ON K-STABILITY FOR FANO THREEFOLDS OF RANK 3 AND DEGREE 28

KENTO FUJITA

Abstract. We show that there exists a K-stable smooth Fano threefold of the Picard rank 3, the anti-canonical degree 28 and the third Betti number 2.
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1. Introduction

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold, i.e., $X$ is a smooth projective variety over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ with $-K_X$ ample. It is a classical problem whether $X$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric or not. It has been known that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric equivalent to K-polystability of $X$ (see [Don02, Tia97, Ber16, CDS15a, CDS15b, CDS15c, Tia15] and references therein). The condition of K-polystability is purely algebraic. However, in general, it is difficult to determine K-polystability of Fano manifolds. If $n \leq 2$, then we already know the complete answer (see [Tia87, OSS16]). However, for $n = 3$, we had only few answers.

Recently, the authors in [ACCFKMGSSV] started to understand the case $n = 3$. It has been known that smooth Fano threefolds are classified by [Isk77, Isk78, MM81] and each family is parametrized by an irreducible variety (see [MM84, Muk89, KPS18] and references therein). The authors in [ACCFKMGSSV] considered the problem whether there exists a K-polystable member or not in each family. The problem is crucial from the moduli-theoretic viewpoint (see [OSS16, Hypothesis 1.2] for example). The main techniques in [ACCFKMGSSV] are, the evaluation of $\alpha$-invariants (see [Tia87]) and $\delta$-invariants (see [FO18, BJ20]), etc. Especially, in order to evaluate local $\delta$-invariants, the theory of Ahmadinezhad–Zhuang [AZ20] is crucial. In fact, in the article [ACCFKMGSSV], the authors interpreted the result [AZ20, Corollary 2.22] in terms of intersection numbers when $X$ is a 3-dimensional Mori dream space (see [HK00]) and $W$ is the refinement of the complete...
linear series (see §3) by prime Cartier divisors \( Y \) on \( X \), or the refinement of the \( W \) by Cartier divisors on \( Y \). The authors in [ACCFKMGSSV] completely determined the above problem excepts for one family (denoted by “No. 3.11”) by using the above Ahmadinezhad–Zhuang’s formula and so on. The family No. 3.11, corresponds to No. 11 of Table 3 in [MM81], is characterized by the blowups of \( V = \mathbb{P}^{2} (O \oplus O(1)) \) with the centers smooth complete intersections of two members in \( |-\frac{1}{2}K_{V}| \) (see §3). In order to consider the members in No. 3.11, we need a slight generalization of the formula in [ACCFKMGSSV] (see §4), and very careful analysis of the local \( \delta \)-invariants. The main result of the paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 10.1 in detail). There exists a K-polystable member in No. 11 of Table 3 in Mori–Mukai’s table [MM81].

Note that, K-stability of \( X \) is equivalent to K-polystability of \( X \) and the condition \( \text{Aut}^{0}(X) = \{1\} \). It is known in [PCS19] that any member \( X \) in No. 3.11 satisfies that \( \text{Aut}^{0}(X) = \{1\} \). Therefore, Theorem 1.1 especially asserts the existence of K-stable member in No. 3.11. In particular, by [Don15, Odk13, BL18], general members in No. 3.11 are K-stable. Hence, together with the result in [ACCFKMGSSV], we complete the main problem in [ACCFKMGSSV].

We organize the structure of the paper. In §2, we recall the definition for K-stability of Fano manifolds. Especially, we consider an equivariant version of a valuative criterion for K-stability of Fano varieties, established in [Zhu20]. In §3–§4, we review Ahmadinezhad–Zhuang’s theory and give a slight generalization of the formulas given in [ACCFKMGSSV]. In §5, we see the structures of the members in No. 3.11. Especially, we see the important examples provided by Cheltsov and Shramov. In §6–§9, we evaluate local \( \delta \)-invariants for various points by using the formulas in §4. The sections, especially §9, are the hardest parts in the paper. In §10, we show that the Fano threefold in Example 5.3 (B) is K-stable by using the evaluations in §6–§9 and by applying the standard techniques established in [Fjt21, ACCFKMGSSV]. In §11, we see several basic properties of local \( \delta \)-invariants, as an appendix of §8.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Carolina Araujo, Ana-Maria Castravet, Ivan Cheltsov, Anne-Sophie Kaloghiros, Jesus Martinez-Garcia, Constantin Shramov, Hendrick Süss and Nivedita Viswanathan for many discussions. In fact, many parts the paper was originally a part of the project [ACCFKMGSSV]. Especially, Ivan Cheltsov and Constantin Shramov provided the author Example 5.3. The examples are crucial for the paper. The work started while the author enjoyed the AIM workshop “K-stability and related topics” on January 2020. The author thanks the staffs of AIM for the stimulating environment. The author thanks Hamid Ahmadinezhad and Ziquan Zhuang for answering questions about the paper [AZ20]. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K13388.

We work over the complex number field \( \mathbb{C} \). For the minimal model program, we refer the readers to [KM98]. For the theory of graded linear series, we refer the readers to [AZ20].

### 2. K-Stability of Log Fano Pairs

The notion of K-stability was originally introduced by [Tia97, Don02]. In this paper, we only see its interpretations [Li17, Fjt19a, BX19]. See [Xu20] for backgrounds.

**Definition 2.1.** A pair \((X, \Delta)\) is said to be a log Fano pair if \((X, \Delta)\) is a projective klt pair with \( \Delta \) effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Weil divisor and \(-(K_{X} + \Delta)\) ample.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( X \) be an \( n \)-dimensional projective variety, let \( L \) be an \( \mathbb{R} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{R} \)-divisor on \( X \), and let \( E \) be a prime divisor over the normalization of \( X \), i.e., there exists a resolution \( \sigma: \tilde{X} \to X \) of singularities such that \( E \) is a prime divisor on \( \tilde{X} \).
We set
\[ \text{vol}_X(L - uF) := \text{vol}_{\tilde{X}}(\sigma^*L - uE) \]
for any \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), where \( \text{vol}_{\tilde{X}} \) is the volume function (see Laz04a, Laz04b). The function is continuous over \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), and identically equal to zero when \( u \gg 0 \). We set
\[ \tau_L(E) := \sup \{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \text{vol}_X(L - \tau E) > 0 \} \]
The definitions do not depend on the choice of \( \sigma \). (In fact, when \( X \) is normal, for any line bundle \( M \) on \( X \), the sub-vector space \( H^0(X, M - uE) := H^0(\tilde{X}, \sigma^*M - uE) \subset H^0(X, M) \) of \( H^0(X, M) \) is not depend on the choice of \( \sigma \) for any \( u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). See also Laz04a, Proposition 2.2.43.) If \((X, \Delta)\) is a log Fano pair, then we set
\[ \tau_{X, \Delta}(E) := \tau_{-(K_X + \Delta)}(E). \]
If moreover \( \Delta = 0 \), we simply denote \( \tau_{X, \Delta}(E) \) by \( \tau_X(E) \).

If \( L \) is big, then we set
\[ S_L(E) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}_X(L)} \int_0^{\tau_L(E)} \text{vol}_X(L - uE)du. \]
If \((X, \Delta)\) is a log Fano pair, then we set
\[ S_{X, \Delta}(E) := S_{-(K_X + \Delta)}(E). \]
If moreover \( \Delta = 0 \), we simply denote \( S_{X, \Delta}(E) \) by \( S_X(E) \). We remark that if \( L \) is a nef and big \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor, then we have
\[ \frac{1}{n + 1} \tau_L(E) \leq S_L(E) \leq \frac{n}{n + 1} \tau_L(E) \]
by Fjt19 Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 and BJ20 Proposition 3.11]. See Corollary 3.14 in detail.

Let \( \Delta \) be an \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Weil divisor on \( X \), that is, \( \Delta \) is a finite \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear sum of subvarieties of codimension one. Assume that, at the generic point \( \eta \) of \( c_X(E) \), the variety \( X \) is normal and \( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier, where \( c_X(E) \) is the center of \( E \) on \( X \). Let \( A_{X, \Delta}(E) \) be the log discrepancy of \((X, \Delta)\) along \( E \), that is, around a neighborhood of \( \eta \), we can take the pullback \( \sigma^*(K_X + \Delta) \) and define
\[ A_{X, \Delta}(E) := \text{ord}_E(K_X - \sigma^*(K_X + \Delta)) + 1. \]
If \( \Delta = 0 \), then we simply denote it by \( A_X(E) \).

Assume that \((X, \Delta)\) is a log Fano pair. Take an \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) with \(-m(K_X + \Delta) \) Cartier. If the graded \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra
\[ \bigoplus_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X, -mj(K_X + \Delta) - kE) \]
is finitely generated over \( \mathbb{C} \), then the divisor \( E \) is said to be a dreamy prime divisor over \((X, \Delta)\).

The following is an interpretation of the classical definition of K-stability [Tia97, Don02].

**Definition 2.3** (Li17, Fjt19a). Let \((X, \Delta)\) be a log Fano pair. The pair \((X, \Delta)\) is said to be \( K \)-stable if
\[ \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{S_{X, \Delta}(E)} > 1 \]
for any dreamy prime divisor \( E \) over \((X, \Delta)\).

**Remark 2.4.** (1) We can remove “dreamy” in Definition 2.3. See BX19, Corollary 4.2.
(2) As we have seen in §1, $K$-polystability of log Fano pairs $(X, \Delta)$ is important. However, we do not define it in this paper since the definition is rather complicated. See [Tia97, Don02, LX14] for the original definition and see also [LWX18, Theorem 1.3], [BFjt17, Theorem 3.11]. We remark that, $K$-stability of $(X, \Delta)$ is equivalent to $K$-polystability of $(X, \Delta)$ and the condition $\text{Aut}^0(X, \Delta) = \{1\}$ (see [BX19, Corollary 1.3] for example).

Although we do not give the definition of $K$-polystability, we give an important sufficient condition for log Fano pairs $(X, \Delta)$ being $K$-polystable.

**Theorem 2.5** ([Zhu20, Corollary 4.14]). Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a log Fano pair and let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$ be a reductive sub-algebraic group. If

$$\frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{S_{X, \Delta}(E)} > 1$$

for any $G$-invariant dreamy prime divisor $E$ over $(X, \Delta)$, then $(X, \Delta)$ is $K$-polystable.

**Remark 2.6.** If $X$ is a Fano manifold, then Theorem 2.5 is a consequence of [Li17, Fjt19a] and [DS16]. See the proof of [Zhu20, Corollary 4.14].

We recall the notion of $\delta$-invariants introduced in [FO18] and systematically developed in [BJ20]. We remark that $\delta$-invariants are sometimes called by stability thresholds.

**Definition 2.7** ([FO18, BJ20, Zhu20]). Let $X$ be a projective variety and let $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor on $X$.

1. Take a big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $L$ on $X$ and a scheme-theoretic point $\eta \in X$. If $(X, \Delta)$ is klt at $\eta$ (in particular, $X$ is normal at $\eta$), then we set

$$\delta_\eta(X, \Delta; L) := \inf_{E \text{ prime divisor over } X; \eta \in c \chi(E)} \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{S_{X, \Delta}(E)}.$$

When $(X, \Delta)$ is a log Fano pair, we set

$$\delta_\eta(X, \Delta) := \delta_\eta(X, \Delta; -(K_X + \Delta)),$$

and call it the local $\delta$-invariant of $(X, \Delta)$ at $\eta \in X$.

2. Assume that $(X, \Delta)$ is a klt pair. For any big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $L$ on $X$, we set

$$\delta(X, \Delta; L) := \inf_{\eta \in X} \delta_\eta(X, \Delta; L).$$

When $(X, \Delta)$ is a log Fano pair, we set

$$\delta(X, \Delta) := \delta(X, \Delta; -(K_X + \Delta)),$$

and call it the $\delta$-invariant of $(X, \Delta)$.

Clearly, if $\delta(X, \Delta) > 1$, then $(X, \Delta)$ is K-stable. Moreover, it has been known by [Fjt19a, FO18, BJ20] that the condition $\delta(X, \Delta) > 1$ is equivalent to the condition $(X, \Delta)$ is uniformly $K$-stable. In this paper, we do not discuss uniform $K$-stability. Recently, it has been shown in [LXZ21] that uniform K-stability of $(X, \Delta)$ is equivalent to K-stability of $(X, \Delta)$.

We end with this section by recalling the notion of equivariant local $\alpha$-invariants of log Fano pairs. For detail, see [Fjt21] for example.

**Definition 2.8.** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a log Fano pair and let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X, \Delta)$ be a finite sub-algebraic group.

1. For any scheme-theoretic point $\eta \in X$, let $\alpha_{G, \eta}(X, \Delta)$ be the supremum of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ is lc at $\eta$ for any $G$-invariant and effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + \Delta)$. If $\Delta = 0$, then we simply denote it by $\alpha_{G, \eta}(X)$. 

(2) Let $\alpha_G(X, \Delta)$ be the supremum of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $(X, \Delta + \alpha D)$ is lc for any $G$-invariant and effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim_q -(K_X + \Delta)$. We call it the $G$-invariant $\alpha$-invariant of $(X, \Delta)$. If $G = \{1\}$, we denote it by $\alpha(X, \Delta)$.

We recall several important properties of $\alpha$-invariants.

**Proposition 2.9.**  
(1) [BJ20, Theorem A] For any $n$-dimensional log Fano pair $(X, \Delta)$, we have  
\[ \frac{1}{n+1} \delta(X, \Delta) \leq \alpha(X, \Delta) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \delta(X, \Delta). \]

More generally, for any scheme-theoretic point $\eta \in X$, we have  
\[ \frac{1}{n+1} \delta_\eta(X, \Delta) \leq \alpha_\eta(X, \Delta) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \delta_\eta(X, \Delta). \]

(2) [ACCMKMGSSV] Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold with $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$, let $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ be a finite sub-algebraic group, and let $\eta \in X$ be a scheme-theoretic point. If  
\[ \alpha_{G, \eta}(X) \geq \frac{n}{n+1}, \]

then we have  
\[ \frac{A_X(E)}{S_X(E)} > 1 \]

for any $G$-invariant dreamy prime divisor $E$ over $X$ with $\eta \in c_X(E)$.

**Proof.** We give the proof of (2) for the readers’ convenience. By [Fjt21, Lemma 2.5] and the property  
\[ S_X(E) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \tau_X(E), \]

we have  
\[ \frac{A_X(E)}{S_X(E)} \geq \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \alpha_{G, \eta}(X) \geq 1. \]

If $A_X(E) = S_X(E)$, then $X$ and $E$ satisfy the conditions of [Fjt19c, Theorem 4.1]. Thus $X$ must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n$. This leads to a contradiction. \qed

### 3. A review of Ahmadinezhad–Zhuang’s theory

Recently, Ahmadinezhad and Zhuang introduced the important paper [AZ20]. We review their results. See also §11. In §3 we fix an $n$-dimensional projective variety $X$ unless otherwise stated.

#### 3.1. Veronese equivalences and Okounkov bodies

Thanks to [AZ20, Lemma 2.24], it is natural to consider the following Veronese equivalences for graded linear series.

**Definition 3.1.** Let us take $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \mathrm{CaCl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Let us take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that each $mL_i$ lifts to an element in $\mathrm{CaCl}(X)$. Fix such lifts and fix Cartier divisors (denoted also by $mL_i$) whose linear equivalence classes are $mL_i$. Note that the lifts $mL_i \in \mathrm{CaCl}(X)$ are not uniquely determined in general.

1. An $(m\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^r$-graded linear series $V_{m\cdot}$ on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ consists of sub-vector spaces  
\[ V_{m\bar{a}} \subset H^0 \left( X, \mathcal{O}_X \left( \bar{a} \cdot mL \right) \right) \]

for all $\bar{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r$ (where $\bar{a} \cdot mL := \sum_{i=1}^r a_i (mL_i)$) such that $V_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}$ and $V_{m\bar{a}} \cdot V_{m\bar{a}'} \subset V_{m(\bar{a} + \bar{a}')}$. Under the setting, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the $k$-th Veronese sub-series $V_{km\cdot}$ of $V_{m\cdot}$ is defined to be the $(km\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^r$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \mathrm{CaCl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ defined naturally by $V_{m\cdot}$.
(2) For an \((m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\)-graded (resp., \((m'\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\)-graded) linear series \(V_{m^*}\) (resp., \(V_{m'^*}\)) on \(X\) associated to \(L_1, \ldots, L_r\), the series \(V_{m^*}\) and \(V_{m'^*}\) are said to be Veronese equivalent if there is a positive integer \(d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) with \(d \in m\mathbb{Z}\) and \(d \in m'\mathbb{Z}\) such that \((d/m)(mL_i) \sim (d/m')(m'L_i)\) holds for each \(i\) and

\[ V_{d/m^*} = V_{d/m'^*} \]

holds as \((d\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\)-graded linear series. The Veronese equivalence class of \(V_{m^*}\) is denoted by \(V^*\).

**Definition 3.2** ([LM09] §4.3 and [AZ20] Definition 2.11]). Let \(V_{m^*}\) be an \((m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\)-graded linear series on \(X\) associated to \(L_1, \ldots, L_r\) and let \(V^*\) be its Veronese equivalence class.

(1) Set

\[ \mathcal{S}(V_{m^*}) := \{ m\tilde{a} \in (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r \mid V_{m\tilde{a}} \neq 0 \} \subset (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r, \]

and let \(\text{Supp}(V^*) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r\) be the closure of the cone in \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r\) spanned by \(\mathcal{S}(V_{m^*}) \subset (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r\). By Lemma 3.4, the cone \(\text{Supp}(V^*)\) is independent of the choice of representatives of \(V^*\) and the choices of lifts \(mL_1, \ldots, mL_r\). We say that \(V^*\) has bounded support if the set

\[ (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{r-1}) \cap \text{Supp}(V^*) \]

is bounded.

(2) We say that \(V_{m^*}\) contains an ample series if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) we have \(\text{int}(\text{Supp}(V^*)) \neq \emptyset\),

(ii) for any \(m\tilde{a} \in \text{int}(\text{Supp}(V^*)) \cap (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\), we have \(V_{pm\tilde{a}} \neq 0\) for any \(p \gg 0\), and

(iii) there exists an element \(m\tilde{a}_0 \in \text{int}(\text{Supp}(V^*)) \cap (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\) and there exists a decomposition \(m\tilde{a}_0 \cdot \tilde{L} \sim A + E\) with \(A\) ample Cartier and \(E\) effective Cartier such that

\[ pE + H^0(X, pA) \subset V_{pm\tilde{a}_0} \]

holds for any \(p \gg 0\).

We say that the class \(V^*\) contains an ample series if there is a (sufficiently divisible) positive integer \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) such that a representative \(V_{m^*}\) of \(V^*\) contains an ample series (cf. Lemma 3.4).

**Definition 3.3** ([LM09] §1, §4.3 and [AZ20] Definition 2.11]). Let \(Y^*\) be an admissible flag on \(X\) in the sense of [LM09] (1.1)], i.e.,

\[ Y^* : X = Y_0 \supseteq Y_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Y_n = \{\text{point}\} \]

is a sequence of subvarieties such that each \(Y_i\) is smooth at the point \(Y_n\). Let \(V_{m^*}\) be an \((m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\)-graded linear series on \(X\) associated to \(L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\) which contains an ample series, and let \(V^*\) be its Veronese equivalence class. As we have seen in [LM09] (1.2)], the flag \(Y^*\) gives a valuation-like function

\[ \nu_{Y^*} : V_{m\tilde{a}} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \]

for each \(\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r\).

(1) Let us set the sub-semigroup

\[ \Gamma_{Y^*}(V_{m^*}) := \{ (m\tilde{a}, \nu_{Y^*}(s)) \mid m\tilde{a} \in (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r, \ s \in V_{m\tilde{a}} \setminus \{0\} \} \subset (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \]

of \(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n\). Let \(\Sigma_{Y^*}(V^*) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n\) be the closure of the cone in \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n\) spanned by \(\Gamma_{Y^*}(V_{m^*})\). Moreover, let us set

\[ \Delta_{Y^*}(V^*) := \{ \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \} \cap \Sigma_{Y^*}(V^*) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{r-1+n}. \]

By Lemma 3.4 both \(\Sigma_{Y^*}(V^*)\) and \(\Delta_{Y^*}(V^*)\) are independent of the choice of representatives \(V_{m^*}\) of \(V^*\) for \(V_{m^*}\) containing an ample series and of the choices of lifts.
Lemma 3.4. Let $W_\bullet$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to Cartier divisors $L_1, \ldots, L_r$. Let $Y_\bullet$ be an admissible flag on $X$. Let us take any $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Let $W_\bullet^{(k)}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to $k_1 L_1, \ldots, k_r L_r$ defined by

$$W_{a_1, \ldots, a_r}^{(k)} := W_{k_1 a_1, \ldots, k_r a_r}.$$  

1. We have

$$\mathcal{S} \left( W_\bullet^{(k)} \right) = \{ (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r \mid (k_1 a_1, \ldots, k_r a_r) \in \mathcal{S} (W_\bullet) \}.$$  

Thus, for the linear transform

$$f : \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}^r$$

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \mapsto (k_1 x_1, \ldots, k_r x_r),$$

we have

$$\text{Supp} (W_\bullet) = f \left( \text{Supp} \left( W_\bullet^{(k)} \right) \right).$$

In particular, $W_\bullet$ has bounded support if and only if $W_\bullet^{(k)}$ has bounded support. If $W_\bullet$ contains an ample series, then so is $W_\bullet^{(k)}$.

2. Assume that $W_\bullet$ contains an ample series. For the linear transform

$$g : \mathbb{R}^{r+n} \to \mathbb{R}^{r+n}$$

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+n}) \mapsto (k_1 x_1, \ldots, k_r x_r, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r+n}),$$

we have

$$\Sigma_{Y_\bullet} (W_\bullet) = g \left( \Sigma_{Y_\bullet} \left( W_\bullet^{(k)} \right) \right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet} (W_\bullet) = g \left( \Delta_{Y_\bullet} \left( W_\bullet^{(k)} \right) \right),$$

where $\Delta_{Y_\bullet} (W_\bullet)$ is the Okounkov body of $W_\bullet$ associated to $Y_\bullet$. As in [AZ20, Definition 2.11], if $V_\bullet$ has bounded support, then $\Delta_{Y_\bullet} (V_\bullet) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{r-1+n}$ is a compact convex body. When $L \subset \text{CaCl} (X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is big and $V_\bullet$ is the class of the complete linear series of $L$ (i.e., for a sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a representative $V_{m\bullet}$ is given by $V_{mp} = H^0 (X, pmL)$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), then we simply write $\Sigma_{Y_\bullet} (L) := \Sigma_{Y_\bullet} (V_\bullet)$ and $\Delta_{Y_\bullet} (L) := \Delta_{Y_\bullet} (V_\bullet)$.

(2) For any $l \in m \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$h^0 (V_{l, m\bullet}) := \sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{r-1}} \dim V_{l, m\vec{a}},$$

and

$$\text{vol} (V_\bullet) := \lim_{l \to \infty} \frac{h^0 (V_{l, m\bullet}) \cdot m^{r-1}}{l^{-1+n} / (r-1+n)!} \in (0, \infty].$$

By [AZ20, Remark 2.12], the limit exists. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4, the value $\text{vol} (V_\bullet)$ is independent of the choice of representatives $V_{m\bullet}$ of $V_\bullet$ for $V_{m\bullet}$ containing an ample series and of the choices of lifts $mL_1, \ldots, m L_r$. Moreover, by [AZ20, Remark 2.12], we have

$$\text{vol} (V_\bullet) = (r - 1 + n)! \cdot \text{vol} (\Delta_{Y_\bullet} (V_\bullet)).$$

If $V_\bullet$ has bounded support, then $\text{vol} (V_\bullet) \in (0, \infty)$ holds, since the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet} (V_\bullet)$ is a compact convex body.

**Lemma 3.4 (cf. [AZ20, Lemma 2.24]).** Let $W_\bullet^{(k)}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to Cartier divisors $L_1, \ldots, L_r$. Let $Y_\bullet$ be an admissible flag on $X$. Let us take any $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Let $W_\bullet^{(k)}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to $k_1 L_1, \ldots, k_r L_r$ defined by

$$W_{a_1, \ldots, a_r}^{(k)} := W_{k_1 a_1, \ldots, k_r a_r}.$$
where
\[ \tilde{g} : \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n} \]
\[ (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1+n}) \mapsto \left( \frac{k_2}{k_1} x_1, \ldots, \frac{k_r}{k_1} x_r, 1, \frac{1}{k_1} x_{r-1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{k_1} x_{r-1+n} \right). \]

In particular, we have the equality
\[ \operatorname{vol} \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right) = \frac{k_1^{r-1+n}}{k_2 \cdots k_r} \operatorname{vol} \left( W^* \right). \]

**Proof.** The equalities on $\mathcal{S} \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right)$ and $\operatorname{Supp} \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right)$ are obvious. Assume that $W^*$ contains an ample series. Since $\mathcal{S} \left( W^* \right)$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^r$ as an abelian group (see [LM09], Lemma 4.18), the semigroup $\mathcal{S} \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right)$ also generates $\mathbb{Z}^r$ as an abelian group. From the assumption, there is an element
\[ \tilde{a} \in \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{Supp} \left( W^* \right) \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+n} \]
and a decomposition
\[ \tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{L} = A + E \]
with $A$ ample Cartier and $E$ effective Cartier such that $mE + H^0(X, mA) \subset W^{(\overline{m})}$ for any $m \gg 0$. After replacing $\tilde{a}$ with its positive multiple if necessary, we may assume that
\[ \tilde{b} := \left( \frac{a_1}{k_1}, \ldots, \frac{a_r}{k_r}, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n \right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+n}. \]

Set $\tilde{L}^{(\overline{k})} := (k_1 L_1, \ldots, k_r L_r)$. Since $\tilde{b} \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\overline{k})} = A + E$ and $mE + H^0(X, mA) \subset W^{(\overline{m})}$ for any $m \gg 0$, the graded linear series $W^{(\overline{k})}$ also contains an ample series.

Let us show that $\Sigma Y^* \left( W^* \right) = g \left( \Sigma Y^* \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right) \right)$. Since the inclusion $\subset$ is trivial, it is enough to show the converse inclusion $\supset$. Take any
\[ (a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \operatorname{int} \left( \Sigma Y^* \left( W^* \right) \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{r+n}. \]

Since both $\Sigma Y^* \left( W^* \right)$ and $g \left( \Sigma Y^* \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right) \right)$ are closed convex cones, it is enough to show that a positive multiple of $(a_1/k_1, \ldots, a_r/k_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ belongs to $\Gamma Y^* \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right)$. By [LM09], Lemma 4.20, the semigroup $\Gamma Y^* \left( W^* \right)$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^{r+n}$ as an abelian group. By [Bou12], Lemma 1.13, for any $m \gg 0$, we have $m(a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \Gamma Y^* \left( W^* \right)$. Take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ divisible by $k_1 \cdots k_r$. Then there exists
\[ s \in W_{ma_1, \ldots, ma_r} \setminus \{0\} = W_{\frac{ma_1}{k_1}, \ldots, \frac{ma_r}{k_r}} \setminus \{0\} \]
such that $\nu Y^* (s) = (m \nu_1, \ldots, m \nu_n)$. Thus we get
\[ m \left( \frac{a_1}{k_1}, \ldots, \frac{a_r}{k_r}, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n \right) \in \Gamma Y^* \left( W^{(\overline{k})} \right). \]

The remaining assertions are trivial from the above.

**Example 3.5.** Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism between projective varieties. Let $V^\bullet$ be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Under the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, we can naturally consider the pullback $\sigma^* V^\bullet$ of $V^\bullet$. Obviously, the series $V^\bullet$ has bounded support if and only if the series $\sigma^* V^\bullet$ has bounded support. Moreover, if $X$ is normal, then the series $V^\bullet$ contains an ample series if and only if the series $\sigma^* V^\bullet$ contains an ample series, since $\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ holds. (We sometimes denote $\sigma^* V^\bullet$ by $V^\bullet$ if there is no confusion.)

We will use the following theorem in §4.
Theorem 3.6 ([LM09 Theorem 4.21]). Let \( V_{\circ} \) be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on \( X \) associated to \( L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \) which contains an ample series. Let \( Y_{\circ} \) be an admissible flag on \( X \) and let
\[
\Gamma_{Y_{\circ}}(V_{\circ}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^n
\]
be the natural projection. Take any \( \vec{a} \in \text{int} (\text{Supp}(V_{\circ})) \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^r \). Let \( V_{\vec{a}, \bullet} \) be the Veronese equivalence class of the graded linear series on \( X \) associated to \( \vec{a} \cdot \vec{L} \) defined by
\[
V_{\vec{a}, m} := V_{m\vec{a}}
\]
for any sufficiently divisible \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Then the series \( V_{\vec{a}, \bullet} \) has bounded support and contains an ample series, and
\[
pr^{-1} (\{ \vec{a} \}) = \Delta_{Y_{\circ}}(V_{\vec{a}, \bullet})
\]
holds.

Proof. Since \( V_{\vec{a}, m, \bullet} \) for a sufficiently divisible \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) is \( m\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \)-graded, the series obviously has bounded support. Moreover, the series contains an ample series by [LM09, Lemma 4.18]. The remaining assertion follows directly from [LM09, Theorem 4.21]. □

3.2. Filtrations on graded linear series.

Definition 3.7. Let \( W \) be a finite dimensional vector space over the complex number field. A filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( W \) consists of a family \( \{ \mathcal{F}^k W \}_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \) of sub-vector spaces of \( W \) parametrized by \( \mathbb{R} \) such that the following conditions are satisfied:
  (i) If \( \lambda < \lambda' \), then we have \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda W \subset \mathcal{F}^{\lambda'} W \).
  (ii) For any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), we have \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda W = \bigcap_{\lambda' < \lambda} \mathcal{F}^{\lambda'} W \).
  (iii) We have \( \mathcal{F}^0 W = W \) and \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda W = 0 \) for \( \lambda \gg 0 \).

Definition 3.8 ([BC11 §1.3], [BJ20 §2.5] and [AZ20 §2.6]). Let \( V_{\circ} \) be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on \( X \) associated to \( L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \) which has bounded support and contains an ample series. We say that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a linearly bounded filtration of \( V_{\circ} \) when there is a representative \( V_{m\vec{a}} \) of \( V_{\circ} \) such that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a linearly bounded filtration on \( V_{m\vec{a}} \). More precisely, for any \( m\vec{a} \in (m\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^r \), we have a filtration \( \{ \mathcal{F}^{\lambda} V_{m\vec{a}} \}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \) of \( V_{m\vec{a}} \) such that, for any \( \lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{R} \) and for any \( \vec{a}, \vec{a}' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r \), we have \( \mathcal{F}^{\lambda} V_{m\vec{a}} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\lambda'} V_{m\vec{a}'} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\lambda + \lambda'} V_{m(\vec{a} + \vec{a}')} \). Moreover, there exists \( C > 0 \) such that for any \( \vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_r^r \) and for any \( \lambda \geq Cma_1 \), we have \( \mathcal{F}^{\lambda} V_{m\vec{a}} = 0 \). We introduce the following notations as in [BC11] and [AZ20]. See also [BJ20].

1. For any \( l \in m\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), let us set
   \[
   T_l(V_{m\vec{a}}; \mathcal{F}) := \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \mathcal{F}^{\lambda} V_{l,m\vec{a}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } \vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_r^{r-1} \}
   \]
   and
   \[
   T(V_{\circ}; \mathcal{F}) := \sup_{l \in m\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{T_l(V_{m\vec{a}}; \mathcal{F})}{l} = \lim_{l \in m\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{T_l(V_{m\vec{a}}; \mathcal{F})}{l}.
   \]
   As in [BC11, Lemma 1.4], the limit exists. Moreover, by Lemma 3.10 the value \( T(V_{\circ}; \mathcal{F}) \) does not depend on the choice of representatives of \( V_{\circ} \) and the choices of lifts \( mL_1, \ldots, mL_r \).

2. For any \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), let \( V_{\circ}^t = V_{\circ}^{F,t} \) be the Veronese equivalence class of the graded linear series on \( X \) associated to \( L_1, \ldots, L_r \) which is the class of the \( (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r \)-graded linear series \( V_{m\vec{a}}^{t} \) defined by
   \[
   V_{m\vec{a}}^{t} := \mathcal{F}^{m\vec{a}t} V_{m\vec{a}} \quad (\forall \vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_r^r).
   \]
Obviously, the series $V^i_\bullet$ has bounded support since $V^0_\bullet$ is so. As in [BCT1], Lemma 1.6 or [AZ20] Lemma 2.21, we have the following:

- If $t > T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F)$, then $V^i_\bullet = 0$. If $t = 0$, then $V^0_\bullet = V^0_\bullet$.
- If $t \in [0, T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F))$, then $V^i_\bullet$ contains an ample series.

(3) Let $Y^\bullet_\bullet$ be an admissible flag on $X$. For any $t \in [0, T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F))$, let us set

$$\Delta^i := \Delta_{Y^\bullet_\bullet} (V^i_\bullet) \subset \Delta := \Delta_{Y^\bullet_\bullet} (V^\bullet_\bullet).$$

Moreover, let us consider the function

$$G := G_F: \Delta \to [0, T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F)]$$

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \mapsto \sup \{ t \in [0, T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F)) \mid \bar{\varepsilon} \in \Delta^i \}$$

as in [BJ20 §2.5], [AZ20] Lemma 2.21. The function $G$ is concave (see [BJ20 §2.5]). Moreover, from the construction, the function does not depend on the choice of representatives of $V^\bullet_\bullet$. We set

$$S (V^\bullet_\bullet; F) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} G(\bar{\varepsilon}) d\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(V^\bullet_\bullet)} \int_{0}^{T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F)} \text{vol} (V^i_\bullet) dt.$$  

The last equality is easily obtained by Fubini’s theorem. From the concavity of the function $G$ (cf. [BJ20 Lemma 2.6]), we can immediately get the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{r + n} T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F) \leq S (V^\bullet_\bullet; F) \leq T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F).$$

(4) For any $l \in m\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $h^0 (V^l_\bullet) \neq 0$, we set

$$S_l (V^l_\bullet; F) := \frac{1}{l \cdot h^0 (V^l_\bullet)} \int_{0}^{T_l (V^l_\bullet; F)} \dim F^\lambda V^l_\bullet d\lambda,$$

where

$$F^\lambda V^l_\bullet := \bigoplus_{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} F^\lambda V^l_{\bar{a}}.$$

Then, by [BJ20 Lemma 2.9] or [AZ20 Lemma 2.21], we have

$$\lim_{l \in m\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} S_l (V^l_\bullet; F) = S (V^\bullet_\bullet; F).$$

Indeed, we have

$$S_l (V^l_\bullet; F) = \frac{l^{r-1+n}/(r - 1 + n)!}{m^{r-1} \cdot h^0 (V^l_\bullet)} \cdot \int_{0}^{T_l (V^l_\bullet; F)} \frac{m^{r-1} \cdot h^0 (V^t_\bullet)}{l^{r-1+n}/(r - 1 + n)!} dt.$$  

**Example 3.9.** Let $V^\bullet_\bullet$ be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which has bounded support and contains an ample series.

(1) For any linearly bounded filtration $F$ on $V^\bullet_\bullet$ and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we can naturally consider the linearly bounded filtration $F^\mu$ on $V^\bullet_\bullet$ defined by $(F^\mu)^{\lambda} V^\mu_{\bar{a}} := F^{\mu \lambda} V^\mu_{\bar{a}}$. It is obvious that

- $T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F^\mu) = \mu^{-1} \cdot T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F)$,
- $G_{F^\mu} = \mu^{-1} \cdot G_F$ for any admissible flag on $X$, and
- $S(V^\bullet_\bullet; F^\mu) = \mu^{-1} \cdot S(V^\bullet_\bullet; F)$.

(2) Let $E$ be any prime divisor over the normalization of $X$. Then we can naturally define the linearly bounded filtration $F^\lambda E$ on $V^\bullet_\bullet$ with

$$F^\lambda E V^\mu_{\bar{a}} := \{ s \in V^\mu_{\bar{a}} \mid \text{ord}_E (s) \geq \lambda \}.$$  

Moreover, we write $T(V^\bullet_\bullet; E) := T(V^\bullet_\bullet; F^E) \text{ and } S(V^\bullet_\bullet; E) := S(V^\bullet_\bullet; F^E)$. When $L \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is big and $V^\bullet_\bullet$ is the class of the complete linear series of $L$, then
the value $S(V;E)$ (resp., $T(V;E)$) coincides with the value $S_L(E)$ (resp., $\tau_L(E)$) in Definition \[2.2\] even when $X$ is non-normal. See [Laz04a, Proposition 2.2.43].

(3) Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism between normal projective varieties. As we have seen in Example \[3.5\] the class $\sigma^*V$ has bounded support and contains an ample series. For any prime divisor $E$ over $X$, we can naturally identify the filtration $F_E$ on $V$ and the filtration $F_F$ on $\sigma^*V$. In particular, we have the equalities $T(\sigma^*V;E) = T(V;E)$ and $S(\sigma^*V;E) = S(V;E)$.

\begin{lem}[cf. AZ20 Lemma 2.24] Let $W$, $\vec{k}$, $Y$, and $W^{(\vec{k})}$ be as in Lemma \[3.4\]. Assume moreover that $W$ has bounded support and contains an ample series. Let $F$ be a linearly bounded filtration on $W$. The filtration $F$ naturally induces the filtration $F$ on $W^{(\vec{k})}$ defined by

$$F^\lambda W_{a_1,\ldots,a_r} := F^\lambda W_{k_1a_1,\ldots,k_ra_r}.$$ 

Then we have

$$G^W_F = k_1 \cdot G^W_{\sigma^*V} \circ \tilde{g} |_{\Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})})},$$

where $\tilde{g}$ be as in Lemma \[3.4\]. In particular, we have

$$T(W^{(\vec{k})};F) = k_1 \cdot T(W;F), \quad S(W^{(\vec{k})};F) = k_1 \cdot S(W;F).$$

\end{lem}

\begin{proof}
From the definition, we have

$$W^{(\vec{k}),F_{k_1}} = (W^{(\vec{k})}_F)_{k_1,k_2},$$

Thus, by Lemma \[3.4\], we get

$$\tilde{g} \left( \Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_F)_{k_1,k_2} \right) = \tilde{g} \left( \Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_{k_1,k_2}) \right) \Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_{k_1,k_2}).$$

for any $t/k_1 \in [0, T(W;F))$. This implies that $G^W_F = k_1 \cdot G^W_{\sigma^*V} \circ \tilde{g}$. Moreover,

$$S(W^{(\vec{k})}_F;F) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_F))} \int_{\Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_F)} G^W_{\sigma^*V}(\vec{x})d\vec{x}$$

$$= \frac{k_1^{r+1+n}}{k_1 \cdot G^W_{\sigma^*V}(\tilde{g})} \cdot \frac{k_1^{r-1+n}}{k_2 \cdots k_r} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_F))} \int_{\Delta_Y(W^{(\vec{k})}_F)} \tilde{g} = k_1 \cdot S(W;F)$$

holds. \end{proof}

\begin{defn}[see AZ20 Lemma 2.21] Take an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor $\Delta$ on $X$. Let $V$ be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ which has bounded support and contains an ample series. Take any scheme-theoretic point $\eta \in X$ with $(X, \Delta)$ klt at $\eta$. We set

$$\alpha_\eta(X, \Delta; V) := \alpha_\eta(V) := \inf_{E: \text{prime divisor over } X \text{ with } \eta \in c_X(E)} \frac{A_X,\Delta(E)}{T(V;E)},$$

$$\delta_\eta(X, \Delta; V) := \delta_\eta(V) := \inf_{E: \text{prime divisor over } X \text{ with } \eta \in c_X(E)} \frac{A_X,\Delta(E)}{S(V;E)}.$$

\end{defn}
If \((X, \Delta)\) is a klt pair, then we set
\[
\alpha(X, \Delta; V) := \alpha(V) := \inf_{E: \text{prime divisor over } X} \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{T(E)},
\]
\[
\delta(X, \Delta; V) := \delta(V) := \inf_{E: \text{prime divisor over } X} \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{S(E)}.
\]

By Definition \([3.3, 3]\), we have
\[
\alpha_\eta(V) \leq \delta_\eta(V) \leq (r + n)\alpha_\eta(V),
\]
\[
\alpha(V) \leq \delta(V) \leq (r + n)\alpha(V).
\]

When \(L \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}\) is big and \(V\) is the class of the complete linear series of \(L\), then the value \(\delta_\eta(X, \Delta; V)\) (resp., \(\delta(X, \Delta; V)\)) is nothing but the value \(\delta_\eta(X, \Delta; L)\) (resp., \(\delta(X, \Delta; L)\)) in Definition \(2.7\). If \((X, \Delta)\) is a log Fano pair and \(V\) is the class of the complete linear series of \(-K_X + \Delta\), then the value \(\alpha_\eta(X, \Delta; V)\) (resp., \(\alpha(X, \Delta; V)\)) is nothing but the value \(\alpha_\eta(X, \Delta)\) (resp., \(\alpha(X, \Delta)\)) in Definition \(2.3\) (see [BJ20, Theorem C]). We remark that, although we do not use it in the rest of paper, the above values are positive (see Proposition \(11.1\)).

We will use the following proposition in \(\S 4\).

**Proposition 3.12.** Let \(V\) be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on \(X\) associated to \(L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}\) which has bounded support and contains an ample series, and let \(Y_1\) be an admissible flag on \(X\). Then \(Y_1 \subset X\) naturally gives a prime divisor over the normalization of \(X\). Let us set \(F := F_{Y_1}\), and let us consider the Okounkov body \(\Delta := \Delta_{Y_1}(V)\) and let \(G := G_F: \Delta \to \mathbb{R}\) be as in Definition \(3.8\).

- For any \(t \in [0, T(V; Y_1)]\), we have
  \[
  \Delta^t = \Delta \cap \{ \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1+n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n} \mid x_r \geq t \}.
  \]
- The restriction map \(G|_{\text{int}(\Delta)}: \text{int}(\Delta) \to \mathbb{R}\) is equal to the composition
  \[
  \text{int}(\Delta) \ni \vec{x} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n} \ni p_r \mapsto \mathbb{R},
  \]
  where \(p_r\) is the \(r\)-th projection. In particular, the value \(T(V; Y_1)\) is the maximum of the closed area \(p_r(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{R}\), and the value \(S(V; Y_1)\) is the \(r\)-th coordinate of the barycenter of \(\Delta\).

**Proof.** Fix a representative \(V/m_\bullet\) of \(V\) which contains an ample series.

- Since \(G|_{\text{int}(\Delta)}\) is continuous, it is enough to show that \(G(\vec{x}) = \nu_1\) for any \(\vec{x} = (\vec{a}, \vec{\nu}) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \text{int}(\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Q}^{r-1+n}\). By [Bou12, Lemme 1.13], there exists \(l \in m \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) such that \(l(\vec{1}, \vec{x}) \in \Gamma_Y(V_{m_\bullet})\), i.e., there exists a section \(s \in V_{l(1, \vec{x})} \setminus \{0\}\) such that \(\nu_Y(s) = l\vec{\nu}\) holds. Since \(s \in \mathcal{F}_{l(1, \vec{x})} V_{l(1, \vec{x})} = \mathcal{F}_{l(1, \vec{x})}^\nu\), we have \(l(1, \vec{x}) \in \Gamma_Y(V_{m_\bullet}^\nu)\). Thus we have \(\vec{x} \in \Delta^\nu_1\). This implies the inequality \(G(\vec{x}) \geq \nu_1\).

  Assume that \(G(\vec{x}) > \nu_1\). Take any \(G(\vec{x}) > \nu' > \nu_1\). By the concavity of \(G\), we have \(\vec{x} \in \text{int}(\Delta^\nu_1)\). Again by [Bou12, Lemme 1.13], there exists \(l' \in m \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\) such that \(l'(1, \vec{x}) \in \Gamma_Y(V_{m_\bullet}^{l_1})\), i.e., there exists a section \(s' \in \mathcal{F}_{l(1, \vec{x})} V_{l(1, \vec{x})} \setminus \{0\}\) such that \(\nu_Y(s') = l'\vec{\nu}\) holds. Thus we get \(\text{ord}_Y(s') = l'\nu_1\). However, since \(s' \in \mathcal{F}_{l(1, \vec{x})} V_{l(1, \vec{x})}\), we have \(\text{ord}_Y(s') \geq l'\nu_1 > l\nu_1\), a contradiction. Thus we get \(G(\vec{x}) = \nu_1\). In particular, we get
  \[
  S(V; F) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\Delta)} \int_\Delta x, d\vec{x}.
  \]
  The value is nothing but the \(r\)-th coordinate of the barycenter of \(\Delta\).
As in Definition 3.8 for any \( t \in [0, T(V_\bullet; Y_1)) \), \( \Delta^t \subset \Delta \) is a compact convex body with \( \text{int}(\Delta^t) \neq \emptyset \). Thus, it is enough to show

\[
\text{int}(\Delta) \cap \Delta^t = \text{int}(\Delta) \cap \{ \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1+n}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{r-1+n} \mid x_r \geq t \}.
\]

The above is obvious from (2).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.13** (cf. [FO18 Theorem 3.2]). Under the assumption in Proposition 3.12 let \( U_r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) be the minimum of the closed area \( p_r(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Let us set \( T_r := T(V_\bullet; Y_1) \) just for simplicity. Then we have the inequalities

\[
U_r + \frac{T_r - U_r}{r + n} \leq S(V_\bullet; Y_1) \leq \frac{T_r - U_r}{r + n}.
\]

For example, if \( V_\bullet \) is the class of the complete linear series of a big \( L \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \) and if \( Y_\bullet \) is an admissible flag with \( Y_n \notin B_-(L) \), then we have

\[
\frac{1}{n+1} T(V_\bullet; Y_1) \leq S(V_\bullet; Y_1) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} T(V_\bullet; Y_1),
\]

where \( B_-(L) \) is the restricted base locus of \( L \) (see [ELMNP06] for the definition).

**Proof.** The first inequalities follow immediately from Proposition 3.12 and the standard fact of the barycenters of convex bodies (see [Ham51]). For the second inequalities, when \( Y_n \notin B_-(L) \), then \( \Delta \) contains the origin by [CHPW18 Theorem 4.2]. Thus we have \( U_r = 0 \). Since \( r = 1 \), we get the assertion. \[\square\]

For example, if the above \( L \) in Corollary 3.13 is nef and big, then the condition \( Y_n \notin B_-(L) \) is always satisfied, since we have \( B_-(L) = \emptyset \) (see [ELMNP06]).

**Corollary 3.14** (cf. [Fjt19b Proposition 2.1] and [BJ20 Proposition 3.11]). Assume that \( L \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \) is big, and a prime divisor \( E \) over the normalization of \( X \) which satisfies that \( c_X(E) \notin B_-(L) \). Then we have the inequalities

\[
\frac{1}{n+1} \tau_L(E) \leq S_L(E) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \tau_L(E).
\]

**Proof.** Take a resolution \( \sigma : \tilde{X} \to X \) of singularities with \( E \subset \tilde{X} \). Note that \( B_-(\sigma^* L) \subset \sigma^{-1}(B_-(L)) \) holds by the proof of [Leh13 Proposition 2.5]. Thus we have \( E \notin B_-(\sigma^* L) \). We can take an admissible flag \( \tilde{Y}_\bullet \) on \( \tilde{X} \) with \( \tilde{Y}_1 = E \) and \( \tilde{Y}_n \notin B_-(\sigma^* L) \). Thus we get the assertion by Corollary 3.13. \[\square\]

### 3.3. Refinements.

**Definition 3.15** (cf. [AZ20 Example 2.15]). Assume that \( X \) is normal. Let \( Y \subset X \) be a prime \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier divisor. Let \( V_{m_\bullet} \) be an \((m \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^r\)-graded linear series on \( X \) associated to \( L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \). We assume that \( m \tilde{Y} \) is Cartier. Let us define the \((m \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}\)-graded linear series \( V_{m_\bullet}^{(Y)} \) on \( Y \) associated to \( L_1|_Y, \ldots, L_r|_Y, -Y|_Y \) as follows. (We note that \( mL_1|_Y, \ldots, mL_r|_Y, -mY|_Y \in \text{CaCl}(Y) \).) For any \( m(\tilde{a}, j) \in (m \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{r+1} \), we set:

\[
V_{m(\tilde{a},j)}^{(Y)} := \text{Image} \left( V_{m\tilde{a}} \cap \left( mY + H^0 \left( X, m\tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{L} - mj \tilde{Y} \right) \right) \right) \to H^0 \left( Y, m\tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{L}|_Y - mj \tilde{Y}|_Y \right).
\]

We call the Veronese equivalence class \( V_\bullet^{(Y)} \) of \( V_{m_\bullet}^{(Y)} \) the refinement of \( V_\bullet \) by \( Y \). By Lemma 3.16 if \( V_\bullet \) has bounded support (resp., contains an ample series), then so is \( V_\bullet^{(Y)} \).

When \( V_\bullet \) contains an ample series, by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.16 for any admissible flag \( Y_\bullet \) on \( X \) with \( Y_1 = Y \), we have

\[
\Sigma_{Y_\bullet} \left( V_\bullet^{(Y)} \right) = \Sigma_{Y_\bullet} \left( V_\bullet \right)
\]

under the natural identification \( \mathbb{R}^{(r+1)+(n-1)} = \mathbb{R}^{r+n} \), where

\[
Y'_\bullet : Y = Y_1 \supseteq Y_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Y_n
\]
is the natural admissible flag on $Y$ induced by $Y_\iota$. In particular, we have $\Delta_{V_\iota} \left( V_\iota^{(Y)} \right) = \Delta_{W_\iota} (V_\iota)$ and $\text{vol} \left( V_\iota^{(Y)} \right) = \text{vol} (V_\iota)$.

**Lemma 3.16** (cf. [AZ20 Example 2.15 and Lemma 2.24]). Let $W_\iota$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on an $n$-dimensional normal projective variety $X$ associated to Cartier divisors $L_1, \ldots, L_r$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a prime divisor such that $eY$ is Cartier for some $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $Y_\iota$ be an admissible flag of $X$ with $Y = Y_1$. As in Definition 2.12, we can naturally define the admissible flag $Y_\iota'$ on $Y$ given by $Y_\iota$. Let $W_\iota^{(Y,e)}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $Y$ associated to $L_1|_Y, \ldots, L_r|_Y, -eY|_Y$ defined by

$$W_\iota^{(Y,e)} := \text{Image} \left( W_\iota \cap \left( (eY + H^0 \left( X, \bar{a} \cdot \bar{L} - eY \right) ) \right) \right) \rightarrow H^0 \left( Y, \bar{a} \cdot \bar{L}|_Y - eY|_Y \right).$$

1. If $W_\iota$ has bounded support (resp., contains an ample series), then so is $W_\iota^{(Y,e)}$.
2. Assume that $W_\iota$ contains an ample series. Then we have

$$h \left( \Sigma_{Y_\iota} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right) \right) = \Sigma_{Y_\iota} (W_\iota),$$

where $h$ is defined by

$$h : \mathbb{R}^{(r+1)+(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r+n},$$

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+n}) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_r, e x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \ldots, x_{r+n}).$$

In particular, we have the equality

$$\text{vol} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right) = \frac{1}{e} \text{vol} (W_\iota).$$

**Proof.** Assume that $W_\iota$ has bounded support. There exists a positive integer $M > 0$ such that $W_\iota = 0$ for any $\bar{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $a_i \geq M a_i$ for some $2 \leq i \leq r$. Take an ample Cartier divisor $H$ on $X$. Let $N > 0$ be a sufficiently big positive integer satisfying

$$(L_1 + x_2 L_2 + \cdots + x_r L_r - N eY) \cdot H^{n-1} < 0$$

for any $x_2, \ldots, x_r \in [0, M]$. Then, we can immediately show that $W_\iota^{(Y,e)} = 0$ for any $(\bar{a}, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+1}$ with $a_i \geq M a_i$ for some $2 \leq i \leq r$ or $j \geq N a_1$. Thus $W_\iota^{(Y,e)}$ also has bounded support.

Assume that $W_\iota$ contains an ample series. Take $\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_r \in \text{int} (\text{Supp} (W_\iota)) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ such that $\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_r$ form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. Since $W_\iota$ contains an ample series, we have $m \bar{x}_i \in \mathcal{S}(W_\iota)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and for any $m \gg 0$. By [LM09, Lemma 4.18], there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and there exists a decomposition

$$m_0 \bar{x}_i \cdot \bar{L} = A_i + E_i$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ with $A_i$ ample and $E_i$ effective such that $k E_i + H^0 (k A_i) \subset W_{k m_0 \bar{x}_i}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. After replacing $m_0$ sufficiently divisible, we may further assume that $A_i (\cdot j Y)$ is globally generated for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and for any $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2e$. Set $c_i := \text{ord}_Y E_i$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$(km_0 \bar{x}_i, \left[ k c_i / e \right]), \ (km_0 \bar{x}_i, \left[ k c_i / e \right] + 1) \in \mathcal{S} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right).$$

Moreover, for any $m \gg 0$, we have $(m \bar{x}_i, c) \in \mathcal{S} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore $\mathcal{S} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right)$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ as an abelian group.

Let us consider the condition (iii) in [LM09, Definition 4.17]. Take any element $\bar{x} \in \text{int} (\text{Supp} (W_\iota)) \cap \left\{ \{1\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^r \right\}$. By [LM09, Lemma 4.18], there is a sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a decomposition $m \bar{x} \cdot \bar{L} = A + E$ with $A$ ample and $E$ effective such that

$$(km_0 \bar{x}_i, \left[ k c_i / e \right]), \ (km_0 \bar{x}_i, \left[ k c_i / e \right] + 1) \in \mathcal{S} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right).$$

Moreover, for any $m \gg 0$, we have $(m \bar{x}_i, c) \in \mathcal{S} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore $\mathcal{S} \left( W_\iota^{(Y,e)} \right)$ generates $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$ as an abelian group.
$kE + H^0(X, kA) \subset W_{km^2}$ holds for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Moreover, we may further assume that, for any $l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $A - leY$ is very ample and the restriction homomorphism

$$H^0(X, k(A - leY)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, k(A - leY)|_Y)$$

is surjective for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let us set $c := \text{ord}_Y E$. For any $k \in c\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the restriction homomorphism

$$kE + kleY + H^0(X, k(A - leY)) \rightarrow k(E - cY)|_Y + H^0(Y, k(A - leY)|_Y)$$

is surjective for any $l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Thus we have

$$k(E - cY)|_Y + H^0(Y, k(A - leY)|_Y) \subset W^{(Y,e)}_{km^2,k(c+le)}.$$  

In particular, we have

$$\left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{m}(c + ye)\right) \in \text{Supp} \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right)$$

for any $y \in [0, 2]$. If we take $\bar{x}$ generally, then we have

$$\left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{m}(c + e)\right) \in \text{int} \left(\text{Supp} \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}.$$  

The decomposition

$$m\left(\bar{x} \cdot \bar{L} - \frac{1}{m}(c + e)Y|_Y\right) \sim_Q (A - eY)|_Y + (E - cY)|_Y$$

with $(A - eY)|_Y$ ample and $(E - cY)|_Y$ effective satisfies that, for any sufficiently divisible $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have the condition (iii) in [LM09, Definition 4.17]. Therefore $W^{(Y,e)}_e$ contains an ample series.

(2) Take any element

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \Gamma_Y \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right).$$

There is a nonzero element $s_1 \in W^{(Y,e)}_{a_1,\ldots,a_r,\nu_1}$ such that $\nu_Y(s_1) = (\nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n)$. From the definition of $W^{(Y,e)}_e$, there is a nonzero element $s \in W_{a_1,\ldots,a_r}$ such that $\nu(s) = \nu \cdot e$ and the image of $s$ with respects to the restriction homomorphism

$$W_{a_1,\ldots,a_r} \cap \left(\nu \cdot eY + H^0(X, a \cdot \bar{L} - \nu \cdot eY)\right) \rightarrow H^0 \left(Y, a \cdot \bar{L}|_Y - \nu \cdot eY|_Y\right)$$

is equal to $s_1$. Since $\nu_Y(s) = (\nu \cdot e, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n)$, we have

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1 \cdot e, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \Gamma_Y \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right).$$

This gives the inclusion $h \left(\Sigma \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right)\right) \subset \Sigma \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right)$. For the converse inclusion, since both are closed convex cones, it is enough to prove that there is some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$m(a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in h \left(\Sigma \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right)\right)$$

holds for any element

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \text{int} \left(\Sigma \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}.$$  

For any sufficiently divisible $m \in c\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$m(a_1, \ldots, a_r, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \Gamma_Y \left(W^{(Y,e)}_e\right).$$

Thus there is a nonzero element $s \in W_{ma_1,\ldots,ma_r}$ such that $\nu_Y(s) = (m\nu_1, m\nu_2, \ldots, m\nu_n)$. The section $s$ vanishes along $Y$ exactly $m\nu_1$ times. Thus the image $s_1$ of $s$ with respects to the restriction homomorphism

$$W_{ma_1,\ldots,ma_r} \cap \left(m\nu \cdot eY + H^0(X, ma \cdot \bar{L} - m\nu \cdot eY)\right) \rightarrow H^0 \left(Y, ma \cdot \bar{L}|_Y - \frac{m\nu}{e} \cdot eY|_Y\right)$$

holds for any $s \in W_{ma_1,\ldots,ma_r}$. Hence we have

$$W_{ma_1,\ldots,ma_r} \cap \left(m\nu \cdot eY + H^0(X, ma \cdot \bar{L} - \frac{m\nu}{e} \cdot eY)\right) \rightarrow H^0 \left(Y, ma \cdot \bar{L}|_Y - \frac{m\nu}{e} \cdot eY|_Y\right).$$
gives a nonzero element in $W^{(Y,e)}_{ma_1,\ldots,ma_r,m\nu}$. From the definition of $\nu_Y(s)$, we have $\nu_Y(s_1) = (m\nu_2,\ldots,m\nu_n)$. This means that the element $(ma_1,\ldots,ma_r,m\nu_2,\ldots,m\nu_n)$ belongs to $\Gamma_Y\left(W^*(Y,e)\right)$. Thus we get the assertion. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.17.** Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism between normal projective varieties, let $Y \subset X$ be a prime $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on $X$ such that $\tilde{Y} := \sigma^{-1}Y$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let us set $\sigma^*Y := \tilde{Y} + \Sigma$. Take any $(m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$-graded linear series $V_{m^*}$ on $X$ associated to $L_1,\ldots,L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X)\otimes \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Q}$. Assume moreover that both $mY$ and $m\tilde{Y}$ are Cartier. Let us compare $(\sigma|\tilde{Y})^*\left(V_{m^*}(Y)\right)$ and $(\sigma^*V_{m^*}(Y))$.

Take any $(\tilde{a},j) \in (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$. We note that the inclusion

$$H^0\left(\tilde{X},\sigma^*\left(\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L} - j\tilde{Y}\right)\right) \to H^0\left(\tilde{X},\sigma^*\left(a\cdot \tilde{L} - j\tilde{Y}\right)\right)$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{\tilde{a}} \cap \left(jY + H^0\left(X,\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L} - jY\right)\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}_Y} & H^0\left(Y,\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L}_{\mid Y} - jY_{\mid Y}\right) \\
\downarrow \sigma^* \cong & & \downarrow \sigma|_{\tilde{Y}}^* \\
\sigma^*V_{\tilde{a}} \cap \left(j\sigma^*Y + H^0\left(X,\sigma^*\left(\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L} - jY\right)\right)\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}_{\tilde{Y}}} & H^0\left(\tilde{Y},\sigma^*\left(\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L}_{\mid Y} - j\tilde{Y}_{\mid Y}\right)\right) \\
\cong & & \downarrow j\Sigma|_{\tilde{Y}} \\
\sigma^*V_{\tilde{a}} \cap \left(j\tilde{Y} + H^0\left(X,\sigma^*\left(\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L} - j\tilde{Y}\right)\right)\right) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}_{\tilde{Y}}} & H^0\left(\tilde{Y},\sigma^*\left(\tilde{a}\cdot \tilde{L}_{\mid Y} - j\tilde{Y}_{\mid Y}\right)\right).
\end{array}
$$

This implies that

$$(\sigma^*V_{\tilde{a},j})(\tilde{Y}) = (\sigma|\tilde{Y})^*V_{\tilde{a},j} + j (\Sigma|_{\tilde{Y}})$$

for any $(\tilde{a},j) \in (m\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$.

**Remark 3.18.** In this paper, we essentially consider only the linear equivalence classes of Cartier divisors by taking Veronese sub-series. However, although we do not treat in this paper, on normal projective varieties $X$, it is important to consider the linear equivalence classes of $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors in order to consider the theory of graded linear series. In fact, for considering the proof of Theorem 3.20 by the authors in [AZ20], it is essential to consider the refinements of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to Cartier divisors by possibly non-Cartier prime $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisors $\tilde{Y}$ on $X$ such that the linear equivalence classes $-\tilde{Y}_{\mid Y}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisors are well-behaved (cf. Definition 3.19). See [AZ20] for detail. See also Theorem 11.13.

**Definition 3.19 (Fujita 1990, Definition 1.1 and AZ20 §2.3).** Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a (possibly non-projective) klt pair with $\Delta$ effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor. A prime divisor $Y$ over $X$ is said to be *plt-type over $(X, \Delta)$* if there is a projective birational morphism $\sigma: \tilde{X} \to X$ between normal varieties with $Y \subset \tilde{X}$ prime divisor such that $-\tilde{Y}$ is a $\sigma$-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on $\tilde{X}$ and the pair $(\tilde{X}, \Delta + \tilde{Y})$ is a plt pair, where the $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor $\Delta$ on $\tilde{X}$ is defined to be the equation

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \Delta + (1 - A_{X,\Delta}(Y))Y = \sigma^*(K_X + \Delta).$$

The morphism $\sigma$ is uniquely determined by $Y$. We call the morphism the *plt-blowup associated to $Y$*. We can naturally take the klt pair $(Y, \Delta_Y)$ defined by

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y := \left(K\tilde{X} + \Delta + Y\right)_{\mid Y}.$$
We note that, although we do not treat it in this paper, we can canonically define the linear equivalence class of a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \(-Y|_Y\) by [HLS19 Definitions A.2 and A.4] (see also [AZ20 Lemma 2.7]).

The following theorem is very important in this paper. For the proof, see [AZ20 Theorem 3.3], or see [11.2] for an alternative proof. Note that we can easily reduce to the case \( L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \) by Lemmas 3.10 and 3.16. We remark that [AZ20 Theorem 3.3] treats much more general situations.

**Theorem 3.20** ([AZ20 Theorem 3.3], see also Theorem 11.4). Let \((X, \Delta)\) be a projective klt pair with \( \Delta \) effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Weil divisor, let \( \eta \in X \) be a scheme-theoretic point, let \( \gamma \) be a plt-type prime divisor over \((X, \Delta)\) with the associated plt-blowup \( \sigma : \tilde{X} \to X \) satisfying \( \eta \in c_X(Y) \), and let \( V_\sigma \) be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on \( X \) associated to \( L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) which has bounded support and contains an ample series. Let \( W_\sigma \) be the refinement of \( \sigma^*V_\sigma \) by \( Y \subset \tilde{X} \). Let \( \Delta_Y \) on \( Y \) be as in Definition 3.19. Then we have the inequality

\[
\delta_\eta (X, \Delta; V_\sigma) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(\Delta)(Y)}{S(V_\sigma; Y)}, \inf_{\eta'} \delta_{\eta'} (Y; \Delta_Y; W_\sigma) \right\},
\]

where the infimum runs over all scheme-theoretic points \( \eta' \in Y \subset \tilde{X} \) with \( \sigma(\eta') = \eta \).

4. **Ahmadinezhad–Zhuang’s theory on Mori dream spaces**

We calculate the values in [33] when \( X \) is a Mori dream space (see [HK00]). Since any log Fano pair is a Mori dream space [BCHM10 Corollary 1.3.2], we can apply the computations in [41] for various situations in order to evaluate local \( \delta \)-invariants for log Fano pairs. Many statements in this section are similar to the statements in [ACCFKMMSGV]. However, the situations we consider are more complicated than the situations in [ACCFKMMSGV].

In this section, we fix:
- an \( n \)-dimensional Mori dream space \( X \) (in the sense of [HK00 Definition 1.10]),
- a big \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( L \) on \( X \),
- the Veronese equivalence class \( V_\sigma \) of the complete linear series of \( L \),
- a prime divisor \( Y \subset X \) (note that \( Y \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier since \( X \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial), and
- the refinement \( W_\sigma \) of \( V_\sigma \) by \( Y \), i.e., \( W_\sigma = V_\sigma^{(Y)} \).

Moreover, let us set

\[
\tau_- := \text{ord}_Y N_\sigma(X, L), \quad \tau_+ := \max \{ u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid L - uY \text{ is pseudo-effective} \},
\]

where \( N_\sigma(X, L) \) is the negative part of the Nakayama–Zariski decomposition of \( L \) (see [Nak04 Chapter III]).

4.1. **Basics of Mori dream spaces.** We recall basic theories of Mori dream spaces and Nakayama–Zariski decompositions.

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. [Okw16]).

1. The values \( \tau_- \), \( \tau_+ \) are rational numbers with \( \tau_- < \tau_+ \).
2. If \( u \in [0, \tau_-) \), then we have \( Y \not\subset \text{Supp} \ N_\sigma (X, L - uY) \). If \( u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+), \) then we have \( Y \not\subset \text{Supp} \ N_\sigma (X, L - uY) \).
3. There exists
   - a finite sequence \( \tau_- = \tau_0 < \cdots < \tau_I = \tau_+ \) of rational numbers, and
   - a finite set \( \{X_1, \ldots, X_I\} \) of small \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial modifications of \( X \) such that, for any \( 1 \leq i \leq I \) and for any \( u \in [\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i] \), we have the following:
   i. the positive part \( P_\sigma (X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) \) is semiample on \( X_i \), where \((L - uY)_{X_i}\) is the strict transform of \( L - uY \) on \( X_i \),
Proof. (1) The properties \( III, \text{Lemma 1.4 (4)} \), the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( L - \tau Y \) is big with \( \text{ord}_Y N_\sigma(L - \tau Y) = 0 \). Thus we have \( \tau_\leq < \tau_+ \).

(2) Trivial from \([\text{Nak04}, \text{Chapter III, Lemma 1.8 and Corollary 1.9}]\).

(3) The properties (3i) and (3ii) are direct corollaries of \([\text{Okw16, Proposition 2.13}]\). Let us consider (3iii). Since \( P_\sigma(X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) \) is semiample and big, it is enough to show that \( P_\sigma(X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) \mid_{Y_i} \) is big. Assume not. We may assume that \( u \in \mathbb{Q} \). Since \( P_\sigma(X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) \) is semiample, as in \([\text{Okw16, \text{Chapter III, Lemma 2.3}]}\), there is a projective birational morphism \( \mu : X_i \to X'_i \) and an ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( A \) on \( X'_i \) such that we have \( P_\sigma(X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) = \mu^* A \) and the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( N_\sigma(X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) \) is \( \mu \)-exceptional. From the assumption, \( Y_i \) is also \( \mu \)-exceptional. Therefore, for any \( 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \) and for any sufficiently divisible \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), we have

\[
H^0(X_i, m(L - uY)_{X_i}) \simeq H^0(X_i, m\mu^* A) \\
\simeq H^0(X_i, m(\mu^* A + N_\sigma(X_i, (L - uY)_{X_i}) + \varepsilon Y_i)) = H^0(X_i, m(L - (u - \varepsilon)Y)_{X_i}).
\]

This implies that \( Y \subset \text{Supp} N_\sigma(X, L - (u - \varepsilon)Y) \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

Notation 4.2. Let us fix a common resolution \( \sigma_i : \bar{X} \to X_i \) of \( X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_I \) with \( \bar{X} \) normal and \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial, where \( X_0 := X \) and \( X_1, \ldots, X_I \) are in Lemma 4.1 (3). We set \( \sigma := \sigma_0 \) and \( \bar{Y} := \sigma^{-1}Y \). Moreover, for any \( u \in [0, \tau_+] \), let

\[
\sigma^*(L - uY) = P(u) + N(u)
\]

be the Nakayama–Zariski decomposition of \( \sigma^*(L - uY) \), i.e.,

\[
P(u) := P_\sigma(\bar{X}, \sigma^*(L - uY)), \quad N(u) := N_\sigma(\bar{X}, \sigma^*(L - uY)).
\]

We remark that, if \( X \) is a smooth Fano threefold, then there is no small \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial modification of \( X \) by \([\text{Mor82}]\). Thus we have \( I = 0 \) and we can take \( \sigma : \bar{X} \to X \) as the identity morphism when \( X \) is a smooth Fano threefold. See also \([\text{ACCFKMGSSV}]\).

Remark 4.3. By \([\text{Nak04}, \text{Chapter III, Lemma 2.5}]\) and Lemma 4.1, we have:

- \( P(u) \) is semiample and \( \bar{Y} \not\subset \text{Supp} N(u) \) for any \( u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+] \), and
- we have \( N(u) = \frac{\tau_i - u}{\tau_i - \tau_{i-1}} N(\tau_{i-1}) + \frac{u - \tau_{i-1}}{\tau_i - \tau_{i-1}} N(\tau_i) \) for any \( 1 \leq i \leq I \) and for any \( u \in [\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i] \), and
- the \( \mathbb{R} \)-divisor \( P(u) \mid_{\bar{Y}} \) is semiample and big for any \( u \in (\tau_-, \tau_+) \).

Lemma 4.4. There exists (a sufficiently divisible) \( m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that:

- we have \( m_0 \tau_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) for any \( 0 \leq i \leq I \), where \( \tau_i \) is as in Lemma 4.4 (3), and
Thus, when \( (a, j) \in (m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \) with \( \tau_- \leq j/a \leq \tau_+ \), both
\[
aN \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right)
\]
are Cartier divisors.

**Proof.** Fix any \( 1 \leq i \leq I \) and set
\[
C_i := \text{Cone} \left( (1, \tau_{i-1}), (1, \tau_i) \right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2.
\]
By Gordan’s lemma, there exist
\[
(a_1, j_1), \ldots, (a_N, j_N) \in C_i \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2
\]
such that, every element \( (a, j) \in C_i \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \) can be expressed by a \( \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \)-linear sum
\[
(a, j) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k (a_k, j_k)
\]
of \( (a_1, j_1), \ldots, (a_N, j_N) \). Take \( m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) such that
\[
m_0 a_1 N \left( \frac{j_1}{a_1} \right), \ldots, m_0 a_N N \left( \frac{j_N}{a_N} \right)
\]
are Cartier divisors. Then, for any \( (a, j) \in C_i \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \), the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor
\[
m_0 a \cdot N \left( \frac{m_0 j}{m_0 a} \right) = m_0 \cdot aN \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) = m_0 \sum_{k=1}^N c_k a_k N \left( \frac{j_k}{a_k} \right)
\]
is a Cartier divisor by Remark 4.3.

**Definition 4.5.** Under Notation 4.2 let us set the Veronese equivalent class \( V^\wedge_{a, j} \) of \( (m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2 \)- graded linear series \( V^\wedge_{a, m_0 a} \) on \( \tilde{Y} \) associated to \( \sigma^*(L|_Y), \sigma^*(-Y|_Y) \) (for a sufficiently divisible \( m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \)) as in Lemma 4.4 defined by:
\[
V^\wedge_{a, j} := \begin{cases} aN \left( \frac{j}{a} \right)|_Y + H^0 \left( \tilde{Y}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) & \text{if } j \in [a\tau_-, a\tau_+], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]
for any \( (a, j) \in (m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2 \). We call it the divisorial restriction of \( V^\wedge_{a, m_0 a} \) by \( \tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{X} \). It is obvious that \( V^\wedge_{a, j} \) has bounded support with
\[
\text{Supp} \left( V^\wedge_{a, j} \right) = \text{Cone} \left( (1, \tau_-), (1, \tau_+) \right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2.
\]
and contains an ample series (see also Remark 4.6).

**Remark 4.6.** For any sufficiently divisible \( a, j \in m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), we have \( W_{a, j} \subset V^\wedge_{a, j} \) as linear series on \( \tilde{Y} \), where we regard \( W^\wedge_{a, m_0 a} \) as \( (\sigma|_Y)^* W^\wedge_{a, m_0 a} \) (see Example 3.5). In fact, when \( j > a\tau_+ \), then
\[
H^0 \left( \tilde{X}, \sigma^*(aL - jY) \right) = 0.
\]
Thus we have \( W_{a, j} = V^\wedge_{a, j} = 0 \). When \( j \leq a\tau_+ \), then we have
\[
H^0 \left( \tilde{X}, \sigma^*(aL - jY) \right) = aN \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) + H^0 \left( \tilde{X}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right).
\]
Thus, when \( j \in [0, a\tau_-) \), then the restriction homomorphism is the zero map by Lemma 4.1 (2) and thus \( W_{a, j} = V^\wedge_{a, j} = 0 \). When \( j \in [a\tau_-, a\tau_+] \), then the restriction homomorphism factors through
\[
j\sigma^*Y + aN \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) + H^0 \left( \tilde{X}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) \rightarrow V^\wedge_{a, j} \subset H^0 \left( \tilde{Y}, a\sigma^*(L|_Y - jY|_Y) \right).
\]
Moreover, from the above, we get the inequality
\[ \dim \left( V_{a,j}^\wedge /W_{a,j} \right) \leq h^1 \left( \tilde{X}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) - \tilde{Y} \right). \]

We recall the following easy proposition given in [ACCFKMGGSSV]:

**Proposition 4.7** (see [ACCFKMGGSSV]). Let \( Z \) be an \( n \)-dimensional projective variety, let \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and let \( A, B \) be Cartier divisors on \( Z \) with \( A \) nef and big and \( A + aB \) nef. Then, for any coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( Z \) and for any \( i > 0 \), we have
\[ \sum_{j=0}^ma h^i \left( Z, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(mA + jB) \right) = O(m^{n-i}) \]
as \( m \to \infty \).

**Proof.** This is an easy consequence of Takao Fujita’s vanishing theorem (see [Fuj84] Corollary 3.9.3). For the proof, see [ACCFKMGGSSV]. \( \square \)

4.2. **Refinements on Mori dream spaces.** In [4.2], we show the following slight generalization of the formula obtained in [ACCFKMGGSSV].

**Theorem 4.8** (cf. [ACCFKMGGSSV]). Let \( V_{\bullet}^\wedge \) be the divisorial restriction of \( V_{\bullet} \) by \( \tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{X} \), where \( \sigma: \tilde{X} \to X \) be as in Notation 4.2.

1. We have
\[ \text{vol}(L) = \text{vol} \left( W_{\bullet, \bullet} \right) = \text{vol} \left( V_{\bullet}^\wedge \right). \]

2. For any prime divisor \( E \) over the normalization of \( Y \), we have
\[ S \left( W_{\bullet, \bullet}; E \right) = S \left( V_{\bullet}^\wedge; E \right) \]
\[ = \frac{n}{\text{vol}(L)} \int_{\tau}^\tau \left( \left( P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}} \right)^{n-1} \cdot \text{ord}_E (N(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \text{vol}_Y \left( P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}} - vE \right) dv \right) du. \]

**Proof.** The proof is essentially same as the proof in the paper [ACCFKMGGSSV]. Fix a sufficiently divisible \( m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) as in Lemma 4.4. Let us consider the differences between the two representatives \( W_{m_0, m_0, \bullet} \) and \( V_{m_0, m_0, \bullet}^\wedge \).

We already know the equality \( \text{vol}(V_{\bullet}) = \text{vol}(W_{\bullet, \bullet}) \) by Definition 4.3. Moreover, \( \text{vol}(L) = \text{vol}(V_{\bullet}) \) holds from the definition of \( \text{vol}(L) \). Thus, it is enough to show the equality \( \text{vol}(W_{\bullet, \bullet}) = \text{vol}(V_{\bullet}^\wedge) \). For any \( a \in m_0^2 \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), by Remark 4.6, we have
\[ 0 \leq h^0 \left( V_{a, m_0, \bullet}^\wedge \right) - h^0 \left( W_{a, m_0, \bullet} \right) = \sum_{j \in m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} h^0 \left( V_{a,j}^\wedge /W_{a,j} \right) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j \in [\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i] \cap m_0 \mathbb{Z}} h^0 \left( V_{a,j}^\wedge /W_{a,j} \right) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j \in [\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i] \cap m_0 \mathbb{Z}} h^1 \left( \tilde{X}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) - \tilde{Y} \right). \]

By Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, for any \( 1 \leq i \leq I \), there exists a Cartier divisor \( B_i^X \) on \( \tilde{X} \) such that
\[ aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) = aP(\tau_{i-1}) + \frac{j - a\tau_{i-1}}{m_0} B_i^X \]
holds for any \( j \in [a\tau_{i-1}, a\tau_i] \cap m_0 \mathbb{Z} \). Therefore, by Proposition 4.7, we have
\[ 0 \leq h^0 \left( V_{a, m_0, \bullet}^\wedge \right) - h^0 \left( W_{a, m_0, \bullet} \right) \leq O(a^{n-1}) \quad (a \in m_0^2 \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \to \infty), \]
since $P(\tau_i)$ is nef for any $0 \leq i \leq I$ and also big when $i < I$. Thus we get the equality
\[ \text{vol} (W_{\bullet, \bullet}) = \text{vol} (V_{\bullet, \bullet}^\wedge). \]

$[2]$ We can take $\tau' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that
\[ \text{vol}_{\tilde{Y}} \left( \tilde{Y}; P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}} - vE \right) = 0 \]
for any $u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+]$ and for any $v \geq \tau'$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the graded linear series $V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\wedge, t}$ and $W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{t}$ in Definition $3.8$ satisfy
\[ W_{a,j}^t = F_{E}^{\wedge, t} W_{a,j} \subset F_{E}^{\wedge, t} V_{a,j}^{\wedge, t} = V_{a,j}^{\wedge, t} \]
for any $(a, j) \in (m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0})^2$. Moreover, since we have the natural inclusion
\[ V_{a,j}^{\wedge, t} / W_{a,j}^{t} \hookrightarrow V_{a,j}^{\wedge} / W_{a,j}, \]
we have
\[ 0 \leq h^0 \left( V_{a,j}^{\wedge, t} / W_{a,j}^{t} \right) - h^0 (W_{a,m_0, \bullet}) \leq \sum_{j \in m_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} h^0 \left( V_{a,j}^{\wedge} / W_{a,j} \right) \leq O(a^{n-1}) \quad \text{(as } a \in m_0^2 \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow \infty) \]
by $[1]$. Thus we get $\text{vol} (W_{\bullet, \bullet}^{t}) = \text{vol} (V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\wedge, t})$.

For any $a \in m_0^2 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have
\[ S_a \left( V_{m_0, \bullet}^{\wedge}; F_E \right) = \frac{1}{ah^0 (V_{a,m_0, \bullet}^{\wedge})} \sum_{j \in [a \tau_-, a \tau_+] \cap m_0 \mathbb{Z}} \left( a \cdot \text{ord}_E \left( N \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) \cdot h^0 \left( \tilde{Y}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{a \tau'} h^0 \left( \tilde{Y}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) \left( \tilde{Y} - kE \right) \right) \]
This implies that
\[ S \left( V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\wedge}; E \right) \cdot \frac{\text{vol}(L)}{n} = S \left( V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\wedge}; E \right) \cdot \lim_{a \in m_0^2 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{m_0 \cdot h^0 (V_{a,m_0, \bullet}^{\wedge})}{na^n / n!} \]
\[ = \lim_{a \in m_0^2 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left( \frac{m_0}{a} \sum_{j \in [a \tau_-, a \tau_+] \cap m_0 \mathbb{Z}} \text{ord}_E \left( N \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) \frac{h^0 \left( \tilde{Y}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right)}{a^{n-1} / (n-1)!} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{m_0}{a^2} \sum_{j \in [a \tau_-, a \tau_+] \cap m_0 \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=0}^{a \tau'} h^0 \left( \tilde{Y}, aP \left( \frac{j}{a} \right) \right) \frac{\left( \tilde{Y} - kE \right)}{a^{n-1} / (n-1)!} \]
\[ = \int_{\tau_+}^{\tau_-} \left( (P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}})^{n-1} \cdot \text{ord}_E (N(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) + \int_0^{\tau'} \text{vol}_{\tilde{Y}} (P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}} - vE) \right) dv \]
Thus we have completed the proof of $[2]$. 

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.9.** Assume that there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\Delta$ on $X$ such that the pair $(X, \Delta + Y)$ is a plt pair. Set
\[ K_Y + \Delta_Y := (K_X + \Delta + Y)|_Y. \]
Then we have
\[ \delta (Y, \Delta_Y; W_{\bullet, \bullet}) = \delta \left( Y, \Delta_Y; V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\wedge} \right) \]
and
\[ \delta_\eta (Y, \Delta_Y; W_{\bullet, \bullet}) = \delta_\eta \left( Y, \Delta_Y; V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\wedge} \right) \]
for any scheme-theoretic point \( \eta \in Y \), where we regard \( V_{\bullet}^Y \) as the Veronese equivalent class of a graded linear series on \( Y \) under the isomorphism \( \mathcal{O}_Y \cong (\sigma|_Y)_* \mathcal{O}_Y \). In particular, we have the inequality
\[
\delta_\eta(X, \Delta; L) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(Y)}{S_L(Y)}, \delta_\eta \left( Y, \Delta_Y; V_{\bullet}^Y \right) \right\}.
\]

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorems 3.20 and 4.8 \( \square \)

4.3. Taking the refinements twice on 3-dimensional Mori dream spaces. In §1.3 we consider a slight generalization of the result in [ACCFKMGSV]. The authors in [ACCFKMGSV] only consider the case \( X \) is a smooth Fano threefold. The case is relatively easy since the movable cone of \( X \) is equal to the nef cone of \( X \). However, in order to consider Theorem 4.1 we must consider (weighted) blowups of smooth Fano threefolds. Thus we must consider more complicated situations than [ACCFKMGSV].

In §4.3 we further assume that \( n = 3 \). Moreover, the prime divisors \( \tilde{Y} \) and \( Y \) in Notation 4.2 are assumed to be normal. In this case, the series \( V_{\bullet}^Y \) on \( \tilde{Y} \) can be regarded as a series on \( Y \). Moreover, let us fix:

- a projective birational morphism \( \nu: Y' \to Y \) with \( Y' \) normal,
- a prime \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier divisor \( C \subset Y' \) such that \( C \) is a smooth projective curve, and
- a common resolution

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Y' \\
\downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \sigma|_{\tilde{Y}} \\
Y & \xleftarrow{\nu} & \tilde{Y}
\end{array}
\]

with \( \tilde{Y} \) normal and \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial.

Let us set \( \tilde{C} := \gamma_*^{-1}C \) and \( \gamma^* C =: \tilde{C} + \Sigma \). Let \( W^{Y', C}_{\bullet} \) (resp., \( W^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}}_{\bullet} \)) be the refinement of \( V_{\bullet}^Y \) on \( Y' \) by \( C \subset Y' \) (resp., \( V_{\bullet}^\tilde{Y} \) on \( \tilde{Y} \) by \( \tilde{C} \subset Y \)).

Remark 4.10. By Remark 3.17 for any sufficiently divisible \( a, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), we have
\[
W^{Y', C}_{a,j,k} = W^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}}_{a,j,k} + k (\Sigma|_{\tilde{C}}),
\]
where we regard \( W^{Y', C}_{\bullet} \) as a series on \( \tilde{C} \) under the isomorphism \( \gamma|_{\tilde{C}} : \tilde{C} \to C \). In particular, we have
\[
\text{Supp} \left( W^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}}_{\bullet} \right) = \text{Supp} \left( W^{Y', C}_{\bullet} \right).
\]

Notation 4.11. (1) For any \( u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+] \), since \( \tilde{Y} \not\subset \text{Supp} N(u) \) (see Remark 4.3), we can set
\[
\theta^* (N(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) =: d(u)\tilde{C} + N'(u),
\]
where \( d(u) := \text{ord}_{\tilde{C}} (\theta^* (N(u)|_{\tilde{Y}})) \). (By Lemma 4.1 \( \theta^* (N(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor when \( u \in \mathbb{Q} \).)

(2) For any \( u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+] \), since \( P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}} \) is semiample, we can define
\[
t(u) := \max \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \left| \theta^* (P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) - t\tilde{C} \right. \text{ is pseudo-effective} \right\}.
\]

For any \( v \in [0, t(u)] \), let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{Y}, \theta^* (P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) - v\tilde{C} \right),
\]
\[
N(u, v) := N_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{Y}, \theta^* (P(u)|_{\tilde{Y}}) - v\tilde{C} \right),
\]
and define
\[
\Delta_{\text{Supp}} := \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0} \left| u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+], \right. \right. \left. v \in [d(u), d(u) + t(u)] \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}.
\]
Lemma 4.12. (1) The function \( d : [\tau_-, \tau_+] \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) is continuous and convex.

(2) The function \( d + t : [\tau_-, \tau_+] \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) is continuous and concave.

In particular, \( \bar{\Delta}^{\supp} \subset \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0} \) is a closed and convex set.

Proof. (1) The continuity is trivial by Lemma 4.1. The convexity follows from the following:

Claim 4.13. For all \( \tau_\leq u < u' \leq \tau_+ \) and for all \( s \in [0, 1] \), we have

\[
N((1-s)u + su') \leq (1-s)N(u) + sN(u').
\]

Proof of Claim 4.13. Trivial since

\[
N((1-s)u + su') = N_\sigma(\bar{X}, (1-s)\sigma^*(L - u\bar{Y}Y) + s\sigma^*(L - u'\bar{Y}Y)) 
\leq (1-s)N_\sigma(\bar{X}, \sigma^*(L - u\bar{Y}Y)) + sN_\sigma(\bar{X}, \sigma^*(L - u'\bar{Y}Y))
\]

(see [Nak04, Chapter III, Corollary 1.9]). □

(2) The continuity of \( d + t \) is trivial by (1). Let us show the concavity. Take any \( \tau_\leq u < u' \leq \tau_+ \) and \( s \in [0, 1] \). Note that

\[
\theta^* (\sigma^*(L - u\bar{Y}Y)|_{\bar{Y}}) - N'(u) = \theta^* (P(u)|_{\bar{Y}}) + d(u)\bar{C}.
\]

Thus

\[
\theta^* (\sigma^*(L - u\bar{Y}Y)|_{\bar{Y}}) - N'(u) - (d(u) + t(u))\bar{C}
\]

is pseudo-effective. By Claim 4.13, we have

\[
\theta^* (\sigma^*(L - ((1-s)u + su') Y)|_{\bar{Y}}) - ((1-s)N'(u) + sN'(u')) 
\leq (1-s)(d(u) + t(u)) + s(d(u') + t(u'))\bar{C}
\]

This implies that

\[
\theta^* (P((1-s)u + su')|_{\bar{Y}}) - ((1-s) (d(u) + t(u)) + s(d(u') + t(u')) - d((1-s)u + su'))\bar{C}
\]

is pseudo-effective. Thus we get the assertion. □

Lemma 4.14. (1) For any \( u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+] \), we have \( N(u, 0) = 0 \). In particular, for any \( v \in [0, t(u)] \), we have \( \bar{C} \not\subset \text{Supp} \ N(u, v) \).

(2) For any \( (u, v) \in \text{int} (\bar{\Delta}^{\supp}) \), we have \( (P(u, v) \cdot \bar{C}) > 0 \).

Proof. (1) Since \( \theta^* (P(u)|_{\bar{Y}}) \) is nef, we have \( N(u, 0) = 0 \). If \( \bar{C} \subset \text{Supp} \ N(u, v) \), then, by [Nak04, Chapter III, Corollary 1.9], we must have \( \bar{C} \subset \text{Supp} \ N(u, 0) \). This leads to a contradiction.

(2) Assume that \( (P(u, v) \cdot \bar{C}) = 0 \). The Hodge index theorem implies that the intersection matrix of the support of \( N(u, v) + \bar{C} \) is negative definite. Thus, for any \( 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \),

\[
\theta^* (P(u)|_{\bar{Y}}) - (v - \varepsilon)\bar{C} = P(u, v) + (N(u, v) + \varepsilon\bar{C})
\]

gives the Zariski decomposition. This leads to a contradiction to (1). □

Proposition 4.15. (1) We have

\[
\bar{\Delta}^{\supp} = \text{Supp} \left( W^Y_{\bullet, \bullet} \bar{C} \right) \cap \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0} = \text{Supp} \left( W^Y_{\bullet, \bullet} \bar{C} \right) \cap \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}.
\]

(2) Take any closed point \( p \in C \) and let us consider the Okounkov body \( \Delta_{\bullet} \left( W^Y_{\bullet, \bullet} \bar{C} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^S_{\geq 0} \) of \( W^Y_{\bullet, \bullet} \bar{C} \) associated to the admissible flag

\[
C_\bullet : \ C \supset \{p\}.
\]

Let

\[
pr : \Delta_{\bullet} \left( W^Y_{\bullet, \bullet} \bar{C} \right) \to \bar{\Delta}^{\supp}
\]
be the natural projection as in Theorem \[3.6\]. Then, for any \((u, v) \in \text{int} \ (\tilde{\Delta}^{\text{Supp}})\), the inverse image \(p_r^{-1} ((u, v)) \subset \mathbb{R}^1\) is equal to the closed area
\[
\left[ \text{ord}_p \left( (N'(u) + N(u, v - d(u)) - v\Sigma) \mid_c \right), \right.
\left. \text{ord}_p \left( (N'(u) + N(u, v - d(u)) - v\Sigma) \mid_c + (P(u, v - d(u)) \cdot \tilde{C}) \right) \right] \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}.
\]

**Proof.** \([1]\) Take any \((u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \setminus \tilde{\Delta}^{\text{Supp}}\) with \((u, v) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\). If \(u \not\in [\tau_-, \tau_+]\), then \(V_{m, mu}^{\tilde{Y}} = 0\) for \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) sufficiently divisible. Thus we have \(W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}} = 0\). If \(u \in [\tau_-, \tau_+]\) but \(v \not\in [d(u), d(u) + t(u)]\), then \(W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}} = 0\) for \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) sufficiently divisible. Indeed,
- if \(v < d(u)\), then the homomorphism
\[
\theta^* V_{m, mu}^{\tilde{Y}} \cap (mv\tilde{C} + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, m\theta^* (\sigma^* (L - uY) |_{\tilde{Y}}) - mv\tilde{C})) \mapsto H^0 (\tilde{C}, m\theta^* \sigma^* (L - uY) |_{\tilde{C}} - mv\tilde{C})
\]
is a zero map since any member in \(\theta^* V_{m, mu}^{\tilde{Y}}\) vanishes along \(\tilde{C}\) of order at least \(md(v) > mv\),
- if \(v > d(u) + t(u)\), then
\[
\theta^* V_{m, mu}^{\tilde{Y}} \cap (mv\tilde{C} + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, m\theta^* (\sigma^* (L - uY) |_{\tilde{Y}}) - mv\tilde{C})) = 0
\]
since
\[
\text{ord}_C (\theta^* (mN(u) |_{\tilde{Y}})) = md(u)
\]
and
\[
m (\theta^* (P(u) |_{\tilde{Y}}) - (v - d(u)) \tilde{C})
\]
is not pseudo-effective.
Thus we get the inclusion \(\tilde{\Delta}^{\text{Supp}} \supset \text{Supp} \left( W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}} \right) \cap \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}\).

Take any \((u, v) \in \text{int} \ (\tilde{\Delta}^{\text{Supp}}) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2\). For any sufficiently divisible \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\), since
\[
\theta^* V_{m, mu}^{\tilde{Y}} \cap (mv\tilde{C} + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, m\theta^* (\sigma^* (L - uY) |_{\tilde{Y}}) - v\tilde{C}))
\]
\[
= (m (d(u)\tilde{C} + N'(u)) + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, m\theta^* (P(u) |_{\tilde{Y}})))
\]
\[
\cap (mv\tilde{C} + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, m (\theta^* (\sigma^* (L - uY) |_{\tilde{Y}}) - v\tilde{C})))
\]
\[
= m (v\tilde{C} + N'(u) + N(u, v - d(u))) + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, mP(u, v - d(u))),
\]
we have
\[
W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}} = \text{Image} \left( m (v\tilde{C} + N'(u) + N(u, v - d(u))) + H^0 (\tilde{Y}, mP(u, v - d(u))) \right)
\]
\[
\mapsto m (N'(u)) \mid_c + N(u, v - d(u)) \mid_c + H^0 (\tilde{C}, mP(u, v - d(u))) \mid_c \right).
\]
The above homomorphism rest satisfies that
\[
\text{coker(rest)} \subset H^1 (\tilde{Y}, mP(u, v - d(u)) - \tilde{C}).
\]
Since \(P(u, v - d(u))\) is a nef and big \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor, we get \(\dim \left( \text{coker(rest)} \right) \leq O(1)\) as \(m \to \infty\) by Takao Fujita’s vanishing theorem [Fujima17 Corollary 3.9.3]. Moreover, let us recall that
\[
W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}} = W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}', \tilde{C}} + mv (\Sigma |_{\tilde{C}}).
\]
Thus, the Okounkov body of the series \(W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}', \tilde{C}}\) (given in Theorem \[3.6\]) associated to \(\tilde{C}\) is equal to the closed area given in the assertion of Proposition \[1.15\] \([2]\). By Lemma \[1.14\] we have \(\left( P(u, v - d(u)) \cdot \tilde{C} \right) > 0\). Thus we get the inclusion \(\tilde{\Delta}^{\text{Supp}} \subset \text{Supp} \left( W_{m, mu, mv}^{\tilde{Y}, \tilde{C}} \right) \cap \{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}\). Thus we get the assertion.
We may assume that \((u, v) \in \text{int} (\check{\Delta}^\text{Supp}) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2\). The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 3.6 and the proof of Proposition 4.15 (1). \(\square\)

**Definition 4.16** (cf. [ACCFKMGSSV]). Under Notation 4.11 let us set
\[
F_p \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* \right) := \frac{6}{\text{vol}(L)} \int_{\tau_+}^{\tau_0} \int_0^{t(u)} (P(u, v) \cdot \check{C}) \cdot \text{ord}_p((N'(u) + N(u, v) - (v + d(u)) \Sigma) |_C) dvdu
\]
for any closed point \(p \in C\).

Theorem 4.17 and the following Theorem 4.17 are crucial in this paper.

**Theorem 4.17** (cf. [ACCFKMGSSV]). Under Notation 4.11, we have
\[
S \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* ; p \right) = \frac{3}{\text{vol}(L)} \int_{\tau_+}^{\tau_0} \int_0^{t(u)} (P(u, v) \cdot \check{C})^2 dvdu + F_p \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* \right)
\]
for any closed point \(p \in C\).

**Proof.** As in Proposition 4.15, let us consider the Okounkov body \(\Delta_{C'} \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* \right) \) and the projection \(pr: \Delta_{C'} \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* \right) \to \check{\Delta}^\text{Supp}\). From Proposition 3.12, we have
\[
S \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* ; p \right) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}} \left( \Delta_{C'} \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* \right) \right) \int_{\tau_+}^{\tau_0} \int_0^{t(u)} (P(u, v) \cdot \check{C}) \cdot \text{ord}_p((N'(u) + N(u, v) - (v + d(u)) \Sigma) |_C) dvdu
\]

Thus we get the assertion. \(\square\)

As a consequence, we get the following corollary. We frequently use it in order to prove Theorem 10.1.

**Corollary 4.18.** Assume that there exists a projective klt pair \((X', \Delta')\) with \(\Delta'\) effective \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Weil divisor and a big \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(L'\) on \(X'\) such that \(Y\) is plt-type over \((X', \Delta')\), the associated plt-blowup is equal to \(\mu: X \to X'\), and \(L = \mu^* L'\). Set
\[
K_X + \Delta + (1 - A_{X', \Delta'}(Y)) Y := \mu^* (K_{X'} + \Delta'),
K_Y + \Delta_Y := (K_X + \Delta + Y) |_Y.
\]

Assume moreover that \(\nu: Y' \to Y\) is the plt-blowup of the plt-type prime divisor \(C\) over \((Y, \Delta_Y)\). Set
\[
K_{Y'} + \Delta_{Y'} + (1 - A_{Y, \Delta_Y}(C)) C := \nu^* (K_Y + \Delta_Y),
K_C + \Delta_C := (K_{Y'} + \Delta_{Y'} + C) |_C.
\]

(1) For any closed point \(q \in Y\) with \(q \in c_Y(C)\), we have
\[
\delta_q \left( Y, \Delta_Y; V_{\bullet, \bullet}^* \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{Y, \Delta_Y}(C)}{S \left( V_{\bullet, \bullet}^* ; C \right)}, \frac{\inf_{p \in C} \frac{A_{C, \Delta_C}(p)}{S \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* ; p \right)}}{S \left( W_{Y', \bullet, \bullet}^* ; p \right)} \right\}.
\]
(2) For any closed point $q' \in X'$ with $q' \in c_{X'}(Y)$, we have

$$\delta_{q'}(X', \Delta'; L') \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{X', \Delta'}(Y)}{S_{L'}(Y)}, \inf_{q \in Y} \delta_q \left( Y, \Delta_Y; V_{\cdot}^* \right) \right\}.$$ 

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 3.20 and Corollary 4.9. □

5. Fano threefolds of No. 3.11

Let us explain the family No. 3.11, i.e., the family No. 11 in Table 3 in Mori–Mukai’s list [MM81].

Set $P := \mathbb{P}^3$, let $C^P \subset P$ be a smooth curve given by the complete intersection of two quadric surfaces. Take a point $p \in C^P$ and let $l \subset P$ be the tangent line of $C^P$ at $p$. Let us consider the blowup $\sigma : V \to P$ of $P$ at $p$ and let $E^V_2 \subset V$ be the exceptional divisor. Set $C^V := (\sigma^V)^{-1}C^P$, $l^V := (\sigma^V)^{-1}l^P$. We know that $V \simeq \mathbb{P}^2(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \to \mathbb{P}^2$ and $l^V$ is a fiber of $\pi^V$. Moreover, since $C^P$ is the complete intersection of two quadrics, the restriction morphism $\pi^V|_{C^V} : C^V \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is an isomorphism. Let us set $q^C := \pi^V(l^V) \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and $C := \pi^V(C^V) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Then $q^C \in C$, and $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth cubic curve. Let $E^V_3 := (\pi^V)^{-1}(C) \subset V$.

Let $\sigma_1 : X \to V$ be the blowup along $C^V$ and let $E_1 \subset X$ be the exceptional divisor. Let us set

$$
E_2 := (\sigma_1)^{-1}(E^V_2), \\
E_3 := (\sigma_1)^{-1}(E^V_3), \\
l := (\sigma_1)^{-1}(l^V).
$$

By [MM84], $X$ is a smooth Fano threefold such that $\rho(X) = 3$, $(-K_X)^3 = 28$ and $B_3(X) = 2$. Since the pair $(V, E^V_2 + E^V_3)$ is a log smooth pair and $C^V \subset E^V_3$ is a smooth curve intersecting with $E^V_3$ transversely at one point, the pair $(X, E_1 + E_2 + E_3)$ is also a log smooth pair. Set $q := E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$. Moreover, let $V_{\cdot}^*$ be the Veronese equivalence class of the complete linear series of $-K_X$ on $X$.

By [Mat95, §III-3], there exists the commutative diagram:
where $\sigma_i$ is the birational morphism whose exceptional set is $E_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $\text{Bl}_{C^P} P$ is the blowup of $P$ along $C^P$. For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, let $H_i \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be the pull-back of $O_p(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ and let $l_i \subset E_i$ be a curve contracted by $\sigma_i$. By [Mat95 §III-3] and [Fjt16 §10], we have

\[
\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[H_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[H_2] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[H_3],
\]

\[
(H_i \cdot l_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 3),
\]

\[
E_1 \sim -H_1 + H_2 + H_3,
\]

\[
E_2 \sim -H_2 + H_3,
\]

\[
E_3 \sim H_1 + 2H_2 - H_3,
\]

\[
-K_X \sim H_1 + H_2 + H_3,
\]

\[
\text{Nef}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[H_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[H_2] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[H_3],
\]

\[
\text{Eff}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[H_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E_2] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E_3],
\]

where $\text{Nef}(X)$ is the nef cone of $X$ and $\text{Eff}(X)$ is the pseudo-effective cone of $X$. Note that $E_3 \sim -E_1 - 3E_2 + 3H_3$. Thus the divisor $(\sigma^V \circ \sigma_1)_* E_3$ is the cubic surface with $C^P \subset (\sigma^V \circ \sigma_1)_* E_3$ and vanishes at $p^P$ of order at least 3. We can easily check that

\[
H_1^2 = 0, \quad (H_1 \cdot H_2^3) = 1, \quad (H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdot H_3) = 2, \quad (H_1 \cdot H_3^2) = 2,
\]

\[
(H_2^3) = 0, \quad (H_2 \cdot H_3^2) = 1, \quad (H_2 \cdot H_3) = 1, \quad (H_3^3) = 1.
\]

**Remark 5.1.**

1. By [PCS19], for any such $X$, we have $\text{Aut}^0(X) = \{1\}$.
2. By [Fjt16 §10], for any prime divisor $D$ on $X$, the inequality

\[
\frac{A_X(D)}{S_X(D)} > 1
\]

holds.

**Remark 5.2.** There are two possibilities:

(A) The point $q^C \in C$ is not an inflection point of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

(B) The point $q^C \in C$ is an inflection point of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

In fact, we have explicit examples. The following examples provided by Cheltsov and Shramov, especially Example 5.3 (B), are very important in this paper.

**Example 5.3** (Cheltsov and Shramov, see also [ACCFKMGSSV]).

(A) Set $G := \mu_4$ acting $P = \mathbb{P}^3_{xyzt}$ with

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
z \\
t
\end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
\sqrt{-1}y \\
-z \\
-\sqrt{-1}t
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let us set

\[
C^P := (xy + zt = 0) \cap (x^2 + z^2 + yt = 0), \quad p^P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \end{bmatrix} \in C^P.
\]

Then, since $p^P$ and $C^P$ are $G$-invariant, we have $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$. Moreover, the cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{xyz}$ is defined by the equation

\[
y(xy + zt) - z(x^2 + z^2 + yt) = 0,
\]

and $q^C$ corresponds to the point

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\
0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}^2_{xyz}.
\]
Clearly, \( q^C \in C \) is not an inflection point. Thus the \( X \) satisfies Remark 5.2 (A).

(B) Set \( G := \mu_2 \times \mu_3 \) acting \( P = \mathbb{P}^3_{xyzt} \) with

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_2 & : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mu_3 & : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \omega z \\ \omega^2 t \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \omega := e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/3} \). Let us set

\[
C^P := (yz + t^2 = 0) \cap (x^2 + y^2 + zt = 0), \quad p^P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in C^P.
\]

Then, since \( p^P \) and \( C^P \) are \( G \)-invariant, we have \( G \subset \text{Aut}(X) \). Moreover, the cubic curve \( C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{xyt} \) is defined by the equation

\[
(t(yz + t^2) - y(x^2 + y^2 + zt) = t^3 - x^2y - y^3 = 0,
\]

and \( q^C \) corresponds to the point

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}^2_{xyt}.
\]

Clearly, \( q^C \in C \) is an inflection point.

**Remark 5.4.** We see the action \( G \acts X \) in Example 5.3 (B).

1. The set of \( G \)-invariant points in \( P = \mathbb{P}^3_{xyzt} \) is

\[
\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = p^P, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.
\]

We note that

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin C^P.
\]

Let \( p_x, p_y, p_t \in X \) be the inverse images of

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in P,
\]

respectively. Then, obviously, we have

\[
\{ p \in X \setminus E_2 \mid \text{G-invariant} \} = \{ p_x, p_y, p_t \}.
\]

Moreover, we have \( p_x \in E_3 \setminus E_1 \) and \( p_y, p_t \in X \setminus (E_1 \cup E_3) \).

2. Let \( H_x, H_y, H_z, H_t \subset X \) be the strict transforms of the planes \( (x = 0), (y = 0), (z = 0), (t = 0) \subset P = \mathbb{P}^3_{xyzt} \), respectively. Then we have:

- a prime divisor \( D \in [H_2] \) is \( G \)-invariant if and only if \( D = H_x, H_y \) or \( H_t \), and
- a prime divisor \( D \in [H_3] \) is \( G \)-invariant if and only if \( D = H_z \).

**Remark 5.5.** (1) The members \( Q \in [H_1] \) are characterized by the strict transforms of the quadrics \( Q^P \subset P \) passing through \( C^P \). Note that \( Q^P \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) or \( \mathbb{P}(1,1,2) \), and \( C^P \cap \text{Sing} Q^P = \emptyset \).
• If $Q$ is smooth, then $Q$ is isomorphic to the del Pezzo surface of degree 7, and the union of the negative curves on $Q$ is equal to the set $(E_2 \cup E_3) \cap Q$.
• If $Q$ is singular, then $Q$ has exactly 2 negative curves with the self-intersection numbers $-1/2$ and $-1$.

(2) For any closed point $p \in X$, there uniquely exists $Q_p \in |H_1|$ with $p \in Q_p$, since $|H_1|$ induces the del Pezzo fibration $p_3 : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

**Example 5.6.** If $X$ is in Example 5.3 [13], then we can write

$$|H_1| = \{ Q_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1 \},$$

where $Q_\lambda$ is the strict transform of the quadric

$$Q_\lambda := (yz + t^2 - \lambda(x^2 + y^2 + zt) = 0) \subset P = \mathbb{P}^3_{xyzt}.$$  

We have the following properties:

- The divisor $Q_\lambda$ is $G$-invariant if and only if $\lambda = 0$ or $\infty$.
- The divisor $Q_\lambda$ is singular if and only if

$$\lambda = 0, 1, \omega \text{ or } \omega^2$$

(recall that $\omega = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/3}$).
- If $p = p_1$, then the $Q_p$ in Remark 5.3 (2) is equal to $Q_\infty$. If $p = p_x$ or $p_y$, then the $Q_p$ in Remark 5.3 (2) is equal to $Q_0$. Moreover, $Q_0$ is singular at $p_x$. Since $t^P = (y = t = 0) \subset P$, the curve $l \subset Q_0$ is the negative curve with $(t^2) = -1/2$.

6. **Local $\delta$-invariants for general points**

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $X$ be as in 5.3. Take a closet point $p \in X \setminus (E_2 \cup E_3)$. If the divisor $Q_p \in |H_1|$ in Remark 5.3 (2) is smooth, then we have the inequality

$$\delta_p(X) \geq \frac{56}{51}.$$  

**Proof.** We note that $\tau_X(Q_p) = 2$. For any $u \in [0, 2]$, let us set

$$P(u) := P_\sigma(X, -K_X - uQ_p),$$

$$N(u) := N_\sigma(X, -K_X - uQ_p).$$

By §5, we have the following:

- If $u \in [0, 1]$, then
  $$N(u) = 0,$$
  $$P(u) \sim_R (1 - u)H_1 + H_2 + H_3.$$  

- If $u \in [1, 2]$, then
  $$N(u) = (u - 1)E_1,$$
  $$P(u) \sim_R (2 - u)H_2 + (2 - u)H_3.$$  

Therefore we get

$$S_X(Q_p) = \frac{1}{28} \left( \int_0^1 ((1 - u)H_1 + H_2 + H_3)^3 du + \int_1^2 ((2 - u)H_2 + (2 - u)H_3)^3 du \right) = \frac{11}{16}.$$  

Let $e_0$, $e_1$, $e_2 \subset Q_p$ be mutually distinct $(-1)$-curves with $e_1 \cap e_2 = \emptyset$. Set $C^Q := E_1|_{Q_p}$. Then $C^Q$ is a smooth curve with

$$C^Q \sim 3e_0 + 2e_1 + 2e_2.$$  

Since $H_1|_{Q_p} \sim 0$, $H_2|_{Q_p} \sim e_0 + e_1 + e_2$ and $H_3|_{Q_p} \sim 2e_0 + e_1 + e_2$, we have the following:
• If \( u \in [0, 1] \), then
\[
N(u)|_{Q_p} = 0,
\]
\[
P(u)|_{Q_p} \sim_\mathbb{R} 3e_0 + 2e_1 + 2e_2.
\]

• If \( u \in [1, 2] \), then
\[
N(u)|_{Q_p} = (u - 1)C^Q,
\]
\[
P(u)|_{Q_p} \sim_\mathbb{R} (2 - u)(3e_0 + 2e_1 + 2e_2).
\]

Take the smooth curve \( B \subset Q_p \) with \( B \sim e_0 + e_1 \) and \( p \in B \). After replacing \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) if necessary, we may assume that \( \text{ord}_p(C^Q|_B) \leq 1 \). Let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_{\sigma}(Q_p, P(u)|_{Q_p} - vB),
\]
\[
N(u, v) := N_{\sigma}(Q_p, P(u)|_{Q_p} - vB).
\]

• Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 1] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = 0,
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} (3 - v)e_0 + (2 - v)e_1 + 2e_2,
\]
and \((P(u, v))^2 = 7 - 4v\).
  - If \( v \in [1, 2] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = (v - 1)e_2,
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} (3 - v)e_0 + (2 - v)e_1 + (3 - v)e_2,
\]
and \((P(u, v))^2 = (2 - v)(4 - v)\).

• Assume that \( u \in [1, 2] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 2 - u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = 0,
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} (6 - 3u - v)e_0 + (4 - 2u - v)e_1 + (4 - 2u)e_2,
\]
and \((P(u, v))^2 = (2 - u)(14 - 7u - 4v)\).
  - If \( v \in [2 - u, 4 - 2u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = (-2 + u + v)e_2,
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} (6 - 3u - v)e_0 + (4 - 2u - v)e_1 + (6 - 3u - v)e_2,
\]
and \((P(u, v))^2 = (4 - 2u - v)(8 - 4u - v)\).

Hence, by Theorem 4.8, we get
\[
S(V^p; B) = \frac{3}{28}\left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 (7 - 4v)dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v)(4 - v)dv\right) du + \int_1^2 \left(\int_0^{2-u} (2 - u)(14 - 7u - 4v)dv + \int_{2-u}^{4-2u} (4 - 2u - v)(8 - 4u - v)dv\right) du\right) = \frac{95}{112}.
\]
Moreover, by Theorem 4.17 we get
\[ S(W_{Q_p}^B; p) \leq \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{2u} dv + \int_1^2 (3-v)^2 dv \right) du \right) \]
\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2u} (4-2u)^2 dv + \int_{2-u}^{4-2u} (6-3u-v)^2 dv \right) du \]
\[ + \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{2u} (u-1)(4-2u) dv + \int_{2-u}^{4-2u} (u-1)(6-3u-v) dv \right) du \right) \]
\[ = \frac{95}{112} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{51}{56} \]
Therefore, we get the inequality
\[ \delta_p(X) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(Q_p)}{S_X(Q_p)}, \frac{A_{Q_p}(B)}{S(W_{Q_p}^B; B)}, \frac{A_B(p)}{S(W_{Q_p}^B; p)} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{16}{11}, \frac{112}{95}, \frac{56}{51} \right\} = \frac{56}{51} \]
by Corollary 4.18.

\[ \] 

Corollary 6.2. Let \( G \subset X \) be as in Example 5.3 (B). Then we have
\[ \delta_{p_t}(X) \geq \frac{56}{51}, \]
where \( p_t \in X \) is given in Remark 5.4.

Proof. Trivial from Remark 5.3 (1) and Example 5.6. \( \Box \)

Proposition 6.3. Let \( X \) be as in \( \Box \). Take a closed point \( p_0 \in X \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2) \). Assume that there is a smooth member \( S \in |H_3| \) with \( -K_S \) ample such that
- \( p_0 \in S \), and
- any \((-1)\)-curve in \( S \) does not pass through \( p_0 \).
Then we have the inequality
\[ \delta_{p_0}(X) \geq \frac{112}{107}. \]

Proof. Let \( S^P \subset P \) be the strict transform of \( S \) on \( P \). Then \( S^P \) is a plane with \( p \notin S^P \), \( C^P \cap S^P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_4\} \), and \( S \) is the blowup of \( S^P \) along the points \( p_1, \ldots, p_4 \) from the assumption. Let \( \varepsilon: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S^P \) be the composition of the blowup \( \varepsilon_0: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S \) at \( p_0 \in S \) and the natural morphism \( S \rightarrow S^P \). From the assumption, \( \tilde{S} \) is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 4. Let \( e_0, \ldots, e_4 \subset \tilde{S} \) be the \( \varepsilon \)-exceptional curves with \( \varepsilon(e_i) = p_i \), let \( l_{ij} \subset \tilde{S} \) \((0 \leq i < j \leq 4)\) be the strict transform of the line passing through \( p_i \) and \( p_j \), and let \( \tilde{C} \subset \tilde{S} \) be the strict transform of the conic passing through \( p_0, \ldots, p_4 \). Moreover, let \( \tilde{C} \subset \tilde{S} \) be the strict transform of \( E_3|S \subset S \). Note that \( \tau_X(S) = 3/2 \). For \( u \in [0, 3/2] \), let us set
\[ P(u) := P_\sigma(X, -K_X - uS), \quad N(u) := N_\sigma(X, -K_X - uS). \]

Then,
- if \( u \in [0, 1] \), then
  \[ N(u) = 0, \quad P(u) \sim_R H_1 + H_2 + (1-u)H_3, \]
- if \( u \in [1, 3/2] \), then
  \[ N(u) = (u-1)E_3, \quad P(u) \sim_R (2-u)H_1 + (3-2u)H_2. \]
Thus we get
\[
S_X(S) = \frac{1}{28} \left( \int_0^1 (H_1 + H_2 + (1 - u)H_3)^3 \, du + \int_1^\infty ((2 - u)H_1 + (3 - 2u)H_2)^3 \, du \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{227}{448}.
\]

Let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_x \left( \tilde{S}, \varepsilon_0^*(P(u)|_S) - ve_0 \right),
\]
\[
N(u, v) := N_\sigma \left( \tilde{S}, \varepsilon_0^*(P(u)|_S) - ve_0 \right).
\]

Note that
\[
\varepsilon_0^*(P(u)|_S) \sim_R \begin{cases}
\varepsilon^\ast O(4 - u) - (e_1 + \cdots + e_4) & \text{if } u \in [0, 1], \\
\varepsilon^\ast O(7 - 4u) - (2 - u)(e_1 + \cdots + e_4) & \text{if } u \in [1, \frac{7}{2}].
\end{cases}
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 3 - u] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = 0,
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_R \varepsilon^\ast O(4 - u) - ve_0 - (e_1 + \cdots + e_4),
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (4 - u)^2 - 4 - v^2 \).
  - If \( v \in [3 - u, 4 - 2u] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = (-3 + u + v)(l_{01} + \cdots + l_{04}),
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_R \varepsilon^\ast O(16 - 5u - 4v) - (12 - 4u - 3v)e_0 - (4 - u - v)(e_1 + \cdots + e_4),
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (4 - u - v)(12 - 5u - 3v) \).

- Assume that \( u \in [1, \frac{7}{2}] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 6 - 4u] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = 0,
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_R \varepsilon^\ast O(7 - 4u) - ve_0 - (2 - u)(e_1 + \cdots + e_4),
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (7 - 4u)^2 - 4(2 - u)^2 - v^2 \).
  - If \( v \in [6 - 4u, 5 - 3u] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = (-6 + 4u + v)\tilde{C},
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_R \varepsilon^\ast O(19 - 12u - 2v) - (6 - 4u)e_0 - (8 - 5u - v)(e_1 + \cdots + e_4),
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (3 - 2u)(23 - 14u - 4v) \).
  - If \( v \in [5 - 3u, \frac{13 - 8u}{2}] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = (-5 + 3u + v)(l_{01} + \cdots + l_{04}) + (-6 + 4u + v)\tilde{C},
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_R \varepsilon^\ast O(39 - 24u - 6v) - (26 - 16u - 4v)e_0 - (13 - 8u - 2v)(e_1 + \cdots + e_4),
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (13 - 8u - 2v)^2 \).
As a consequence, we get the inequality

\[ S \left( V^S; e_0 \right) \leq \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{3-u} \left( (4-u)^2 - 4 - v^2 \right) dv \right) + \int_0^{4-u} (4-u-v)(12-5u-3v)du + \int_0^{5-3u} (13-8u-2v)^2 dv \right) \]

Therefore, we have

\[ S \left( V^S; e_0 \right) \leq \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{3-u} (12-4u-3v)(-3+u+v)dv \right) + \int_0^{4-u} (16-6u-4v)(-3+u+v)du \right) \]

Moreover, for any \( p \in e_0 \), since \( l_{01|e_0} \), \ldots, \( l_{04|e_0} \) are mutually distinct, and \( \text{ord}_p \left( \tilde{C}|e_0 \right), \text{ord}_p \left( \tilde{C}|e_0 \right) \leq 1 \), we have

\[ F_p \left( W^S; e_0 \right) \leq \frac{27}{448} + \frac{5}{448} + \frac{1}{64} = \frac{39}{448} \]

Therefore, we get

\[ S \left( W^S; e_0 \right) \leq \frac{39}{448} + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{3-u} v^2 dv + \int_0^{4-u} (12-4u-3v)^2 dv + \int_0^{5-3u} (16-6u-4v)^2 dv \right) \right) \]

As a consequence, we get the inequality

\[ \delta_{pe}(X) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_S(S)}{S(X)}, \frac{A_S(e_0)}{S(V^S; e_0)}, \inf \frac{A_S(p)}{S(W^S; e_0)} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{448}{227}, \frac{112}{107}, \frac{448}{407} \right\} = \frac{112}{107} \]
by Corollary 4.18 □

**Corollary 6.4.** Let $G \ni X$ be as in Example 5.3 ([3]). If $p_0 = p_y$ or $p_0 \in l \setminus \{p_x, q\}$, then we have

$$\delta_{p_0}(X) \geq \frac{112}{107}.$$  

**Proof.** Assume that $p_0 = p_y$. Take the plane

$$S^P := (t - \sqrt[3]{2}x = 0) \subset P.$$  

Then, under the natural isomorphism $S^P \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_{xyz}$, the point $p_0$ corresponds to the point

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}^2_{xyz},$$  

and the intersection $S^P \cap C^P$ is determined by the equations

$$yz + \sqrt[3]{4}x^2 = 0, \quad x^2 + y^2 + \sqrt[3]{2}xz = 0.$$  

Hence the points $p_1, \ldots, p_4 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ in Proposition 6.3 corresponds to the points

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2(1 + \sqrt[3]{-7}) \\ \sqrt[3]{4}(1 + \sqrt[3]{-7}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ \sqrt[3]{4}c \\ 2(1 + \sqrt[3]{-7}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ \sqrt[3]{4}(1 + \sqrt[3]{-7}) \end{bmatrix}.$$  

We can easily check that no 3 points among $p_0, \ldots, p_4$ are collinear.

Now assume that $p_0 \in l \setminus \{p_x, q\}$. There exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that we can write

$$p_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}^2_{xyz}. $$  

Take a general plane

$$S^P = (z = cx + ay + bt) \subset P$$  

passing through $p_0$ (for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ general). Then, under the natural isomorphism $S^P \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_{xyt}$, the point $p_0$ corresponds to the point

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{P}^2_{xyt},$$  

and the intersection $S^P \cap C^P$ is determined by the equations

$$y(cx + ay + bt) + t^2 = 0, \quad x^2 + y^2 + (cx + ay + bt)t = 0.$$  

By Bertini’s theorem, $S^P \cap C^P$ consists of 4 distinct points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_4\}$. We can write

$$p_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ 1 \\ t_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4),$$  

where $t_1, \ldots, t_4$ are the roots of the polynomial

$$f(t) := t^4 + (2b - c^2)t^3 + (2a + b^2)t^2 + 2abt + a^2 + c^2.$$  

Since the discriminant

$$c^4(256a^2 + 256a^5 - 768a^3b - 128ab^2 - 128a^4b^2 + 640a^2b^3 + 16b^4 + 16a^3b^4 - 176ab^5 + 16b^7 + 54a^3c^2 - 768abc^2 + 144a^2bc^2 - 648a^2bc^2 - 4a^3bc^2 + 192ab^4c^2 - 4b^6c^2 + 288ac^4 - 27a^4c^4 + 360a^2bc^2 - 504b^2c^4 - 54ab^2c^4 - 54a^2c^6 + 216bc^6 - 27c^8).$$
Proof. The divisor \( f \) is nonzero for general \( a, b \in \mathbb{C}^* \), the values \( t_1, \ldots, t_4 \) are mutually distinct. Hence \( p_0, p_i, p_j \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq 4 \) are not collinear. Moreover, since \( \{p_0, \ldots, p_4\} \subset Q_0^P \cap S^P \) and \( Q_0^P \cap S^P \) is a smooth conic, no 3 points among \( \{p_0, \ldots, p_4\} \) are not collinear. \( \square \)

**Remark 6.5.** Let \( G \cap X \) be as in Example 5.D. For any smooth \( S \in |H_3| \) with \( p_x \in S \), the point \( p_x \) is contained in a \((-1)\)-curve in \( S \). Indeed, the intersection \( S^P \cap Q_0^P \) must be the union of 2 lines passing through \( p_x \). Note that, in \( Q_0^P \), the curve \( C^P \) tangents to \( l^P \) at \( p^P \). We will discuss the case in \( \Box \)

7. Local \( \delta \)-invariants for points in \( E_2 \)

In this section, we prove the following:

**Proposition 7.1.** Let \( X \) be as in \( \Box \). Take any closed point \( p \in E_2 \setminus \{q\} \). Then we have the inequality

\[
\delta_p(X) \geq \frac{112}{109}.
\]

**Proof.** The divisor \( E_2 \) is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface \( \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \). Let \( s \in E_2 \) be the \((-1)\)-curve and let \( l_2 \subset E_2 \) be the fiber of \( E_2 / \mathbb{P}^1 \) with \( p \in l_2 \). Note that \( q \in s \). Let us set \( C^E := E_2|E_2 \). Then \( C^E \) is a smooth curve with \( C^E \sim 2s + 3l_2 \). Note that \( \tau_X(E_2) = 2 \).

For any \( u \in [0, 2] \), let us set

\[
P(u) := P_s(X, -K_X - uE_2),
N(u) := N_s(X, -K_X - uE_2).
\]

Then we have the following:

- If \( u \in [0, 1] \), then
  \[
  N(u) = 0,
  P(u) \sim_R H_1 + (1 + u)H_2 + (1 - u)H_3.
  \]

- If \( u \in [1, 2] \), then
  \[
  N(u) = (u - 1)E_3,
  P(u) \sim_R (2 - u)H_1 + (3 - u)H_2.
  \]

Therefore we get

\[
S_X(E_2) = \frac{1}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( H_1 + (1 + u)H_2 + (1 - u)H_3 \right)^3 du \right.
+ \left. \int_1^2 \left( (2 - u)H_1 + (3 - u)H_2 \right)^3 du \right) = \frac{51}{56}.
\]

Note that,

- if \( u \in [0, 1] \), then
  \[
  N(u)|E_2 = 0,
  P(u)|E_2 \sim_R (1 + u)s + (2 + u)l_2,
  \]

- if \( u \in [1, 2] \), then
  \[
  N(u)|E_2 = (u - 1)C^E,
  P(u)|E_2 \sim_R (3 - u)s + (5 - 2u)l_2.
  \]

Let us set

\[
P(u, v) := P_s(E_2, P(u)|E_2 - vl_2),
N(u, v) := N_s(E_2, P(u)|E_2 - vl_2).
\]
Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
- If \( v \in [0, 1] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = 0,
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left( (1 + u)s + (2 + u - v)l_2 \right),
  \]
  and \((P(u, v))^2 = (1 + u)(3 + u - 2v)\).
- If \( v \in [1, 2 + u] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = (v - 1)s,
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left( (2 + u - v)s + (2 + u - v)l_2 \right),
  \]
  and \((P(u, v))^2 = (2 + u - v)^2\).

Assume that \( u \in [1, 2] \).
- If \( v \in [0, 2 - u] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = 0,
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left( (3 - u)s + (5 - 2u - v)l_2 \right),
  \]
  and \((P(u, v))^2 = (3 - u)(7 - 3u - 2v)\).
- If \( v \in [2 - u, 5 - 2u] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = (-2 + u + v)s,
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left( (5 - 2u - v)s + (5 - 2u - v)l_2 \right),
  \]
  and \((P(u, v))^2 = (5 - 2u - v)^2\).

Hence we get
\[
S_{\mathcal{V}_{E^2, l_2}^*} = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 (1 + u)(3 + u - 2v)dv + \int_1^{2+u} (2 + u - v)^2 dv \right) du \\
+ \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2-u} (3 - u)(7 - 3u - 2v)dv + \int_{2-u}^{5-2u} (5 - 2u - v)^2 dv \right) du \right) = \frac{25}{28}.
\]

Moreover, we have
\[
F_{\mathbb{S}|l_2} (W_{E^2, l_2}^* ) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_1^{2+u} (2 + u - v)(v - 1)dv du \right) \\
+ \int_1^2 \left( \int_{2-u}^{5-2u} (5 - 2u - v)(-2 + u + v)dv du \right) = \frac{15}{56}, \right.
\]
\[
F_{\text{red}(\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}|l_2)} (W_{E^2, l_2}^* ) = \text{ord}_p (\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}|l_2) \cdot \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2-u} (3 - u)(u - 1)dv \right) \\
+ \int_{2-u}^{5-2u} (5 - 2u - v)(u - 1)dv du \right) = \text{ord}_p (\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}|l_2) \cdot \frac{17}{112}.
\]

Since \( \text{ord}_p (\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}|l_2) \leq 2 \) and \( p \neq q \), we have
\[
F_p (W_{E^2, l_2}^* ) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{15}{56}, \frac{17}{112} \right\} = \frac{17}{56}.
\]
Therefore we get
\[
S \left( W_{E_2,l_2}^p; p \right) \leq \frac{17}{56} + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 (1 + u)^2 dv + \int_1^{2+u} (2 + u - v)^2 dv \right) du 
+ \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2-u} (3 - u)^2 dv + \int_{2-u}^{5-2u} (5 - 2u - v)^2 dv \right) du \right) 
\]
\[
= \frac{17}{56} + \frac{75}{112} = \frac{109}{112}.
\]
Therefore, we get the inequality
\[
\delta_q(X) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(E_2)}{S_X(E_2)} , \frac{A_{E_2}(l_2)}{S(W_{E_2,l_2}^p; p)} , \frac{A_{E_2}(p)}{S(W_{E_2,l_2}^p; p)} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{56}{51}, \frac{28}{25}, \frac{112}{109} \right\} = \frac{112}{109}
\]
by Corollary 4.18.

If \( p = q \), then the value \( \delta_q(\mathbb{V}_{E_2}^2) \) cannot be big. We do not use the following Proposition 7.2 later. However, we can recognize the importance of the arguments in \( \mathfrak{U} \) from Proposition 7.2 (2).

**Proposition 7.2.** Let \( X \) be as in \( \mathfrak{U} \)

1. If \( X \) satisfies Remark 5.2 (A), then we have \( \delta_q(\mathbb{V}_{E_2}^2) = \frac{112}{113} \).
2. If \( X \) satisfies Remark 5.2 (B), then we have \( \delta_q(\mathbb{V}_{E_2}^2) = \frac{112}{113} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \varepsilon : \tilde{E}_2 \rightarrow E_2 \) be the blowup at \( q \) and let \( \tilde{e}_1 \subset \tilde{E}_2 \) be the exceptional divisor. There are exactly 3 negative curves on \( \tilde{E}_2 \):

- the strict transform \( \tilde{l}_2 \) of the fiber \( l_2 \) of \( E_2/\mathbb{P}^1 \) passing through the point \( q \),
- the curve \( \tilde{e}_1 \), and
- the strict transform \( \tilde{s} \) of the \((-1)\)-curve \( s \subset E_2 \).

The intersection form of \( \tilde{l}_2, \tilde{e}_1 \) and \( \tilde{s} \) on \( \tilde{E}_2 \) is given by the symmetric matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( \tilde{C}^E \subset \tilde{E}_2 \) be the strict transform of \( C^E := E_3|_{E_2} \). Note that \( \tilde{C}^E \sim 3\tilde{l}_2 + 4\tilde{e}_1 + 2\tilde{s} \).

In this case, \( \tilde{l}_2|_{\tilde{e}_1}, \tilde{C}^E|_{\tilde{e}_1}, \tilde{s}|_{\tilde{e}_1} \) are mutually distinct reduced points. Let us denote them by \( p_l, p_c, p_s, \) respectively. Let \( P(u), N(u) \ (u \in [0, 2]) \) be as in the proof of Proposition 7.1. Let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_\sigma \left( \tilde{E}_2, \varepsilon^* (P(u)|_{E_2}) - v\tilde{e}_1 \right),
\]
\[
N(u, v) := N_\sigma \left( \tilde{E}_2, \varepsilon^* (P(u)|_{E_2}) - v\tilde{e}_1 \right).
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 1] \), then we have
    \[
    N(u, v) = 0,
    \]
    \[
    P(u, v) \sim_R (2 + u)\tilde{l}_2 + (3 + 2u - v)\tilde{e}_1 + (1 + u)\tilde{s},
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = 3 + 4u + u^2 - v^2 \).
If \( v \in [1, 1 + u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = \frac{v - 1}{2} \tilde{s},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} (2 + u) \hat{l}_2 + (3 + 2u - v) \hat{e}_1 + \frac{3 + 2u - v}{2} \tilde{s},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{7}{2} + 4u + u^2 - v - \frac{v^2}{2}.
\]

If \( v \in [1 + u, 3 + 2u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(3 + 2u - v)^2.
\]

Assume that \( u \in [1, 2] \).
- If \( v \in [0, 2 - u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = 0,
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} (5 - 2u) \hat{l}_2 + (8 - 3u - v) \hat{e}_1 + (3 - u) \tilde{s},
\]
and \((P(u, v))^2 = 21 - 16u + 3u^2 - v^2).\)

- If \( v \in [2 - u, 3 - u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = \frac{-2 + u + v}{2} \tilde{s},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} (5 - 2u) \hat{l}_2 + (8 - 3u - v) \hat{e}_1 + \frac{8 - 3u - v}{2} \tilde{s},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v))^2 = 23 + \frac{7u^2}{2} + u(-18 + v) - 2v - \frac{v^2}{2}.
\]

- If \( v \in [3 - u, 8 - 3u] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = \frac{-3 + u + v}{2} \tilde{s},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} (8 - 3u - v) \hat{l}_2 + (8 - 3u - v) \hat{e}_1 + \frac{8 - 3u - v}{2} \tilde{s},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(8 - 3u - v)^2.
\]
Hence we get
\[ S(V^{E^2}; \tilde{e}_1) \]
\[ = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 (3 + 4u + u^2 - v^2) dv \right) \right. \]
\[ + \int_{1+u}^{1+u} \left( \frac{7}{2} + 4u + u^2 - v - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) dv + \int_{1+u}^{3+2u} \frac{1}{2} (3 + 2u - v)^2 dv \] \[ + \left. \int_1^2 \left( (u + 1)(21 - 16u + 3u^2) + \int_0^{2-u} (21 - 16u + 3u^2 - v^2) dv \right) \right. \]
\[ + \int_{2-u}^{3-u} \left( 23 + \frac{7u^2}{2} + u(-18 + v) - 2v - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) dv + \int_{3-u}^{8-3u} \frac{1}{2} (8 - 3u - v)^2 dv \] \[ = \frac{111}{56}. \]
This implies that
\[ \delta_q (V^{E^2}) \leq \frac{A_{E^2} (\tilde{e}_1)}{S(V^{E^2}; \tilde{e}_1)} = \frac{112}{111}. \]
Moreover, we have
\[ F_{p_i} (W^{E^2}; \tilde{e}_i) \]
\[ = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{3+2u} \frac{3 + 2u - v}{2} (-1 - u + v) dv du \right) \right. \]
\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_{3-u}^{8-3u} \frac{8 - 3u - v}{2} (-3 + u + v) dv du \right) = \frac{15}{32}, \]
\[ F_{p_c} (W^{E^2}; \tilde{e}_i) \]
\[ = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2-u} v(u - 1) dv + \int_{2-u}^{3-u} \frac{2 - u + v}{2} (u - 1) dv \right) \right. \]
\[ + \int_{3-u}^{8-3u} \frac{8 - 3u - v}{2} (u - 1) dv du \right) = \frac{17}{112}, \]
\[ F_{p_s} (W^{E^2}; \tilde{e}_i) \]
\[ = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_1^{1+u} \frac{1 + v}{2} \cdot \frac{v - 1}{2} dv + \int_{1+u}^{3+2u} \frac{3 + 2u - v}{2} \cdot \frac{v - 1}{2} dv du \right) \right. \]
\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_{2-u}^{3-u} \frac{2 - u + v}{2} \cdot \frac{2 - u + v}{2} dv \right) \]
\[ + \int_{3-u}^{8-3u} \frac{8 - 3u - v}{2} \cdot \frac{2 - u + v}{2} dv du \right) = \frac{115}{224}. \]
Thus we get
\[ S \left( V^{E^2}; \tilde{e}_1, p \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{115}{224} + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 v^2 dv + \int_1^{1+u} \frac{1 + v}{2} v du \right) \right. \]
\[ + \left. \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2-u} v^2 dv + \int_{2-u}^{3-u} \frac{2 - u + v}{2} v du \right) \right. \]
\[ + \left. \int_{3-u}^{8-3u} \frac{8 - 3u - v}{2} v dv \right) \right) \]
\[ = \frac{115}{224} + \frac{95}{224} = \frac{15}{16}. \]
for any \( p \in \hat{e}_1 \). As a consequence, we get the inequality
\[
\delta_q \left( V_{\bullet}^E \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{E_2}(\hat{e}_1)}{S \left( V_{\bullet}^E ; \hat{e}_1 \right)}, \inf_{p \in \hat{e}_1} \frac{A_{\hat{e}_1}(p)}{S \left( W_{\bullet}E_2, \hat{e}_1 ; p \right)} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{112}{111}, \frac{16}{15} \right\} = \frac{112}{111}
\]
by Corollary 4.18.

In this case, we have \( \tilde{l}_2 \cap \hat{e}_1 \cap \tilde{C}^E \neq \emptyset \). Let
\[
\varepsilon : \tilde{E}_2 \to \hat{E}_2
\]
be the blowup at \( \tilde{l}_2 \cap \hat{e}_1 \cap \tilde{C}^E \) and let \( \hat{e}_2 \subset \hat{E}_2 \) be the exceptional divisor. Then there are exactly 4 negative curves on \( \hat{E}_2 \):

- the strict transform \( \hat{l}_2 \) of \( \hat{l}_2 \),
- the curve \( \hat{e}_2 \),
- the strict transform \( \hat{e}_1 \) of \( \hat{e}_1 \), and
- the strict transform \( \hat{s} \) of \( \hat{s} \).

The intersection form of \( \hat{l}_2, \hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_1 \) and \( \hat{s} \) on \( \hat{E}_2 \) is given by the symmetric matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Moreover, we can contract \( \hat{e}_1 \) and gives the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{E}_2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E'_2 \\
\downarrow{\varepsilon} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon'} \\
E_2, & & E'_2,
\end{array}
\]
where \( \hat{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon \circ \varepsilon_2 \), \( \gamma \) is the contraction of \( \hat{e}_1 \) and \( \varepsilon' \) is the extraction of \( e'_2 := \gamma_* \hat{e}_2 \). Clearly, the morphism \( \varepsilon' \) is a plt-blowup. Let us set \( \hat{C}^E := (\varepsilon_2)_*^{-1}\tilde{C}^E \). Then
\[
\hat{p}_l := \hat{l}_2|_{\varepsilon_2}, \quad \hat{p}_c := \hat{C}^E|_{\varepsilon_2}, \quad \hat{p}_e := \hat{e}_1|_{\varepsilon_2}
\]
are mutually distinct reduced points. Let \( p'_l, p'_c, p'_e \in e'_2 \) be the images of those points, respectively. We have
\[
\gamma^* e'_2 = \hat{e}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{e}_1,
\]
\[
\left( K_{E'_2} + e'_2 \right)|_{e'_2} = K_{E'_2} + \frac{1}{2} p'_e.
\]
Let \( P(u), N(u) \ (u \in [0, 2]) \) be as in the proof of Proposition 7.1. Let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{E}_2, \hat{e}_2, \gamma^* \left( P(u)|_{E_2} \right) - v \hat{e}_2 \right),
\]
\[
N(u, v) := N_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{E}_2, \hat{e}_2, \gamma^* \left( P(u)|_{E_2} \right) - v \hat{e}_2 \right).
\]
- Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 1 + u] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) &= \frac{v}{2} \hat{e}_1, \\
P(u, v) &\sim_{\mathbb{R}} (2 + u) \hat{l}_2 + (5 + 3u - v) \hat{e}_2 + \frac{6 + 4u - v}{2} \hat{e}_1 + (1 + u) \hat{s},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v))^2 = 3 + 4u + u^2 - \frac{v^2}{2}.
    \]
- If $v \in [1 + u, 2]$, then we have

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{-1 - u + v}{2} \hat{l}_2 + \frac{v}{2} \hat{e}_1,
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{5 + 3u - v}{2} \hat{l}_2 + (5 + 3u - v) \hat{e}_2 + \frac{6 + 4u - v}{2} \hat{e}_1 + (1 + u) \hat{s},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u)(7 + 3u - 2v).
\]

- If $v \in [2, 5 + 3u]$, then we have

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{-1 - u + v}{2} \hat{l}_2 + \frac{2v - 1}{3} \hat{e}_1 + \frac{v - 2}{3} \hat{s},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{5 + 3u - v}{2} \hat{l}_2 + (5 + 3u - v) \hat{e}_2 + \frac{2}{3}(5 + 3u - v) \hat{e}_1 + \frac{5 + 3u - v}{3} \hat{s},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{6}(5 + 3u - v)^2.
\]

- Assume that $u \in [1, 2]$.

- If $v \in [0, 4 - 2u]$, then we have

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2} \hat{e}_1,
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R (5 - 2u) \hat{l}_2 + (13 - 5u - v) \hat{e}_2 + \frac{16 - 6u - v}{2} \hat{e}_1 + (3 - u) \hat{s},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = 21 - 16u + 3u^2 - \frac{v^2}{2}.
\]

- If $v \in [4 - 2u, 3 - u]$, then we have

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{-2 + u + 2v}{3} \hat{e}_1 + \frac{-4 + 2u + v}{3} \hat{s},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R (5 - 2u) \hat{l}_2 + (13 - 5u - v) \hat{e}_2 + \frac{2}{3}(13 - 5u - v) \hat{e}_1 + \frac{13 - 5u - v}{3} \hat{s},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( 71 + 11u^2 + 2u(-28 + v) - 4v - v^2 \right).
\]

- If $v \in [3 - u, 13 - 5u]$, then we have

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{-3 + u + v}{2} \hat{l}_2 + \frac{-2 + u + v}{3} \hat{e}_1 + \frac{-4 + 2u + v}{3} \hat{s},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{13 - 5u - v}{2} \hat{l}_2 + (13 - 5u - v) \hat{e}_2 + \frac{2}{3}(13 - 5u - v) \hat{e}_1 + \frac{13 - 5u - v}{3} \hat{s},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{6}(13 - 5u - v)^2.
\]
Hence we get

\[
S(V^2, \hat{e}^2) = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{1+u} \left( 3 + 4u + u^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) dv \right) \right) + \int_1^{2u} \frac{1}{2} (1 + u)(7 + 3u - 2v) dv + \int_2^{5+3u} \frac{1}{6} (5 + 3u - v)^2 dv \right) du 
+ \int_1^{2u} \left( 2(u - 1)(21 - 16u + 3u^2) + \int_0^{4-2u} \left( 21 - 16u + 3u^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) dv \right) du 
+ \int_4^{-2u} \frac{1}{3} \left( 71 + 11u^2 + 2u(-28 + v) - 4v - v^2 \right) dv + \int_3^{-u} \frac{1}{6} (13 - 5u - v)^2 dv \right) du 
= \frac{339}{112}.
\]

This implies that

\[
\delta_q(V^2, \hat{e}^2) \leq \frac{A_{e_2}(\hat{e}^2)}{S(V^2, \hat{e}^2)} = \frac{112}{113}.
\]

Moreover, we have

\[
F_{e_1}(W^2, e_2) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{2} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{-1 - u + v}{2} dv \right) \right) + \int_2^{5+3u} \frac{5 + 3u - v}{6} \cdot \frac{-1 - u + v}{2} dv du 
+ \int_1^{2u} \left( 13 - 5u - v \right) \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{-1 - u + v}{2} dv du \right) = \frac{839}{1344},
\]

\[
F_{e_2}(W^2, e_2) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{4-2u} \frac{v}{2} (u - 1) dv + \int_4^{3-u} \frac{2 - u + v}{3} (u - 1) dv \right) \right) + \int_3^{-5u} \frac{13 - 5u - v}{6} (u - 1) dv du \right) = \frac{17}{112},
\]

\[
F_{e_3}(W^2, e_2) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_2^{5+3u} \frac{5 + 3u - v}{6} \cdot \frac{v - 2}{6} dv du \right) \right) + \int_1^{2u} \left( \int_4^{-2u} \frac{2 - u + v}{3} \cdot \frac{v + 2u - 4}{6} dv \right) du 
+ \int_3^{-5u} \frac{13 - 5u - v}{6} \cdot \frac{v + 2u - 4}{6} dv du \right) = \frac{269}{1344}.
\]
Thus we get
\[
S(W_{e_2}^{p'}; p') = F_{p'}(W_{e_2}^{p'}; p') + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 \frac{v^2}{2} dv \right) du + \int_1^2 \left( \frac{1 + u}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_2^{5+3u} \left( \frac{5 + 3u - v}{6} \right)^2 dv \right) \]
\[
\int_1^2 \left( \frac{1 + u}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_1^{3-u} \left( \frac{2 - u + v}{3} \right)^2 dv + \int_{3-u}^{13-5u} \left( \frac{13 - 5u - v}{6} \right)^2 dv \right) du \]
\[
= F_{p'}(W_{e_2}^{p'}) + \frac{361}{1344} \begin{cases} \frac{15}{327} & \text{if } p' = p_e', \\ \leq \frac{277}{366} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]
for any $p' \in e_2$. As a consequence, we get the inequality
\[
\delta_q(E_2; V_{e_2}^{p'}) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{e_2}^{p'}(\hat{e}_2)}{S(V_{e_2}^{p'}; \hat{e}_2)}, \inf_{p' \in e_2} \frac{A_{e_2}^{p'}(\rho_e)}{S(e_2; W_{e_2}^{p'}; p')} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{112}{113}, \frac{16}{15} \right\} = \frac{112}{113}
\]
by Corollary 4.18

8. Local $\delta$-invariants for special points, I

Proposition 8.1. Let $X$ be as in \( \Box \). Let us take a closed point $p \in X \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$ and let $Q \in |H_1|$ with $p \in Q$. Assume that $Q$ is singular at $p$. Let $l_2, l_3 \subset Q$ be the negative curves on $Q$ with $(l_2^2) = -1$ and $(l_3^2) = -1/2$. We assume that $l_2 \cap l_3 \cap (E_1|Q) \neq \emptyset$. Then we have

\[
\delta_p(X) \geq \frac{112}{103}.
\]

Proof. The following proof is divided into 10 numbers of steps since the proof is long.

Step 1
Set $C_1 := E_1|Q$. Then we have $C_1 \sim -K_Q$. Note that $p \in l_3$. Let us set $p_0 := l_2 \cap l_3 \cap C_1$. Since $E_1$ is isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the curve $C_1 \subset E_1$ is the fiber of the projection $C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ passing through $p_0$. Let $l_1 \subset E_1$ be the fiber of the projection $C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to C$ passing through $p_0$. Let

\[
\rho_p : X^1 \to X
\]
be the blowup at $p \in X$ and let $D^1 \subset X^1$ be the exceptional divisor. Set $Q^1 := (\rho_p)^{-1}(Q)$, $E_1^1 := (\rho_p)^{-1}(E_1)$. Obviously, we have $A_X(D^1) = 3$. Moreover, since

\[
-K_X \sim 2Q + E_1, \quad \rho_p^*Q = Q^1 + 2D^1, \quad \rho_p^*E_1 = E_1^1,
\]
we have $\tau_X(D^1) \geq 4$. Moreover, for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$,

\[
\rho_p^*(-K_X) - \varepsilon D^1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 2Q^1 + E_1^1 + (4 - \varepsilon)D^1
\]
is ample. Therefore, for any $u \in (0, 4)$ and for any irreducible curve $C^1 \subset X^1$ with $C^1 \not\subset Q^1 \cup E_1^1 \cup D^1$, we have

\[
((\rho_p^*(-K_X) - uD^1) \cdot C^1) = ((2Q^1 + E_1^1 + (4 - u)D^1) \cdot C^1) > 0.
\]

Note that the pair $(X^1, Q^1 + E_1^1 + D^1)$ is log smooth. In particular, the pair

\[
\left( X^1, \frac{2}{3}Q^1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1^1 \right)
\]
is klt. Since
\[-\left(K_{X^1} + \frac{2}{3}Q^1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1^1\right) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{2}{3} (\rho_p^*(-K_X) - D^1)\]
is nef and big, the variety $X^1$ is a Mori dream space by [BCHM10 Corollary 1.3.2].

**Step 2**
Let $l_2^1, l_3^1, C_1^1 \subset Q^1$ be the strict transforms of $l_2, l_3, C_1 \subset Q$, respectively. Let $l_1^1 \subset E_1^1$ be the strict transform of $l_1 \subset E_1$. Moreover, let $e^1 \subset Q^1$ be the exceptional curve of the morphism $Q^1 \to Q$. Let $g^1 \subset D^1(\simeq \mathbb{P}^2)$ be the tangent line of the conic $e^1 \subset D^1$ at $e^1 \cap l_3^1$. Then we have the following intersection numbers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$Q^1$</th>
<th>$E_1^1$</th>
<th>$D^1$</th>
<th>$2Q^1 + E_1^1 + (4 - u)D^1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_2^1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_3^1$</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 - $u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^1$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2$u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_1^1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1^1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g^1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$u$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, for $u \in [0, 1]$, the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $\rho_p^*(-K_X) - uD^1$ is nef,
\[
\text{vol}_{X^1}(\rho_p^*(-K_X) - uD^1) = 28 - u^3,
\]
and the divisor $\rho_p^*(-K_X) - D^1$ contracts the curve $l_3^1 \subset X^1$.

**Step 3**
Note that
\[
\mathcal{N}_{l_3^1/X^1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2),
\]
where $\mathcal{N}_{l_3^1/X^1}$ is the normal bundle of $l_3^1 \subset X^1$. Let
\[
\phi^{112}: X^{112} \to X^1
\]
be the blowup along $l_3^1 \subset X^1$ and let $E_1^{112} \subset X^{112}$ be the exceptional divisor. Note that $E_1^{112}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$. Let $l_3^{112} \subset E_1^{112}$ be the $(-1)$-curve. Since
\[
\mathcal{N}_{l_3^{112}/X^{112}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2},
\]
we can take Atiyah’s flop
\[
X^{112} \xleftarrow{\phi^{112}} X^{12} \xrightarrow{\phi^{+12}} X^{122}.
\]
More precisely, the morphism $\phi^{12}$ is the blowup along $l_3^{112} \subset X^{112}$. Let $E_2^{112} \subset X^{12}$ be the exceptional divisor. Since $E_2^{12} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with $-E_2^{12}|_{E_2^{12}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1, 1)$, we can (analytically) contract to a complex manifold $X^{112}$, where the image $l_3^{112}$ of $E_2^{112}$ is a smooth rational curve, $\phi^{12}$ is the blowup along $l_3^{112} \subset X^{112}$, and $\phi^{12}$ and $\phi^{+12}$ are mutually different. Set $E_1^{12} := (\phi^{12})_*^{-1}E_1^{112}$ and $E_1^{122} := (\phi^{+12})_*E_1^{12}$. Then $E_1^{122} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ with $-E_1^{122}|_{E_1^{122}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. By Grauert–Fujiki–Ancona–Vantan’s contraction theorem (see [HP16, Proposition 7.4]), there is the contraction
\[
\phi^{122}: X^{122} \to X^{22}.
\]
of $E_{12}^{22} \subset X^{122}$ to a point. Set $\phi^1 := \phi^{112} \circ \phi^{12}, \phi^{+1} := \phi^{122} \circ \phi^{+12}$ and $\chi^1 := \phi^{+1} \circ (\phi^1)^{-1}$. We get the commutative diagram

![Diagram](image-url)

Set $l_{3}^{12} := (\phi^{122})_{*}l_{3}^{+122}$, and $l_{3}^{+12} := \left( (\phi^1)^{-1} D_1 \right) |_{E_{12}}$. Then $l_{3}^{+12} \subset E_{12}^{2}$ is an irreducible curve with $(\phi^{+1})_{*}l_{3}^{+12} = l_{3}^{+12}$ and $(\phi^1)_{*}l_{3}^{+12} = 0$. Let us set

- $Q^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} Q^1, \ D_1^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} D_1, \ D^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} D^1, \ E_1^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} E_1, \ E^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} E_1^2, \ F^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} F_1^2,$

and

- $l_{2}^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} l_{2}, \ e^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} e, \ l_{1}^{2} := (\phi^1)^{-1} l_{1}, \ c_1^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} c_1, \ g^{12} := (\phi^1)^{-1} g,$

Note that $(\phi^1)^{*} Q^1 = Q^{12} + E_{12}^{2} + 2E_{2}^{2}$ and $(\phi^{+1})^{*} Q^{2} = Q^{12}$. Moreover, the restriction $\chi^1|_{Q^1}: Q^{1} \rightarrow Q^{2}$ is the contraction of $l_{3}^{12}$. In particular, we have $Q^2 \simeq \mathbb{P}_{1}(O \oplus O(1))$. Note that $(\phi^1)^{*} E_1^{1} = E_1^{12}$ and $(\phi^{+1})^{*} E_2^{1} = E_1^{12} + (1/2)E_1^{12} + E_2^{12}$. Moreover, the restriction $(\chi^1)^{-1}_{|E_1^{12}}: E_1^{12} \rightarrow E_1^{1}$ is the weighted blowup at $p_0$ with the weights $\text{ord}(C_1) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(l_1^{12}) = 1$. Note that $(\phi^1)^{*} D_1 = D_1^{12}$ and $(\phi^{+1})^{*} D_2 = D_1^{12} + (1/2)E_1^{12} + E_2^{12}$. Moreover, the morphism $\phi^{112} : (\phi^{112})_{*}^{-1} D_1 \rightarrow D_1$ is the blowup at $g^{1} \cap l_{3}^{12}$, the morphism $\phi^{12} : D_1^{12} \rightarrow (\phi^{112})_{*}^{-1} D_1$ is the blowup at the intersection of the strict transforms of $e^{1}$ and $g^{1}$, and the morphism $\phi^{+1} : D_1^{12} \rightarrow D_2$ is the blowdown of the curve $E_{12}^{2}|_{D_1^{12}}$. Let $h^{12} \subset D_1^{12}$ be the strict transform of the exceptional divisor of the morphism $\phi^{112} : (\phi^{112})_{*}^{-1} D_1 \rightarrow D_1$, i.e., $h_{12} = E_{12}^{2}|_{D_1^{12}}$.

On $X^{12}$, we get the following intersection numbers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E_1^{12}$</th>
<th>$E_2^{12}$</th>
<th>$(\phi^1)^{*} Q^{12}$</th>
<th>$(\phi^{+1})^{*} E_1^{12}$</th>
<th>$(\phi^{+1})^{*} D_1^{12}$</th>
<th>$(\phi^{+1})^{*} (2Q^{2} + E_1^{2} + (4 - u)D_2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_2^{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2 - u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_3^{12}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(u - 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$1 + u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_1^{2}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1/2$</td>
<td>$1/2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(3 - u)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_1^{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-2$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8 - u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g^{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h^{12}$</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, for $u \in (1, 2)$, the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $2Q^{2} + E_1^{2} + (4 - u)D_2$ is ample on $X^2$. In particular, $X^2$ is projective and $\chi^1$ is a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $X^1$. In particular, for $u \in [1, 2]$,
we have
\[
\text{vol}_{X^1}(\rho_p^*(-K_X) - uD^1) = \left((\phi^+)^* (2Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-u)D^2)\right)^3
\]
\[
= \left((\phi^1)^* (2Q^1 + E_1^1 + (4-u)D^1) + \frac{1-u}{2}(E_1^{12} + 2E_2^{12})\right)^3 = 28 - u^3 + \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^3.
\]

**Step 4**
For \(u = 2\), the divisor \(2Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-2)D^2\) gives the birational contraction
\[\sigma^2: X^2 \to Y^2.\]
Note that the exceptional set of \(\sigma^2\) is \(Q^2 \simeq \mathbb{P}_p(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))\) and the restriction \(\sigma^2|_{Q^2}: Q^2 \to B^2\) is the \(\mathbb{P}^1\)-fibration. We have
\[
(\sigma^2)^* (\sigma^2)_*(2Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-u)D^2) = \frac{6-u}{2}Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-u)D^2.
\]
By Step 3, the \(\mathbb{R}\)-divisor \((\sigma^2)^* (2Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-u)D^2)\) is ample for \(u \in (2, 3)\). Moreover, for \(u = 3\), the divisor \((\sigma^2)_*(2Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-3)D^2)\) contracts the curve \((\sigma^2)_* l_1^2 \subset Y^2\). Thus, for \(u \in [2, 3]\), we have
\[
\text{vol}_{X^1}(\rho_p^*(-K_X) - uD^1) = \left((\frac{6-u}{2}Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-u)D^2)\right)^3
\]
\[
= 28 - u^3 + \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}(u-2)^2(u + 7).
\]
We note that \(l_1^2\) and \(Q^2\) are mutually disjoint.

**Step 5**
Set \(X^{223} := X^{122}, \phi^{223} := \phi^{122}\) and \(D_1^{223} := \mathcal{E}_1^{122} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2\). The variety \(X^{223}\) is smooth, and the strict transform \(l_1^{223} \subset X^{223}\) of \(l_1^2 \subset X^2\) satisfies that \(N_{l_1^{223}/X^{223}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{p1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}\). Thus we can take Atiyah’s flop
\[
\phi^{223}: X^{223} \to X^3
\]
of \(l_1^{223} \subset X^{223}\). Let \(D_2^{23} \subset X^{23}\) be the exceptional divisor of \(\phi^{23}\), and let \(l_1^{+23} \subset X^{23}\) be the image of \(D_2^{23} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\). Let us set \(D_3^{23} := (\phi^{23})^{-1} D_1^{223}\) and \(D_4^{23} := (\phi^{+23})^{-1} D_1^{223}\). Then \(D_3^{23} \simeq \mathbb{P}_p(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))\) and any fiber of \(D_3^{23}/\mathbb{P}^1\) intersects \(D_1^{223}\) with \(-1\). Thus we get the contraction
\[
\phi^{23}: X^{23} \to X^3
\]
of \(D_3^{23}\), where \(X^3\) is a complex manifold and the image \(l_1^{+3} \subset X^3\) of \(D_4^{23}\) is a smooth rational curve such that \(\phi^{23}\) is the blowup along \(l_1^{+3} \subset X^3\). Let us set \(l^{+23} := D_1^{23}\). Set \(\phi^2 := \phi^{223} \circ \phi^{23}, \phi^{+2} := \phi^{233} \circ \phi^{+23}\) and \(\chi^2 := \phi^{+2} \circ (\phi^2)^{-1}\). We get the commutative diagram

Let us set
\[
Q^{23} := (\phi^{2})^{-1} Q^2, \quad E_1^{23} := (\phi^{2})^{-1} E_1^2, \quad D_3^{23} := (\phi^{2})^{-1} D^2, \\
Q^3 := (\phi^{+2})^{-1} Q^{23}, \quad E_1^3 := (\phi^{+2})^{-1} E_1^{23}, \quad D_3 := (\phi^{+2})^{-1} D^{23}.
\]
and
\[ l_3^{+23} := (\phi^{-2})_*^{-1} l_3^{-2}, \quad l_2^{+23} := (\phi^{-2})_*^{-1} l_2^{-2}, \quad e^{+23} := (\phi^{-2})_*^{-1} e^2, \]
\[ C_1^{23} := (\phi^{-2})_*^{-1} C_1^2, \quad g^{23} := (\phi^{-2})_*^{-1} g_2, \quad h^{23} := (\phi^{+2})_*^{-1} (\phi^{+12})_* h^{12}, \]
\[ l_3^{+3} := (\phi^{+2})_* l_3^{+23}, \quad l_2^{+3} := (\phi^{+2})_* l_2^{+23}, \quad e^3 := (\phi^{+2})_* e^{23}, \]
\[ C_1^3 := (\phi^{+2})_* C_1^{23}, \quad g^3 := (\phi^{+2})_* g^{23}, \quad h^3 := (\phi^{+2})_* h^{23}. \]

Since \( \chi^2 \) is an isomorphism around a neighborhood of \( Q^2 \), we can also get the contraction

\[ \sigma^3 : X^3 \to Y^3 \]

of \( Q^3 \) to a curve \( B^3 \) as in \( \sigma^2 \). Obviously, we have \( (\phi^{-2})^* Q^2 = Q^{23} \) and \( (\phi^{+2})^* Q^3 = Q^{23} \). Note that \( (\phi^{-2})^* E_1^2 = E_1^{23} + (1/2)D_1^{23} + D_2^{23} \) and \( (\phi^{+2})^* E_1^3 = E_1^{23} \). Moreover, \( \chi^2 : E_1^2 \to E_1^3 \) is the contraction of \( l_1^3 \subset E_1^2 \). Note that \( (\phi^{-2})^* D^2 = D^{23} + (1/2)D_1^{23} + D_2^{23} \) and \( (\phi^{+2})^* D^3 = D^{23} + D_1^{23} + D_2^{23} \). Moreover, \( \phi^2 : D^{23} \to D^2 \) is isomorphic to \( \phi^{+1} : D^{12} \to D^2 \), and the morphism \( \phi^{+2} : D^{23} \to D^3 \) is an isomorphism.

On \( X^{23} \), we get the following intersection numbers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( D_1^{23} )</th>
<th>( D_2^{23} )</th>
<th>( (\phi^{+2})^* Q^3 )</th>
<th>( (\phi^{+2})^* E_1^3 )</th>
<th>( (\phi^{+2})^* D^3 )</th>
<th>( (\phi^{+2})^* (\frac{6-u}{2}Q^3 + E_1^3 + (4-u)D^3) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( l_2^{+23} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_3^{+23} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e^{23} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_1^{+23} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_1^{23} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g^{23} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h^{23} )</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, for \( u \in (3, 4) \), the \( \mathbb{R} \)-divisor

\[ (\sigma^3)_* \left( \frac{6-u}{2}Q^3 + E_1^3 + (4-u)D^3 \right) \]

is ample. Thus \( Y^3 \) and \( X^3 \) are projective, and \( \chi^2 \circ \chi^1 \) is a small \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial modification of \( X^1 \). In particular, for \( u \in [3, 4] \), we have

\[
\text{vol}_{X^1} (p_1^*(-K_X) - uD^1) = \left( (\phi^{+2})^* \left( \frac{6-u}{2}Q^3 + E_1^3 + (4-u)D^3 \right) \right)^{-3}
\]
\[
= \left( (\phi^{-2})^* \left( \frac{6-u}{2}Q^2 + E_1^2 + (4-u)D^2 \right) + \frac{3-u}{2} (D_1^{23} + 2D_2^{23}) \right)^{-3}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}(7-u)(4-u)(2+u).
\]

Therefore, we have \( \tau_X (D^1) = 4 \), and

\[
S_X (D^1) = \frac{1}{28} \left( \int_0^1 (28 - u^3)du + \int_1^2 (28 - u^3 + \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^3)du 
+ \int_2^3 (28 - u^3 + \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}(u-2)^2(u+7))du 
+ \int_3^4 \frac{1}{2}(7-u)(4-u)(2+u)du \right) = \frac{289}{112}.
\]
Step 6
Let $\psi^{12}: \tilde{X} \to X^{12}$ be the blowup along $l_4^{12} \subset X^{12}$. Then we get the natural morphism $\psi^{23}: \tilde{X} \to X^{23}$. Set $\tilde{D} := (\psi^{12})^{-1} D^{12}$, $\tilde{h} := (\psi^{12})^{-1} h^{12}$, $\tilde{l}_3^+ := (\psi^{12})^{-1} l_3^{12}$, $\tilde{g} := (\psi^{12})^{-1} g^{12}$, $\varepsilon := (\psi^{12})^{-1} e^{12}$, and $\gamma := (\phi^+ \circ \psi^{12})|_{\tilde{D}}: \tilde{D} \to D$. Note that $\tilde{D} \simeq D^{12}$ and $\tilde{D} \simeq D^{23}$.

Moreover, we have $$(\psi^{12})^* \mathbb{E}_1^{12})|_{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{h}, \quad ((\psi^{12})^* \mathbb{E}_2^{12})|_{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{l}_3^+, \quad ((\psi^{23})^* \mathbb{D}_1^{23})|_{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{h}, \quad ((\psi^{23})^* \mathbb{D}_2^{23})|_{\tilde{D}} = 0.$$

We remark that $\varepsilon \sim \tilde{h} + 2\tilde{l}_3^+$, $\gamma \sim \tilde{g}$, and $\varepsilon \sim \tilde{g}$ on $\tilde{D}$ is given by the symmetric matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

For any $u \in [0, 4]$, let us set

$$
P(u) := P_{\sigma}\left(\tilde{X}, (\phi^+ \circ \psi^{12})^* (\rho^p(-K_X) - uD^1)\right)|_{\tilde{D}},
$$

$$
N(u) := N_{\sigma}\left(\tilde{X}, (\phi^+ \circ \psi^{12})^* (\rho^p(-K_X) - uD^1)\right)|_{\tilde{D}}.
$$

Note that

$$
Q^1|_{\tilde{D}} = \varepsilon + \tilde{h} + 2\tilde{l}_3^+, \quad E_1^2|_{\tilde{D}} = 0, \quad D^1|_{\tilde{D}} \sim -\tilde{h} - 2\tilde{l}_3^+ - \tilde{g}.
$$

Thus we get

$$
Q^2|_{\tilde{D}} = \varepsilon, \quad E_1^3|_{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{l}_3^+, \quad D^2|_{\tilde{D}} \sim Q^{-1/2} \tilde{h} - \tilde{l}_3^+ - \tilde{g}
$$

and

$$
Q^3|_{\tilde{D}} = \varepsilon, \quad E_1^3|_{\tilde{D}} = \tilde{l}_3^+, \quad D^3|_{\tilde{D}} \sim Q^{-1/2} \tilde{l}_3^+ - \tilde{g}.
$$

In particular,

- if $u \in [0, 1]$, then

$$
N(u) = 0, \quad P(u) \sim \mathbb{R} \ u\tilde{h} + 2ul_3^+ + u\tilde{g},
$$

- if $u \in [1, 2]$, then

$$
N(u) = \frac{u - 1}{2} \tilde{h} + (u - 1)\tilde{l}_3^+, \quad P(u) \sim \mathbb{R} \ \frac{1 + u}{2} \tilde{h} + (1 + u)\tilde{l}_3^+ + u\tilde{g},
$$

- if $u \in [2, 3]$, then

$$
N(u) = \frac{u - 1}{2} \tilde{h} + (u - 1)\tilde{l}_3^+ + \frac{u - 2}{2} \varepsilon, \quad P(u) \sim \mathbb{R} \ \frac{3}{2} \tilde{h} + 3\tilde{l}_3^+ + 2\tilde{g},
$$

- if $u \in [3, 4]$, then

$$
N(u) = (u - 2)\tilde{h} + (u - 1)\tilde{l}_3^+ + \frac{u - 2}{2} \varepsilon, \quad P(u) \sim \mathbb{R} \ \frac{6 - u}{2} \tilde{h} + 3\tilde{l}_3^+ + 2\tilde{g}.
$$
Step 7

Note that $A_D'(g^1) = 1$ and $\gamma^* g^1 = \tilde{g} + \tilde{h} + 2\tilde{l}_3^+$. Let us set $\tilde{p}_{t_3} := \tilde{l}_3^+ |_{\tilde{g}}$, $p_{t_3} := \gamma(\tilde{p}_{t_3})$, and

$$P(u, v) := P_\sigma(\tilde{D}, P(u) - v\tilde{g}),$$

$$N(u, v) := N_\sigma(\tilde{D}, P(u) - v\tilde{g}).$$

- Assume that $u \in [0, 1]$.
  - If $v \in [0, u]$, then we have
    $$N(u, v) = v\tilde{h} + 2v\tilde{l}_3^+, \quad P(u, v) \sim_R (u - v)\tilde{h} + 2(u - v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (u - v)\tilde{g},$$
    and $(P(u, v))^2 = (u - v)^2$.
- Assume that $u \in [1, 2]$.
  - If $v \in [0, \frac{u - 1}{2}]$, then we have
    $$N(u, v) = 0, \quad P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{1 + u}{2} \tilde{h} + (1 + u)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (u - v)\tilde{g},$$
    and $(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + 2u + u^2 - 4v - 2v^2)$.
  - If $v \in [\frac{u - 1}{2}, u]$, then we have
    $$N(u, v) = \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2} \tilde{h} + (1 - u + 2v)\tilde{l}_3^+, \quad P(u, v) \sim_R (u - v)\tilde{h} + 2(u - v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (u - v)\tilde{g},$$
    and $(P(u, v))^2 = (u - v)^2$.
- Assume that $u \in [2, 3]$.
  - If $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then we have
    $$N(u, v) = 0, \quad P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{3}{2} \tilde{h} + 3\tilde{l}_3^+ + (2 - v)\tilde{g},$$
    and $(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{7}{2} - 2v - v^2$.
  - If $v \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, then we have
    $$N(u, v) = \frac{-1 + 2v}{2} \tilde{h} + (-1 + 2v)\tilde{l}_3^+, \quad P(u, v) \sim_R (2 - v)\tilde{h} + 2(2 - v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (2 - v)\tilde{g},$$
    and $(P(u, v))^2 = (2 - v)^2$.
- Assume that $u \in [3, 4]$.
  - If $v \in [0, \frac{4 - u}{2}]$, then we have
    $$N(u, v) = 0, \quad P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{6 - u}{2} \tilde{h} + 3\tilde{l}_3^+ + (2 - v)\tilde{g},$$
    and $(P(u, v))^2 = 3u - \frac{u^2}{2} - (1 + v)^2$. 

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{4-u}{2}, \frac{u-2}{2} \right] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = \frac{-4 + u + 2v\bar{t}_3^+}{2},
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{6 - u\bar{h} + 10 - u - 2v\bar{t}_3^+}{2} + (2 - v)\bar{g},
  \]
  and
  \[
  (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{4}(6 - u)(2 + u - 4v).
  \]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-2}{2}, 2 \right] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = \frac{2 - u + 2v\bar{t}_3^-}{2},
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} (2 - v)\bar{h} + 2(2 - v)\bar{t}_3^+ + (2 - v)\bar{g},
  \]
  and
  \[
  (P(u, v))^2 = (2 - v)^2.
  \]

Hence we get
\[
S\left(V^{D^1}; g^1\right) = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u (u - v)^2 dv du \right)
+ \left( \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}(-1 + 2u + u^2 - 4v - 2v^2) dv + \int_0^u (u - v)^2 dv \right) du \right)
+ \left( \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (2v - v^2) dv + \int_0^u (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \right)
+ \left( \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{\frac{4-2}{2}} (3u - u^2 - (1 + v)^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{u-2}{2}} \frac{1}{4}(6 - u)(2 + u - 4v) dv \right) + \int_0^{\frac{2}{2}} (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \right) = \frac{307}{448}.
\]

Moreover, \( F_p'(W^{D^1,g^1}; p') \) is nonzero only if \( p' = p_l^+ \). Thus, for any \( p' \in g^1 \setminus p_l^+ \), we have
\[
S\left(W^{D^1,g^1}; p'\right) = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u (u - v)^2 dv du \right) + \left( \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{2}} (1 + v)^2 dv + \int_0^u (u - v)^2 dv \right) du \right)
+ \left( \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + v)^2 dv + \int_0^u (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \right)
+ \left( \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{\frac{4-2}{2}} (1 + v)^2 dv + \int_0^{\frac{u-2}{2}} \frac{6 - u}{2} dv + \int_0^{\frac{2}{2}} (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \right)
= \frac{227}{448}.
\]

Therefore, we get
\[
\delta_{p'} \left( D^1; V^{D^1} \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{D^1}(g^1)}{S\left(V^{D^1}; g^1\right)}, \frac{A_{g^1}(p')}{S\left(W^{D^1,g^1}; p'\right)} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{448}{307}, \frac{448}{227} \right\} = \frac{448}{307}
\]
by Corollary 4.18.

**Step 8**

Let \( \gamma_0: D' \rightarrow D^1 \) be the extraction of \( \tilde{l}_3^+ \subset \tilde{D} \) over \( D^1 \). Let \( \gamma': \tilde{D} \rightarrow D' \) be the natural morphism and let us set \( \tilde{l}_3^+ := \gamma_\ast \tilde{l}_3^+ \). Set \( \tilde{p}_h := \tilde{h}_{|\tilde{l}_3^+} \), \( \tilde{p}_e := \tilde{e}_{|\tilde{l}_3^+} \) and \( \tilde{p}_g := \tilde{g}_{|\tilde{l}_3^+} \). Then the
points $\tilde{p}_h$, $\tilde{p}_e$ and $\tilde{p}_g$ are mutually distinct and reduced. Set $p'_h := \gamma'(\tilde{p}_h)$, $p'_e := \gamma'(\tilde{p}_e)$ and $p'_g := \gamma'(\tilde{p}_g)$. We have

$$
(K_{D'} + \tilde{l}^+_3)|_{\tilde{l}_3^+} = K_{\tilde{l}_3^+} + \frac{1}{2}p'_h, \quad (\gamma')^*\tilde{l}^+_3 = \tilde{l}^+_3 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}.
$$

Let us set

$$
P(u, v) := P_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{D}, P(u) - v\tilde{l}^+_3 \right),
$$

$$
N(u, v) := N_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{D}, P(u) - v\tilde{l}^+_3 \right).
$$

- Assume that $u \in [0, 1]$.
  - If $v \in [0, u]$, then we have
    
    $$
    N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h},
    $$
    
    $$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2u - v}{2}\tilde{h} + (2u - v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + u\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    and
    
    $$
    (P(u, v))^2 = u^2 - \frac{v^2}{2}.
    $$
  
  - If $v \in [u, 2u]$, then we have
    
    $$
    N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h} + (v - u)\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    $$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2u - v}{2}\tilde{h} + (2u - v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + (2u - v)\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    and
    
    $$
    (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(2u - v)^2.
    $$

- Assume that $u \in [1, 2]$.
  - If $v \in [0, 1]$, then we have
    
    $$
    N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h},
    $$
    
    $$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + u - v}{2}\tilde{h} + (1 + u - v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + u\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    and
    
    $$
    (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u - v)^2.
    $$
  
  - If $v \in [1, 1 + u]$, then we have
    
    $$
    N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h} + (v - 1)\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    $$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + u - v}{2}\tilde{h} + (1 + u - v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + (1 + u - v)\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    and
    
    $$
    (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u - v)^2.
    $$

- Assume that $u \in [2, 3]$.
  - If $v \in [0, 1]$, then we have
    
    $$
    N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h},
    $$
    
    $$
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3 - v}{2}\tilde{h} + (3 - v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + 2\tilde{g},
    $$
    
    and
    
    $$
    (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(7 - 2v - v^2).
    $$

If \( v \in [1, 3] \), then we have
\[
N(u, v) = \frac{v}{2} \hat{h} + (v-1) \hat{g},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{3-v}{2} \hat{h} + (3-v) \hat{f}_3^+ + (3-v) \hat{g},
\]
and
\[
(P(u,v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(3-v)^2.
\]

Assume that \( u \in [3, 4] \).
- If \( v \in [0, u-3] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = 0,
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{6-u}{2} \hat{h} + (3-v) \hat{f}_3^+ + 2 \hat{g},
  \]
  and
  \[
  (P(u,v))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-2 + 6u - u^2 - 8v + 2uv - 2v^2\right).
  \]
- If \( v \in [u-3, 1] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = \frac{-u+3+v}{2} \hat{h},
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{3-v}{2} \hat{h} + (3-v) \hat{f}_3^+ + 2 \hat{g},
  \]
  and
  \[
  (P(u,v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(7 - 2v - v^2).
  \]
- If \( v \in [1, 3] \), then we have
  \[
  N(u, v) = \frac{-u+3+v}{2} \hat{h} + (v-1) \hat{g},
  \]
  \[
  P(u, v) \sim_R \frac{3-v}{2} \hat{h} + (3-v) \hat{f}_3^+ + (3-v) \hat{g},
  \]
  and
  \[
  (P(u,v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(3-v)^2.
  \]

Hence we get
\[
S \left(V^{D_1 \bullet}_{\bullet} ; \hat{f}_3^+ \right)
= \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \left(u^2 - \frac{v^2}{2}\right) dv + \int_u^{2u} \frac{1}{2}(2u-v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_1^2 \left( \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^2(-1 + 2u + u^2)
+ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}(-1 + 2u + u^2 + 2v - 2uv - v^2) dv + \int_1^{1+u} \frac{1}{2}(1 + u - v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_2^3 \left( \frac{7}{2} \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^2(7 - 2v - v^2) dv + \int_1^{3} \frac{1}{2}(3-v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_3^4 \left( \frac{1}{2}(u-1)^2(-2 + 6u - u^2) + \int_0^{u-3} \frac{1}{2}(-2 + 6u - u^2 - 8v + 2uv - 2v^2) dv
+ \int_1^{u-3} \frac{1}{2}(7 - 2v - v^2) dv + \int_1^{3} \frac{1}{2}(3-v)^2 dv \right) du = \frac{309}{112}.
\]
Moreover,

\[ F_{p_h} \left( W^{D, l_3^+} \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_3^4 \int_0^{u-3} \frac{4-u+2v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-3-v}{2} dvdu \right) = \frac{3}{448}, \]

\[ F_{p_h} \left( W^{D, l_3^+} \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1+v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-2}{2} dv + \int_1^3 \frac{3-v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-2}{2} dv \right) du \right. \]

\[ + \int_4^5 \left( \int_0^{u-3} \frac{4-u+2v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-2}{2} dv + \int_1^1 \frac{-1+u+v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-2}{2} dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_1^3 \left( \int_0^3 \frac{3-v}{2} dv \right) du \right) = \frac{23}{64}, \]

\[ F_{p_h} \left( W^{D, l_3^+} \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_u^{2u} \frac{2u-v}{2} dvdu + \int_1^2 \int_1^{1+u} \frac{1+u-v}{2} dvdu \right. \]

\[ + \int_2^5 \left( \int_0^3 \frac{3-v}{2} dv \right) du \right) = \frac{5}{14}. \]

Therefore, for any \( p' \in l_3^+ \), we have

\[ S \left( W^{D, l_3^+}; p' \right) \]

\[ = F_{p'} \left( W^{D, l_3^+} \right) + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^u \left( \frac{v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_u^2 \left( \frac{u-v}{2} \right)^2 dv \right) du \right. \]

\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{-1+u+v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_1^3 \left( \frac{1+u-v}{2} \right)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^3 \left( \frac{1+v}{2} \right)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{u-3} \left( \frac{4-u+2v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_u^1 \left( \frac{1+u}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_1^3 \left( \frac{3-v}{2} \right)^2 dv \right) du \right) \]

\[ = F_{p'} \left( W^{D, l_3^+} \right) + \frac{21}{64} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{75}{16} & \text{if } p' = p_h^1, \\ \frac{11}{16} & \text{otherwise}. \end{array} \right. \]

Therefore, we get

\[ \delta_{p_{13}} \left( D^1; V^{D^1} \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{D_1} \left( l_3^+ \right)}{S \left( W^{D, l_3^+}; l_3^+ \right)}, \inf_{p' \in l_3^+} \frac{A_{l_3^+, p_h^1} \left( p' \right)}{S \left( W^{D, l_3^+}; p' \right)} \right\} \]

\[ = \min \left\{ \frac{112}{103}, \frac{112}{75}, \frac{16}{11} \right\} = \frac{112}{103} \]

by Corollary 4.1.8

**Step 9**

Let \( p^1 \in D^1 \) be any closed point with \( p^1 \notin l_1^1 \). Take the line \( r^1 \subset D^1 \) passing through \( p^1 \) and \( p_{13}^+ \), and let us set \( \tilde{r} := \gamma_r^{-1} r^1 \). Note that \( \tilde{r} \sim l_3^+ + \tilde{g} \) and \( \gamma^* r^1 = \tilde{r} + \tilde{h} + l_3^+ \). Set \( q_e := e^1|_{r^1} \).
Then \( q_e \in r^1 \) is a reduced point with \( q_e \neq p_{i_3}^+ \). Let us set

\[
\begin{align*}
P(u, v) & := P_\sigma \Bigl( \tilde{D}, P(u) - v\tilde{r} \Bigr), \\
N(u, v) & := N_\sigma \Bigl( \tilde{D}, P(u) - v\tilde{r} \Bigr).
\end{align*}
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, u] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) & = v\tilde{h} + v\tilde{l}_3^+, \\
P(u, v) & \sim_{\mathbb{R}} (u - v)\tilde{h} + (2u - 2v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (u - v)\tilde{g},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (u - v)^2 \).
  - If \( v \in [u - 1, u] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) & = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h}, \\
P(u, v) & \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} + u - v\tilde{h} + (1 + u - v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (u - v)\tilde{g},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( -1 + 2u + u^2 - 2v - 2uv + v^2 \right) \).
  - If \( v \in [1, 2] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) & = \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2}\tilde{h} + (1 - u + v)\tilde{l}_3^+, \\
P(u, v) & \sim_{\mathbb{R}} (u - v)\tilde{h} + (2u - 2v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (u - v)\tilde{g},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (u - v)^2 \).
  - If \( v \in [2, 3] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) & = \frac{v}{2}\tilde{h}, \\
P(u, v) & \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3 - v - 2}{2}\tilde{h} + (3 - v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (2 - v)\tilde{g},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 7 - 6v + v^2 \right) \).
- Assume that \( u \in [1, 2] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 1] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) & = \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2}\tilde{h}, \\
P(u, v) & \sim_{\mathbb{R}} (2 - v)\tilde{h} + (4 - 2v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (2 - v)\tilde{g},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = (2 - v)^2 \).
  - If \( v \in [1, 2] \), then we have
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) & = \frac{6 - u}{2}\tilde{h} + (3 - v)\tilde{l}_3^+ + (2 - v)\tilde{g},
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and \( (P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 7 + 12v - 2u - 2uv \right) \).
If $v \in [u - 3, 1]$, then we have

$$N(u, v) = \frac{-u + 3 + v}{2} \tilde{h},$$
$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3 - v}{2} \tilde{h} + (3 - v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + (2 - v)\tilde{g},$$

and

$$(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{2}(7 - 6v + v^2).$$

If $v \in [1, 2]$, then we have

$$N(u, v) = \frac{2 - u + 2v}{2} \tilde{h} + (v - 1)\tilde{l}^+_3,$$
$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} (2 - v)\tilde{h} + (4 - 2v)\tilde{l}^+_3 + (2 - v)\tilde{g},$$

and $(P(u, v))^2 = (2 - v)^2$.

Hence we get

$$S \left( V^{D^1}; r^1 \right) = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u (u - v)^2 dvdu + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{u-1} \frac{1}{2} (-1 + 2u + u^2 - 2v - 2uv + v^2) dv + \int_{u-1}^u (u - v)^2 dv \right) du 
+ \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} (7 - 6v + v^2) dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du 
+ \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{u-3} \frac{1}{2} (-2 + 6u - u^2 - 12v + 2uv) dv 
+ \int_{u-3}^1 \frac{1}{2} (7 - 6v + v^2) dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \right) = \frac{75}{112}.$$

Moreover,

$$F_{q_e} \left( W^{D^1}; r^1 \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{1 - v} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{u - 2}{2} dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v) \frac{u - 2}{2} dv \right) du 
+ \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{u-3} \frac{6 - u}{2} \cdot \frac{u - 2}{2} dv + \int_{u-3}^1 \frac{3 - v}{2} \cdot \frac{u - 2}{2} dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v) \frac{u - 2}{2} dv \right) du \right) = \frac{23}{64}.$$
Thus we have
\[
\begin{align*}
S \left( W^{D_1, r_1}; p^1 \right) \\
\leq \frac{23}{64} + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u (u - v)^2 dv du + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{u-1} \left( \frac{u + 1 - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_u^{u-1} (u - v)^2 dv \right) du \\
+ \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{3 - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \\
+ \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{u-3} \left( \frac{6 - u}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_{u-3}^1 \left( \frac{3 - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_1^2 (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du \\
= \frac{23}{64} + \frac{227}{448} = \frac{97}{112}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, we get
\[
\delta_{p^1} \left( D_1; V^{D_1} \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{D_1}(r_1)}{S \left( W^{D_1, r_1}; p^1 \right)}, \frac{A_{r_1}(p^1)}{S \left( W^{D_1, r_1}; p^1 \right)} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{112}{75}, \frac{112}{97} \right\} = \frac{112}{97}
\]
by Corollary 4.18

**Step 10**

By Steps 7, 8 and 9, we get
\[
\delta \left( D_1; V^{D_1} \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{448}{307}, \frac{112}{103}, \frac{112}{97} \right\} = \frac{112}{103}.
\]

Thus, by Step 5, we have
\[
\delta_{p}(X) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(D_1)}{S_X(D_1)} \cdot \delta \left( D_1; V^{D_1} \right) \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{336}{289}, \frac{112}{103} \right\} = \frac{112}{103}
\]
by Corollary 4.18 \qed

**Example 8.2.** Assume that $X$ satisfies Remark 5.2 (B). Then, the divisor $Q \in |H_1|$ with $q \in Q$ is singular, and the singular point $p \in Q$ satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 8.1 (See Claim 9.2 in Theorem 9.1, Step 1.)

9. LOCAL $\delta$-INVARIANTS FOR SPECIAL POINTS, II

**Theorem 9.1.** Let $X$ be as in \[5.2\] Assume that $X$ satisfies Remark 5.2 (B). Then we have the equality
\[
\delta_{q}(X) = \frac{64}{63}.
\]

**Proof.** The following proof is divided into 18 numbers of steps since the proof is very long.

**Step 1**

Let $Q \in |H_1|$ be the divisor with $q \in Q$, and let $T \in |H_2|$ be the pull-back of the tangent line of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ at $q^C \in C$.

**Claim 9.2.**

(1) The divisor $Q$ is singular and the point $q \in Q$ is the intersection of the 2 negative curves. One is $l \subset Q$ with $(l^2) = -1/2$.

(2) Let us set
\[
T^V := (\sigma_1)_* T \left( \cong \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \right),
\]
and let $s_0^V \subset T^V$ be the $(-1)$-curve. The divisor $T$ has one $A_2$-singularity and the minimal resolution of $T$ is obtained by the composition of:
(i) the blowup of $T^V$ at the intersection of $l^V$ and $s_0^V$,
(ii) the blowup at a point in the exceptional divisor of the morphism \[21\] which does not pass through the strict transforms of $l^V$, $s_0^V$, and
(iii) the blowup at a point in the exceptional divisor of the morphism (211) which does not pass through the strict transform of the exceptional divisor of the morphism (22).

Moreover, the strict transform \( s_0 \subset X \) of \( s_0^* \) is the negative curve in \( Q \) with \( (s_0^*)_Q = -1 \), and the exceptional divisor of the morphism (211) corresponds to the \((-1)\)-curve in \( E_2 \).

**Proof of Claim** [7.2] \((1)\) If \( Q \) is smooth, then \( Q \) is isomorphic to a del Pezzo surface of degree 7, \( E_3|Q \) is the disjoint union of two \((-1)\)-curves on \( Q \), the morphism \( Q \to (\sigma_1)_* Q \) is an isomorphism, and the morphism \( \pi^* \circ \sigma_1 : Q \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) is birational and contracts \( E_3|Q \). On the other hand, \( Q|E_2 \) is the fiber of the \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-fibration \( E_2/\mathbb{P}^1 \) passing through the point \( q \). Moreover, \( E_2|Q \) is the \((-1)\)-curve on \( Q \) such that \( \text{Supp} (E_2|Q) \not\subset \text{Supp} (E_3|Q) \). Thus, the image of \( E_2|Q \) in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) is a line passing through \( C \) at 2 points. This contradicts to the assumption in Remark [5.2] \((1)\). Thus \( Q \) is singular. Since \( s_0 := E_2|Q \) is a \((-1)\)-curve in \( Q \) and a fiber of \( E_2/\mathbb{P}^1 \), and since \( l \subset Q \), the remaining assertions are trivial.

\(2\) Set \( T^P := (\sigma^V)_* T^V \). Then the morphism \( T \to T^P \) is the blowup of the plane \( T^P \) along the subscheme \( C^P \cap T^P \). From the assumption, we have \( \{C^P \cap T^P \}_{\text{red}} = \{p^P\} \). For a general quadric \( Q_{gen}^P \subset P \) with \( C^P \subset Q_{gen}^P \), the scheme-theoretic intersection \( Q_{gen}^P \cap T^P \) is a smooth conic. (Indeed, if not, for any quadric \( Q^P \subset P \) with \( C^P \subset Q^P \), the intersection \( Q^P \cap T^P \) is a union of two lines in \( T^P \) passing through \( p^P \). This implies that \( E_3|Q_{gen} \subset T \) for a general \( Q_{gen} \in [H_1] \) since \( E_3|Q_{gen} \subset Q_{gen} \) is a disjoint union of two \((-1)\)-curves. However, this implies that \( E_3 \subset T \), a contradiction.) Thus the scheme \( C^P \cap T^P \subset T^P \) is of length 4 and is contained in a smooth conic \( Q_{gen}^P \cap T^P \). Thus the assertions follows from [Nak07] Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4.

**Step 2**

Let \( \rho_0 : X_0 \to X \) be the blowup of \( X \) at \( q \in X \) and let \( F^0 \subset X_0 \) be the exceptional divisor. Let us set \( Q^0 := (\rho_0)^{-1}Q \), \( T^0 := (\rho_0)^{-1}T \), \( E_0^0 := (\rho_0)^{-1}E_2 \), and \( l^0 := (\rho_0)^{-1}l \), \( s_0^0 := (\rho_0)^{-1}s_0 \), where \( s_0 \subset X \) is as in Claim 7.2. By Claim 7.2 the divisor \( T^0 \) is the minimal resolution of \( T \). Let \( t_{1}^0, t_{2}^0, t_{3}^0 \subset T^0 \) be the exceptional divisors of the morphisms (211), (211), (211) in Claim 7.2 (2), respectively. The morphism \( E_0^0 \to E_2 \) is the blowup at \( q \in E_2 \). Let \( e_2^0 \subset E_2^0 \) be the exceptional divisor. Then,

\[
t_{1}^0, t_{2}^0, e_2^0 \subset F^0 (\simeq \mathbb{P}^2)
\]

are mutually distinct lines. Moreover, since \( t_{1}^0 \subset F^0 \) is the line passing through \( s_0^0 \cap F^0 \) and \( l^0 \cap F^0 \), the morphism \( Q^0 \to Q \) is the blowup at \( q \in Q \) such that the exceptional divisor is nothing but the curve \( t_{1}^0 \). Since \( l \subset E_3 \) and \( E_3 \) tangents to \( s_0 \), we get \( ((\rho_0)^{-1}E_3)|_{F^0} = t_{1}^0 \).

Note that

\[
(\rho_0)^* Q = Q^0 + F^0, \quad (\rho_0)^* T = T^0 + 2F^0, \quad (\rho_0)^* E_2 = E_0^0 + F^0, \quad -K_X \sim Q + 2T + E_2.
\]

In particular, we get

\[
(\rho_0)^* (-K_X) \sim Q^0 + 2T^0 + E_2^0 + 6F^0.
\]

**Step 3**

Let \( \psi_{11} : X_{11} \to X_0 \) be the blowup along the curve \( t_{1}^0 \subset X_0 \) and let \( R^{11} \subset X_{11} \) be the exceptional divisor. Since \( N^{11}_{q_1/X_0} \simeq O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \), the divisor \( R^{11} \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1(O \oplus O(2)) \). Set \( Q^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1}Q^0 \), \( T^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1}T^0 \), \( E_2^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1}E_2^0 \), \( F^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1}F^0 \). Note that
$A_X(R^{11}) = 4$. Moreover, since

$$(\rho_0 \circ \psi_{11})^* Q = Q^{11} + F^1 + 2R^{11}, \quad (\rho_0 \circ \psi_{11})^* T = T^{11} + 2F^1 + 3R^{11},$$

$$(\rho_0 \circ \psi_{11})^* E_2 = E_2^{11} + F^1 + R^{11}, \quad (\psi_{11})^* F^0 = F^{11} + R^{11},$$

we have $\tau_X(R^{11}) \geq 9$.

The morphisms

$$\psi_{11}|Q^{11}: Q^{11} \to Q^0, \quad \psi_{11}|T^{11}: T^{11} \to T^0, \quad \psi_{11}|F^{11}: F^{11} \to F^0$$

are isomorphisms. Let

$$r_1^{11} \subset Q^{11}, \quad r_2^{11} \subset T^{11}, \quad s_R^{11} \subset F^{11}$$

be the inverse images of $t_0^1$, respectively. Moreover, let us set $t_1^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1} r_0^{11}, \quad s_0^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1} s_0^0, \quad t_2^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1} t_0^2, \quad t_3^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1} t_3^1, \quad e_1^{11} := (\psi_{11})^{-1} e_0^1$. The morphism $E_2^{11} \to E_2^0$ is the blowup of at the point $s_0^0 \cap e_0^1$. Let $f_2^{11} \subset E_2^{11}$ be the exceptional divisor. On the surface $R^{11} \simeq \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$,

- $r_1^{11} \subset R^{11}$ is a section of $R^{11}/t_1^0$ with $(r_1^{11})^2_{R^{11}} = 2$,
- $r_2^{11} \subset R^{11}$ is a section of $R^{11}/t_0^1$ with $(r_2^{11})^2_{R^{11}} = 4$,
- $f_2^{11} \subset R^{11}$ is a fiber of $R^{11}/t_0^1$, and
- $s_R^{11} \subset R^{11}$ is the $(-2)$-curve on $R^{11}$.

Note that $(r_1^{11} \cdot r_2^{11})_{R^{11}} = 3$ and $T|Q = 2l + s_0$. Thus we have:

- $r_1^{11}$ and $r_2^{11}$ on $R^{11}$ meet at the two points $l^{11} \cap R^{11}$ and $s_0^{11} \cap R^{11}$. Moreover, we have $\text{length}_{l^{11} \cap R^{11}}(r_1^{11} \cap r_2^{11}) = 2, \quad \text{length}_{s_0^{11} \cap R^{11}}(r_1^{11} \cap r_2^{11}) = 1$,
- $r_2^{11}$ and $s_R^{11}$ on $R^{11}$ meet transversely at the point $t_2^{11} \cap R^{11}$, and
- $f_2^{11}$ on $R^{11}$ passes through the points $s_0^{11} \cap R^{11}$ and $e_2^{11} \cap R^{11}$.

Let $f_R^{11}, f_S^{11} \subset R^{11}$ be the fibers of $R^{11}/t_0^1$ passing through the points $l^{11} \cap R^{11}, \quad t_2^{11} \cap R^{11}$, respectively. Moreover, let $r_3^{11} \subset R^{11}$ be the unique section of $R^{11}/t_0^1$ with $(r_3^{11})^2_{R^{11}} = 2$ such that $r_2^{11}$ and $r_3^{11}$ on $R^{11}$ meet at the point $l^{11} \cap R^{11}$ of length 3.

We remark that

$$T^{11}|Q^{11} = 2t^{11} + s_0^{11}, \quad E_2^{11}|Q^{11} = s_0^{11}, \quad F^{11} \cap Q^{11} = \emptyset,$$

$$E_2^{11}|T^{11} = s_0^{11} + t_3^{11}, \quad F^{11}|T^{11} = t_2^{11}, \quad F^{11}|E_2^{11} = e_2^{11}.$$

Let $Q_{\text{gen}} \in |H_1|$ be a general member. Then

$$\frac{2}{3} ((\rho_0)^* (-K_X) - F^0) \sim_Q \left( K_{X_0} + \frac{1}{3} (\rho_0)^* Q_{\text{gen}} + \frac{2}{3} T^0 + \frac{1}{3} E_2^0 + \frac{1}{3} F^0 \right)$$

is nef and big. Thus, the pair

$$\left( X_{11}, \frac{1}{3} (\rho_0 \circ \psi_{11})^* Q_{\text{gen}} + \frac{2}{3} T^{11} + \frac{1}{3} E_2^{11} + \frac{1}{3} F^{11} \right)$$

is a klt pair with the anti-log canonical divisor nef and big. Therefore, the variety $X_{11}$ is a Mori dream space by [BCHM10 Corollary 1.3.2].

**Step 4**

We can contract the divisor $F^{11} \subset X_{11}$ to a point and get the morphism $\phi_{11}: X_{11} \to X_1$ with $X_1$ normal projective and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Let
be the induced diagram. The morphism \( \rho_1 \) is a weighted blowup of the weights \((1,1,2)\), and \( R_1 := (\phi_{11})_* R_{11} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2) \) is the exceptional divisor of \( \rho_1 \). Note that \( (\phi_{11})^* R_1 = R_{11} + (1/2)F^{11} \). Let us set \( Q^1 := (\phi_{11})_* Q^{11}, \ T^1 := (\phi_{11})_* T^{11}, \ E_2 := (\phi_{11})_* E_2^{11} \). Then we get
\[
(\rho_1)^* (-K_X) - uR_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} Q^1 + 2T^1 + E_2^1 + (9-u)R_1
\]
and
\[
(\phi_{11})^* ((\rho_1)^* (-K_X) - uR_1) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} Q^{11} + 2T^{11} + E_2^{11} + \frac{12-u}{2}F^{11} + (9-u)R^{11}
\]
for any \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). Note that \( (\phi_{11})^* Q^1 = Q^{11}, \ (\phi_{11})^* T^1 = T^{11} + (1/2)F^{11}, \ (\phi_{11})^* E_2^1 = E_2^{11} + (1/2)F^{11} \). Therefore, for any \( u \in (0, 9) \) and for any irreducible curve \( C^1 \subset X_1 \) with \( C^1 \not\subset Q^1 \cup T^1 \cup E_2^1 \cup R_1 \), we have \( ((\rho_1)^* (-K_X) - uR_1) \cdot C^1 > 0 \).

On \( X_{11} \), we get the following intersection numbers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t_{11}^{11} ), ( t_{11}^{22} ), ( t_{12}^{11} )</th>
<th>( (\phi_{11})^* Q^1 )</th>
<th>( (\phi_{11})^* T^1 )</th>
<th>( (\phi_{11})^* E_2^1 )</th>
<th>( (\phi_{11})^* R_1^{11} )</th>
<th>( Q^{11} + 2T^{11} + E_2^{11} + \frac{12-u}{2}F^{11} + (9-u)R^{11} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( t_{11}^{11} , t_{12}^{11} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_{11}^{11}, t_{12}^{11}, t_{12}^{22} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{11}^{11} , r_{12}^{11} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{12}^{11} )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>-3/2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{12}^{22} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_{12}^{11} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, for \( u \in [0, 1] \), the \( \mathbb{R} \)-divisor \( (\rho_1)^*(-K_X) - uR_1 \) is nef and
\[
\text{vol}_{X_1} ((\rho_1)^*(-K_X) - uR_1) = 28 - \frac{u^3}{2}.
\]
(We note that \(-R_1|_{R_1} \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(1)\).) Moreover, for \( u = 1 \), the divisor \( (\rho_1)^*(-K_X) - R_1 \) contracts the strict transforms of \( l_{11} \) and \( s_{11}^{11} \) on \( X_1 \). Note that \( l_{11}^{11}, s_{11}^{11} \) and \( F^{11} \) are mutually disjoint, and
\[
\mathcal{N}_{l_{11}^{11}/X_{11}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-3), \quad \mathcal{N}_{s_{11}^{11}/X_{11}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)^{\oplus 2}.
\]
Since \( X_{11} \) is a Mori dream space, \( X_1 \) is also a Mori dream space. Moreover, the morphism \( \rho_1 \) is a plt-blowup of \( X \) and \((K_X + R_1)|_{R_1} = K_{R_1}\) holds.

**Step 5**

Let
\[
\phi_{112} : X_{1112} \rightarrow X_{11}
\]
be the blowup along \( l_{11}^{11} \cup s_{11}^{11} \subset X_{11} \). Let \( E_{1,1}^{11}, E_{1,2}^{11} \subset X_{1112} \) be the exceptional divisors over \( l_{11}^{11}, \ s_{11}^{11} \), respectively. Note that \( E_{1,1}^{11,12} \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)) \) and \( E_{1,2}^{11,12} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). Let \( l_{11}^{11,12} \subset E_{1,1}^{11,12} \) be the \((-2)\)-curve. Then, we have \( \mathcal{N}_{l_{11}^{11,12}/X_{1112}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2) \). Let
\[
\phi_{112} : X_{112} \rightarrow X_{1112}
\]
be the blowup along \( l_{11}^{12} \subset X_{1112} \) and let \( E_{1,3}^{12} \subset X_{112} \) be the exceptional divisor. Then we have \( E_{1,3}^{11,12} \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \). Let \( l_{12}^{11} \subset E_{1,3}^{11,12} \) be the \((-1)\)-curve. Then we have \( \mathcal{N}_{l_{12}^{11}/X_{1112}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \). Moreover, \( l_{11}^{12} \) and the strict transform of \( E_{1,1}^{11,12} \) on \( X_{112} \) are disjoint. We can consider Atiyah’s flop

\[
X_{112} \xleftarrow{\phi_{12}} X_{12} \xrightarrow{\phi_{12}^+} X_{122}
\]
from \( l_{12}^{11} \subset X_{112} \). Let \( E_{1,3}^{12} \subset X_{12} \) be the exceptional divisor of \( \phi_{12} \), and let \( l_{12}^{12} \subset X_{122} \) be the image of \( E_{1,3}^{12} \). Note that \( X_{122} \) is a complex manifold, \( l_{12}^{12} \subset X_{122} \) is a smooth rational
curve and $\phi_{12}^+$ is the blowup along $l^{122} \subset X_{122}$. We set $\phi_1 := \phi_{11} \circ \phi_{1112} \circ \phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12}$. Let us set

$$E_{s}^{12} := (\phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12})^{-1} E_{s}^{1112}, \quad E_{l}^{12} := (\phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12})^{-1} E_{l}^{1112},$$

$$E_{l,2}^{12} := (\phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12})^{-1} E_{l,2}^{1112}, \quad F^{12} := (\phi_{1112} \circ \phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12})^{-1} F^{11}.$$

Since $E_{l,2}^{122} := (\phi_{12})_{*} E_{l,2}^{12} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ with $-E_{l,2}^{122} \mid_{E_{l,2}^{122}} \simeq O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$, we can analytically contract $E_{l,2}^{122}$ to a point. We denote the contraction by

$$\phi_{122} : X_{122} \rightarrow X_{22}.$$

Then $E_{l,1}^{122} := (\phi_{122} \circ \phi_{12}^+)_{*} E_{l,1}^{12} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ is a prime $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor in the normal analytic space $X_{122}$ with $-E_{l,1}^{122} \mid_{E_{l,1}^{122}} \simeq O_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(3)$. Thus, by [HP16, Proposition 7.4], we can analytically contract $E_{l,1}^{122}$ to a point. Let $\phi_{122} : X_{122} \rightarrow X_2$ be the contraction of $E_{l,1}^{122}$ and the contraction of $E_{s}^{122} := (\phi_{122} \circ \phi_{12}^+)_{*} E_{s}^{12}$ to $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose fibration is different from the fibration $E_{s}^{1112} / s_{11}$. Let $s^{22} \subset X_{22}$ be the image of $E_{s}^{122}$ and let $l^{22} \subset X_{22}$ be the image of the curve $l^{122}$. Finally, let

$$\phi_{22} : X_{22} \rightarrow X_2$$

be the contraction of $F^{22} := (\phi_{1222} \circ \phi_{122} \circ \phi_{12}^+)_{*} F^{12}$ to a point. (Note that $F^{11}$ and $l^{11} \cup s_{11}^{11}$ are disjoint.) Set $\phi_1^+ := \phi_{22} \circ \phi_{1222} \circ \phi_{12} \circ \phi_{12}^+$ and $\chi_1 := \phi_1^+ \circ (\phi_1)^{-1}$. We get the following commutative diagram:

```
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{112} & \phi_{12} & X_{12} \phi_{12} & X_{122} \\
\phi_{112} & \downarrow & \phi_{122} & \downarrow \\
X_{1112} & \phi_1 & \phi_1^+ & X_{22} \\
\phi_{1112} & \downarrow & \phi_{1222} & \downarrow \\
X_{11} & \phi_1 & \phi_1^+ & X_{22} \\
\phi_{11} & \downarrow & \phi_{1222} & \downarrow \\
X_{1} & \phi_1 & \phi_1^+ & X_{22} \\
\phi_1 & \downarrow & \phi_{1222} & \downarrow \\
x_{1} & \phi_1 & \phi_1^+ & x_{1} \\
\chi_1 & \rightarrow & \chi_1 & \rightarrow \end{array}
\]
```

**Step 6**

Set $Q_{1112}^{1112} := (\phi_{1112})^{-1} Q^{11}$. Then $Q_{1112}^{1112}$ is isomorphic to the minimal resolution of $Q^{11}$ and the strict transform $(\phi_{1112} | Q_{1112})^{-1} l^{11}$ is equal to $l_{1112}$. Let $h_{1,1}^{1112} \subset Q_{1112}^{1112}$ be the exceptional divisor over $Q^{11}$. Then we have $E_{l,1}^{1112} | Q_{1112}^{1112} = l_{1112}^{1112} + h_{1,1}^{1112}$. Set $Q_{112}^{1112} := (\phi_{112})^{-1} Q_{1112}^{1112}$ and $Q_{12}^{1112} := (\phi_{12})^{-1} Q_{1112}^{1112}$. Then we have $Q_{112}^{1112} \simeq Q_{1112}^{1112}$, and the curves $(\phi_{112} | Q_{1112}^{1112})^{-1} l_{1112}$ and $((\phi_{112})^{-1} E_{l,1}^{1112} | E_{l,2}^{1112})$ meet transversally at one point in $(\phi_{112} | Q_{1112}^{1112})^{-1} h_{1,1}^{1112}$. Moreover, $Q_{112}^{1112}$ and $l_{1112}$ are mutually disjoint. In particular, we have $Q_{12}^{1112} \simeq Q_{1112}^{1112}$. Set

$$l_{12}^{1112} := ((\phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12}) | Q_{112}^{1112})^{-1} l_{1112}^{1112}, \quad s_{0,1}^{12} := E_{s}^{12} | Q_{12}^{1112}, \quad h_{1,2}^{1112} := ((\phi_{112} \circ \phi_{12}) | Q_{12}^{1112})^{-1} h_{1,1}^{1112}.$$

Let us set $Q^{2} := (\phi_1^+)^{2} \subset X_2$ and $Q^{22} := (\phi_{22})^{-1} Q^{2} \subset X_{22}$. Then $Q^{2}$ is obtained by the contractions of the curves $h_{1,2}^{1112} \cup l_{12}^{1112}$ and $s_{0,1}^{12}$. Thus we have $Q^{22} \simeq Q^{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{align*}
(\phi_1)^{*} Q^{1} &= Q^{12} + E_{l,1}^{12} + 2 E_{l,2}^{12} + 2 E_{l,3}^{12} + E_{s}^{12}, \\
(\phi_1^+)^{*} Q^{2} &= Q^{12} + \frac{1}{3} E_{l,1}^{12} + \frac{2}{3} E_{l,2}^{12}.
\end{align*}$$

Set $T_{1112}^{1112} := (\phi_{1112})^{-1} T^{11}$. Then $T_{1112}^{1112} \simeq T^{11}$ and the curve $(\phi_{1112} | T_{1112}^{1112})^{-1} l^{11}$ is equal to $l_{1112}$. Set $T_{1112}^{1112} := (\phi_{112})^{-1} T_{1112}^{1112}$ and $T_{12}^{1112} := (\phi_{12})^{-1} T_{1112}^{1112}$. Then we have $T_{12}^{1112} \simeq T_{1112}^{1112} \simeq T^{1112}$. 

...
Moreover, the curve \((\phi_{12} | T^{12})^{-1} l^{1112}\) is equal to \(l^{112}\). Set \(l_T^{12} := E_{t,i}^{12} | T^{12}\) and \(s_{0,T}^{12} := E_s^{12} | T^{12}\).

The morphism \(T^{12} \rightarrow T^{22} := (\phi_{122} \circ \phi_{12} \circ \phi_{12}^t)_* T^{12}\) is the contraction of the curves \(l_T^{12}\) and \(s_{0,T}^{12}\). Moreover, the morphism \(T^{22} \rightarrow T^2 := (\phi_1^*)_* T^{12}\) is the contraction of the strict transform of \(l_1^{11}\). We get

\[
(\phi_1)_* T^1 = T^{12} + \frac{1}{2} F^{12} + E_{i,1}^{12} + 2 E_{i,2}^{12} + 3 E_{i,3}^{12} + E_s^{12},
\]

\[
(\phi_1^+)_* T^2 = T^{12} + \frac{1}{2} F^{12}.
\]

Set \(E_2^{12} := (\phi_1)_* E_2^1\) and \(E_3^{12} := (\phi_1^+)_* E_2^1\). Note that \(E_2^{12}\) and \(l^{11}\) are disjoint. Thus we have \(E_2^{12} \simeq E_2^1\). Set \(s_{0,E_2}^{12} := E_s^{12} | E_2\). Moreover, the morphism \(E_2^{12} \rightarrow E_2^2 := (\phi_{122} \circ \phi_{12} \circ \phi_{12}^t)_* E_2^{12}\) is the contraction of the curve \(s_{0,E_2}^{12} \subset E_2^{12}\), and the morphism \(E_2^{12} \rightarrow E_2^2\) is the contraction of the strict transform of \(e_1^{11}\). We get

\[
(\phi_1)_* E_2^1 = E_2^{12} + \frac{1}{2} F^{12} + E_s^{12},
\]

\[
(\phi_1^+)_* E_2^2 = E_2^{12} + \frac{1}{2} F^{12}.
\]

Set \(R^{1112} := (\phi_{1112})_*^{-1} R^{11}\). Then \(R^{1112} \rightarrow R^{11}\) is the blowup along the (reduced) points \(l^{11} \cap R^{11}\) and \(s_0^{11} \cap R^{11}\). Let \(h_1^{1112}, s_1^{1112} \subset R^{1112}\) be the exceptional divisors over \(l^{11} \cap R^{11} \in R^{11}, s_0^{11} \cap R^{11} \in R^{11}\), respectively. Note that \(h_1^{1112}\) and \(h_1^{1112}\) are disjoint fibers of \(E_{i,1}^{11}/l^{11}\). Moreover, for any \(1 \leq i \leq 3\), the curve \((\phi_{1112} | R^{1112})_*^{-1} r_i^{11}\) contains the point \(l^{1112} \cap R^{1112}\). Let us set \(R^{112} := (\phi_{12})_*^{-1} R^{1112}\). Then \(R^{112} \rightarrow R^{1112}\) is the blowup at the (reduced) point \(l^{1112} \cap R^{1112}\). Let \(h_2^{112} \subset R^{112}\) be the exceptional divisor over \(R^{1112}\). Note that the curves

\[
((\phi_{1112} \circ \phi_{12}) | R^{11})_*^{-1} r_2^{11} \text{ and } ((\phi_{1112} \circ \phi_{12}) | R^{112})_*^{-1} r_3^{11}
\]

transversally meet at the point \(l^{111} \cap R^{112}\). Set \(R^2 := (\phi_{12})_*^{-1} R^{112}\). Then \(R^{12} \rightarrow R^{112}\) is the blowup at the (reduced) point \(l^{1112} \cap R^{1112}\). Let \(l^{12} \subset R^{12}\) be the exceptional divisor over \(R^{112}\). Let

\[
h_1^{12}, h_2^{12}, s_1^{12}, s_R^{12}, f_2^{12}, f_R^{12}, f_S^{12}, r_1^{12}, r_2^{12}, r_3^{12} \subset R^{12}\]

be the strict transforms of the curves

\[
h_1^{1112}, h_2^{1112}, s_1^{1112}, s_R^{1112}, f_1^{11}, f_R^{11}, f_S^{11}, r_1^{11}, r_2^{11}, r_3^{11},
\]

respectively. The morphism

\[
R^{12} \rightarrow R^{22} := (\phi_{122} \circ \phi_{12} \circ \phi_{12}^t)_* R^{12}
\]

is the contractions of the curves \(h_1^{12}\) and \(h_2^{12}\). Moreover, the morphism \(R^{22} \rightarrow R^2 := (\phi_1^t)_* R^{12}\) is the contraction of the strict transform of \(s_1^{12}\). We get

\[
(\phi_1)_* R^1 = R^{12} + \frac{1}{2} F^{12},
\]

\[
(\phi_1^+)_* R^2 = R^{12} + \frac{1}{2} F^{12} + \frac{1}{3} (E_{i,1}^{12} + 2 E_{i,2}^{12} + 3 E_{i,3}^{12}) + \frac{1}{2} E_s^{12}.
\]

Let \(t_1^{12}, t_3^{12}, e_2^{12} \subset X_{12}\) be the strict transforms of \(t_1^{11}, t_3^{11}, e_2^{11} \subset X_{11}\), respectively. On \(X_{12}\), we get the following intersection numbers:
Thus, for $u \in (1, 2)$, the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $Q^2 + 2T^2 + E_2^2 + (9 - u)R^2$ is ample. Hence $X_2$ is projective and $\chi_1$ is a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $X_1$. Moreover, for $u = 2$, the divisor $Q^2 + 2T^2 + E_2^2 + (9 - 2)R^2$ contracts the curve $t_3^2 := (\phi_1^+)_t t_3^{12}$. For $u \in [1, 2]$ we get

\[
\text{vol}_{X_1} ((\rho_1)^*(-K_X) - uR^1) = (Q^2 + 2T^2 + E_2^2 + (9 - u)R^2)^{-3} = \left( (\phi_1)^* (Q^2 + 2T^2 + E_2^2 + (9 - u)R^1) + \frac{1 - u}{3} (E_{t,1}^{12} + 2E_{t,2}^{12} + 3E_{s,3}^{12} + \frac{1 - u}{2} E_s) \right)^{-3} = 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + 7 \frac{u}{12} (u - 1)^3.
\]

**Step 7**

Let $t_2^{22} \subset X_2$ be the strict transforms of $t_2^{12}$, $t_3^{12} \subset X_{12}$, respectively. Since $F^{12} \cup t_3^{12}$ and $E_{t,1}^{12} \cup E_{t,2}^{12} \cup E_{s,3}^{12}$ are mutually disjoint, the variety $X_{22}$ is smooth around a neighborhood of $F^{22} \cup t_3^{22}$. Note that $N_{t_3^{22}/X_{22}} \simeq O_{P^1}(-1) \oplus O_{P^1}(-2)$ by focusing on $T^{22}$. Let

\[ \phi_{2233} : X_{2233} \to X_{22} \]

be the blowup along $t_3^{22} \subset X_{22}$ and let $D_{t,1}^{2223} \subset X_{2233}$ be the exceptional divisor. Note that $D_{t,1}^{2223} \simeq P^1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$. Let $t_3^{2223} \subset D_{t,1}^{2223}$ be the $(-1)$-curve. Note that $T^{2223} := (\phi_{2233})_*^{-1} T^{22} \to T^{22}$ is an isomorphism and $(\phi_{2233}|_{T^{2223}})_*^{-1} t_3^{22} = t_3^{2233}$. Thus

\[ F^{2223} := (\phi_{2233})_*^{-1} F^{22} \simeq P^1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \]

and $t_2^{2223} := (\phi_{2233})_*^{-1} t_2^{22} \subset F^{2223}$ is the fiber of the $P^1$-fibration of $F^{2223}$ with $t_2^{2233} \cap t_3^{2233} \neq \emptyset$. Since $N_{t_3^{22}/X_{2233}} \simeq O_{P^1}(-1) \oplus O_{P^1}(2)$, we get Atiyah's flop

\[ X_{2233} \xleftarrow{\phi_{2233}^*} X_{223} \xrightarrow{\phi_{2233}^+} X_{2233} \]

from $t_3^{2233} \subset X_{2233}$. Let $D_{t,1}^{223} \subset X_{2233}$ be the exceptional divisor of $\phi_{2233}$ and let $t_3^{2233} \subset X_{2233}$ be the image of $D_{t,1}^{2233}$ on $X_{2233}$. Set $D_{t,1}^{2233} := (\phi_{2233})_{*}^{-1} D_{t,1}^{2223}$ and $D_{t,1}^{2233} := (\phi_{2233})_{*} D_{t,1}^{2233}$. Then $D_{t,1}^{2233} \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $-D_{t,1}^{2233} \simeq O_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. Thus we can contract $D_{t,1}^{2233} \subset X_{2233}$ to a point. We denote the contraction by

\[ \phi_{2233} : X_{2233} \to X_2. \]
Set $\psi_2 := \phi_{2233} \circ \phi_{223}$ and $\psi_2^+ := \phi_{2233} \circ \phi_{223}^+$. We get the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_{2233} \\
\downarrow_{\phi_{223}} \\
X_{2223} \\
\downarrow_{\phi_{2233}^+} \\
X_{22} \\
\downarrow_{\psi_2} \\
X_{2223} \\
\downarrow_{\phi_{2233}} \\
X_{23}.
\end{array}
\]

Set $t_3^{223} := \mathbb{D}_{t,2}^{23}\mid F_{223}$, where $F_{223} := (\psi_2)^{-1}F^{22}$. Note that the analytic space $X_{23}$ is smooth around a neighborhood of $t_2^{223} \subset X_{22}$, where $t_2^{223} \subset X_{223}$ is the strict transform of $t_2^{223} \subset X_{2223}$. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{N}_{t_2^{23}/X_{23}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1(-1)^{22}}$. Thus we can take Atiyah’s flop

\[
X_{23} \xrightarrow{\phi_{233}} X_{233} \xrightarrow{\phi_{233}^+} X_{33}
\]

from $t_3^{23} \subset X_{23}$. Let $E_{33}^{23} \subset X_{33}$ be the exceptional divisor of $\phi_{33}$ and let $t^{+33} \subset X_{33}$ be the image of $E_{t}^{23}$. Let us set $F^{23} := (\psi_2^+)\ast F_{223} = (\phi_{233})^{-1}F_{233}$ and $F^{33} := (\phi_{233})^\ast F_{233}$. Let $t_3^{33} \subset X_{333}$ be the strict transform of $t_3^{23} \subset X_{233}$. The divisor $F^{33} \subset X_{33}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier in the analytic space $X_{33}$ and $F^{33} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$ with $-F^{33}\mid F^{33} \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(3)$. Thus we can contract $F^{33} \subset X_{33}$ to a point and let us denote the morphism by

\[
\phi_{33} : X_{33} \rightarrow X_3.
\]

We set

\[
\chi_2 := \phi_{33} \circ \phi_{233}^+ \circ (\phi_{233})^{-1} \circ \psi_2^+ \circ (\psi_2)^{-1} \circ (\phi_{22})^{-1} : X_2 \rightarrow X_3.
\]

**Step 8**

On $X_{22}$, recall that $Q^{22}$ and $t_{2}^{22} \cup t_{2}^{22}$ are mutually disjoint. Thus we have $Q_{22}^{23} := (\psi_2)^{-1}Q_{22}^{22} = (\psi_2)^\ast Q_{22}^{22} = (\psi_2^+)\ast Q_{23}^{23}$, where $Q_{23}^{23} := (\psi_2^+)\ast Q_{23}^{23}$, and $Q_{233}^{23} := (\phi_{233})^{-1}Q_{23} = (\phi_{233})^\ast Q_{23} = (\phi_{233}^+)\ast Q_{33}$, where $Q_{33} := (\phi_{233})^\ast Q_{33} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,2,3)$.

As we already observed in Step 7, we have $T_{223} := (\phi_{233})^{-1}T_{2223} \simeq T^{22}$. Moreover, $T^{223} \rightarrow T^{23} := (\psi_2^+)\ast T_{223}$ is the contraction of $\mathbb{D}_{t,2}^{23}\mid T_{223}$. We get

\[
(\psi_2^+)\ast T^{22} = T_{223} + \mathbb{D}_{t,1}^{23} + 2\mathbb{D}_{t,2}^{23},
\]

\[
(\psi_2^+)\ast T^{23} = T^{23}.
\]

Note that $T_{23} := (\phi_{233})^{-1}T_{23} \simeq T^{23}$ and $T^{33} \rightarrow T^{33} := (\phi_{233})^\ast T_{233}^{33}$ is the contraction of the curve $E_{t}^{233}\mid T_{233}$. Moreover, we have $T^{33} \simeq \mathbb{P}(1,1,2)$. We get

\[
(\phi_{233})^\ast T^{23} = T_{233}^{33} + E_{t}^{233},
\]

\[
(\phi_{233}^+)\ast T^{33} = T^{233}.
\]

Note that $E_{22}^{23} := (\psi_2)^{-1}E_{22}^{22} \simeq E_{22}^{22}$ and the morphism $E_{22}^{23} \rightarrow E_{23}^{23} := (\psi_2^+)\ast E_{22}^{23}$ is the contraction of the curve $\mathbb{D}_{t,1}^{23}\mid E_{22}^{23}$. We get

\[
(\psi_2)^\ast E_{22}^{22} = E_{22}^{23} + \mathbb{D}_{t,1}^{23} + \mathbb{D}_{t,2}^{23},
\]

\[
(\psi_2^+)\ast E_{23}^{23} = E_{23}^{23} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}_{t,1}^{23}.
\]

Note that $E_{2}^{23}$ and $t_{2}^{23}$ are mutually disjoint. Thus $E_{2}^{233} := (\phi_{233})^{-1}E_{2}^{23} = (\phi_{233})^\ast E_{2}^{23} = (\phi_{233}^+)\ast E_{2}^{33}$, where $E_{2}^{33} := (\phi_{233})^\ast E_{2}^{33}$.

As we already observed in Step 7, we have

\[
(\psi_2)^\ast F_{22}^{23} = F_{223},
\]

\[
(\psi_2^+)\ast F_{23}^{23} = F_{223} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}_{t,1} + \mathbb{D}_{t,2}^{23}.
\]
and

\[
(\phi_{233})^* F^{23} = F^{233} + E^{233}_t,
\]
\[
(\phi_{+233})^* F^{33} = F^{233}.
\]

Since \( R^{22} \) and \( t^{22} \) on \( X_{22} \) are mutually disjoint, we have \( R^{223} := (\psi_2)^{-1} R^{22} = (\psi_2)^* R^{22} = (\psi_2^*)^* R^{23} \), where \( R^{223} := (\psi_{233})^* R^{23} \). Note that \( R^{12} \to R^{22} (\simeq R^{23}) \) is the contractions of the curves \( h^{12}_1, h^{12}_2 \subset R^{12} \). The morphism \( R^{233} := (\phi_{233})^* R^{23} \to R^{23} \) is the blowup at the (reduced) point corresponds to the intersection \( f^{12}_S \cap r^{12}_1 \cap s^{12}_R \). Let \( t^{+233} \subset R^{233} \) be the exceptional divisor over \( R^{23} \). The curve \( t^{+233} \) is equal to \( E^{233}_t \mid R^{233} \). We note that the morphism \( R^{233} \to R^{33} := (\psi_{233})^* R^{233} \) is an isomorphism. We get

\[
(\phi_{233})^* R^{23} = R^{233},
\]
\[
(\phi_{+233})^* R^{33} = R^{233} + E^{233}_t.
\]

Let

\[
f^{233}_2, f^{233}_R, f^{233}_S, s^{233}_R, c^{233}_2, r^{233}_1, r^{233}_2, r^{233}_3, t^{+233}, s^{+233} \subset X_{223}
\]

and

\[
f^{233}_2, f^{233}_R, f^{233}_S, s^{233}_R, c^{233}_2, r^{233}_1, r^{233}_2, r^{233}_3, t^{+233}, s^{+233} \subset X_{233}
\]

be the strict transforms of

\[
f^{12}_2, f^{12}_R, f^{12}_S, s^{12}_R, c^{12}_2, r^{12}_1, r^{12}_2, r^{12}_3, t^{+12}, s^{+12} \subset X_{12}
\]

respectively. On \( X_{233} \), we get the following intersection numbers:

| . | \( D^{233}_{t,1} \mid D^{233}_{t,2} \) | \( (\psi^*_2)^* Q^{23} \mid (\psi^*_+)^* T^{23} \mid (\psi^*_+)^* E^{233}_2 \mid (\psi^*_+)^* F^{23} \mid (\psi^*_+)^* R^{23} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( f^{233}_2 \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| \( f^{233}_R \) | 0 | 0 | 1/3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \( f^{233}_S \) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 |
| \( s^{233}_R \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \( c^{233}_2 \) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | -3/2 |
| \( t^{233}_2 \) | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| \( r^{233}_1 \) | 0 | 0 | -1/3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/6 |
| \( r^{233}_2 \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1/2 |
| \( r^{233}_3 \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \( t^{+233} \) | 0 | 0 | 2/3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1/3 |
| \( s^{+233} \) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1/2 |
| \( t^{3+233} \) | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1/2 | -1/2 | 0 |

On \( X_{233} \), we get the following intersection numbers:
Note that $F_{33}^{}$, $Q_{33}^{}$ and $T_{33}^{}$ on $X_{33}^{}$ are mutually disjoint. Set $Q_{3}^3 := (\phi_{33}), Q_{33}^3, T_{3}^3 := (\phi_{33}), T_{33}^3, E_{2}^3 := (\phi_{33}), E_{33}^3, R_{3}^3 := (\phi_{33}), R_{33}^3$. Then we have $(\phi_{33})^* E_{2}^3 = E_{2,33}^3 + (1/3) F_{33}^{}$ and $(\phi_{33})^* R_{3}^3 = E_{3,33}^3 + (2/3) R_{33}^3$. We note that $Q_{3}^2$, $T_{3}^3$ and $E_{2}^3$ on $X_{3}^{}$ are mutually disjoint. Moreover, we have

$$(\phi_{33})^* (Q_{3}^3 + 2T_{3}^3 + E_{2}^3 + (9 - u) R_{3}^3) = Q_{33}^3 + 2T_{33}^3 + E_{2,33}^3 + \frac{19 - 2u}{3} F_{33}^{} + (9 - u) R_{33}^3.$$ 

From the above table, the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $Q_{3}^3 + 2T_{3}^3 + E_{2}^3 + (9 - u) R_{3}^3$ is ample for $u \in (2, 5)$. In particular, $X_{3}^{}$ is projective and $\chi_2 \circ \chi_1$ is a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $X_{1}^{}$. Moreover, for $u \in [2, 5]$, we have

$$\begin{align*}
\text{vol}_{X_{3}^{}} & \left((\rho_{1})^*(-K_{X}) - u R_{1}^{1}\right) \\
& = \left(\left(Q_{33}^3 + 2T_{33}^3 + E_{2,33}^3 + \frac{12 - u}{2} F_{33}^{} + (9 - u) R_{33}^3\right) + \frac{2 - u}{6} F_{33}^{}\right)^{3} \\
& = \left((\phi_{233})^* \left(Q_{2,3}^3 + 2T_{2,3}^3 + E_{2,3}^3 + \frac{12 - u}{2} F_{2,3}^{} + (9 - u) R_{2,3}^3\right) + \frac{2 - u}{2} \mathbb{E}_{233}^{}\right)^{3} + \frac{1}{48} (2 - u)^3 \\
& = \left(\left(Q_{22}^2 + 2T_{22}^2 + E_{2}^2 + \frac{12 - u}{2} F_{22}^{} + (9 - u) R_{22}^{}\right) + \frac{2 - u}{4} \left(\mathbb{D}_{2,1}^{223} + 2\mathbb{D}_{1,2}^{223}\right)\right)^{3} - \frac{5}{48} (2 - u)^3 \\
& = 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + \frac{7}{12} (u - 1)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (u - 2)^3.
\end{align*}$$

**Step 9**

We remark that

$$\begin{align*}
Q_{3}^3 & \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \quad \text{with} \quad -Q_{3}^3|_{Q^3} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)}(2), \\
T_{3}^3 & \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2) \quad \text{with} \quad -T_{3}^3|_{T^3} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(2), \\
E_{2}^3 & \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \quad \text{with} \quad -E_{2}^3|_{E_2^3} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)}(4).
\end{align*}$$
Thus, for $u = 5$, the divisor $Q^3 + 2T^3 + E_2^3 + (9 - 5)R^3$ on $X_3$ contracts the disjoint union of $T^3$ and $E_2^3$ and get the contraction morphism

$$\rho_3 : X_3 \to X_4.$$ 

Note that the divisors $Q^4 := (\rho_3)_*Q^3$ and $R^4 := (\rho_3)_*R^3$ satisfy that $Q^3 = (\rho_3)^*Q^4$ and $R^3 = (\rho_3)^*R^4 - (1/2)T^3 - (1/4)E_2^3$. In particular, we get

$$(\rho_3)^* (Q^4 + (9 - u)R^4) = Q^3 + \frac{9 - u}{2} T^3 + \frac{9 - u}{4} E_2^3 + (9 - u)R^3.$$ 

From the table in Step 8, for $u \in (5, 7)$, the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $Q^4 + (9 - u)R^4$ is ample on $X_4$. In particular, for $u \in [5, 7]$, we get

$$\text{vol}_{X_1} \left( (\rho_1)_*(-K_X) - uR^1 \right) = \left( (Q^3 + 2T^3 + E_2^3 + (9 - u)R^3) + \frac{5 - u}{2} T^3 + \frac{5 - u}{4} E_2^3 \right)^3 = 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + \frac{7}{12} (u - 1)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (u - 2)^3 - \frac{7}{24} (u - 5)^3.$$ 

Moreover, for $u = 7$, the divisor $Q^4 + (9 - 7)R^4$ contracts $Q^4$ to a point. Let us denote the contraction by

$$\rho_4 : X_4 \to X_5.$$ 

Set $R^5 := (\rho_4)_*R^4$. Then we have $(\rho_4)^*R^5 = R^4 + (1/2)Q^4$. Thus we have

$$(\rho_4 \circ \rho_3)^* ((9 - u)R^5) = \frac{9 - u}{2} Q^3 + \frac{9 - u}{2} T^3 + \frac{9 - u}{4} E_2^3 + (9 - u)R^3.$$ 

Obviously, the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $(9 - u)R^5$ is ample for $u \in (7, 9)$. Moreover, for $u \in [7, 9]$, we get

$$\text{vol}_{X_1} \left( (\rho_1)_*(-K_X) - uR^1 \right) = \left( (Q^4 + (9 - u)R^4) + \frac{7 - u}{2} Q^4 \right)^3 = 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + \frac{7}{12} (u - 1)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (u - 2)^3 - \frac{7}{24} (u - 5)^3 - \frac{1}{12} (u - 7)^3 = \frac{1}{8} (9 - u)^3.$$
In particular, we get the equality $\tau_X(R^1) = 9$. As a consequence, we get the following commutative diagram:

Moreover, we get

$$S_X(R^1) = \frac{1}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \left( 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} \right) du + \int_1^2 \left( 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + \frac{7}{12} (u-1)^3 \right) du ight.$$ 

$$+ \int_2^3 \left( 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + \frac{7}{12} (u-1)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (u-2)^3 \right) du$$

$$+ \int_5^7 \left( 28 - \frac{u^3}{2} + \frac{7}{12} (u-1)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (u-2)^3 - \frac{7}{24} (u-5)^3 \right) du$$

$$+ \int_7^9 \frac{1}{8} (9-u)^3 du \right) = \frac{63}{16}.$$ 

Therefore we get the inequality

$$\delta_q(X) \leq \frac{A_X(R^1)}{S_X(R^1)} = \frac{64}{63}.$$ 

**Step 10**

Recall that the rational map

$$\psi_2^+ \circ (\psi_2)^{-1}: X_{22} \to X_{23}$$

is an isomorphism around a neighborhood of $R^{22} \subset X_{22}$. Take the blowup $\phi_{123}: X_{123} \to X_{12}$ along the curve $t_2^{12} \subset X_{12}$ and let $E_t^{123} \subset X_{123}$ be the exceptional divisor. Set $R_{123} := (\phi_{123})^{-1} R^{12}$. The divisor $E_t^{123}$ is the strict transform of the divisor $E_t^{233} \subset X_{233}$. Moreover, the curve $t^{+233} = E_t^{123}|_{R_{123}}$ is the strict transform of $t^{+233} \subset X_{233}$. Thus there exists a common resolution $\tilde{X}$ of $X_{123}$, $X_{233}$ and $X_{233}$ such that the morphism $\tilde{X} \to X_{123}$ is an
isomorphism around a neighborhood of the strict transform of \( R^{123} \). Let us denote the natural morphisms by:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
\sigma_{123} \\
\phi_{123} \\
X_{123} \\
X_{12} \\
X_{223} \\
X_{233}.
\end{array}
\]

Set \( \tilde{R} := (\sigma_{123})_{*}^{-1}R^{123} \). Let \( \tilde{t}^+ \subset \tilde{R} \) be the strict transform of \( t^{+123} \subset R^{123} \). Then the morphism \( \sigma_{123}|_{\tilde{R}} : \tilde{R} \to R^{12} \) is the blowup along the (reduced) point \( t_{12}^1 \cap R^{12} \) with the exceptional divisor \( \tilde{t}^+ \).

Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{s}_R, \tilde{f}_S, \tilde{f}_R, \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2, \tilde{t}^+, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{s}^+, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{r}_3 & \subset \tilde{R} \\
\end{align*}
\]

be the strict transforms of

\[
\begin{align*}
s_R^{12}, f_S^{12}, f_R^{12}, h_1^{12}, h_2^{12}, t^{+12}, f_2^{12}, s^{12}, r_1^{12}, r_2^{12}, r_3^{12} & \subset R^{12},
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. Let \( \gamma : \tilde{R} \to R^1 \) be the natural morphism. Moreover, let \( p_v^1 \in R^1 \) be the vertex of the cone \( R^1 \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2) \) and let \( p_h^1 \in R^1 \) be the image of \( h_1 \) (or \( h_2 \)) on \( R^1 \).

The following claim is trivial:

**Claim 9.3.** The Kleiman–Mori cone \( \overline{\text{NE}}(\tilde{R}) \) of \( \tilde{R} \) is spanned by the classes of the following 12 negative curves

\[
\tilde{s}_R, \tilde{t}^+, \tilde{f}_S, \tilde{f}_R, \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2, \tilde{t}^+, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{s}^+, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{r}_3.
\]

**Proof of Claim 9.3.** Consider the contractions \( \nu : \tilde{R} \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) of the curves

\[
\tilde{t}^+, \ f_R^+ \cup \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{t}^+, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{r}_1.
\]

Then \( \nu_* \tilde{s}_R \) is the line passing through \( \nu(\tilde{t}^+) \), \( \nu(\tilde{h}_1) \), \( \nu(\tilde{f}_2) \), and \( \nu_* \tilde{h}_2 \) is the line passing through \( \nu(\tilde{h}_1) \), \( \nu(\tilde{r}_1) \), \( \nu(\tilde{t}^+) \). Note that

\[
\nu^* \left( \left( K_{\tilde{R}} + \nu_* \tilde{s}_R + \nu_* \tilde{h}_2 \right) \right) = - \left( K_{\tilde{R}} + \tilde{s}_R + \tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{f}_R + \tilde{h}_2 \right)
\]

is nef and big. Thus, by [Nak07, Proposition 3.3], the cone \( \overline{\text{NE}}(\tilde{R}) \) is spanned by the classes of finitely many negative curves. Take any irreducible curve \( \tilde{C} \subset \tilde{R} \) spanning an extremal ray of \( \overline{\text{NE}}(\tilde{R}) \). We may assume that

\[
\tilde{C} \neq \tilde{s}_R, \tilde{t}^+, \tilde{f}_R, \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2, \tilde{t}^+, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{r}_1.
\]

In particular, \( \nu_* \tilde{C} \) is not a point. Moreover, since

\[
\left( \left( \tilde{s}_R + \tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{f}_R + \tilde{h}_2 \right) \cdot \tilde{C} \right) \geq 0,
\]

the extremal ray spanned by \( \tilde{C} \) is \( K_{\tilde{R}} \)-negative. Thus we get \( \left( -K_{\tilde{R}} \cdot \tilde{C} \right) = 1 \) and

\[
\left( \left( \tilde{s}_R + \tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{f}_R + \tilde{h}_2 \right) \cdot \tilde{C} \right) = 0.
\]

This implies that

\[
\deg \nu_* \tilde{C} = \left( \nu^* \left( \left( K_{\tilde{R}} + \nu_* \tilde{s}_R + \nu_* \tilde{h}_2 \right) \right) \cdot \tilde{C} \right) = 1.
\]

Since \( \tilde{C} \) is a negative curve, we must have \( \tilde{C} = \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{s}^+, \tilde{r}_2 \) or \( \tilde{r}_3 \). \( \square \)
The intersection form of
\[ \tilde{s}_R, \tilde{l}^+, \tilde{f}_S, \tilde{f}_R, \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2, \tilde{l}^+, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{s}^+, \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{r}_3 \]
on \( \tilde{R} \) is given by the symmetric matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

From now on, we write
\[
[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}]
:= a_1 \tilde{s}_R + a_2 \tilde{l}^+ + a_3 \tilde{f}_S + a_4 \tilde{f}_R + a_5 \tilde{h}_1 + a_6 \tilde{h}_2
+ a_7 \tilde{l}^+ + a_8 \tilde{f}_2 + a_9 \tilde{s}^+ + a_{10} \tilde{r}_1 + a_{11} \tilde{r}_2 + a_{12} \tilde{r}_3
\]
for any \( a_1, \ldots, a_{12} \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Step 11**
For any \( u \in [0, 9] \), let us set
\[
P(u) := P_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{X}, (\phi_1 \circ \sigma_{12})^* ((\rho_1)^*(-K_X) - uR^1) \right) \big|_{\tilde{R}},
N(u) := N_{\sigma} \left( \tilde{X}, (\phi_1 \circ \sigma_{12})^* ((\rho_1)^*(-K_X) - uR^1) \right) \big|_{\tilde{R}}.
\]
We know that
\[
F^{11}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{s}_R + \tilde{l}^+, \\
Q^{11}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{r}_1 + \tilde{h}_1 + 2\tilde{h}_2 + 2\tilde{l}^+ + \tilde{s}^+, \\
T^{11}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{r}_2 + \tilde{l}^+ + \tilde{h}_1 + 2\tilde{h}_2 + 3\tilde{l}^+ + \tilde{s}^+, \\
E_2^{11}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{f}_2 + \tilde{s}^+, \\
R^{11}|_{\tilde{R}} \sim_{Q} -\tilde{s}_R - 2\tilde{l}^+ - \tilde{f}_S.
\]
Thus we get
\[
F^{22}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{s}_R + \tilde{l}^+, \\
Q^{22}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{r}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{h}_1 + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{h}_2, \\
T^{22}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{r}_2 + \tilde{l}^+, \\
E_2^{22}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{f}_2, \\
R^{22}|_{\tilde{R}} \sim_{Q} -\tilde{s}_R - 2\tilde{l}^+ - \tilde{f}_S + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{h}_1 + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{l}^+ + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{s}^+.
\]
and
\[
F^{33}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{s}_R,
\]
\[
Q^{33}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{r}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{h}_1 + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{h}_2,
\]
\[
T^{33}|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{r}_2,
\]
\[
E_2|_{\tilde{R}} = \tilde{f}_2,
\]
\[
R^{33}|_{\tilde{R}} \sim_Q -\tilde{s}_R - \tilde{t}^+ - \tilde{f}_S + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{h}_1 + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{l}^+ + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{s}^+.
\]
In particular, we can determine \(P(u)\) and \(N(u)\).

- If \(u \in [0, 1]\), then
  \[
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u - \frac{6}{2}, 3u - 20, u - 9, 0, 3, 6, 8, 1, 4, 1, 2, 0 \right],
  \]
  \[
N(u) = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0].
  \]
- If \(u \in [1, 2]\), then
  \[
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u - \frac{8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, 10 - u, \frac{10 - 2u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
  \]
  \[
N(u) = \left[ u - \frac{2}{6}, u - \frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{u - 1}{3}, \frac{2u - 2}{3}, u - 1, 0, \frac{u - 1}{2}, 0, 0, 0 \right].
  \]
- If \(u \in [2, 5]\), then
  \[
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u - \frac{9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 9 - u \right],
  \]
  \[
N(u) = \left[ u - \frac{3}{4}, u - \frac{2}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{u - 1}{3}, \frac{2u - 2}{3}, u - 1, \frac{u - 5}{4}, u - 5, 0 \right].
  \]
- If \(u \in [5, 7]\), then
  \[
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u - \frac{9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
  \]
  \[
N(u) = \left[ u - \frac{3}{4}, u - \frac{2}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{u - 3}{2}, u - 3, u - 1, \frac{u - 5}{4}, u - 5, 0 \right].
  \]
- If \(u \in [7, 9]\), then
  \[
P(u) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u - \frac{9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
  \]
  \[
N(u) = \left[ u - \frac{3}{4}, u - \frac{2}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{u - 3}{2}, u - 3, u - 1, \frac{u - 5}{4}, u - 5, 0 \right].
  \]

**Step 12**

Set
\[
\varepsilon_s := \phi_{11}|_{R^{11}} : R^{11} \to R^1
\]
and let \(\gamma_s : \tilde{R} \to R^{11}\) be the natural morphism. Then the morphism \(\varepsilon_s\) is a plt-blownup with the exceptional divisor \(s^{11}_{R} := (\gamma_s)_*\tilde{s}_R\). Note that \((\gamma_s)^*s^{11}_{R} = \tilde{s}_R + \tilde{l}^+\). Set \(p^s_2 := \tilde{l}^+|_{\tilde{s}_R}\), \(p^s_4 := \tilde{f}_R|_{\tilde{s}_R}\), and \(p^s_8 := \tilde{f}_2|_{\tilde{s}_R}\). Then \(p^{s^R}_2, p^{s^R}_4, p^{s^R}_8 \in \tilde{s}_R\) are mutually distinct reduced points.

Set \(p^{11}_2 := \gamma_s(p^s_2), p^{11}_4 := \gamma_s(p^s_4), p^{11}_8 := \gamma_s(p^s_8)\), and let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_\sigma(\tilde{R}, P(u) - v\tilde{s}_R),
\]
\[
N(u, v) := N_\sigma(\tilde{R}, P(u) - v\tilde{s}_R),
\]
where \(P(u), N(u)\) are as in Step 11.

- Assume that \(u \in [0, 1]\).
  - If \(v \in [0, \frac{u}{2}]\), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = [0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] ,
    \]
    \[
    P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 2v - 20}{2}, u - 9, 0, 3, 6, 8, 1, 4, 1, 2, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u - 2v)(u + 2v).\]
  - If \(v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]\), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = [0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] ,
    \]
    \[
    P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 2v - 20}{2}, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 24v^2) .\]
  - If \(v \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2 + u}{6}]\), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = \left[ 0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    \[
    P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 2v - 20}{2}, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{3 - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-4 + 14u - u^2 - 12v - 12v^2).\]
  - If \(v \in [\frac{2 + u}{6}, \frac{u}{2}]\), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = \left[ 0, v, 0, \frac{-2 - u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-2 - u + 6v}{3}, \frac{-2 - u + 6v}{2}, 0, \frac{2v - 1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    \[
    P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 2v - 20}{2}, u - 9, \frac{2 + u - 6v}{2}, \frac{4 - 2v}{2}, \frac{14 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{3 - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u - 2v)(3 - 2v).\]
- Assume that \(u \in [2, 3]\).
  - If \(v \in [0, \frac{u - 2}{2}]\), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] ,
    \]
    \[
    P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 3v - 8}{3}, \frac{u - 9}{3}, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right] ,
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 36v^2) .\]
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-2}{3}, \frac{5-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, \frac{2-u+3v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u-3v-8}{3}, -29+4u-3v, \frac{u-9, 0, 10-u}{3}, \frac{20-2u}{3}, 9-u, 1, \frac{9-u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{36}(-29 + 50u - 5u^2 + 48v - 24uv - 72v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{5-u}{6}, \frac{2}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, \frac{2-u+3v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u-3v-8}{3}, -29+4u-3v, \frac{u-9, 0, 10-u}{3}, \frac{20-2u}{3}, 9-u, \frac{11-u-6v}{6}, \frac{9-u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(-1 + 10u - u^2 - 3v - 3uv - 9v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1+u}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, \frac{2-u+3v}{3}, 0, 3v-2, \frac{-4+6v}{3}, \frac{-2+3v}{3}, 0, \frac{-5+u+6v}{6}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u-3v-8}{3}, -29+4u-3v, \frac{u-9, 2-3v, 14-u-6v}{3}, \frac{22-2u-3v}{3}, 9-u, \frac{11-u-6v}{6}, \frac{9-u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(1+u-3v)(11-u-6v).
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [3, 4] \).

- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{5-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u-3v-8}{3}, u-9, u-9, 0, \frac{10-u}{3}, \frac{20-2u}{3}, 9-u, 1, \frac{9-u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 36v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{5-u}{6}, \frac{u-2}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u-3v-8}{3}, u-9, u-9, 0, \frac{10-u}{3}, \frac{20-2u}{3}, 9-u, \frac{11-u-6v}{6}, \frac{9-u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]
and

\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(-5 + 14u - 2u^2 - 15v + 3uv - 18v^2). \]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-3}{3} , \frac{2}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, \frac{2 - u + 3v}{3}, 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \begin{bmatrix}
u - 3v - 8, -29 + 4u - 3v, u - 9, 0, 10 - u, 20 - 2u, 9 - u, 11 - u - 6v, 9 - u, 2, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(-1 + 10u - u^2 - 3v - 3uv - 9v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{2}{3} , \frac{11 - u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, \frac{2 - u + 3v}{3}, 0,3v - 2, \frac{-4 + 6v}{3}, \frac{-2 + 3v}{3}, 0, \frac{-5 + u + 6v}{6}, 0,0,0,0,0,0,0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \begin{bmatrix}
u - 3v - 8, -29 + 4u - 3v, u - 9, 2 - 3v, \frac{14 - u - 6v}{3}, \frac{22 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{11 - u - 6v}{6}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(1 + u - 3v)(11 - u - 6v).
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [4, 5] \).
- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{5 - u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \begin{bmatrix}
u - 3v - 8, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 36v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{5 - u}{6} , \frac{2}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \begin{bmatrix}
u - 3v - 8, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{11 - u - 6v}{6}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(-5 + 14u - 2u^2 - 15v + 3uv - 18v^2).
\]
− If \( v \in \left[ \frac{2}{3}, \frac{u-2}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 3v - 2, -\frac{4 + 6v}{3}, -\frac{2 + 3v}{3}, 0, -\frac{5 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix} u - 3v - 8 \over 3, u - 9, u - 9, 2 - 3v, 14 - u - 6v, 22 - 2u - 3v, 9 - u, \frac{11 - u - 6v}{6}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(7 + 14u - 2u^2 - 51v + 3uv + 9v^2).
\]

− If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-2}{3}, \frac{11-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{2 - u + 3v}{3}, 0, 3v - 2, -\frac{4 + 6v}{3}, -\frac{2 + 3v}{3}, 0, -\frac{5 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix} u - 3v - 8 \over 3, -\frac{29 + 4u - 3v}{3}, u - 9, 2 - 3v, 14 - u - 6v, 22 - 2u - 3v, 9 - u, \frac{11 - u - 6v}{6}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(1 + u - 3v)(11 - u - 6v).
\]

• Assume that \( u \in [5, 7] \).

− If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{13-u}{12} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u - 4v - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 4v}{4}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 48v^2).
\]

− If \( v \in \left[ \frac{13-u}{12}, \frac{9-u}{4} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, \frac{-13 + u + 12v}{4}, \frac{-13 + u + 12v}{6}, \frac{-13 + u + 12v}{12}, 0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u - 4v - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, \frac{13 - u - 12v}{4}, \frac{11 - u - 4v}{2}, \frac{31 - 3u - 4v}{4}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 4v}{4}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{16}(17 - u - 4v)(9 - u - 4v).
\]

• Assume that \( u \in [7, 9] \).
If $v \in [0, \frac{9-u}{4}]$, then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \left[\frac{u - 4v - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 9 - u, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 4v}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 4v}{2}, 9 - u, 0\right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u + 4v)(9 - u - 4v).
\]

Therefore, we get

\[
S \left(V^\ast_R, \tilde{s}_R\right) = \frac{3}{28} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2} (u - 2v)(u + 2v) dv\right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_1^2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{12}} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 24v^2) dv + \int_\frac{1}{12}^{\frac{2+u}{2}} \frac{1}{12} (-4 + 14u - u^2 - 12v - 12v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{2+u}{2}^{\frac{3+u}{2}} \frac{1}{2} (u - 2v)(3 - 2v) dv\right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_2^3 \left(\int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2) + \int_0^{\frac{5-u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 36v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{5-u}{6}^{\frac{3+u}{2}} \frac{1}{36} (-29 + 50u - 5u^2 + 48v - 24uv - 72v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{3+u}{2}^{\frac{2+u}{2}} \frac{1}{9} (-1 + 10u - u^2 - 3v - 3uv - 9v^2) dv + \int_\frac{2+u}{2}^{\frac{11-u}{6}} \frac{1}{9} (1 + u - 3v)(11 - u - 6v) dv\right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_3^4 \left(\int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2) + \int_0^{\frac{5-u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 36v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{5-u}{6}^{\frac{3+u}{2}} \frac{1}{9} (-5 + 14u - 2u^2 - 15v + 3uv - 18v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{3+u}{2}^{\frac{2+u}{2}} \frac{1}{9} (-1 + 10u - u^2 - 3v - 3uv - 9v^2) dv + \int_\frac{2+u}{2}^{\frac{11-u}{6}} \frac{1}{9} (1 + u - 3v)(11 - u - 6v) dv\right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_4^5 \left(\int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2) + \int_0^{\frac{5-u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 36v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{5-u}{6}^{\frac{3+u}{2}} \frac{1}{9} (-5 + 14u - 2u^2 - 15v + 3uv - 18v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{3+u}{2}^{\frac{2+u}{2}} \frac{1}{9} (-1 + 10u - u^2 - 3v - 3uv - 9v^2) dv + \int_\frac{2+u}{2}^{\frac{11-u}{6}} \frac{1}{9} (1 + u - 3v)(11 - u - 6v) dv\right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_5^6 \left(\int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \frac{1}{24} (145 - 26u + u^2) + \int_0^{\frac{3+u}{2}} \frac{1}{24} (145 - 26u + u^2 - 48v^2) dv
\]

\[
+ \int_\frac{3+u}{2}^{\frac{13+u}{12}} \frac{1}{16} (17 - u - 4v)(9 - u - 4v) dv\right) du
\]
Moreover, we have

\[ F_{p2}^{3} \left( W_{R^{11}, s_{R}^{11}} \right) = \int_{0}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 3v - \frac{u-3v-2}{3} dvdu \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 3v - \frac{u-3v-2}{3} dv + \int_{\frac{u-3}{6}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 5 - u + 12v \cdot \frac{u-3v-2}{3} dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 3v - \frac{u-3v-2}{3} dv + \int_{\frac{u-3}{6}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 5 - u + 12v \cdot \frac{u-3v-2}{3} dv \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 17 - u - 6v \cdot \frac{u-3v-2}{3} dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 2v - u - 4v - \frac{1}{4} dv + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 - u - 4v \cdot \frac{u-4v-1}{4} dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 2v - u - 4v - \frac{1}{4} dv = \frac{15}{56}, \]

\[ F_{p2}^{3} \left( W_{R^{11}, s_{R}^{11}} \right) = \int_{1}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 3v - \frac{u-4v}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dvdu \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 + u - 12v \cdot \frac{6}{2} (3v - 2) dvdu + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 + u - 12v \cdot \frac{6}{2} (3v - 2) dv \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 17 - u - 6v \cdot \frac{6}{2} (3v - 2) dv + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 + u - 12v \cdot \frac{6}{2} (3v - 2) dv \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 - u - 4v \cdot \frac{4}{4} dvdu \]

\[ = \frac{23}{112}, \]

and

\[ F_{p2}^{3} \left( W_{R^{11}, s_{R}^{11}} \right) = \int_{1}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 3v - \frac{u-4v}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dvdu \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 1 + u + 6v \cdot \frac{6}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dv + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 + u - 12v \cdot \frac{6}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dv \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 5 - u + 12v \cdot \frac{6}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dv + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 1 + u + 6v \cdot \frac{6}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dv \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u-3}{4}}^{\frac{u-3}{4}} 13 + u - 12v \cdot \frac{6}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{2} dv \]
Therefore, for any closed point $p^{11} \in s^{11}_{R} \subset R^{11}$, we get the following inequality:

\[
S \left(W^{11}_{R^{11}, s^{11}_{R}}; p^{11}\right) \leq \frac{25}{56} + \frac{3}{28} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\frac{7}{2}} (2v)^2 dv du \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_{1}^{2} \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (2v)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2 + u + 6v} \frac{1 + 2v}{2} dv + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3 + u - 4v}{2} dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{2}^{3} \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (3v)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3} u - 6v} \frac{-2 + u + 6v}{3} dv \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \int_{\frac{2}{3}}^{\frac{4}{5} u} \frac{1 + u + 6v}{6} dv + \int_{\frac{4}{5} u}^{\frac{1}{2} u - 12v} \frac{13 + u - 12v}{6} dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{3}^{4} \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (3v)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{6} u - 12v} \frac{5 - u + 12v}{6} dv \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{4}{5} u} \frac{1 + u + 6v}{6} dv + \int_{\frac{4}{5} u}^{\frac{7}{10} u - 12v} \frac{13 + u - 12v}{6} dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{4}^{5} \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (3v)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{9}{12} u - 12v} \frac{5 - u + 12v}{6} dv \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2} - u - 6v} \frac{17 - u - 6v}{6} dv + \int_{\frac{1}{2} - u - 12v}^{\frac{13}{6} u - 12v} \frac{13 + u - 12v}{6} dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{5}^{6} \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{11}{12} u - 12v} (2v)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{11}{12} u - 12v}^{\frac{9}{12} u - 4v} \frac{13 - u - 4v}{4} dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{6}^{7} \int_{0}^{\frac{9}{12} u - 4v} (2v)^2 dv du \right) = \frac{25}{56} + \frac{13}{28} = \frac{51}{56}.
\]

In particular, we get the inequality

\[
\delta_{p^{11}}^{1}(R^{1}, V_{\bullet \bullet}) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{R^{1}}(\tilde{s}_{R})}{S(\tilde{V}_{\bullet \bullet}, \tilde{s}_{R})}, \inf_{p^{11}\in s^{11}_{R}} \frac{A_{s^{11}_{R}}(p^{11})}{S(W^{11}_{R^{11}, s^{11}_{R}}; p^{11})} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{224}{207}, \frac{56}{51} \right\} = \frac{224}{207}
\]

by Corollary 4.18.

**Step 13**

Let us set $f_{3}^{1} := \gamma_{*} \tilde{f}_{3} \subset R^{1}$. Moreover, set $\tilde{p}_{r_{1}} := \tilde{r}_{1} | f_{3}$, $\tilde{p}_{r_{3}} := \tilde{r}_{3} | f_{3}$, $p_{r_{1}}^{1} := \gamma(\tilde{p}_{r_{1}})$, $p_{r_{3}}^{1} := \gamma(\tilde{p}_{r_{3}})$. Note that $\tilde{p}_{r_{1}}$ and $\tilde{p}_{r_{3}}$ are mutually distinct reduced points. Moreover, the
$$\left( K_{R^v} + f^1_{f^1} \right)_{f^1_R} = K_{f^1_R} + \frac{1}{2} p^1_v, \quad \gamma^* f^1_R = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{s}_R + \frac{3}{2} \tilde{r}^+ + \tilde{s}_R.$$ 

Let us set 
$$P(u, v) := P_\sigma \left( \tilde{R}, P(u) - v \tilde{f}_S \right),$$ 
$$N(u, v) := N_\sigma \left( \tilde{R}, P(u) - v \tilde{f}_S \right),$$

where $P(u), N(u)$ are as in Step 11.

- Assume that $u \in [0, 1]$.
  - If $v \in [0, u]$, then
    $$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 3v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],$$
    $$P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, 3, 6, 8, 1, 4, 1, 2, 0 \right],$$
    and
    $$(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2.$$  
  - If $v \in \left[ 0, \frac{r - u}{6} \right]$, then
    $$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 3v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],$$
    $$P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],$$
    and
    $$(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2).$$  
  - If $v \in \left[ \frac{r - u}{6}, 1 \right]$, then
    $$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 3v, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0 \right],$$
    $$P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \right],$$
    and
    $$(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{7}{2}(1 - v)^2.$$  

- Assume that $u \in \left[ 2, \frac{11}{3} \right]$.
  - If $v \in \left[ 0, \frac{u - 2}{3} \right]$, then
    $$N(u, v) = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],$$
    $$P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 8}{3}, u - 9, \frac{u - v - 9}{3}, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],$$
    and
    $$(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 24v - 12v^2).$$
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-2}{3}, \frac{7-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{u + 3v + 2}{6}, & -\frac{u + 3v + 2}{2}, & 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - v - 6}{2}, & \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, & u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, & \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, & 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7-u}{6}, 1 \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{u + 3v + 2}{6}, & -\frac{u + 3v + 2}{2}, & 0, 0, -\frac{7 + u + 6v}{6}, & -\frac{7 + u + 6v}{3},
0, 0, 0, -\frac{7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - v - 6}{2}, & \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, & u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & 9 - u - 2v,
9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2.
\]

* Assume that \( u \in \left[ \frac{11}{3}, 5 \right] \).

- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 8}{3}, & u - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, & \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, & 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 24v - 12v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7-u}{6}, \frac{u-2}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{7 + u + 6v}{6}, & -\frac{7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 8}{3}, & u - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & 9 - u - 2v,
9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{6} (17 + 4u - u^2 - 54v + 6uv + 12v^2).
\]
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-2}{3}, 1 \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, \\ 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, \\ 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{7}{2}(1 - v)^2.
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [5, 7] \).

- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, \\ 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 48v - 24v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7-u}{6}, \frac{9-u}{4} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, \\ 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 4v)^2.
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [7, 9] \).

- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{9-u}{4} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, \\ 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 4v)^2.
\]
Therefore we get

\[ S \left( V_\ast; \tilde{s}_\ast \right) \]

\[ = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \frac{1}{2} (u - v)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2) dv + \int_{\frac{u}{6}}^1 \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u^2}{12}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 24v - 12v^2) dv \right. \]

\[ + \left. \int_{\frac{u^2}{12}}^{\frac{u^2}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2) dv + \int_{\frac{u^2}{6}}^1 \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 24v - 12v^2) dv \right) \]

\[ + \int_{\frac{u}{6}}^{\frac{u^2}{12}} \frac{1}{6} (17 + 4u - u^2 - 54v + 6uv + 12v^2) dv + \int_{\frac{u^2}{12}}^{\frac{u^2}{6}} \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_5^7 \left( \int_0^{\frac{9u}{12}} \frac{1}{24} (145 - 26u + u^2 - 48v - 24v^2) dv + \int_{\frac{9u}{12}}^{\frac{9u}{6}} \frac{1}{8} (9 - u - 4v)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_7^9 \left( \int_0^{\frac{9u}{6}} \frac{1}{8} (9 - u - 4v)^2 dv \right) = \frac{3}{8}. \]

Moreover, we have

\[ F_{p^1} (W^{R^1, f_3^1}) \]

\[ = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^2 \int_{\frac{u}{6}}^{\frac{u^2}{12}} \frac{7}{2} (1 - v) - 7 + u + 6v \right) dv du \]

\[ + \int_2^3 \left( \int_{\frac{u^2}{12}}^{\frac{u^2}{6}} \frac{9 - u - 4v}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2} \right) dv + \int_{\frac{u^2}{6}}^1 \frac{7}{2} (1 - v) - 7 + u + 6v \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_5^7 \left( \int_{\frac{9u}{12}}^{\frac{9u}{6}} \frac{9 - u - 4v}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2} \right) dv + \int_7^9 \int_0^{\frac{9u}{6}} \frac{9 - u - 4v}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2} \right) dv \right) \]

\[ = \frac{103}{504} \]

and \( F_{p^2} (W^{R^1, f_3^1}) = 0. \) Thus, for any closed point \( p^1 \in f_3^1 \setminus \{ p_1^1 \}, \) we have

\[ S \left( W^{R^1, f_3^1}; p^1 \right) \]

\[ \leq \frac{103}{504} + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \frac{(u - v)^2}{2} dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u}{6}} \left( \frac{u - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{u}{6}}^1 \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v) \right)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u^2}{12}} \left( \frac{1 + v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{u^2}{12}}^{\frac{u^2}{6}} \left( \frac{u - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{u^2}{6}}^1 \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v) \right)^2 dv \right) du \]
In particular, we get the inequality
\[
\delta_{p^1}(R^1; V_{\tilde{R}}) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{R^1}(f^3_\sigma)}{S(V_{\tilde{R}}; f^3_S)}, \frac{A_{f^1_R}; f^3_\sigma}{S(W^{R^1}; f^3_\pi)} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{8}{3}, \frac{16}{11} \right\} = \frac{16}{11}
\]
by Corollary 4.18.

**Step 14**

Let us set \( f^1_R := \gamma_s \tilde{f}_R \). Note that the pair \( (R^1, f^1_R) \) is plt and \( (K_{R^1} + f^1_R)|f^1_R = K_{f^1_R} + (1/2)p^1_v \).

Let us set
\[
P(u,v) := P_\sigma \left( \tilde{R}, P(u) - v \tilde{f}_R \right),
\]
\[
N(u,v) := N_\sigma \left( \tilde{R}, P(u) - v \tilde{f}_R \right),
\]
where \( P(u), N(u) \) are as in Step 11.

- Assume that \( u \in [0,1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0,u] \), then
    \[
    N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} v, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
    \]
    \[
    P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - v - 6, 3u - v - 20, u - 9, 3 - v, 6 - v, 8 - v, 1, 4, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2.
    \]
- Assume that \( u \in [1,2] \).
  - If \( v \in [0,u - 1] \), then
    \[
    N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} v, v, 0, 0, \frac{2v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},
    \]
    \[
    P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - v - 6, 3u - v - 20, u - 9, -v, 10 - u - 2v, 20 - 2u - v, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 8v - 4uv + 2v^2).
    \]
- If \( v \in [u - 1, 1] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1 - u + 3v}{3}, \frac{2 - 2u + 3v}{3}, 1 - u + v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - v - 20}{2}, u - 9, -v, 3 - v, 6 - v, 8 - v, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{4}(-1 + 2u + u^2 - 4uv + 2v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in [1, \frac{1 + u}{2}] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{2v - 1}{2}, \frac{2v - 1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1 - u + 3v}{3}, \frac{2 - 2u + 3v}{3}, 1 - u + v, v - 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - v - 20}{2}, u - 9, -v, 3 - v, 6 - v, 8 - v, 2 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + u - 2v)^2.
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [2, 3] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, u - 2] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{2v}{3}, \frac{2v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, -v, \frac{10 - u - 2v}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u - v}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 16v).
\]

- If \( v \in [u - 2, 1] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{2v}{3}, \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - v - 20}{2}, u - 9, -v, \frac{10 - u - 2v}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u - v}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 8v - 4uv + 2v^2).
\]
If \( v \in [1, u - 1] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
-u + 6v - 1, -u + 2v + 1 \\
6, 2, 0, 0, 2v, v \\
0, v - 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 2v - 5, 3u - 2v - 19 \\
2, 2, u - 9, -v, 10 - u - 2v, 20 - 2u - v \\
9 - u, 2 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-1 + 14u - u^2 - 20v - 4uv + 8v^2). \]

If \( v \in \left[u - 1, \frac{1 + u}{2}\right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
u + 6v - 1, -u + 2v + 1 \\
6, 2, 0, 0, 1 - u + 3v, 2 - 2u + 3v \\
1 - u + v, v - 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 2v - 5, 3u - 2v - 19 \\
2, 2, u - 9, -v, 3 - v, 6 - v \\
8 - v, 2 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + u - 2v)^2. \]

Assume that \( u \in [3, 4] \).

If \( v \in \left[0, \frac{5 - u}{2}\right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}v \\
3, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\
2v, v \\
3, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - v - 8 \\
3, u - 9, u - 9, -v, 10 - u - 2v, 20 - 2u - v, 9 - u, 9 - u \\
2, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-1 + 22u - 3u^2 - 16v). \]

If \( v \in \left[\frac{5 - u}{2}, \frac{u - 1}{2}\right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
u - 5 + 6v \\
12, 0, 0, 0, 2v, v \\
3, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 2v - 9 \\
4, u - 9, u - 9, -v, 10 - u - 2v, 20 - 2u - v, 9 - u, 9 - u \\
2, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(-5 + 34u - 5u^2 - 52v + 4uv + 4v^2). \]
If $v \in \left[\frac{u-1}{2}, \frac{7-u}{2}\right]$, then

$$N(u, v) = \left[\frac{-u + 6v - 1}{6}, \frac{-u + 2v + 1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{2v}{3}, 3\right],$$

$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{u - 2v - 5}{2}, \frac{3u - 2v - 19}{2}, u - 9, -v, \frac{10 - u - 2v}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u - v}{3}\right].$$

and

$$(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-1 + 14u - u^2 - 20v - 4uv + 8v^2).$$

If $v \in \left[\frac{7-u}{2}, 2\right]$, then

$$N(u, v) = \left[\frac{-u + 6v - 1}{6}, \frac{-u + 2v + 1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{u + 6v - 7}{6}, \frac{u + 3v - 7}{3}\right],$$

$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{u - 2v - 5}{2}, \frac{3u - 2v - 19}{2}, u - 9, -v, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - v, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 2, 0\right].$$

and

$$(P(u, v)^2) = (2 - v)^2.$$
\[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 5 + 6v}{12}, & 0, & 0, & \frac{u + 6v - 7}{6}, & \frac{u + 3v - 7}{3}, \\
0, & \frac{-5 + u + 2v}{4}, & 0, & \frac{-7 + u + 2v}{2}, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, & u - 9, & u - 9, & -v, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - v, \\
9 - u, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & 2, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
and
\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(31 + 2u - u^2 - 36v + 4uv + 4v^2). \]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u - 9}{2}, \frac{u - 1}{2} \right] \), then
\[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-u + 6v - 1}{6}, & \frac{-u + 2v + 1}{2}, & 0, & \frac{u + 6v - 7}{6}, & \frac{u + 3v - 7}{3}, \\
0, & v - 1, & 0, & \frac{-7 + u + 2v}{2}, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 2v - 5}{2}, & \frac{3u - 2v - 19}{2}, & u - 9, & -v, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - v, \\
9 - u, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & 2, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
and
\[ (P(u, v)^2) = (2 - v)^2. \]

- Assume that \( u \in [5, 7] \).
- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7 - u}{2} \right] \), then
\[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{v}{2}, & 0, & 0, & \frac{2v}{3}, & 0, & \frac{v}{2}, & 0, & 0, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, & u - 9, & u - 9, & -v, & \frac{10 - u - 2v}{3}, & \frac{20 - 2u - v}{3}, \\
9 - u, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & \frac{9 - u}{2}, & 1, & \frac{9 - u}{2}, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
and
\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 52v + 4uv + 4v^2). \]
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2} \right] \), then
\[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{v}{2}, & 0, & 0, & \frac{u + 6v - 7}{6}, & \frac{u + 3v - 7}{3}, & 0, & \frac{v}{2}, & 0, & \frac{-7 + u + 2v}{2}, & 0, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, & u - 9, & u - 9, & -v, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - v, \\
9 - u, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & \frac{9 - u}{2}, & \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, & \frac{9 - u}{2}, & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \]
and
\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 2v)^2. \]

- Assume that \( u \in [7, 9] \).
- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{9-u}{2} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, v, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, -v, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - v, \right.
\]

\[
9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{4}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 2v)^2.
\]

Therefore, we get

\[
S \left( V^R; f_{R} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{28} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{2} (u - v)^2 dv du
\]

\[
+ \int_{1}^{2} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 8v - 4uv + 2v^2) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{1}^{2} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-1 + 2u + u^2 - 4uv + 2v^2) dv + \int_{1}^{2\frac{v}{2}} \frac{1}{4}(1 + u - 2v)^2 dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{2}^{3} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 16v) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{2}^{3} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 8v - 4uv + 2v^2) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{3}^{4} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-1 + 14u - u^2 - 20v - 4uv + 8v^2) dv + \int_{3\frac{v}{2}}^{2} \frac{1}{4}(1 + u - 2v)^2 dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{3}^{4} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 16v) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{4}^{5} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{24}(-5 + 34u - 5u^2 - 52v + 4uv + 4v^2) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{4}^{5} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-1 + 14u - u^2 - 20v - 4uv + 8v^2) dv + \int_{4\frac{v}{2}}^{2} (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{4}^{5} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 16v) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{5}^{6} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{24}(-5 + 34u - 5u^2 - 52v + 4uv + 4v^2) dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{5}^{6} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{24}(31 + 2u - u^2 - 36v + 4uv + 4v^2) dv + \int_{5\frac{v}{2}}^{2} (2 - v)^2 dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{5}^{6} \left( \int_{0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{12}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 52v + 4uv + 4v^2) dv + \int_{6\frac{v}{2}}^{2} \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 2v)^2 dv \right) du
\]

\[
+ \int_{7}^{9} \left( \int_{0}^{2\frac{v}{2}} \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 2v)^2 dv du \right) = \frac{75}{112}.
\]
On the other hand, for any closed point \( p^1 \in f_R^1 \setminus \{p^1_v, p^1_h \} \), we have
\[
S \left( W^{R^1, \tilde{f}^1_h}_{}; p^1 \right) 
\leq \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \frac{(u - v)}{2} \ dv du \right)^2 
+ \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{u-1} \frac{(2 + u - v)}{6} \ dv + \int_{u-1}^1 \frac{(u - v)}{2} \ dv + \int_1^{\frac{1}{2}u} \frac{(1 + u - 2v)}{2} \ dv \right) du 
+ \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \frac{(2/3)}{2} \ dv + \int_{u-2}^1 \frac{(2 + u - v)}{6} \ dv \right. 
\left. + \int_1^{u-1} \frac{(5 + u - 4v)}{6} \ dv + \int_{u-1}^{\frac{1}{2}u} \frac{(1 + u - 2v)}{2} \ dv \right) du 
+ \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{\frac{5}{2}u} \frac{(2/3)}{2} \ dv + \int_{\frac{5}{2}u}^{\frac{7}{2}u} \frac{(13 - u - 2v)}{12} \ dv \right) 
\left. + \int_{\frac{7}{2}u}^{\frac{9}{2}u} \frac{(9 - u - 2v)}{4} \ dv + \int_{\frac{9}{2}u}^{2} \frac{(2 - v)^2}{} \ dv \right) du 
+ \int_4^5 \left( \int_0^{\frac{7}{2}u} \frac{(13 - u - 2v)}{12} \ dv + \int_{\frac{7}{2}u}^{\frac{9}{2}u} \frac{(9 - u - 2v)}{4} \ dv \right) du 
+ \int_5^6 \left( \int_0^{\frac{9}{2}u} \frac{(9 - u - 2v)}{4} \ dv \right) du 
= \frac{29}{112}.
\]

Therefore, we get the inequality
\[
\delta_{p^1} \left( R^1; V; \tilde{\sigma} \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{R^1} \left( f^1_h \right)}{S \left( V; \tilde{f}^1_h \right)}, \frac{A_{f^1_h \mid p^1} \left( p^1 \right)}{S \left( W^{R^1, \tilde{f}^1_h}_{}; p^1 \right)} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{112}{75}, \frac{112}{29} \right\} = \frac{112}{75}
\]
by Corollary 4.18.

**Step 15**

Let \( \theta : \tilde{R} \to R^1 \) be the extraction of the divisor \( \tilde{h}_2 \subset \tilde{R} \), let \( \gamma_h : \tilde{R} \to \tilde{R} \) be the natural morphism, and let us set \( \tilde{h}_2 := (\gamma_h)_* \tilde{h}_2 \). Let us set \( \tilde{p}_{h,5} := \tilde{h}_1 \mid_{\tilde{h}_2}, \tilde{p}_{h,7} := \tilde{h}_2 \mid_{\tilde{h}_2}, \tilde{p}_{h,10} := \tilde{r}_1 \mid_{\tilde{h}_2} \). Moreover, we set \( \gamma_h : (\tilde{p}_{h,5}), \tilde{p}_{h,7} := \gamma_h \left( \tilde{p}_{h,7} \right), \tilde{p}_{h,10} := \gamma_h \left( \tilde{p}_{h,10} \right) \). We know that the morphism \( \theta \) is a plt-blowup with
\[
A_{R^1} \left( \tilde{h}_2 \right) = 3, \quad \left( K_{\tilde{R}} + \tilde{h}_2 \right) \mid_{\tilde{h}_2} = K_{\tilde{h}_2} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{p}_{h,5}, \quad (\gamma_h)_* \tilde{h}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_1 + \tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{l}^+. 
\]

Let us set
\[
P(u, v) := P_\sigma \left( \tilde{R}, P(u) - \tilde{v} \tilde{h}_2 \right), 
N(u, v) := N_\sigma \left( \tilde{R}, P(u) - \tilde{v} \tilde{h}_2 \right),
\]
where \( P(u), N(u) \) are as in Step 11.

- Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
If \( v \in [0, u] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 6, 3u - 20, u - 9, 0, \frac{6 - v}{2}, 6 - v, 8 - v, 1, 4, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u - v)(u + v).
\]

Assume that \( u \in [1, 2] \).

If \( v \in \left[0, \frac{u - 1}{6}\right] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 6, 3u - 20, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3},
9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 18v^2).
\]

If \( v \in \left[\frac{u - 1}{6}, \frac{2u - 1}{3}\right] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 6, 3u - 20, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3},
\frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{36}(-17 + 34u + u^2 + 24v - 24uv - 18v^2).
\]

If \( v \in \left[\frac{7 - u}{6}, \frac{2 + u}{3}\right] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 6, 3u - 20, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3},
\frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 13 - u - 6v, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{18}(2 + u - 3v)(8 + u - 3v).
\]

Assume that \( u \in [2, 3] \).

If \( v \in \left[0, \frac{u - 1}{4}\right] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim \mathbb{R} \begin{bmatrix}
u - 8, 3u - 20, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and \[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 18v^2).
\]

- If \(v \in \left[\frac{u-1}{3}, \frac{7-u}{6}\right]\), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, v, 2, 0, -u + 1 + 3v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u-8}{3}, u-9, u-9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3}, \frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{36}(-41 + 58u - 5u^2 + 24v - 24uv - 18v^2).
\]

- If \(v \in \left[\frac{7-u}{6}, \frac{4}{3}\right]\), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, v, 2, 0, -u + 1 + 3v, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u-8}{3}, u-9, u-9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3}, \frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 13 - u - 6v, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{18}(4 + 22u - 2u^2 - 30v - 6uv + 9v^2).
\]

- If \(v \in \left[\frac{4}{3}, \frac{u+2}{3}\right]\), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{3v - 4}{3}, 0, 0, 3v - 4, 2v - 2, 0, -u + 1 + 3v, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 3v - 4}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 4 - 3v, \frac{16 - u - 6v}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3}, \frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 13 - u - 6v, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9}(2 + u - 3v)(13 - u - 6v).
\]

- Assume that \(u \in [3, 5]\).

- If \(v \in \left[0, \frac{7-u}{6}\right]\), then
\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, v, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u-8}{3}, u-9, u-9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 18v^2).
\]
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7-u}{6}, \frac{u-1}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, v \cdot \frac{7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, 20 - 2u - 3v, \\
9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 13 - u - 6v, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{18} (2 + 26u - 4u^2 - 42v + 6uv - 9v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u - 1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-u + 1 + 3v}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, 20 - 2u - 3v, \\
\frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 13 - u - 6v, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{18} (4 + 22u - 2u^2 - 30v - 6uv + 9v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{4}{3}, \frac{13 - u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{3v - 4}{3}, 0, 0, 3v - 4, 2v - 2, 0, \frac{-u + 1 + 3v}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 3v - 4}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 4 - 3v, \frac{16 - u - 6v}{3}, 20 - 2u - 3v, \\
\frac{26 - 2u - 3v}{3}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 13 - u - 6v, 2, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{9} (2 + u - 3v)(13 - u - 6v).
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [5, 7] \).
- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7 - u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix}
0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{u - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, 20 - 2u - 3v, \\
9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24} (145 - 26u + u^2 - 36v^2).
\]
Therefore, we get

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{6}, \frac{20 - 2u - 3v}{3},
\right.

\left. \frac{9 - u}{4}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{13 - u - 6v}{6}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{72}(41 - 5u + 6v)(13 - u - 6v).
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [7, 9] \).
  - If \( v \in \left[ \frac{-u}{6}, \frac{13 - u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 9}{4}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - v}{2}, 9 - u - v,
\right.

\left. \frac{9 - u}{4}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v))^2 = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u + 2v)(9 - u - 2v).
\]

Therefore, we get

\[
S \left( V_{\bullet \bullet}^{\hat{h}_2} \right) = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{2}(u - v)(u + v)dvdu 
\right.

\left. + \int_{1}^{2} \left( \frac{2u - 2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2) + \int_{0}^{\frac{u}{3}} \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 18v^2)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{\frac{u}{3}}^{\frac{u}{2}} \frac{1}{36}(-17 + 34u + u^2 + 24v - 24uv - 18v^2)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{\frac{u}{2}}^{2} \frac{1}{18}(2 + u - 3v)(8 + u - 3v)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{2}^{3} \left( \frac{2u - 2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2) + \int_{0}^{\frac{u}{3}} \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 18v^2)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{\frac{u}{3}}^{\frac{u}{2}} \frac{1}{36}(-41 + 58u - 5u^2 + 24v - 24uv - 18v^2)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{\frac{u}{2}}^{3} \frac{1}{18}(4 + 22u - 2u^2 - 30v - 6uv + 9v^2)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{3}^{4} \frac{1}{9}(2 + u - 3v)(13 - u - 6v)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{4}^{5} \frac{1}{9}(2 + u - 3v)(13 - u - 6v)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{5}^{6} \frac{1}{9}(2 + u - 3v)(13 - u - 6v)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{6}^{7} \frac{1}{9}(2 + u - 3v)(13 - u - 6v)dv 
\right.

\left. + \int_{7}^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2) + \int_{0}^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 18v^2)dv 
\right)
\]
Moreover, we have

\[
F_{\text{ph}, 5} \left( W_{R, h_2} \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_2^3 \int_{\frac{u-1}{3}}^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{3v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-1-3v}{3} \, dv du \right) = \frac{3}{448}
\]

\[
F_{\text{ph}, 7} \left( W_{R, h_2} \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^3 \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{3v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-1-3v}{3} \, dv du \right) + \int_3^5 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{3v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-1-3v}{3} \, dv + \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{7 - u + 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{u-1-3v}{3} \, dv \right) du + \int_7^9 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{3v}{2} \cdot \frac{u-1-3v}{3} \, dv + \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{7 - u + 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{u-1-3v}{3} \, dv \right) du = \frac{5}{14},
\]

\[
F_{\text{ph}, 10} \left( W_{R, h_2} \right) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^2 \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{5 + u - 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv du \right) \]

\[
+ \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{5 + u - 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv + \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{17 + u - 12v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv \right) du + \int_3^5 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{7 - u + 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv + \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{5 + u - 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv \right) du + \int_5^7 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{17 + u - 12v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv \right) du + \int_7^9 \left( \int_0^{\frac{u-1}{3}} \frac{5 + u - 3v}{6} \cdot \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6} \, dv \right) du = \frac{42}{112}.
\]
Thus, for any closed point $\hat{p} \in \hat{h}_2$, we have

$$S(W_{\hat{R}, h_2}; \hat{p}) = F_{\hat{p}}(W_{\hat{R}, h_2}) + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \left( \frac{v}{2} \right)^2 \, dv \right)$$

where

$$\int_0^u \left( \int_0^u \left( \frac{3v}{2} \right)^2 \, dv \right) + \int_{\frac{u}{2}}^1 \left( \frac{3v}{2} \right)^2 \, dv + \int_{\frac{u}{3}}^1 \left( \frac{7v}{6} \right)^2 \, dv$$

In particular, we get the inequality

$$\delta_{p_0} \left( R^1; V_{\bullet, \bullet}^R \right) \geq \min \left\{ A_{R^1} \left( \hat{h}_2 \right), \inf_{\hat{p} \in h_2} \frac{A_{h_2, \hat{p} \in h_2} \left( \hat{p} \right)}{S \left( V_{\bullet, \bullet}^R; \hat{h}_2 \right)} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{112}{109}, \frac{112}{75}, \frac{16}{11} \right\} = \frac{112}{109}$$

by Corollary 4.18.

**Step 16**

Let us set $f_2^1 := \gamma_1 f_2$, $\tilde{p}_g := \tilde{s}^+ |_{f_2}$, $\tilde{p}_{12} := \tilde{s}_2 |_{f_2}$, and $p_0^1 := \gamma_0(\tilde{p}_0)$, $p_1^2 := \gamma_0(\tilde{p}_{12})$. Note that the pair $(R^1, f_2^1)$ is plt and $\gamma^* f_2^1 = (1/2)\tilde{s}_R + (1/2)\tilde{l}^+ + \tilde{f}_2 + \tilde{s}_R$. Let us set

$$P(u, v) := P_{\sigma} \left( \hat{R}, P(u) - v f_2 \right),$$

$$N(u, v) := N_{\sigma} \left( \hat{R}, P(u) - v f_2 \right),$$

where $P(u), N(u)$ are as in Step 11.

- Assume that $u \in [0, 1]$.
  - If $v \in [0, u]$, then
    $$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v, 0, 0 \right],$$
    $$P(u, v) \sim_R \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - v - 20}{2}, u - 9, 0, 3, 6, 8, 1 - v, 4 - v, 1, 2, 0 \right],$$
and
\[(P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u-v)^2.\]

- Assume that \(u \in [1,2]\).
  - If \(v \in [0,\frac{u-1}{2}]\), then

  \[
  N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} v & v \\ \frac{u-v-6}{2} & \frac{3u-v-20}{2} \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  \[
  P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - 9, 0, 10 - u, 20 - 2u, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  and
  \[(P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12v - 6v^2).\]
  - If \(v \in \left[\frac{u-1}{2}, 1\right]\), then

  \[
  N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} v & v \\ \frac{u-v-6}{2} & \frac{3u-v-20}{2} \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  \[
  P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - 9, 0, 10 - u, 20 - 2u, 9 - u, 1 - v, 4 - v, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  and
  \[(P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{6}(-2 + 4u + u^2 - 6uv + 3v^2).\]
  - If \(v \in [1,\frac{2+u}{3}]\), then

  \[
  N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} v & v \\ \frac{u-v-6}{2} & \frac{3u-v-20}{2} \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  \[
  P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - 9, 0, 10 - u, 20 - 2u, 9 - u, 1 - v, 4 - v, 1, 2, 1 - v \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  and
  \[(P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{6}(2 + u - 3v)^2.\]

- Assume that \(u \in [2,3]\).
  - If \(v \in [0,u-2]\), then

  \[
  N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \frac{u-v-8}{3} \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  \[
  P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - 9, u - 9, 0, 10 - u, 20 - 2u, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  and
  \[(P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 20v + 4uv - 8v^2).\]
  - If \(v \in \left[u-2, \frac{u+1}{2}\right]\), then

  \[
  N(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} -u + 3v + 2 \\ \frac{-u + v + 2}{6} \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  \[
  P(u,v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} u - 9, 0, 10 - u, 20 - 2u, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \end{bmatrix},
  \]

  and
  \[(P(u,v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12v - 6v^2).\]
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-1}{2}, 1 \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - v - 20}{2}, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, 4 - v, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{6}(-2 + 4u + u^2 - 6uv + 3v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ 1, \frac{u+1}{4} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 20v + 4uv - 8v^2).
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [3, 4] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, 1] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 1 - v \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-3 + 22u - 3u^2 - 44v + 4uv + 4v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{u-1}{2}, u - 2 \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2}, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, 4 - v, 1, 2, 1 - v \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{2}{3}(u - 2v)(2 - v).
\]
- If $v \in \left[ u - 2, \frac{2 + u}{3} \right]$, then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2}, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - v - 20}{2}, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 1 - v \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{6}(2 + u - 3v)^2.
\]

- Assume that $u \in [4, 5]$.

- If $v \in [0, 1]$, then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 1 - v \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 20v + 4uv - 8v^2).
\]

- If $v \in \left[ \frac{u - 1}{2}, \frac{u - 1}{2} \right]$, then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2}, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 1 - v \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-3 + 22u - 3u^2 - 44v + 4uv + 4v^2).
\]

- If $v \in \left[ \frac{u - 1}{2}, 2 \right]$, then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1 - u + 2v}{2}, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1 - v, 4 - v, 1, 2, 1 - v \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{2}{3}(u - 2v)(2 - v).
\]

- Assume that $u \in [5, 7]$.

- If $v \in \left[ 0, \frac{9 - u}{2} \right]$, then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v - 1 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{3u - 4v - 27}{12}, u - 9, u - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 4v}{4}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 16v^2).
\]
Therefore, we get
\[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} v/3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -9 + u + 4v \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} 3u - 4v - 27/12, u - 9, u - 9, 0, 9 - u, 20 - 2u, 3, 9 - u, 4 \end{bmatrix}, \]
and
\[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{48}(13 - u - 4v)(41 - 5u - 4v). \]

- Assume that \( u \in [7, 9]. \)
  - If \( v \in \left[ \frac{9 - u}{4}, \frac{13 + u}{4} \right], \) then
    \[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} v/3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -9 + u + 4v \end{bmatrix}, \]
    \[ P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} 3u - 4v - 27/12, u - 9, u - 9, 0, 9 - u, 9 - u, 4 \end{bmatrix}, \]
and
    \[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(243 - 54u + 3u^2 - 16v^2). \]
  - If \( v \in \left[ \frac{9 - u}{4}, \frac{27 - 3u}{4} \right], \) then
    \[ N(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} v/3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -9 + u + 4v \end{bmatrix}, \]
    \[ P(u, v) \sim_R \begin{bmatrix} 3u - 4v - 27/12, u - 9, u - 9, 0, 9 - u, 9 - u, 4 \end{bmatrix}, \]
and
    \[ (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{48}(27 - 3u - 4v)^2. \]

Therefore, we get
\[ S \left( \theta_{\tilde{R}^*}, \tilde{t}_2 \right) = \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \frac{1}{2} (u - v)^2 dv du \right) \]
\[ + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{2v} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12v - 6v^2) dv \right) \]
\[ + \int_1^{v^2} \frac{1}{6} (-2 + 4u + u^2 - 6uv + 3v^2) dv + \int_1^{2 + u} \frac{1}{6} (2 + u - 3v)^2 dv \]
\[ + \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{3 - 2} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 20v + 4uv - 8v^2) dv \right) \]
\[ + \int_{v^2}^{2 + u} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12v - 6v^2) dv. \]
Moreover, we have

\[
F_{p_{13}}(W_{p_1^R, f_1}^R) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^2 \int_0^{\frac{3u}{2}} \frac{1 + v}{2} \cdot \frac{u - 1 - 2v}{2} dvdu + \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \frac{5 - u + 4v}{6} \cdot \frac{u - 1 - 2v}{2} dv + \int_{u-2}^{u-1} \frac{1 + v}{2} \cdot \frac{u - 1 - 2v}{2} dv \right) du 
+ \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{u-1} \frac{5 - u + 4v}{6} \cdot \frac{u - 1 - 2v}{2} dv + \int_{u-1}^{u} \frac{11 - u - 2v}{6} \cdot \frac{u - 1 - 2v}{2} dv \right) du 
+ \int_4^5 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \frac{2v}{3} \cdot \frac{u + 3 - 4v}{4} dv + \int_{u-2}^{u-1} \frac{27 - 3u - 4v}{12} \cdot \frac{u + 3 - 4v}{4} dv \right) du 
+ \int_5^6 \left( \int_0^{u-3} \frac{2v}{3} \cdot \frac{u + 3 - 4v}{4} dv + \int_{u-3}^{u-2} \frac{27 - 3u - 4v}{12} \cdot \frac{u + 3 - 4v}{4} dv \right) du \right) = \frac{839}{1344}.
\]

\[
F_{p_{12}}(W_{p_1^R, f_2}^R) = \frac{6}{28} \left( \int_1^3 \int_0^{\frac{3u}{2}} \frac{2 + u - 3v}{2} (v - 1) dvdu + \int_4^5 \left( \int_0^{u-1} \frac{11 - u - 2v}{6} (v - 1) dv + \int_{u-1}^{u} \frac{4 + u - 4v}{3} (v - 1) dv \right) du \right).
\]
Thus, for any closed point \( p^1 \in f^1_2 \setminus \{ p_v^1 \} \), we get

\[
S \left( W^{R^1} f^1_2 ; p^1 \right) \leq \frac{839}{1344} + \frac{3}{28} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^u \left( \frac{u - v}{2} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du + \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \left( \frac{1 + v}{2} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du + \int_2^3 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \left( \frac{5 - u + 4v}{6} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du + \int_3^4 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \left( \frac{11 - u - 2v}{6} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du + \int_4^5 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \left( \frac{4 + u - 4v}{3} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du + \int_5^6 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \left( \frac{27 - 3u - 4v}{12} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du + \int_6^7 \left( \int_0^{u-2} \left( \frac{27 - 3u - 4v}{12} \right)^2 \, dv \right) \, du = \frac{839}{1344} + \frac{361}{1344} = \frac{25}{28}.
\]

In particular, we get the inequality

\[
\delta_{p^1} \left( R^1 ; V^{\tilde{R}} \right) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_R \left( \tilde{f}_2 \right)}{S \left( V^{\tilde{R}} ; \tilde{f}_2 \right)} , \frac{A_f \left( \tilde{f}_1 ; p^1 \right)}{S \left( W^{R^1} f^1_2 ; p^1 \right)} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{56}{51} , \frac{28}{25} \right\} = \frac{56}{51}
\]

by Corollary 4.18

Step 17

Take any closed point \( p^1 \in R^1 \setminus (f_2 \cup f_3 \cup f_3^1) \). The morphism \( \gamma : \tilde{R} \to R^1 \) is an isomorphism over \( p^1 \). Take the line \( f^1 \subset R^1 \) (i.e., \( f^1 \sim Q \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(1) \) on \( R^1 \cong \mathbb{P}(1,1,2) \)) passing through \( p_v^1 \) and \( p^1 \). Then the strict transform \( \tilde{f} := \gamma_{-1} f^1 \) is linearly equivalent to \( \tilde{r} + \tilde{f} \). Let us set

\[
q_{r_1} := \gamma \left( \tilde{r}_1 | f \right) , \quad q_{r_2} := \gamma \left( \tilde{r}_2 | f \right) , \quad q_{r_3} := \gamma \left( \tilde{r}_3 | f \right) .
\]
Then the points \( q_1, q_2, q_3 \in f^1 \) are mutually distinct. Let us set
\[
\begin{align*}
P(u, v) &:= P_\sigma(\tilde{R}, P(u) - v\tilde{f}), \\
N(u, v) &:= N_\sigma(\tilde{R}, P(u) - v\tilde{f}),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( P(u), N(u) \) are as in Step 11.

- Assume that \( u \in [0, 1] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, u] \), then
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) &= \left[ \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right], \\
P(u, v) &\sim_R \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^2.
    \]
- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7 - u}{6} \right] \), then
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) &= \left[ \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right], \\
P(u, v) &\sim_R \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2).
    \]
  - If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7 - u}{6}, 1 \right] \), then
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) &= \left[ \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right], \\
P(u, v) &\sim_R \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \right],
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{7}{2}(1 - v)^2.
    \]
- Assume that \( u \in [2, \frac{19}{7}] \).
  - If \( v \in [0, u - 2] \), then
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    N(u, v) &= \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right], \\
P(u, v) &\sim_R \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
    \end{align*}
    \]
    and
    \[
    (P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2).
    \]
If $v \in \left[ u - 2, \frac{7 - u}{6} \right]$, then

$$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],$$

$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],$$

and

$$\left( P(u, v)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2).$$

If $v \in \left[ \frac{7 - u}{6}, 1 \right]$, then

$$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2}{6}, \frac{-u + v + 2}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0 \right],$$

$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6}{2}, \frac{3u - 3v - 20}{2}, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \right],$$

and

$$\left( P(u, v)^2 \right) = \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2.$$

Assume that $u \in \left[ \frac{19}{7}, 3 \right]$.

If $v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7 - u}{6} \right]$, then

$$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],$$

$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],$$

and

$$\left( P(u, v)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2).$$

If $v \in \left[ \frac{7 - u}{6}, u - 2 \right]$, then

$$N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0 \right],$$

$$P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \right],$$

and

$$\left( P(u, v)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{6} (17 + 4u - u^2 - 46v + 2uv + 20v^2).$$
If \( v \in [u - 2, 1] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{-u + 3v + 2, -u + v + 2}{6}, \frac{0, 0, -7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, -7 + u + 6v, 0, 0 \right],
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - v - 6, 3u - 3v - 20}{2}, \frac{u - v - 9, 9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \right],
\]
and
\[(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{7}{2}(1 - v)^2.\]

- Assume that \( u \in [3, 4] \).
  - If \( v \in \left[0, \frac{7 - u}{6}\right] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, \frac{u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0}{3}, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
    \]
    and
    \[(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2).\]
  - If \( v \in \left[\frac{7 - u}{6}, \frac{5 - u}{2}\right] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, -7 + u + 6v, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, 0, 0 \right],
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, \frac{u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0}{3}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, 9 - u, 1, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, 2, 0 \right],
    \]
    and
    \[(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{6}(17 + 4u - u^2 - 46v + 2uv + 20v^2).\]
  - If \( v \in \left[\frac{5 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{6}\right] \), then
    \[
    N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{u + 6v - 5}{12}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, -\frac{-5 + u + 2v}{4}, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, -\frac{-5 + u + 2v}{2}, 0 \right],
    \]
    \[
P(u, v) \sim_\mathbb{R} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, \frac{u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0}{4}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 0 \right],
    \]
    and
    \[(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 6v)^2.\]

- Assume that \( u \in [4, 5] \).
- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{5-u}{2} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{3}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - v - 8}{3}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 1, 2, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{12}(-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{5-u}{2}, \frac{7-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{u + 6v - 5}{12}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 156v + 12uv + 36v^2).
\]

- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7-u}{6}, \frac{9-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{u + 6v - 5}{12}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 6v)^2.
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [5, 7] \).

- If \( v \in \left[ 0, \frac{7-u}{6} \right] \), then

\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right],
\]

\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{10 - u}{3}, \frac{20 - 2u}{3}, 9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, 0 \right],
\]

and

\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{24}(145 - 26u + u^2 - 156v + 12uv + 36v^2).
\]
Therefore, we get
\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{6}, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{3}, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, \frac{-7 + u + 6v}{2}, v, 0 \right],
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v,
\right.
\]
\[
9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 0 \right],
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 6v)^2.
\]

- Assume that \( u \in [7, 9] \).
- If \( v \in \left[ \frac{7 - u}{6}, \frac{9 - u}{6} \right] \), then
\[
N(u, v) = \left[ \frac{v}{2}, 0, 0, 0, v, 2v, 0, \frac{v}{2}, 0, 3v, v, 0 \right],
\]
\[
P(u, v) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{u - 2v - 9}{4}, u - v - 9, u - v - 9, 0, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 9 - u - 2v,
\right.
\]
\[
9 - u, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, \frac{9 - u}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 6v}{2}, \frac{9 - u - 2v}{2}, 0 \right],
\]
and
\[
(P(u, v)^2) = \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 6v)^2.
\]

Therefore, we get
\[
S \left( V^\cdot_k, \tilde{f} \right)
= \frac{3}{28} \int_0^1 \int_0^u \frac{1}{2} (u - v)^2 dv du
+ \int_1^2 \left( \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{9}{2}} \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_2^4 \left( \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-7 + 14u - u^2 - 12uv + 6v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{9}{2}} \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_3^5 \left( \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{6} (17 + 4u - u^2 - 46v + 2uv + 20v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{9}{2}} \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_4^5 \left( \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{6} (17 + 4u - u^2 - 46v + 2uv + 20v^2) dv + \int_0^{\frac{9}{2}} \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^2 dv + \int_0^{\frac{9}{2}} \frac{1}{8} (9 - u - 6v)^2 dv \right) du
+ \int_5^6 \left( \int_0^{\frac{7 - u}{6}} \frac{1}{12} (-15 + 22u - 3u^2 - 8v - 8uv + 4v^2) dv \right).
\[ \int_{\frac{7}{3}u}^{\frac{7}{6}u} 1 \cdot (145 - 26u + u^2 - 156v + 12uv + 36v^2)dv + \int_{\frac{9}{8}u}^{\frac{7}{6}u} \frac{1}{8}(9 - u - 6v)^2dv \] 

Moreover, we have

\[ F_{q_{1}} \left( W^{(1)} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{6}{28} \int_{3}^{4} \left( \int_{\frac{6}{7}u}^{\frac{6}{7}u} \frac{3}{4}(9 - u - 6v)^{-5 + u + 2v}dv \right) \]

and \( F_{q_{3}} \left( W^{(1)} \right) = 0 \). Therefore, we get

\[ S \left( W^{(1)} ; p^{1} \right) \]

\[ \leq \frac{23}{112} + 3 \left( \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{u} \left( \frac{u - v}{2} \right)^2 dv du \right) \]

\[ + \int_{1}^{2} \left( \int_{0}^{\frac{7}{6}u} \left( \frac{u - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{7}{6}u}^{1} \left( \frac{7}{2}(1 - v) \right)^2 dv \right) du \]

\[ + \int_{2}^{3} \left( \int_{0}^{u-2} \left( \frac{u + 1 - v}{3} \right)^2 dv + \int_{u-2}^{\frac{7}{6}u} \left( \frac{u - v}{2} \right)^2 dv + \int_{\frac{7}{6}u}^{1} \left( \frac{7}{2}(1 - v) \right)^2 dv \right) du \]
That is why we consider the divisor $R$. By Steps 12–17, we get

\[ \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{u-2}^{0} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{3} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{4} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{5} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{6} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{7} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{8} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

\[ + \int_{0}^{9} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv \]

Moreover, by Step 15, we get the equality

\[ \int_{0}^{9} \left( \frac{7}{2} (1 - v)^{2} \right) dv = \frac{23}{112} + \frac{929}{1568} = \frac{1251}{1568} \]

In particular, we get the inequality

\[ \delta_{p^1} (R^1; V_{\bullet, \bullet}) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_{R^1} (f)}{S (V_{\bullet, \bullet}; f)}, \frac{A_{p^1} (p^1)}{S (W_{\bullet, \bullet}; p^1)} \right\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{16}{5}, \frac{1568}{1251} \right\} = \frac{1568}{1251} \]

by Corollary 4.18.

**Step 18**

By Steps 12–17, we get

\[ \delta (R^1; V_{\bullet, \bullet}) \geq \min \left\{ 224 \frac{51}{207}, 224 \frac{16}{11}, \frac{112}{75}, \frac{112}{109}, \frac{56}{51}, \frac{1568}{1251} \right\} = \frac{112}{109} \]

Moreover, by Step 15, we get the equality

\[ \delta (R^1; V_{\bullet, \bullet}) = \frac{112}{109} \]

by looking at the divisor $\tilde{h}_2 \subset \tilde{R}$. Therefore, together with Step 9, we get the inequality

\[ \delta_q (X) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(R^1)}{S_X(R^1)}, \delta (R^1; V_{\bullet, \bullet}) \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{64}{63}, \frac{112}{109} \right\} = \frac{64}{63} \]

by Corollary 4.18.

As a consequence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 9.1.

**Remark 9.4.** One might ask to evaluate $\delta (F^0; V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{F^0})$ in order to evaluate $\delta_q (X)$. However, one can check that

\[ \frac{A_X(F^0)}{S_X(F^0)} = \frac{28}{27} \]

but

\[ \delta (F^0; V_{\bullet, \bullet}^{F^0}) \leq \frac{112}{117} \]

That is why we consider the divisor $R^1$ over $X$. \qed
10. Main theorem

In this section, we prove the following:

**Theorem 10.1.** The Fano threefold given in Example 5.3 (B) is $K$-stable.

*Proof.* Note that $\text{Aut}^0(X) = \{1\}$ by [PCS19]. Take any $G$-invariant dreamy prime divisor $E$ over $X$, where $G = \mu_2 \times \mu_3$ is as in Example 5.3 (B). By Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show the inequality

$$\frac{A_X(E)}{S_X(E)} > 1.$$  

Let $Z := c_X(E) \subset X$ be the center of $E$ on $X$. Note that the variety $Z$ is $G$-invariant. If $Z \cap (E_2 \cup l) \neq \emptyset$, then, by Corollary 6.4 Propositions 7.1 8.1 and Theorem 9.1 we have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$. Thus we may assume that $Z \cap (E_2 \cup l) = \emptyset$. Note that $E_2 \cup l$ is the inverse image of $l^P \subset \mathbb{P}^1$.

If $Z$ is a divisor (i.e., if $E$ is a prime divisor on $X$), then we have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$ by [Fjt16] §10. If $Z$ contains a $G$-invariant point, then the point must be one of $p_x, p_y$ or $p_z$ in Remark 5.4. By Corollaries 6.2 6.4 and Proposition 8.1 we have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$.

We may further assume that $Z$ is a $G$-invariant curve such that $Z$ does not contain any $G$-invariant point on $X$. In particular, $Z$ must be a non-rational curve, since any action $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{P}^1$ must have a fixed point. We remark that $Z^P := (\sigma^V \circ \sigma_1)_*^Z \subset P$ is also a $G$-invariant non-rational curve with $Z^P \cap l^P = \emptyset$.

Let $\eta_Z \in Z$ be the generic point of $Z$. We assume that

$$\alpha_{G, \eta_Z}(X) < \frac{3}{4}.$$  

Then there exists a positive rational number $\alpha \in (0, 3/4) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and an effective $G$-invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D \sim \mathbb{Q} - K_X$ such that the pair $(X, \alpha D)$ is lc but not klt at $\eta_Z \in X$. Let $\text{Nklt}(X, \alpha D)$ be the non-klt locus of the pair $(X, \alpha D)$ (see [Fjn17] 2.3.11). From the construction, $\text{Nklt}(X, \alpha D)$ contains $Z$ and is $G$-invariant. If $\text{Nklt}(X, \alpha D)$ is one-dimensional around a neighborhood of $\eta_Z \in X$, then $Z$ must be a rational curve by [Fjt21] Corollary 4.2. Thus there exists a $G$-irreducible effective $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor $D_0$ with $D_0 \subset \text{Nklt}(X, \alpha D)$ and $Z \subset D_0$. The divisor $D_0$ satisfies that $D_0 \leq \alpha D$. In particular,

$$-K_X - \frac{4}{3}D_0$$  

is big. From the structure of $\text{Eff}(X)$ in §5 (see also [Fjt16] §10], we have one of $D_0 \sim H_1$, $H_2$ or $H_3$. (Note that $D_0 \neq E_2$ since $Z \cap E_2 = \emptyset$.)

Assume that $D_0 \sim H_1$. By Example 5.6 we have $D_0 = Q_0$ or $Q_{\infty}$. Since the morphism

$$Q_0 \setminus (l \cup E_2) \to Q_{\infty}^P \setminus l^P$$  

is an isomorphism and $Q_0^P \setminus l^P$ is affine, we have $D_0 \neq Q_0$. Assume that $D_0 = Q_{\infty}$. Note that $Q_{\infty}$ is smooth. Then we have either $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$ or $Z$ must be contained in the union of the 3 negative curves in $Q_{\infty}$ by Proposition 6.1. However, since $Z$ is a non-rational curve, we must have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$.

Assume that $D_0 \sim H_2$. Then $D_0 = H_x, H_y$ or $H_t$ by Remark 5.4. Since $l \subset H_y, H_t$ and $H_y^P \setminus l^P$ and $H_t^P \setminus l^P$ are affine, we must have $D_0 = H_x$. Assume that $A_X(E) \leq S_X(E)$. By Example 5.6 and Proposition 6.1 the curve $Z$ is contained in

$$H_x \cap (E_3 \cup Q_0 \cup Q_1 \cup Q_\omega \cup Q_{\omega' \omega}).$$  

Thus, under the natural isomorphism $H_x^P \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_{yzt}$, the curve $Z^P$ is contained in the locus

$$(t^3 - y^3 = 0) \cup (yz + t^2 - (y^2 + zt) = 0)$$  

$$\cup (yz + t^2 - \omega(y^2 + zt) = 0) \cup (yz + t^2 - \omega^2(y^2 + zt) = 0).$$
The locus is a union of rational curves. This leads to a contradiction. Thus we have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$.

Assume that $D_0 \sim H_3$. Then $D_0 = H_z$ by Remark 5.4. Assume that $A_X(E) \leq S_X(E)$. By Example 5.6 and Proposition 6.1, $Z$ is contained in $H_z \cap (E_3 \cup Q_0 \cup Q_1 \cup Q_\omega \cup Q_{L^3})$.

Since $Z^p$ is a non-rational curve, $Z^p$ must be equal to $H_z^p \cap E_3 = (x^3 - x^2y - y^3 = 0)$ under the natural isomorphism $H_z^p \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_{xy}$. However, in this case, we have $p_x \in Z$. This leads to a contradiction since $Z \cap t = \emptyset$. Thus we have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$.

Therefore, we may assume that

$$\alpha_{G,n_2}(X) \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$ 

In this case, we have $A_X(E) > S_X(E)$ by Proposition 2.9(2).

As a consequence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 10.1. \hfill \Box

11. Appendix

In this section, we see several basic properties of local $\delta$-invariants.

11.1. Positivity of local $\delta$-invariants. We show that the local $\delta$-invariant for a graded linear series under some mild conditions is always positive.

**Proposition 11.1** (cf. [BJ20, Theorem A]). Let $X$ be a projective variety, let $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor on $X$, and let $V_\bullet$ be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which has bounded support and contains an ample series.

1. Take a scheme-theoretic point $\eta \in X$ such that $(X, \Delta)$ is klt at $\eta$. Then we have $\alpha_\eta(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) > 0$ and $\delta_\eta(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) > 0$.

2. Assume that $(X, \Delta)$ is a klt pair. Then we have $\alpha(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) > 0$ and $\delta(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) > 0$.

**Proof.** By Definition 3.11 it is enough to show the positivity of $\alpha$-invariants. We may assume that $V_\bullet$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series on $X$ associated to Cartier divisors $L_1, \ldots, L_r$. Since $V_\bullet$ has bounded support, there exists $M > 0$ such that $V_{\tilde{a}} = 0$ for any $\tilde{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $a_i > Ma_1$ for some $2 \leq i \leq r$. Take a very ample Cartier divisor $H$ on $X$ such that

$$\left| H - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i L_i \right| \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for any } k_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, M\}.$$ 

For any $\tilde{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $V_{\tilde{a}} \neq 0$, since

$$a_1 r H - \tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{L} = a_1 \left( H - \sum_{i=1}^r \lfloor a_i/a_1 \rfloor L_i \right) + \sum_{i=2}^r a_1 \{a_i/a_1\}(H - L_i) + a_1 \left( (r - 1) - \sum_{i=2}^r \lfloor a_i/a_1 \rfloor \right) H$$

is effective, there is an inclusion $V_{\tilde{a}} \subset H^0(X, a_1 r H)$. Thus we get the inequality

$$\alpha_\eta(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) \geq \alpha_\eta(X, \Delta; rH),$$

$$\alpha(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) \geq \alpha(X, \Delta; rH),$$

where we identify $rH$ and the complete linear series of $rH$. Thus we may assume that $V_\bullet$ is the complete linear series of $rH$. By Example 3.11(2), by taking the normalization of $X$, we may further assume that $X$ is normal. Moreover, we may assume that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier after replacing $\Delta$ suitably outside $\eta \in X$ for $[1]$. Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \to X$ be a log resolution
of \((X, \Delta)\), and let us set \(K_X + \tilde{\Delta} := \sigma^* (K_X + \Delta)\). Let \(\tilde{\Delta} = \sum_i d_i \Delta_i\) be the irreducible decomposition and let us set \(\Delta' := \sum_{d_i \in (0,1)} d_i \Delta_i\).

1. For any prime divisor \(E\) over \(X\) with \(\eta \in c_X(E)\), we have \(A_{X,\Delta}(E) \geq A_{X,\Delta'}(E)\).
2. For any prime divisor \(E\) over \(X\), we have \(A_{X,\Delta}(E) \geq A_{X,\Delta'}(E)\).

Thus, it is enough to show the inequality

\[
\alpha \left( \tilde{X}, \Delta'; \sigma^*(rH) \right) > 0.
\]

The inequality is well-known. See [BJ20, Theorem A] for example. \(\square\)

11.2. A generalization of an adjunction-type theorem. In [AZ20, Theorem 3.3] (see Theorem 3.20), the authors consider the refinements of graded linear series by either Cartier divisors or plt-type prime divisors over klt \((X, \Delta)\). It seems to be important to consider the refinements by more singular prime divisors for the future studies for K-stability of Fano varieties. For example, in [ACCFKMGSSV], in order to consider the Fano threefold \(X\) in No.2.20 with \(\text{Aut}^0(X) = \mathbb{G}_m\), they apply [AZ20, Theorem 3.3] for non-plt type (but Cartier) prime divisor \(Y\) on \(X\). In 11.2, we give a slight generalization of Theorem 3.20 from another approach by using the notion of subbasis type divisors. Note that, in order to prove Theorem 10.1, the formulation in Theorem 3.20 is enough for us.

Definition 11.2. Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be a filtration on a finite dimensional complex vector space \(W\) (see Definition 3.7).

1. We set \(\mathcal{F}^{>\lambda} W := \bigcup_{\lambda > \lambda} \mathcal{F}^\lambda W\) and \(\text{Gr}_F^\lambda W := \mathcal{F}^\lambda W / \mathcal{F}^{>\lambda} W\) for any \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\). Moreover, for any \(s \in W \setminus \{0\}\), we set \(v_\mathcal{F}(s) := \max\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid s \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda W\}\) as in [AZ20, Definition 2.19]. We can naturally get an element \(\tilde{s} \in \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{v_\mathcal{F}(s)} W \setminus \{0\}\) from \(s\) and \(\mathcal{F}\).

2. Let \(\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) be any subset. A subset \(\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} \subset W\) is said to be an \((\mathcal{F}, \Lambda)\)-subbasis of \(W\) if there is a decomposition

\[
\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{s_1^\lambda, \ldots, s_M^\lambda\}
\]

such that

- for any \(\lambda \in \Lambda\) and any \(1 \leq i \leq M_\lambda\), we have \(v_\mathcal{F}(s_i^\lambda) = \lambda\), and
- the naturally-induced subset

\[
\{\tilde{s}_1^\lambda, \ldots, \tilde{s}_{M_\lambda}^\lambda\} \subset \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W
\]

forms a basis of \(\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W\) for any \(\lambda \in \Lambda\).

Obviously, \(s_1, \ldots, s_M \in W\) are linearly independent. An \((\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})\)-subbasis of \(W\) is said to be a basis of \(W\) compatible with \(\mathcal{F}\).

We have \(\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W = 0\) for all but finite \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\), since we have the equation

\[
\dim W = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \dim \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W.
\]

Definition 11.3 (cf. [AZ20, Lemma 3.1]). Let \(\mathcal{F}\) and \(\mathcal{G}\) be filtrations on \(W\). For any \(\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\), we can naturally take the filtration \(\bar{\mathcal{F}}\) on \(\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{G}}^\mu W\) by

\[
\bar{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{G}}^\mu W) := \left( (\mathcal{F}^\lambda W + \mathcal{G}^{>\mu} W) \cap \mathcal{G}^\mu W \right) / \mathcal{G}^{>\mu} W.
\]
By [AZ20] Lemma 3.1, for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we have the natural commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W) 
\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{G}}^\mu (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W) \\
\mathcal{F}^\lambda W \cap \mathcal{G}^\mu W \\
\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{G}^\mu (\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{G}}^\mu (\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W),
\end{array}
\]

and the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{F}^\lambda W \cap \mathcal{G}^\mu W \to \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W)$ is equal to

\[
(\mathcal{F}^{>\lambda} W \cap \mathcal{G}^\mu W) + (\mathcal{F}^\lambda W \cap \mathcal{G}^{>\mu} W).
\]

For arbitrary subsets $\Lambda, \Xi \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, a subset $\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} \subset W$ is said to be an $((\mathcal{F}, \Lambda), (\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis of $W$ if there is a decomposition

\[
\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Xi} \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{s_{1, \mu}^\lambda, \ldots, s_{N_{\lambda, \mu}}^\lambda\}
\]

such that, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \in \Xi$, we have

- $\{s_{1, \mu}^\lambda, \ldots, s_{N_{\lambda, \mu}}^\lambda\} \subset \mathcal{F}^\lambda W \cap \mathcal{G}^\mu W$, and
- the image $\{s_{1, \mu}^\lambda, \ldots, s_{N_{\lambda, \mu}}^\lambda\}$ of $\{s_{1, \mu}^\lambda, \ldots, s_{N_{\lambda, \mu}}^\lambda\}$ under the surjection $\mathcal{F}^\lambda W \cap \mathcal{G}^\mu W \to \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W)$ forms a basis of $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W)$.

Moreover, if $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (resp., if $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\Xi = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$), then we call it a $((\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis of $W$ compatible with $\mathcal{F}$ (resp., a basis of $W$ compatible with $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$).

**Lemma 11.4** (cf. [AZ20] Lemma 3.1]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be filtrations on $W$.

1. Take any $s \in W \setminus \{0\}$ and we set $\mu := v_\mathcal{G}(s)$ and let $\bar{s} \in \text{Gr}_G^\mu W \setminus \{0\}$ be the element induced by $s$ and $\mathcal{G}$. Then we have the inequality $v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}) \geq v_\mathcal{F}(s)$.
2. Take arbitrary subsets $\Lambda, \Xi \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and let us take any $((\mathcal{F}, \Lambda), (\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis $\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} \subset W$ of $W$. Then, for any $1 \leq i \leq M$, if we set $\lambda := v_\mathcal{F}(s_i)$ and $\mu := v_\mathcal{G}(s_i)$, then the naturally-induced elements $\bar{s}_i^\lambda \in \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\lambda W \setminus \{0\}$ and $\bar{s}_i^\mu \in \text{Gr}_G^\mu W \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy that $v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}_i^\lambda) = \mu$ and $v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}_i^\mu) = \lambda$.
3. Take an arbitrary subset $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then, any $((\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis of $W$ compatible with $\mathcal{F}$ is a $((\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis of $W$.

**Proof.** (1) and (2) are trivial from the construction. For (3), for all $\mu \in \Xi$, the images

\[
\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \{s_{1, \mu}^\lambda, \ldots, s_{N_{\lambda, \mu}}^\lambda\} \subset \text{Gr}_G^\mu W
\]

give bases of $\text{Gr}_G^\mu W$ compatible with $\mathcal{F}$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 11.5** (cf. [BJ20] Lemma 3.5]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be filtrations on $W$ and let $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be any subset.

1. For any $((\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis $\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} \subset W$ of $W$, we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^M v_\mathcal{F}(s_i) \leq \sum_{\mu \in \Xi} \int_0^\infty \dim \mathcal{F}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W) \, d\lambda.
\]

2. For any $((\mathcal{G}, \Xi))$-subbasis $\{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} \subset W$ of $W$ compatible with $\mathcal{F}$, we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^M v_\mathcal{F}(s_i) = \sum_{\mu \in \Xi} \int_0^\infty \dim \mathcal{F}^\lambda (\text{Gr}_G^\mu W) \, d\lambda.
\]
Proof. Fix $\mu \in \Xi$ and let us set $N_\mu := \dim \Gr^\mu_G W$. For any $1 \leq i \leq N_\mu$, let us set
\[ e_i := \max \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \dim \mathcal{F}^\lambda \left( \Gr^\mu_G W \right) \geq N_\mu + 1 - i \right\}. \]
Then we have the equality
\[ \int_0^\infty \dim \mathcal{F}^\lambda \left( \Gr^\mu_G W \right) \, d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\mu} e_i. \]
Take any basis $\{ \bar{s}_1, \ldots, \bar{s}_{N_\mu} \} \subset \Gr^\mu_G W$ with $v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}_1) \leq \cdots \leq v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}_{N_\mu})$. Then, as in the proof of [B120 Lemma 3.5], we have $v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}_i) \leq e_i$. Moreover, if the basis is compatible with $\mathcal{F}$, we have $v_\mathcal{F}(\bar{s}_i) = e_i$. Thus we get the assertion by Lemma 11.4 \[ \square \]

From now on, unless otherwise stated, we fix:

- an $n$-dimensional normal projective variety $X$,
- a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded linear series $W_\bullet$ on $X$ associated to Cartier divisors $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ which has bounded support and contains an ample series,
- a projective birational morphism $\sigma: \bar{X} \to X$ with $\bar{X}$ normal,
- a prime divisor $Y \subset \bar{X}$ such that $eY$ is Cartier for some $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,
- an admissible flag $Y_\bullet$ on $\bar{X}$ with $Y_1 = Y$ (and let $Y_\bullet'$ be the admissible flag on $Y$ induced by $Y_\bullet$),
- the linear transform $\bar{h}: \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n} \to \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n}$
\[ (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1+n}) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}, e x_r, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r-1+n}), \]
- $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F}_Y$ on $W_\bullet$, and
- the graded linear series $W_\bullet(Y,e) := \sigma^* W_\bullet(Y,e)$ on $Y$ as in Lemma 3.16.

We note that, for any $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $W_\bullet(Y,e) = \Gr^j_G W_{\bar{a}}$.

Lemma 11.6 (cf. [AZ21 Lemma 2.9]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a linearly bounded filtration on $W_\bullet$. As in [AZ21 Definition 2.8], we can naturally get the linearly bounded filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on $W_\bullet(Y,e)$ from $\mathcal{F}$. We have the equality
\[ T \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right) = T \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F} \right). \]
Moreover, for any $t \in [0, T \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right))$, we have
\[ \bar{h} \left( \Delta_{Y_\bullet} \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F}, t \right) \right) = \Delta_{Y_\bullet'} \left( \sigma^* W_\bullet; \mathcal{F}, t \right). \]
In particular, we have $G_{\mathcal{F}} = G_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \bar{h}$ and
\[ S \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right) = S \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F} \right). \]

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}^\lambda W_{m,\bar{a}} = 0$ trivially implies $\mathcal{F}^\lambda W_{m,\bar{a},j} = 0$, we get the inequality $T_m \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right) \geq T_m \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F} \right)$. For any $s \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda W_{m,\bar{a}} \setminus \{0\}$, if we set $j := \ord_Y(s)$, then we have
\[ s^e \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda W_{m,\bar{a},j} \cap \mathcal{G}^{\ell e} W_{m,\bar{a}} \setminus \{0\} \]
and the element $s^e$ induces
\[ \bar{s}^e \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda W_{m,\bar{a},j} \setminus \{0\}. \]
This implies the inequality $eT_m \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right) \leq T_{\mathcal{G}} \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F} \right)$. Thus we get the equality $T \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right) = T \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F} \right)$.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.16 for any $t \in [0, T \left( W_\bullet; \mathcal{F} \right))$, we have
\[ \bar{h} \left( \sum_{Y_\bullet} \left( W_\bullet(Y,e); \mathcal{F}, t \right) \right) = \sum_{Y_\bullet'} \left( \sigma^* W_\bullet; \mathcal{F}, t \right). \]
where \( h: \mathbb{R}^{r+n} \to \mathbb{R}^{r+n} \) be as in Lemma 3.16. Thus we get the assertion. 

Example 11.7. If \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \), then we have

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^\lambda W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j} = \begin{cases} 
W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j} & \text{if } \lambda \leq je, \\
0 & \text{if } \lambda > je.
\end{cases}
\]

Definition 11.8. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a linearly bounded filtration on \( W_X \).

1. Take any \( \vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r \). An effective Cartier divisor \( D \) on \( X \) is said to be a \((Y,e)\)-subbasis type divisor of \( W_{\vec{a}} \) (resp., a \((Y,e)\)-subbasis type divisor of \( W_{\vec{a}} \) compatible with \( \mathcal{F} \)) if there is a \((\mathcal{G}, e\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\)-subbasis \( \{s_1, \ldots, s_M\} \subseteq W_{\vec{a}} \) of \( W_{\vec{a}} \) (resp., compatible with \( \mathcal{F} \)) such that \( D \) is of the form

\[
D = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \{s_i = 0\}.
\]

From the construction, we have

\[
M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \dim W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j}, \quad D \sim M\vec{a} \cdot \vec{L}.
\]

2. Take any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \) with \( M_m := h^0(W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},m}) = 0 \). An effective \( \mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \( D \) on \( X \) is said to be an \( m\)-(\(Y,e\))\)-subbasis type \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor of \( W_{\vec{a}} \) (resp., an \( m\)-(\(Y,e\))\)-subbasis type \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor of \( W_{\vec{a}} \) compatible with \( \mathcal{F} \)) if \( D \) is of the form

\[
D = \frac{1}{mM_m} \sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}; \ (m, \vec{a}) \in S(W_{\vec{a}})} \sum_{\vec{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} D_{\vec{d}},
\]

where each \( D_{\vec{d}} \) is a \((Y,e)\)-subbasis type divisor of \( W_{m,\vec{a}} \) (resp., compatible with \( \mathcal{F} \)).

Proposition 11.9 (cf. [AZ20, §3.1]). Let \( E \) be a prime divisor over \( X \) and let \( D \) be an \( m\)-(\(Y,e\))\)-subbasis type \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor of \( W_{\vec{a}} \).

1. We have \( \text{ord}_E(D) \leq S_m \left( W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j}; \mathcal{F}_E \right) \) and \( \text{ord}_Y(D) = S_m \left( W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j}; \mathcal{G} \right) \).

2. If \( D \) is compatible with \( \mathcal{F}_E \), then we have \( \text{ord}_E(D) = S_m \left( W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j}; \mathcal{F}_E \right) \).

3. Let us set

\[
\sigma^* D := S_m \left( W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j}; \mathcal{G} \right) \cdot Y + \tilde{D},
\]

\[
D_Y := \tilde{D}|_{Y}.
\]

The \( \mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \( D_Y \) on \( Y \) is an \( m\)-basis type \( \mathbb{Q}\)-divisor of \( W^{(Y,e)}_{\vec{a},j} \) in the sense of [AZ20, Definition 2.18]. If moreover \( D \) is compatible with \( \mathcal{F}_E \), then the \( D_Y \) is compatible with \( \mathcal{F}_E \) in the sense of [AZ20, Definition 2.18].

Proof. Let us write

\[
D = \frac{1}{mM_m} \sum_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}; \ (m, \vec{a}) \in S(W_{\vec{a}})} \sum_{\vec{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} D_{\vec{d}},
\]

with

\[
D_{\vec{a}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{M_{a,j}} \left\{ s_i = 0 \right\},
\]

where each

\[
\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \left\{ s_i^{\vec{a},j}, \ldots, s_{M_{a,j}}^{\vec{a},j} \right\}
\]
is a \((G, e)\)-subbasis of \(W_{m, \delta}\) (resp., compatible with \(\mathcal{F}_E\)) with \(\text{ord}_Y(s_i^{\delta, j}) = je\), that is, the image
\[
\left\{ s_1^{\delta, j}, \ldots, s_{M, \delta, j} \right\} \subset W_{m, \delta, j}^{(Y, e)}
\]
is a basis of \(W_{m, \delta, j} \) (resp., compatible with \(\mathcal{F}_E\)).

(1) By Corollary 11.5 we have
\[
\text{ord}_E(D_{\delta}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{M, \delta, j} v_{E}(s_i^{\delta, j}) \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \int_0^\infty \dim \mathcal{F}_E W_{m, \delta, j}^{(Y, e)} d\lambda.
\]
Thus we get
\[
\text{ord}_E(D) \leq \frac{1}{m M_m} \sum_{\delta, j} \sum_{i=1}^{M, \delta, j} \left\{ s_i^{\delta, j} = 0 \right\} \int_0^\infty \dim \mathcal{F}_E W_{m, \delta, j}^{(Y, e)} d\lambda = S_m \left( W_{\bullet}^{(Y, e)}, \mathcal{F}_E \right).
\]

(2) If \(D\) is compatible with \(\mathcal{F}_E\), then the above inequalities are equal. Note that, for any \(m-(Y, e)\)-subbasis type \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(D\) of \(W_{\bullet}\), \(D\) is compatible with \(G\) (see Example 11.7).

(3) Since \(D_Y\) is of the form
\[
D_Y = \frac{1}{m M_m} \sum_{\delta, j} \sum_{i=1}^{M, \delta, j} \left\{ s_i^{\delta, j} = 0 \right\},
\]
the assertion is trivial. \(\square\)

From now on, we fix an effective \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Weil divisor \(\Delta\) on \(X\) and a scheme-theoretic point \(\eta \in X\) such that \((X, \Delta)\) is klt at \(\eta\). Recall that, in \([AZ20\text{, Definition 2.19}]\), for any \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) with \(h^0(W_{m, \bullet} > 0, \) they set
\[
\delta_{\eta, m} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}) := \inf_{D: \text{m-basis type } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor of } W_{\bullet}} \text{lct}(X, \Delta; D),
\]
and showed in \([AZ20\text{, Lemma 2.21}]\) that
\[
\delta_{\eta} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \delta_{\eta, m} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}).
\]
Let us consider its analogue.

**Definition 11.10.** Take any \(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) with \(h^0(W_{m, \bullet} > 0, \)

- (1) Set
\[
\delta_{\eta, m}^{(Y, e)} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}) := \inf_{D: \text{m-basis type } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor of } W_{\bullet}} \text{lct}(X, \Delta; D),
\]
where \(D\) runs through all \(m-(Y, e)\)-subbasis type \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisors of \(W_{\bullet}\).
- (2) Assume that \((X, \Delta)\) is a klt pair. Set
\[
\delta_{m}^{(Y, e)} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}) := \inf_{D} \text{lct}(X, \Delta; D),
\]
where \(D\) runs through all \(m-(Y, e)\)-subbasis type \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisors of \(W_{\bullet}\).

**Proposition 11.11** (see \([BJ20\text{, Proposition 4.3}]\)).

- (1) We have
\[
\delta_{\eta, m}^{(Y, e)} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}) = \inf_{E: \text{prime divisor over } X \text{ with } \eta \in c_X(E)} \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{S_m \left( W_{\bullet}^{(Y, e)}, \mathcal{F}_E \right)}.
\]

- (2) Assume that \((X, \Delta)\) is a klt pair. Then we have
\[
\delta_{m}^{(Y, e)} (X, \Delta; W_{\bullet}) = \inf_{E: \text{prime divisor over } X} \frac{A_{X, \Delta}(E)}{S_m \left( W_{\bullet}^{(Y, e)}, \mathcal{F}_E \right)}.
\]
Proof. We only see (1). By the definition of the log canonical threshold, we have

$$
\delta_{\eta,m}^{(Y,e)}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet) = \inf_D \frac{\inf_{E/X} \frac{A_{E,\Delta}(E)}{\ord_E(D)}}{\eta \in cX(E)}.
$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 11.11, we have $\sup_D \ord_E(D) = S_m\left(W_{\bullet}(Y,e);\bar{F}_E\right)$. □

The following lemma is well-known and essentially same as [BJ20, Corollary 2.10]. We omit the proof. See also the proof of [AZ20, Lemma 2.21].

Lemma 11.12 ([BJ20, Corollary 2.10]). For any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that, for any linearly bounded filtration $H$ on $W_{\bullet}(Y,e)$ and for any $m \geq m_0$, we have the inequality

$$
S_m\left(W_{\bullet}(Y,e);H\right) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot S\left(W_{\bullet}(Y,e);\bar{F}_E\right).
$$

Thanks to Lemma 11.12, we can get the following:

Proposition 11.13 (cf. [BJ20, Theorem 4.4] and [AZ20, Lemma 2.21]). (1) We have

$$
\delta_{\eta}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \delta_{\eta,m}^{(Y,e)}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet).
$$

(2) Assume that $(X,\Delta)$ is a klt pair. Then we have

$$
\delta(X,\Delta;W_\bullet) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \delta_{m}^{(Y,e)}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet).
$$

Proof. The proof is same as the proof of [BJ20, Theorem 4.4]. We give the proof of (1) just for the readers’ convenience. By Proposition 11.11 and Lemma 11.6, we have

$$
\limsup_{m \to \infty} \delta_{\eta,m}^{(Y,e)}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet) = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \inf_{E/X} \frac{A_{E,\Delta}(E)}{S_m\left(W_{\bullet}(Y,e);\bar{F}_E\right)} \leq \inf_{E/X} \frac{A_{E,\Delta}(E)}{S\left(W_{\bullet}(Y,e);\bar{F}_E\right)} = \delta_{\eta}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet).
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 11.12 for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we get

$$
\liminf_{m \to \infty} \delta_{\eta,m}^{(Y,e)}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet) \geq \inf_{E/X} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{A_{E,\Delta}(E)}{S\left(W_{\bullet}(Y,e);\bar{F}_E\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} \cdot \delta_{\eta}(X,\Delta;W_\bullet).
$$

Thus we get the assertion. □

We are ready to generalize Theorem 3.20. Note that, [AZ20, Theorem 3.3] treats the equality case much more. We omit to discuss the case since we do not use it in order to prove Theorem 10.1.

Theorem 11.14 (cf. [AZ20, Theorem 3.3]). Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \to X$ be a birational morphism between normal projective varieties and let $Z_0 \subset Z \subset X$ be closed subvarieties on $X$. Let $\eta_0, \eta \in X$ be the generic points of $Z_0, Z$, respectively. Let $F \subset X$ be a prime $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on $\tilde{X}$ with $\eta_0 \in cX(F)$ and let $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor on $X$. Assume that there is an open subset $\eta_0 \in U \subset X$ such that the pair $(U,\Delta|_U)$ is klt, the prime divisor $F$ is a plt-type prime divisor over $(U,\Delta|_U)$, and the morphism $\sigma$ is the plt-blowup of $F$ over $(U,\Delta|_U)$. Let us take the Veronese equivalence class $V_\epsilon$ of a graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1,\ldots,L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which has bounded support and contains an ample series, and let $W_\bullet$ be the refinement of $\sigma^*V_\epsilon$ by $F \subset \tilde{X}$. Take an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor $\tilde{\Delta}$ on $\tilde{X}$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor $\Delta_F$ on $F$ such that

$$
K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} + (1 - A_{E,\Delta}(F))F = \sigma^*(K_X + \Delta),
$$

$$
K_F + \Delta_F = \left(K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{\Delta} + F\right)|_F
$$
hold over \( U \).

1. If \( Z \subset c_X(F) \), then we have

\[
\delta_{\eta}(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(\Delta)}{S(V_\bullet; F)}, \inf_{\eta' \in X: \sigma(\eta') = \eta_0} \delta_{\eta'}(F, \Delta_F; W_\bullet) \right\}.
\]

2. If \( Z \not\subset c_X(F) \), then we have

\[
\delta_{\eta}(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) \geq \inf_{\eta' \in X: \sigma(\eta') = \eta_0} \delta_{\eta'}(F, \Delta_F; W_\bullet).
\]

If the inequality in (1) holds and there exists a prime divisor \( E_0 \) over \( X \) with \( Z \subset c_X(E_0) \), \( c_X(E_0) \subset F \) and

\[
\delta_{\eta}(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) = \frac{A_X(\Delta)}{S(V_\bullet; E_0)}
\]

then we must have

\[
\delta_{\eta}(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) = \frac{A_X(\Delta)}{S(V_\bullet; F)}.
\]

Proof. The core of the proof is essentially same as the proof of [AZ20, Theorem 3.3]. Let us fix \( e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) with \( eF \subset X \) Cartier. By Lemma [3.10] we may assume that \( V_\bullet \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \)-graded linear series on \( X \) associated to Cartier divisors, and \( W_\bullet = \sigma^*V_\bullet^{(F,e)} \) as in Lemma [3.16]. Take any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) with \( h^0(W_m) > 0 \). Set

\[
\lambda'_m := \inf_{\eta' \in X: \sigma(\eta') = \eta_0} \delta_{\eta',m}(F, \Delta_F; W_\bullet),
\]

\[
\lambda_m := \min \left\{ \frac{A_X(\Delta)}{S_m(W_\bullet; F)}, \lambda'_m \right\}.
\]

Take any \( m-(F,e) \)-subbasis type \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D \) of \( V_\bullet \). As in Proposition [11.9] let us set

\[
\sigma^*D =: S_m(W_\bullet; F) \cdot F + \tilde{D},
\]

\[
D_F := \tilde{D}|_F.
\]

We know that the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( D_F \) is an \( m \)-basis type \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor of \( W_\bullet \). By the definition of \( \lambda'_m \), the pair \((F, \Delta_F + \lambda'_m D_F)\) is log canonical around a neighborhood of \( \sigma^{-1}(\eta_0) \). By inversion of adjunction [Kaw07], the pair \((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta} + F + \lambda'_m \tilde{D})\) is log canonical around a neighborhood of \( \sigma^{-1}(\eta_0) \).

2. For any prime divisor \( E \) over \( X \) with \( \eta \in c_X(E) \), we have \( c_X(E) \not\subset F \) since \( Z \not\subset c_X(F) \).

Thus, if we set

\[
a'_m := 1 - A_X(\Delta) + \lambda'_m \cdot S_m(W_\bullet; \tilde{F})
\]

then we have

\[
0 \leq A_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta} + F + \lambda'_m \tilde{D}}(E) = A_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta} + a'_m F + \lambda'_m \tilde{D}}(E) = A_{X, \Delta + \lambda'_m D}(E).
\]

Thus the pair \((X, \Delta + \lambda'_m D)\) is log canonical at \( \eta \). This implies that \( \delta_{\eta,m}^{(F,e)}(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) \geq \lambda'_m \).

Thus we get the assertion by Proposition [11.13]

1. Set

\[
a_m := 1 - A_X(\Delta) + \lambda_m \cdot S_m(W_\bullet; \tilde{F}) \leq 1.
\]

Since the pair \((\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta} + a_m F + \lambda_m \tilde{D})\) is log canonical around a neighborhood of \( \sigma^{-1}(\eta_0) \), the pair \((X, \Delta + \lambda_m D)\) is log canonical at \( \eta \). This implies that \( \delta_{\eta,m}^{(F,e)}(X, \Delta; V_\bullet) \geq \lambda_m \).

Let us consider the equality case. We have

\[
0 \leq A_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta} + \lambda_m \tilde{D}}(E_0) = A_{X, \Delta + \lambda_m D}(E_0) + (-A_X(\Delta) + \lambda_m \cdot S_m(W_\bullet; \tilde{F})) \cdot \ord_{E_0}(F).
\]
If $D$ is compatible with $F_{E_0}$, we get
\[
A_{X,\Delta}(E_0) - \lambda_m \cdot S_m(W_\bullet; x_{E_0}) \geq (A_{X,\Delta}(F) - \lambda_m \cdot S_m(W_\bullet; F)) \cdot \text{ord}_{E_0}(F).
\]
Set $\lambda := \lim_{m \to \infty} \lambda_m$. Then we get
\[
0 = A_{X,\Delta}(E_0) - \lambda \cdot S(V_\bullet; E_0) \geq (A_{X,\Delta}(F) - \lambda \cdot S(V_\bullet; F)) \cdot \text{ord}_{E_0}(F) \geq 0.
\]
Since $\text{ord}_{E_0}(F)$ is positive, we get the assertion. \hfill $\square$

11.3. The barycenters of Okounkov bodies. We see a relationship between local $\delta$-invariants and Okounkov bodies.

**Theorem 11.15.** Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional normal projective variety, let $Y_\bullet$ be an admissible flag on $X$, and let $V_\bullet$ be the Veronese equivalence class of a graded linear series on $X$ associated to $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \text{CaCl}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ which has bounded support and contains an ample series. Let us consider the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet) \subset \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n}$ of $V_\bullet$ associated to $Y_\bullet$. Let $\eta_j \in X$ be the generic point of $Y_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1+n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n}$ be the barycenter of $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet)$. Then we have the inequalities
\[
\min \left\{ \frac{1}{b_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{b_{r-1+n}} \right\} \leq \delta_{\eta_j}(X; V_\bullet) \leq \frac{1}{b_r}
\]
for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, we have the inequalities
\[
\min \left\{ \frac{1}{b_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{b_{r-1+n}} \right\} \leq \delta_{\eta_n}(X; V_\bullet) \leq \frac{1}{b_r}.
\]

**Proof.** Since $\eta_j \in X$ is a smooth point, the value $\delta_{\eta_j}(X; V_\bullet)$ makes sense. Take a resolution $\sigma: \tilde{X} \to X$ of singularities such that $\sigma$ is an isomorphism over $Y_n$. Let $\tilde{Y}_\bullet$ be the admissible flag on $\tilde{X}$ defined by $\tilde{Y}_i := \sigma_*^{-1}Y_i$. Let $\tilde{\eta}_j \in \tilde{X}$ be the generic point of $\tilde{Y}_j$. Obviously, we have $\delta_{\eta_j}(X; V_\bullet) = \delta_{\tilde{\eta}_j}(\tilde{X}; \sigma^* V_\bullet)$. Moreover, from the definition of the function $\nu_{Y_\bullet}$ in Definition 3.3 we have $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet) = \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\bullet}(\sigma^* V_\bullet)$. Therefore, we may assume that $X$ and $Y_i$ are smooth.

Let $V_\bullet^i (0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ be the Veronese equivalence class of graded linear series on $Y_i$ defined inductively as follows:
- When $i = 0$, then $V_\bullet^0 := V_\bullet$.
- When $i \geq 1$, then $V_\bullet^i$ is the refinement of $V_\bullet^{i-1}$ by $Y_i$.

As we have already seen in Definition 3.15, we have $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(V_\bullet) = \Delta_{Y_\bullet^i}(V_\bullet^i) \subset \mathbb{R}^{r-1+n}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.12 we have $b_{r+i} = S(V_\bullet^i; Y_{i+1})$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $A_{Y_\bullet}(Y_{i+1}) = 1$, we get the assertion by applying Theorem 3.20 (or Theorem 11.14) $j$ times. (The inequality $\delta_{\eta_j}(X; V_\bullet) \leq 1/b_r$ is trivial since $1/b_r = A_{X}(Y_1)/S(V_\bullet; Y_1)$ holds.) \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 11.16.** Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional normal projective variety, let $Y_\bullet$ be an admissible flag on $X$, let $L$ be a big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$, and let $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ be the Okounkov body of $L$ associated to $Y_\bullet$. Let $\eta_j \in X$ be the generic point of $Y_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

1. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ be the barycenter of $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L)$. Then we have the inequalities
\[
\min \left\{ \frac{1}{b_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{b_j} \right\} \leq \delta_{\eta_j}(X; L) \leq \frac{1}{b_1}
\]
for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, we have
\[
\min \left\{ \frac{1}{b_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{b_n} \right\} \leq \delta_{\eta_n}(X; L) \leq \frac{1}{b_1}.
\]
(2) Assume moreover that $Y_n \not\in \mathcal{B}_-(L)$ (e.g., $L$ is nef). Let $T_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the maximum of the $i$-th coordinate of the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_i}(L) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have the inequality

$$\delta_{\eta_j}(X; L) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{n+1}{nT_1}, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{nT_j} \right\}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. (1) is a direct consequence of Theorem 11.15. For (2), as we have already seen in [CHPW 18, Theorem 4.2], the Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_i}(L)$ contains the origin. Therefore, the value $U_i$ in Corollary 3.13 is equal to 0 for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus we get the assertion from Corollary 3.13 and (1). \qed
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