}^* - 1) \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((t\tilde{u}_1^+ + ru_\epsilon)^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (t\tilde{u}_1^+(x) + ru_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 2} ru_\epsilon(x) |\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy dt \\
& \quad + 2 \cdot (2_{\mu,s}^*)^2 \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1^+(y) + ru_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} ru_\epsilon(y) (t\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} |\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy dt.
\end{aligned}$$

On integrating with respect to t and by symmetry of the variables we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq c \left[\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} ru_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} + \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (ru_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} |\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \right] \\
& \quad + c \left[\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} ru_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} + \frac{(ru_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (ru_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} |\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy \right] \\
& \quad + c \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant c . As $u_1^+ \in V_k$, which is a finite dimensional space and using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Hölder inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \|u^+\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|ru_\epsilon\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right| \\
& \leq c \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{(2_{\mu,s}^*-1) - \frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \\
& \quad + c \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{\frac{2_s^*-2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \\
& \quad + c \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{(2_{\mu,s}^*-1) - \frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \\
& \quad + c \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{\frac{2_s^*-2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} + c \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_s^*}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{\frac{(2_s^*-2)(2_{\mu,s}^*)}{2_s^*}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the estimates $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*-1}}^{2_s^*-1} = O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}})$ and $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{2_s^*}}^{2_s^*} = S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^N)$ from [25] we get for some positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5 and C_6 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \|u^+\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|ru_\epsilon\|_0^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right| \\
& \leq C_1 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2_s^*-1)}} + C_2 \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2_{\mu,s}^*-1)}{2(2_s^*-1)}} + C_3 [S_s^{\frac{2N-\mu}{4s}} + O(\epsilon^N)] \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2_s^*-1)}} \\
& \quad + C_4 [S_s^{\frac{2N-\mu}{4s}} + O(\epsilon^N)] \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2_{\mu,s}^*-1)}{2(2_s^*-1)}} + C_5 \epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2_{\mu,s}^*)}{2(2_s^*-1)}} \\
& \leq C_6 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2_s^*-1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved that,

$$\|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \geq \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \|ru_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - C_6 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2_{\mu,s}^*-1)}}. \quad (6.5)$$

From (6.1) and (6.5) we conclude that

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \left[\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} r^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + C_7 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2_{\mu,s}^*-1)}}, \quad (6.6)$$

for some constant $C_7 > 0$. Let us suppose $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function defined as,

$$f(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \left[\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \right] - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*},$$

achieves its maximum at

$$t_0 = \left[\frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2}{b \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}}$$

with the maximum value

$$f(t_0) = \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} \left[\frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}. \quad (6.7)$$

Thus, from (6.6) and (6.7) we conclude the proof of lemma. \square

Lemma 6.2 *If $N \geq 4s$, there holds the estimate*

$$c_s := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}} J_\lambda(h(u)) < \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} \left[\frac{C(N,s) S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}},$$

with $\Gamma = \{h \in C(Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}, X_0(\Omega)) : h = \text{identity on } \partial Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}\}$ provided $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ are sufficiently small. If $2s < N < 4s$, again same estimate holds, but here k is sufficiently large.

Proof. Let us assume $h = \text{identity on } Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$ and clearly $h \in \Gamma$. Then this implies $c_s \leq \max_{u \in Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}} J_\lambda(u)$. Therefore it suffices to prove that for all $u \in Q_{\epsilon, R_1, R_2}$

$$J_\lambda(u) < \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} \left[\frac{C(N,s) S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}.$$

Let us suppose $N > 4s$. By [24], (5.2) and (5.3) we say

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 &\leq \|u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s(2_{\mu,s}^*)}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}), \\ \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - \|P_- u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C_s \epsilon^{2s} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \end{aligned}$$

with the constant $C_s > 0$,

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2 \geq \left[C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N) \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}}}.$$

Next we compute for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2} &\leq \frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \left[C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s(2^*_{\mu,s})}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \right] - a [C_s \epsilon^{2s} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s})]}{\left[C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N) \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}}}} \\ &= \frac{C(N, s)}{2} S_s^H + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) - a C_s O(\epsilon^{2s}) \\ &< \frac{C(N, s)}{2} S_s^H. \end{aligned}$$

Next let us suppose $N = 4s$, then by [24]

$$\|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - \|P_- u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{2s})$$

For $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ sufficiently small, proceeding in similar manner

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2} &\leq \frac{\frac{C(4s,s)}{2} \left[C(4s, \mu)^{\frac{2}{2^*_{\mu,s}}} (S_s^H)^2 + O(\epsilon^{2s}) \right] - a [C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{2s})]}{\left[C(4s, \mu)^2 (S_s^H)^{\frac{8s-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^{4s}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}}}} \\ &= \frac{C(4s, s)}{2} S_s^H + O(\epsilon^{2s}) - a C_s O(\epsilon^{2s}) |\log(\epsilon)| \\ &< \frac{C(4s, s)}{2} S_s^H. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly we take the case $2s < N < 4s$, again by [24] we have

$$\|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C_s \epsilon^{N-2s} + O(\epsilon^{2s})$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, proceeding in similar manner

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2} &\leq \frac{\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \left[C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s(2^*_{\mu,s})}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \right] - a [C_s \epsilon^{N-2s} + O(\epsilon^{2s})]}{\left[C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N) \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}}}} \\ &= \frac{C(N, s)}{2} S_s^H + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) - a C_s O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) - a O(\epsilon^{2s}) \\ &< \frac{C(N, s)}{2} S_s^H. \end{aligned}$$

under the assumption that k is sufficiently large, where $\lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1}$. Thus the proof is complete for ϵ and λ sufficiently small. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 we infer that we have two non-trivial solutions of opposite sign for suitable choice of $\lambda > 0$, namely u_1 and u_2 . Existence of third solution depends on the threshold $\bar{\lambda}$ and we apply the minimax result in Theorem 2.4 to the functional $J_\lambda : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Proposition 5.1 verifies the condition (i) of Theorem 2.4 for some $\alpha > 0$ independent of λ and there exists some $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$, Proposition 5.2 satisfies the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.4. Since hypothesis of Theorem 2.4 holds, we have a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional J_λ at the level c_s for all $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\lambda})$. Lemma 6.2 ensures that the minimax value of J_λ obtained along the linking theorem is smaller than the admissible threshold for the Palais-Smale condition. Thus by Lemma 3.1 we conclude J_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level c_s . Hence, by Generalized Mountain-Pass theorem there exists a non-trivial critical point of the functional J_λ say $u_3 \in X_0(\Omega)$ which is a non-trivial solution of problem (P_λ) . Lastly we show u_3 is distinct from u_1 and u_2 . We assume λ is sufficiently small such that we have strict inequality in both the cases below

$$J_\lambda(u_1) = J_\lambda^+(u_1) = c_\lambda \leq \frac{\lambda t_0}{q} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q dx < \alpha \leq c_s = J_\lambda(u_3),$$

and similarly

$$J_\lambda(u_2) = J_\lambda^-(u_2) = c'_\lambda \leq \frac{\lambda t'_0}{q} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q dx < \alpha \leq c_s = J_\lambda(u_3),$$

then for such value of $\lambda > 0$ we conclude the proof. □

References

- [1] D. Applebaum, *Lévy process-from probability to finance and quantum groups*, Notices American Mathematical Society, 51 (2004), 1336-1347.
- [2] H. Brézis and E. A. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 88 (1983), no. 3, 486-490.
- [3] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), no. 4, 437-477.
- [4] A. Capozzi, D. Fortunato and G. Palmieri, *An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 2 (1985), no. 6, 463-470.
- [5] J. Chabrowski and J. Yang, *Existence theorems for the Schrödinger equation involving a critical Sobolev exponent*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 49 (1998), 276-293.

- [6] F.O. de Paiva and A. E. Presoto, *Semilinear elliptic problems with asymmetric nonlinearities*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 409 (2014), 254–262.
- [7] F.O. de Paiva and E. Massa, *Multiple solutions for some elliptic equations with a nonlinearity concave at the origin*, Nonlinear Analysis, 66 (2007), 2940–2946.
- [8] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 136 (2012), no. 5, 521-573.
- [9] D.G. De Figueiredo and J. Yang, *Critical superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problems*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, 14 (1999), 59–80.
- [10] F. Gao and M. Yang, *On nonlocal Choquard equations with Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponents*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 448 (2017), no. 2, 1006-1041.
- [11] F. Gao and M. Yang, *On the Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for nonlinear Choquard equation*, Science China Mathematics, 61 (2018), no. 7, 1219-1242.
- [12] F. Gazzola and B. Ruf, *Lower-order perturbations of critical growth nonlinearities in semilinear elliptic equations*, Advances in Differential Equations, 2 (1997), no. 4, 555–572.
- [13] J. Giacomoni, D. Goel and K. Sreenadh, *Regularity results on a class of doubly nonlocal problems*, Journal of Differential Equations, 268 (2020), no.9, 5301-5328.
- [14] J. Giacomoni, T. Mukherjee and K. Sreenadh, *Doubly nonlocal system with Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical nonlinearity*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 467 (2018), 638-672.
- [15] E. H. Lieb, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquards nonlinear equation*, Studies in Applied Mathematics, 57 (1976/77), no. 2, 93-105.
- [16] O.H. Miyagaki, D. Motreanu and F.R. Pereira, *Multiple solutions for a fractional elliptic problem with critical growth*, Journal of Differential Equations, 269 (2020), 5542–5572.
- [17] G. Molica Bisci, V.Rădulescu and R. Servadei, *Variational Methods for Nonlocal Fractional Problems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2016).
- [18] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations*, Transactions of American Mathematical Society, 367 (2015), 6557-6579.
- [19] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev critical exponent*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 17 (2015), 1550005.

- [20] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, Journal of Functional Analysis 265 (2013), no. 2, 153-184.
- [21] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *A guide to the Choquard equation*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 19 (2017), no. 1, 773-813.
- [22] T. Mukherjee and K. Sreenadh, *Fractional Choquard equation with critical nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Differential Equations Applications, 24 (2017), no. 6, Article no. 63, 34pp.
- [23] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [24] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, *The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 367 (2015), 67–102.
- [25] R. Servadei, *The Yamabe equation in a non-local setting*, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, 2 (2013), 235–270.