ON FANO THREEFOLDS OF DEGREE 22 AFTER CHELTSOV AND SHRAMOV

KENTO FUJITA

Abstract. It has been known that nonsingular Fano threefolds of Picard rank one with the anti-canonical degree 22 admitting faithful actions of the multiplicative group form a one-dimensional family. Cheltsov and Shramov showed that all but two of them admit Kähler-Einstein metrics. In this paper, we show that the remaining Fano threefolds also admit Kähler-Einstein metrics.
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1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a nonsingular Fano threefold over the complex number field with $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[-K_X]$. Such $X$ with large automorphism groups have been studied by many authors. For example, Mukai and Umemura systematically studied in \cite{MU83} the Fano threefold $V^{\text{MU}}$ with the anti-canonical degree 22, so-called the Mukai-Umemura threefold, which is obtained by the unique $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$-equivariant nonsingular projective compactification of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/I_h$ with the Picard rank one, where $I_h \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the icosahedral group. The automorphism group of $V^{\text{MU}}$ is equal to $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, Prokhorov showed in \cite{Pro90} that, if $\text{Aut}(X)$ is not finite, then the anti-canonical degree of $X$ must be equal to 22. Moreover, he determined all of such $X$. For example,
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there is a unique Fano threefold $V^a$ such that $\text{Aut}^0(V^a)$ is equal to the additive group $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

Nowadays, the structures of such $X$ are well-understood thanks to the works of Kuznetsov, Prokhorov, Shramov [KPS18], and Kuznetsov, Prokhorov [KP18]. The family of nonsingular Fano threefolds $X$ with $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[-K_X]$, $(-K_X)^3 = 22$, $X \not\cong V^a$ and $\text{Aut}(X)$ infinite are parametrized by $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let us denote the family by $\{V_u\}_{u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}}$.

Then $V^{-1/4}$ is isomorphic to $V^{\text{MU}}$, and the automorphism group of $V_u$ is equal to $G := \mathbb{C}^* \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ unless $u = -1/4$. Moreover, any $V_u$ can be obtained by the two-ray game from the blowup of some 3-dimensional quadric hypersurface along a certain nonsingular sextic rational curve.

In [CS18], Cheltsov and Shramov considered the problem for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics for the above $V_u$. If $u = -1/4$, then the Fano threefold is the Mukai-Umemura threefold $V^{\text{MU}}$. In this case, Donaldson already showed in [Don07] the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on $V^{\text{MU}}$ by showing that the $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant $\alpha_{\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})}(V^{\text{MU}})$ (see §2) of $V^{\text{MU}}$ is equal to $5/6$. In fact, Tian showed in [Tia87] that, for a Fano manifold $X$ and a reductive subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(X)$, if $\alpha_{\Gamma}(X) > \dim X/(\dim X + 1)$ holds, then $X$ admits Kähler-Einstein metrics. Cheltsov and Shramov considered the remaining cases by evaluating the $G$-invariant $\alpha$-invariant of $V_u$. More precisely, they showed the following:

**Theorem 1.1** ([CS18 Theorem 1.5]). We have

$$\alpha_G(V_u) = \begin{cases} 4/5 & \text{if } u \neq 3/4 \text{ and } u \neq 2, \\
3/4 & \text{if } u = 3/4, \\
2/3 & \text{if } u = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, together with Tian’s result, if $u \neq 3/4$ and $u \neq 2$, then $V_u$ admits Kähler-Einstein metrics. However, if $u = 3/4$ or $u = 2$, then the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of $V_u$ was not known at that time. The purpose of this paper is to show the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics for $V_{3/4}$ and $V_2$. The main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** Both $V_{3/4}$ and $V_2$ admit Kähler-Einstein metrics.

Thus, together with Matsushima’s obstruction [Mat57], we gave a complete answer for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of nonsingular Fano threefolds $X$ with $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[-K_X]$ and $\text{Aut}(X)$ infinite; such $X$ admits Kähler-Einstein metrics if and only if $X \not\cong V^a$.

The main technique to prove Theorem 1.2 is the “$G$-valuative criterion” (see §2 [ACCPKMGSSV] and [Zhu20]), which is a $G$-equivariant version of [Li17 Theorem 3.7] and [Fjt19a Theorem 1.6]. Moreover,
we need deep analyses [CS18] of $G$-invariant curves on $V_u$ which might be possible destabilizing centers of $G$-invariant prime divisors over $V_u$. Technically, the theory of quasi-log schemes [Fjn17] and the careful analysis of the volume functions (see §3) play important roles in order to show Theorem 1.2. Especially, we use a subadjunction-type result for projective qlc strata on quasi-log schemes [Fjn21] (see Theorem 4.1). We expect that these techniques will be applied for many other Fano varieties (cf. [ACCFKMGSSV]).
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2. Log Fano pairs

In this section, we recall the definition of $\alpha$-invariant for log Fano pairs and see the relationship between $\alpha$-invariant and the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics. For the minimal model program, we refer the readers to [KM98].

**Definition 2.1.** A log Fano pair $(X, \Delta)$ is a pair of a complex normal projective variety $X$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor $\Delta$ on $X$ such that the pair $(X, \Delta)$ is klt and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. If $X$ is nonsingular and $\Delta = 0$, then $X$ is said to be a Fano manifold.

**Definition 2.2** ($\alpha$-invariant (see [Dem08] for example)). Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a log Fano pair and let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X, \Delta)$ be an algebraic subgroup.

1. The $\Gamma$-invariant $\alpha$-invariant $\alpha_\Gamma(X, \Delta)$ of $(X, \Delta)$ is defined as the supremum of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that the pair $(X, \Delta + \frac{\alpha}{m}D)$ is lc for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $-m(K_X + \Delta)$ Cartier and for any nonempty $\Gamma$-invariant sub-linear system $D \subset | -m(K_X + \Delta)|$.

2. For any scheme-theoretic point $\eta \in X$, we define $\alpha_{\Gamma, \eta}(X, \Delta)$ as the supremum of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that the pair $(X, \Delta + \frac{\alpha}{m}D)$ is lc at $\eta$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $-m(K_X + \Delta)$ Cartier and for any nonempty $\Gamma$-invariant sub-linear system $D \subset | -m(K_X + \Delta)|$.

3. If $\Delta = 0$, then we simply write $\alpha_\Gamma(X)$ and $\alpha_{\Gamma, \eta}(X)$ in place of $\alpha_\Gamma(X, 0)$ and $\alpha_{\Gamma, \eta}(X, 0)$, respectively.
Lemma 2.3. Let \((X, \Delta)\) be a log Fano pair, \(\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(X, \Delta)\) be an algebraic subgroup, and \(\eta \in X\) be a scheme-theoretic point. Assume that the identity component \(\Gamma_0\) of \(\Gamma\) is solvable.

1. The value \(\alpha_\Gamma(X, \Delta)\) is equal to the supremum of \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\) such that the pair \((X, \Delta + \alpha D)\) is lc for any effective \(\Gamma\)-invariant \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)\).

2. The value \(\alpha_{\Gamma, \eta}(X, \Delta)\) is equal to the supremum of \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\) such that the pair \((X, \Delta + \alpha D)\) is lc at \(\eta\) for any effective \(\Gamma\)-invariant \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)\).

Proof. We only prove (2). By [BS64, Lemme 5.11], there is a finite algebraic subgroup \(\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma\) such that \(\Gamma_1\) meets every connected component of \(\Gamma\). Set \(d := \#\Gamma_1\). Fix \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\).

Assume that \((X, \Delta + \frac{\alpha}{m} D)\) is lc at \(\eta\) for any \(m\) and \(D \subset |-m(K_X + \Delta)|\). Then, for any effective \(\Gamma\)-invariant \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)\), since \(\{m D\} \subset |-m(K_X + \Delta)|\) is a \(\Gamma\)-invariant sub-linear system for \(m\) sufficiently divisible, we know that the pair \((X, \Delta + \frac{\alpha}{m}(m D))\) is lc at \(\eta\), i.e., the pair \((X, \Delta + \alpha D)\) is lc at \(\eta\).

Conversely, assume that \((X, \Delta + \alpha D)\) is lc at \(\eta\) for any effective \(\Gamma\)-invariant \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)\). Take any \(\Gamma\)-invariant sub-linear system \(D \subset |-m(K_X + \Delta)|\). Since \(\Gamma_0\) is connected and solvable, there exists a \(\Gamma_0\)-invariant divisor \(D_0 \in D\) by the Borel fixed point theorem [Hum75, §21.2]. Let us set

\[
\tilde{D} := \sum_{h \in \Gamma_1} h(D_0) \in dD.
\]

Since \(h(D_0) \in D\) is \(\Gamma_0\)-invariant for any \(h \in \Gamma_1\), the divisor \(\tilde{D} \in dD\) is \(\Gamma\)-invariant. Set \(D := \frac{1}{\alpha m} \tilde{D} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)\). Since \((X, \Delta + \alpha D)\) is lc at \(\eta\), the pair \((X, \Delta + \frac{\alpha}{m}(dD))\) is also lc at \(\eta\). This is equivalent to the pair \((X, \Delta + \frac{\alpha}{m} D)\) being lc at \(\eta\). \(\square\)

We recall the words on K-stability of log Fano pairs. The original notion of K-stability was introduced by Tian [Tia97] and Donaldson [Don02] by using the languages of test configurations. In this paper, we only treat its simplification due to Li [Li17] and the author [Fjt19a].

Definition 2.4. Let \((X, \Delta)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional log Fano pair and let \(F\) be a prime divisor over \(X\) obtained by a log resolution \(\pi : \tilde{X} \to X\) of \((X, \Delta)\) (that is, \(F\) is a prime divisor on \(\tilde{X}\)).

1. Let \(A_{X, \Delta}(F)\) be the log discrepancy of \((X, \Delta)\) along \(F\), that is, 1 plus the coefficient of \(K_{\tilde{X}} - \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)\) along \(F\).

2. For any effective \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(D\), let \(\text{ord}_F D \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\) be the coefficient of \(\pi^* D\) along \(F\). For any \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) with \(-m(K_X + \Delta)\).
\( \Delta \) Cartier and for any \( j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), let
\[
H^0(X, -m(K_X + \Delta) - jF) \subset H^0(X, -m(K_X + \Delta))
\]
be the sub-vector space corresponds to the sub-linear system
\[
| - m(K_X + \Delta) - jF | \subset | - m(K_X + \Delta) |
\]
consisting all \( D \in | - m(K_X + \Delta) | \) with \( \text{ord}_F D \geq j \).

(3) For any \( x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), let us set
\[
\text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) := \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\dim H^0(X, -m(K_X + \Delta) - mxF)}{m^n/n!},
\]
where \( m \) runs through all positive integers with \(-m(K_X + \Delta)\) Cartier (the limit exists by [Laz04a, Laz04b]). Obviously, \( \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - 0 \cdot F) = (-(K_X + \Delta))^n \) holds. It follows from the definition that
\[
\text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) = \text{vol}_X(-\pi^*(K_X + \Delta) - xF).
\]
In particular, by [Laz04a, Laz04b], the function \( \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) \) is a non-increasing and continuous function over \( x \in [0, \infty) \). Moreover, if \( x \gg 0 \), then \( \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) = 0 \) holds. Let us set
\[
\tau_{X,\Delta}(F) := \sup\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - \tau F) > 0 \}.
\]

(4) Let us set
\[
S_{X,\Delta}(F) := \frac{1}{(-(K_X + \Delta))^n} \int_0^{\infty} \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) dx.
\]
The above definitions do not depend on the choice of \( \pi \). We often write \( A(F), \tau(F), S(F) \) in place of \( A_{X,\Delta}(F), \tau_{X,\Delta}(F), S_{X,\Delta}(F) \), just for simplicity.

The following lemma is well-known:

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \((X, \Delta)\) be a log Fano pair, let \( \Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(X, \Delta) \) be an algebraic subgroup, and let \( \eta \in X \) be a scheme-theoretic point. For any \( \Gamma \)-invariant prime divisor \( F \) over \( X \) with \( \eta \in c_X(F) \), we have
\[
\frac{A(F)}{\tau(F)} \geq \alpha_{\Gamma,\eta}(X, \Delta),
\]
where \( c_X(F) \) is the center of \( F \) on \( X \).

**Proof.** Take any \( \tau = \frac{1}{m} \in (0, \tau(F)) \cap \mathbb{Q} \). Then the sub-linear system
\[
| - m(K_X + \Delta) - jF | \subset | - m(K_X + \Delta) |
\]
is nonempty, $\Gamma$-invariant, and vanishes along $F$ at least $j$ times. Thus we have

$$\alpha_{\Gamma, \eta}(X, \Delta) \leq \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(F)}{\ord_F \left( \frac{1}{m} - m(K_X + \Delta) - jF \right)} \leq \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(F)}{\tau},$$

where $\lct_\eta$ is the log canonical threshold at $\eta$. \hfill $\Box$

We see a $G$-invariant version of \cite{Li17, Fjt19a} and \cite{Fjt19c}. See also \cite{Zhu20} for more general frameworks.

**Proposition 2.6** (see \cite{ACCFKMGSSV}). Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold and let $\Gamma \subset \Aut(X)$ be a reductive subgroup.

1. (see also \cite[Corollary 4.13]{Zhu20}) Assume that $A(F) > S(F)$ holds for any $\Gamma$-invariant prime divisor over $X$ with the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra

$$\bigoplus_{m,j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X, -mK_X - jF)$$

finitely generated. Then $X$ admits Kähler-Einstein metrics.

2. (see also \cite{Tia87} and \cite[Theorem 1.3]{Fjt19c}) If $\alpha_{\Gamma}(X) \geq \frac{n}{n+1}$, then $X$ admits Kähler-Einstein metrics.

For the complete proof, see \cite{ACCFKMGSSV}. We only give a sketch of the idea. For (1), for any $\Gamma$-equivariant special degeneration of $X$ in the sense of \cite{DS16}, the corresponding prime divisor $F$ over $X$ in \cite[Theorem 5.1]{Fjt19a} obviously satisfies the assumptions in (1). By \cite[Theorem 5.1]{Fjt19a}, the signatures of the Donaldson-Futaki invariant of the special degeneration and $A(F) - S(F)$ are same. Thus we can apply \cite[Theorem 1]{DS16}. For (2), we may assume that there exists a $\Gamma$-invariant prime divisor $F$ over $X$ with $A(F) \leq S(F)$ such that the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra in (1) is finitely generated. By Lemma \cite[2.3]{Li17} and \cite[Theorem 4.1]{Fjt19c}, $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n$ and then we complete the proof.

### 3. On the volume functions

In this section, we generalize \cite[Proposition 2.1]{Fjt19b} in order to show that $V_2$ admits Kähler-Einstein metrics.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be an $n$-dimensional log Fano pair, let $F$ be a prime divisor over $X$, and let $0 < a < b$ be positive real numbers. Assume that

$$\text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) = \left( \frac{b - x}{b - a} \right)^n \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - aF)$$
for any $x \in [a, b]$. Then we have

$$S(F) \leq \frac{(n - 1)a + b}{n + 1}.$$ 

Proof. The proof looks similar to the argument in the proof of [SZ19 Theorem 1.2]. From the assumption, we have $\tau(F) = b$. Set $V := (- (K_X + \Delta))^n$. By [BFJ09 Theorem A], the function $\text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF)$ is $C^1$ over $x \in [0, b]$. Let us set

$$f(x) := - \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF)$$

as in [Fjt19b Proof of Proposition 2.1]. (We note that $f(x)$ is a restricted volume function in the sense of [ELMNP09].) Then, for any $x \in [a, b]$, we have

$$f(x) = \left( \frac{b - x}{b - a} \right)^{n-1} f(a).$$

As in [Fjt19b Proof of Proposition 2.1], we have

$$V = n \int_0^b f(x)dx,$$

$$S(F) = \frac{1}{V} \cdot n \int_0^b xf(x)dx,$$

$$f(x) \geq \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)^{n-1} f(a) \text{ for any } x \in [0, a].$$

In particular, we get

$$V \geq n \left( \int_0^a \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)^{n-1} f(a)dx + \int_a^b \left( \frac{b - x}{b - a} \right)^{n-1} f(a)dx \right) = b \cdot f(a).$$

Set

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{V}{b} & \text{for } x \in [0, a], \\ \left( \frac{b - x}{b - a} \right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{V}{b} & \text{for } x \in [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$V = n \int_0^b g(x)dx,$$

$$g(x) \geq f(x) \text{ for any } x \in [a, b].$$

Moreover, the function

$$f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - g(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = f(x)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - \frac{x}{a} \cdot \left( \frac{V}{b} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$
is $C^0$ and concave over $x \in [0, a]$ (by [ELMNP09, Theorem A]). Moreover, we have $f(0)^{\frac{1}{a-t}} - g(0)^{\frac{1}{a-t}} \geq 0$ and $f(a)^{\frac{1}{a-t}} - g(a)^{\frac{1}{a-t}} \leq 0$. Thus there exists $c \in [0, a]$ such that

\[ f(x) \geq g(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in [0, c], \]
\[ g(x) \geq f(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in [c, a]. \]

Thus we get

\[ n \int_0^b x f(x) dx - c V = n \int_0^b (x - c) f(x) dx \]
\[ \leq n \int_0^b (x - c) g(x) dx = n \int_0^b x g(x) dx - c V. \]

Since

\[ n \int_0^b x g(x) dx = \frac{(n-1)a + b}{n+1} V, \]

we get the assertion.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be an $n$-dimensional log Fano pair, let $\eta \in X$ be a scheme-theoretic point, and let $0 < t \leq s$ be positive real numbers. Assume that there exists a prime divisor $T$ on $X$ with $T \sim Q - k(K_X + \Delta)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that

- the pair $(X, \Delta + \frac{t}{k} T)$ is lc at $\eta$, and
- for any effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D' \sim Q -(K_X + \Delta)$ with $T \not\subset \text{Supp } D'$, the pair $(X, \Delta + s D')$ is lc at $\eta$.

Then, for any prime divisor $F$ over $X$ with $\eta \in c_X(F)$, we have the following:

1. If $s^{-1} A(F) \leq \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T$, then we have

\[ \text{vol}(- (K_X + \Delta) - x F) = \left( \frac{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - x}{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - s^{-1} A(F)} \right)^n \text{vol}(- (K_X + \Delta) - s^{-1} A(F) F) \]

for any $x \in [s^{-1} A(F), \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T]$.

2. We have

\[ S(F) \leq \frac{A(F)}{n+1} ((n-1)s^{-1} + t^{-1}). \]

**Proof.** Let us fix a log resolution $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of $(X, \Delta)$ with $F \subset \tilde{X}$. Take any effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim Q -(K_X + \Delta)$. Then there uniquely exists $e \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that we can write $D = \frac{e}{k} T + (1-e) D'$ with $D' \sim Q -(K_X + \Delta)$ effective and $T \not\subset \text{Supp } D'$. Since $(X, \Delta + s D')$ is lc at $\eta$, we have $\text{ord}_F D' \leq s^{-1} A(F)$. Assume that $x \in (s^{-1} A(F), \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T) \cap \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T \cap \tilde{X}$.
\( \mathbb{Q} \) satisfies that \( \text{ord}_F D \geq x \). (In other words, \( mD \in | - m(K_X + \Delta) - mxF| \) holds for a sufficiently divisible \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Then we have

\[
e \geq \frac{x - s^{-1}A(F)}{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - s^{-1}A(F)},
\]

since

\[
x \leq \text{ord}_F D \leq e \cdot \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T + (1 - e)s^{-1}A(F).
\]

This implies that the linear system \( | - m(K_X + \Delta) - mxF| \) has a fixed divisor

\[
m \cdot \frac{x - s^{-1}A(F)}{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - s^{-1}A(F)} \cdot \frac{1}{k} T
\]

for \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) sufficiently divisible. Thus we get

\[
\text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - xF) = \text{vol}_X \left(-\pi^*(K_X + \Delta) - xF - \frac{x - s^{-1}A(F)}{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - s^{-1}A(F)} \cdot \frac{1}{k} (\pi^*T - (\text{ord}_F T)F) \right)
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - x}{\frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T - s^{-1}A(F)}\right)^n \text{vol}(-(K_X + \Delta) - s^{-1}A(F)F).
\]

(2) Since the pair \((X, \Delta + \frac{1}{k}T)\) is lc at \( \eta \), we have \( \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T \leq t^{-1}A(F) \). If \( \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T \leq s^{-1}A(F) \), then we have \( \text{ord}_F D \leq s^{-1}A(F) \) for any effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta) \). Thus we get the inequality \( s \leq \frac{A(F)}{\tau(F)} \).

By [Fjt19b], Proposition 2.1, we get

\[
S(F) \leq \frac{n}{n + 1} \tau(F) \leq \frac{n}{n + 1} s^{-1}A(F)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{A(F)}{n + 1} ((n - 1)s^{-1} + t^{-1})
\]

Thus we may assume that \( \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T > s^{-1}A(F) \). In this case, we can apply (1). We have

\[
S(F) \leq \frac{1}{n + 1} \left((n - 1)s^{-1}A(F) + \frac{1}{k} \text{ord}_F T \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{A(F)}{n + 1} ((n - 1)s^{-1} + t^{-1})
\]

by Proposition 3.1. \( \square \)
4. On quasi-log schemes

The theory of quasi-log schemes plays an important role for the study of birational geometry. In this section, we see a kind of subadjunction theorem for projective qlc strata on quasi-log schemes, which is a direct consequence of the recent work [Fjn21]. For the theory of quasi-log schemes, we refer the readers to [Fjn17].

An $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor $D$ on a normal projective variety is said to be pseudo-effective in this paper if $D + A$ is big (i.e., there exists an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor $E$ such that $D + A - E$ is an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor) for any ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $A$.

**Theorem 4.1** (see [Fjn21] Lemma 4.17, Theorems 1.9 and 7.1). Let $[X, \omega]$ be a quasi-log scheme, let $C \subset X$ be a qlc stratum of $[X, \omega]$, and let $\nu: C \to \bar{C}$ be the normalization of $C$. Assume that $C$ is a projective variety. Then $\nu^*(\omega|_C) - K_{\bar{C}}$ is a pseudo-effective $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor on $\bar{C}$.

**Proof.** By [Fjn21] Lemma 4.19, we may assume that $X = C$. Moreover, by [Fjn21] Theorem 1.9, we may further assume that $X = C$ is normal. By [Fjn21] Theorem 7.1, there exists a projective birational morphism $p: X' \to X$ from a smooth projective variety $X'$ such that we can write

$$K_{X'} + B_{X'} + M_{X'} = p^*\omega,$$

where $B_{X'}$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor on $X'$ with $B_{X'}^{\leq 0}$ $p$-exceptional, and $M_{X'}$ is a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X'$. This immediately implies that

$$\omega - K_X = p_*(B_{X'} + M_{X'})$$

is a pseudo-effective $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor on $X$. \hfill $\square$

As a corollary, we get the following result, which is important for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a log Fano pair, let $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, and let $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Assume that the pair $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ is not klt. Let $\text{Nklt}(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ be the locus of non-klt points of $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$.

1. The locus $\text{Nklt}(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ is connected.
2. Take any 1-dimensional irreducible component $B \subset \text{Nklt}(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ with its reduced scheme structure. Then $B$ is a rational curve with

$$(-(K_X + \Delta) \cdot B) \leq \frac{2}{1 - \alpha}.$$
Moreover, if any irreducible component of $\text{Nklt}(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ is of dimension $\leq 1$, then $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and the restriction homomorphism

$$H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(B, L|_B)$$

is surjective for any nef line bundle $L$ on $X$.

**Proof.** By [Fjn17, 6.4.1], the pair $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ admits a quasi-log structure $[X, \omega]$ with $\omega = K_X + \Delta + \alpha D$, and $N := \text{Nklt}(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ has a natural scheme structure with

$$N = \bigcup C \cup X_{-\infty},$$

where $C$ are the lc centers of $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ and $X_{-\infty}$ is the non-qlc locus of $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$.

For any nef line bundle $L$ on $X$, since $L - (K_X + \Delta + \alpha D) \sim \mathbb{Q} L + (1 - \alpha)(-K_X - \Delta)$ is ample, we have

$$H^i(X, L \otimes I_N) = 0$$

for any $i > 0$ by [Fjn17] Theorem 6.3.5 (ii)], where $I_N \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the defining ideal sheaf of $N \subset X$.

(1) For $L := \mathcal{O}_X$, we get the surjection

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(N, \mathcal{O}_N).$$

Thus $N$ is connected.

(2) After replacing $\alpha$ with the log canonical threshold of $(X, \Delta; D)$ at the generic point of $B$, we may assume that $B$ is an lc center of $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$. Take the normalization $\nu: \bar{B} \rightarrow B$. By Theorem 4.1, the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$\nu^* ((K_X + \Delta + \alpha D)|_B) - K_{\bar{B}}$$

is pseudo-effective. This implies that $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and

$$0 \leq \text{deg}_{\bar{B}} (\nu^* ((K_X + \Delta + \alpha D)|_B) - K_{\bar{B}}) = -(1 - \alpha)(-(K_X + \Delta) \cdot B) + 2.$$

Now we assume that $\dim N \leq 1$. Since $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, I_N) = 0$, we get $H^1(N, \mathcal{O}_N) = 0$. From the assumption $\dim N \leq 1$, we get $H^1(B, \mathcal{O}_B) = 0$, i.e., $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover, by [KM98] Lemma 4.13 and the proof of Lemma 4.50], the restriction homomorphism

$$H^0(N, L|_N) \rightarrow H^0(B, L|_B)$$

is surjective for any nef line bundle $L$ on $X$. Since $H^1(X, L \otimes I_N) = 0$, $H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(B, L|_B)$ is also surjective. Thus we get the assertions. □
5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.6 (2), we may assume that $u = 2$. Set $X := V_2$. Take any $G$-invariant prime divisor $F$ over $X$. (Recall that $G := \mathbb{C}^* \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.) By Proposition 2.6 (1), it is enough to show the inequality $A(F) > S(F)$. Set $C := c_X(F)$ and let $\eta \in X$ be the generic point of $C$. By Lemma 2.3 and [Fjt19b, Proposition 2.1], we may assume that $\alpha_{G,\eta}(X) \leq \frac{3}{4}$. Note that $C$ is a $G$-invariant subvariety on $X$. Thus $C$ is not a closed point by [CS18, Lemma 2.23]. Moreover, if $F$ is a prime divisor on $X$, then $A(F) > S(F)$ holds by [Fjt16, Corollary 9.3]. Thus we may assume that $C$ is a $G$-invariant curve. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a $G$-invariant effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim \mathbb{Q} - K_X$ such that the pair $(X, \alpha D)$ is lc but not klt at $\eta$. We note that the non-klt locus of the pair $(X, \alpha D)$ is of dimension $\leq 1$ since $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[-K_X]$ and $\alpha < 1$.

By Corollary 4.2, $C$ is a smooth rational curve with

$$(-K_X \cdot C) \leq \frac{2}{1-\alpha} < 10.$$ 

Moreover, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the restriction homomorphism

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X)|_C)$$

is surjective. Thus $C \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^{13} = \mathbb{P}^*H^0(X, -K_X)$ is a $G$-invariant rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}^{13}$ with $\deg C < 10$.

By [CS18, Proposition 4.12, Lemma 7.7 and Corollary 7.10] and the assumption $\alpha_{G,\eta}(X) \leq \frac{3}{4}$, we may assume that $C = C_4$, where $C_4 \subset X$ is the unique $G$-invariant rational normal curve of anti-canonical degree 4 in $X$ (see [CS18] for the definition of the curve $C_4$). By [CS18, Lemma 5.2 and the proof of Lemma 7.14], there exists a prime divisor $T'_{15} \sim -K_X$ on $X$ such that

- the pair $(X, \frac{2}{3}T'_{15})$ is lc at $\eta$, and
- the pair $(X, D')$ is lc at $\eta$ for any effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D' \sim \mathbb{Q} - K_X$ with $T'_{15} \not\subset \text{Supp } D'$.

By Proposition 3.2 (2), we get

$$S(F) \leq \frac{A(F)}{4} \left(2 \cdot 1^{-1} + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-1}\right) = \frac{7}{8} A(F).$$

Thus we get the desired inequality $A(F) > S(F)$ and we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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