

EFFECTIVE CONSTRUCTION OF HILBERT MODULAR FORMS OF HALF-INTEGRAL WEIGHT

NICOLÁS SIROLI AND GONZALO TORNARÍA

ABSTRACT. Given a Hilbert cuspidal newform g we construct a family of modular forms of half-integral weight whose Fourier coefficients give the central values of the twisted L -series of g by fundamental discriminants.

The family is parametrized by quadratic conditions on the primes dividing the level of g , where each form has coefficients supported on the discriminants satisfying the conditions. These modular forms are given as generalized theta series and thus their coefficients can be effectively computed.

By considering skew-holomorphic forms of half-integral weight our construction works over arbitrary totally real number fields, except that in the case of odd degree the square levels are excluded. It includes all discriminants except those divisible by primes whose square divides the level.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $g \in S_{2+2k}(N)$ be a normalized Hecke newform. Answering to a question posed by Shimura in [18], Waldspurger showed that the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight in Shimura correspondence with g are related to the central values of the L -series of g twisted by quadratic characters [28].

This result was made explicit by Kohnen and Zagier [7, 9] for odd and square-free N . More precisely, there exists a nonzero modular form $f = \sum_n \lambda(n; f) q^n$ of weight $3/2 + k$ and level $4N$ satisfying

$$L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = \langle g, g \rangle \frac{\pi^{k+1}}{k! 2^{\omega(N)}} \frac{1}{|D|^{k+1/2}} \frac{|\lambda(|D|; f)|^2}{\langle f, f \rangle}$$

for every fundamental discriminant D such that $(\frac{D}{v}) = \varepsilon_g(v)$ for all places v in $\Sigma_N = \{v : v \mid N\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Here χ^D is the quadratic character induced by D and $\varepsilon_g(v)$ are the eigenvalues of the Atkin-Lehner involutions acting on g , with $\varepsilon_g(\infty) = (-1)^{k+1}$ and $(\frac{D}{\infty}) = \text{sgn}(D)$. The L -series is normalized so that it has its center of symmetry at $s = 1/2$, $\langle g, g \rangle$ and $\langle f, f \rangle$ are the Petersson inner products, and $\omega(N)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of N . Up to scalar f is the unique modular form corresponding to g in the Kohnen subspace.

Later on Baruch and Mao [1, Thm. 10.1] removed the quadratic restrictions on D . Still assuming N to be odd and square-free they show that, given a function $\gamma : \Sigma_N \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ with $\varepsilon_{g, \gamma} = 1$ (see (1.2) below), there exists a nonzero modular form f_γ of weight $3/2 + k$ satisfying

$$L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = 2^{\omega(D, N)} c_\gamma \langle g, g \rangle \frac{\pi^{k+1}}{k! 2^{\omega(N)}} \frac{1}{|D|^{k+1/2}} \frac{|\lambda(|D|; f_\gamma)|^2}{\langle f_\gamma, f_\gamma \rangle} \quad (1.1)$$

for every fundamental discriminant D of type γ , i.e., such that for each $v \in \Sigma_N$ either $\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) = \gamma(v)$, or $\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) = 0$ and $\gamma(v) = \varepsilon_g(v)$. Here c_γ is an explicit positive rational constant and $\omega(D, N)$ is the number of primes dividing both D and N . The modular form f_γ has level $4NN'$, where N' is the product of the primes in the set $\{v \mid N : \gamma(v) \neq \varepsilon_g(v)\}$.

The main theorem of this article extends these results to all levels except perfect squares, and to Hilbert modular forms over an arbitrary totally real number field.

Fix a totally real number field F and let g be a normalized Hilbert cuspidal newform over F of level \mathfrak{N} , weight $\mathbf{2} + 2\mathbf{k}$ and trivial central character. The only hypothesis we make on the level is the following:

H \mathfrak{N} . If $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd then \mathfrak{N} is not a square, and if $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$ then \mathfrak{N} is nontrivial.

Let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}} = \{v : v \mid \mathfrak{N}\} \cup \mathbf{a}$, where \mathbf{a} is the set of infinite places of F . Given a function $\gamma : \Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ we say that $D \in F^\times$ is of type γ (with respect to g) if for all $v \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}$

$$\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) = \begin{cases} \gamma(v) \text{ or } 0 & \text{when } \text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1, v \text{ is odd and } \gamma(v) = \varepsilon_g(v), \\ \gamma(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that for odd and square-free \mathfrak{N} every $D \in F^\times$ is of type γ for some function γ . In general this requires that $\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) \neq 0$ when $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) > 1$ or $v \mid \gcd(\mathfrak{N}, 2)$.

For D of type γ , the sign of the functional equation of $L(s, g \otimes \chi^D)$ is given by

$$\varepsilon_{g,\gamma} = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}} \varepsilon_g(v) \gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})}, \quad (1.2)$$

where for convenience we let $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1$ for $v \in \mathbf{a}$. In particular, if $\varepsilon_{g,\gamma} = -1$ then $L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = 0$ for every D of type γ .

Theorem A. Assume $\varepsilon_{g,\gamma} = 1$. There exists a nonzero skew-holomorphic Hilbert cuspidal form f_γ of weight $\mathbf{3}/2 + \mathbf{k}$ whose Fourier coefficients $\lambda(D, \mathbf{a}; f_\gamma)$ are effectively computable and satisfy

$$L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = 2^{\omega(D, \mathfrak{N})} c_{g,\gamma} \langle g, g \rangle \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a})}{|D|^{\mathbf{k}+1/2}} \frac{|\lambda(D, \mathbf{a}; f_\gamma)|^2}{\langle f_\gamma, f_\gamma \rangle}$$

for every $D \in F^\times$ of type γ . The level of f_γ is $4\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{N}'\mathfrak{N}''$, where \mathfrak{N}' is the product of the primes in the set $\{v \mid \mathfrak{N} : \gamma(v) \neq \varepsilon_g(v)\}$ and $\mathfrak{N}'' \mid 4\mathcal{O}$; in fact \mathfrak{N}'' is trivial for odd \mathfrak{N} .

Here \mathbf{a} is the unique ideal such that (D, \mathbf{a}) is a fundamental discriminant, $c_{g,\gamma}$ is given in (6.12) and $\omega(D, \mathfrak{N})$ denotes the number of prime ideals dividing both \mathfrak{N} and the conductor of $F(\sqrt{D})/F$.

For full generality on the field F it is essential to consider skew-holomorphic Hilbert modular forms: see Sect. 2 for the definition. Only when $h_F = h_F^+$ it is possible to state Theorem A using holomorphic forms (see Remark 2.5).

Remark 1.3. Those $D \in F^\times$ for which there is $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$ with $\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) = 0$ such that $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) > 1$ or v is even are not covered by any of the types we have considered.

When $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1$ we expect that our construction covers discriminants with $\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) = 0$ also in the case of even v . This would follow by extending Lemma 8.9 to those places; see Remark 8.10. We have verified this experimentally in some cases.

When $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) > 1$ and $(\frac{D}{v}) = 0$ our present framework cannot be used since by Proposition 5.13 the fundamental discriminants on which our theta series are supported satisfy that $(\frac{D}{v}) \neq 0$. In order to cover these discriminants a different construction is needed.

The starting point for us [4], where Gross gives the form f_γ combining linearly ternary theta series, according to the quaternionic modular form in Jacquet-Langlands correspondence with g . He considers the case of rational prime level and weight 2, and restricts to twists by negative discriminants (i.e., the case when $\gamma(\infty) = -1$). His construction yields a nonzero form if and only if $L(1/2, g) \neq 0$.

The construction of Gross was extended by the authors to the setting of Hilbert modular forms of general level in [20], assuming, among other restrictions, that $L(1/2, g) \neq 0$. Some of these constraints were removed in [21], by using theta series with weight functions (of type I). Nevertheless, the construction in [21] is still not completely general, since it restricts the types γ under consideration; for example, in the case of odd $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})$ only $\gamma(v) = \varepsilon_g(v)$ was allowed (see Remark 6.1). Moreover, the existence of units of arbitrary signatures was required to cover arbitrary types at the infinite places.

In this article we remove these last restrictions introducing two new ingredients: weight functions of *type II* and skew-holomorphic modular forms.

Weight functions were introduced, in the rational case, by [11] for odd prime levels and weight 2; see also [25]. In [14] there are given examples for odd composite levels. They appear as well in a particular example ($N = 11$) in the final section of [1], without a detailed discussion. Prior to Theorem A these generalized theta series were shown to satisfy (1.1) only in the case of rational prime levels and weight 2: see [12].

Our construction of f_γ is of a global nature, and its Fourier coefficients can be effectively computed. On the other hand, though their adelic results are general, the modular form in [1, Thm. 10.1] is given as a vector in an automorphic representation, specifying its local components; this is done only for odd and square-free levels.

We now sketch the construction of f_γ and the proof of Theorem A, leaving the details for the body of the article. Fix an order R with discriminant \mathfrak{N} and Eichler invariants $e(R_v) \neq 0$ for $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$, in a totally definite quaternion algebra over F . Such orders exist due to H \mathfrak{N} . Let φ_g be the quaternionic modular form in Jacquet-Langlands correspondence with g .

We choose an auxiliary parameter $l \in F^\times$ and a Hecke character χ such that

$$\text{H}l. \quad \left(\frac{l}{v}\right) = \gamma(v) e(R_v) \text{ for all } v \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}, \text{ and } \left(\frac{l}{v}\right) \neq 0 \text{ for all } v \mid 2.$$

$$\text{H}\chi. \quad \text{For finite } v \text{ the character } \chi_v \text{ is unramified if } v \nmid \mathfrak{N} \text{ or } \gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})} = \varepsilon_g(v), \text{ and is odd otherwise.}$$

Then we define an explicit Hecke linear map $\vartheta^{l,\chi}$ from the space of quaternionic modular forms of level R and weight \mathbf{k} to a space of modular forms of weight $\mathbf{3}/2 + \mathbf{k}$, level $4\text{lcm}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{f}_\chi^2)$ and character χ , whose image consists on linear combinations of generalized theta series of the form

$$\sum_{y \in L} w(y) P(y) \exp_F(\Delta(y)/l, z/2).$$

Here L is a ternary lattice in a quaternion space with $\Delta(y) = (y - \bar{y})^2$ as the quadratic form, P is an (archimedean) harmonic polynomial and w is a (non archimedean) weight function depending on l and χ . Finally, \exp_F is the exponential map associated to F .

For $D \in F^\times$ denote by $L_{l,D}(s, g) = L(s, g \otimes \chi^l) L(s, g \otimes \chi^D)$ the Rankin–Selberg convolution L -function of g by the genus character associated to the pair (l, D) .

Theorem B. *If l and χ satisfy **H**_l and **H** _{χ} then*

$$L_{l,D}(1/2, g) = 2^{\omega(D, \mathfrak{N})} c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathfrak{N}} \langle g, g \rangle \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b})}{|lD|^{\mathbf{k}+1/2}} \frac{|\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g))|^2}{\langle \varphi_g, \varphi_g \rangle}$$

for every $D \in F^\times$ of type γ .

Here $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are the unique ideals such that (D, \mathfrak{a}) and (l, \mathfrak{b}) are fundamental discriminants and $c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathfrak{N}}$ is a positive constant given in (6.2).

Theorem A follows from Theorem B by choosing l and χ with $L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^l) \neq 0$ and χ of minimal conductor, and letting $f_\gamma = \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g)$ (see Sect. 6 for the details). The proof of Theorem B relies on our previous articles [20, 21]. It is based on the results from [31, 30] giving central values in terms of height parings, which we relate to Fourier coefficients.

This article is organized as follows. In Sects. 2 and 3 we recall the basic definitions and facts regarding skew-holomorphic Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight and quaternionic modular forms. In Sect. 4 we introduce the weight functions and use them to define our generalized theta series. Then in Sect. 5 we prove the modularity of this construction and its Hecke linearity. In Sect. 6 we give a proof of Theorem B. This proof, in principle, imposes certain restrictions on the discriminants. The goal of Sects. 7 and 8 is to prove Proposition 8.1, which is used to remove these restrictions. For this it suffices to prove, *a posteriori*, that our construction agrees locally with the vectors considered by Baruch and Mao for $v \nmid \mathfrak{N}$ and for $v \parallel \mathfrak{N}$ and v odd.

In Sect. 9 we describe our results in the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$. Finally, using Sagemath [23] and Magma [2] we illustrate our results by computing the families of modular forms f_γ in many different situations. These can be found in [22]. One of these examples is described in Sect. 10.

1.1. Notation summary. We fix a totally real number field F of discriminant d_F with ring of integers \mathcal{O} and different ideal \mathfrak{d} . We denote by $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ the ring of adeles of F and by \mathcal{J}_F the group of fractional ideals of F . We write $\text{Cl}(F)$ for the class group, C_F for the idele class group and h_F for the class number. We denote by \mathbf{a} the set of embeddings $v : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and by \mathbf{f} the set of nonzero prime ideals v of F . For $\xi \in F^\times$ we let $\text{sgn}(\xi) = \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}} \text{sgn}(\xi_v)$. We denote $F^+ = \{\xi \in F^\times : \text{sgn}(\xi_v) = 1 \ \forall v \in \mathbf{a}\}$. Given $\mathbf{k} = (k_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\xi \in F$ we let $\xi^{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}} \xi_v^{k_v}$ and $|\xi|^{\mathbf{k}+1/2} = \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}} |\xi_v|^{k_v+1/2}$. We use v as a subindex to denote completions of global objects at v , as well as to denote local objects. Given $v \in \mathbf{f}$ we denote by $\varpi_v \in \mathcal{O}_v$ a local uniformizer and by val_v the v -adic valuation.

We denote by $\hat{F} = \prod'_{v \in \mathbf{f}} F_v$ and $\hat{F}^\times = \prod'_{v \in \mathbf{f}} F_v^\times$ the corresponding restricted products, and we use the same notation in other contexts. For convenience, given $\xi \in \hat{F}^\times$ we denote $\xi\mathcal{O} = (\xi\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \cap F \in \mathcal{J}_F$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote $\exp(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$. For each place v we let $\exp_v : F_v \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\exp_v(x_v) = \begin{cases} \exp(x_v) & \text{if } v \in \mathbf{a}, \\ \exp(-\mathrm{tr}_{F_v/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x_v)) & \text{if } v \in \mathbf{f}. \end{cases}$$

Then we define the exponential map on $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ by $\exp_{\mathbf{A}}(x) = \prod_v \exp_v(x_v)$ and we denote its restriction to \widehat{F} by $\exp_{\mathbf{f}}$. Finally, denoting by \mathcal{H} the complex upper half-plane, we let

$$\exp_F : F \times \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\xi, z) \mapsto \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}, \xi_v > 0} \exp(\xi_v z_v) \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}, \xi_v < 0} \exp(\xi_v \overline{z_v}).$$

For $F = \mathbb{Q}$ we have $\exp_{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi, z) = q^{\xi}$ when $\xi > 0$ and $\exp_{\mathbb{Q}}(\xi, z) = \overline{q}^{\xi}$ when $\xi < 0$.

Given a character χ of C_F of conductor \mathfrak{f}_{χ} and $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ we denote

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a}} = \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}} \chi_v, \quad \chi_{\mathfrak{c}} = \prod_{v | \mathfrak{c}} \chi_v \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_* = \prod_{v \in \mathbf{f}, v \nmid \mathfrak{f}_{\chi}} \chi_v.$$

We also use χ_* for the character induced on ideals prime to \mathfrak{f}_{χ} . Given $\xi \in F^{\times}$ we denote by the χ^{ξ} the character of C_F corresponding to the extension $F(\sqrt{\xi})/F$, and we denote its conductor by \mathfrak{f}_{ξ} .

Given a quadratic extension K/F we let \mathcal{O}_K be the maximal order, and when it is totally imaginary we let $t_K = [\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} : \mathcal{O}^{\times}]$. For $x \in K$ we let $\Delta(x) = (x - \overline{x})^2$. If $K = F(\sqrt{\xi})$ with $\xi \in F^{\times} \setminus (F^{\times})^2$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}_F$ we say that the pair (ξ, \mathfrak{a}) is a *discriminant* if there exists $\omega \in K$ with $\Delta(\omega) = \xi$ such that $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathfrak{a}\omega$ is an order in K . When this order equals \mathcal{O}_K we say that the discriminant (ξ, \mathfrak{a}) is *fundamental*. For each ξ there exists a unique \mathfrak{a} such that (ξ, \mathfrak{a}) is fundamental (see [20, Prop. 2.11]). Finally, for each place v of F we let

$$\left(\frac{\xi}{v}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \text{ remains inert in } K, \\ -1 & \text{if } v \text{ splits in } K, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \text{ ramifies in } K. \end{cases}$$

For completeness, for $\xi \in F^{\times}$ we say that $(\xi^2, \xi^{-1}\mathcal{O})$ is a fundamental discriminant and we let $\left(\frac{\xi^2}{v}\right) = 1$ for all v .

Given a quaternion algebra B/F we denote by $\mathcal{N} : B^{\times} \rightarrow F^{\times}$ and $\mathcal{T} : B \rightarrow F$ the reduced norm and trace maps, and we use \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{T} to denote other norms and traces as well. In particular $\Delta = \mathcal{T}^2 - 4\mathcal{N}$.

1.2. Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions, which helped us improve the original manuscript. We also thank Ariel Pacetti and Zhengyu Mao for the many conversations we shared on this subject along the years.

2. HALF-INTEGRAL WEIGHT HILBERT MODULAR FORMS

We consider *skew-holomorphic* forms, i.e., half-integral weight Hilbert modular forms that are holomorphic in a subset of places of \mathbf{a} and antiholomorphic in its complement. The holomorphic case is considered in [19, Sect. 3]. Here we summarize the basic definitions and results in the skew-holomorphic case, some of which are implicit in [19, Sect. 11].

Denoting by \mathcal{H} the complex upper half-plane, we say that a function f on \mathcal{H} is *antiholomorphic* if $z \mapsto f(-\overline{z})$ is holomorphic.

For an integral ideal \mathfrak{c} divisible by 4, following Shimura, we denote

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}} = \left\{ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\beta} & b_{\beta} \\ c_{\beta} & d_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F) : a_{\beta}, d_{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}, b_{\beta} \in 2\mathfrak{d}^{-1}, c_{\beta} \in 2^{-1}\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{d} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{a}}$ and let $\rho \in \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbf{a}}$. We consider the automorphy factor of weight $\mathbf{3}/2 + \mathbf{k}$ and signature ρ defined for $\beta \in \Gamma_{4\mathcal{O}}$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$ by

$$K_{\rho}(\beta, z) = h(\beta, z) \prod_{\rho_v=1} j(\beta_v, z_v)^{k_v+1} \prod_{\rho_v=-1} j(\beta_v, z_v)^{-1} |j(\beta_v, z_v)| j(\beta, \overline{z_v})^{k_v+1}.$$

Here j and h denote respectively the standard weight 1 and weight $\mathbf{1}/2$ automorphy factors.

Fix an ideal \mathfrak{c} divisible by 4 and let χ be a character of C_F with conductor dividing \mathfrak{c} . We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^{\rho}(\mathfrak{c}, \chi)$ the space of functions $f : \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that are holomorphic in the variables v such that $\rho_v = 1$ and antiholomorphic in the remaining variables, satisfying

$$f(\beta z) = \chi_{\mathfrak{c}}(a_{\beta}) K_{\rho}(\beta, z) f(z) \quad (2.1)$$

for every $\beta \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}}$. Furthermore, we require the usual regularity at the cusps if $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

When $\rho_v = 1$ for every v we obtain the usual space of holomorphic modular forms, which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{c}, \chi)$. For $F = \mathbb{Q}$ we have that $f(z) \mapsto f(2z)$ gives an isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{c}, \chi)$ and the classical space of modular forms of level $c = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{c})$ and character χ (respectively $\chi\chi^{-1}$) when k is odd (respectively even). The homothety by 2 is explained by the difference between the standard $\Gamma_0(c)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}}$ as defined above. The change in the character appears because in the classical setting the automorphy factor is given by h^{3+2k} instead of hj^{k+1} .

Remark 2.2. The space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^{\rho}(\mathfrak{c}, \chi)$ is zero unless

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(-1) \prod_{v \in \mathbf{a}} \rho_v = (-1)^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}.$$

Furthermore, this space depends only on the restriction of χ_v to \mathcal{O}_v^{\times} for $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_{\chi}$, and not on the other local components of χ .

We consider the action of F^{\times} on $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$ given by $u \cdot z = w$, where $w_v = u_v z_v$ if $u_v > 0$ and $w_v = u_v \overline{z_v}$ if $u_v < 0$. We denote by $(f, u) \mapsto f \cdot u$ the action it induces on functions in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{O}$ is such that $\mathrm{sgn}(u_v) = \rho_v$ for every $v \in \mathbf{a}$. Then we have an injection*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^{\rho}(\mathfrak{c}, \chi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}(u\mathfrak{c}, \chi\chi^u), \quad f \mapsto f \cdot u,$$

which is an isomorphism if $u \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$.

Proof. The second claim is straightforward. The first claim is proved by replacing z by $u \cdot z$ in (2.1) and using that

$$K_{\rho}(\beta, u \cdot z) = \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_u}^u(a_{\beta}) K(\beta^u, z) \quad \forall \beta \in \Gamma_{4u\mathcal{O}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where K is the automorphy factor for holomorphic forms and $\beta^u = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$.

To prove (2.4), we note that since $j(\beta_v^{u_v}, z_v) = j(\beta_v, u_v z_v)$ we have that

$$\frac{K_{\rho}(\beta, u \cdot z)}{K(\beta^u, z)} = \frac{h(\beta, u \cdot z)}{h(\beta^u, z)} \prod_{u_v < 0} \frac{|j_v(\beta_v, u_v \overline{z_v})|}{j_v(\beta_v, u_v \overline{z_v})}.$$

Then the result follows from [19, Prop. 11.3], which proves that for $u \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\beta \in \Gamma_{4u\mathcal{O}}$ the right hand side of this equation is equal to $\chi_{f_u}^u(a_\beta)$. \square

Remark 2.5. In particular, when there exist units of arbitrary signature, i.e., when $h_F = h_F^+$, we have that every space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^\rho(\mathfrak{c}, \chi)$ is isomorphic to a space of holomorphic forms with the same level.

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^\rho(\mathfrak{c}, \chi)$. The automorphic form induced by f is the function $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ given by

$$f_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta\alpha) = \chi_{\mathfrak{c}}(a_\beta)^{-1} K_\rho(\alpha, \mathbf{i})^{-1} f(\alpha \mathbf{i}),$$

where $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ and $\alpha \in \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ are such that $\alpha_{\mathbf{f}}$ belongs to the adelicized $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}_F$, and let $t \in \widehat{F}^\times$ be such that $t\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}$. Then the Fourier coefficients $\lambda(\xi, \mathfrak{a}; f)$ for $\xi \in F$ by are defined in terms of $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ by the equality

$$\chi_{\mathbf{f}}(t) \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^{-1/2} f_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & s \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_{\xi \in F} \lambda(\xi, \mathfrak{a}; f) \exp_F(\xi, (s + \mathbf{i})/2) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $s \in F_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\lambda(\xi, \mathfrak{a}; f) = 0$ unless $\xi_v \rho_v \geq 0$ for every $v \in \mathbf{a}$. In particular, for u as in Proposition 2.3 they satisfy that

$$\lambda(u\xi, \mathfrak{a}; f \cdot u) = \lambda(\xi, \mathfrak{a}; f) \quad \forall \xi \in F, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}_F. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, we have that

$$f(z) = \sum_{\xi \in F} \lambda(\xi, \mathcal{O}; f) \exp_F(\xi, z/2).$$

3. QUATERNIONIC MODULAR FORMS

In this section we recall the basic definitions and facts regarding quaternionic modular forms. We follow [20, Sect. 1] and consider only the case of totally definite quaternion algebras. In this case quaternionic modular forms can be defined as functions on the *finite* ideles.

Let B be a totally definite quaternion algebra over F . We let $W = B/F$, in which we consider the totally negative definite ternary quadratic form $\Delta(x) = (x - \bar{x})^2$. We consider the space $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ of homogeneous polynomials in W of degree \mathbf{k} , harmonic with respect to Δ . We denote by $(P, \gamma) \mapsto P \cdot \gamma$ the action of B^\times/F^\times on $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ by conjugation.

Let R be an order in B . A *quaternionic modular form* of weight \mathbf{k} and level R is a function $\varphi : \widehat{B}^\times \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that for every $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ the following transformation formula is satisfied:

$$\varphi(ux\gamma) = \varphi(x) \cdot \gamma \quad \forall u \in \widehat{R}^\times, \gamma \in B^\times.$$

The space of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$. We let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$ be the subspace of functions that factor through the map $\mathcal{N} : \widehat{B}^\times \rightarrow \widehat{F}^\times$. These spaces come equipped with the action of Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$, indexed by integral ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, and given by

$$T_{\mathfrak{m}} \varphi(x) = \sum_{h \in \widehat{R}^\times \setminus H_{\mathfrak{m}}} \varphi(hx), \quad (3.1)$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{h \in \widehat{R} : \mathcal{N}(h)\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{m}\}$.

Given $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ we let

$$\widehat{R}_x = x^{-1} \widehat{R} x, \quad R_x = B \cap \widehat{R}_x, \quad \Gamma_x = R_x^\times / \mathcal{O}^\times, \quad t_x = |\Gamma_x|.$$

The sets Γ_x are finite since B is totally definite. Let $\text{Cl}(R) = \widehat{R}^\times \backslash \widehat{B}^\times / B^\times$. We define an inner product on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$, called the *height pairing*, by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in \text{Cl}(R)} \frac{1}{t_x} \langle \varphi(x), \psi(x) \rangle.$$

The space of *cuspidal forms* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$ is defined as the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$ with respect to the height pairing.

Denote $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})$ the subspaces of quaternionic modular forms invariant by \widehat{F}^\times . Let $N(\widehat{R}) = \{z \in \widehat{B}^\times : \widehat{R}_z = \widehat{R}\}$ be the normalizer of \widehat{R} in \widehat{B}^\times and let $\text{Bil}(R) = \widehat{R}^\times \backslash N(\widehat{R}) / \widehat{F}^\times$. The group $\text{Bil}(R)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})$ by $(\varphi \cdot z)(x) = \varphi(zx)$, and this action is related to the height pairing by the equality

$$\langle \varphi \cdot z, \psi \cdot z \rangle = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle.$$

Given a character δ of $\text{Bil}(R)$ we denote

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})^\delta = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1}) : \varphi \cdot z = \delta(z) \varphi \quad \forall z \in \text{Bil}(R)\}.$$

Given $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ and $P \in V_{\mathbf{k}}$, let $\varphi_{x,P} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$ be the quaternionic modular form given by

$$\varphi_{x,P}(y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{x,y}} P \cdot \gamma, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Gamma_{x,y} = (B^\times \cap x^{-1} \widehat{R}^\times y) / \mathcal{O}^\times$. Note that $\varphi_{x,P}$ is supported in $\widehat{R}^\times x B^\times$.

Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$, using that $\varphi(x) \in V^{\Gamma_x}$ for every $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ we get that

$$\varphi = \sum_{x \in \text{Cl}(R)} \frac{1}{t_x} \varphi_{x, \varphi(x)}. \quad (3.3)$$

3.1. Locally residually unramified orders. Denote by \mathfrak{N} the discriminant of R . We say that R is *locally residually unramified* if the Eichler invariant $e(R_v)$ is nonzero for every $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$.

The local classification of such orders is well known. If $v \nmid \mathfrak{N}$ or if $e(R_v) = 1$ then

$$R_v \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \varpi_v^r c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O}_v \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $r = \text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})$. In this case B_v is always split and the discriminant form is $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4\varpi_v^r bc$.

If $e(R_v) = -1$ let E_v be the unique unramified quadratic extension of F_v . Then

$$R_v \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \varpi_v^r \beta \\ \varpi_v^{r+t} \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{E_v} \right\}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $t \in \{0, 1\}$ and $2r + t = \text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})$. In this case B_v is split when $t = 0$ and ramified when $t = 1$. The discriminant form is $\Delta = \Delta(\alpha) + 4\varpi_v^{2r+t} \mathcal{N} \beta$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$ such that $e(R_v) \neq 0$, and let $x \in R_v$.*

- (a) *If $\Delta(x) \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$, then $(\frac{\Delta(x)}{v}) = e(R_v)$.*
- (b) *If $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) > 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_v[x]$ is a maximal order then $\Delta(x) \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$.*

Proof. Let $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{4\mathcal{O}_v}$ such that $\left(\frac{\delta}{v}\right) = e(R_v)$. From (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that $\Delta(x) \equiv \delta t^2 \pmod{4\mathfrak{N}}$ with $t \in \mathcal{O}_v$. In particular $t \equiv \mathcal{T}(x) \pmod{2\mathcal{O}_v}$. If $\Delta(x) \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ then $\left(\frac{\Delta(x)}{v}\right) = \left(\frac{\delta}{v}\right) = e(R_v)$, proving (a).

Now suppose $\varpi_v^2 \mid \mathfrak{N}$ and $\varpi_v \mid \Delta(x)$. Then $\varpi_v \mid t$ and $\Delta(x) \equiv \delta t^2 \equiv t^2 \pmod{4\varpi_v^2}$. Denoting $y = x + \frac{t - \mathcal{T}(x)}{2}$ it follows that $\mathcal{T}(y) = t$ and $\mathcal{N}(y) = \frac{t^2 - \Delta(x)}{4}$, hence $\varpi_v \mid \mathcal{T}(y)$ and $\varpi_v^2 \mid \mathcal{N}(y)$ so that y/ϖ_v is integral and $\mathcal{O}_v[x] = \mathcal{O}_v[y]$ is not maximal, proving (b). \square

We recall that for these orders $\text{Bil}(R) = \prod_{v \mid \mathfrak{N}} \text{Bil}(R_v)$, where $\text{Bil}(R_v)$ is cyclic of order 2 generated by the matrix z_v given, in terms of the above description, by

$$z_v = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi_v^r & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } e(R_v) = 1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi_v^r \\ \varpi_v^{r+t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } e(R_v) = -1. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

We denote by ι_v the unique isomorphism $\iota_v : \text{Bil}(R_v) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$.

4. WEIGHT FUNCTIONS AND THETA SERIES

Given an ideal \mathfrak{N} , from now on we let R be a fixed locally residually unramified order with discriminant \mathfrak{N} in a totally definite quaternion algebra B over F . There is at least one choice for R except when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd and \mathfrak{N} is a square. For instance, when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, one choice is to take B to be the quaternion algebra ramified at the infinite places and R an Eichler order, i.e., with $e(R_v) = 1$ for all $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$. On the other hand, when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, the quaternion algebra must ramify in at least one finite prime: this is possible precisely when $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})$ is odd for some $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$, i.e., when \mathfrak{N} is not a square.

We fix $l \in F^\times$ such that \mathfrak{f}_l is prime to $2\mathfrak{N}$. In particular, \mathfrak{f}_l is square-free. We also fix a Hecke character χ unramified outside $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}$ such that for all $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ we have that χ_v is an odd character. In practice we can take χ_v of the smallest possible conductor, namely $2\varpi_v\mathcal{O}_v$.

We denote by $\delta^{l,\chi}$ be the character of $\text{Bil}(R)$ given by

$$\delta^{l,\chi}(z) = \chi_*^l(\mathcal{N}z) \prod_{v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi} \iota_v(z_v). \quad (4.1)$$

4.1. Local weight functions. We consider the lattice $L_v = R_v/\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq W_v$ with the quadratic form Δ . The associated bilinear form is given by $\langle x, y \rangle = \Delta(x + y) - \Delta(x) - \Delta(y)$, so that $\Delta(x) = \langle x, x \rangle/2$. When using the identifications from (3.4) and (3.5) we write elements of L_v as 2×2 matrices, which should be understood modulo \mathcal{O}_v .

Type I. For each prime $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_l$ we fix $z \in L_v \setminus \varpi_v L_v$ such that $\varpi_v \mid \Delta(z)$. Such z exists by (3.4). Since $v \nmid 2\mathfrak{N}$ the lattice L_v is unimodular. It follows that if $x \in L_v \setminus \varpi_v L_v$ is such that $\varpi_v \mid \Delta(x)$ and $\varpi_v \nmid \langle x, z \rangle$, then there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ such that $x \equiv \xi z \pmod{\varpi_v L_v}$. We let w_v be the (local) *weight function* on L_v given by

$$w_v(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varpi_v \nmid \Delta(x) \text{ or } x \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_v L_v}, \\ \chi_v^l(-\langle x, z \rangle) & \text{if } \varpi_v \mid \Delta(x) \text{ and } \varpi_v \nmid \langle x, z \rangle, \\ \chi_v^l(\xi) & \text{if } \varpi_v \mid \Delta(x) \text{ and } x \equiv \xi z \pmod{\varpi_v L_v}. \end{cases}$$

Weight functions of type I are already considered in [21], where the following properties are stated without proof.

Proposition 4.2. *The function w_v is $\varpi_v L_v$ -periodic and satisfies*

$$w_v(\xi x) = \chi_v^l(\xi) w_v(x) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times, \quad (4.3)$$

$$w_v(yxy^{-1}) = \chi_v^l(\mathcal{N}y) w_v(x) \quad \forall y \in R_v^\times. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Let $x, x' \in L_v$ such that $x \equiv x' \pmod{\varpi_v L_v}$. Then $\Delta(x) \equiv \Delta(x') \pmod{\varpi_v}$ and $\langle x, z \rangle \equiv \langle x', z \rangle \pmod{\varpi_v}$, proving the first claim.

The equality (4.3) is clear. To prove (4.4) we use the identification $R_v = M_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$. Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ and assume $\varpi_v \mid \Delta(x)$, since $w_v(x) = w_v(yxy^{-1}) = 0$ otherwise.

Consider first $z = z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A simple calculation shows that in this case $w_v(x) = \chi_v^l(c)$ when $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_v}$ and $w_v(x) = \chi_v^l(-b)$ when $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_v}$. Now let $y = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix} \in R_v^\times$ so that $\bar{y} = \begin{pmatrix} u & -s \\ -t & r \end{pmatrix}$. If $c \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_v}$ then $a - d \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_v}$ and

$$yx\bar{y} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & br^2 \\ -bt^2 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\varpi_v L_v},$$

hence $w_v(yx\bar{y}) = \chi_v^l(-b) = w_v(x)$. If $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_v}$ then

$$yx\bar{y} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & -c(s + \frac{(a-d)r}{2c})^2 \\ c(u + \frac{(a-d)t}{2c})^2 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\varpi_v L_v},$$

hence $w_v(yx\bar{y}) = \chi_v^l(c) = w_v(x)$. Since $\bar{y} = \mathcal{N}(y)y^{-1}$, we obtain (4.4) from (4.3).

It remains to prove (4.4) for arbitrary z . Say $z' \equiv \xi(y^{-1}z_0y)$ with $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$, $y \in R_v^\times$, and let w'_v be the corresponding weight function. It is easy to see that $w'_v(x) = w_v(\xi(yxy^{-1}))$. Using (4.8) and (4.9) for w_v we conclude that w'_v is a constant multiple of w_v ; therefore it also satisfies (4.9). \square

Type II. For each prime $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ we fix $z \in L_v$ such that $\Delta(z) \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. We let w_v be the (local) weight function on L_v given by

$$w_v(x) = \begin{cases} \overline{\chi}_v(\langle x, z \rangle / \langle z, z \rangle) & \text{if } \langle x, z \rangle / \langle z, z \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) with $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$ it is easy to see that

$$w_v(x) \neq 0 \iff \Delta(x) \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times. \quad (4.6)$$

We consider the lattice

$$L_v^0 = \{x \in L_v : \langle x, L_v \rangle \subseteq 4\varpi_v \mathcal{O}_v\}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *The function w_v is L_v^0 -periodic and satisfies*

$$w_v(\xi x) = \overline{\chi}_v(\xi) w_v(x) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times, \quad (4.8)$$

$$w_v(yxy^{-1}) = \iota_v(y) w_v(x) \quad \forall y \in \text{Bil}(R_v). \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Let $x, x' \in L_v$ such that $x \equiv x' \pmod{L_v^0}$. Then $\langle x, z \rangle \equiv \langle x', z \rangle \pmod{4\varpi_v}$ implies $\langle x, z \rangle / \langle z, z \rangle \equiv \langle x', z \rangle / \langle z, z \rangle \pmod{2\varpi_v}$, which proves the first claim.

The equality (4.8) is clear. Since χ_v is odd, to prove (4.9) it suffices to show that (modulo L_v^0) we have

$$yxy^{-1} \equiv \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y \in R_v^\times, \\ -x & \text{if } y \notin R_v^\times. \end{cases}$$

We consider the case $e(R_v) = 1$ with the identification for R_v given by (3.4). Then

$$L_v^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v^a & b \\ \varpi_v^r c & -\varpi_v a \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_v \right\}.$$

In particular $x \equiv \xi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{L_v^0}$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$.

Assume first that $y \in R_v^\times$, and write $y = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \varpi_v^r c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Letting $D = \det(y)$ we have

$$yxy^{-1} = \frac{\xi}{D} \begin{pmatrix} da & -ba \\ \varpi_v^r dc & -\varpi_v^r cb \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{\xi}{D} \begin{pmatrix} da - \varpi_v^r cb & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv x \pmod{L_v^0}.$$

When $y \notin R_v^\times$ we can assume that $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi_v^r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as in (3.7). Then

$$yxy^{-1} = \xi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -x.$$

The case $e(R_v) = -1$ follows similarly, using the identification given by (3.5). \square

Dependence on the choices. For completeness, we end this subsection discussing how these weight functions depend on the choices made in their definitions.

For weight functions of type I, let w_v and w'_v be two such functions defined using z and z' respectively. From the proof of Proposition 4.2 we know that w_v and w'_v are equal up to a constant. But $w_v(z) = 1$ and $w'_v(z) = \pm 1$, hence $w'_v = \pm w_v$.

For weight functions of type II, if $z' \in L_v$ also satisfies $\Delta(z') \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ then, using the identifications from (3.4) and (3.5), $z' \equiv \xi z \pmod{L_v^0}$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. It follows that the weight function corresponding to z' equals $\chi_v(\xi) w_v$.

It remains to see how the choice of character χ_v affects w_v . Let χ'_v be another odd character and let w'_v the corresponding weight function. Assume that χ_v and χ'_v are defined modulo $2\varpi_v^r$ with $r \geq 1$. Since $(1 + 2\varpi_v^r \mathcal{O})^2 = 1 + 4\varpi_v^r \mathcal{O}_v$ (see [13, 63:8]), there exists a function $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_v^\times / (1 + 4\varpi_v^r \mathcal{O}_v) \rightarrow S^1$ such that

$$\overline{\chi'_v}(\xi) = \alpha(\xi^2 \Delta(z)) \overline{\chi_v}(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. Given $x \in L_v$ there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $x \equiv \xi z \pmod{L_v^0}$ and $\Delta(x) \equiv \xi^2 \Delta(z) \pmod{4\varpi_v^r}$. If $\xi \notin \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ then $w_v(x) = w'_v(x) = 0$, and if $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ then $w_v(x) = \overline{\chi_v}(\xi)$ and $w'_v(x) = \overline{\chi'_v}(\xi)$. In both cases

$$w'_v(x) = \alpha(\Delta(x)) w_v(x).$$

In summary, a different choice of χ_v multiplies $w_v(x)$ by a factor of absolute value 1 that depends only on $\Delta(x)$.

Remark 4.10. The argument above works the same if χ'_v , instead of an odd character, is an arbitrary function $\chi'_v : \mathcal{O}_v^\times / (1 + 2\varpi_v \mathcal{O}_v) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ satisfying that $\chi'_v(-x) = -\chi'_v(x)$ for all x , thus avoiding the need to do arithmetic with roots of unity coming from the values of χ_v .

The theta series computed with this alternative weight function would not satisfy Propositions 5.1 and 5.11 when χ'_v is not a character. Nevertheless, the Fourier coefficients of the modified theta series will be the same up to multiplication by a complex number of absolute value 1, hence Theorem B would still be valid. Moreover one can recover the original theta series corresponding to a character χ_v dividing the Fourier coefficients by the values of the function α as defined above.

4.2. Adelic weight functions. We let $w : \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the (adelic) weight function given by

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} \prod_{v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi} w_v(x_v) & \text{if } x \in \widehat{L}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

It should be denoted by $w^{l,\chi}$, but we avoid this for the sake of a lighter notation. Note that when $l \in (F^\times)^2$ and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \mathcal{O}$ the function w is simply the characteristic function of \widehat{L} .

Given $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}_F$ we let $w(\cdot; \mathfrak{a})$ denote the weight function supported on $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\widehat{L}$ given by

$$w(x; \mathfrak{a}) = (\chi \chi^l)(\xi) w(\xi x),$$

where $\xi \in \widehat{F}^\times$ is such that $\xi \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}$. Note that, by (4.3) and (4.8), if $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is prime to $2\mathfrak{M}_l$ we have that

$$w(\cdot; \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a})|_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\widehat{L}} = (\chi_* \chi_*^l)(\mathfrak{m}) w(\cdot; \mathfrak{a}). \quad (4.12)$$

4.3. Theta series. For each $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ let $L_x \subseteq W$ be the lattice given by $L_x = R_x/\mathcal{O}$. Then we consider the weight function $w_x : \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ supported on \widehat{L}_x and given by

$$w_x(y) = \chi^l(\mathcal{N}x) w(xy x^{-1}). \quad (4.13)$$

Then by (4.4) and (4.9) we have that

$$w_{zx\gamma}(\gamma^{-1}y\gamma) = \delta^{l,\chi}(z) w_x(y) \quad \forall z \in \text{Bil}(R), \gamma \in B^\times. \quad (4.14)$$

For $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ and $P \in V_{\mathbf{k}}$ we consider the theta series $\vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}$ given by

$$\vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}(z) = \sum_{y \in \mathfrak{b}^{-1}L_x} w_x(y; \mathfrak{b}) P(y) \exp_F(\Delta(y)/l, z/2). \quad (4.15)$$

Here \mathfrak{b} is the unique ideal such that (l, \mathfrak{b}) is a fundamental discriminant. Note that, since $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}L_x$ has the involution $y \mapsto -y$, this theta series is trivially zero unless

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{a}}(-1) \text{sgn}(l) (-1)^{\mathbf{k}} = 1. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that by Proposition 5.1 below this is consistent with Remark 2.2. Furthermore, using (4.14) we get that

$$\vartheta_{zx\gamma, P \cdot \gamma}^{l,\chi} = \delta^{l,\chi}(z) \vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi} \quad \forall z \in \text{Bil}(R), \gamma \in B^\times. \quad (4.17)$$

Remark 4.18. If the classes in $\text{Cl}(R)$ are represented by elements $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ such that $x_v \in \text{Bil}(R_v)$ for every $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ and $x_v \in R_v^\times$ for every $v \nmid \mathfrak{f}_l$, then the weight functions w_x are supported on \widehat{L} for every x ; moreover, by (4.4) and (4.9) they are given by

$$w_x(y) = \chi_*^l(\mathcal{N}x) \left(\prod_{v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi} \iota_v(x_v) \right) w(y). \quad (4.19)$$

This can be useful for making explicit computations.

5. MODULARITY AND THE THETA MAP

The results in this section generalize [21, Sects. 3 and 4] in order to admit (type II) weight functions and skew-holomorphic forms. We focus on the key modifications needed in this more general setting, referring the reader to [21] for more details.

We let l and χ be as in Sect. 4. We consider the function $\rho \in \{\pm 1\}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ given by $\rho_v = -\text{sgn}(l_v)$. We denote $\mathfrak{N}_\chi = \text{lcm}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{f}_\chi^2)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}$ be the theta series defined by (4.15). Then*

- (a) *We have $\vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^\rho(4\mathfrak{N}_\chi, \chi)$.*
- (b) *For every D such that $-lD \in F^+ \cup \{0\}$ and for every $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}_F$ we have that*

$$\lambda\left(D, \mathfrak{a}; \vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}\right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{A}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{c}}(L_x)} w_x(y; \mathfrak{c}) P(y), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\Delta = lD$ and $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{c}}(L_x) = \{y \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1}L_x : \Delta(y) = \Delta\}.$$

- (c) *If $l \notin (F^\times)^2$, $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $\vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}$ is cuspidal.*

In order to prove this modularity result we consider the left action of a certain subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(F)$ on the Schwartz–Bruhat space $\mathcal{S}(\widehat{W})$ as in [19, Sect. 11]. This action depends on the bilinear form $(x, y) \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle / l$ and is denoted by $(\beta, \eta) \mapsto {}^\beta \eta$. The following results are proved in [19, Lem. 11.6 and Prop. 11.7].

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{W})$.*

- (a) *Let $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, with $b \in F$. Then*

$${}^\beta \eta(x) = \exp_{\mathbf{F}}(b \Delta(x)/2l) \eta(x). \quad (5.4)$$

- (b) *Let $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$${}^\iota \eta(x) = c \int_{\widehat{W}} \eta(y) \exp_{\mathbf{F}}(-\langle x, y \rangle / 2l) dy, \quad (5.5)$$

where c is a nonzero constant depending on the bilinear form.

We assume for simplicity that $x = 1$ in (4.15), and denote $\vartheta_P = \vartheta_{1,P}^{l,\chi}$. The function η we consider from now on is

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} w(x; \mathfrak{b}) & \text{if } x \in \widehat{L}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, following the notation from [19, Sect. 11], ϑ_P equals the theta series $z \mapsto f(z, \eta)$ defined by the function η (and the polynomial P).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $x \in \widehat{W}$.*

- (a) *We have $\eta(\xi x) = (\chi \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l}^l) (\xi^{-1}) \eta(x)$ for every $\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times$.*
- (b) *If $\eta(x) \neq 0$, then $\Delta(x)/l \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$.*
- (c) *We have ${}^\iota \eta(\xi x) = (\chi \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l}^l) (\xi) {}^\iota \eta(x)$ for every $\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times$.*
- (d) *If ${}^\iota \eta(x) \neq 0$, then $\Delta(x)/l \in \mathfrak{d}^{-2} (\mathfrak{N}_\chi)^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Proof. The claim in (a) follows from (4.3) and (4.8). The claim in (b) follows by the definition of the type I local weight functions. Furthermore, (c) follows from (a) and (5.5).

To prove (d) we consider the lattice $\Lambda \subseteq W$ given by

$$\Lambda_v = \begin{cases} L_v^0 & \text{if } v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi, \\ L_v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Propositions 4.2 and 4.7 imply that η is $l\mathfrak{b}\widehat{\Lambda}$ -periodic, so using (5.5) we get that

$${}^t\eta(x) = \exp_{\mathbf{F}}(-\langle x, y \rangle / 2) {}^t\eta(x)$$

for every $y \in \mathfrak{b}\widehat{\Lambda}$. Hence if ${}^t\eta(x) \neq 0$ we have that $\langle x, y \rangle / 2 \in \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$ for every $y \in \mathfrak{b}\widehat{\Lambda}$, i.e., $x/2 \in (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}\widehat{\Lambda})^\# = (\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b})^{-1}\widehat{\Lambda}^\#$. Let $\zeta \in \widehat{F}^\times$ be such that $\zeta\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b}$. We claim that

$$\Delta(\zeta x) \in (\mathfrak{N}_\chi)^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \quad (5.7)$$

which we verify locally. Assume first that $e(R_v) = 1$. Under the identification from (3.4) we see that if $z \in \Lambda_v^\#$ then

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} a/4\varpi_v & b/4\varpi_v^r \\ c/4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}_v$. Then $\Delta(2z) = a^2/4\varpi_v^2 + bc/\varpi_v^r$, which implies that $\Delta(2z) \in (\mathfrak{N}_\chi)^{-1}\mathcal{O}_v$, thus giving (5.7). If $e(R_v) = -1$ a similar reasoning applies using (3.5).

Finally, since \mathfrak{f}_l is prime to \mathfrak{N} (5.7) implies that $\Delta(\zeta x)$ is \mathfrak{f}_l -integral. To prove (d) it remains to show that $\mathfrak{f}_l \mid \Delta(\zeta_v x_v)$. Since \mathfrak{f}_l is square-free, it suffices to show that $v \mid \Delta(\zeta_v x_v)$ for every $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_l$, which follows as in [21, Lem. 3.1] with no modifications. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that $l \notin (F^\times)^2$ or that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}$. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. Then ${}^\beta\eta(0) = 0$.*

Proof. By [21, Lem. 3.3] it suffices to prove that ${}^\beta\eta_v(0) = 0$ for every $\beta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ and for $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$. Let $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ and write

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1/c & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} {}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d/c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [19, Prop. 11.5] and (5.4) we can assume that $c = 1$ and $a = 0$. Using (5.4) again and (5.5) we get that

$${}^\beta\eta_v(0) = c \int_{W_v} \exp_v(d\Delta(x)/2l) \eta_v(x) dx.$$

Then the result follows, since item (a) of Lemma 5.6 implies that η_v is an odd function if $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$. \square

Proposition 5.9. *Let $\beta \in \Gamma_{4\mathfrak{N}_\chi}$. Then ${}^\beta\eta = \overline{\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}}(a_\beta) \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_{-1}}^{-1}(a_\beta) \eta$.*

Proof. Following the proof of [21, Prop. 3.4], where we take $u = -1$, we get that there exists $\xi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ with $\xi_v = a_\beta$ for every $v \mid 2\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{f}_{-l}$ such that

$${}^\beta\eta(x) = \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_{-l}}^{-l}(a_\beta) \eta(\xi x)$$

for every $x \in \widehat{W}$. Since \mathfrak{f}_l is prime to \mathfrak{f}_{-1} we have that $\mathfrak{f}_{-l} = \mathfrak{f}_l \mathfrak{f}_{-1}$. Furthermore, we have that $\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l}^{-1}(a_\beta) = 1$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_{-1}}^l(a_\beta) = 1$, since $a_\beta \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ for $v \mid \mathfrak{f}_{-l}$. These facts

imply that $\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l^{-1}}^{-l}(a_\beta)\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l}^l(a_\beta) = \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l^{-1}}^{-1}(a_\beta)$. Hence the result follows using part (a) of Lemma 5.6, which implies that

$$\eta(\xi x) = (\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_x}\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l}^l)(a_\beta^{-1})\eta(x).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 5.1. The modularity of $\vartheta_P(z) = f(z, \eta)$ follows, as in the proof of [21, Prop. 3.1 (a)], using Proposition 5.9 and [19, Prop. 11.8], which asserts that for every $\beta \in \Gamma_{4\mathfrak{N}_\chi}$ we have

$$f(\beta z, {}^\beta\eta) = \chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l^{-1}}^{-1}(a_\beta) K_\rho(\beta, z) f(z),$$

since the automorphy factor defined in [19, (11.19)] equals $\chi_{\mathfrak{f}_l^{-1}}^{-1}(a_\beta) K_\rho(\beta, z)$.

The proof of (5.2) follows as in [21, Prop. 3.1 (b)] with no modifications and the cuspidality of ϑ_P follows from Lemma 5.8 as in the proof of [21, Prop. 3.1 (c)]. □

We consider the theta map $\vartheta^{l,\chi} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^\rho(4\mathfrak{N}_\chi, \chi)$ given by

$$\vartheta^{l,\chi}(\varphi) = \sum_{x \in \text{Cl}(R)} \frac{1}{t_x} \vartheta_{x, \varphi(x)}^{l,\chi}. \quad (5.10)$$

This map is well defined by (3.3) and (4.17) and it satisfies $\vartheta^{l,\chi}(\varphi_{x,P}) = \vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}$ for every $x \in \widehat{B}^\times$ and $P \in V_{\mathbf{k}}$.

We consider the action of the Hecke operators on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$ as defined in [21, Sect. 2] and we normalize the standard Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{3}/2+\mathbf{k}}^\rho(4\mathfrak{N}_\chi, \chi)$ multiplying it by $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})$ as in [21, Sect. 4]. The following result will be used in Sect. 7.

Proposition 5.11. *The map $\vartheta^{l,\chi}$ satisfies*

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\vartheta^{l,\chi}(\varphi)) = \chi_*(\mathfrak{p}) \vartheta^{l,\chi}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi)) \quad (5.12)$$

for every $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 2\mathfrak{N}_l$. Furthermore, it maps cuspidal forms to cuspidal forms.

Proof. The first claim follows using (3.1) and the formulas for the action of the Hecke operators on Fourier coefficients given in [19, Prop. 5.5] and (5.2), as in [21, Prop. 4.1]. The only modification required in that proof is that now, by (4.4), (4.12) and (4.13), the weight functions satisfy

$$w_x(y; \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{c}) = \chi_*(\mathfrak{p}) w_{hx}(y; \mathfrak{c}) \quad \forall h \in H_{\mathfrak{p}}, y \in \mathfrak{c}^{-1}L_{hx}$$

for every $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{N}$, which explains the $\chi_*(\mathfrak{p})$ factor in (5.12).

The second claim follows combining Proposition 5.1 (c) and [20, Prop. 2.5]. □

For the remainder of this section we denote $f = \vartheta^{l,\chi}(\varphi)$.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $D \neq 0$ be such that $\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; f) \neq 0$. Then (D, \mathfrak{a}) is a discriminant. Furthermore, for $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$:*

- (a) *If $v \nmid D\mathfrak{a}^2$ then $(\frac{D}{v}) = (\frac{l}{v})e(R_v)$.*
- (b) *If $v \mid D\mathfrak{a}^2$ then $v \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$.*
- (c) *If $v \mid D\mathfrak{a}^2$ and (D, \mathfrak{a}) is fundamental then $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1$.*

Proof. By (5.10) we can assume that $f = \vartheta_{x,P}^{l,\chi}$ and without loss of generality $x = 1$. By (5.2) if $\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; f) \neq 0$ there exists $y \in \mathcal{A}_{\Delta, \mathfrak{c}}(L)$ such that $w(y; \mathfrak{c}) \neq 0$. The former implies that (Δ, \mathfrak{c}) is a discriminant and the latter that $\Delta(y)\mathfrak{c}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{f}_l = l\mathfrak{b}^2$. Since $\Delta(y) = lD$ and $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ we have that $D \in \mathfrak{a}^{-2}$ and then [21, Prop. 3.6] implies that (D, \mathfrak{a}) is a discriminant.

Let $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$, so that in particular $\left(\frac{l}{v}\right) \neq 0$. Let ξ_v and ζ_v be local generators for \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} respectively. Then $z_v = \xi_v \zeta_v y \in R_v$ and

$$\Delta(z_v) = (D\xi_v^2)(l\zeta_v^2).$$

In particular $v \nmid D\mathfrak{a}^2$ if and only if $\Delta(z_v) \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. Hence (a) follows from Proposition 3.6 and (b) follows from (4.6). When (D, \mathfrak{a}) is fundamental $\mathcal{O}_v[z_v]$ is a maximal order. If $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) > 1$ then Proposition 3.6 implies $v \nmid D\mathfrak{a}^2$, proving (c). \square

Remark 5.14. Assume that $\chi = 1$ and f is cuspidal.

In the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$, let $u = -\text{sgn}(l)$ and let $f \cdot u$ be the holomorphic form given by Proposition 2.3. When $\mathfrak{N} = (N)$ is odd and square-free, Proposition 5.13 (a) implies that $f \cdot u \in S_{k+3/2}^{\pm, p}(N, \chi^u)$ for every $p \mid N$, the subspace of the Kohnen space defined in [8, Prop. 4] with $\pm = \left(\frac{l}{p}\right)e(R_p)$. For general N we have that $f \cdot u \in S^{\emptyset, \kappa}$, the subspace of the Kohnen space considered in [26] corresponding to the function $\kappa : \{p \mid N : p \neq 2, p^2 \mid N\} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ given by $\kappa(p) = \left(\frac{l}{p}\right)e(R_p)$.

For arbitrary F , assuming that there exists $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ with lu totally negative, we consider the holomorphic form $f \cdot u$ given by Proposition 2.3. When \mathfrak{N} is odd and square-free, by (2.7) and Proposition 5.13 (a), we have that $f \cdot u$ belongs to the Kohnen space as defined in [6] and [24].

6. THE MAIN FORMULA

We devote this section to prove Theorem B. Its proof is similar to that of [21, Thm. 7.1]. Here we introduce the needed tools and results, stressing the modifications needed in this new setting.

As in the Introduction we let g be a normalized cuspidal newform of level \mathfrak{N} and weight $\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{2k}$ and we let $\gamma : \Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. We let R be as in Sect. 4, and let l and χ satisfying the hypotheses mentioned in the Introduction, namely

H_l. $\left(\frac{l}{v}\right) = \gamma(v)e(R_v)$ for all $v \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}$, and $\left(\frac{l}{v}\right) \neq 0$ for all $v \mid 2$,

H_χ. For finite v the character χ_v is unramified if $v \nmid \mathfrak{N}$ or $\gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})} = \varepsilon_g(v)$, and is odd otherwise.

Note that this includes the assumptions made in Sects. 4 and 5.

Remark 6.1. In [21] we considered only the case $\chi = 1$, which restricted the construction to types γ satisfying

$$\gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})} = \varepsilon_g(v), \quad v \mid \mathfrak{N}.$$

For instance, for squarefree level, only one type γ satisfies this for a given g .

Under the hypotheses above $\vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi)$ satisfies the following facts in terms of γ . First, $\vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi)$ is holomorphic in the variables $v \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\gamma(v) = 1$ and antiholomorphic at the remaining variables. Furthermore, (4.16) is equivalent to $\varepsilon_{g, \gamma} = 1$. Finally, Proposition 5.13 implies that if $\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi)) \neq 0$ and (D, \mathfrak{a}) is a fundamental discriminant then D is of type γ .

Let $c(\mathbf{k})$ and $C(\mathfrak{N})$ denote the positive rational numbers given by

$$c(\mathbf{k}) = \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{a}} \frac{r_{k_v}}{s_{k_v}}, \quad C(\mathfrak{N}) = \prod_{v \mid \mathfrak{N}} (\mathcal{N}(v) + 1) \mathcal{N}(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) - 1},$$

where for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we denote

$$r_k = \frac{2^{2k+1}(k!)^2}{(2k)!}, \quad s_k = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1/2)} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\Gamma(k+1/2-q)}{q!(k-2q)!2^{2q}}.$$

For later use we define

$$c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathfrak{N}} = \frac{d_F^{1/2}}{h_F} \frac{c(\mathbf{k}) C(\mathfrak{N})}{2^{2\omega(\mathfrak{N})}} > 0, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\omega(\mathfrak{N})$ is the number of prime divisors of \mathfrak{N} .

Let $D \in F^\times$ of type γ . Denote $\Delta = lD$ and let $K = F(\sqrt{\Delta})$. Denote by Ξ the character of C_K corresponding to the quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{l}, \sqrt{D})/K$ and by P_Δ the polynomial defined by [21, (4.3)]. Consider the quaternionic form

$$\psi_D^l = \frac{1}{t_K} \sum_{a \in \text{Cl}(K)} \Xi(a) \varphi_{a, P_\Delta} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R).$$

We now recall [21, Thm. 5.1], which relates the central value $L_{l,D}(1/2, g)$ to the height of the g -isotypical component of ψ_D^l . It is based on [31, Thm. 1.3.2] (for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$) and on [30, Thm. 1.2] (for general \mathbf{k} , which requires $\mathfrak{N} \neq \mathcal{O}$).

Theorem 6.3 (Theorem 5.1 of [21]). *Let $D \in F^\times$ of type γ . Let T_g be a polynomial in the Hecke operators prime to \mathfrak{N} giving the g -isotypical projection. If $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$, assume that $\mathfrak{N} \neq \mathcal{O}$. Assume that \mathfrak{f}_Δ is prime to $2\mathfrak{N}$ and that \mathfrak{f}_l and \mathfrak{f}_D are prime to each other. Then*

$$L_{l,D}(1/2, g) = \langle g, g \rangle \frac{d_F^{1/2}}{h_F} \frac{c(\mathbf{k}) C(\mathfrak{N})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{f}_\Delta)^{1/2} (-\Delta)^{\mathbf{k}}} \langle T_g \psi_D^l, \psi_D^l \rangle.$$

Remark 6.4. The right hand side of the formula in [21, Thm. 5.1] contains a wrong factor m_K^2 . This is caused by a mistake in the proof of [20, Prop. 3.5], where we claimed that $|K^\times/F^\times \cap \widehat{R}^\times/\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times| = t_K$. Actually, since $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq R$, we have that

$$|K^\times/F^\times \cap \widehat{R}^\times/\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times| = |K^\times/F^\times \cap \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times/\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times| = m_K t_K.$$

The last equality is explained by [17, p. 548] where it is shown that there exists an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow K^\times/F^\times \cap \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times/\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times \rightarrow \widehat{F}^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times F^\times \rightarrow \widehat{K}^\times/\mathcal{O}_K^\times K^\times.$$

In order to relate central values with Fourier coefficients we consider the set $X_{\Delta, \mathfrak{c}}$ of special points associated to the discriminant (Δ, \mathfrak{c}) (see [21, Sect. 4]) and we let

$$\eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi} = \frac{1}{w(\omega; \mathfrak{c}) t_K} \sum_{x \in X_{\Delta, \mathfrak{c}}} w_x(\omega; \mathfrak{c}) \varphi_{x, P_\Delta} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R),$$

where $\omega \in K$ is such that $\mathcal{O} \oplus \omega \mathfrak{c}$ is an order in K and $\Delta(\omega) = \Delta$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R)$. Let $D \in F^\times$ be such that $-lD \in F^+$ and let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}_F$. Then*

$$\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi)) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})} \left\langle \varphi, \eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi} \right\rangle.$$

Proof. This is [21, Prop. 4.2], and holds with no changes. \square

From here on we assume that (D, \mathfrak{a}) is fundamental. Then $\eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi}$ is related to ψ_D^l by the following result.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\delta^{l, \chi}$ be as in (4.1). Assume that \mathfrak{f}_Δ is prime to \mathfrak{N} . Then*

$$\eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi} = \sum_{z \in \text{Bil}(R)} \delta^{l, \chi}(z) \psi_D^l \cdot z.$$

In particular, $\eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})^{\delta^{l, \chi}}$.

Proof. This follows using the same proof as that of [21, Prop. 6.3]. The key fact in that proof is given here by (4.14). \square

Let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be the irreducible automorphic representation of $\text{PGL}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ corresponding to g . For every $v \in \mathbf{f}$ where B is ramified we have that $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})$ is odd, hence π_v does not belong to the principal series. It follows that there exists an irreducible automorphic representation π_B of \widehat{B}^\times corresponding to π under the Jacquet-Langlands map. In [5, Prop. 8.6] it is shown that \widehat{R}^\times fixes a unique line in the representation space of π_B . This line gives an explicit quaternionic modular form $\varphi_g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})$, which is well defined up to a constant.

Lemma 6.7. *Let φ_g be as above. Then $\varphi_g \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, \mathbb{1})^{\delta^{l, \chi}}$.*

Proof. By **Hl** and **H χ** the ramification of B is given by the set

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{a} \cup \left\{ v \mid \mathfrak{N} : \chi_v(-1) \left(\frac{l}{v} \right)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})} = -\varepsilon_g(v) \right\}. \quad (6.8)$$

Let $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$, and let $z_v \in N(R_v)$ be the generator for $\text{Bil}(R_v)$ given in (3.7). Since $\mathcal{N}(z_v) = -\varpi_v^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})}$ and $v \nmid \mathfrak{f}_l$,

$$\delta^{l, \chi}(z_v) = \chi_v(-1) \left(\frac{l}{v} \right)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})}.$$

Furthermore, by [16, Thm. 2.2.1] z_v acts on φ_g by

$$\varphi_g \cdot z_v = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon_g(v) \varphi_g & \text{if } v \in \Sigma, \\ \varepsilon_g(v) \varphi_g & \text{if } v \notin \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

These facts together with (6.8) complete the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorems **A** and **B**. Both proofs require the following result of Waldspurger, which we state for convenience of the reader.

Theorem 6.9 ([29, Thm. 4]). *Let h be a cuspidal newform such that the sign of the functional equation of $L(s, h)$ equals 1. Let Σ be a finite set of places of F , and for every $v \in \Sigma$ let $\delta_v > 0$. Then there exists $\xi \in F^\times$ such that*

- (a) $|\xi - 1|_v < \delta_v$ for every $v \in \Sigma$.
- (b) $L(h \otimes \chi^\xi, 1/2) \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem B. We first assume that \mathfrak{f}_D is prime to $2\mathfrak{f}_l\mathfrak{N}$. Combining Lemma 6.7 with Proposition 6.6 we have

$$\langle \varphi_g, \eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi} \rangle = 2^{\omega(\mathfrak{N})} \langle \varphi_g, \psi_D^l \rangle. \quad (6.10)$$

Since $T_g \psi_D^l$ is the φ_g -isotypical projection of ψ_D^l we have that $T_g \psi_D^l = \frac{\langle \psi_D^l, \varphi_g \rangle}{\langle \varphi_g, \varphi_g \rangle} \varphi_g$. Then using Proposition 6.5 and (6.10) we get

$$\langle T_g \psi_D^l, \psi_D^l \rangle = \frac{|\langle \psi_D^l, \varphi_g \rangle|^2}{\langle \varphi_g, \varphi_g \rangle} = \frac{\left| \langle \eta_{D, \mathfrak{a}}^{l, \chi}, \varphi_g \rangle \right|^2}{2^{2\omega(\mathfrak{N})} \langle \varphi_g, \varphi_g \rangle} = \frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^2}{2^{2\omega(\mathfrak{N})}} \frac{|\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g))|^2}{\langle \varphi_g, \varphi_g \rangle}.$$

The result follows, under the assumption on \mathfrak{f}_D , from Theorem 6.3.

Now we remove the assumption on \mathfrak{f}_D . Given $D \in F^\times$ of type γ pick $D' \in F^\times$ of type γ such that $\mathfrak{f}_{D'}$ is prime to $2\mathfrak{f}_l \mathfrak{N}$ and such that

$$L\left(g \otimes \chi^{D'}, 1/2\right) \neq 0,$$

which is possible by Theorem 6.9. We have already proven the formula for D' ; hence Proposition 8.1 below implies it also holds for D . \square

Remark 6.11. Theorem B generalizes [21, Thm. 7.1] to arbitrary γ . We must point out that there is a mistake in the formula for c_Δ given there. The correct formula is $c_\Delta = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b})$ (see also Remark 6.4).

Proof of Theorem A. Since $\varepsilon_{g, \gamma} = 1$, assumption H1 implies that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, g \otimes \chi^l)$ equals 1. Hence by Theorem 6.9 there exists l satisfying that assumption and such that $L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^l) \neq 0$. Choose χ satisfying H χ of the smallest possible conductor, i.e., $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathfrak{p}^{e_v+1}$, where $S = \{v \mid \mathfrak{N} : \gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})} \neq \varepsilon_g(v)\}$ and $e_v = \text{val}_v(2)$. With these choices Theorem A follows from Theorem B, taking $f_\gamma = \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g) \neq 0$ and

$$c_{g, \gamma} = \frac{c_{\mathbf{k}, \mathfrak{N}}}{L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^l) N(\mathfrak{f}_l)^{1/2} |l|^{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\langle \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g), \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g) \rangle}{\langle \varphi_g, \varphi_g \rangle} > 0. \quad (6.12)$$

The claim about the level follows using Proposition 5.1 (a). Finally, the cuspidality of f_γ follows from Proposition 5.11. \square

7. THE SHIMURA CORRESPONDENCE

For the rest of the article we let ψ be the character on $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ given by $\psi(\xi) = \exp_{\mathbf{A}}(\xi/2)$. For $D \in F$ we denote $\psi^D(x) = \psi(Dx)$, and we use a similar notation locally. Denote by S_ψ the Shimura correspondence, relative to ψ , between near equivalence classes of cuspidal irreducible automorphic representations of $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ and cuspidal irreducible automorphic representations of $\text{PGL}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$. Furthermore, denote by Θ the theta correspondence between these representations, as well as between their local counterparts. Many of the notions and results we need about these correspondences are summarized in [1, Sect. 3].

Let $f = \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi_g)$ be the modular form of half-integral weight appearing in Theorem B, which we assume to be nonzero. Let $\tilde{\varphi} = \otimes_v \tilde{\varphi}_v$ denote the cuspidal automorphic form corresponding to f (which we denoted by $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ in Sect. 2), and denote by $\tilde{\pi} = \otimes_v \tilde{\pi}_v$ the cuspidal automorphic representation of $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ generated by $\tilde{\varphi}$. Denote by $V_{\tilde{\pi}}$ and by $V_{\tilde{\pi}_v}$ the spaces of $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_v$, respectively. As in Sect. 6 we let $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ be the irreducible automorphic representation of $\text{PGL}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ corresponding to g .

Proposition 7.1. *The representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is irreducible and $S_\psi(\tilde{\pi}) = \pi$.*

Proof. The space of $\tilde{\pi}$ decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible subspaces T_i , each one appearing with multiplicity one. Since Proposition 5.11 implies that f is a Hecke eigenform outside a finite set of places, the subspaces T_i are, in particular, locally isomorphic almost everywhere. Moreover, these subrepresentations have the same central character, the one of f . Then [29, Thm. 3] shows that $T_i \simeq T_j$, which implies the first claim.

Proposition 5.11 implies that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a nonzero vector in $V_{\tilde{\pi}}$ with the same Hecke eigenvalues as $g \otimes \chi$ outside $2\mathfrak{f}_l$. By [28, Prop. 4] this implies that

$$S_{\psi}(\tilde{\pi}) \simeq (\pi \otimes \chi) \otimes \chi^{-1} \simeq \pi,$$

which proves the second claim. \square

Given a function $\epsilon : \mathbf{a} \cup \{v \mid \mathfrak{N} : \pi_v \text{ is special or supercuspidal}\} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ we denote, following [1, Sect. 3],

$$F^{\epsilon}(\pi) = \left\{ D \in F^{\times} : \left(\frac{D}{\pi_v} \right) = \epsilon(v) \text{ for every } v \right\};$$

the symbols $\left(\frac{D}{\pi_v} \right)$ are defined in [1, p. 346].

Here we consider the function ϵ given by $\epsilon(v) = \gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})}$, where as in the Introduction we let $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1$ for $v \in \mathbf{a}$. Note that $F^{\epsilon}(\pi)$ contains every $D \in F^{\times}$ of type γ .

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\tilde{\pi}^{\epsilon}$ be the representation of $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ determined by π and ϵ in [1, Thm. 3.2]. Then $\tilde{\pi} \simeq \tilde{\pi}^{\epsilon}$.*

Moreover, for every $D \in F^{\epsilon}(\pi)$ and for every v we have that $\tilde{\pi}_v$ has a nontrivial ψ_v^D -Whittaker model and

$$\Theta(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^D, \psi_v^D) \simeq \tilde{\pi}_v. \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. Let $D \in F^{\times}$ of type γ such that \mathfrak{f}_D is prime to $2\mathfrak{f}_l\mathfrak{N}$ and $L(\pi \otimes \chi^D, 1/2) \neq 0$ (such D exists by Theorem 6.9). Since $D \in F^{\epsilon}(\pi)$, we have that

$$\Theta(\pi \otimes \chi^D, \psi^D) \simeq \tilde{\pi}^{\epsilon}. \quad (7.4)$$

Theorem B, already proved for this particular D , implies $\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; f) \neq 0$; hence $\tilde{\pi}$ admits a nontrivial ψ^D -Whittaker model and so $\Theta(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^D) \neq 0$. Since $S_{\psi}(\tilde{\pi}) = \pi$ we have that $\Theta(\tilde{\pi}, \psi^D) \otimes \chi^D \simeq \pi$. In particular, $\Theta(\tilde{\pi}_v, \psi_v^D) \otimes \chi_v^D \simeq \pi_v$ for every v . Since the theta correspondence is locally a bijection (see [29, Thm. 1]) this proves (7.3), which together with (7.4) imply $\tilde{\pi} \simeq \tilde{\pi}^{\epsilon}$.

Finally by [29, Lem. 6] we have that

$$\Theta(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^{D'}, \psi_v^{D'}) \simeq \Theta(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^D, \psi_v^D) \simeq \tilde{\pi}_v$$

for every $D' \in F^{\epsilon}(\pi)$. This shows that $\tilde{\pi}_v$ has a nontrivial $\psi_v^{D'}$ -Whittaker model, completing the proof. \square

We use the notation from [29] to describe local irreducible representations. Let μ denote a character of F_v^{\times} . When $\mu^2 \neq |\cdot|_v^{\pm 1}$ we denote by $\pi_v(\mu, \mu^{-1})$ and by $\tilde{\pi}_v(\mu)$ the representations, of $\text{PGL}_2(F_v)$ and $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(F_v)$ respectively, belonging to the principal series. When $\mu^2 = |\cdot|_v^{\pm 1}$ we denote by $\sigma_v(\mu, \mu^{-1})$ and by $\tilde{\sigma}_v(\mu)$ the corresponding special representations. In the metaplectic case these representations depend on the additive character ψ_v .

Proposition 7.5. *Let $v \in \mathbf{f}$.*

- (a) Assume that $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$ and write $\pi_v \simeq \pi_v(\mu, \mu^{-1})$. Then $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\pi}_v(\mu)$.
 (b) Assume that $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1$ and write $\pi_v \simeq \sigma_v(\mu, \mu^{-1})$. Then $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\sigma}_v(\mu)$ if $\gamma(v) = \varepsilon_g(v)$, whereas $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is supercuspidal if $\gamma(v) = -\varepsilon_g(v)$.

Proof.

- (a) By Proposition 7.1 and [29, Lem. 38] we have that $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \Theta_v(\pi_v, \psi_v)$. Then the result follows from [29, Prop. 4], where it is proved that $\Theta_v(\pi_v, \psi_v) \simeq \tilde{\pi}_v(\mu)$.
 (b) Write $\mu = |\cdot|_v^{1/2} \chi_v^\tau$, with $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. Pick $D \in F^\times$ such that $(\frac{D}{v}) = \gamma(v)$. By (7.3) we have that $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \Theta(\sigma_v(\nu, \nu^{-1}), \psi_v^D)$, with $\nu = \mu \chi_v^D$. By [29, Prop. 4] we have that $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\sigma}(\nu, \psi_v^D) \simeq \tilde{\sigma}(\mu, \psi_v)$ if $(\frac{\tau D}{v}) = -1$, and $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is supercuspidal otherwise. This proves the result, since $(\frac{\tau}{v}) = -\varepsilon_g(v)$. \square

8. EXTENDING THE MAIN FORMULA

The first part of the proof of Theorem B given in Sect. 6 imposed restrictions on the discriminants considered. As mentioned in the second part of that proof, these restrictions can be removed by using Theorem 6.9 together with the following result, which show that given two discriminants of type γ , then the assertion of Theorem B holds for one of them if and only if it holds for the other.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $f = \vartheta^{l,\chi}(\varphi_g)$ as in Sect. 7. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\omega(D_1, \mathfrak{N})} \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_1) |\lambda(D_1, \mathfrak{a}_1; f)|^2 \cdot L(g \otimes \chi^{D_2}, 1/2) |D_2|^{\mathbf{k}+1/2} \\ = 2^{\omega(D_2, \mathfrak{N})} \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_2) |\lambda(D_2, \mathfrak{a}_2; f)|^2 \cdot L(g \otimes \chi^{D_1}, 1/2) |D_1|^{\mathbf{k}+1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

for every $D_i \in F^\times$ of type γ , $i = 1, 2$.

Here \mathfrak{a}_i is the unique ideal such that (D_i, \mathfrak{a}_i) is a fundamental discriminant.

This result is a refinement of [1, Cor. 4.7] (see also [28, Cor. 2]). Its proof relies heavily on [1], to where we refer the reader for further details. The strategy is to use the main result from op.cit. to show that (8.2) holds up to a product of local constants over certain bad primes, and then showing that these local constants agree. In order to state that result, we need to introduce the following ingredients.

From here on we let φ denote the automorphic form on $\text{PGL}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ corresponding to g (which should not be confused with the quaternionic forms considered on previous sections). Let W_φ denote the Whittaker function on $\text{PGL}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ relative to the character ψ , and for every place v denote by \mathcal{L}_v a nonzero Whittaker functional on V_{π_v} with respect to ψ_v .

For $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\pi}$ and $D \in F^\times$ we let $\tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}}^D$ denote the Whittaker function on $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ relative to the character ψ^D , given by

$$\tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}}^D(g) = \int_{F_{\mathbf{A}}/F} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) \psi^D(-x) dx. \quad (8.3)$$

Assume that D is of type γ . As in Sect. 7, letting $\epsilon(v) = \gamma(v)^{\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N})}$ we have that $D \in F^\epsilon(\pi)$; hence by Proposition 7.2 there exists a nonzero Whittaker functional $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D$ on $V_{\tilde{\pi}_v}$ with respect to ψ_v^D . Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D}$ the norm on $V_{\tilde{\pi}_v}$ induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D$ as in [1, (2.9)].

Finally, if $v \in \mathbf{f}$ and $D \in \mathcal{O}_v$ we say that D is a *fundamental discriminant* if the discriminant of $F_v(\sqrt{D})/F_v$ equals $D\mathcal{O}_v$. Note that if $D \in F^\times$ and (D, \mathfrak{a}) is

a fundamental discriminant, then D is a fundamental discriminant at v for every $v \in \mathbf{f}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_v = \mathcal{O}_v$.

Theorem 8.4 ([1, Thm. 4.3]). *Let $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\pi}$. Let $D \in F^\times$ of type γ . Then for every finite set of places Σ containing $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}$ and the even places*

$$\left| \widetilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}}^D(e) \right|^2 \|\varphi\|^2 \prod_{v \in \Sigma} L(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^D, 1/2) |\mathcal{L}_v(\varphi_v)|^2 \|\tilde{\varphi}_v\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D}^2 |D|_v = \\ L(\pi \otimes \chi^D, 1/2) \|\tilde{\varphi}\|^2 |W_{\varphi}(e)|^2 \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \left| \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D(\tilde{\varphi}_v) \right|^2 \|\varphi_v\|_v^2, \quad (8.5)$$

as long as D is a fundamental discriminant outside Σ .

Remark 8.6. Since D is of type γ , in particular $D \in F^\epsilon(\pi)$. By propositions 7.1 and 7.2 we have that $\tilde{\pi}^\epsilon \simeq \tilde{\pi}$ and $S_\psi(\tilde{\pi}^\epsilon) = \pi$. This shows that the hypotheses of the original statement of [1, Thm. 4.3] are satisfied. We point out that, though Baruch and Mao state their formula for square-free integers D , they only use this fact outside Σ ; see note at the bottom of [1, p. 357].

From here on, as in Sect. 7, we let $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\pi}$ be the vector corresponding to f . Let $D \in F_v^\times$, and assume that there exists a nonzero Whittaker functional $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D$ on $V_{\tilde{\pi}_v}$ with respect to ψ_v^D . Then we let

$$\mathcal{E}_v(D) = \frac{e_v(D)}{|D|_v L(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^D, 1/2)} \cdot \frac{\left| \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D(\tilde{\varphi}_v) \right|^2}{\|\tilde{\varphi}_v\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D}^2}, \quad \text{where} \\ e_v(D) = \begin{cases} e^{2\pi|D|_v} |D|_v^{1/2-k_v} & \text{if } v \in \mathbf{a}, \\ 2 & \text{if } v \mid \mathfrak{f}_D \text{ and } v \mid \mathfrak{N}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}_v(D)$ does not depend on the choice of the Whittaker functional $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D$. It also remains unchanged if $\tilde{\varphi}_v$ is multiplied by a nonzero scalar. If a Whittaker functional does not exist, we let $\mathcal{E}_v(D) = 0$.

Lemma 8.7. *Let $v \in \mathbf{f}$ be such that $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$. Then there exists a constant $c_v = c_v(\varphi_v)$ such that $\mathcal{E}_v(D) = c_v$ for every fundamental discriminant $D \in \mathcal{O}_v$.*

Proof. Since $v \nmid \mathfrak{N}$ we have that $\pi_v \simeq \pi_v(\mu, \mu^{-1})$, with μ an unramified character of F_v^\times given by $\mu(x) = |x|_v^s$, with $s \in i\mathbb{R}$. Let $q = |\mathcal{O}_v/\varpi_v \mathcal{O}_v|$. Then by [3, (200)] we have that

$$L(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^D, 1/2) = \left| 1 - \left(\frac{D}{v} \right) q^{-1/2-s} \right|^{-2}. \quad (8.8)$$

By Proposition 7.5 we have that $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\pi}_v(\mu)$. Then we can consider the Whittaker functional $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D$ given in [1, (8.3)]. In particular using [1, (8.5)] we get that $|D|_v \|\tilde{\varphi}_v\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D}^2$ does not depend on D .

By the first claim of Proposition 5.13 we have that $\lambda(D, \mathcal{O}; f) = 0$ unless there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $D \equiv \xi^2 \pmod{4\mathcal{O}}$. Then [6, Prop. 13.3] implies that $\tilde{\varphi}_v$ is fixed by the idempotent operator E_v^K acting on $V_{\tilde{\pi}_v}$. Hence we can assume that $\tilde{\varphi}_v$ equals the vector f_K^+ appearing in [6, Prop. 4.6].

Finally, we can compute $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D(\tilde{\varphi}_v)$ using [6, Prop. 4.3], since the Whittaker functional they consider in that proposition agrees with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D$. More precisely, being D

fundamental the integer \mathfrak{f}_D defined in [6, Def. 2.2] (which should not be confused with our notation for the conductor) equals 0. This implies that the factor $\Psi(D, q^{-s})$ appearing in [6, Prop. 4.3] formula equals 1, so we get that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^D(\tilde{\varphi}_v) = (1 - q^{-1-2s}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{D}{v} \right) q^{-1/2-s} \right)^{-1},$$

which together with (8.8) completes the proof. \square

We remark that though Hiraga and Ikeda consider the case of trivial level for stating their main results, the local auxiliary results quoted above are valid since they are used in the case $v \nmid \mathfrak{N}$. We also remark that in the case when v is odd the result above follows by [1, Prop. 8.1]; furthermore, the case when $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $v = 2$ can be proved using [1, Prop. 9.5]. The results from [6] allow us to give a general proof.

Lemma 8.9. *Let $v \in \mathbf{f}$ be such that $\text{val}_v(\mathfrak{N}) = 1$. Assume that v is odd. Then there exists a constant $c_v = c_v(\varphi_v)$ such that $\mathcal{E}_v(D) = c_v$ for every fundamental discriminant $D \in \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) \neq -\varepsilon_g(v)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.5 we have that $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\sigma}_v(\mu)$ with $\mu = |\cdot|_v^{1/2} \chi_v^\tau$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ such that $\left(\frac{\tau}{v}\right) = -\varepsilon_g(v)$. In particular $D\tau \notin (\mathcal{O}_v^\times)^2$. Moreover, Proposition 5.1 (a) implies that $\tilde{\varphi}_v$ is fixed by the Iwahori subgroup. Then the result follows by applying [1, Prop. 8.4]. \square

Remark 8.10. We expect this result to hold for even v as well. This is ongoing work, and can be proved generalizing to even primes the framework given in [24, Sect. 8] for studying Steinberg representations.

Lemma 8.11. *Let $v \in \mathbf{f}$. Let $D_1, D_2 \in F_v^\times$ be such that there exists $t_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ with $D_1 = D_2 t_v^2$. Then $\mathcal{E}_v(D_1) = \mathcal{E}_v(D_2)$.*

Proof. Let $t_v \in F_v^\times$ and denote $\underline{t}_v = \begin{pmatrix} t_v & 0 \\ 0 & t_v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. If $D_1 = D_2 t_v^2$, given a nonzero Whittaker functional $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_2}$ we obtain a nonzero Whittaker functional $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_1}$ by letting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_1}(\tilde{\alpha}_v) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_2}(\underline{t}_v \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_v). \quad (8.12)$$

In particular, the induced norms satisfy that

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}_v\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_1}}^2 = \left\| \underline{t}_v \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_v \right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_2}}^2 = \|\tilde{\alpha}_v\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D_2}}^2. \quad (8.13)$$

Assume now that $t_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$. Then by [28, p. 386], which can be used by Proposition 5.1 (a) and can be used over other fields than \mathbb{Q} due to its local nature, there exists a root of unity δ_v such that $\underline{t}_v \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_v = \delta_v \tilde{\varphi}_v$. This together with (8.12) and (8.13) completes the proof. \square

Proposition 8.14. *Let $v \in \mathbf{f}$. Let $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{O}_v$ be fundamental discriminants of type γ at v . Then $\mathcal{E}_v(D_1) = \mathcal{E}_v(D_2)$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemmas 8.7, 8.9 and 8.11. \square

Proposition 8.15. *Let $v \in \mathbf{a}$. Let $D_1, D_2 \in F_v^\times$ be of type γ at v . Then $\mathcal{E}_v(D_1) = \mathcal{E}_v(D_2)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 (a) we have that $\tilde{\varphi}_v$ is a vector of minimal weight in $\tilde{\pi}_v$. Since $D_1 = D_2 t^2$ with $t \in F_v^\times$ we have $L(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^{D_1}, 1/2) = L(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^{D_2}, 1/2)$.

In the case $\gamma(v) = 1$ the result follows by applying [1, Prop. 8.8] to $D = D_i/2$. In the case when $\gamma(v) = -1$, i.e., when $\tilde{\varphi}_v$ is antiholomorphic, the result of Baruch and Mao also holds if we change D by $-D$ in their formula for $e(\tilde{\varphi}_v, \psi^D)$ as can be seen using the formula for the Whittaker model given in [27, p. 24]. \square

Proof of Proposition 8.1. Let $D \in F^\times$ be of type γ , and let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}_F$. By our choice of ψ the (D, \mathfrak{a}) -th Fourier coefficient of f is given by

$$\lambda(D, \mathfrak{a}; f) = \chi_{\mathfrak{f}}(t) \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^{1/2} e^{\pi \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}} |D|_v} \widetilde{W}_{\underline{t}, \tilde{\varphi}}^D(e) \quad (8.16)$$

where $t \in \widehat{F}^\times$ is such that $t\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\underline{t} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ (see (2.6) and (8.3)).

We apply Theorem 8.4 to $\underline{t} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}$. Since the norm on $V_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(F_{\mathbf{A}})$ -equivariant, using (8.12) and (8.13) we can rewrite (8.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \widetilde{W}_{\underline{t}, \tilde{\varphi}}^D(e) \right|^2 \|\varphi\|^2 \prod_{v \in \Sigma} L\left(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v^{D t_v^2}, 1/2\right) |\mathcal{L}_v(\varphi_v)|^2 \|\tilde{\varphi}_v\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D t_v^2}}^2 |D|_v = \\ & L\left(\pi \otimes \chi^D, 1/2\right) \|\tilde{\varphi}\|^2 |W_{\varphi}(e)|^2 \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \left| \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{D t_v^2}(\tilde{\varphi}_v) \right|^2 \|\varphi_v\|_v^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

Now let (D_i, \mathfrak{a}_i) be fundamental discriminants with D_i of type γ , and let $t_i \in \widehat{F}^\times$ be such that $t_i \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}_i$. We let Σ be a finite set of places containing $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{N}}$ and the even places, and satisfying that if $v \notin \Sigma$ then $t_{i,v} \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ (so that D_i is fundamental at v). Then (8.16) together with (8.17) applied to $D = D_i$ and $t = t_i$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\omega(D_1, \mathfrak{N})} \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_2) |\lambda(D_1, \mathfrak{a}_1; f)|^2 L(g \otimes \chi^{D_2}, 1/2) \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{E}_v(D_2 t_{2,v}^2) |t_{2,v}|_v^2 \\ & = 2^{\omega(D_2, \mathfrak{N})} \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_1) |\lambda(D_2, \mathfrak{a}_2; f)|^2 L(g \otimes \chi^{D_1}, 1/2) \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \mathcal{E}_v(D_1 t_{1,v}^2) |t_{1,v}|_v^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.18)$$

By Propositions 8.14 and 8.15 we have that $\mathcal{E}_v(D_1 t_{1,v}^2) = \mathcal{E}_v(D_2 t_{2,v}^2)$ for every $v \in \Sigma$. Then (8.2) follows from (8.18), since $\prod_{v \in \Sigma} |t_{i,v}|_v^2 = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_i)^{-2}$. \square

9. THE RATIONAL CASE

Assume throughout this section that $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

Given a positive integer N , denote $\Sigma_N = \{v : v \mid N\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $g \in S_{2+2k}(N)$ be a normalized Hecke newform, and let $\gamma : \Sigma_N \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be such that

$$\prod_{v \mid N} \varepsilon_g(v) \gamma(v)^{\mathrm{val}_v(N)} = (-1)^{k+1} \gamma(\infty) \quad (9.1)$$

(see (1.2)). Then Theorem A, which generalizes the result of Baruch and Mao for square-free levels mentioned in the Introduction ([1, Thm. 10.1]), can be stated in the following form:

Theorem 9.2. *Assume that N is not a square. There exists a nonzero (holomorphic) cuspidal form f_γ of weight $3/2+k$ whose Fourier coefficients $\lambda(|D|; f_\gamma)$ are effectively*

computable and satisfy

$$L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = 2^{\omega(D, N)} c_{g, \gamma} \langle g, g \rangle \frac{1}{|D|^{k+1/2}} \frac{|\lambda(|D|; f_\gamma)|^2}{\langle f_\gamma, f_\gamma \rangle} \quad (9.3)$$

for every fundamental discriminant $D \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{D}{v}\right) = \begin{cases} \gamma(v) & \text{or } 0 \quad \text{when } \text{val}_v(N) = 1, v \text{ is odd and } \gamma(v) = \varepsilon_g(v), \\ \gamma(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $c_{g, \gamma}$ is given in (6.12) and $\omega(D, N)$ denotes the number of primes dividing both N and D .

The level of f_γ is $4NN'N''$, where N' is the product of the primes in the set $\{v \parallel N : \gamma(v) \neq \varepsilon_g(v)\}$ and

$$N'' = \begin{cases} 4, & 2 \parallel N \text{ and } \varepsilon_g(2) \neq \gamma(2), \\ 4, & 4 \parallel N \text{ and } \varepsilon_g(2) \neq 1, \\ 2, & 8 \parallel N \text{ and } \varepsilon_g(2) \neq \gamma(2), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the form in Theorem A can be mapped to a holomorphic f_γ as in the statement above by applying the isomorphism in Proposition 2.3 with $u = -\gamma(\infty)$.

Even though our construction is more general, for simplicity we choose a prime p such that $\text{val}_p(N)$ is odd, we let B be the quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified at ∞ and at p , and we consider a Pizer-Eichler order $R \subseteq B$ of discriminant N . The modular form f_γ in Theorem 9.2 is given by $\vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi)$, where φ is a quaternionic modular form on B in Jacquet-Langlands correspondence with g , and $\vartheta^{l, \chi}$ is the map from (5.10). Here l and χ must be chosen according to γ ; this choice, which determines the weight functions involved in $\vartheta^{l, \chi}$ (see (4.11)), can be done as follows.

Firstly, l is required to satisfy that $L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^l) \neq 0$. Furthermore, we require that $\gamma(\infty)l < 0$, and that for $q \mid N$

$$\left(\frac{l}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} -\gamma(q), & q = p, \\ \gamma(q), & q \neq p. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, l can be chosen either as $l = 1$ or as a prime not dividing N with $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In particular $\mathfrak{f}_l = (l)$ is square-free and prime to $2N$ as required in Sect. 4, and at most one weight function of type I is involved.

A weight function of type II is required for every $q \mid N$ such that $\gamma(q)^{\text{val}_q(N)} \neq \varepsilon_g(q)$. For such q we let χ_q be an odd Dirichlet character of conductor q if q is odd, and we let χ_2 be the odd character of conductor 4 if $q = 2$. Then we let χ be the primitive Dirichlet character obtained by multiplying the characters χ_q . With this choice of χ we have that the level of f_γ equals $4 \text{lcm}(N, \mathfrak{f}_\chi^2) = 4NN'N''$, with N', N'' as above (see Proposition 5.1).

When $N = p$ and $k = 0$, by (9.1) our theta series involve a weight function of type II at p if and only if $\gamma(\infty) = 1$. Hence we recover the weight $3/2$ forms g_- and g_+ from [11] (not to be confused with our form g), according to whether $\gamma(\infty)$ equals -1 or 1 . Furthermore, in this case our result (and our construction) agrees with that of [12].

In the case of composite levels we recover the examples given in [14]; furthermore, the choice of χ given above explains which are the weight functions of type II needed

in the examples from op.cit., according to each function γ . We remark that those examples were not shown to satisfy (9.3) prior to this work.

We refer the reader to [11, 14] (and to Sect. 10) for fully detailed examples. We conclude this section illustrating briefly Theorem 9.2 in a case of even level, which is not considered in op.cit.

9.1. A form of even level. We consider the cuspidal newform g with LMFDB label 50.2.a.a (see [10]). This is the first modular of weight 2 with rational eigenvalues whose level is exactly divisible by 2 and is not square-free. Its Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues are given by $\varepsilon_g(2) = 1, \varepsilon_g(5) = -1$.

There are four types γ satisfying (9.1). We focus our attention on the type given by $\gamma(\infty) = 1, \gamma(2) = 1, \gamma(5) = 1$. Taking $l = -11$ and a weight function of type II at 5 we get

$$f_\gamma = q + q^4 - q^{16} - 3q^{24} - 3q^{41} + 3q^{44} - q^{49} - q^{64} + 3q^{76} + 3q^{81} + 3q^{89} + 3q^{96} + O(q^{100}).$$

According to Theorem 9.2 we have that

$$L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = 2^{\omega(D, N)} C_\gamma \frac{|\lambda(D; f_\gamma)|^2}{D^{1/2}}$$

for every positive fundamental discriminant D such that $(\frac{D}{2}) = (\frac{D}{5}) = 1$, where $C_\gamma = 0.137248\dots$.

The form f_γ has even fundamental discriminants in its support. We expect our results to hold as well for these discriminants, by allowing $(\frac{D}{2})$ to be zero when $\text{val}_2(N) = 1$ and $\gamma(2) = \varepsilon_g(2)$ in Theorem 9.2; see Remark 8.10. This was numerically verified in this case, as well as in the other examples of modular forms of even weight considered in [22].

Remark 9.4. The central values $L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^{mD})$ for odd fundamental discriminants D and $m \in \{-4, 8, -8\}$ could, in principle, be obtained by applying Theorem 9.2 to $g \otimes \chi^m$. But the latter has level $\text{lcm}(50, m^2)$, which is a square. Our result does not apply in this case; a different family of orders must be considered (see [15], where the case of forms level p^2 is treated). Nevertheless, this technique could be used in other cases, e.g. for the form g with label 14.2.a.a.

10. AN EXAMPLE OVER $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(a)$, with $a^2 = 3$. We let $\mathbf{a} = \{v_1, v_2\}$, with $v_1(a) = -\sqrt{3}$ and $v_2(a) = \sqrt{3}$. We have that $h_F = 1$ and $h_F^+ = 2$. Moreover, every unit in \mathcal{O} is either totally positive or totally negative. In particular our construction will give skew-holomorphic modular forms that cannot be mapped to holomorphic forms preserving the level (see Remark 2.5).

We consider the cuspidal newform g over F with LMDFB label 2.2.12.1-47.2-b (see [10]); this is the first Hilbert modular form over F of weight 2, prime level, rational Hecke eigenvalues, and $-$ sign in the functional equation (so that, in particular, $L(1/2, g) = 0$). It has level $\mathfrak{p} = (4a - 1)$, with $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}) = 47$, and its Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue at \mathfrak{p} is $\varepsilon_g(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$.

Every $D \in F^\times$ with $+$ sign in the functional equation for $L(s, g \otimes \chi^D)$ is of one of the four types listed in Table 1. For D not covered in this table we have trivially $L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D) = 0$.

Type of D	$\text{sgn } v_1(D)$	$\text{sgn } v_2(D)$	$(\frac{D}{\mathfrak{p}})$
γ_1	+	+	-1 or 0
γ_2	-	-	-1 or 0
γ_3	+	-	1
γ_4	-	+	1

TABLE 1. Types with $\varepsilon_{g, \gamma_i} = 1$

We let B be the quaternion algebra over F given by $i^2 = j^2 = -1, ij = -ji = k$, which is ramified exactly at \mathfrak{a} . We consider the Eichler order $R \subseteq B$ with discriminant \mathfrak{p} given by

$$R = \langle 1, (-4a+1)i, \frac{1}{2}((a-38)i+j), \frac{1}{2}(a+34i+k) \rangle.$$

It has class number 4. The elements $x_i \in \widehat{B}^\times$ representing the right R -ideal classes have norms given by

$$\mathcal{N}(x_1) = (1), \mathcal{N}(x_2) = (6a-25), \mathcal{N}(x_3) = (-4a+1), \mathcal{N}(x_4) = (2a-1). \quad (10.1)$$

They induce the following ternary lattices:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_1} &= L_{x_3} = \langle (-4a+1)i, \frac{1}{2}((a-38)i+j), \frac{1}{2}(a+34i+k) \rangle, \\ L_{x_2} &= L_{x_4} = \langle (6a-25)i, \frac{1}{2}((a-504)i+j), \frac{1}{22}(-11a-(2a+1)(3736i+4j+k)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the quaternionic modular form φ corresponding to g is given by

$$\varphi = -\varphi_{x_1} + \varphi_{x_2} + \varphi_{x_3} - \varphi_{x_4},$$

where φ_x stands for $\varphi_{x,1}$ as given by (3.2).

We now describe each modular form $f_\gamma = \vartheta^{l, \chi}(\varphi)$ as defined by (5.10). Among the Hecke characters χ of smallest possible conductor satisfying $\mathbf{H}\chi$, in each case we were able to choose one with $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ quadratic, for convenience of computation; otherwise Remark 4.10 would have been applied. Furthermore, in each case the auxiliary parameter $l \in F^\times$ satisfying $\mathbf{H}l$ is chosen such that \mathfrak{f}_l is either trivial or prime, so that we only need to consider at most one weight function of type I at \mathfrak{f}_l for computing the weight function w given in (4.11). For convenience, we also choose l such that (l, \mathcal{O}) is fundamental.

- Let γ_1 be given by $\gamma_1(v_1) = 1, \gamma_1(v_2) = 1, \gamma_1(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$. We have that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \mathcal{O}$, and we take $l = -1$. Then f_{γ_1} is holomorphic and has level $4\mathfrak{p}$.
- Let γ_2 be given by $\gamma_2(v_1) = -1, \gamma_2(v_2) = -1, \gamma_2(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$. We have that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \mathcal{O}$, and we take $l = 5$. Then f_{γ_2} is antiholomorphic at both variables and has level $4\mathfrak{p}$. It can be mapped to a holomorphic form of the same level using Proposition 2.3, letting $u = -1$.
- Let γ_3 be given by $\gamma_3(v_1) = 1, \gamma_3(v_2) = -1, \gamma_3(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$. We have that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \mathfrak{p}$, and we take $l = 4a+5$. Then f_{γ_3} is holomorphic at v_1 and antiholomorphic at v_2 , and has level $4\mathfrak{p}^2$. It cannot be mapped to a holomorphic form of the same level using Proposition 2.3, since there does not exist $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\text{sgn } u_{v_1} = 1$ and $\text{sgn } u_{v_2} = -1$.
- Let γ_4 be given by $\gamma_4(v_1) = -1, \gamma_4(v_2) = 1, \gamma_4(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$. We have that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi = \mathfrak{p}$, and we take $l = -4a+5$. Then f_{γ_4} is antiholomorphic at v_1 and holomorphic at v_2 , and has level $4\mathfrak{p}^2$. Again, it cannot be mapped to a holomorphic form of the same level.

Finally, using (5.2) we compute the first Fourier coefficients $\lambda(D) = \lambda(D, \mathcal{O}; f_\gamma)$ with (D, \mathcal{O}) fundamental. Since the four lattices L_{x_i} are locally the same at \mathfrak{p} and at \mathfrak{f}_l (we chose the representatives for the ideal classes so that this was true), the functions w_x appearing in (5.2) can be computed in terms of w using (4.19) and (10.1). Moreover, we compute the corresponding central values $L_D = L(1/2, g \otimes \chi^D)$ using Theorem B; see tables 2, 3, 4 and 5.

The entries in these tables are ordered according to the norms $\mathcal{N}(D)$ of the discriminants. Only one element of each coset $D \cdot (\mathcal{O}^\times)^2$ was included, since for $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ we have that $\lambda(u^2 D)$ is related to $\lambda(D)$ by [19, (3.14b)].

$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D	$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D
25	5	2	4.574	148	$-6a + 16$	2	1.880
33	$4a + 9$	-2	3.981	169	13	0	0.000
52	$-2a + 8$	-2	3.172	193	$-12a + 25$	0	0.000
73	$-4a + 11$	0	0.000	208	$-4a + 16$	-2	1.586
96	$4a + 12$	2	2.334	244	$-2a + 16$	2	1.464
96	$-4a + 12$	-2	2.334	244	$2a + 16$	-2	1.464
97	$8a + 17$	2	2.322	249	$8a + 21$	-2	1.449
97	$-8a + 17$	-2	2.322	249	$-8a + 21$	2	1.449
121	11	2	2.079	276	$-10a + 24$	0	0.000
148	$6a + 16$	2	1.880	313	$4a + 19$	2	1.293

TABLE 2. Fourier coefficients for f_{γ_1} and their corresponding twisted central values

$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D	$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D
1	-1	2	1.400	241	$4a - 17$	-8	1.443
16	$4a - 8$	-4	1.400	241	$-4a - 17$	4	0.361
33	$4a - 9$	4	0.975	276	$-10a - 24$	0	0.000
49	-7	-4	0.800	289	-17	-4	0.329
52	$-2a - 8$	0	0.000	313	$4a - 19$	8	1.266
73	$-4a - 11$	4	0.655	321	$-16a - 33$	-4	0.313
177	$4a - 15$	12	3.788	337	$8a - 23$	4	0.305
177	$-4a - 15$	4	0.421	337	$-8a - 23$	0	0.000
193	$-12a - 25$	-4	0.403	352	$-4a - 20$	-8	1.194
208	$-4a - 16$	8	1.553	352	$12a - 28$	-16	4.776

TABLE 3. Fourier coefficients for f_{γ_2} and their corresponding twisted central values

REFERENCES

- [1] Ehud Moshe Baruch and Zhengyu Mao. Central value of automorphic L -functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(2):333–384, 2007.
- [2] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [3] Roger Godement. *Notes on Jacquet-Langlands’ theory*, volume 8 of *CTM. Classical Topics in Mathematics*. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2018. With commentaries by Robert Langlands and Herve Jacquet.

$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D	$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D
-23	$-4a + 5$	-4	2.807	-143	$-16a + 25$	-4	1.126
-32	$-4a + 4$	4	2.380	-167	$-8a + 5$	0	0.000
-32	$-12a + 20$	-4	2.380	-176	$-20a + 32$	8	4.060
-39	$-4a + 3$	-4	2.156	-183	$-8a - 3$	-4	0.995
-44	$-10a + 16$	0	0.000	-183	$-8a + 3$	-4	0.995
-71	$-12a + 19$	4	1.598	-300	$-10a$	8	3.109
-71	$-8a + 11$	0	0.000	-311	$-12a + 11$	-8	3.054
-111	$-8a + 9$	4	1.278	-327	$-16a + 21$	4	0.745
-111	$-20a + 33$	-4	1.278	-332	$-14a + 16$	8	2.956
-143	$-12a + 17$	8	4.504	-332	$-34a + 56$	-8	2.956

TABLE 4. Fourier coefficients for f_{γ_3} and their corresponding twisted central values

$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D	$\mathcal{N}(D)$	D	$\lambda(D)$	L_D
-12	$2a$	4	2.747	-191	$8a - 1$	12	6.197
-23	$4a + 5$	4	1.984	-191	$8a + 1$	-4	0.689
-39	$4a + 3$	0	0.000	-236	$10a + 8$	4	0.619
-44	$10a + 16$	4	1.435	-236	$38a + 64$	-4	0.619
-47	$4a + 1$	-4	1.388	-239	$16a + 23$	-8	2.462
-48	$4a$	-4	1.374	-239	$20a + 31$	0	0.000
-143	$8a + 7$	-4	0.796	-263	$12a + 13$	-4	0.587
-143	$28a + 47$	-8	3.183	-263	$32a + 53$	-8	2.347
-167	$8a + 5$	-8	2.945	-311	$12a + 11$	-4	0.540
-176	$20a + 32$	-4	0.717	-327	$16a + 21$	-8	2.105

TABLE 5. Fourier coefficients for f_{γ_4} and their corresponding twisted central values

- [4] Benedict H. Gross. Heights and the special values of L -series. In *Number theory (Montreal, Que., 1985)*, volume 7 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 115–187. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [5] Benedict H. Gross. Local orders, root numbers, and modular curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 110(6):1153–1182, 1988.
- [6] Kaoru Hiraga and Tamotsu Ikeda. On the Kohnen plus space for Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight I. *Compos. Math.*, 149(12):1963–2010, 2013.
- [7] W. Kohnen and D. Zagier. Values of L -series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip. *Invent. Math.*, 64(2):175–198, 1981.
- [8] Winfried Kohnen. Newforms of half-integral weight. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 333:32–72, 1982.
- [9] Winfried Kohnen. Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. *Math. Ann.*, 271(2):237–268, 1985.
- [10] The LMFDB Collaboration. The L -functions and modular forms database. <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2021. [Online; accessed 23 July 2021].
- [11] Z. Mao, F. Rodriguez-Villegas, and G. Tornarfa. Computation of central value of quadratic twists of modular L -functions. In *Ranks of elliptic curves and random matrix theory*, volume 341 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 273–288. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [12] Zhengyu Mao. On a generalization of Gross’s formula. *Math. Z.*, 271(1-2):593–609, 2012.
- [13] O. Timothy O’Meara. *Introduction to quadratic forms*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. Reprint of the 1973 edition.

- [14] Ariel Pacetti and Gonzalo Tornaría. Computing central values of twisted L -series: the case of composite levels. *Experiment. Math.*, 17(4):459–471, 2008.
- [15] Ariel Pacetti and Gonzalo Tornaría. Shimura correspondence for level p^2 and the central values of L -series, II. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 10(7):1595–1635, 2014.
- [16] David Roberts. *Shimura curves analogous to $X_0(N)$* . PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1989.
- [17] Fernando Rodriguez Villegas and Tonghai Yang. Central values of Hecke L -functions of CM number fields. *Duke Math. J.*, 98(3):541–564, 1999.
- [18] Goro Shimura. On modular forms of half integral weight. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 97:440–481, 1973.
- [19] Goro Shimura. On Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(4):765–838, 1987.
- [20] Nicolás Sirolli and Gonzalo Tornaría. An explicit Waldspurger formula for Hilbert modular forms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(9):6153–6168, 2018.
- [21] Nicolás Sirolli and Gonzalo Tornaría. An explicit Waldspurger formula for Hilbert modular forms II. *Ramanujan J.*, 2020.
- [22] Nicolás Sirolli and Gonzalo Tornaría. Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight, 2021. <http://www.cmat.edu.uy/cnt/hmf2>.
- [23] W. A. Stein et al. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 9.2)*. The Sage Development Team, 2020. <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [24] Ren-He Su. Newforms in the Kohnen plus space. *J. Number Theory*, 184:133–178, 2018.
- [25] Gonzalo Tornaría. *The Brandt module of ternary quadratic lattices*. PhD thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 2005.
- [26] Masaru Ueda. On twisting operators and newforms of half-integral weight. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 131:135–205, 1993.
- [27] J.-L. Waldspurger. Correspondance de Shimura. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 59(1):1–132, 1980.
- [28] J.-L. Waldspurger. Sur les coefficients de Fourier des formes modulaires de poids demi-entier. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 60(4):375–484, 1981.
- [29] J.-L. Waldspurger. Correspondances de Shimura et quaternions. *Forum Math.*, 3(3):219–307, 1991.
- [30] Hui Xue. Central values of Rankin L -functions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages 1–41, 2006.
- [31] Shou-Wu Zhang. Gross-Zagier formula for GL_2 . *Asian J. Math.*, 5(2):183–290, 2001.

UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES AND IMAS-CONICET, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
Email address: `nsirolli@dm.uba.ar`

UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY
Email address: `tornaria@cmat.edu.uy`