On balanced \((Z_{4u} \times Z_{8v}, \{4,5\}, 1)\) difference packings
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Abstract Let \(K\) be a set of positive integers and let \(G\) be an additive group. A \((G, K, 1)\) difference packing is a set of subsets of \(G\) with sizes from \(K\) whose list of differences covers every element of \(G\) at most once. It is balanced if the number of blocks of size \(k \in K\) does not depend on \(k\). In this paper, we determine a balanced \((Z_{4u} \times Z_{8v}, 4, 5, 1)\) difference packing of the largest possible size whenever \(uv\) is odd. The corresponding optimal balanced \((4u, 8v, \{4,5\}, 1)\) optical orthogonal signature pattern codes are also obtained.
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1 Introduction

As usual, the list of differences of a subset \(C\) of an additive group \(G\) will be denoted by \(\Delta C\). This is the multiset of all differences \(x - y\) with \((x,y)\) an ordered pair of distinct elements of \(C\). More generally, the list of differences of a set \(C\) of subsets of \(G\) is the multiset \(\Delta C = \bigcup_{C \in C} \Delta C\).

Let \(G\) be an additive group and \(K\) a set of positive integers, a \((G, K, 1)\) difference packing (DP) is a set \(B\) of subsets of \(G\) (blocks) with sizes from \(K\) such that \(\Delta B\) (the list of differences of \(B\)) covers every element of \(G\) at most once. The difference leave of a \((G, K, 1)\) difference packing is the set of elements of \(G\) not covered by the list of differences of the packing. The difference packing is balanced (BDP) if the number of blocks of size \(k \in K\) does not depend on \(k\). Thus, the number of blocks of a \((G, K, 1)\)-BDP is \(b|K|\) for a
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Let $I(G)$ be the set of all the involutions and the identity element of $G$. It is evident that $I(G)$ is always contained in the difference leave of a $(G, K, 1)$-BDP. Consequently, if $b|K|$ is the number of blocks of a $(G, K, 1)$-BDP, we have $b \sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k) \leq |G \setminus I(G)|$. It follows that

$$b \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|G \setminus I(G)|}{\sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)} \right\rfloor.$$ 

Thus a $(G, K, 1)$-BDP should be called optimal when, for every $k \in K$, the number of its blocks of size $k$ is the right hand side of the above inequality. A $(G, k, 1)$-DP is a $(G, K, 1)$-BDP with $K = \{k\}$. An $(u \times v, k, 1)$-DP is a $(G, k, 1)$-DP with $G = Z_u \times Z_v$. Similarly, a $(Z_u \times Z_v, K, 1)$-BDP is denoted by $(u \times v, K, 1)$-BDP.

Let $s|u$, and $t|v$. We speak of an $(s \times t)$-regular $(u \times v, K, 1)$-BDP to mean a $(G, K, 1)$-BDP with $G = Z_u \times Z_v$ and difference leave $H = (u/s)Z_u \times (v/t)Z_v$. So it is actually a balanced $(G, H, K, 1)$ difference family (see [7, 38]). In the following such a BDP will be denoted by $(u \times v, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP.

If $b|K|$ is the number of blocks of an $(u \times v, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP, then we have

$$b = \frac{uv - st}{\sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)}.$$ 

Since $I(Z_u \times Z_v) \subseteq (u/s)Z_u \times (v/t)Z_v$, then we have $1 \leq |I(Z_u \times Z_v)| \leq st$. If $1 \leq st \leq \sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)$, then

$$\frac{uv - |I(Z_u \times Z_v)|}{\sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)} = \frac{uv - st + (st - |I(Z_u \times Z_v)|)}{\sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)} = \frac{uv - st}{\sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)}.$$ 

Thus, an $(u \times v, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP is optimal when $1 \leq st \leq \sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)$.

The construction of an optimal $(G, K, 1)$-BDP becomes easier when the order of $G$ is divisible by $\sum_{k \in K} (k^2 - k)/2$. An optimal $(m \times n, K, 1)$-BDP is equivalent to an optimal $(mn, K, 1)$-BDP when $m$ and $n$ are coprime. In this case, one can obtain many optimal $(m \times n, K, 1)$-BDPs from the results on optimal $(mn, K, 1)$-BDPs with $|K| > 1$ (see [8, 17, 19, 21, 34, 35, 37, 39] for some of the examples). The notion $(mn, K, 1)$-CPs is used in some of these references). However, when $m$ and $n$ are not coprime, the construction of optimal $(m \times n, K, 1)$-BDPs is difficult. Optimal $(3u \times 9v, \{3, 4\}, 1)$-BDPs were constructed for any pair of positive integers $(u, v)$ with $\gcd(u, 3) = 1$ [38]. Optimal $(2u \times 16v, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDPs were constructed for $uv$ odd [21]. To the authors’ knowledge, no other work had been done on optimal $(m \times n, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDPs when $m$ and $n$ are not coprime. In this paper, optimal $(4u \times 8v, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDPs will be constructed for $uv$ odd.

The concept of a strong difference family was introduced in [5] to provide constructions for relative difference families (see also [6, 10, 26]). Some work also had been done on strong difference families recently.
In [2], a strong difference family was used to construct 3-pyramidal KTSs (Kirkman triple systems). In [3, 9], a strong difference family was also used to construct partitioned difference families.

Let $G$ be an additive group, $K$ a set of positive integers, $\lambda$ a positive integer. A $(G, K, \lambda)$-strong difference family ($(G, K, \lambda)$-SDF for short) $\mathcal{B}$ is a family of multisets of $G$ (blocks) with sizes from $K$ such that $\Delta \mathcal{B}$ (the list of differences of $\mathcal{B}$) covers every element of $G$ exactly $\lambda$ times.

Let $a, b$ be positive integers, $p$ an odd prime. One can construct a $(\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b, \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \{0\}, K, 1)$-BDP by using a suitable $(\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b, K, \mu)$-SDF. In this paper we give direct and explicit constructions for $(\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b, \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \{0\}, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDPs. This will be realized with the implicit use of suitable $(\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b, \{4, 5\}, 2)$- or $(\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b, \{4, 5\}, 4)$-SDFs according to whether $p \equiv 3$ or $1 \pmod{4}$, respectively. We will give the constructions in Section 2.

In this paper, by using direct and recursive constructions, the following result is obtained.

**Theorem 1.1** If $u > 1$, $v > 1$ are odd integers, then there exists an optimal $(4^u \times 8^v, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP.

**2 Direct Constructions**

In this section, we give direct constructions for $(4 \times g, 4 \times g, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDPs with $g = 8, 24$ and prime $p > 5$. Quadratic residues will be used in the constructions.

Let $p$ be an odd prime. In the sequel, we will always assume that $\omega$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, $C_0^2 = \{\omega^i | 0 \leq i \leq (p - 3)/2\}$ is called the set of quadratic residues (squares) of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $C_1^2 = \{\omega^{2i+1} | 0 \leq i \leq (p - 3)/2\}$ is the set of quadratic non-residues (non-squares) of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\xi$ be the first quadratic non-residue of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, it is easy to see that $\xi$ is a prime. In the following lemma, the first four results are easy to be obtained by the law of quadratic reciprocity in number theory, the last result is stated in [8].

**Lemma 2.1** For a fixed odd prime $p$, we have:

1. $-1 \in C_0^2$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
2. $2 \in C_0^2$ if and only if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$;
3. $3 \in C_0^2$ if and only if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$;
4. $5 \in C_0^2$ if and only if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$;
5. If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, then $\{\xi - 2, \xi - 1, \xi + 1\} \subset C_0^2$.

Let $y$ and $y + 1$ be two consecutive non-squares in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $z$ be the smallest integer in $\{y + 2, y + 3, \ldots, p - 1\}$ such that $z$ is a square of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Take $\theta = z - 1$, the following result is from [8].

**Lemma 2.2** If $p \geq 5$ is a prime, then there is an element $\theta \in C_1^2$ such that $\theta - 1 \in C_1^2$ and $\theta + 1 \in C_0^2$. 

\[\]
In the sequel, we will always assume that \( \theta \) satisfies the properties in Lemma 2.2. Two constructions are stated below.

**C1:** \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, p \not\mid ab. \)

Let \( \sigma = \{S_1, ..., S_h\} \) be a \( (Z_a \times Z_b, \{4, 5\}, 2) \)-SDF and let \( B = \{B_1, ..., B_h\} \) be a set of subsets of \( Z_a \times Z_b \times Z_p \) such that the projection of \( B_k \) on \( Z_a \times Z_b \) is \( S_k \) for \( k = 1, ..., h \). Considering that \( \sigma \) is a \( (Z_a \times Z_b, \{4, 5\}, 2) \)-SDF, it is clear that \( \Delta B \) has the form \( \Delta B = \bigcup_{z \in Z_a \times Z_b} z \times L_z \) where each \( L_z \) is a pair of elements of \( Z_p \setminus \{0\} \), say \( L_z = \{\ell_z, \ell'_z\} \). In the case that \( \ell_z \ell'_z \) is a non-square for each \( z \in Z_a \times Z_b \) we have \( L_z \cdot C_0^2 = Z_p \setminus \{0\} \) for each \( z \) and hence \( F = \{(1, 1, s) \cdot B_k \mid s \in C_0^2; 1 \leq k \leq h\} \) is a \( (Z_a \times Z_b \times Z_p, Z_a \times Z_b \times \{0\}, \{4, 5\}, 1) \)-BDP.

**C2:** \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, p \not\mid ab. \)

Let \( \sigma = \{S_1, ..., S_{2h}\} \) be a \( (Z_a \times Z_b, \{4, 5\}, 4) \)-SDF. Then take a set \( B = \{B_1, ..., B_{2h}\} \) of subsets of \( Z_a \times Z_b \times Z_p \) with the projections of \( B_k \) on \( Z_a \times Z_b \) is \( S_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq 2h \). Considering that \( \sigma \) is a \( (Z_a \times Z_b, \{4, 5\}, 4) \)-SDF, we have \( \Delta B = \bigcup_{z \in Z_a \times Z_b} z \times L_z \) with \( L_z \) a quadruple of elements of \( Z_p \setminus \{0\} \) for each \( z \in Z_a \times Z_b \). Assume that the \( B_k \)'s can be taken in such a way that each \( L_z \) is of the form \( L_z = \{1, -1\} \cdot \{\ell_z, \ell'_z\} \) with \( \ell_z \ell'_z \in C_1^2 \). In this case we have \( L_z \cdot C_0^2 / \{1, -1\} = Z_p \setminus \{0\} \) for each \( z \) and hence \( F = \{(1, 1, s) \cdot B_k \mid s \in C_0^2 / \{1, -1\}; 1 \leq k \leq 2h\} \) is a \( (Z_a \times Z_b \times Z_p, Z_a \times Z_b \times \{0\}, \{4, 5\}, 1) \)-BDP.

In the proof of the next lemma, we will apply C1 using

\[
\sigma = \{(0, 0), (0, 5), (2, 1), (3, 1)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 3), (1, 6), (2, 0)\}, \\
\{(0, 0), (0, 4), (0, 6), (1, 3), (3, 2)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (2, 6)\}
\]

as a \( (4 \times 4, 4, 5, 2) \)-SDF for the case of \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \). Instead, for the case of \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), we essentially apply C2 using a \( (4 \times 8, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 4) \)-SDF below.

\[
\sigma = \{(0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 1)\}, \{(0, 0), (2, 0), (0, 4), (2, 4)\}, \\
\{(0, 0), (0, 3), (1, 4), (3, 5)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 6), (1, 0), (3, 3), (3, 6)\}, \\
\{(0, 0), (0, 6), (1, 5), (2, 1), (3, 7)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 3), (1, 4), (3, 5)\}, \\
\{(0, 0), (0, 6), (1, 0), (3, 3), (3, 6)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 6), (1, 5), (2, 1), (3, 7)\}.
\]

**Lemma 2.3** If \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, then there exists a \( (4 \times 8p, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1) \)-BDP.

**Proof** Since \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, we identify \( Z_4 \times Z_{8p} \) with \( Z_4 \times Z_8 \times Z_p \). The problem is split into two cases depending on the values of \( p \) modulo 4.

1st case \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \).

Consider four subsets of \( Z_4 \times Z_8 \times Z_p \) of the following form.

\[
B_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 5, \alpha_1), (2, 1, \alpha_2), (3, 1, \alpha_3)\},
\]
\[ B_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 3, \beta_1), (1, 6, \beta_2), (2, 0, \beta_3)\}, \]
\[ B_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 4, \gamma_1), (0, 6, \gamma_2), (1, 3, \gamma_3), (3, 2, \gamma_4)\}, \]
\[ B_4 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, \delta_1), (0, 1, \delta_2), (1, 1, \delta_3), (2, 6, \delta_4)\}. \]

We have \[ \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \Delta B_i = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in Z_4 \times Z_8} \{(i, j)\} \times L_{(i,j)} \]
where:
\[ L_{(0,0)} = \{\delta_1, -\delta_1\}; \quad L_{(0,1)} = \{\delta_2, \delta_2 - \delta_1\}; \quad L_{(0,2)} = \{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1, -\gamma_2\}; \]
\[ L_{(0,3)} = \{\beta_1, -\alpha_1\}; \quad L_{(0,4)} = \{\gamma_1, -\gamma_1\}; \quad L_{(1,0)} = \{\delta_3 - \delta_2, \alpha_3 - \alpha_2\}; \]
\[ L_{(1,1)} = \{\delta_3 - \delta_1, \delta_3\}; \quad L_{(1,2)} = \{\beta_3 - \beta_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_4\}; \quad L_{(1,3)} = \{\gamma_3, \beta_2 - \beta_1\}; \]
\[ L_{(1,4)} = \{\alpha_1 - \alpha_3, \gamma_2 - \gamma_4\}; \quad L_{(1,5)} = \{\delta_4 - \delta_3, \gamma_3 - \gamma_2\}; \quad L_{(1,6)} = \{\beta_2, -\gamma_4\}; \]
\[ L_{(1,7)} = \{\gamma_3 - \gamma_1, -\alpha_3\}; \quad L_{(2,0)} = \{-\beta_3, \beta_3\}; \quad L_{(2,1)} = \{\gamma_3 - \gamma_4, \alpha_2\}; \]
\[ L_{(2,2)} = \{-\delta_4, \delta_1 - \delta_4\}; \quad L_{(2,3)} = \{\beta_1 - \beta_3, \delta_2 - \delta_4\}; \quad L_{(2,4)} = \{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}; \]
\[ L_{(0,j)} = -L_{(0,8-j)}, \quad L_{(2,j)} = -L_{(2,8-j)}, \quad 5 \leq j \leq 7; \quad L_{(3,j)} = -L_{(1,8-j)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 7. \]

Let \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)\) be as follows:
\[ (3, -2, 1, -1, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3, -1, 2, 1, 3, -1), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 7 \pmod{24}; \]
\[ (-1, 1, 3, -1, 3, 2, 1, 4, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, -1), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 11 \pmod{24}; \]
\[ (1, 4, 2, 1, -3, 3, 3, 2, 6, 4, 2, 1, 3, -1), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 19 \pmod{24}; \]
\[ (3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, -1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 23 \pmod{24}. \]

Using Lemma 2.1, one can readily check that \(L_{(i,j)}\) has a square and a non-square for \((i, j) \in Z_4 \times Z_8\). Set \(B = \{(1, 1, c) \cdot B_i | i = 1, 2, 3, 4, c \in C_0^2\}\), we have \(\Delta B = \bigcup_{(i, j) \in Z_4 \times Z_8} \{(i, j)\} \times (L_{(i,j)} \cdot C_0^2) = \bigcup_{(i, j) \in Z_4 \times Z_8} \{(i, j)\} \times (Z_p \setminus \{0\})\). Thus \(B\) forms a \((4 \times 8p, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

**2nd case** \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\).

When \(p = 5\), the blocks of a \((4 \times 40, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is displayed below:
\[ \{(0, 0),(1,29),(1,37),(2,6),(2,28)\}, \{(0,0),(1,4),(2,17),(3,18)\}, \]
\[ \{(0,0),(0,16),(1,27),(3,24)\}, \{(0,0),(0,7),(0,21),(2,8)\}, \]
\[ \{(0,0),(0,1),(0,28),(0,37),(3,34)\}, \{(0,0),(1,18),(2,2),(3,14)\}, \]
\[ \{(0,0),(0,2),(1,23),(2,11),(3,4)\}, \{(0,0),(0,23),(0,29),(2,7),(3,21)\}. \]

When \(p > 5\), by Lemma 2.2, there exists a non-square \(\theta\) of \(Z_p\) such that \(\theta - 1 \in C_1^2, \theta + 1 \in C_0^2\). Consider eight subsets of \(Z_4 \times Z_8 \times Z_p\) of the following form.
\[ B_1 = \{(0, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1), (0, 1, \theta), (0, 1, -\theta)\}, \]
\[ B_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, \alpha_1), (0, 4, \alpha_2), (2, 4, \alpha_3)\}, \]
\[ B_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 3, \beta_1), (1, 4, \beta_2), (3, 5, \beta_3)\}, \]
\[ B_4 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 6, \gamma_1), (1, 0, \gamma_2), (3, 3, \gamma_3), (3, 6, \gamma_4)\}, \]
\[ B_5 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 6, \delta_1), (1, 5, \delta_2), (2, 1, \delta_3), (3, 7, \delta_4)\}, \]
\[ B_{5+i} = (1, 1, -1) \cdot B_{2+i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3. \]

Let \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)\) be as follows:

\[ (\xi + \xi^2, \xi^2, 2\xi^2, \xi^2, \xi - \xi, 1, \xi, \xi^2, -\xi, \xi - \xi, -1, 1), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \]
\[ (1, 6, 3, -4, 6, -2, 1, 3, 2, 5, 2, 1, -1, 5), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 29, 101 \pmod{120}; \]
\[ (1, 2, 5, 1, 5, -5, 2, 5, 3, 1, 1, -1, 5, 2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 53, 77 \pmod{120}; \]
\[ (1, 3, 9, 1, 3, 2, 1, -2, 4, 2, 2, -2, 6, 4), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 13 \pmod{24}. \]

If \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}\), then \(\{\xi - 2, \xi - 1, \xi + 1\} \subset C_{0}^{2}\) by Lemma 2.1. Let \(B = \{(1, 1, c) \cdot B_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 8, c \in C_{0}^{2}/\{-1, 1\}\})\), it is checked that \(B\) forms a \((4 \times 8p, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

**Lemma 2.4** If \(p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\) is a prime and \(p \geq 7\), then there exists a \((4 \times 24p, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

**Proof** Since \(p \geq 7\) is a prime, then \(\gcd(24, p) = 1\), \(Z_4 \times Z_{24p}\) is isomorphic to \(Z_4 \times Z_{24} \times Z_p\). Consider twelve subsets of \(Z_4 \times Z_{24} \times Z_p\) of the following form:

\[ B_1 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, \alpha_1), (0, 1, \alpha_2), (2, 7, \alpha_3)\}, \]
\[ B_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 6, \beta_1), (0, 12, \beta_2), (2, 0, \beta_3)\}, \]
\[ B_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 3, 1), (1, 11, 2), (1, 22, 3), (3, 14, 4)\}, \]
\[ B_4 = \{(0, 0, 0), (2, 4, 1), (2, 14, 2), (3, 5, 3), (3, 8, 4)\}, \]
\[ B_5 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 15, 1), (1, 14, 2), (1, 21, 3), (3, 19, 4)\}, \]
\[ B_6 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1), (1, 2, 2), (3, 17, 3)\}, \]
\[ B_7 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 4, 1), (1, 12, 2), (3, 11, 3)\}, \]
\[ B_{5+i} = (1, 1, -1) \cdot B_{i}, \quad i = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. \]

Let \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)\) be as follows:

\[ (2, 1, 3, 1, 4, 2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 7 \pmod{24}; \]
\[ (2, 1, 4, 1, 3, 2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 11 \pmod{24}; \]
\[ (2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 4), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 19 \pmod{24}; \]
\[ (3, 1, 2, 2, 1, 3), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 23 \pmod{24}. \]

Set \(B = \{(1, 1, c) \cdot B_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 12, c \in C_{0}^{2}\}\). Using Lemma 2.1 it is easy to check that \(B\) forms a \((4 \times 24p, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

**Lemma 2.5** If \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\) is a prime and \(p > 5\), then there exists a \((4 \times 24p, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.
Proof We identify $Z_4 \times Z_{24p}$ with $Z_4 \times Z_{24} \times Z_p$. By Lemma 2.2 there exists a non-square $\theta$ such that $\theta - 1 \in C_2^7$, $\theta + 1 \in C_5^2$. Consider eleven subsets of $Z_4 \times Z_{24} \times Z_p$ of the following form:

$$B_1 = \{(0, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1), (0, 2, \theta), (0, 2, -\theta)\},$$
$$B_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 12, \alpha_1), (2, 0, \alpha_2), (2, 12, \alpha_3)\},$$
$$B_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 5, 3), (1, 11, 4)\},$$
$$B_4 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 9, 1), (1, 17, 2), (2, 23, 3), (3, 15, 4)\},$$
$$B_5 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 5, \beta_1), (0, 21, \beta_2), (1, 19, \beta_3), (2, 16, \beta_4)\},$$
$$B_6 = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 2, \gamma_1), (1, 15, \gamma_2), (3, 11, \gamma_3), (3, 22, \gamma_4)\},$$
$$B_7 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 3, \delta_1), (1, 22, \delta_2), (2, 21, \delta_3)\},$$
$$B_8 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 7, \epsilon_1), (1, 21, \epsilon_2), (3, 4, \epsilon_3)\},$$
$$B_9 = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 5, \epsilon_1), (2, 18, \epsilon_2), (3, 17, \epsilon_3)\},$$
$$B_{10} = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 12, \zeta_1), (3, 9, \zeta_2), (3, 17, \zeta_3)\},$$
$$B_{11} = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 7, \eta_1), (1, 3, \eta_2), (2, 16, \eta_3)\}.$$

Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ be as follows:

$$(\xi^2, \xi^2 + \xi, 2\xi^2, 1, -1, \xi, -\xi, \xi^2, \xi^3, -\xi^2, \xi, \xi^3, \xi, \xi^2 + \xi, \xi^2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8};$$
$$(1, 2, 10, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 4, 6, 2, 1, 2, 3), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 29, 101 \pmod{120};$$
$$(1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 4, 6, 2, 1, 2, 3), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 53, 77 \pmod{120};$$
$$(1, 4, 6, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 3, 5, 2, -4, 2, -2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 13, 37 \pmod{120};$$
$$(1, 3, 9, 1, 2, 3, 5, 1, 3, 5, 2, -4, 2, -2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 61, 109 \pmod{120}.$$

Let $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ be as follows:

$$(\xi + 1, 1, \xi, \xi, \xi^2, 1, -\xi, \xi^2, \xi, \xi, \xi^2, \xi, \xi + \xi, \xi^2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8};$$
$$(1, 2, 6, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 10, 12), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 29, 101 \pmod{120};$$
$$(1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 3), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 53, 77 \pmod{120};$$
$$(1, 2, 4, 2, 4, 6, 2, -2, 3, 6, 8, -2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 13, 37 \pmod{120};$$
$$(2, 1, 3, 2, 4, 6, 2, 6, 4, 4, 6, -2), \quad \text{for } p \equiv 61, 109 \pmod{120}.$$

Let $B = \{(1, 1, c_i) \cdot B_i\}$, where $c_i \in C_0^2 \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2, c_i \in Z_p \setminus \{0\}$ for $i = 3, 4$, and $c_i \in C_0^2$ for $5 \leq i \leq 11$, then $B$ forms a $(4 \times 24p, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP by Lemma 2.2.

\[\square\]

3 Recursive Constructions

In this section, we shall give some recursive constructions for $(u \times v, K, 1)$-BDPs. We first introduce some auxiliary designs.

Let $G$ be an additive group of order $v$. A $(G, k; 1)$ difference matrix ($(G, k; 1)$-DM for short) is a $k \times v$
matrix $D = (d_{ij}), 0 \leq i \leq k - 1, 0 \leq j \leq v - 1$, with entries from $G$, such that for any $0 \leq i < j \leq k - 1$, the multiset $[d_{il} - d_{ij}][0 \leq l \leq v - 1]$ contains every element of $G$ exactly once. We usually write a $(G, k; 1)$ difference matrix as $(v, k; 1)$-CDM if $G = Z_v$.

**Lemma 3.1** ([7]) If there exist both a $(G, k; 1)$-DM and a $(G', k; 1)$-DM, then there exists a $(G \times G', k; 1)$-DM.

**Lemma 3.2** ([14] [18]) Let $m$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $\gcd(m, (k - 1)!)$ = 1, then there exists an $(m, k; 1)$-CDM. There exists a $(3^a, 5; 1)$-CDM for any positive integer $a \geq 3$.

**Lemma 3.3** ([24]) If $a$, $b$ are positive integers, then there exists a $(Z_{3a} \times Z_{3b}, 5; 1)$-DM.

**Construction 3.4** ([8]) Suppose that both an $(u \times v, g \times h, K, 1)$-BDP and an optimal $(g \times h, K, 1)$-BDP exist, then an optimal $(u \times v, K, 1)$-BDP exists. Moreover, if the given $(g \times h, K, 1)$-BDP is a $(g \times h, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP, then so is the derived $(u \times v, K, 1)$-BDP.

For a set $K$ of positive integers, let $k_{\text{max}} = \max\{k | k \in K\}$.

**Construction 3.5** ([8]) Suppose that there exist: (1) an $(u \times v, g \times h, K, 1)$-BDP; (2) a $(Z_m \times Z_n, k_{\text{max}}; 1)$-DM; (3) an $(mg \times nh, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP. Then there exist an $(mu \times nv, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP and an $(mu \times nv, mg \times nh, K, 1)$-BDP.

From the above constructions, it is not difficult to obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.6** Suppose that there exist: (1) an $(u \times v, g \times h, K, 1)$-BDP; (2) an $(m, k_{\text{max}}; 1)$-CDM; (3) a $(g \times mh, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP (or $(mg \times h, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP). Then there exist an $(u \times mv, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP (or $(mu \times v, s \times t, K, 1)$-BDP) and an $(u \times mv, g \times mh, K, 1)$-BDP (or $(mu \times v, mg \times h, K, 1)$-BDP).

**Corollary 3.7** If there exists an $(u \times v, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP, then there exists a $(2u \times 6v, 2g \times 6h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP.

**Proof** A $(Z_2 \times Z_6, 5; 1)$-DM is from Theorem 3.44 of [1], the conclusion comes from Construction 3.5. □

## 4 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 4.1** There exists an $(u \times v, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP for $(u, v, g, h) = (2, 36, 2, 4), (2, 72, 2, 8), (2, 108, 2, 12), (4, 72, 4, 8), (6, 12, 2, 4), (6, 36, 2, 12), (12, 24, 4, 8), (18, 4, 2, 4), (18, 12, 2, 12)$.
Proof The blocks of the desired \((u \times v, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDPs are displayed in Appendix A. $
abla$

In this section, for positive integer \(a\), define \(f(a) = \begin{cases} 8, & \text{if } a \text{ is even;} \\ 24, & \text{if } a \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}\)

Lemma 4.2 If \(a \geq 0\) is an integer, then there exists a \((4 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 4 \times f(a), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

Proof 1st case: \(a = 0, 1\). The conclusion is trivial.

2nd case: \(a = 2\). The conclusion is from Lemma 4.1

3rd case: \(a = 3, 4\).

A \((2 \times 4 \cdot 3^a - 1, 2 \times 4 \cdot 3^a - 4, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is from Lemma 4.1 by applying Corollary 3.7. We have a \((4 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 4 \times 8 \cdot 3^a - 2, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. When \(a = 3\), the conclusion is obtained. When \(a = 4\), the conclusion comes from Construction 3.4 by using a \((4 \times 72, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

4th case: \(a \geq 5\).

Write \(a = 3n + a_1, n \geq 1\) and \(a_1 \in \{2, 3, 4\}\). Use induction on \(n\). When \(n = 1\), a \((4 \times 8 \cdot 3^{a_1}, 4 \times f(a_1), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from the above and a \((27, 5; 1)\)-CDM exists from Lemma 3.2. The conclusion comes from Corollary 3.6 by using a \((4 \times 27f(a_1), 4 \times f(3 + a_1), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. Suppose that there exists a \((4 \times 8 \cdot 3^{(n+1) + a_1}, 4 \times f(3(n+1) + a_1), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, then the conclusion is obtained from Corollary 3.6 by using a \((4 \times 27f(3(n+1) + a_1), 4 \times f(3(n+1) + a_1), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP and a \((27, 5; 1)\)-CDM.$

Lemma 4.3 If \(a\) is a positive integer, then there exists a \((12 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 4 \times f(a + 1), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

Proof 1st case: \(a = 1\). The conclusion comes from Lemma 4.1

2nd case: \(a = 2\).

A \((6 \times 12, 2 \times 4, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is from Lemma 4.1; the conclusion is obtained from Corollary 3.7.

3rd case: \(a = 3\).

A \((6 \times 36, 2 \times 12, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is from Lemma 4.1 by applying Corollary 3.7. We have a \((12 \times 216, 4 \times 72, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. So, the conclusion comes from Construction 3.4 by using a \((4 \times 72, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP in Lemma 4.1.

4th case: \(a \geq 4\).

A \((4 \times 8 \cdot 3^{a-2}, 4 \times f(a - 2), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is from Lemma 4.2 and a \((Z_3 \times Z_9, 5; 1)\)-DM is from Lemma 3.3 by applying Construction 3.5 with \(u = 4, v = 8 \cdot 3^{a-2}\). We have a \((12 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 12 \times 9f(a - 2), \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. If \(a\) is odd, then \(f(a - 2) = 24\), so the conclusion comes from Construction 3.4 by using a \((12 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from the above. If \(a\) is even, then \(f(a - 2) = 8\), so the conclusion comes from Construction 3.4 by using a \((12 \times 8 \cdot 3^2, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from the above. $

\]
Lemma 4.4 If $a$ is a positive integer, then there exists a $(36 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 4 \times f(a), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP.

Proof 1st case: $a = 1$.

A $(18 \times 4, 2 \times 4, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is from Lemma 4.1 the conclusion is obtained from Corollary 3.7

2nd case: $a = 2$.

A $(18 \times 12, 2 \times 12, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is from Lemma 4.1 we have a $(36 \times 72, 4 \times 72, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from Corollary 3.7, the conclusion is obtained from Construction 3.4 by using a $(4 \times 72, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP in Lemma 4.1

3rd case: $a \geq 3$.

A $(4 \times 8 \cdot 3^{a-1}, 4 \times f(a-1), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is from Lemma 4.2 and a $(Z_9 \times Z_3, 5, 1)$-DM is from Lemma 3.3 Applying Construction 3.4 with $u = 4$ and $v = 8 \cdot 3^{a-1}$, we have a $(36 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 36 \times 3f(a-1), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP. If $a$ is odd, then $f(a-1) = 8$, so the conclusion comes from Construction 3.4 by using a $(36 \times 24, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from the above. If $a$ is even, then $f(a-1) = 24$, so the conclusion comes from Construction 3.4 by using a $(36 \times 72, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from the above.

Lemma 4.5 If $a, b \geq 0$ are integers and $m, n$ are positive integers, then there exists a $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP, where

$$(g, h) = \begin{cases} (4, 8), & (a, b) = (0, 0), (0, 2n), (2m, 0), (2m, 2n), (2m - 1, 2n - 1); \\ (4, 24), & (a, b) = (0, 2n - 1), (2m, 2n - 1), (2m - 1, 2n); \\ (12, 8), & (a, b) = (2m - 1, 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof 1st case: $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$. The conclusion comes from Lemma 4.2

2nd case: $a \geq 1$ and $b = 0$.

A $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8, f(a) \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP which is equivalent to a $(4 \times 8 \cdot 3^a, 4 \times f(a), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is from Lemma 4.2

3rd case: $a, b \geq 1$.

A $(4 \cdot 3^{a-1} \times 8, f(a-1) \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP which is equivalent to a $(4 \times 8 \cdot 3^{a-1}, 4 \times f(a-1), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is from Lemma 4.2 A $(Z_3 \times Z_3, 5, 1)$-DM is from Lemma 3.3 we have a $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b, 3f(a-1) \times 8 \cdot 3^b, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from Construction 3.4. If $a$ is odd, we have $f(a-1) = 8$, then the conclusion is obtained from Construction 3.4 by using a $(12 \times 8 \cdot 3^b, 4 \times f(b+1), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP in Lemma 4.3 If $a$ is even, we have $f(a-1) = 24$, then the conclusion is obtained from Construction 3.4 by using a $(36 \times 8 \cdot 3^b, 4 \times f(b), \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP in Lemma 4.4
Lemma 4.6 If \(a, b \geq 0\) are integers, then there exists a \((4 \cdot 5^a \times 24 \cdot 5^b, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, where \((g, h) = \begin{cases} (4, 24), & a = b = 0; \\ (4, 120), & a = 0, b \geq 1; \\ (20, 24), & a \geq 1, b \geq 0. \end{cases}\)

Proof 1st case: \(a = b = 0\). The conclusion is trivial.

2nd case: \(a = 0\) and \(b \geq 1\).

A \((4 \times 40, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from Lemma 2.3 and a \((15, 5; 1)\)-CDM exists from Example 2.1 of [25], thus we have a \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^2, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Corollary 3.6. Applying Corollary 3.6 with a \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^2, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP and a \((5, 5; 1)\)-CDM, one can obtain a \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^3, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. The \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^b, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDPs can be obtained by repeating the process.

3rd case: \(a \geq 1\) and \(b \geq 0\).

If \(a \geq 1\) and \(b = 0\). A \((4 \cdot 5^a \times 24, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP which is equivalent to a \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^a, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is from the above. If \(a \geq 1\) and \(b \geq 1\), a \((Z_5 \times Z_3, 5; 1)\)-DM which is equivalent to a \((15, 5; 1)\)-CDM exists from Example 2.1 of [25], we have a \((20 \times 120, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Construction 3.4 by using a \((4 \times 40, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. There exist a \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^b, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP and a \((5, 5; 1)\)-CDM, one can obtain a \((20 \times 24 \cdot 5^b, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Corollary 3.6 by using a \((20 \times 24 \times 5^b, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. Since there exist a \((5^b, 5; 1)\)-CDM from Lemma 3.2 and a \((4 \cdot 5^a \times 24, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, then a \((4 \cdot 5^a \times 24 \cdot 5^b, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from Corollary 3.6 by using a \((20 \times 24 \cdot 5^b, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

\]

Lemma 4.7 If \(u\) and \(v\) are positive integers such that \(\gcd(uv, 6) = 1\), then there exists a \((4u \times 8v, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

Proof 1st case: \(u = v = 1\). The conclusion is trivial.

2nd case: \(u = 1\) and \(v > 1\). Let \(v = p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\ldots p_l^{a_l}\) be the factorization of \(v\), where each \(p_i \geq 5\) be prime and each integer \(a_i \geq 1\). For each prime \(p_i\), a \((p_i, 5; 1)\)-CDM exists from Lemma 3.2 and a \((4 \times 8p_i, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from Lemma 2.3. Applying Corollary 3.6 with a \((4 \times 8p_1, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP and a \((p_i, 5; 1)\)-CDM, one can obtain a \((4 \times 8p_1p_i, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. By using Construction 3.4 with a \((4 \times 8p_i, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, one can obtain a \((4 \times 8p_1p_i, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. Repeat the process, one can get a \((4 \times 8v, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP.

3rd case: \(u > 1\) and \(v = 1\). Since a \((4u \times 8, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is equivalent to a \((4 \times 8u, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, the conclusion is from the 2nd case.

4th case: \(u > 1\) and \(v > 1\).

A \((4u \times 8, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from the above, and a \((v, 5; 1)\)-CDM is from Lemma 3.2, the
conclusion comes from Corollary 3.6 by using a $(4 \times 8v, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP.

Lemma 4.8 If $u, v$ are positive integers such that $\gcd(uv, 30) = 1$, then there exists a $(gu \times hv, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP for $(g, h) = (4, 24), (4, 120), (12, 8), (20, 24)$.

Proof Since a $(gu \times hv, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is equivalent to a $(g'u \times h'v, g' \times h', \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP, where $(g, h, g', h') = (4, 24, 12, 8)$, or $(4, 120, 20, 24)$, then we need only to consider the cases of $(g, h) = (4, 24), (4, 120)$.

Let $v = p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2} \ldots p_l^{a_l}$ be the factorization of $v$, $p_i > 5$ be prime and $a_i \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq l$.

1st case: $(g, h) = (4, 24)$.

For each $p_i$, a $(p_i, 5; 1)$-CDM exists from Lemma 3.2 and a $(4 \times 24p_i, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP exists from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.7, one can get the conclusion.

2nd case: $(g, h) = (4, 120)$.

A $(4u \times 24v, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP exists from the above, the conclusion comes from Corollary 3.6 by using a $(5, 5; 1)$-CDM in Lemma 3.2.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

The Proof of Theorem 1.1 Write $u = 3^a u_1$, $v = 3^b v_1$, where $\gcd(u_1v_1, 6) = 1$, and $a, b \geq 0$. Then $4u \times 8v = 4 \cdot 3^a u_1 \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_1$.

1st case: $u_1 = v_1 = 1$.

A $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP exists from Lemma 3.5 where $(g, h) = (4, 8), (4, 24), (12, 8)$. An optimal $(4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is equivalent to an optimal $(12 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP, the blocks of an optimal $(4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is listed below.

$\{(0,0),(0,1),(0,3),(0,7),(1,0)\}, \{(0,0),(0,5),(0,13),(1,1),(1,11)\},$

$\{(0,0),(0,9),(1,3),(2,5)\}, \{(0,0),(1,4),(2,9),(3,17)\}$.

The conclusion comes from Construction 3.4.

2nd case: $u_1 = 1$ and $v_1 > 1$.

Let $v_1 = 5^c v_2$, where $\gcd(v_2, 30) = 1$. If $c = 0$. A $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP exists from Lemma 1.5 where $(g, h) = (4, 8), (4, 24), (12, 8)$, and a $(v_1, 5; 1)$-CDM exists from Lemma 3.2 we have a $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_1, g \times hv_1, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from Corollary 3.6. Since there exist a $(12 \times 8v_1, 12 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP and a $(4 \times 24v_1, 4 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from Lemma 4.8 a $(4 \times 8v_1, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP is from Lemma 4.7 we have a $(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_1, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP from Construction 3.4. So, the conclusion is obtained from Construction 3.4 where the optimal $(g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)$-BDP, $(g, h) = (4, 24), (12, 8)$ is from the above.
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If \( c \geq 1 \). A \((4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_2, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from the above, where \((g, h) = (4, 8), (4, 24),\) or \((12, 8)\), and a \((5^c, 5; 1)\)-CDM exists from Lemma 3.2 we have a \((4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b 5^c v_2, g \times 5^ch, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP. Since a \((4 \times 24 \cdot 5^c, 4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, which is equivalent to a \((12 \times 8 \cdot 5^c, 12 \times 40, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, exists from Lemma 4.0 and a \((4 \times 8 \cdot 5^c, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP is from Lemma 4.7 then we obtain a \(4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b 5^c v_2, g' \times h', \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, where \((g', h') = (4, 8), (4, 120)\) or \((12, 40)\). So, the conclusion is obtained from Construction 3.4 where the optimal \((4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP (equivalent to an optimal \((12 \times 40, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP) is listed in Appendix B.

3rd case: \( u_1 > 1 \) and \( v_1 = 1 \).

An optimal \((4 \cdot 3^a u_1 \times 8 \cdot 3^b, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, which is equivalent to an optimal \((4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b u_1, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, exists from the second case.

4th case: \( u_1 > 1 \) and \( v_1 > 1 \).

Let \( u_1 = 5^c u_2, v_1 = 5^d v_2, \) where \( \gcd(u_2 v_2, 30) = 1 \). If \( c = d = 0 \). An \((u_2, 5; 1)\)-CDM and a \((v_2, 5; 1)\)-CDM exist, we have a \((Z_{u_2} \times Z_{v_2}, 5; 1)\)-DM from Lemma 3.1. There exists a \((gu_2 \times hv_2, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Lemmas 4.7, 4.8 where \((g, h) = (4, 8), (4, 24),\) or \((12, 8)\), we have a \((4 \cdot 3^a u_2 \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_2, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Construction 3.4 by using a \((4 \cdot 3^a \times 8 \cdot 3^b, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP and a \((Z_{u_2} \times Z_{v_2}, 5; 1)\)-DM, and hence the conclusion is from Construction 3.4.

If \( c = 0, d \geq 1 \). A \((5^d, 5; 1)\)-CDM exists from Lemma 3.2 and a \((4 \cdot 3^a u_2 \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_2, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from the above, similar to the proof of the second case, one can obtain the conclusion.

If \( c \geq 1, d = 0 \). This case is equivalent to the case of \( c = 0, d \geq 1 \).

If \( c \geq 1, d \geq 1 \). A \((5^c, 5; 1)\)-CDM and a \((5^d, 5; 1)\)-CDM exist, we have a \((Z_{5^c} \times Z_{5^d}, 5; 1)\)-DM from Lemma 3.1 then a \((4 \cdot 3^a 5^c u_2 \times 8 \cdot 3^b 5^d v_2, 5^c g \times 5^dh, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exists from Construction 3.5 by using a \((4 \cdot 3^a u_2 \times 8 \cdot 3^b v_2, g \times h, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP, where \((g, h) = (4, 8), (4, 24),\) or \((12, 8)\). Since there exist a \((4 \cdot 5^c \times 8 \cdot 5^d, 4 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Lemma 4.7 and a \((4 \cdot 5^c \times 24 \cdot 5^d, 20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP (equivalent to a \((12 \cdot 5^c \times 8 \cdot 5^d, 60 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP) from Lemma 4.6 we get a \((4 \cdot 3^a 5^c u_2 \times 8 \cdot 3^b 5^d v_2, g' \times h', \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP from Construction 3.4 where \((g', h') = (4, 8), (20, 24),\) or \((60, 8)\). So, the conclusion is obtained from Construction 3.4 where the optimal \((20 \times 24, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP and optimal \((60 \times 8, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP exist since they are equivalent to the optimal \((4 \times 120, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-BDP in Appendix B.

5 Application to OOSPCs

Optical orthogonal signature pattern code (OOSPC) was introduced by Kitayama [22] for parallel transmission of 2-D image in multicore fiber optical code division multiple access (OCDMA) networks. Multiple-weight (MW) OOSPCs were introduced by Kwong and Yang to meet multiple quality of services (QoS)
requirement \[23\]. For OOSPCs and MW-OOSPCs, the interested readers may refer to \[12\], \[13\], \[20\], \[22\], \[24\], \[27\], \[28\], \[29\], \[30\], \[31\], \[32\], \[36\], \[38\] and the references therein.

The following result is an analogue result (Theorem II.1) in \[31\].

**Lemma 5.1** An optimal balanced \((u, v, K, 1)\)-OOSPC is equivalent to an optimal \((u \times v, K, 1)\)-BDP.

By using Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 1.1 the following result is obtained.

**Corollary 5.2** There exist optimal balanced \((4u, 8v, \{4, 5\}, 1)\)-OOSPCs for any odd integers \(u > 1, v > 1\).
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**Appendix A**

\((u, v, g, h) = (2, 36, 2, 4)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(0,0), (1.14), (1.15), (1.26), &(0,0), (0.5), (0,15), (0,19), (0,17), (0,0), (0,2), (0,8), (1,13), \\
(0,0), (0.3), (1.4), (1.20), (1.33).
\end{align*}
\]

\((u, v, g, h) = (2, 72, 2, 8)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(0,0), (0.71), (1.1), (1.14), & (0,0), (0.17), (0.37), (0.48), (1.20), (0,0), (0.4), (0,7), (0,53), \\
(0,0), (0.33), (0.38), (1.22), (1.43), & (0,0), (0,8), (0.30), (1.42), (0,0), (0.14), (0,70), (1,46), \\
(0,0), (0.43), (1.4), (1.51), (1.66), & (0,0), (0,28), (0,60), (1,35), (1,41).
\end{align*}
\]

\((u, v, g, h) = (2, 108, 2, 12)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(0,0), (0.65), (0.89), (1.52), & (0,0), (0.5), (0.37), (1.43), (1.49), (0,0), (0.30), (0.47), (0,68), \\
(0,0), (0.59), (0.60), (0.93), (1.91), & (0,0), (0.10), (0.52), (0.83), (1,33), \\
(0,0), (0.46), (0.96), (1.35), (1.39), & (0,0), (0,3), (0.23), (1.28), (1,107), \\
(0,0), (0.13), (1.53), (1.92), & (0,0), (0.8), (0.22), (1.30), (0,0), (0,51), (0,67), (1,93), \\
(0,0), (0.7), (1.10), (1.21), (1.74), & (0,0), (0,2), (0,28), (1,48).
\end{align*}
\]

\((u, v, g, h) = (4, 72, 4, 8)\)
\{(0,0),(0.24),(1.16),(2.17),(2.64)\}, \{(0,0),(1.49),(2.16),(2.35)\}, \{(0,0),(1.11),(3.60),(3.64)\},
\{(0,0),(0.65),(0.70),(3.4),(3.42)\}, \{(0,0),(0.16),(2.6),(3.26),(3.37)\},
\{(0,0),(1.53),(1.70),(3.39),(3.40)\}, \{(0,0),(1.15),(1.21),(2.25),(3.67)\},
\{(0,0),(1.17),(2.60),(3.13)\}, \{(0,0),(0.43),(1.56),(1.69),(2.44)\}, \{(0,0),(0.49),(2.11),(2.51)\},
\{(0,0),(0.51),(1.19),(1.29),(3.58)\}, \{(0,0),(1.55),(3.5),(3.31)\}, \{(0,0),(0.58),(1.24),(1.57)\},
\{(0,0),(0.15),(0.37),(3.35),(3.65)\}, \{(0,0),(0.8),(0.20),(2.5)\}, \{(0,0),(0.28),(0.31),(1.34)\}.

\((u, v, g, h) = (6, 12, 2, 4)\)

\{(0,0),(0.5),(1.8),(3.4)\}, \{(0,0),(1.11),(2.4),(3.5),(5.6)\}, \{(0,0),(0.1),(2.3),(4.3)\},
\{(0,0),(0.2),(1.0),(1.4),(5.5)\}.

\((u, v, g, h) = (6, 36, 2, 12)\)

\{(0,0),(1.5),(3.20),(5.11)\}, \{(0,0),(3.26),(4.24),(4.25)\}, \{(0,0),(0.16),(3.2),(4.8),(4.16)\},
\{(0,0),(1.17),(3.7),(4.34)\}, \{(0,0),(2.5),(2.19),(3.5)\}, \{(0,0),(1.29),(2.31),(3.25),(3.35)\},
\{(0,0),(0.32),(1.20),(2.33),(2.35)\}, \{(0,0),(0.7),(4.27),(5.24)\}, \{(0,0),(1.11),(2.7),(3.28)\},
\{(0,0),(1.16),(2.23),(3.24),(4.12)\}, \{(0,0),(1.9),(1.28),(3.13),(5.35)\},
\{(0,0),(0.5),(1.8),(1.31),(2.18)\}.

\((u, v, g, h) = (12, 24, 4, 8)\)

\{(0,0),(2.1),(2.20),(6.4),(10.21)\}, \{(0,0),(4.15),(6.5),(10.1)\}, \{(0,0),(2.22),(3.4),(7.20)\},
\{(0,0),(2.0),(5.10),(9.22),(9.23)\}, \{(0,0),(0.2),(1.3),(2.8),(11.10)\},
\{(0,0),(4.22),(5.9),(10.15),(11.1)\}, \{(0,0),(0.7),(1.22),(7.0),(8.17)\},
\{(0,0),(2.12),(7.7),(11.16)\}, \{(0,0),(1.0),(8.13),(11.20)\}, \{(0,0),(0.13),(1.20),(10.6)\},
\{(0,0),(2.19),(5.14),(9.16),(10.13)\}, \{(0,0),(1.2),(1.18),(3.23),(5.12)\},
\{(0,0),(4.0),(5.13),(9.8)\}, \{(0,0),(2.10),(5.15),(7.6)\}, \{(0,0),(0.4),(3.17),(4.5)\},
\{(0,0),(5.16),(6.1),(6.11),(8.3)\}.

\((u, v, g, h) = (18, 4, 2, 4)\)

\{(0,0),(1.1),(8.3),(11.3),(14.1)\}, \{(0,0),(1.0),(6.3),(11.0)\}, \{(0,0),(3.3),(6.0),(7.3),(8.1)\},
\{(0,0),(2.0),(4.2),(6.1)\}.

\((u, v, g, h) = (18, 12, 2, 12)\)
Appendix B  An optimal $(4 \times 120, \{4,5\}, 1)$-BDP.

\[
\begin{align*}
\{&0,0\},\{1,103\},\{3,27\},\{3,73\},\{0,0\},\{1,92\},\{1,98\},\{2,107\},\{0,0\},\{0,4\},\{1,17\},\{2,35\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{1,51\},\{2,45\},\{3,32\},\{0,0\},\{1,46\},\{2,16\},\{3,113\},\{0,0\},\{1,45\},\{1,76\},\{2,27\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,15\},\{0,48\},\{2,88\},\{3,4\},\{0,0\},\{0,88\},\{2,99\},\{3,61\},\{3,98\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,69\},\{2,86\},\{3,50\},\{3,112\},\{0,0\},\{0,25\},\{0,84\},\{3,34\},\{3,78\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,113\},\{1,23\},\{1,101\},\{3,47\},\{0,0\},\{1,25\},\{2,39\},\{3,51\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,28\},\{0,57\},\{0,77\},\{0,98\},\{0,0\},\{0,30\},\{0,54\},\{0,94\},\{3,33\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{1,106\},\{3,58\},\{3,92\},\{0,0\},\{0,9\},\{1,52\},\{2,4\},\{0,0\},\{0,47\},\{2,98\},\{2,110\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{1,53\},\{1,54\},\{2,55\},\{0,0\},\{1,35\},\{2,91\},\{3,29\},\{0,0\},\{1,10\},\{1,75\},\{2,70\},\{3,7\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,23\},\{1,39\},\{1,78\},\{2,43\},\{0,0\},\{0,8\},\{1,32\},\{2,95\},\{3,24\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,3\},\{0,13\},\{2,41\},\{2,59\},\{0,0\},\{0,17\},\{0,19\},\{1,48\},\{1,100\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{0,14\},\{2,111\},\{3,55\},\{3,100\},\{0,0\},\{0,11\},\{0,115\},\{2,113\},\{3,26\}, \\
\{&0,0\},\{1,80\},\{3,79\},\{3,117\},\{0,0\},\{1,37\},\{2,12\},\{3,52\}
\end{align*}
\]
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