

ON THE WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE GALERKIN SEMIDISCRETIZATION OF THE PERIODIC INITIAL-VALUE PROBLEM OF THE SERRE EQUATIONS.

D.C. ANTONOPOULOS, V.A. DOUGALIS, AND D.E. MITSOTAKIS

ABSTRACT. We consider the periodic initial-value problem for the Serre equations of water-wave theory and its semidiscrete approximation in the space of smooth periodic polynomial splines. We prove that the semidiscrete problem is well posed, locally in time, and satisfies a discrete positivity property for the water depth.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we consider the system of Serre equations of water-wave theory, see e.g. [5], [8] and their references, whose initial-value problem is written, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, in unscaled, nondimensional variables, in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_t + (\eta u)_x &= 0, \\ \eta u_t - \frac{1}{3}(\eta^3 u_{tx})_x + \eta \zeta_x + \eta u u_x - \frac{1}{3}[\eta^3 (u u_{xx} - u_x^2)]_x &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\eta = 1 + \zeta$, and with given initial data

$$\zeta|_{t=0} = \zeta_0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \tag{1.2}$$

The solution of this system should satisfy for $t \geq 0$ the positivity condition

$$\exists c_0 > 0, \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta(x, t) \geq c_0. \tag{1.3}$$

Here x and t are proportional to position along an one-dimensional channel and time, respectively, $\zeta = \zeta(x, t)$ is the elevation of the free surface at (x, t) above a level of rest at height $y = 0$, $\eta = 1 + \zeta$ is the water depth (as, in these variables, the horizontal bottom is located at $y = -1$), and $u = u(x, t)$ is the vertically averaged horizontal velocity of the water. In [8] Li proved that the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well posed, locally in time, for $(\zeta, u) \in H^s \times H^{s+1}$ for $s > 3/2$, where $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is the L^2 -based Sobolev space of functions on \mathbb{R} , provided $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta_0(x) \geq c_0 > 0$; She also proved that the depth positivity condition (1.3) is preserved while the solution exists. In [5] Israwi extended Li's result to the case of the Serre system with variable bottom topography; for a horizontal bottom the results of [8] and [5] coincide.

In [2] we analyzed the Galerkin finite-element semidiscretization of the periodic initial-value problem for (1.1)-(1.2). Let \mathcal{S}_h be the finite-dimensional space of smooth, 1-periodic, polynomial splines of order $r \geq 3$ on a uniform mesh in $[0, 1]$ with meshlength h . The semidiscrete approximations η_h, u_h of η, u , are \mathcal{S}_h -valued functions of $t \geq 0$ that satisfy for all $\phi, \chi \in \mathcal{S}_h$

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta_{ht}, \phi) + ((\eta_h u_h)_x, \phi) &= 0, \\ (\eta_h u_{ht}, \chi) + \frac{1}{3}(\eta_h^3 u_{htx}, \chi') + (\eta_h \eta_{hx}, \chi) + (\eta_h u_h u_{hx}, \chi) + \frac{1}{3}(\eta_h^3 (u_h u_{hxx} - u_{hx}^2), \chi') &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65M60.

Key words and phrases. Serre equations, error estimates, Galerkin finite element method.

where $\eta_h = 1 + \zeta_h$, and $\eta_h(0)$, $u_h(0)$, are suitable approximations of η_0 , u_0 in \mathcal{S}_h . In [2] we proved optimal-order L^2 error estimates for the solution of this ode initial-value problem. However, there is a gap in this proof: We assumed that this ode initial-value problem has a unique solution $(\eta_h(t), u_h(t))$ locally in time. In order to ensure this one should prove that $\eta_h(t)$ is positive locally in time, so that the operator acting on u_{ht} in the second equation of (1.4) is invertible. The purpose of this note is to establish this fact.

The proof of local existence of solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and of the positivity (1.3) of the water depth is carried out in [8] and [5] by an analysis of a linearized version of the Serre equations and the application of the contraction mapping principle. The existence of a solution, as a fixed point of the mapping, is established in [8] using Kato's general theory, cf. e.g. [6]. Similarly, a Picard iteration scheme is constructed in [5], suitable estimates of the iterates are proved, and the convergence of the scheme is established by appeal to the 'classical method' outlined in [1] in the context of the proof of local existence of classical solutions of the initial-value problem for quasilinear symmetric hyperbolic systems.

In the paper at hand the proof of local existence and uniqueness for the initial-value problem for (1.4) and of the positivity of η_h , follows, in general lines, the plan of the proof in [5]. (We also found very helpful as a guide the exposition in Ch. 2 of [9] of the argument for the local existence of solutions of the initial-value problem for quasilinear hyperbolic systems in [6] and [7].) We construct a sequence (η_h, u_h) in $\mathcal{S}_h \times \mathcal{S}_h$ satisfying a Picard iteration scheme for the semidiscrete problem (1.4) with the same initial conditions, and prove by induction that, for a small enough temporal interval, (η_h^n, u_h^n) is bounded in the 'high-norm' $W^{1,\infty} \times W^{2,\infty}$, while the sequence (η_{ht}^n, u_{ht}^n) is bounded in $L^\infty \times W^{1,\infty}$, and η_h^n is bounded below by $c_0/8$. The heart of the inductive step is an energy proof of the fact that (θ^n, ξ^n) satisfies a high-order accurate error estimate in $L^2 \times H^1$; here $\theta^n = Q_h \eta^n - \eta_h^n$, $\xi^n = Q_h u^n - u_h^n$, where Q_h is the Thomée-Wendroff, [10], quasiinterpolant in \mathcal{S}_h , and (η^n, u^n) is the solution of the analogous iteration step set up for pde periodic initial-value problem. (Analogous estimates are satisfied by (θ_t^n, ξ_t^n) .) It is finally shown that the sequence $(\eta_h^{n+1} - \eta_h^n, u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n)$ is geometrically decreasing in $L^2 \times H^1$ and, consequently, that (η_h^n, u_h^n) converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the unique solution (η_h, u_h) of the semidiscrete problem in a small temporal interval. The solution has the property that η_h is bounded below by $c_0/8$.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In section 2 we state the iterative scheme for the periodic initial-value problem for the Serre equations and list its properties. In section 3 we consider the spline space \mathcal{S}_h and present the approximation and stability properties of the quasiinterpolant. For a crucial stability estimate that we need, we refer to [3]. The basic iterative argument for the local existence of the semidiscrete solution is presented in section 4, after some preliminary results that include the proof of consistency of the iterative scheme. We use the following notation: For integer $k \geq 0$, $C_{per}^k = C_{per}^k[0, 1]$ denotes the space of 1-periodic functions that are k times continuously differentiable on $[0, 1]$, while $H_{per}^k = H_{per}^k(0, 1)$ are the L^2 -based Sobolev spaces of 1-periodic functions on $[0, 1]$; their usual norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_k$. We let X_{per}^k be the space $H_{per}^k \times H_{per}^{k+1}$ with norm given by $\|(v, w)\|_{X_{per}^k} = (\|v\|_k^2 + \|w\|_{k+1}^2)^{1/2}$ for $(v, w) \in X_{per}^k$. In addition, for $T > 0$, $X_{per,T}^k = C(0, T; X_{per}^k)$. The inner product on $L^2 = L^2(0, 1)$ is denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) and the associated norm by $\|\cdot\|$. The norms of the spaces $W^{k,\infty}$, L^∞ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{k,\infty}$, $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ respectively. Moreover, for real $s > 3/2$ we will consider $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R})$, the Sobolev space defined as the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of (classes of) functions for which $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + \xi^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty$, where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f ; its norm will be denoted again by $\|\cdot\|_s$. Finally, $H^s \times H^{s+1}$ will be denoted by X^s with norm $\|(v, w)\|_{X^s} = (\|v\|_s^2 + \|w\|_{s+1}^2)^{1/2}$; for $T > 0$ we put $X_T^s = C(0, T; X^s)$.

2. THE INITIAL-VALUE PROBLEM

Following the notation of [2] we write the Cauchy problem for the Serre equations in nondimensional, unscaled form, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, as

$$\zeta_t + (\eta u)_x = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta u_t - \frac{1}{3}(\eta^3 u_{tx})_x + \eta \zeta_x + \eta u u_x - \frac{1}{3}[\eta^3 (u u_{xx} - u_x^2)]_x = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\eta = 1 + \zeta$, with given initial values

$$\zeta(x, 0) = \zeta_0(x), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.3)$$

under the hypothesis that the positivity condition

$$\exists c_0 > 0, \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta \geq c_0 \quad (2.4)$$

holds. As mentioned in the Introduction Li, [8], proved that the Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.3) is well posed locally in time for $(\zeta, u) \in X^s$, if $s > 3/2$, when $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta_0(x) > 0$, and that the property $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta(x, t) > 0$ is conserved while the solution exists. Subsequently, Israwi, [5], showed local existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Serre system in the case of variable bottom topography. Since the results of Li and Israwi coincide when the bottom is horizontal, [5, Remark 3] and taking into account [5, Proposition 1, Appendix B, Theorem 1], and [8, Theorem 5.3], we may state their results in extended form as in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $s > 3/2$ and M and c_0 be positive constants such that*

$$\|(\zeta_0, u_0)\|_{X^s} \leq M/2, \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta_0(x) \geq c_0.$$

Also let $\zeta^0 = \zeta_0$, $u^0 = u_0$, and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, consider, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, the iterative scheme

$$\zeta_t^{n+1} + \eta^n u_x^{n+1} + u^n \eta_x^{n+1} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\eta^n u_t^{n+1} - \frac{1}{3}((\eta^n)^3 u_{tx}^{n+1})_x + \eta^n \zeta_x^{n+1} + \eta^n u^n u_x^{n+1} - \frac{1}{3}[(\eta^n)^3 (u^n u_{xx}^{n+1} - u_x^n u_x^{n+1})]_x = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

with $\eta^n = 1 + \zeta^n$ and initial values

$$\zeta^{n+1}(x, 0) = \zeta_0(x), \quad u^{n+1}(x, 0) = u_0(x). \quad (2.7)$$

Then:

(i) *There exists $T_C^0 > 0$ such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the Cauchy problem (2.5)-(2.7) has a unique solution $(\zeta^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) \in X_{T_C^0}^s$, with $(\zeta_t^{n+1}, u_t^{n+1}) \in X_{T_C^0}^{s-1}$, such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_C^0} \|(\zeta^{n+1}(\tau), u^{n+1}(\tau))\|_{X^s} \leq M, \quad \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_C^0} \|(\zeta_t^{n+1}(\tau), u_t^{n+1}(\tau))\|_{X^{s-1}} \leq M_1,$$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta^{n+1}(x, t) \geq c_0/2 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_C^0],$$

where M_1 is a constant depending on M , c_0 .

(ii) *There exists $T_C > 0$, depending on M , c_0 , such that the sequence of solutions (ζ^n, u^n) of (2.5)-(2.7) converges in X^s to the pair $(\zeta, u) \in X_{T_C}^s$, with $(\zeta_t, u_t) \in X_{T_C}^{s-1}$, which is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.3) in X_T^s , and for which it holds that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_C} \|(\zeta(\tau), u(\tau))\|_{X^s} \leq M, \quad \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_C} \|(\zeta_t(\tau), u_t(\tau))\|_{X^{s-1}} \leq M_1,$$

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \eta(x, t) \geq c_0/2 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_C].$$

In this paper we consider the following periodic initial-value problem for the Serre equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t + (\eta u)_x &= 0, \\ \eta u_t - \frac{1}{3}(\eta^3 u_{xt})_x + \eta \eta_x + \eta u u_x - \frac{1}{3}[\eta^3(u u_{xx} - u_x^2)]_x &= 0, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad t \geq 0, \\ \eta(x, 0) = \eta_0(x), \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S})$$

where η_0, u_0 are given 1-periodic functions and η_0 satisfies $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_0(x) \geq c_0 > 0$ for some constant c_0 . With the aim of proving local existence and uniqueness of the Galerkin semidiscretization of the problem (S), we assume the validity of the following proposition, which is the periodic analog of the previous Theorem.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s \geq 2$ be an even integer, $(\eta_0, u_0) \in X_{per}^s$, and M and c_0 positive constants such that*

$$\|(\eta_0, u_0)\|_{X_{per}^s} \leq M/2, \quad \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_0(x) \geq c_0. \quad (\text{Y}_0)$$

Also let $\eta^0 = \eta_0, u^0 = u_0$, and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ consider the iterative scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t^{n+1} + \eta^n u_x^{n+1} + u^n \eta_x^{n+1} &= 0, \\ \eta^n u_t^{n+1} - \frac{1}{3}((\eta^n)^3 u_{tx}^{n+1})_x + \eta^n \eta_x^{n+1} + \eta^n u^n u_x^{n+1} - \frac{1}{3}[(\eta^n)^3(u^n u_{xx}^{n+1} - u_x^n u_x^{n+1})]_x &= 0, \\ \eta^{n+1}(x, 0) = \eta_0(x), \quad u^{n+1}(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S}^n)$$

for $x \in [0, 1], t \geq 0$. Then:

(i) *There exists $T_{per}^0 > 0$ such that the problem (Sⁿ) has a unique solution (η^{n+1}, u^{n+1}) for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which belongs to $X_{per, T_{per}^0}^s$, with $(\eta_t^{n+1}, u_t^{n+1}) \in X_{per, T_{per}^0}^{s-1}$, and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}^0} \|(\eta^{n+1}(\tau), u^{n+1}(\tau))\|_{X_{per}^s} &\leq M, \\ \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}^0} \|(\eta_t^{n+1}(\tau), u_t^{n+1}(\tau))\|_{X_{per}^{s-1}} &\leq M_1, \\ \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta^{n+1}(x, t) &\geq c_0/2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_{per}^0], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E}^n)$$

where M_1 is a constant that depends on M, c_0 .

(ii) *There exists $T_{per} > 0$, depending on M, c_0 , such that the sequence (η^n, u^n) of solutions of (Sⁿ) converges in X_{per}^s to the pair $(\eta, u) \in X_{per, T_{per}}^s$, with $(\eta_t, u_t) \in X_{per, T_{per}}^{s-1}$ which is the unique solution of (S) in $X_{per, T_{per}}^s$, and which satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}} \|(\eta(\tau), u(\tau))\|_{X_{per}^s} &\leq M, \\ \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}} \|(\eta_t(\tau), u_t(\tau))\|_{X_{per}^{s-1}} &\leq M_1, \\ \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta(x, t) &\geq c_0/2 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_{per}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E})$$

3. APPROXIMATION SPACES

Let r and N be integers such that $r \geq 3, N > 4(r-1)$. Let $h = 1/N$ and $x_i = ih, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a uniform partition of $[0, 1]$. We consider the N -dimensional vector space of 1-periodic, smooth, piecewise polynomial splines

$$\mathcal{S}_h = \{v \in C_{per}^{r-2}[0, 1] : v|_{(x_{i-1}, x_i)} \in \mathbb{P}_{r-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

where \mathbb{P}_{r-1} is the space of polynomials of degree at most $r-1$. It is well known that \mathcal{S}_h has the following approximation properties. Given a sufficiently smooth 1-periodic function v , there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{S}_h$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} h^j \|v - \chi\|_j \leq Ch^q \|v\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} h^j \|v - \chi\|_{j,\infty} \leq Ch^q \|v\|_{q,\infty}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq r,$$

where C is a constant independent of h and v . Moreover there exists a constant C independent of h such that the inverse properties

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi\|_\beta &\leq Ch^{-(\beta-\alpha)} \|\chi\|_\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq r-1, \\ \|\chi\|_{q,\infty} &\leq Ch^{-(q+1/2)} \|\chi\|, \quad 0 \leq q \leq r-1, \end{aligned}$$

hold for each $\chi \in \mathcal{S}_h$. (In the sequel, we will denote by C , generically, constants independent of h .)

In [10] Thomée and Wendroff proved that there exists a basis $\{\tilde{\Phi}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ of \mathcal{S}_h with $\text{supp}(\tilde{\Phi}_j) = O(h)$, such that, if v is sufficiently smooth 1-periodic function, the *quasiinterpolant*, defined as $Q_h v = \sum_{j=1}^N v(x_j) \tilde{\Phi}_j$, satisfies

$$\|Q_h v - v\| \leq Ch^r \|v^{(r)}\|. \quad (3.1)$$

In addition, it was shown in [10] that the basis $\{\tilde{\Phi}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ may be chosen so that the following properties hold:

(i) If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_h$, then

$$\|\psi\| \leq Ch^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |(\psi, \tilde{\Phi}_i)|. \quad (3.2)$$

(It follows from (3.2) that if $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_h$, $f \in L^2$ are such that $(\psi, \tilde{\Phi}_i) = (f, \tilde{\Phi}_i) + O(h^\alpha)$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, i.e. if $|(\psi - P_h f, \tilde{\Phi}_i)| \leq Ch^\alpha$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, where P_h is the L^2 -projection operator onto \mathcal{S}_h , then $\|\psi\| \leq \|\psi - P_h f\| + \|P_h f\| \leq Ch^{\alpha-1} + \|f\|$.)

(ii) Let w be a sufficiently smooth 1-periodic function, and ν, κ be integers such that $0 \leq \nu, \kappa \leq r-1$. If $b_i = ((Q_h w)^{(\nu)}, \tilde{\Phi}_i^{(\kappa)}) - (-1)^\kappa h w^{(\nu+\kappa)}(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, then

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |b_i| \leq Ch^{2r+j-\nu-\kappa} \|w\|_{2r+\nu+\kappa,\infty}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $j = 1$ if $\nu + \kappa$ is even and $j = 2$ if $\nu + \kappa$ is odd.

(iii) Let f, g be sufficiently smooth 1-periodic functions and ν and κ as in (ii) above. If $\beta_i = (f(Q_h g)^{(\nu)}, \tilde{\Phi}_i^{(\kappa)}) - (-1)^\kappa (Q_h [(fg^{(\nu)})^{(\kappa)}], \tilde{\Phi}_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, then

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\beta_i| \leq Ch^{2r+j-\nu-\kappa} \|f\|_{2r+\kappa,\infty} \|g\|_{2r+\nu+\kappa,\infty}, \quad (3.4)$$

where j as in (ii).

In addition, the following result holds for the quasiinterpolant:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $r \geq 3$, $v \in H_{per}^{2r}(0, 1) \cap W^{2r,\infty}(0, 1)$. Then*

$$\|Q_h v - v\|_j \leq Ch^{r-j} \|v\|_r, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|Q_h v - v\|_{j,\infty} \leq Ch^{r-j} \|v\|_{2r,\infty}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|Q_h v\|_j \leq C \|v\|_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \|Q_h v\|_{j,\infty} \leq C \|v\|_{j,\infty}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.7)$$

If in addition $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} v(x) \geq c_0 > 0$, then there exists h_0 such that

$$\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} (Q_h v)(x) \geq c_0/2, \quad \text{for } h \leq h_0. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. The estimates (3.5) follow from the approximation and inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h and from (3.1). The stability estimates (3.7) follow from Proposition 6.1 of [3]. In order to prove (3.6) note that from (3.2), if P_h is the L^2 -projection operator onto \mathcal{S}_h , it follows for a 1-periodic, sufficiently smooth function v that

$$\|P_h v - Q_h v\| \leq Ch^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |(P_h v - Q_h v, \tilde{\Phi}_i)| = Ch^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |(v - Q_h v, \tilde{\Phi}_i)|.$$

By (3.4) for $\nu = \kappa = 0$, $g = 1$, $f = v$, we have therefore

$$\|P_h v - Q_h v\| \leq Ch^{2r} \|v\|_{2r, \infty}.$$

Hence, for $j = 0, 1, 2$, from the inverse and approximation properties of \mathcal{S}_h and the stability of P_h in $W^{j, \infty}$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$ (see [3, Proposition 5.1]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - Q_h v\|_{j, \infty} &\leq \|P_h v - Q_h v\|_{j, \infty} + \|P_h v - v\|_{j, \infty} \\ &\leq Ch^{2r-j-1/2} \|v\|_{2r, \infty} + Ch^{r-j} \|v\|_{r, \infty} \\ &\leq Ch^{r-j} \|v\|_{2r, \infty}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. that (3.6) holds. To see (3.8) note that from (3.6) it follows that

$$(Q_h v)(x) = (Q_h v - v)(x) + v(x) \geq -Ch^r \|v\|_{2r, \infty} + c_0 \geq c_0/2,$$

for $h \leq h_0$ with a h_0 such that $Ch_0^r \|v\|_{2r, \infty} \leq c_0/2$. \square

Remark. In the case of piecewise linear, continuous, periodic splines (i.e. $r = 2$) it is not hard to see that regularity of v required in (3.6) is reduced. Specifically, for $v \in C_{per}^2$ it holds that

$$\|v - Q_h v\|_{j, \infty} \leq Ch^{2-j} \|v^{(2)}\|_{\infty}, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

4. GALERKIN SEMIDISCRETIZATION

Let $r \geq 3$ and suppose that for η_0, u_0 there holds the property (Y₀) with $s = 2r + 4$. The Galerkin semidiscretization of (S) may be defined as follows: For $t \geq 0$ we seek $\eta_h, u_h \in \mathcal{S}_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ht} + P_h((\eta_h u_h)_x) &= 0, \\ P_h(\eta_h u_{ht}) + F_h(\eta_h^3 u_{htx}) + P_h(\eta_h \eta_{hx} + \eta_h u_h u_{hx}) + F_h(\eta_h^3 u_h u_{hxx} - \eta_h^3 u_{hx}^2) &= 0, \\ \eta_h(0) = Q_h \eta_0, \quad u_h(0) &= Q_h u_0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S}_h)$$

where P_h is the L^2 -projection operator onto \mathcal{S}_h and $F_h : L^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_h$ is defined by

$$(F_h(v), \phi) = \frac{1}{3}(v, \phi'), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}_h. \quad (4.1)$$

For the mappings P_h, F_h we will show the following three preliminary Lemmas which will be useful in the error estimates in the sequel.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $w_h, v_h \in \mathcal{S}_h$, where in addition $v_h \geq c_0$ for some positive constant c_0 . Then*

$$\|w_h\|_1 \leq \max\left(\frac{1}{c_0}, \frac{3}{c_0^3}\right) \|P_h(v_h w_h) + F_h(v_h^3 w_{hx})\|. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We follow [5, Lemma 1]. Since

$$\|w_h\|_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^1 v_h(x) w_h^2(x) dx + \frac{3}{3c_0^3} \int_0^1 v_h^3(x) w_{hx}^2(x) dx,$$

there follows that

$$\|w_h\|_1^2 \leq \max\left(\frac{1}{c_0}, \frac{3}{c_0^3}\right) (P_h(v_h w_h) + F_h(v_h^3 w_{hx}), w_h), \quad (4.3)$$

from which we get (4.2). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let v, w , be sufficiently smooth 1-periodic functions and let $\rho = Q_h w - w$. Then:*

- A₁. $\|F_h(v)\| \leq Ch^{-1}\|v\|,$
- A₂. $\|P_h(v\rho)\| \leq Ch^{2r}\|v\|_{2r,\infty}\|w\|_{2r,\infty},$
- A₃. $\|P_h(v\rho_x)\| \leq Ch^{2r}\|v\|_{2r,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+1,\infty},$
- A₄. $\|F_h(v\rho)\| \leq Ch^{2r}\|v\|_{2r+1,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+1,\infty},$
- A₅. $\|F_h(v\rho_x)\| \leq Ch^{2r-2}\|v\|_{2r+1,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+2,\infty},$
- A₆. $\|F_h(v\rho_{xx})\| \leq Ch^{2r-2}\|v\|_{2r+1,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+3,\infty}$

Proof. The estimate A₁ follows by the definition (4.1) of F_h and the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h .

A₂. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have $(v\rho, \tilde{\Phi}_i) = (vQ_h w, \tilde{\Phi}_i) - (vw, \tilde{\Phi}_i) = (vQ_h w, \tilde{\Phi}_i) - (Q_h(vw), \tilde{\Phi}_i) + (Q_h(vw) - vw, \tilde{\Phi}_i)$. Therefore from (3.4) we get

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |(v\rho, \tilde{\Phi}_i)| \leq Ch^{2r+1}\|v\|_{2r,\infty}\|w\|_{2r,\infty},$$

and (3.2) yields the desired estimate.

A₃. Since $(v\rho_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i) = (v(Q_h w)_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i) - (Q_h(vw_x), \tilde{\Phi}_i) + (Q_h(vw_x) - vw_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, (3.4) gives $\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |(v\rho_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i)| \leq Ch^{2r+1}\|v\|_{2r,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+1,\infty}$; the estimate A₃ follows then from (3.2).

A₄. It follows from the fact that $F_h(v\rho) = -\frac{1}{3}P_h(v_x\rho + v\rho_x)$, and from A₂, A₄.

A₅. As previously, it suffices to show that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |(v\rho_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i)| \leq Ch^{2r-1}\|v\|_{2r+1,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+2,\infty}.$$

Indeed, since for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

$$(v\rho_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i') = (v(Q_h w)_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i') + (Q_h(vw_x)_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i') - (Q_h(vw_x)_x - (vw_x)_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i'),$$

we see that A₅ follows from (3.4), (3.2), (4.1).

A₆. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$(v\rho_{xx}, \tilde{\Phi}_i') = (v(Q_h w)_{xx}, \tilde{\Phi}_i') + (Q_h(vw_{xx})_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i') - (Q_h(vw_{xx})_x - (vw_{xx})_x, \tilde{\Phi}_i').$$

Therefore (3.4), (3.2), (4.1) yield now A₆. \square

A consequence of this Lemma and of (3.5)-(3.7) is the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let u, v, w be 1-periodic, sufficiently smooth functions, and let $U = Q_h u, V = Q_h v, W = Q_h w$. Then:*

- B₁. $\|P_h(UV - uv)\| \leq Ch^{2r}\|u\|_{2r,\infty}\|v\|_{2r,\infty}.$
- B₂. $\|P_h(UV_x - uv_x)\| \leq Ch^{2r-1}\|u\|_{2r,\infty}\|v\|_{2r+1,\infty}.$
- B₃. $\|P_h(UVW_x - uvw_x)\| \leq Ch^{2r-1}\|u\|_{2r,\infty}\|v\|_{2r,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+1,\infty}.$
- B₄. $\|F_h(U^3V_x - u^3v_x)\| \leq Ch^{2r-2}\|u\|_{2r+1,\infty}^3\|v\|_{2r+2,\infty}.$
- B₅. $|(F_h(U^3VW_{xx} - u^3vw_{xx}), f_h)| \leq Ch^{2r-3}\|u\|_{2r+1,\infty}^3\|v\|_{2r+1,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+3,\infty}.$
- B₆. $|(F_h(U^3V_xW_x - u^3v_xw_x), f_h)| \leq Ch^{2r-3}\|u\|_{2r+1,\infty}^3\|v\|_{2r+2,\infty}\|w\|_{2r+2,\infty}.$

Proof. Let $\rho^u = U - u$, $\rho^v = V - v$, and $\rho^w = W - w$. Then

$$UV - uv = (\rho^u + u)(\rho^v + v) - uv = \rho^u \rho^v + \rho^u v + u \rho^v,$$

$UV_x - uv_x = (\rho^u + u)(\rho_x^v + v_x) - uv_x = \rho^u \rho_x^v + \rho^u v_x + u \rho_x^v$, and, therefore, B₁, B₂ follow from (3.5), (3.6), and A₂, A₃.

B₃. Here we have $UVW_x - uvw_x = (\rho^u + u)(\rho^v + v)(\rho_x^w + w_x) - uvw_x$, and therefore

$$UVW_x - uvw_x = \rho^u \rho^v W_x + \rho^u v \rho_x^w + \rho^u v w_x + u \rho^v \rho_x^w + u \rho^v w_x + uv \rho_x^w. \text{ Hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_h(UVW_x - uvw_x)\| &\leq \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|\rho^v\|_\infty \|W_x\|_\infty + \|v\|_\infty \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|\rho_x^w\| + \|P_h(vw_x \rho^u)\| \\ &\quad + \|u\|_\infty \|\rho^v\|_\infty \|\rho_x^w\| + \|P_h(uw_x \rho^v)\| + \|P_h(uv \rho_x^w)\|, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.5)-(3.7), A₂, A₃ yield now the estimate B₃.

B₄. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} U^3 V_x - u^3 v_x &= (\rho^u + u)^3 (\rho_x^v + v_x) - u^3 v_x \\ &= (\rho^u)^3 V_x + 3(\rho^u)^2 u V_x + 3\rho^u u^2 \rho_x^v + 3\rho^u u^2 v_x + u^3 \rho_x^v, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, taking into account A₁, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_h(U^3 V_x - u^3 v_x)\| &\leq Ch^{-1} (\|(\rho^u)^3\|_\infty \|V\|_1 + \|(\rho^u)^2\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty \|V\|_1 + \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty^2 \|\rho_x^v\|_1) \\ &\quad + 3\|F_h(u^2 v_x \rho^u)\| + \|F_h(u^3 \rho_x^v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

This relation and (3.5)-(3.7), and A₄, A₅ give the inequality B₄.

B₅. It holds that $U^3 V W_{xx} - u^3 v w_{xx} = (\rho^u + u)^3 (\rho^v + v)(\rho_{xx}^w + w_{xx}) - u^3 v w_{xx}$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} U^3 V W_{xx} - u^3 v w_{xx} &= (\rho^u)^3 V W_{xx} + 3(\rho^u)^2 u V W_{xx} + 3\rho^u u^2 \rho^v W_{xx} \\ &\quad + 3\rho^u u^2 v \rho_{xx}^w + 3\rho^u u^2 v w_{xx} + u^3 \rho^v \rho_{xx}^w \\ &\quad + u^3 \rho^v w_{xx} + u^3 v \rho_{xx}^w. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of A₁,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_h(U^3 V W_{xx} - u^3 v w_{xx})\| &\leq Ch^{-1} (\|\rho^u\|_\infty^2 \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|V W_{xx}\|_\infty + \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty \|V W_{xx}\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty^2 \|\rho^v\|_\infty \|W_{xx}\|_\infty + \|\rho^u\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty^2 \|v\|_\infty \|\rho_{xx}^w\| + \|u\|_\infty^3 \|\rho^v\|_\infty \|\rho_{xx}^w\|) \\ &\quad + 3\|F_h(u^2 v w_{xx} \rho^u)\| + \|F_h(u^3 w_{xx} \rho^v)\| + \|F_h(u^3 v \rho_{xx}^w)\|, \end{aligned}$$

from which we get B₅ from (3.5)-(3.7), and A₄, A₆.

B₆. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} U^3 V_x W_x - u^3 v_x w_x &= (\rho^u + u)^3 (\rho_x^v + v_x)(\rho_x^w + w_x) - u^3 v_x w_x \\ &= (\rho^u)^3 V_x W_x + 3(\rho^u)^2 u V_x W_x + 3\rho^u u^2 \rho_x^v W_x + 3\rho^u u^2 v_x \rho_x^w \\ &\quad + 3\rho^u u^2 v_x w_x + u^3 \rho_x^v \rho_x^w + u^3 \rho_x^v w_x + u^3 v_x \rho_x^w. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as previously, from A₁, (3.5)-(3.7), and A₄, A₅, we get B₆. \square

In order to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the semidiscrete problem (S_h), we define the following iterative scheme, a discrete analog of (Sⁿ): Let $\eta_h^0 = \eta_h(0)$, $u_h^0 = u_h(0)$, and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ht}^{n+1} + P_h(\eta_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}) + P_h(u_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1}) &= 0, \\ P_h(\eta_h^n u_{ht}^{n+1}) + F_h((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{ht}^{n+1}) + P_h(\eta_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1} + \eta_h^n u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}) + F_h((\eta_h^n)^3 (u_h^n u_{hxx}^{n+1} - u_{hx}^n u_{hx}^{n+1})) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S}_h^n)$$

with initial values

$$\eta_h^{n+1}(0) = \eta_h(0), \quad u_h^{n+1}(0) = u_h(0).$$

In the following Lemma we establish a consistency result for the system (S_h^n) , stated in terms of the quasiinterpolants of the solution (η_h^n, u_h^n) of (S^n) .

Lemma 4.4. *Consider η^n, u^n as defined by (S^n) in Proposition 2.1. Let $H^n = Q_h \eta^n, U^n = Q_h u^n$, and $\psi^{n+1}, \delta^{n+1} : [0, T_{per}] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_h$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} H_t^{n+1} + P_h(H^n U_x^{n+1}) + P_h(U^n H_x^{n+1}) &= \psi^{n+1}, \\ P_h(H^n U_t^{n+1}) + F_h((H^n)^3 U_{tx}^{n+1}) + P_h(H^n H_x^{n+1} + H^n U^n U_x^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + F_h((H^n)^3 (U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} - U_x^n U_x^{n+1})) = \delta^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Then, there exists a $\tilde{h}_0 > 0$, such that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T_{per}} (\|\psi^{n+1}(t)\| + \|\delta^{n+1}(t)\|) \leq Ch^{2r-3}, \quad (4.5)$$

for some constant $C = C(M, M_1)$, and for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$.

Proof. Using (Y_0) , the third inequality of (E^n) in Proposition 2.1, and (3.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0 &= \eta_0 + Q_h \eta_0 - \eta_0 \geq c_0 - Ch^r \|\eta_0\|_{2r, \infty}, \\ H^n &= \eta^n + Q_h \eta^n - \eta^n \geq \frac{c_0}{2} - Ch^r \|\eta^n\|_{2r, \infty}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that there exists \tilde{h}_0 such that $H^0 \geq c_0/2$ and $H^n \geq c_0/4$ for each $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$ and for each integer $n \geq 1$. From the first two equations of (S^n) we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_h \eta_t^{n+1} + P_h(\eta^n u_x^{n+1}) + P_h(u^n \eta_x^{n+1}) &= 0, \\ P_h(\eta^n u_t^{n+1}) + F_h((\eta^n)^3 u_{tx}^{n+1}) + P_h(\eta^n \eta_x^{n+1} + \eta^n u^n u_x^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + F_h((\eta^n)^3 (u^n u_{xx}^{n+1} - u_x^n u_x^{n+1})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting these equations from the analogous ones in (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{n+1} &= H_t^{n+1} - P_h \eta_t^{n+1} + P_h(H^n U_x^{n+1} - \eta^n u_x^{n+1}) + P_h(U^n H_x^{n+1} - u^n \eta_x^{n+1}), \\ \delta^{n+1} &= P_h(H^n U_t^{n+1} - \eta^n u_t^{n+1}) + F_h((H^n)^3 U_{tx}^{n+1} - (\eta^n)^3 u_{tx}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + P_h(H^n H_x^{n+1} - \eta^n \eta_x^{n+1}) + P_h(H^n U^n U_x^{n+1} - \eta^n u^n u_x^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + F_h((H^n)^3 U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} - (\eta^n)^3 u^n u_{xx}^{n+1}) - F_h((H^n)^3 U_x^n U_x^{n+1} - (\eta^n)^3 u_x^n u_x^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of the estimates B_1, B_2 of Lemma 4.3, we see that

$$\|\psi^{n+1}\| \leq Ch^{2r} \|\eta_t^{n+1}\|_{2r, \infty} + Ch^{2r-1} (\|\eta^n\|_{2r, \infty} \|u^{n+1}\|_{2r+1, \infty} + \|u^n\|_{2r, \infty} \|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2r+1, \infty}),$$

wherefrom, using (E^n) of Proposition 2.1 with $s = 2r + 4$, since $H_{per}^s \subset C_{per}^{s-1}$, we get

$$\|\psi^{n+1}(t)\| \leq C(M, M_1) h^{2r-1}, \quad (4.6)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_{per}$, and for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$. In addition, from $B_1, B_4, B_2, B_3, B_5, B_6$, of Lemma 4.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta^{n+1}\| &\leq Ch^{2r} \|\eta^n\|_{2r, \infty} \|u_t^{n+1}\|_{2r, \infty} + Ch^{2r-2} \|\eta^n\|_{2r+1, \infty}^3 \|u_t^{n+1}\|_{2r+2, \infty} \\ &\quad + Ch^{2r-1} \|\eta^n\|_{2r, \infty} (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2r+1, \infty} + \|u^n\|_{2r, \infty} \|u^{n+1}\|_{2r+1, \infty}) \\ &\quad + Ch^{2r-3} \|\eta^n\|_{2r+1, \infty}^3 \|u^n\|_{2r+2, \infty} \|u^{n+1}\|_{2r+3, \infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, from (E^n) it follows that

$$\|\delta^{n+1}(t)\| \leq C(M, M_1) h^{2r-3}, \quad (4.7)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_{per}$ and for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$. From (4.7), (4.6) we get (4.5). \square

We embark now upon proving the existence-uniqueness of solutions of the iterative scheme (S_h^n) and also some several necessary estimates, using induction on n . The validity of the first step of this inductive proof is the content of the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\eta^0 = \eta_0$, $u^0 = u_0$, $\eta_h^0 = Q_h \eta^0$, $u_h^0 = Q_h u^0$, $\eta_h^1(0) = \eta_h(0)$, $u_h^1(0) = u_h(0)$, and \tilde{h}_0 as in the previous Lemma. Then:*

- (i) *For $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$ the ode system (S_h^n) for $n = 0$ has a unique solution (η_h^1, u_h^1) in $[0, T_{per}]$.*
(ii) *If $\theta^1 = Q_h \eta^1 - \eta_h^1$, $\xi^1 = Q_h u^1 - u_h^1$, there exist constants γ, C , that depend on c_0, M, T_{per} , such that*

$$\|\theta^1\| + \|\xi^1\|_1 \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\|\theta_t^1\| + \|\xi_t^1\|_1 \leq C h^{2r-4}, \quad (4.9)$$

for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$ and for $t \leq T_{per}$.

Proof. (i) The functions η_h^1, u_h^1 satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ht}^1 + P_h(H^0 u_{hx}^1 + U^0 \eta_{hx}^1) &= 0, \\ P_h(H^0 u_{ht}^1) + F_h((H^0)^3 u_{htx}^1) + P_h(H^0 \eta_{hx}^1 + H^0 U^0 u_{hx}^1) + F_h((H^0)^3 (U^0 u_{hxx}^1 - U_x^0 u_{hx}^1)) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Note, as in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 4.4, that $H^0 \geq c_0/2$ for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$, and therefore the equations of the above linear ode system are well defined for such values of h . Consequently, the initial-value problem for (4.10) has a unique solution (η_h^1, u_h^1) for $0 \leq t \leq T_{per}$. Multiplying now the first equation in (4.10) by η_h^1 , the second by u_h^1 , and integrating with respect to x on $[0, 1]$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\eta_h^1\|^2 &= -(H^0 u_{hx}^1, \eta_h^1) - (U^0 \eta_{hx}^1, \eta_h^1), \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ((H^0 u_h^1, u_h^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 u_{hx}^1), u_h^1)) &= -(H^0 \eta_{hx}^1 + H^0 U^0 u_{hx}^1, u_h^1) \\ &\quad - \left(F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 u_{hxx}^1), u_h^1 \right) + \left(F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 u_{hx}^1), u_h^1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\eta_h^1\|^2 + (H^0 u_h^1, u_h^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 u_{hx}^1), u_h^1) \right) &= (H_x^0 u_h^1, \eta_h^1) + \frac{1}{2} (U_x^0 \eta_h^1, \eta_h^1) \\ &\quad - (H^0 U^0 u_{hx}^1, u_h^1) - \left(F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 u_{hxx}^1), u_h^1 \right) + \left(F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 u_{hx}^1), u_h^1 \right) \\ &=: a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5. \end{aligned}$$

The terms a_i may be suitably estimated by using Lemma 3.1 and the definition of F_h . We first estimate the term $a_1 + a_2 + a_3$: There holds that

$$|a_1 + a_2 + a_3| \leq \|H_x^0\|_\infty \|u_h^1\| \|\eta_h^1\| + \|U_x^0\|_\infty \|\eta_h^1\|^2 + \|H^0\|_\infty \|U^0\|_\infty \|u_h^1\|^2,$$

and therefore, in view of (3.7), $|a_1 + a_2 + a_3| \leq C(M)(\|\eta_h^1\|^2 + \|u_h^1\|_1^2)$.

In order to estimate $a_4 + a_5$ note that

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 + a_5 &= -\frac{1}{3}((H^0)^3 U^0 u_{hxx}^1, u_{hx}^1) + \frac{1}{3}((H^0)^3 U_x^0 u_{hx}^1, u_{hx}^1) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}(((H^0)^3 U^0)_x u_{hx}^1, u_{hx}^1) + \frac{1}{3}((H^0)^3 U_x^0 u_{hx}^1, u_{hx}^1), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, in view of (3.7), $|a_4 + a_5| \leq C(M)\|u_h^1\|_1^2$. These estimates yield

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\eta_h^1\|^2 + (H^0 u_h^1, u_h^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 u_{hx}^1), u_h^1) \right) \leq C(M)(\|\eta_h^1\|^2 + \|u_h^1\|_1^2),$$

and, integrating with respect to t , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_h^1(t)\|^2 + (H^0 u_h^1, u_h^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 u_{hx}^1), u_h^1) &\leq \|H^0\|^2 + (H^0 U^0, U^0) \\ &+ ((F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0), U^0) + C(M) \int_0^t (\|\eta_h^1(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_h^1(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account (4.2), we get

$$\|\eta_h^1(t)\|^2 + \|u_h^1(t)\|_1^2 \leq C(M, \frac{1}{c_0}) (1 + \int_0^t (\|\eta_h^1(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_h^1(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau).$$

This inequality and Gronwall's lemma yield the bound

$$\|\eta_h^1(t)\| + \|u_h^1(t)\|_1 \leq C(M, \frac{1}{c_0}, T_{per}), \quad t \leq T_{per}.$$

(ii) Subtracting the first equation of (4.10) from the first equation of (4.4) for $n = 0$, and the second equation of (4.10) from the second equation of (4.4) for $n = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t^1 + P_h(H^0 \xi_x^1) + P_h(U^0 \theta_x^1) &= \psi^1, \\ P_h(H^0 \xi_t^1) + F_h((H^0)^3 \xi_{tx}^1) + P_h(H^0 \theta_x^1) + P_h(H^0 U^0 \xi_x^1) + F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 \xi_{xx}^1) \\ &- F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 \xi_x^1) = \delta^1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Multiplying the first equation above by θ^1 , the second by ξ^1 , and integrating on $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta^1\|^2 &= -(H^0 \xi_x^1, \theta^1) - (U^0 \theta_x^1, \theta^1) + (\psi^1, \theta^1), \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ((H^0 \xi^1, \xi^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 \xi_x^1), \xi^1)) &= -(H^0 \theta_x^1, \xi^1) - (H^0 U^0 \xi_x^1, \xi^1) \\ &- (F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 \xi_{xx}^1), \xi^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 \xi_x^1), \xi^1) + (\delta^1, \xi^1). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\theta^1\|^2 + (H^0 \xi^1, \xi^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 \xi_x^1), \xi^1)) &= (H_x^0 \xi^1, \theta^1) + \frac{1}{2} (U_x^0 \theta^1, \theta^1) \\ &- (F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 \xi_{xx}^1), \xi^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 \xi_x^1), \xi^1) + (\psi^1, \theta^1) + (\delta^1, \xi^1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (4.5), gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\theta^1\|^2 + (H^0 \xi^1, \xi^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 \xi_x^1), \xi^1)) \leq C(M, M_1) (\|\theta^1\|^2 + \|\xi^1\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}),$$

from which, integrating with respect to t and taking into account (4.3), we see that

$$\|\theta^1(t)\|^2 + \|\xi^1(t)\|_1^2 \leq C(M, M_1, \frac{1}{c_0}) \left(\int_0^t (\|\theta^1(\tau)\|^2 + \|\xi^1(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau + h^{4r-6} t \right).$$

This relation and Gronwall's Lemma implies that there exists a constant γ depending on M, M_1, c_0, T_{per} , such that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T_{per}} (\|\theta^1(t)\| + \|\xi^1(t)\|_1) \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}, \quad (4.12)$$

for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$. From (4.12) we get (4.8). Moreover, from the first equation of (4.11), taking into account the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h , (3.7), and (4.5), we see that

$$\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}} \|\theta_t^1(\tau)\| \leq C(M, M_1, \frac{1}{c_0}, T_{per}) h^{2r-4}, \quad (4.13)$$

for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$. Multiplication of the second equation of (4.11) by ξ_t^1 and integration with respect to x on $[0, 1]$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (P_h(H^0 \xi_t^1) + F_h((H^0)^3 \xi_{tx}^1), \xi_t^1) &= (H_x^0 \xi_t^1 + H^0 \xi_{tx}^1, \theta^1) - (H^0 U^0 \xi_x^1, \xi_t^1) \\ &+ (F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 \xi_{xx}^1), \xi_t^1) + (F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 \xi_x^1), \xi_t^1) + (\delta^1, \xi_t^1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} (F_h((H^0)^3 U^0 \xi_{xx}^1), \xi_t^1) &= \frac{1}{3}((H^0)^3 U^0 \xi_{xx}^1, \xi_{tx}^1), \\ (F_h((H^0)^3 U_x^0 \xi_x^1), \xi_t^1) &= \frac{1}{3}((H^0)^3 U_x^0 \xi_x^1, \xi_{tx}^1), \end{aligned}$$

whence from (4.14) in view of (4.12), the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h , (3.7), (4.5), and (4.3) we obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}} \|\xi_t^1(\tau)\|_1 \leq C(M, M_1, \frac{1}{c_0}, T_{per}) h^{2r-4}, \quad (4.15)$$

for $h \leq \tilde{h}_0$. The estimates (4.13), (4.15), imply (4.9). \square

In the sequel we will consider the sets $\mathcal{A} = \{(v, w) \in \mathcal{S}_h : \|v\|_{1,\infty} + \|w\|_{2,\infty} \leq 3M\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{(v, w) \in \mathcal{S}_h : \|v\|_\infty + \|w\|_{1,\infty} \leq 3M_1\}$, and for $T > 0$, we will denote $\mathcal{A}_T = C(0, T; \mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{B}_T = C(0, T; \mathcal{B})$. With this notation in place we state the following result, a consequence of the previous Lemma.

Corollary 4.6. *If (η_h^1, u_h^1) is the solution of (S_h^n) for $n = 0$, then, for h sufficiently small,*

$$(\eta_h^1, u_h^1) \in \mathcal{A}_{T_{per}}, \quad (\Pi_1)$$

$$(\eta_{ht}^1, u_{ht}^1) \in \mathcal{B}_{T_{per}}, \quad (\Pi_2)$$

$$\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^1(x, t) \geq c_0/8, \quad t \leq T_{per}. \quad (\Pi_3)$$

Proof. Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_h^1\|_{1,\infty} + \|u_h^1\|_{2,\infty} &\leq \|\theta^1\|_{1,\infty} + \|\xi^1\|_{2,\infty} + \|Q_h \eta^1 - \eta^1\|_{1,\infty} \\ &\quad + \|Q_h u^1 - u^1\|_{2,\infty} + \|\eta^1\|_{1,\infty} + \|u^1\|_{2,\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and in view of (4.8), the approximation properties of Q_h in (3.6), the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h , the first inequality of (E^n) , and the fact that $r \geq 3$, we see that for sufficiently small h ,

$$\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}} (\|\eta_h^1(\tau)\|_{1,\infty} + \|u_h^1(\tau)\|_{2,\infty}) \leq 3M, \quad (4.16)$$

that implies (Π_1) . (For this inequality we need Sobolev's inequality on $[0, 1]$.) Furthermore, since $\eta_h^1 = H^1 - \theta^1 \geq c_0/4 - \theta^1$, and given that $\|\theta^1(t)\|_\infty \leq Ch^{2r-3.5}$ for $t \leq T_{per}$, and $r \geq 3$, we see that

$$\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^1(x, t) \geq c_0/8, \quad (4.17)$$

for sufficiently small h and $t \leq T_{per}$. The inequality (4.17) is the desired relation (Π_3) , from which it also follows that the semidiscrete problem (S_h^n) for $n = 1$ has a unique solution for h sufficiently small. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_{ht}^1\|_\infty + \|u_{ht}^1\|_{1,\infty} &\leq \|\theta_t^1\|_\infty + \|\xi_t^1\|_{1,\infty} + \|Q_h \eta_t^1 - \eta_t^1\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|Q_h u_t^1 - u_t^1\|_{1,\infty} + \|\eta_t^1\|_\infty + \|u_t^1\|_{1,\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

we see from (4.9), the approximation properties of Q_h in (3.6), the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h , the second inequality of (E^n) , and the fact that $r \geq 3$, that

$$\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq T_{per}} (\|\eta_{ht}^1(\tau)\|_\infty + \|u_{ht}^1(\tau)\|_{1,\infty}) \leq 3M_1, \quad (4.18)$$

for sufficiently small h , i.e. that (Π_2) holds. \square

The following proposition is a basic result of the paper. It establishes, by induction on n , the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (S_h^n) , in an interval $[0, t^*]$ for small enough $t^* > 0$, and the requisite approximation and boundedness properties of this solution. In the sequel we put $\theta^n = Q_h \eta^n - \eta_h^n$, $\xi^n = Q_h u^n - u_h^n$.

Proposition 4.7. (i) *There exists a t^* independent of h such that the problem (S_h^n) for $n = 1$ has a unique solution $(\eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$, satisfying $\|\theta^{n+1}(t)\| + \|\xi^{n+1}(t)\|_1 \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}$ for $t \leq t^*$, where γ as in (4.8)-(4.9), $(\eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{t^*}$, $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^{n+1}(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$, and $(\eta_{ht}^{n+1}, u_{ht}^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t^*}$ for sufficiently small h .*

(ii) *For $n \geq 2$: If $\|\theta^n\| + \|\xi^n\|_1 \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}$, $0 \leq t \leq t^*$, $(\eta_h^n, u_h^n) \in \mathcal{A}_{t^*}$, $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^n(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$, and $(\eta_{ht}^n, u_{ht}^n) \in \mathcal{B}_{t^*}$, then the problem (S_h^n) has a unique solution $(\eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta^{n+1}\| + \|\xi^{n+1}\|_1 &\leq \gamma h^{2r-3}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*, \\ (\eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1}) &\in \mathcal{A}_{t^*}, \quad \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^n(x, t) \geq c_0/8, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*, \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta_{ht}^{n+1}, u_{ht}^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) Let $n = 1$. We recall from Lemma 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 that, for sufficiently small h , $\|\theta^1\| + \|\xi^1\|_1 \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}$, $0 \leq t \leq T_{per}$, and that $(\eta_h^1, u_h^1) \in \mathcal{A}_{T_{per}}$, $(\eta_{ht}^1, u_{ht}^1) \in \mathcal{B}_{T_{per}}$, and $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^1(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, $0 \leq t \leq T_{per}$. We also recall that (Π_3) of Corollary 4.6 implies that (S_h^n) has a unique solution $(\eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$ for $0 \leq t \leq T_{per}$. Multiplying the first equation of (S_h^n) by η_h^{n+1} , the second by u_h^{n+1} , using the definition of F_h and integration with respect to x on $[0, 1]$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\eta_h^{n+1}\|^2 = -E_{11} - E_{12}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $E_{11} = (\eta_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, \eta_h^{n+1})$, $E_{12} = (u_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1}, \eta_h^{n+1})$, and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 [\eta_h^n (u_h^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{3} (\eta_h^n)^3 (u_{hx}^{n+1})^2] dx = E_{21} + E_{22} - E_{23} - E_{24} - E_{25} + E_{26}, \quad (4.20)$$

where $E_{21} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n u_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$, $E_{22} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1})$, $E_{23} = (\eta_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$, $E_{24} = (\eta_h^n u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$, $E_{25} = \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1})$, $E_{26} = \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1})$. Note that $E_{23} = -(\eta_{hx}^n \eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1}) - (\eta_h^n \eta_h^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1})$, from which $E_{23} = -(\eta_{hx}^n \eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1}) - E_{11}$. In addition

$$\begin{aligned} E_{25} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\eta_{hx}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{6} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\eta_{hx}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} E_{26}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding both sides of (4.19), (4.20) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\eta_h^{n+1}\|^2 + \int_0^1 [\eta_h^n (u_h^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{3} (\eta_h^n)^3 (u_{hx}^{n+1})^2] dx \right) &= E_{21} + E_{22} \\ &+ E_1 - E_{12} - E_{24} + E_3 + \frac{3}{2} E_{26}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where $E_1 = (\eta_{hx}^n \eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1})$, $E_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{hx}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, u_{hx}^{n+1})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{21}| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\eta_{ht}^n\|_\infty \|u_h^{n+1}\|^2, & |E_{22}| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\eta_{ht}^n\|_\infty \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty^2 \|u_{hx}^{n+1}\|^2, \\ |E_1| &\leq \|\eta_{hx}^n\|_\infty \|\eta_h^{n+1}\| \|u_h^{n+1}\|, & |E_{12}| &= \frac{1}{2} |(u_{hx}^n \eta_h^{n+1}, \eta_h^{n+1})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_{hx}^n\|_\infty \|\eta_h^{n+1}\|^2, \\ |E_{24}| &\leq \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty \|u_h^n\|_\infty \|u_{hx}^{n+1}\| \|u_h^{n+1}\|, & |E_3| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\eta_{hx}^n\|_\infty \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty^2 \|u_h^n\|_\infty \|u_{hx}^{n+1}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $|E_{26}| \leq \frac{1}{3} \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty^3 \|u_{hx}^n\|_\infty \|u_{hx}^{n+1}\|^2$, then, from (4.21), Corollary 4.6, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\eta_h^{n+1}\|^2 + \int_0^1 [\eta_h^n (u_h^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{3} (\eta_h^n)^3 (u_{hx}^{n+1})^2] dx \right) \leq C(M, M_1) (\|\eta_h^{n+1}\|^2 + \|u_h^{n+1}\|_1^2).$$

Since $\eta_h^{n+1}(0) = \eta_h^n(0) = \eta_h(0)$, $u_h^{n+1}(0) = u_h(0)$, $\eta_h(0) = Q_h \eta_0$, $u_h(0) = Q_h u_0$, integration with respect to t in the last inequality gives, in view of (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_h^{n+1}(t)\|^2 + \int_0^1 \left(\eta_h^n (u_h^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{3} (\eta_h^n)^3 (u_{hx}^{n+1})^2 \right) (x, t) dx &\leq C(M) \\ &+ C(M, M_1) \int_0^t (\|\eta_h^{n+1}(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_h^{n+1}(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking into account (4.2) we see that

$$\|\eta_h^{n+1}(t)\|^2 + \|u_h^{n+1}(t)\|_1^2 \leq C(M, M_1, \frac{1}{c_0}) \left(1 + \int_0^t (\|\eta_h^{n+1}(\tau)\|^2 + \|u_h^{n+1}(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau \right),$$

from which, using Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\|\eta_h^{n+1}(t)\| + \|u_h^{n+1}(t)\|_1 \leq C(M, M_1, \frac{1}{c_0}, T_{per}),$$

for $t \leq T_{per}$. Subtract now the first equation of (S_h^n) from the first equation of (4.4) to get

$$\theta_t^{n+1} + P_h \varepsilon_{11}^n + P_h \varepsilon_{12}^n = \psi^{n+1}, \quad (4.22)$$

where $\varepsilon_{11}^n = H^n U_x^{n+1} - \eta_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}$, $\varepsilon_{12}^n = U^n H_x^{n+1} - u_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1}$. Subtracting the second equation of (S_h^n) from the second one of (4.4) gives

$$P_h \varepsilon_{21}^n + F_h(\varepsilon_{22}^n) + P_h(\varepsilon_{23}^n + \varepsilon_{24}^n) + F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n - \varepsilon_{26}^n) = \delta^{n+1}, \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{21}^n &= H^n U_t^{n+1} - \eta_h^n u_{ht}^{n+1}, & \varepsilon_{22}^n &= (H^n)^3 U_{tx}^{n+1} - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{htx}^{n+1}, \\ \varepsilon_{23}^n &= H^n H_x^{n+1} - \eta_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1}, & \varepsilon_{24}^n &= H^n U^n U_x^{n+1} - \eta_h^n u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, \\ \varepsilon_{25}^n &= (H^n)^3 U^n U_x^{n+1} - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}, & \varepsilon_{26}^n &= (H^n)^3 U_x^n U_x^{n+1} - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n u_{hx}^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Multiply now (4.22) by θ^{n+1} and integrate with respect to x on $[0, 1]$. This gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + (\varepsilon_{11}^n, \theta^{n+1}) + (\varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1}) = (\psi^{n+1}, \theta^{n+1}). \quad (4.25)$$

Multiplication of both sides of (4.23) by ξ^{n+1} and integration with respect to x on $[0, 1]$ yields

$$(\varepsilon_{21}^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (F_h(\varepsilon_{22}^n), \xi^{n+1}) + (\varepsilon_{23}^n + \varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n - \varepsilon_{26}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = (\delta^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}). \quad (4.26)$$

But $\varepsilon_{21}^n = H^n U_t^{n+1} - \eta_h^n (U_t^{n+1} - \xi_t^{n+1})$, and so $\varepsilon_{21}^n = U_t^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n \xi_t^{n+1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_{21}^n, \xi^{n+1}) &= (U_t^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (\eta_h^n \xi_t^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) \\ &= (U_t^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\eta_h^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

wherefrom

$$(\varepsilon_{21}^n, \xi^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\eta_h^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) + (\omega_1^n, \xi^{n+1}), \quad (4.27)$$

with

$$\omega_1^n = U_t^{n+1} \theta^n - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ht}^n \xi^{n+1}. \quad (4.28)$$

In addition, $\varepsilon_{22}^n = (H^n)^3 U_{tx}^{n+1} - (\eta_h^n)^3 (U_{tx}^{n+1} - \xi_{tx}^{n+1})$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{22}^n = ((H^n)^3 - (\eta_h^n)^3) U_{tx}^{n+1} + (\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_{tx}^{n+1}$. Since, moreover $(H^n)^3 - (\eta_h^n)^3 = ((H^n)^2 + H^n \eta_h^n + (\eta_h^n)^2) \theta^n =: a^n \theta^n$, it follows by the definition of F_h in (4.1) that $(F_h(\varepsilon_{22}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{3} (a^n U_{tx}^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_{tx}^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1})$, and therefore

$$(F_h(\varepsilon_{22}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{3} (a^n U_{tx}^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{d}{dt} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}),$$

which we write as

$$(F_h(\varepsilon_{22}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{6} \frac{d}{dt} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) + (\omega_2^n, \xi_x^{n+1}), \quad (4.29)$$

where

$$\omega_2^n = \frac{1}{3}a^n U_{tx}^{n+1} \theta^n - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ht}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 \xi_x^{n+1}, \quad (4.30)$$

$$a^n = (H^n)^2 + H^n \eta_h^n + (\eta_h^n)^2. \quad (4.31)$$

On the basis of (4.27) and (4.29), (4.26) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left((\eta_h^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) \right) + (\omega_1^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (\omega_2^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) \\ & + (\varepsilon_{23}^n + \varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n - \varepsilon_{26}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = (\delta^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

If we add this identity to (4.25) we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + (\eta_h^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) \right) \\ & = -(\varepsilon_{11}^n + \varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1}) - (\omega_1^n + \varepsilon_{23}^n + \varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1}) - (\omega_2^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) \\ & - (F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n - \varepsilon_{26}^n), \xi^{n+1}) + (\psi^{n+1}, \theta^{n+1}) + (\delta^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

In what follows we proceed to estimate the inner products in the right-hand side of (4.32), taking into account the second inequality of (3.7), (4.8), (4.9), and Corollary 4.6. We denote by \tilde{C} constants that depend on c_0 , M (and/or M_1 , γ).

- For $(\varepsilon_{11}^n, \theta^{n+1})$: By the definition of ε_{11}^n after (4.22) we have $\varepsilon_{11}^n = H^n U_x^{n+1} - \eta_h^n (U_x^{n+1} - \xi_x^{n+1})$, and therefore $\varepsilon_{11}^n = U_x^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}$, from which $(\varepsilon_{11}^n, \theta^{n+1}) = (U_x^{n+1} \theta^n, \theta^{n+1}) + (\eta_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \theta^{n+1})$. This relation gives $|(\varepsilon_{11}^n, \theta^{n+1})| \leq \|U_x^{n+1}\|_\infty \|\theta^n\| \|\theta^{n+1}\| + \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty \|\xi_x^{n+1}\| \|\theta^{n+1}\|$, i.e. finally

$$|(\varepsilon_{11}^n, \theta^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C} (\|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + \|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.33)$$

- For $(\varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1})$: By the definition of ε_{12}^n in (4.23) we get $\varepsilon_{12}^n = U^n H_x^{n+1} - u_h^n (H_x^{n+1} - \theta_x^{n+1})$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{12}^n = H_x^{n+1} \xi^n + u_h^n \theta_x^{n+1}$, and hence $(\varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1}) = (H_x^{n+1} \xi^n, \theta^{n+1}) + (u_h^n \theta_x^{n+1}, \theta^{n+1})$, i.e.

$$(\varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1}) = (H_x^{n+1} \xi^n, \theta^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} (u_{hx}^n \theta^{n+1}, \theta^{n+1}).$$

This gives $|(\varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1})| \leq \|H_x^{n+1}\|_\infty \|\xi^n\| \|\theta^{n+1}\| + \frac{1}{2} \|u_{hx}^n\|_\infty \|\theta^{n+1}\|^2$, and finally

$$|(\varepsilon_{12}^n, \theta^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C} (\|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.34)$$

- For (ω_1^n, ξ^{n+1}) : Using the definition of ω_1^n in (4.28) we see that $(\omega_1^n, \xi^{n+1}) = (U_t^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1})$, and therefore

$$|(\omega_1^n, \xi^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C} (\|\xi^{n+1}\|^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.35)$$

- For $(\varepsilon_{23}^n, \xi^{n+1})$: By the definition of ε_{23}^n in (4.24) we have $\varepsilon_{23}^n = H^n H_x^{n+1} - \eta_h^n (H_x^{n+1} - \theta_x^{n+1})$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{23}^n = H_x^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n \theta_x^{n+1}$; hence

$$(\varepsilon_{23}^n, \xi^{n+1}) = (H_x^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (\eta_h^n \theta_x^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) = (H_x^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi^{n+1}) - (\eta_{hx}^n \theta^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) - (\eta_h^n \theta^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}).$$

From this we get

$$|(\varepsilon_{23}^n, \xi^{n+1})| \leq \|H_x^{n+1}\|_\infty \|\theta^n\| \|\xi^{n+1}\| + \|\eta_{hx}^n\|_\infty \|\theta^{n+1}\| \|\xi^{n+1}\| + \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty \|\theta^{n+1}\| \|\xi_x^{n+1}\|,$$

and finally

$$|(\varepsilon_{23}^n, \xi^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C} (\|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + \|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.36)$$

- For $(\varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1})$: From (4.24) we have $\varepsilon_{24}^n = H^n U^n U_x^{n+1} - \eta_h^n u_h^n (U_x^{n+1} - \xi_x^{n+1})$, i.e. $\varepsilon_{24}^n = (H^n U^n - \eta_h^n u_h^n) U_x^{n+1} + \eta_h^n u_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}$. But since $H^n U^n - \eta_h^n u_h^n = H^n U^n - \eta_h^n (U^n - \xi^n)$, it follows that

$$H^n U^n - \eta_h^n u_h^n = U^n \theta^n + \eta_h^n \xi^n,$$

and $\varepsilon_{24}^n = U^n U_x^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n U_x^{n+1} \xi^n + \eta_h^n u_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}$. Therefore

$$(\varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1}) = (U^n U_x^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (\eta_h^n U_x^{n+1} \xi^n, \xi^{n+1}) + (\eta_h^n u_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}),$$

from which

$$|(\varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1})| \leq (\|U^n\|_\infty \|U_x^{n+1}\|_\infty \|\theta^n\| + \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty \|U_x^{n+1}\|_\infty \|\xi^n\| + \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty \|u_h^n\|_\infty \|\xi_x^{n+1}\|) \|\xi^{n+1}\|.$$

Hence

$$|(\varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C}(\|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.37)$$

- For $(\omega_2^n, \xi_x^{n+1})$: Using the definition of ω_2^n in (4.30), gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(\omega_2^n, \xi_x^{n+1})| &\leq \frac{1}{3} (\|H^n\|_\infty^2 + \|H^n\|_\infty \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty + \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty^2) \|U_{tx}^n\|_\infty \|\theta^n\| \|\xi_x^{n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \|\eta_{ht}^n\|_\infty \|\eta_h^n\|_\infty^2 \|\xi_x^{n+1}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. that

$$|(\omega_2^n, \xi_x^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C}(\|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.38)$$

- For $(F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n), \xi^{n+1})$: From the definition of ε_{25}^n in (4.24) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{25}^n &= (H^n)^3 U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n (U_{xx}^{n+1} - \xi_{xx}^{n+1}) \\ &= ((H^n)^3 U^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n) U_{xx}^{n+1} + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \xi_{xx}^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} (H^n)^3 U^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n &= (H^n)^3 U^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 (U^n - \xi^n) \\ &= ((H^n)^3 - (\eta_h^n)^3) U^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 \xi^n \\ &= ((H^n)^2 + H^n \eta_h^n + (\eta_h^n)^2) U^n \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 \xi^n, \end{aligned}$$

we get $\varepsilon_{25}^n = a^n U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 U_{xx}^{n+1} \xi^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \xi_{xx}^{n+1}$, with a^n as in (4.31). Hence, since $(F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon_{25}^n, \xi_x^{n+1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n), \xi^{n+1}) &= \frac{1}{3} (a^n U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 U_{xx}^{n+1} \xi^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \xi_{xx}^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (a^n U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 U_{xx}^{n+1} \xi^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{hx}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 u_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{6} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|(F_h(\varepsilon_{25}^n), \xi^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C}(\|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.39)$$

- For $(F_h(\varepsilon_{26}^n), \xi^{n+1})$: Using the definition of ε_{26}^n in (4.24) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{26}^n &= (H^n)^3 U_x^n U_x^{n+1} - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n (U_x^{n+1} - \xi_x^{n+1}) \\ &= ((H^n)^3 U_x^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n) U_x^{n+1} + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} (H^n)^3 U_x^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n &= (H^n)^3 U_x^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 (U_x^n - \xi_x^n) \\ &= ((H^n)^3 - (\eta_h^n)^3) U_x^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^n, \end{aligned}$$

from which $(H^n)^3 U_x^n - (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n = a^n U_x^n \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^n$, we get $\varepsilon_{26}^n = a^n U_x^n U_x^{n+1} \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 U_x^{n+1} \xi_x^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \xi_x^{n+1}$. Hence

$$(F_h(\varepsilon_{26}^n), \xi^{n+1}) = \frac{1}{3} (a^n U_x^n U_x^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 U_x^{n+1} \xi_x^n, \xi_x^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}).$$

Thus

$$|(F_h(\varepsilon_{26}^n), \xi^{n+1})| \leq \tilde{C}(\|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}). \quad (4.40)$$

From (4.32), taking into account (4.33)-(4.40), and (4.5), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + (\eta_h^n \xi^{n+1}, \xi^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3}((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_x^{n+1}, \xi_x^{n+1}) \right) \leq \tilde{C}(\|\theta^{n+1}\|^2 + \|\xi^{n+1}\|_1^2 + h^{4r-6}).$$

Integration with respect to t in the above gives, in view of (4.2), that

$$\|\theta^{n+1}(t)\|^2 + \|\xi^{n+1}(t)\|_1^2 \leq \tilde{C} \left(\int_0^t (\|\theta^{n+1}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\xi^{n+1}(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau + h^{4r-6} t \right).$$

From this relation and Gronwall's Lemma it follows that

$$\|\theta^{n+1}(t)\| + \|\xi^{n+1}(t)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{2} h^{2r-3} (e^{\tilde{C}t} - 1)^{1/2},$$

for $t \leq T_{per}$. Therefore, if we choose t^* such that $\sqrt{2}(e^{\tilde{C}t^*} - 1)^{1/2} \leq \gamma$, where γ as in (4.8)-(4.9), then

$$\|\theta^{n+1}(t)\| + \|\xi^{n+1}(t)\|_1 \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}, \quad (4.41)$$

for $t \leq t^*$.

In order to complete (i) of Proposition 4.7 we must show that (for $n = 1$) $(\eta_h^{n+1}, u_h^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{t^*}$. This follows from (4.41) if we argue as in the proof of (Π_1) in Corollary 4.6. The fact that $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^{n+1}(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, $t \leq t^*$, follows from (4.41) if we argue as in the proof of (Π_3) in the same Corollary. It remains to show that $(\eta_{ht}^{n+1}, u_{ht}^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t^*}$. To see this, note that from (4.22) the fact that $\varepsilon_{11}^n = U_x^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}$, $\varepsilon_{12}^n = H_x^{n+1} \xi^n + u_h^n \theta_x^{n+1}$, (4.41), the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h , (4.5), Corollary 4.6, and the second inequality of (3.7), it follows that there exists a constant C such that for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$

$$\|\theta_t^{n+1}\| \leq Ch^{2r-4}. \quad (4.42)$$

Taking L^2 -inner products of both sides of (4.23) with ξ_t^{n+1} and in view of the definition of F_h in (4.1), we see that

$$(\varepsilon_{21}^n, \xi_t^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon_{22}^n, \xi_{tx}^{n+1}) + (\varepsilon_{23} + \varepsilon_{24}, \xi_t^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon_{25}^n - \varepsilon_{26}^n, \xi_{tx}^{n+1}) = (\delta^{n+1}, \xi_t^{n+1}). \quad (4.43)$$

Since $\varepsilon_{21}^n = U_t^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n \xi_t^{n+1}$, $\varepsilon_{22}^n = a^n U_{tx}^{n+1} \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_{tx}^{n+1}$, we may write (4.43) as

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta_h^n \xi_t^{n+1}, \xi_t^{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3}((\eta_h^n)^3 \xi_{tx}^{n+1}, \xi_{tx}^{n+1}) = -(U_t^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_t^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{3}(a^n U_{tx}^{n+1} \theta^n, \xi_{tx}^{n+1}) \\ & - (\varepsilon_{23}^n + \varepsilon_{24}^n, \xi_t^{n+1}) - \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon_{25}^n - \varepsilon_{26}^n, \xi_{tx}^{n+1}) + (\delta^{n+1}, \xi_t^{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Since $\varepsilon_{23}^n = H_x^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n \theta_x^{n+1}$, $\varepsilon_{24}^n = U^n U_x^{n+1} \theta^n + \eta_h^n U_x^{n+1} \xi^n + \eta_h^n u_h^n \xi_x^{n+1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{25}^n &= a^n U^n U_{xx}^{n+1} \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 U_{xx}^{n+1} \xi^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \xi_{xx}^{n+1}, \\ \varepsilon_{26}^n &= a^n U_x^n U_x^{n+1} \theta^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 U_x^{n+1} \xi_x^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \xi_x^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (4.44), (4.41), Corollary 4.6, the inverse properties of \mathcal{S}_h , and (3.7), that there exists a constant C such that for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$

$$\|\xi_t^{n+1}\|_1 \leq Ch^{2r-4}. \quad (4.45)$$

From (4.42), (4.45), and arguments similar to those as of the proof of Corollary 4.6, it follows that $(\eta_{ht}^{n+1}, u_{ht}^{n+1}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t^*}$. We conclude that (i) of Proposition 4.7 is valid.

(ii) The proof of the inductive step for $n \geq 2$ follows mutatis mutandis that of part (i) if we replace T_{per} with t^* . \square

On the basis of the results of Lemma 4.5, Corollary 4.6, and Proposition 4.7 we may state the following

Corollary 4.8. *Let h be sufficiently small. Then there exists $t^* > 0$, and a constant C , both independent of h and n , such that the solutions (η_h^n, u_h^n) of (S_h^n) for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ exist uniquely for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$ and satisfy*

- (i) $\|\theta^n\| + \|\xi^n\|_1 \leq \gamma h^{2r-3}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*,$
- (ii) $\|\theta_t^n\| + \|\xi_t^n\|_1 \leq Ch^{2r-4}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*,$
- (iii) $(\eta_h^n, u_h^n) \in \mathcal{A}_{t^*}, (\eta_{ht}^n, u_{ht}^n) \in \mathcal{B}_{t^*},$
- (iv) $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^n(x, t) \geq c_0/8, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t^*.$

The final step of the proof consists in showing that the sequence (η_h^n, u_h^n) converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to the solution (η_h, u_h) of (S_h) . We first establish that the sequences $(\eta_h^{n+1} - \eta_h^n, u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n)$ diminish geometrically in a suitable norm.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\delta\eta_h^n := \eta_h^{n+1} - \eta_h^n$, $\delta u_h^n := u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n$. Then there exist $t_1^* > 0$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, both independent of h and n , such that for all $n \geq 1$*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1^*} (\|\delta\eta_h^n(t)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^n(t)\|_1^2)^{1/2} \leq \alpha \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1^*} (\|\delta\eta_h^{n-1}(t)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^{n-1}(t)\|_1^2)^{1/2}. \quad (4.46)$$

Proof. Subtracting the equations of (S_h^{n-1}) from the analogous equations of (S_h^n) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\eta_{ht}^n &= -P_h \epsilon_{11}^n - P_h \epsilon_{12}^n, \\ P_h \epsilon_{21}^n &= -F_h(\epsilon_{22}^n) - P_h(\epsilon_{23}^n + \epsilon_{24}^n) - F_h(\epsilon_{25}^n - \epsilon_{26}^n), \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{11}^n &= \eta_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1} - \eta_h^{n-1} u_{hx}^n, & \epsilon_{12}^n &= u_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1} - u_h^{n-1} \eta_{hx}^n, \\ \epsilon_{21}^n &= \eta_h^n u_{ht}^{n+1} - \eta_h^{n-1} u_{ht}^n, & \epsilon_{22}^n &= (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{htx}^{n+1} - (\eta_h^{n-1})^3 u_{htx}^n, \\ \epsilon_{23}^n &= \eta_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1} - \eta_h^{n-1} \eta_{hx}^n, & \epsilon_{24}^n &= \eta_h^n u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1} - \eta_h^{n-1} u_h^{n-1} u_{hx}^n, \\ \epsilon_{25}^n &= (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n u_{hxx}^{n+1} - (\eta_h^{n-1})^3 u_h^{n-1} u_{hxx}^n, & \epsilon_{26}^n &= (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n u_{hx}^{n+1} - (\eta_h^{n-1})^3 u_{hx}^{n-1} u_{hx}^n. \end{aligned}$$

But $\epsilon_{11}^n = \eta_h^n \delta u_{hx}^n + u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}$, $\epsilon_{12}^n = u_h^n \delta \eta_{hx}^n + \eta_{hx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1}$. Multiplying the first equation in (4.47) by $\delta \eta_h^n$, integrating with respect to x on $[0, 1]$, and noting that $(u_h^n \delta \eta_{hx}^n, \delta \eta_h^n) = -(u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^n, \delta \eta_h^n)/2$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\delta \eta_h^n\|^2 = -(\eta_h^n \delta u_{hx}^n, \delta \eta_h^n) + \frac{1}{2} (u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^n, \delta \eta_h^n) - (u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta \eta_h^n) - (\eta_{hx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1}, \delta \eta_h^n).$$

Therefore from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Corollary 4.8, we conclude that there exists a constant $C = C(M)$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\delta \eta_h^n\|^2 \leq C(\|\delta \eta_h^n\|^2 + \|\delta u_{hx}^n\|^2 + \|\delta \eta_h^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^{n-1}\|^2). \quad (4.48)$$

Note now that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{21}^n &= \eta_h^n \delta u_{ht}^n + u_{ht}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, & \epsilon_{22}^n &= (\eta_h^n)^3 \delta u_{htx}^n + \omega_h^n u_{htx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, & \epsilon_{23}^n &= \eta_h^n \delta \eta_{hx}^n + \eta_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \\ \epsilon_{24}^n &= \eta_h^n u_h^n \delta u_{hx}^n + (\eta_h^n u_h^n - \eta_h^{n-1} u_h^{n-1}) u_{hx}^n = \eta_h^n u_h^n \delta u_{hx}^n + \eta_h^n u_{hx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1} + u_h^{n-1} u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \\ \epsilon_{25}^n &= (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \delta u_{hxx}^n + ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n - (\eta_h^{n-1})^3 u_h^{n-1}) u_{hxx}^n = (\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \delta u_{hxx}^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hxx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1} \\ & & & + \omega_h^n u_h^{n-1} u_{hxx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \\ \epsilon_{26}^n &= (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \delta u_{hx}^n + ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n - (\eta_h^{n-1})^3 u_{hx}^{n-1}) u_{hx}^n = (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \delta u_{hx}^n + (\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1} \\ & & & + \omega_h^n u_{hx}^{n-1} u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted $\omega_h^n := (\eta_h^n)^2 + \eta_h^n \eta_h^{n-1} + (\eta_h^{n-1})^2$. Multiplying now the second equation of (4.47) by δu_h^n and integrating with respect to x on $[0, 1]$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [(\eta_h^n \delta u_h^n, \delta u_h^n) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \delta u_{hx}^n, \delta u_{hx}^n)] &= \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n \delta u_h^n, \delta u_h^n) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ht}^n (\eta_h^n)^2 \delta u_{hx}^n, \delta u_{hx}^n) \\ &- (u_{ht}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta u_h^n) - \frac{1}{3} (\omega_h^n u_{ht}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta u_{hx}^n) - (\eta_h^n \delta \eta_{hx}^n + \eta_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta u_h^n) \\ &- (\eta_h^n u_h^n \delta u_{hx}^n + \eta_h^n u_{hx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1}, \delta u_h^n) - (u_h^{n-1} u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta u_h^n) - \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_h^n \delta u_{hx}^n, \delta u_{hx}^n) \\ &- \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n \delta u_h^{n-1}, \delta u_{hx}^n) - \frac{1}{3} (\omega_h^n u_h^{n-1} u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta u_{hx}^n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 u_{hx}^n (\delta u_{hx}^n + \delta u_{hx}^{n-1}), \delta u_{hx}^n) + \frac{1}{3} (\omega_h^n u_{hx}^{n-1} u_{hx}^n \delta \eta_h^{n-1}, \delta u_{hx}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and Corollary 4.8, we conclude that there exists a constant $C = C(M, M_1)$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq t^*$

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(\eta_h^n \delta u_h^n, \delta u_h^n) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^n)^3 \delta u_{hx}^n, \delta u_{hx}^n)] \leq C (\|\delta \eta_h^n\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^n\|_1^2 + \|\delta \eta_h^{n-1}\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^{n-1}\|_1^2).$$

From this inequality and (4.48) we get by addition, integration with respect to t , and Lemma 4.1 (noting that $\delta \eta_h^n(0) = \delta u_h^n(0) = 0$), the inequality

$$\|\delta \eta_h^n(t)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^n(t)\|_1^2 \leq C \int_0^t (\|\delta \eta_h^n(\tau)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^n(\tau)\|_1^2 + \|\delta \eta_h^{n-1}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^{n-1}(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau,$$

for $t \leq t^*$, and some constant $C = C(M, M_1, c_0)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta \eta_h^n(t)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^n(t)\|_1^2 &\leq Ct \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\delta \eta_h^n(\tau)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^n(\tau)\|_1^2) \\ &+ Ct \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\delta \eta_h^{n-1}(\tau)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h^{n-1}(\tau)\|_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing $t_1^* > 0$ so that $\alpha := (Ct_1^*/(1 - Ct_1^*))^{1/2} < 1$, (and so that $t_1^* \leq t^*$), we obtain the conclusion of the Proposition. \square

The convergence of (η_h^n, u_h^n) to a solution of (S_h) for $0 \leq t \leq t_1^*$ follows:

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{U}_h^n \in \mathcal{S}_h \times \mathcal{S}_h$ be defined as $\mathcal{U}_h^n = (\eta_h^n, u_h^n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then:*

(i) *The sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_h^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy with respect to the norm*

$$\|\|\mathcal{U}_h^n\|\| := \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1^*} (\|\eta_h^n(t)\|^2 + \|u_h^n(t)\|_1^2)^{1/2}.$$

(ii) *The sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_h^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to a solution $\mathcal{U}_h := (\eta_h, u_h)$ of the semidiscrete problem (S_h) , with respect to the norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$. This solution satisfies $(\eta_h, u_h) \in \mathcal{A}_{t_1^*}$, $(\eta_{ht}, u_{ht}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t_1^*}$, and $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, for $0 \leq t \leq t_1^*$*

Proof. Letting $\delta \mathcal{U}_h^n := (\delta \eta_h^n, \delta u_h^n)$, where $\delta \eta_h^n, \delta u_h^n$ as in Proposition 4.9, it follows from (4.46) that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\|\delta \mathcal{U}_h^n\|\| < \infty$, from which (i) follows.

(ii) The convergence of $\{\mathcal{U}_h^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ follows from (i). Define its limit as $(\eta_h, u_h) \in \mathcal{S}_h \times \mathcal{S}_h$. Since $\dim \mathcal{S}_h < \infty$ we have that the convergence $\eta_h^n \rightarrow \eta_h, u_h^n \rightarrow u_h$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is valid with respect to any norm of \mathcal{S}_h . Therefore, using the triangle inequality in the relations $\eta_h = \eta_h - \eta_h^n + \eta_h^n$, $u_h = u_h - u_h^n + u_h^n$, and taking into account that $(\eta_h^n, u_h^n) \in \mathcal{A}_{t_1^*}$ for all n , yields that $(\eta_h, u_h) \in \mathcal{A}_{t_1^*}$. In addition, since $\eta_h = \eta_h - \eta_h^n + \eta_h^n$, and since $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^n(x, t) \geq c_0/8$ for $t \leq t_1^*$ and all n , we conclude that $\eta_h \geq -\|\eta_h - \eta_h^n\|_\infty + c_0/8$, from which, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h(x, t) \geq c_0/8$ for all $t \leq t_1^*$. Now it holds that $\|\eta_{ht}^n - \eta_{ht}\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $t \in [0, t_1^*]$. To see this, note that from the first equations of (S_h^n) it follows that $\eta_{ht}^n \rightarrow -P_h(\eta_h u_h)_x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and integrating with respect to t and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\eta_h(t) = \eta_h(0) - \int_0^t P_h(\eta_h u_h)_x(\tau) d\tau$, and therefore $\eta_{ht} = -P_h(\eta_h u_h)_x$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_1^*$ (Note in addition that $\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t_1^*} \|\eta_{ht}^n(\tau) - \eta_{ht}(\tau)\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$,

and that $\max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t_1^*} \|\eta_{ht}^n(\tau) - \eta_{ht}(\tau)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, since $\dim \mathcal{S}_h < \infty$). We conclude that (η_h, u_h) is a solution of the first equation of (S_h) . In order to show that $u_{ht}^n \rightarrow u_{ht}$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, in H^1 , uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, t_1^*]$, define

$$\begin{aligned} b_h^n &:= -P_h(\eta_h^n \eta_{hx}^{n+1} + \eta_h^n u_h^n u_{hx}^{n+1}) - F_h((\eta_h^n)^3 (u_h^n u_{hxx}^n - u_{hx}^n u_{hx}^{n+1})), \\ b_h &:= -P_h(\eta_h \eta_{hx} + \eta_h u_h u_{hx}) - F_h(\eta_h^3 (u_h u_{hxx} - u_{hx}^2)), \end{aligned}$$

and, given $v \in \mathcal{S}_h$ such that $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} v(x) \geq c > 0$, define the operator $A_h(v) : \mathcal{S}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_h$ by $A_h(v)w = P_h(vw) + F_h(v^3 w_x)$, $w \in \mathcal{S}_h$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_h$, then by Lemma 4.1, (4.2), $\|A_h^{-1}(v)f\|_1 \leq C\|f\|$, for some constant C depending on c only. Note that the second equation of (S_h^n) is written in the form $A_h(\eta_h^n)u_{ht}^{n+1} = b_h^n$. If now v_h^n, v_h are the solutions of the problems $A_h(\eta_h)v_h^n = b_h^n$, $A_h(\eta_h)v_h = b_h$, respectively, then $\|v_h^n - v_h\|_1 \leq C_0\|b_h^n - b_h\|$, for some constant C_0 depending on c_0 . Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we see that the sequence $\{v_h^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to v_h in H^1 uniformly in $t \in [0, t_1^*]$. Moreover it holds that

$$A_h(\eta_h)(u_{ht}^{n+1} - v_h) = [A_h(\eta_h) - A_h(\eta_h^n)]u_{ht}^{n+1} + b_h^n - b_h.$$

Hence $u_{ht}^{n+1} - v_h = A_h^{-1}(\eta_h)[A_h(\eta_h) - A_h(\eta_h^n)]u_{ht}^{n+1} + v_h^n - v_h$, and

$$\|u_{ht}^{n+1} - v_h\|_1 \leq C_0\|[A_h(\eta_h) - A_h(\eta_h^n)]u_{ht}^{n+1}\| + \|v_h^n - v_h\|_1.$$

From this estimate, since $u_{ht}^n \in \mathcal{B}_{t_1^*}$ for all n , we see that $u_{ht}^n \rightarrow v_h$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in H^1 , uniformly in $t \in [0, t_1^*]$. Since now $u_h^n - \int_0^t v_h d\tau = \int_0^t (u_{ht}^n - v_h) d\tau + u_h(0)$, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $u_h = \int_0^t v_h d\tau + u_h(0)$, and therefore $u_{ht} = v_h$. Thus $\max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1^*} \|u_{ht}^n(\tau) - u_{ht}(\tau)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. This result implies that taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the second equation of (S_h^n) , gives the second equation of (S_h) . Moreover from the convergence of the sequence $\{\eta_{ht}^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ that was previously proved, and the fact that $\dim \mathcal{S}_h < \infty$, we get $(\eta_{ht}, u_{ht}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t_1^*}$, and the proof of the proposition is now complete. \square

We finally establish the uniqueness of the solution (η_h, u_h) of (S_h) , for $0 \leq t \leq t_1^*$.

Proposition 4.11. *The semidiscrete problem (S_h) has at most one solution (η_h, u_h) , for $0 \leq t \leq t_1^*$, such that $(\eta_h, u_h) \in \mathcal{A}_{t_1^*}$, $(\eta_{ht}, u_{ht}) \in \mathcal{B}_{t_1^*}$, $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h(x, t) \geq c_0/8$.*

Proof. Let $(\eta_h^a, u_h^a), (\eta_h^b, u_h^b) \in \mathcal{A}_{t_1^*}$, be two solutions of (S_h) such that $(\eta_{ht}^a, u_{ht}^a), (\eta_{ht}^b, u_{ht}^b) \in \mathcal{B}_{t_1^*}$, with $\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^a(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, $\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^b(x, t) \geq c_0/8$, for $t \leq t_1^*$. Putting

$$\delta\eta_h = \eta_h^a - \eta_h^b, \quad \delta u_h = u_h^a - u_h^b,$$

then, from the equations of (S_h) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\eta_{ht} &= -P_h(\varepsilon_1)_x, \\ P_h\varepsilon_2 &= -F_h(\varepsilon_3) - P_h(\varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5) - F_h(\varepsilon_6 - \varepsilon_7), \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \eta_h^a u_h^a - \eta_h^b u_h^b, & \varepsilon_2 &= \eta_h^a u_{ht}^a - \eta_h^b u_{ht}^b, & \varepsilon_3 &= (\eta_h^a)^3 u_{htx}^a - (\eta_h^b)^3 u_{htx}^b, \\ \varepsilon_4 &= \eta_h^a \eta_{hx}^a - \eta_h^b \eta_{hx}^b, & \varepsilon_5 &= \eta_h^a u_h^a u_{hx}^a - \eta_h^b u_h^b u_{hx}^b, & \varepsilon_6 &= (\eta_h^a)^3 u_h^a u_{hxx}^a - (\eta_h^b)^3 u_h^b u_{hxx}^b, \\ \varepsilon_7 &= (\eta_h^a)^3 (u_{hx}^a)^2 - (\eta_h^b)^3 (u_{hx}^b)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_1 = \eta_h^a \delta u_h + u_h^b \delta\eta_h$, multiplying the first equation of (4.49) by $\delta\eta_h$, integrating with respect to x on $[0, 1]$ and taking into account that $((u_h^b \delta\eta_h)_x, \delta\eta_h) = (u_{hx}^b \delta\eta_h, \delta\eta_h)/2$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\delta\eta_h\|^2 = -(\eta_{hx}^a \delta u_h, \delta\eta_{hx}) - (\eta_h^a \delta u_{hx}, \delta\eta_h) - \frac{1}{2} (u_{hx}^b \delta\eta_h, \delta\eta_h).$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and our hypotheses for η_h^a, u_h^b , we conclude that there exists a constant $C(M)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\delta\eta_h\|^2 \leq C(M) (\|\delta\eta_h\|^2 + \|\delta u_h\|_1^2). \quad (4.50)$$

In addition, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_2 &= \eta_h^a \delta u_{ht} + u_{ht}^b \delta \eta_h, & \varepsilon_3 &= (\eta_h^a)^3 \delta u_{htx} + \omega_h u_{htx}^b \delta \eta_h, & \varepsilon_4 &= \eta_h^a \delta \eta_{hx} + \eta_{hx}^b \delta \eta_h, \\ \varepsilon_5 &= \eta_h^a u_h^a \delta u_{hx} + \eta_h^a u_{hx}^b \delta u_h + u_h^b u_{hx}^b \delta \eta_h, & \varepsilon_6 &= (\eta_h^a)^3 u_h^a \delta u_{hxx} + (\eta_h^b)^3 u_{hxx}^b \delta u_h + \omega_h u_h^a u_{hxx}^b \delta \eta_h, \\ \varepsilon_7 &= (\eta_h^a)^3 w_h \delta u_{hx} + \omega_h (u_{hx}^b)^2 \delta \eta_h, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_h = (\eta_h^a)^2 + \eta_h^a \eta_h^b + (\eta_h^b)^2$, and $w_h = u_{hx}^a + u_{hx}^b$. Multiplying the second equation of (4.49) by δu_h , integrating with respect to x on $[0, 1]$ and using similar estimates on ε_i , $1 \leq i \leq 7$ with the ones used e.g. in the course of the proof of Proposition 4.9, we finally obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[(\eta_h^a \delta u_h, \delta u_h) + \frac{1}{3} ((\eta_h^a)^3 \delta u_{hxx}, \delta u_{hxx}) \right] \leq C (\|\delta\eta_h\|^2 + \|\delta u_h\|_1^2). \quad (4.51)$$

Adding (4.50) and (4.51), integrating with respect to t , taking into account the hypothesis that $\min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \eta_h^a \geq c_0/8$ for $t \leq t_1^*$, Lemma 4.1, and that $\delta\eta_h(0) = \delta u_h(0) = 0$, gives

$$\|\delta\eta_h(t)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h(t)\|_1^2 \leq C \int_0^t (\|\delta\eta_h(\tau)\|^2 + \|\delta u_h(\tau)\|_1^2) d\tau,$$

for $t \leq t_1^*$, and some constant $C = C(M, M_1, c_0)$. Therefore, Gronwall's Lemma implies that $\|\delta\eta_h(t)\| = \|\delta u_h(t)\|_1 = 0$, giving $\eta_h^a = \eta_h^b$, $u_h^a = u_h^b$ in $[0, 1] \times [0, t_1^*]$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alinhac and P. Gérard, *Pseudo-differential Operators and the Nash-Moser Theorem*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, v.82, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2007.
- [2] D.C. Antonopoulos, V.A. Dougalis, and D.E. Mitsotakis, Error estimates for Galerkin approximations of the Serre equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 55 (2017), 841–868.
- [3] D.C. Antonopoulos and V.A. Dougalis, On the stability of the L^2 projection and the quasiinterpolant in the space of smooth periodic splines, arXiv:2106.09060, (2021).
- [4] J. Douglas Jr, T. Dupont, and L. Wahlbin, Optimal L_∞ error estimates for Galerkin approximations to solutions of two-point boundary value problems, *Math. Comp.* 130, v.29, (1975), 475–483.
- [5] S. Israwi, Large time existence for 1D Green-Naghdi equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.*, 74 (2011), 81–93.
- [6] T. Kato, Quasilinear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations, in *Spectral Theory and Differential Equations* (Proc. Sympos., Dundee 1974), ed. W.N. Everitt, pp. 25–70, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, v. 448, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [7] P.D. Lax, *Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws and the Mathematical Theory of Shock Waves*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1973.
- [8] Y. A. Li, A shallow-water approximation of the full water wave problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59 (2006), 1225–1285.
- [9] A. Majda, *Compressible Fluid Flow and Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables*, Appl. Math. Sciences, v.53, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [10] V. Thomée and B. Wendroff, Convergence Estimates for Galerkin Methods for Variable Coefficient Initial Value Problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 11(5), (1974), 1059–1068.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, 15784 ZOGRAPHOU, GREECE, AND INSTITUTE OF APPLIED AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, FORTH, 70013 HERAKLION, GREECE

Email address: `antonod@math.uoa.gr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, 15784 ZOGRAPHOU, GREECE, AND INSTITUTE OF APPLIED AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, FORTH, 70013 HERAKLION, GREECE

Email address: `doug@math.uoa.gr`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON, WELLINGTON 6140, NEW ZEALAND

Email address: `dimitrios.mitsotakis@vuw.ac.nz`