Three solutions for a fractional elliptic problem with asymmetric critical Choquard nonlinearity
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Abstract

In this paper we study the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for the following asymmetric nonlinear Choquard problem on fractional Laplacian:

\[
(-\Delta)^s u = -\lambda |u|^{q-2} u + au + b \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s} - 2} \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]

\[
u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\]

where \(\Omega\) is open bounded domain of \(\mathbb{R}^N\) with \(C^2\) boundary, \(N > 2s\) and \(s \in (0, 1)\). Here \((-\Delta)^s\) is the fractional Laplace operator, \(\lambda > 0\) is a real parameter, \(q \in (1,2)\), \(a > 0\) and \(b > 0\) are given constants, and \(2^*_{\mu,s} = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}\) is the critical exponent in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the notation \(u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}\). We prove that the above problem has at least three nontrivial solutions using the Mountain pass Lemma and Linking theorem.
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1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to study a doubly non-local Dirichlet problem with sub-linear and linear parts. We consider the problem

\[
(P_\lambda) \begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = -\lambda |u|^{q-2} u + au + b \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s} - 1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
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where $\Omega$ is open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$ having $C^2$ boundary, $N > 2s$ with $s \in (0,1)$, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter, $q \in (1,2)$, $a, b > 0$, where $2^*_s = \frac{2N-2}{N-2s}$. The essential condition that we assume is $\lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1}$, where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of $(-\Delta)^s$ and $0 < \mu < \min\{N, 4s\}$. The notation $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ and $u^- = \min\{-u, 0\}$. Here, $(-\Delta)^s$ is fractional Laplace operator defined as,

$$ (-\Delta)^s u(x) := C(N, s) \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, $$

where $C(N, s)$ is the normalization constant and $B_\epsilon(x)$ denotes the open ball of radius $\epsilon$ centred at $x$

Due to the vast applications of non local operators, including it being the infinitesimal generators of the Levy stable diffusion process [1], a lot of research has been done on this operator. For a comprehensive guide to the fractional operator we refer the interested readers to [8, 17]. Servadei-Valdinoci [24] investigated the non-local fractional counterpart of the Laplace equation involving critical non-linearities, which was an extension of the famous work of Brezis and Nirenberg [3]. Author in [25] extended the result obtained by Capozzi, Fortunato and Palmieri [4] and by Gazzola and Ruf [12], to the non-local fractional counterpart of the Laplace equation with critical non-linearities. Objective of their work was to complete the existence result obtained in [24, Theorem 4] for any $\lambda > 0$ different from the eigenvalues of $(-\Delta)^s$.

In the local setting various authors have studied semi-linear elliptic problem of the type, for $1 < q < 2$

$$ -\Delta u = -\lambda |u|^{q-2} u + g(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega $$

where $g$ is asymmetric and asymptotically linear. Here asymmetric means that $g$ satisfies an Ambrosetti–Prodi type condition (i.e. $g_- := \lim_{t \to -\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} < \lambda_k < g_+ := \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t}$). Paiva-Massa [21] studied this problem when $g$ is asymmetric and superlinear at $+\infty$, $g_+ = \infty$ and assumed that $\frac{g(t)}{t}$ crosses an eigenvalue of the Laplacian when $t$ varies from 0 to $-\infty$ (i.e. $g'(0) < \lambda_k < g_-$). De Figueiredo-Yang [9] initiated the study of problem with critical exponent and asymmetric non-linearities to explore Ambrosetti-Prodi type problem for critical growth. Paiva-Presoto [6] studied the critical problem for the case $g(u) = au + b(u^+)^{p-1}$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1}$ and $b > 0$. Here $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H^1_0(\Omega))$.

On the other hand one of the first applications of Choquard type non-linearity was given by Pekar in the framework of quantum theory [23] and Lieb [15] used it in approximation of Hartree-Fock theory. An extensive study has been done in the existence and uniqueness results due to its vast applications in physical models. For detailed understanding one can refer [21, 20, 19, 18] and references therein. In the local case ($s = 1$), Gao and Yang [11]
analyzed Brezis-Nirenberg type existence results for Choquard type critical nonlinearity. In \cite{22}, authors studied these results in the following non-local problem:

\[ (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda u + \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) |u|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \]

where \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with Lipschitz boundary, \( \lambda \) is a real parameter, \( s \in (0, 1) \), \( N > 2s, \, 0 < \mu < N \). Gao and Yang \cite{10} established an existence and multiplicity result for the critical Choquard equation under the perturbation that is both sub-linear and sup-linear subcritical terms. They proved the existence of at least two positive solutions for an admissible small range of \( \lambda \). Using sub- and super-solution techniques they obtained first solution which is local minimum for the functional. The second solution is obtained using Mountain-Pass lemma by studying the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences.

The motivation behind our paper is the inspiring work of Miyagaki et al. \cite{16} where the authors have studied the following non-local problem consisting of sub-linear, linear and (critical) super-linear term,

\[ (-\Delta)^s u = -\lambda |u|^{q-2} u + au + b(u^+)^{2^*_s-2} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \]

where \( \Omega \) is open bounded domain of \( \mathbb{R}^N \) having \( C^2 \) boundary, \( N > 2s, \, q \in (1, 2), \, a, b > 0 \), where \( 2^*_s = \frac{2N}{N-2s} \) and \( \lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s} \). They have proved that for sufficiently small \( \lambda > 0 \) the problem has at least three non-trivial distinct solutions. First two solutions of opposite signs are obtained using Mountain-Pass theorem and Linking theorem is used to obtain the third solution.

To the best of our knowledge there is no work on doubly non-local case with sub-linear and linear term and even for the corresponding problem in the local case. In this article we study the multiplicity result of \((P_\lambda)\). Due to the lack of compactness we will be proving Palais-Smale condition is satisfied below some suitable critical level. For the non-trivial non-negative solution we will satisfy the Mountain-Pass geometry for some suitable choice of \( \lambda > 0 \). Whereas for the non-trivial non-positive solution we will satisfy the Mountain-Pass geometry for all \( \lambda > 0 \). Next we use minimax result of Generalized Mountain-Pass theorem to obtain third non-trivial solution. With this introduction we state our main result.

**Theorem 1.1** Let \( 1 < q < 2, \, \lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s}, \, b > 0, \, 0 < s < 1 \) and \( N \geq 4s \). Then there exists \( \lambda > 0 \) such that if \( 0 < \lambda < \lambda N \), problem \((P_\lambda)\) possesses at least three nontrivial solutions with one non-negative and one non-positive. The same conclusion holds for the case \( 2s < N < 4s \) if the hypothesis \( \lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s} \) is met with an integer \( k \) large enough.

**Remark 1.2** Our results will hold for the following local case problem with suitable modifi-
Cations of our analysis and method

\[-\Delta u = -\lambda |u|^{q-2}u + au + b \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_\mu}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \right) (u^+)_{2^*_\mu-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega,\]

\[u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,\]

where \( \Omega \) is open bounded domain of \( \mathbb{R}^N \) having \( C^2 \) boundary, \( N \geq 3 \), \( \lambda > 0 \) is a real parameter, \( q \in (1,2) \), \( a,b > 0 \), where \( 2^*_\mu = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s} \). Assuming that \( \lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1} \), where \( \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1} \) denote the sequence of eigenvalues of \( (-\Delta, H^1_0(\Omega)) \) and \( 0 < \mu < \min\{N,4\} \).

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we present some preliminaries on function spaces required for variational settings. In section 3 we prove the result regarding Palais-Smale condition. In section 4 we infer the existence of two opposite sign solutions. In section 5 we obtain results required for the Linking theorem. In section 6 we prove Theorem 1.1 and show the existence of the third solution by estimating the minimax value obtained by Linking theorem.

2 Preliminaries

We recall some definitions of function spaces and results that will be required in later sections. Consider the functional space \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) as the usual fractional Sobolev space defined as

\[H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_s < \infty \right\},\]

where \([u]_s := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\) is the Gagliardo seminorm of measurable function \( u \) and the space is endowed with the norm \( \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left( \|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + [u]_s^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \). (2.1)

We define the functional space associated to this problem as

\[X_0 = \left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \right\}\]

which is a closed subspace of \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Then, it can be shown that \( X_0 \) is a Hilbert space with the inner product

\[\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy,\]

for \( u, v \in X_0 \) and thus the corresponding norm,

\[\|u\|_{X_0} = \|u\| = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\]
It can be shown that this is equivalent to (2.1) on \( X_0 \). We refer to [8, Proposition 3.6] for the equality
\[
[u]_{s}^2 = 2C(N, s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|(-\Delta)^{s}u|^2}{|x|^N} \, dx,
\]
for all \( u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Thus, for all \( u, v \in X_0 \) there holds
\[
2C(N, s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)(-\Delta)^{s} v(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dxdy.
\]
In particular, it follows that the linear operator \((-\Delta)^{s}\) is self-adjoint on \( X_0(\Omega) \). \( \{\lambda_{j,s}\}_{j \geq 1} \) denote the sequence of eigenvalues of \((-\Delta)^{s}\) on the space \( X_0 \) satisfying
\[
0 < \lambda_{1,s} < \lambda_{2,s} \leq \lambda_{3,s} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k,s} \leq \lambda_{k+1,s} \cdots \leq \lambda_{k,s} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty,
\]
where each eigenvalue \( \lambda_{k,s} \) is repeated according to its multiplicity and \( \phi_{k,s} \in C^{0,\sigma} \) is the eigen function associated to the eigenvalue \( \lambda_{k,s} \), with some \( \sigma \in (0,1) \). For the rest of the paper we fix a sequence \( \{\phi_{k,s}\} \) of eigen functions forming an orthonormal basis in \( L^2(\Omega) \) and an orthogonal basis in \( X_0(\Omega) \). Furthermore, The smallest eigenvalue \( \lambda_{1} \) is simple and isolated in the spectrum and we assume \( \phi_{1,s} > 0 \) in \( \Omega \).

**Proposition 2.1** Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality: Let \( t, r > 1 \) and \( 0 < \mu < N \) with \( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{t}{r} + \frac{1}{t} = 2 \), \( f \in L^{t}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \) and \( h \in L^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \). Then there exists a sharp constant \( C(t, r, \mu, N) \) independent of \( f, h \) such that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{f(x)h(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy \leq C(t, r, \mu, N) \|f\|_{L^{t}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \|h\|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}.
\]

We are looking for nontrivial solutions of the following problem \((P_\lambda)\) and its weak solution which is defined as, \( u \in X_0 \) such that
\[
\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dxdy = -\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q-2} u(x)\phi(x) \, dx + a \int_{\Omega} u\phi(x) \, dx + b \int_{\Omega} (u^{+}(y))^{2\mu, s}(u^{+}(x))^{2\mu, s-1} \phi(x) \, dxdy
\]
for any \( \phi \in X_0 \). The energy functional associated with the problem \((P_\lambda)\) is \( J_\lambda : X_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined as,
\[
J_\lambda(u) = \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dxdy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q} \, dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)| \, dx + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^{+}(y))^{2\mu, s}(u^{+}(x))^{2\mu, s}}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy.
\]
which is $C^1$ functional. For $\phi \in X_0(\Omega)$ we define the Gateaux derivative as,

$$
\langle J'_\lambda(u), \phi \rangle = \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q-2} u(x) \phi(x) \, dx
$$

$$
- a \int_{\Omega} u(x) \phi(x) \, dx - b \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_s}(u^+(x))^{2^*_s-1} \phi(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dx dy.
$$

(2.3)

From the embedding results, we conclude that $X_0$ is continuously embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$ when $1 \leq p \leq 2^*_s$. Also the embedding is compact for $1 \leq p < 2^*_s$, but not for the case $p = 2^*_s$. We define the best constant for the embedding $X_0$ into $L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as,

$$
S_s = \inf_{u \in X_0 \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_s} = 1 \right\}.
$$

Consequently, we define

$$
S^H_s = \inf_{u \in X_0 \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2^*_s} |u(y)|^{2^*_s} \, dx dy = 1 \right\}.
$$

(2.4)

Lemma 2.2 [14] The constant $S^H_s$ is achieved by $u$ if and only if $u$ is of the form

$$
C \left( \frac{t}{|x - x_0|^t} \right)^{\nu - \frac{N}{2s}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ for some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ and } t > 0. \quad \text{Moreover, } S^H_s = \frac{S_s}{C(N, \mu)^{\nu - \frac{N}{2s}}}.
$$

Consider the family of functions $U_\epsilon$, where $U_\epsilon$ is defined as

$$
U_\epsilon = e^{-\frac{N-2s}{2} u^* \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \epsilon > 0,
$$

(2.5)

$$
u^*(x) = \frac{x}{S_s}, \quad u(x) = \frac{\tilde{u}(x)}{\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} \text{ and } \tilde{u}(x) = \alpha(\beta^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}},$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta > 0$ are fixed constants. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, $U_\epsilon$ satisfies

$$
(-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{2^*_s-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,
$$

and the equality,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|U_\epsilon(x) - U_\epsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\epsilon|^s = S_s^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}}.
$$

We recall

**Definition 2.3** Let $Y$ be a real Banach space. Then

1. A sequence $(u_n) \subset Y$ is called a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $I \in C^1(Y, \mathbb{R})$ at the level $r \in \mathbb{R}$ if $I(u_n) \to r$ as $n \to \infty$, and $\|I'(u_n), \phi\|_Y \leq \epsilon_n \|\phi\|_Y$ for all $\phi \in Y$, where $\epsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. 


2. It is said to satisfy Palais-Smale condition at level \( r \) if every Palais-Smale sequence at level \( r \) has a convergent subsequence.

**Theorem 2.4** Let \( Y \) be a real Banach space satisfying \( Y = V \oplus W \) with \( V \) finite dimensional. Suppose that the functional \( I \in C^1(Y, \mathbb{R}) \) fulfills the conditions:

1. there are constants \( \rho, \alpha > 0 \) such that \( I_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \alpha \) where \( B_\rho \) denotes the open ball centered at zero and of radius \( \rho \).

2. there are constants \( R_1, R_2 > \rho, \beta < \alpha \) and a nonzero vector \( e \in W \) such that \( I_{\partial Q} \leq \beta \), with \( Q = \{ v + re : v \in B_{R_1} \cap V, 0 < r < R_2 \} \).

Then \( I \) possesses a Palais-Smale sequence at the level \( c \geq \alpha \) where,
\[
\Gamma = \{ h \in C(Q, Y) : h = id \text{ on } \partial Q \}.
\]

Throughout the paper we will use the notation,
\[
\|u\|_0^{2^{\ast} \mu, s} := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{2^{\ast} \mu, s}|u(y)|^{2^{\ast} \mu, s}}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy.
\]

### 3 Palais-Smale condition

In this section we study the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences of the functional \( J_\lambda \).

**Lemma 3.1** Let \( \lambda > 0, 1 < q < 2, \lambda_{k,s} < a < \lambda_{k+1,s}, b > 0 \). Then the functional \( J_\lambda \) satisfies Palais-Smale condition at level \( c < \left( \frac{2^{\ast} \mu, s - 1}{2 \cdot 2^{\ast} \mu, s} \right) \left[ \frac{C(N, s)S_H^s}{2} \right]^{\frac{2^{\ast} \mu, s - 1}{2^{\ast} \mu, s - 1}} b^{\frac{1}{2^{\ast} \mu, s - 1}} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \langle u_n \rangle \) be palais-smale sequence in \( X_0(\Omega) \) for the functional \( J_\lambda \). Then there exists a positive constant \( C \) such that \( |J_\lambda(u_n)| \leq C \) and \( |\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi \rangle| \leq \epsilon_n \|\phi\| \) for all \( \phi \in X_0(\Omega) \) and \( \epsilon_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). We have to show that \( \langle u_n \rangle \) has a convergent subsequence. First we will show that \( \|\langle u_n \rangle\| \) is bounded. Let if possible \( \|\langle u_n \rangle\| \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \). By (2.2) and (2.3) we get,
\[
J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle}{2} = \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q \, dx + b \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{\ast} \mu, s} \right] \|u_n\|_0^{2^{\ast} \mu, s}.
\]

As \( 1 < q < 2 \) and by Palais-Smale condition we imply,
\[
0 \leq \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q \, dx \leq C + \epsilon_n \|u_n\|.
\]

(3.1)
Next we consider \( (3.1) \) by \( \|u_n\| \) and taking \( n \to \infty \) we get,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)|^q \, dx}{\|u_n\|^{1-q}} = 0.
\]

i.e

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n\|^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx = 0.
\]

If \( v \neq 0 \), then that gives us a contradiction. Thus, we assume \( v = 0 \)

\[
v_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in} \quad X_0(\Omega).
\]

Next we consider

\[
J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \|u_n\|^2 + \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q \, dx - a \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]

Dividing by \( \|u_n\|^2 \) and taking \( n \to \infty \) we get

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \left\{ 1 - a \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 \, dx \right\} = 0
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{a} > 0
\]

which holds since \( a > 0 \), but this contradicts the fact that \( v = 0 \). Hence our assumption is wrong and thus \( \|u_n\| \) is a bounded sequence.

Next we will show that \( \langle u_n \rangle \) has a convergent subsequence. Since \( X_0(\Omega) \) is a reflexive Banach space, thus upto a subsequence, there exists a function \( u \in X_0(\Omega) \) such that as \( n \to \infty \), we have \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) weakly in \( X_0(\Omega) \), \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) strongly in \( L^r(\Omega) \) where \( r \in [1, 2^*_\mu) \) and \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) a.e in \( \Omega \). Now dividing \( (3.1) \) by \( \|u_n\| \) and taking \( n \to \infty \) we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)|^q \, dx}{\|u_n\|^{1-q}} = 0.
\]

i.e

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n\|^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx = 0.
\]

If \( v \neq 0 \), then that gives us a contradiction. Thus, we assume \( v = 0 \)

\[
v_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in} \quad X_0(\Omega).
\]

Next we consider

\[
J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \|u_n\|^2 + \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^q \, dx - a \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]

Dividing by \( \|u_n\|^2 \) and taking \( n \to \infty \) we get

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right] \left\{ 1 - a \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 \, dx \right\} = 0
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{a} > 0
\]

which holds since \( a > 0 \), but this contradicts the fact that \( v = 0 \). Hence our assumption is wrong and thus \( \|u_n\| \) is a bounded sequence.

Next we will show that \( \langle u_n \rangle \) has a convergent subsequence. Since \( X_0(\Omega) \) is a reflexive Banach space, thus upto a subsequence, there exists a function \( u \in X_0(\Omega) \) such that as \( n \to \infty \), we have \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) weakly in \( X_0(\Omega) \), \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) strongly in \( L^r(\Omega) \) where \( r \in [1, 2^*_\mu) \), \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) a.e in \( \Omega \) and

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^2_{\mu,s}(u_n^+(x))^{2^*_\mu,s-1}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \to \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^2_{\mu,s}(u^+(x))^{2^*_\mu,s-1}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dy \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{2^*_\mu}.
\]

Note that \( u \) is the weak solution of our Problem \((P_\lambda)\). So we imply,

\[
J_\lambda(u) = J_\lambda(u) - \frac{\langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle}{2} \geq 0. \quad (3.2)
\]

From the \((P.S)\) assumption we infer that,

\[
c = \lim_{n \to \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \|u_n\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u_n\|^q_{L^q} - \frac{a}{2} \|u_n\|^2_{L^2} - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \|u_n^+\|^{2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s}. \quad (3.3)
\]
We set \( v_n = u_n - u, v_n \to v \) in \( X_0 \). By Brezis-Lieb \([2]\) and \([11]\) we derive that for \( n \to \infty \),
\[
\|u_n\|^2 = \|v_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + o(1),
\]
\[
\|u_n^+\|^{2-2\mu,s}_0 = \|v_n^+\|^{2-2\mu,s}_0 + \|u^+\|^{2-2\mu,s}_0 + o(1).
\]
Using the above in (3.3) to get,
\[
c = \lim_{n \to \infty} J_\lambda(v_n) + J_\lambda(u).
\] (3.4)
By arguing as in (3.3) and using (3.2) we get,
\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle J'_\lambda(v_n), v_n \rangle
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \|v_n\|^2 - b\|v_n^+\|^{2-2\mu,s}_0.
\]
Fix a relabeled sequence such that,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|^2 = l
\]
which implies,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n^+\|^2_0 = \left( \frac{C(N, s)l}{2b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\mu,s}}.
\]
If suppose \( l > 0 \), then by Sobolev embedding \([24]\) we have,
\[
\|v_n\|^2 \geq S_s^H \|v_n^+\|^2_0
\]
\[
l \geq \left( S_s^H \right)^{\frac{2\mu,s}{2\mu,s-1}} \left( \frac{C(N, s)}{2b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\mu,s-1}}.
\] (3.5)
Combining (3.4), (3.2) and (3.5) we get,
\[
c \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_\lambda(v_n) = \frac{C(N, s)}{4} l - \frac{b}{2} \cdot \frac{C(N, s)l}{2b}
\]
\[
\geq \left( \frac{2^s_{\mu,s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^s_{\mu,s}} \right) \left[ \frac{C(N, s)S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2\mu,s}{2\mu,s-1}} b^{\frac{1}{2\mu,s-1}},
\]
which contradicts the assumption on \( c \). Hence, \( l = 0 \) which further implies \( u_n \to u \) strongly in \( X_0(\Omega) \) upto a subsequence. Therefore, \( J_\lambda \) satisfies Palais-Smale condition at any level
\[
c < \left( \frac{2^s_{\mu,s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^s_{\mu,s}} \right) \left[ \frac{C(N, s)S_s^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2\mu,s}{2\mu,s-1}} b^{\frac{1}{2\mu,s-1}}.
\] \( \square \)
4 Constant sign solutions

In this section we will find two non-trivial solution of opposite sign for our problem \((P_{\lambda})\). It is derived with the help of \(H^s\) v.s \(C^0_s\) minimizer property proved by [13]. Recall that with \(\delta(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)\), the space \(C^0_s\) is defined as,

\[
C^0_s(\Omega) = \{ u \in C^0(\Omega) : \| \frac{u}{\delta} \|_{L^\infty} < \infty \},
\]

endowed with the norm

\[
\| u \|_{C^0_s} = \| \frac{u}{\delta} \|_{L^\infty}.
\]

For the positive case the corresponding auxiliary problem will be

\[
(-\Delta)^s u = -\lambda (u^+)^{q-1} + au^+ + b \left( \int_\Omega \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \, dy \right) (u^+)^{2^*_\mu,s-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]

\[
u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.
\]

The energy functional associated with the problem (4.1) is \(J^+_\lambda : X_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}\) defined as,

\[
J^+_\lambda(u) = \frac{C(N,s)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^q \, dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^2 \, dx
\]

\[ - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s} \int_\Omega \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_\mu,s}(u^+(x))^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \, dy. \]

We observe that \(J^+_\lambda\) is of \(C^1\) class and its derivative is

\[
\langle (J^+_\lambda)'(u), \phi \rangle = \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^{q-1} \phi(x) \, dx
\]

\[ - \frac{a}{2} \int_\Omega u^+(x) \phi(x) \, dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s} \int_\Omega \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_\mu,s}(u^+(x))^{2^*_\mu,s-1} \phi(x)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \, dx \, dy. \]

thus the critical point of \(J^+_\lambda\) are the non negative weak solution for problem \((P_{\lambda})\).

**Theorem 4.1** Let \(\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)\) for some \(\lambda_0 > 0\). Then problem \((P_{\lambda})\) has a positive solution in \(X_0(\Omega)\).

**Proof.** We will show there exist positive constants \(\alpha\) and \(\rho\) such that,

\[
J^+_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha \quad \text{for all } u \in X_0(\Omega), \| u \| = \rho.
\]

We construct a functional \(I_\lambda(u)\) and claim \(u = 0\) is strict local minimizer i.e. \(I_\lambda(u) \geq 0\) for all \(\| u \| < \rho_1\) for some \(\rho_1 > 0\),

\[
I_\lambda(u) := \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^q \, dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^2 \, dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s} \int_\Omega \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_\mu,s}(u^+(x))^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \, dx \, dy.
\]
By [13] it suffices to prove that 0 is the local minimizer for \( I_\lambda \) on \( X_0 \cap C^0_s(\Omega) \) i.e. \( I_\lambda(u) \geq 0 \) for all \( \|u\|_{C^0_s} < \rho_2 \) for some \( \rho_2 > 0 \). For any \( u \in X_0 \cap C^0_s(\Omega) \) we imply that

\[
\int_\Omega \left( u^+(x) \right)^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega \left( \frac{u^+(x)}{\delta^\mu(x)} \right)^{2-q} (\delta^\mu(x))^{2-q}(u^+(x))^q \, dx \\
\leq C_1 \|u\|_{C^0_s}^{2-q} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^q \, dx.
\]

(4.4)

Since we are proving the claim for all \( \|u\| < \rho_1 \) for some \( \rho_1 > 0 \), thus it will also work for sufficiently small ball i.e. for \( \|u\| \) very small. By Sobolev-embedding we have \( \|u\|_{L^q} \leq c\|u\| \) for some constant \( c > 0 \). Hence, we conclude by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

\[
\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{u^+(y)^{2\mu,s}(u^+(x))^{2\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dx \, dy \leq c\|u^+\|_{L^{2\mu,s}^q} \leq c\|u^+\|_{L^{2\mu,s}}^{2\mu,s} \\
\leq c \int_\Omega \left( \frac{u^+(x)}{\delta^\mu(x)} \right)^{2\mu,s} (\delta^\mu(x))^{2\mu,s}(u^+(x))^q \, dx \\
\leq C_2 \|u\|_{C^0_s}^{2\mu,s} \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^q \, dx,
\]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are positive constants. From (4.4) and (4.5) we get,

\[
I_\lambda(u) \geq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{q} - \frac{aC_1}{2} \|u\|_{C^0_s}^{2-q} - \frac{bC_2}{2 \cdot 2^\mu,s} \|u\|_{C^0_s}^{2\mu,s} \right] \int_\Omega (u^+(x))^q \, dx \geq 0,
\]

whenever

\[
\frac{\lambda}{q} \geq \frac{aC_1}{2} \|u\|_{C^0_s}^{2-q} + \frac{bC_2}{2 \cdot 2^\mu,s} \|u\|_{C^0_s}^{2\mu,s}.
\]

Since

\[
J_\lambda^+(u) = \frac{C(N,s)}{4} \|u\|^2 + I_\lambda(u) > 0,
\]

we have proved the claim[13]. Next we infer that there exist \( \lambda_0, t_0 > 0 \) such that \( J_\lambda^+(t\phi_{1,s}) \leq 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0) \).

\[
J_\lambda(t\phi_{1,s}) = \frac{t^2}{2} \lambda_{1,s} \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^2 \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{q} t^q \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^q \, dx - \frac{a}{2} t^2 \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^2 \, dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^\mu,s} t^{2\mu,s} \|\phi_{1,s}\|_{L^{2\mu,s}}^{2\mu,s} \\
= \frac{t^2}{2} \|\lambda_{1,s} - a\| + \frac{\lambda q}{q} \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^q \, dx - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^\mu,s} t^{2\mu,s} \|\phi_{1,s}\|_{L^{2\mu,s}}^{2\mu,s}.
\]

As \( q < 2 < 2 \cdot 2^\mu,s \) and \( a > \lambda_{1,s} \) thus we prove the claim for \( \lambda > 0 \) small enough. From Lemma 3.1 the functional \( J_\lambda^+ \) has Palais-Smale condition at any level

\[
c < \left( \frac{2^\mu,s - 1}{2 \cdot 2^\mu,s} \right) \left[ \frac{C(N,s)S_H^H}{2} \right] \frac{2^\mu,s}{b^\mu,s - 1}.
\]
Set the minimax value
\[ c_{\lambda} := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J^+_\lambda(h(t)), \]
where
\[ \Gamma = \{ h \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(1) = t_0\phi_{1,s} \}. \]
Hence,
\[ c_{\lambda} \leq \max_{t \in [0,t_0]} J^+_\lambda(t\phi_{1,s}) \leq \frac{\lambda t_0}{q} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1,s}^q \, dx. \]
Choose \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0) \), say \( \lambda_1 \) such that further,
\[ c_{\lambda} < \left( \frac{2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \right) \left[ \frac{C(N, s) S_{\mu,s}^H}{2} \right]^{\frac{2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1}{2}} \frac{b^{2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1}}{b^{2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1}}. \]
Thus, for \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_1) \), the functional \( J^+_\lambda \) verifies Palais-Smale condition. Moreover, summing up all the observation we see Mountain-pass Lemma holds true and it follows that \( c_{\lambda} \) is the critical value of \( J^+_\lambda \). Hence, there exists a non-trivial solution say \( u_1 \in X_0(\Omega) \) such that
\[ J^+_\lambda(u_1) = c_{\lambda} \geq \alpha > 0. \]
Also it can be shown that it is a positive solution by taking \( \phi = u^− \) in (4.2). Hence, \( u_1 \) is a non-trivial positive solution of problem \((P_{\lambda})\).

In order to obtain the negative solution we consider the following auxiliary problem,
\[ (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda(u^−)^{q−1} - au^− \quad \text{in } \Omega, \]
\[ u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \]

The energy functional associated with the problem (4.6) is \( J^-_{\lambda} : X_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined as,
\[ J^-_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{C(N, s)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u^−(x))^q \, dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^−(x))^2 \, dx. \]
We observe that \( J^-_{\lambda} \) is of \( C^1 \) class and its derivative is
\[ \langle (J^-_{\lambda})'(u), \phi \rangle = \frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy - \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u^−(x))^{q−1} \phi(x) \, dx \]
\[ + a \int_{\Omega} u^−(x)\phi(x) \, dx \]
thus the critical point of \( J^-_{\lambda} \) are the non positive weak solution for problem \((P_{\lambda})\).

**Theorem 4.2** Let \( \lambda > 0 \). Then problem \((P_{\lambda})\) has a negative solution in \( X_0(\Omega) \).

**Proof.** We have to show that there exist positive constants \( \alpha \) and \( \rho \) such that,
\[ J^-_{\lambda}(u) \geq \alpha \quad \text{for all } u \in X_0(\Omega), \|u\| = \rho. \]
We construct a functional $I'_\lambda(u)$ and claim $u = 0$ is strict local minimizer,

$$I'_\lambda(u) := \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega (u^-(x))^q \, dx - \frac{a}{2} \int_\Omega (u^-(x))^2 \, dx.$$ 

By [13] it suffices to prove for $X_0 \cap C^0_s(\Omega)$. Now we proceed as in [16] to prove the above claim (4.8) and also proceeding in similar manner we infer that there exists $t'_0 > 0$ such that $J^-_\lambda(-t\phi_{1,s}) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t'_0$. Hence, we have got Mountain-pass geometry and moreover, Palais-Smale condition will also hold true by [16]. Thus, setting the minimax value

$$c'_\lambda := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J^-_\lambda(h(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma' = \{h \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(1) = -t_0\phi_{1,s}\}.$$

We get,

$$c'_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,t'_0]} J^-_\lambda(-t\phi_{1,s}) \leq \frac{\lambda t'_0}{q} \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^q \, dx.$$

Hence, there exists a non-trivial solution say $u_2 \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that $J^-_\lambda(u_2) = c'_\lambda \geq \alpha > 0$. Also it can be shown that it is a non-positive solution by taking $\phi = u^+$ in (4.7). Hence, $u_2$ is a non-trivial non-positive solution of problem $(P_\lambda)$. \qed

5 Linking geometry

In this section we will obtain the assumptions of Linking theorem for the functional $J_\lambda$, in order to get a Palais-Smale sequence at min-max critical level $c_s$. We consider the following orthogonal decomposition of the space $X_0$,

$$X_0 = V_k \oplus W_k$$

where

$$V_k = \text{span}\{\phi_{1,s}, \phi_{2,s}, \ldots, \phi_{k,s}\}$$

and

$$W_k = \{u \in X_0(\Omega) : \langle u, \phi_j \rangle_s = 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}.$$

$P_-$ is the orthogonal projection of $X_0$ onto $V_k$ and $P_+$ is the orthogonal projection of $X_0$ onto $W_k$.

**Proposition 5.1** There exist constants $\alpha, \rho > 0$ independent of $\lambda > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in W_k$ with $\|u\| = \rho$. 
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**Proof.** By the minimizer property of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k+1,s}$ on the infinite dimensional space $W_k$, we note that $\|u\|^2 \geq \lambda_{k+1,s}\|u\|_{L^2}^2$, for $u \in W_k$. Also using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality along with embedding theorem we get,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|u\|^2 - a \|u\|_{L^q}^q \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u\|_{L^q}^q - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \|u\|_{2^*_{\mu,s}}^{2^*_{\mu,s}},$$

for some positive constant $c$. Thus, by taking $\|u\| = \rho$ small enough, we get our desired result.

\[ \square \]

Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in \Omega$ and fix $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{4\delta} \subset \Omega$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a cut off function such that

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & B_{\delta}, \\ 0 & \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2\delta}, \end{cases}$$

and for each $\epsilon > 0$, let $u_\epsilon$ be defined as

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \eta(x)U_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5.1)$$

where $U_\epsilon$ is as defined in (2.5). Now we will construct a non-zero vector $e_\epsilon \in W_k$ for the Linking theorem,

$$e_\epsilon := P_+ u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - P_- u_\epsilon \in W_k \quad (5.2)$$

which is a continuous function. For the non-triviality of $e_\epsilon$, we show that for every $K > 0$ there exists $\epsilon(K) > 0$ such that

$$0 \in \{ x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > K \}$$

whenever $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon(K)$. By [3, p.286] we claim

$$\|P_- u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \quad (5.3)$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and with constant $C > 0$. Using (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$e_\epsilon(0) \geq \frac{1}{\|u\|_{L^2}} \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} - C \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \to \infty \quad (5.4)$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. Thus, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$e_\epsilon \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0.$$
Proposition 5.2 There exist \( R_1 > 0 \) and \( R_2 > 0 \) sufficiently large such that
\[
J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in \partial Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}
\]
for \( \epsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small and \( \lambda > 0 \).

**Proof.** We divide the boundary \( \partial Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2} \) into three parts: \( \partial Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2} = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \), where
\[
\Gamma_1 = V_k \cap B_{R_1}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{ u \in X_0(\Omega) : u = u_1 + re_\epsilon, u_1 \in V_k, \|u_1\| = R_1, 0 \leq r \leq R_2 \}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \{ u \in X_0(\Omega) : u = u_1 + R_2 e_\epsilon, u_1 \in V_k, \|u_1\| \leq R_1 \}. \tag{5.6}
\]

Let \( u \in \Gamma_1 \), from (5.6) we know \( u \in V_k \) and also as \( a > \lambda k_s \) we get
\[
J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ C(N,s) \|u\|^2 - a \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \|u\|_{L^s}^q - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \|u^+\|_0^{2^*_{\mu,s}}
\leq \frac{C(N,s)}{4} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k_s} \right] \|u\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx
\leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx.
\]

Further let \( u \in \Gamma_2 \). By (5.2) and [21, Proposition 21] we infer that for some constant \( C > 0 \)
\[
\|e_\epsilon\|^2 \leq \|u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq S_N^\frac{N}{2} + C \epsilon^{N-2s}. \tag{5.7}
\]
Hence, take \( \delta := \sup_{0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0} \|e_\epsilon\| \). In order to have \( R_2 > \rho \), we must have \( R_2 \|e_\epsilon\|_{X_0} > \rho \) for \( \rho > 0 \) as defined in Proposition 5.1 whenever \( 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 \). We observe that \( \frac{\rho}{\delta} > R_2 \). Set \( r_0 = \max\{\frac{\rho}{\delta}, 1\} \). Thus, we get two cases,

**Case I:** \( 0 \leq r \leq r_0 \)
\[
J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k_s} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k_s} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{2} \delta^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx.
\]
Taking \( R_1 > 0 \) large enough we get,
\[
J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx.
\]

**Case II:** \( r > r_0 \)
Without loss of generality we suppose \( R_1 \geq 1 \). Choose \( \gamma > 0 \) such that
\[
\gamma < \frac{N - 2s}{2(2^*_s - 1)(2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s} - 1)} \tag{5.8}
\]
and since the space $V_k$ is finite dimensional, thus for some positive constant $c_0$ and $c_1$, we denote

$$K(R_1) := \frac{1}{r_0} \sup \{ \|u_1\|_{L^\infty} : u_1 \in V_k, \|u_1\| = R_1 \} \in [c_0 R_1, c_1 R_1].$$

We define

$$\Omega_\e := \left\{ x \in \Omega : e_\e(x) > \frac{c_1}{\e^\gamma} \right\}. \quad (5.9)$$

From (5.8) and (5.4) we infer that $0 \in \Omega_\e$ provided $\e \in (0, \e_0]$, for some $\e_0 > 0$. Let us suppose that $0 < \e^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{c_0 R_1}$. Then from (5.9) we have

$$\Omega_{\e, R_1} := \{ x \in \Omega : e_\e(x) > K(R_1) \} \supset \Omega_\e.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{u_1(x)}{r} + e_\e(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega_{\e, R_1}. \quad (5.10)$$

By (5.7) and (5.10), for some constant $C_1 > 0$ we deduce that

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda_{k,s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} (S_8^{2\mu} + C_1) + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx - \frac{b}{2} \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^{2\mu,s} \| u_1 \|^{2_{\mu,s}}_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}}.$$

Using the algebraic inequality where $a + b > 0$, $b > 0$, $p \geq 1$

$$(a + b)^p \geq |a|^p + |b|^p - p |a|^{p-1} |b| - p |a|^p |b|^{p-1},$$

on the critical choquard term we get,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{(u_1 + e_\e)^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)(u_1 + e_\e)^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy \geq -c \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)e_\e(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy$$

$$- c \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)e_\e(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy - c \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{|e_\e|^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)e_\e(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy$$

$$- c \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{|e_\e|^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)e_\e(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy + \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{|e_\e|^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)|(e_\e)^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy.$$

Using the fact that $\frac{u_1}{r} \in V_k$ that is $\frac{u_1}{r} \|_{L^{2\mu}} \leq C \frac{u_1}{r} \|_{L^\infty} \leq c R_1$, applying Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, Hölder inequality and taking the estimates $\| e_\e \|_{L^{2\mu}_s}$ and $\| e_\e \|_{L^{2\mu}_s}$ from [25] we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\e, R_1}} \frac{|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(x)|u_1|^{2_{\mu,s}}(y)e_\e(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} \, dxdy \leq c R_1 2_{\mu,s}^{2_{\mu,s}} \| e_\e \|_{L^{2\mu}_s}$$

$$\leq \epsilon^{-\gamma(2_{\mu,s}^{2_{\mu,s}} - 1)} O \left( \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2(2\mu_s-1)}} \right).$$
\[ \int \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left| \frac{u_1}{r} \right|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^\mu} \left| \frac{u_1}{r} \right|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1}(y) e_c(y) e_c(y) \, dx \, dy \leq c R_1^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}+1} \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1}} \]

\[ \leq e^{-\gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s}+1)} O \left( \frac{\epsilon^{(N-2s)(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)}}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} \right). \]

\[ \int \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left| e_c \right|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^\mu} \left| e_c \right|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1}(y) e_c(y) \, dx \, dy \leq c R_1^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1} \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1}} \]

\[ \leq \left[ S^\frac{N-\mu}{4s} + O(\epsilon^N) \right] e^{-\gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} O \left( \frac{\epsilon^{(N-2s)(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)}}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} \right). \]

\[ \int \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left| e_c \right|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^\mu} \left| e_c \right|^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1}(y) e_c(y) \, dx \, dy \leq c R_1 \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}} \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1}} \]

\[ \leq \left[ S^\frac{N-\mu}{4s} + O(\epsilon^N) \right] e^{-\gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} O \left( \frac{\epsilon^{(N-2s)(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)}}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} \right). \]

By (5.8) we have

\[ \frac{(N-2s)(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma > \frac{N-2s}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1) > \frac{N-2s}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1) > 0, \]

\[ \frac{(N-2s)(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s} + 1) > \frac{N-2s}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} - \gamma(2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s} - 1) > 0. \]

As \( \epsilon > 0 \) is sufficiently small and \( 2^{*}_{\mu,s} > 2 \),

\[ - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} r^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \| u_1 \| + e_c \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}} \leq - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} r^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \left[ \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}} - \epsilon^{-\gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} O \left( \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} \right) \right] \]

\[ \leq - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} r^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \left[ C(N, \mu, s) (S_s)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} r^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \left[ \epsilon^{-\gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} O \left( \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} \right) \right]. \]

Here we have used estimate of \( \| u_1 \|_0^2 \) from [14] and also the following estimate

\[ \| u_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}} - \| e_c \|_{L^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}}} \leq C(N, \mu, s) S_s^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}), \]

for some positive constant \( C(N, \mu, s) > 0 \). Hence, compiling all these we get

\[ J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k_{1,s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} \left( S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + C_1 \right) - \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \left[ C(N, \mu, s) S_s^{\frac{N-\mu}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{b}{2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s}} r^{2^{*}_{\mu,s}} \left[ \epsilon^{-\gamma(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} O \left( \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{2(2^{*}_{\mu,s}-1)} \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx. \]

Consequently, since \( 2 \cdot 2^{*}_{\mu,s} > 2 \), there exists a positive constant \( C_2 > 0 \) such that

\[ J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k_{1,s}} \right] R_1^2 + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx + C_2. \]
Assuming $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small we have $R_1 > 0$ arbitrarily large by the expression

$$0 < \epsilon^7 \leq \frac{1}{R_1}.$$  Thus, we get the conclusion for $u \in \Gamma_2$.

Next, let $u \in \Gamma_3$, from (5.6) we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda k, s} \right] \| u_1 \|^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{2} \| e_\epsilon \|^2 + \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ dx}{q} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b}{2} \cdot \frac{R_2^2}{2} \omega_\mu \left[ \left( \frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right]^{22^*_{\mu,s}}.$$  

Let us suppose that $R_1, R_2 > 0$ are arbitrarily large with $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{R_1}{R_2} \leq 1$

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k, s} \right] \| u_1 \|^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{2} \left[ S^\infty_{\mu} \ + C_1 \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{N-2s} \right] - \frac{b}{2} \cdot \frac{R_2^2}{2} \omega_\mu \left[ \left( \frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right]^{22^*_{\mu,s}} - \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ dx}{q}.$$  

As $V_k$ is finite dimensional, thus there exists constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\| u_1 \|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2 | u_1 | \leq C_2 R_1.$$  

Let us define

$$\Omega_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2} := \{ x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > \frac{C_2 R_1}{R_2} + 1 \} \supseteq \{ x \in \Omega : e_\epsilon(x) > 2 \} := D_\epsilon,$$

with the Lebesgue measure $| D_\epsilon | > 0$ provided $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. On $\Omega_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}$

$$\frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon > \frac{u_1}{R_2} + \frac{C_2 R_1}{R_2} + 1 \geq 1,$$

which implies

$$\frac{b}{2} \cdot \frac{R_2^2}{2} \omega_\mu \left[ \left( \frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right]^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \geq \frac{b}{2} \cdot \frac{R_2^2}{2} \omega_\mu \left[ \left( \frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right]^{22^*_{\mu,s}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}} \frac{dx dy}{| x - y |^\mu} = \frac{cb}{2} \cdot \frac{R_2^2}{2} \omega_\mu \left[ \left( \frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right]^{22^*_{\mu,s}},$$

for some constant $c > 0$. As $2 \cdot \omega_\mu > 2$

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{\lambda k, s} \right] \| u_1 \|^2 + \frac{R_2^2}{2} \left[ S^\infty_{\mu} \ + C_1 \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{N-2s} \right] - \frac{cb}{2} \cdot \frac{R_2^2}{2} \omega_\mu \left[ \left( \frac{u_1}{R_2} + e_\epsilon \right)^+ \right]^{22^*_{\mu,s}} + \frac{\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ dx}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \ dx.$$  

Last inequality holds since we have assumed $R_2 > 0$ to be large enough and $R_1 > 0$ such that the relation $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{R_1}{R_2} \leq 1$ holds.  \hfill \(\square\)
6 Third solution

Our aim in this section is to show that the minimax value of $J_\lambda$ along $Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}$ is below the admissible level given in Lemma 3.1 for the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences. Thus, by Generalized Mountain-Pass theorem we claim the third critical point of the functional, for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ small enough.

**Lemma 6.1** Let us suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and $N > 2s$, then there holds the estimate

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq \frac{2^{*} \mu, s - 1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C(N,s) \|e\|_2^2 - a \|e\|_L^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} C(N,s) \|e\|_2^2 - a \|e\|_L^2 + \lambda \frac{\epsilon}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx$$

for all $u \in Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}$ and some positive constant $C$ independent of $\epsilon > 0$.

**Proof.** Let $u = u_1 + r e_\epsilon \in Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}$, where $u_1 \in V_k \cap B_{R_1}$, $0 \leq r \leq R_2$ and $e_\epsilon \in W_k$. In addition we have that $u_1$ and $e_\epsilon$ are orthogonal in $L^2$ and $X_0$ which gives,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} C(N,s) \|u_1\|^2 - a \|u_1\|_L^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} C(N,s) \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|_L^2 + \lambda \frac{\epsilon}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx$$

$$- \frac{b}{2} \|u_1\|_{0}^{2 \mu, s}$$

(6.1)

last inequality follows as $a > \lambda_{k,s}$. Next, we estimate the choquard term, and for that we rewrite $u$ as,

$$u = u_1 + r e_\epsilon = u_1 + ru_\epsilon - r P_{-} u_\epsilon := \tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon.$$

Next we consider

$$\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((u)^+)^{2 \mu, s} ((u)^+)^{2 \mu, s}}{|x - y|^{\mu}} \, dx \, dy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{((\tilde{u}_1)^+)^{2 \mu, s} ((\tilde{u}_1)^+)^{2 \mu, s}}{|x - y|^{\mu}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$- \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon)^{2 \mu, s} (ru_\epsilon)^{2 \mu, s}}{|x - y|^{\mu}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \int_{\Omega} d \frac{((r \tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+)^{2 \mu, s} ((r \tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^+)^{2 \mu, s}}{|x - y|^{\mu}} - d \frac{(r \tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^{2 \mu, s} (r \tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^{2 \mu, s}}{|x - y|^{\mu}} \, dt \, dx \, dy.$$
By the symmetry of the variables and Fubini’s theorem we further get

\[
\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*_\mu,s} (u^+)^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_\mu,s} (\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_e)^{2^*_\mu,s} (ru_e)^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy
\]

\[
\leq 2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left\lfloor \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(y))^\mu ((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x))^\mu}{|x-y|^\mu} \right\rfloor \tilde{u}_1(x) \, dxdydt
\]

\[
- 2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left\lfloor \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(y))^\mu (t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x))^\mu}{|x-y|^\mu} \right\rfloor \tilde{u}_1(x) \, dxdydt
\]

\[
= 2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left\lfloor \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(y))^\mu (t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x))^\mu}{|x-y|^\mu} \right\rfloor \tilde{u}_1(x) \, dxdydt
\]

\[
+ 2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left\lfloor \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(y))^\mu (t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x))^\mu}{|x-y|^\mu} \right\rfloor \tilde{u}_1(x) \, dxdydt.
\]

By the mean value theorem applied to both \( \psi \mapsto \psi^{2^*_\mu,s} \) and \( \zeta \mapsto \zeta^{2^*_\mu,s-1} \) on \((0, \infty)\) we infer that for some \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \in (0, 1) \)

\[
\left| \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*_\mu,s} (u^+)^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_\mu,s} (\tilde{u}_1^+)^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_e)^{2^*_\mu,s} (ru_e)^{2^*_\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy \right|
\]

\[
\leq 2 \cdot 2^*_\mu,s (2^*_\mu,s - 1) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left\lfloor \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(y))^\mu (t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x))^\mu}{|x-y|^\mu} \right\rfloor |t\tilde{u}_1(x) - t\tilde{u}_1^+(x)| \, dxdydt
\]

\[
+ 2 \cdot (2^*_\mu,s)^2 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \left\lfloor \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1^+(y))^\mu (t\tilde{u}_1^+(x))^\mu}{|x-y|^\mu} \right\rfloor \tilde{u}_1(x) \, dxdydt.
\]

Also note that,

\[
|t\tilde{u}_1^+(x) + \theta_1 ((t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+ - t\tilde{u}_1^+) (x)| \leq \max \{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x), t\tilde{u}_1^+(x)\}
\]

\[
\leq t\tilde{u}_1^+(x) + ru_e(x) \quad (6.3)
\]

Similarly for the other case. Next we observe that \( \tau \mapsto \tau^+ \) is a contraction map which gives

\[
|(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_e)^+(x) - t\tilde{u}_1^+(x)| \leq ru_e(x) \quad (6.4)
\]
Substituting (6.3) and (6.4) in (6.2) we get

\[
\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_1^+)^2_{\mu,s}((u_1^+)^2_{\mu,s})}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^2_{\mu,s}(\tilde{u}_1^+)^2_{\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon)^2_{\mu,s}(ru_\epsilon)^2_{\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy
\]

\[
\leq 2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}(2^*_{\mu,s} - 1) \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1 + ru_\epsilon)^2_{\mu,s}(t\tilde{u}_1^+ + ru_\epsilon(x))^2_{\mu,s} - 2ru_\epsilon(x)|\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdydt
\]

\[
+ 2 \cdot (2^*_{\mu,s})^2 \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(t\tilde{u}_1^+ + ru_\epsilon(y))^2_{\mu,s} - 1ru_\epsilon(y)(t\tilde{u}_1^+ + ru_\epsilon(x))^2_{\mu,s} - 1|\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdydt.
\]

On integrating with respect to \( t \) and by symmetry of the variables we have,

\[
\leq c \left[ \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^2_{\mu,s}(\tilde{u}_1^+)^2_{\mu,s} - 1ru_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy + \frac{(\tilde{u}_1^+)^2_{\mu,s}(ru_\epsilon(x))^2_{\mu,s} - 1|\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy \right]
\]

\[
+ c \left[ \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon(y))^2_{\mu,s}(\tilde{u}_1^+)^2_{\mu,s} - 1ru_\epsilon(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy + \frac{(ru_\epsilon(y))^2_{\mu,s}(ru_\epsilon(x))^2_{\mu,s} - 1|\tilde{u}_1(x)|}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy \right]
\]

\[
+ c \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(ru_\epsilon(y))^2_{\mu,s}(ru_\epsilon(x))^2_{\mu,s}}{|x-y|^\mu} \, dxdy
\]

for some positive constant \( c \). As \( u_1^+ \in V_k \), which is a finite dimensional space and using Hardy-Littlewood - Sobolev inequality and Hölder inequality we infer that

\[
\left| \|u_+^2\|_{0_{\mu,s}}^2 - \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{0_{\mu,s}}^2 - \|ru_\epsilon\|_{0_{\mu,s}}^2 \right|
\]

\[
\leq c|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 \|u_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 \||\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|u_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}
\]

\[
+ c|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|u_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 \||u_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2
\]

\[
+ c|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \||u_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 \||ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2
\]

\[
+ c|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|u_1^+\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 \||ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}} \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2
\]

Taking the estimates \( \|u_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 = O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2s-1}}) \) and \( \|ru_\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}^{2s}}^2 = S_s^\frac{N}{2s} + O(\epsilon^N) \) from [23] we get for some positive constants \( C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5 \) and \( C_6 \),

\[
\left| \|u_+^2\|_{0_{\mu,s}}^2 - \|\tilde{u}_1^+\|_{0_{\mu,s}}^2 - \|ru_\epsilon\|_{0_{\mu,s}}^2 \right|
\]

\[
\leq C_1\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}} + C_2\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}} + C_3[S_s^{\frac{N}{4s}} + O(\epsilon^N)]\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}}
\]

\[
+ C_4[\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}} + O(\epsilon^N)]\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}} + C_5\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}}
\]

\[
\leq C_6\epsilon^{\frac{(N-2s)(2\mu-1)}{2s-1}}.
\]
We have thus proved that,
\[
\|u^+\|_0^{2-2s} + \|u^-\|_0^{2-2s} + \|ru_e\|_0^{2-2s} + C_{\delta}J_{\epsilon_s}(\Omega) = C_{\delta,2s} - \frac{1}{N-2s}.
\] (6.5)

From (6.1) and (6.5) we conclude that
\[
J_{\lambda}(u) \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \left[ \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_c\|^2 - a\|e_c\|_2^2 \right] + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \, dx - \frac{b}{2} \|2^{2s} u_e\|_0^{2-2s} + C_{7}J_{\epsilon_s}(\Omega),
\] (6.6)

for some constant \(C_{7} > 0\). Let us suppose \(f : [0,\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a function defined as,
\[
f(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \left[ \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_c\|^2 - a\|e_c\|_2^2 \right] - \frac{b}{2} \|2^{2s} u_e\|_0^{2-2s},
\]
achieves its maximum at
\[
t_0 = \left[ \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_c\|^2 - a\|e_c\|_2^2 \right] \frac{1}{b\|u_e\|_0^{2-2s}}.
\]

with the maximum value
\[
f(t_0) = \left( \frac{2^{2s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^{2s}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{2s}-1}} \left[ \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_c\|^2 - a\|e_c\|_2^2 \right] \frac{2^{2s}}{2^{2s}-1}.
\] (6.7)

Thus, from (6.6) and (6.7) we conclude the proof of lemma. \(\square\)

**Lemma 6.2** If \(N \geq 4s\), there holds the estimate
\[
c_s := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}} J_{\lambda}(h(u)) < \left( \frac{2^{2s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^{2s}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{2s}-1}} \left[ \frac{C(N,s)S_H^s}{2} \right]^{\frac{2^{2s}}{2^{2s}-1}},
\]

with \(\Gamma = \{ h \in C(Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2},X_0(\Omega)) : h = \text{identity on } \partial Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2} \}\) provided \(\epsilon, \lambda > 0\) are sufficiently small. If \(2s < N < 4s\), again same estimate holds, but here \(k\) is sufficiently large.

**Proof.** Let us assume \(h = \text{identity on } Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}\) and clearly \(h \in \Gamma\). Then this implies \(c_s \leq \max_{u \in Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}} J_{\lambda}(u)\). Therefore it suffices to prove that for all \(u \in Q_{\epsilon,R_1,R_2}\)
\[
J_{\lambda}(u) < \left( \frac{2^{2s} - 1}{2 \cdot 2^{2s}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{2s}-1}} \left[ \frac{C(N,s)S_H^s}{2} \right]^{\frac{2^{2s}}{2^{2s}-1}}.
\]

Let us suppose \(N > 4s\). By [24], [5.2] and (5.3) we say
\[
\|e_c\|^2 \leq \|u_e\|^2 \leq C(N,\mu) \left[ \frac{N}{2^{2s}-1} \right]^{\frac{N}{2^{2s}}} (S_H^s)^{\frac{N}{2^{2s}}} + O(e^{N-2s}),
\]
\[
\|e_c\|_2^2 = \|u_e\|_2^2 - \|P_- u_e\|_2^2 \geq C_s e^{2s} + O(e^{N-2s})
\]
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with the constant $C_s > 0$,
\[
\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2 \geq \left[ C(N, \mu) \frac{N}{2} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-2s}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N) \right] \frac{1}{2^{\mu,s}} .
\]

Next we compute for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,
\[
\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} \leq \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \left[ C(N, \mu) \frac{N}{2} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-2s}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \right] - a \left( C_s \epsilon^{2s} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{C(N,s)}{2} S_s^H + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) - aC_s O(\epsilon^{2s})
\]
\[
< \frac{C(N,s)}{2} S_s^H .
\]

Next let us suppose $N = 4s$, then by (24)
\[
\|e_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} = \|u_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} - \|P- u_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} \geq C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{2s})
\]
For $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ sufficiently small, proceeding in similar manner
\[
\frac{C(4s,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} \leq \frac{C(4s,s)}{2} \left[ C(4s, \mu) \frac{2s}{2^{\mu,s}} (S_s^H)^2 + O(\epsilon^{2s}) \right] - a \left( C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{2s}) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{C(4s,s)}{2} S_s^H + O(\epsilon^{2s}) - aC_s O(\epsilon^{2s}) |\log(\epsilon)|
\]
\[
< \frac{C(4s,s)}{2} S_s^H .
\]

Lastly we take the case $2s < N < 4s$, again by (24) we have
\[
\|e_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} \geq C_s \epsilon^{N-2s} + O(\epsilon^{2s})
\]
For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, proceeding in similar manner
\[
\frac{C(N,s)}{2} \|e_\epsilon\|^2 - a \|e_\epsilon\|^2_{L_2} \leq \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \left[ C(N, \mu) \frac{N}{2} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-2s}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \right] - a \left( C_s \epsilon^{N-2s} + O(\epsilon^{2s}) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{C(N,s)}{2} S_s^H + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) - aC_s O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) - aO(\epsilon^{2s})
\]
\[
< \frac{C(N,s)}{2} S_s^H .
\]

under the assumption that $k$ is sufficiently large, where $\lambda_k < a < \lambda_{k+1}$. Thus the proof is complete for $\epsilon$ and $\lambda$ sufficiently small. □
Proof of Theorem 1.1: From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 we infer that we have two nontrivial solutions of opposite sign for suitable choice of $\lambda > 0$, namely $u_1$ and $u_2$. Existence of third solution depends on the threshold $\lambda$ and we apply the minimax result in Theorem 2.4 to the functional $J_\lambda: X_0 \to \mathbb{R}$. Proposition 5.1 verifies the condition (i) of Theorem 2.4. Since hypothesis of Theorem 2.4 holds, we have a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $J_\lambda$ at the level $c_s$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$. Lemma 6.2 ensures that the minimax value of $J_\lambda$ obtained along the linking theorem is smaller than the admissible threshold for the Palais-Smale condition. Thus by Lemma 3.1 we conclude $J_\lambda$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level $c_s$. Hence, by Generalized Mountain-Pass theorem there exists a non-trivial critical point of the functional $J_\lambda$ say $u_3 \in X_0(\Omega)$ which is a non-trivial solution of problem $(P_\lambda)$. Lastly we show $u_3$ is distinct from $u_1$ and $u_2$. We assume $\lambda$ is sufficiently small such that we have strict inequality in both the cases below

$$J_\lambda(u_1) = J_\lambda^+(u_1) = c_\lambda = \frac{\lambda t_0^q}{q} \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^q \, dx < \alpha \leq c_\lambda = J_\lambda(u_3),$$

and similarly

$$J_\lambda(u_2) = J_\lambda^-(u_2) = c_\lambda' = \frac{\lambda t_0^{q'}}{q'} \int_\Omega \phi_{1,s}^q \, dx < \alpha \leq c_\lambda = J_\lambda(u_3),$$

then for such value of $\lambda > 0$ we conclude the proof. □
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