Identification of a Low Energy Metastable 1T-Type Phase for Monolayer VSe$_2$
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Elucidating the polymorphism of transition metal dichalcogenide layers and the interplay between structure and properties is a key challenge for the application of these materials. We identify a novel low energy metastable phase of monolayer VSe$_2$ and elucidate its magnetic and electronic properties. This structure is distinct from the previously identified charge density wave (CDW) phase. However, while having rather distinct properties it is very close in energy to the CDW phase and is likely to be realized in experiments. Importantly, local bonding instabilities, as characterized by reconstruction of the electronic structure over a wide energy range, are important for this distortion, which includes both V off-centering in the octahedral coordination cages and a partial disproportionation into two distinct types of V. The phase does not have a ferromagnetic ground state. The results show that the physics of 1T VSe$_2$ is richer than previously known with an interplay of Fermi surface instabilities and local bonding effects.

Thin films and layers of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are an experimentally accessible platform for realizing a wide variation of physical properties often very different from bulk [1–7]. These include band structure changes [8], charge density waves (CDWs) [9–14], magnetism [6, 15–17], superconductivity [33], and various topological and quantum electronic states [19, 20]. These materials typically show a strong interplay between structure and properties. This includes the very different properties of the 1T (octahedral) and 2H phases (trigonal prismatic), and also an often strong interplay between properties and different polymorphs from distortions of the 1T and 2H structures. The strong interplay between properties and structure in these materials motivates identification of polymorphs and elucidation of their properties [21, 22].

VSe$_2$ is a TMD of particular interest due to the observation high temperature ferromagnetism [15, 16], combined with charge density waves in the 1T structure. This ferromagnetism is in contrast to bulk 1T-VSe$_2$, which is a paramagnetic metal [23, 24]. The origin of this ferromagnetism and especially its intrinsic or extrinsic nature are not yet established [11, 12, 16, 25–29]. Generally, both the nesting that leads to CDW instabilities and the density of states, which may relate to magnetism [30], can be expected to be enhanced with dimensionality reduction, specifically going from bulk to monolayers.

Bulk 1T VSe$_2$ has a CDW instability [23, 24, 31], indicating strong electron-phonon interactions. While it is not superconducting at ambient pressure, it does superconduct at high pressure where the CDW is suppressed [32]. The related 4d and 5d TMDs, NbSe$_2$ and TaSe$_2$ also show CDW transitions and superconductivity, with an interplay between structure, CDW transitions and superconductivity [33]. NbSe$_2$ is additionally superconducting in monolayer form [34]. Monolayer 1T VSe$_2$ shows a CDW, similar to bulk, the periodicity is different. The bulk CDW has been extensively characterized, and shows a $4 \times 4 \times 3$ periodicity in terms of the bulk 1T lattice parameters consistent with the Fermi surface nesting [9, 13, 35]. The monolayer, on the other hand, has a different normally $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7} \times 1$ CDW, which can be understood in terms of the Fermi surface nesting [11–13, 15]. However, while CDWs are well known, structural distortions in TMDs can have other causes as well, particularly local bonding instabilities, as in IrTe$_2$ [36, 37]. Thus the distortions can involve a subtle interplay of Fermi surface effects, as in CDWs, and local bonding and other chemical effects, potentially leading to complex structures that are not anticipated based on Fermi surface nesting alone.

An important signature of the CDW in bulk 1T-VSe$_2$ is a reduction in the magnetic susceptibility as temperature is lowered through the transition, reflecting partial gapping of the Fermi surface. Similarly, for the monolayer, standard density functional theory (DFT) calculations show that the CDW works against magnetism [38, 39]. However, there are recent reports of CDW states in monolayer 1T-VSe$_2$ different from the $\sqrt{7} \times \sqrt{7} \times 1$ order mentioned above [40]. Here we investigate the structure of monolayer 1T-VSe$_2$ and find a distortion that leads to a different metastable polymorph.

We used DFT calculations to examine instabilities of the 1T monolayer structure, seeking new polymorphs. For this it was useful to check for instabilities in different supercells. The motivation for this is the observation that the phonon dispersions for 1T-VSe$_2$ apparently converge slowly with supercell size. This can be seen for example in the differences of the monolayer dispersions reported in studies using different cells [13, 39, 41]. This suggests the possibility that a slowly converging Fermi surface nesting driven instability competes with other instabilities. The starting 1T-VSe$_2$ monolayer structure is shown in Fig. 1. We used DFT calculations with the projector augmented-wave method [42] implemented in VASP [43–45] code with an energy cutoff of 400 eV and a dense $16 \times 16 \times 1$ sampling of the Brillouin zone to study
the energy and dynamic stability of this monolayers. We cross checked results by comparing energy differences obtained with VASP with results from the all-electron linearized augmented plane wave (LAPW) method [46] as implemented in the WIEN2k code [47]. We used to local density approximation (LDA) for the present calculations [48], since it is reported to give good agreement with the structure of VSe2 [39], and because it is a conservative approximation from the point of view that it overestimates magnetic tendencies [49]. We did calculations for 1T supercells from 2×2×1 to 6×6×1 in increments of 1, keeping the lengths of the two directions the same. We then calculated phonon dispersions with PHONOPY code [50]. The zone center density functional perturbation theory [51, 52] was used for the supercells to obtain the input for the PHONOPY calculations.

We find that calculations of the phonons of ideal 1T-VSe2 monolayers do not show phonon instabilities when done using small supercells at and below 3×3×1. However, once a supercell size of 4×4×1 is reached we do find imaginary frequencies, although the phonon dispersions remain significantly different from those for larger supercells. This implies a structural instability, perhaps different from the CDW structure mentioned above. We did structure relaxations in this 4×4×1 1T supercell starting with random displacements and relaxed the lattice parameters and atomic positions, while constraining the volume to preserve the monolayer. This leads to a structure different from that of the CDW, as shown in Fig. 1. Symmetry analysis shows that it has the centrosymmetric P21/m (No. 11) space group. The lattice parameters are a=11.11 Å, b=3.22 Å, c=21.30 Å, α=90°, β=96.15°, γ=90°, Z=4. The atomic positions are V1: 0.353, 0.750, 0.000, V2: 0.114, 0.250, 0.000, Se1: 0.216, 0.750, 0.077, Se2: 0.973, 0.250, 0.078, Se3: 0.729, 0.750, 0.077, Se4: 0.473, 0.250, 0.068. We also investigated the phonon dispersions of the distorted 1T-d monolayer. This was obtained from phonon calculations, as above, but with a 3×3×1 supercell of the distorted structure. Importantly, the structure is found to be dynamically stable. We also checked a smaller 2×2×1 supercell and find the same result.

The resulting monolayer structure is similar to the undistorted 1T structure in the sense that the transition metal coordination remains octahedral, but there are significant differences. Most notable is the fact that the V-Se bonds in differ in this distorted phase vary from 2.35 Å–2.54 Å, while in the normal 1T monolayer structure they are equal, and with LDA relaxed Se positions are 2.47 Å. The distortion where one Se is closer to V and where there are rather distinct V sites is evident in the right panel of Fig. 1. This asymmetric coordination suggests a Jahn-Teller or other local mechanism for the distortion. In fact, what we find is a local bonding instability that leads to off-center V, similar to the vanadyl bond in some V4+ oxide systems along with a disproportionation into two chemically different V sites. This implies a much richer interplay between local bonding and Fermi surface nesting than previously known for VSe2. Furthermore, as mentioned there are two distinct V sites in the distorted structure. The V1 site, which is the more asymmetrically coordinated site, has a calculated bond valence sum [53, 54] of BVSe=4.64, while the V2 site has BVSe=4.33. This is a substantial different, indicative of a partial disproportionation. The V in the ideal 1T structure with LDA relaxed Se positions has a lower BVSe=4.21 indicating more bonding in the 1T-d structure. Calculated energetics, including possible ferromagnetism, are given in Table I for the 1T-d structure in comparison with the undistorted structure, the reported CDW structure and the alternative 1H structure. As seen the energies of the 1T-d structure and the experimentally observed CDW structure are the same to within the precision of the calculations, meaning that the 1T-d structure is a low energy metastable structure that could realistically be found in experiments and that tuning parameters such as minor strains or chemisorption likely could switch from one structure to the other.

![Fig. 1. Monolayer structure for the 1T (left) and the new phase 1T-d (right). Note the two distinct V sites and the vanadyl oxide systems, especially for the V in the center row of the top panel.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>VASP</th>
<th>WIEN2k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-6.3 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-63.9</td>
<td>-70.5 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1T-d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-108.3</td>
<td>-141.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Calculated energy, E (meV/f.u.) and magnetic moment, M (µB/f.u.) of ferromagnetic (FM) configurations where they are found. Data is for the 1T, √7 × √3 CDW, 2H and distorted 1T-d monolayers comparing results from VASP and WIEN2k. The energy zero is for the non-spin-polarized (NM) ideal structure 1T monolayer.
magnetism than the ideal undistorted 1T phase. Calculated energies from constrained density functional fixed spin moment calculations are given in Fig. 2. There is no ferromagnetic instability for the 1T-d structure, in contrast to the weak ferromagnetic instability of the ideal undistorted structure. We investigated various possible magnetic configurations, including ferromagnetic (FM), as in Table I and various antiferromagnetic configurations, as shown in Fig. 3. For this we used the LAPW method as implemented in the WIEN2k code [47]. We used well converged basis sets with the convergence criterion $R_{\text{min}}k_{\text{max}} = 9$, where $R_{\text{min}}$ is the smallest LAPW sphere radius and $k_{\text{max}}$ is the planewave sector cutoff. We included local orbitals for the semicore states. However, with the LDA none of these configurations yielded a spin-polarized solution, meaning that at the LDA level the 1T-d structure is predicted to be non-magnetic.

CDW instabilities are driven by an energy lowering due to gapping of nested Fermi surfaces. As discussed in prior work, this is the basic mechanism for the CDW instabilities of bulk and monolayer 1T VSe$_2$ [9, 11–13, 15, 35]. The thus reduced density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, $N(E_F)$ reduces the tendency towards itinerant Stoner ferromagnetism. The situation for the 1T-d structure is more complex as illustrated by comparison of the projected V d DOS shown in Fig. 4. The 1T-d structure does have a reduced value of $N(E_F)=2.09$ eV$^{-1}$ per formula unit, as compared to $N(E_F)=5.35$ eV$^{-1}$ for the ideal 1T structure. This explains the absence of a ferromagnetic instability via the Stoner mechanism as seen in Fig. 2. However, there is strong reconstruction of the DOS throughout the V d bands, as in IrTe$_2$. [36, 37]. For example, there is a shift to higher energy of the top of the d bands at ~3.5 eV. Such an upward shift in antibonding states indicates stronger bonding interactions, consistent with the bond valence sums. In addition, it is important to note that the DOS in the main d bands is significantly different for the two V sites in the 1T-d structure, particularly in the range from the $E_F$ to 2 eV. In any case, changes extending over the d bands are characteristic of a distortion that is associated with local bonding effects, as opposed to just a Fermi surface instability.

In summary, we identify a 4×1 metastable distorted structure of monolayer 1T VSe$_2$. This structure is non-magnetic and distinct from the known CDW phase. It has an energy very close to that of the previously identified CDW structure, which implies that it should be experimentally observable. Importantly, the physics of this distortion is distinct from that of the CDW in that it is driven at least in part by local bonding instabilities. These local bonding instabilities result in a dis-
proportionation into two rather distinct V sites, as well as V off-centering inside the octahedral Se cages. This shows that the physics of 1T VSe₂ is much richer than previously known, in particular showing an interplay of Fermi surface driven instabilities, local bonding effects and properties.
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