

A strongly universal cellular automaton in the dodecagrid with four states

Maurice MARGENSTERN

February 21, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that there is a strongly universal cellular automaton in the dodecagrid, the tessellation $\{5, 3, 4\}$ of the hyperbolic $3D$ space, with four states but, it is not rotation invariant as the automaton of [9] is with five states. The present paper is not an improvement of [9]. The reduction to four states of the automaton of the present paper results from a relaxation of the condition of rotation invariance. However, the relaxation is not complete: the rules are invariant with respect to rotations of the dodecahedron which leave it globally invariant which also leave invariant a couple of opposite faces of the dodecahedron. As there are twenty five such rotations, it can be considered that the reduction to four states is significant although the relaxation it implied.

1 Introduction

The present paper is a kind of continuation of [9] which also deals with strong universality of a cellular automaton in the dodecagrid, the tessellation $\{5, 3, 4\}$ of the hyperbolic $3D$ -space. We remind the reader that the tessellation is based on Poincaré's dodecahedron whose faces are pentagons with right-angles and which are mutually perpendicular when they share a side. The numbers which occurs in the signature of the tessellation mean the following: the faces of the dodecahedron have five sides, at each of its vertices three faces meet and around each of its sides, there are four dodecahedrons of the tessellation. We also remind the reader that strong universality means that we consider computations of the cellular automaton which start from a finite configuration. That latter condition says that all cells of the space are in a quiescent state, finitely many of them being excepted. And the quiescent state is state such that if a cell and all its neighbours are in that state, the new state of the cell remains that state. We get the strong universality by reduction: we simulate a two-register machine which is know to be strongly universal, a result established by Coke-Minsky, see [11]. In the present paper, we repeat several pictures of [9] and several corresponding

explanations in order to facilitate the reading of the paper which we try to make as self-contained as possible.

From now on, we denote by Δ any dodecahedron we consider in the dodeca-grid, unless it is otherwise indicated.

Figure 1 provides us with a representation of Δ according to a Schlegel representation of the solid. That representation could be used to represent the dodeca-grid too. However, here, we shall use another representation illustrated by Figure 3 which we shall again meet later in Section 3. That figure makes use of a property we shall see with the explanations about Figure 1. Before turning to that argumentation, we presently deal with the Schlegel representation.




Figure 1 *The Schlegel representation of the dodecahedron Δ .*

Figure 1 is obtained by the projection of the vertices and the edges of Δ on the plane of one of its faces say F_0 . The projection is performed from a point P , called the **centre of projection**, which belongs to the straight line passing through the mid-point of F_0 and orthogonal to the plane Π of that face. It is usually assumed that P and Δ are both contained in the half-space delimited by Π and that P does not belong to Δ . Note that such a projection can be performed in both the Euclidean and the hyperbolic $3D$ -space.

As shown on the figure, we number the faces of Δ . Face 0 is the face which belongs to Π , the plane on which the projection is performed. We number the faces around face 0 by clockwise turning around the face when we look at the plane from P , the centre of projection. If we consider the face which is opposed to face 0, say face 11, we also number the remaining faces of Δ , they are also

clockwise numbered from 6 to 10 with face 6 sharing a side with face 5 and another one with face 1. That numbering will also be used to number the edges and the vertices of Δ as follows. As far as an edge σ of Δ is shared by two faces F_i and F_j and by those faces only, we denote σ by $i-j$. Similarly, as a vertex v of Δ belongs to three faces F_i , F_j and F_k and to those faces only, we denote v by $i-j-k$.

Later, we shall consider the neighbours of a dodecahedron in the dodecagrid. Consider such a tile, say Δ again, and assume a numbering of its faces obtained as in Figure 1. Let F_i be the face numbered by i , with $i \in \{0..11\}$, we say later on **face** i . We say that F_i and F_j , with $i \neq j$ are **contiguous** if and only if they share an edge. There is a single dodecahedron of the dodecagrid which shares F_i with Δ , we denote that dodecahedron by Δ_i . We say that Δ_i is a **neighbour** of Δ , more precisely its i -neighbour. We shall often say that Δ and Δ_i **can see** each other through face i and other expressions connected with vision. Now the face i of Δ may receive another number in Δ_i . Often, we decide that face i in Δ is face 0 in Δ_i and that a face of Δ_i which is in the same plane as a face j of Δ receives the number j in Δ_i too. We shall do that in what follows if not otherwise specified. The neighbours of Δ share an important property:

Proposition 1 *If F_i and F_j are contiguous faces of Δ , Δ_i and Δ_j cannot see each other, but $\Delta_{i_j} = \Delta_{j_i}$.*

The proposition is an easy corollary of the following assertion:

Lemma 1 *Two tiles T_1 and T_2 of the dodecagrid which share an edge s can see each other if and only for both faces F_a and F_b of T_1 sharing s , T_1 and T_2 do not belong to the same half-space defined by the plane of that face.*

Proof of the proposition and of the lemma.

By construction, let Π_a be the plane containing F_a . That plane defines a half-space \mathcal{S} which contains Δ . Clearly, Δ_a and Δ are not both in \mathcal{S} : otherwise we would have $\Delta = \Delta_a$. From a similar argument, Δ_b and Δ are not on the same side with respect to Π_b . By construction, as far as F_a and F_b are contiguous, $\Pi_a \perp \Pi_b$. Now, if $\ell = \Pi_a \cap \Pi_b$, Π_a and Π_b define four dihedral right angles around ℓ . By construction, Δ lies inside one angle, Δ_a lies in a second one which is in the same side as Δ with respect to Π_b and, symmetrically, Δ_b lies in a third angle which is in the same side as Δ with respect to Π_a . The fourth angle may contain a dodecahedron which shares the same edge with Δ , Δ_a and Δ_b : it is a neighbour of Δ_a seen from its face b but also, for the same reason, a neighbour of Δ_b seen from its face a . Now, by construction of the dodecagrid, there can exactly be four dodecahedrons around an edge, so that the neighbour b of Δ_a is the neighbour a of Δ_b which can be written $\Delta_{a_b} = \Delta_{b_a}$ as in Proposition 1. \square

In particular, if two faces of tiles T_1 and T_2 sharing an edge s are in the same plane Π , and if T_1 and T_2 are not in the same half-space defined by Π the tiles cannot see each other. *A fortiori*, if the tiles have no common element, they cannot see each other.

The cellular automaton we construct in Section 3 evolves in the hyperbolic 3D space but a large part of the construction deals with a single plane which we shall call the **horizontal plane** denoted by \mathcal{H} . In fact, both sides of \mathcal{H} will be used by the construction and most tiles of our construction have a face on \mathcal{H} .

We take advantage of that circumstance to define a particular representation which we find more appropriate to our purpose.

The trace of the dodecagrid on \mathcal{H} is a tiling of the hyperbolic plane, namely the tiling $\{5, 4\}$ we call the **pentagrid**. The left-hand side part of Figure 2 illustrates the tiling and its right-hand side part illustrates a way to locate the cells of the pentagrid. Let us look closer at the figure whose pictures live in Poincaré's disc, a popular representation of the hyperbolic plane, see [2].




Figure 2 *To left: a representation of the pentagrid in Poincaré's disc model of the hyperbolic plane. To right: a splitting of the hyperbolic plane into five sectors around a once and for all fixed central tile.*

In the right-hand side picture, we can see five tiles which are counter-clockwise numbered from 1 up to 5, those tiles being the neighbours of a tile which we call the **central tile** for convenience. Indeed, there is no central tile in the pentagrid as there is no central point in the hyperbolic plane. We can see the disc model as a window over the hyperbolic plane, as if we were flying over that plane in an abstract spacecraft. The centre of the circle is the point on which are attention is focused while the circle itself is our horizon. Accordingly, the central tile is the tile which is central with respect to the area under our consideration. It is also the reason to number the central tile by 0.

The right-hand side picture shows us five blocs of tiles we call **sectors**. Each sector is defined by a unique tile which shares and edge with the central one. We number that tile by 1. It is a green tile on the picture. The sector is delimited by two rays u and v issued from a vertex of tile 1: they continue two consecutive sides of tile 0. Those rays are supported by straight lines in the hyperbolic plane

and they define a right angle. Tile 1 is called the **head** of the sector it defines : the sector is the set of tiles contained in the angle defined by u and v . We also number the sectors from 1 to 5 by counter-clockwise turning around tile 0. We also say that two tiles are **neighbouring** or that they are **neighbours** of each other if and only if they have a common side.




Figure 3 A configuration we shall later meet in Section 3. The central tile is, in some sense, the centre of that configuration. A dark blue face means that a blue dodecahedron is put on that face.

Consider the configuration illustrated by Figure 3. We can see the Schlegel projection of a dodecahedron on each tile of the picture. A few dodecahedrons have another light colour and on each of them, three faces have a dark blue face. We call them the elements of a **track**. Tile 0 is the central tile of the picture. Around tile 0, we can see three elements of track exactly, the other neighbouring tiles having a uniform light colour: we call them **blank** tiles. We number the elements of tracks by the number of their sectors, here 1, 3 and 5 by counter-clockwise turning around tile 0. On the picture, tile 3 is just below tile 0. Let us look closer at tiles 0 and 3 of \mathcal{H} . Let Δ_0 and Δ_3 be the tiles of the dodecagrid which are put on tiles 0 and 3 of \mathcal{H} respectively. Assume that the face 1 of Δ_0 and of Δ_3 share the side which is shared by the tiles 0 and 3 of \mathcal{H} . By construction of the figure, tiles 0 and 3 are on the same side with respect to \mathcal{H} . As a corollary, the faces 1 of Δ_0 and Δ_3 coincide so that, according to Lemma 1 and to Proposition 1, $(\Delta_0)_1 = \Delta_3$ and $(\Delta_3)_1 = \Delta_0$. From the same proposition, we can see that $(\Delta_0)_6$ and $(\Delta_0)_7$ cannot see each other but $(\Delta_0)_6$ and $(\Delta_3)_7$ can see each other and, for a similar reason, $(\Delta_0)_7$ and $(\Delta_3)_6$ can also see each other.

Accordingly, we have to pay attention to dodecahedrons which are put on the faces of a dodecahedron in the representation as defined on Figure 3: we call that representation the **\mathcal{H} -representation**. The rule is simple: a face F of a dodecahedron Δ takes the colour of the neighbour that Δ can see through F . The rule also applies to the faces of two neighbouring dodecahedrons whose face 0 is on \mathcal{H} through which they see each other. Each face take the colour of its neighbour.

By *abus de langage*, we also call \mathcal{H} the restriction of the dodecagrid to those which sit on that plane. When it will be needed to clarify, we denote by \mathcal{H}_u , \mathcal{H}_b the set of dodecahedrons which are placed upon, below \mathcal{H} respectively.

Now that the global setting is given, we shall proceed as follows: Section 3 indicates the main lines of the implementation which is precisely described in Subsection 3.2. At last, Section 4 gives us the rules followed by the automaton. That section also contains a few figures which illustrate the application of the rules. Those figures were established from pieces of figures drawn by a computer program which applied the rules of the automaton to an appropriate window in each of the configurations described in Subsection 3.2. The computer program also checked that the set of rules is coherent and that rules are pairwise independent with respect to the restricted notion of rotation invariance which we define a little further. As far as that latter property is important for our result, we start our study by a short section on the rotations of the dodecahedron, see Section 2.

That allows us to prove the following property:

Theorem 1 *There is a strongly universal cellular automaton in the dodecagrid, truly spatial, which has four states and whose rules are invariant under rotations of the dodecahedron around a face.*

2 Rotations of the dodecahedron

We partially repeat a similar section of [9]. We do not look at all rotations which leave Δ invariant but at a subset of those rotations only. It is classically known that there are sixty rotations which leave the dodecahedron globally invariant: the image can exactly be put on the same place of the space as the initial dodecahedron. Using the Schlegel representation, it means that a rotation which leaves Δ globally invariant performs a permutation on the number of the faces. In [9], we constructed a cellular automaton in the dodecagrid whose rules are invariant under any rotation of Δ , we see a bit further what it exactly means. That cellular automaton has five states and it is strongly universal. In the conclusion of [9], I raised the question of improving the result. A possible improvement consists in reducing the number of states. Up to now, I do not know how to do that. However, by relaxing a bit the condition of rotation invariance, I could get that reduction in the number of states.

The whole set of rotations of Δ has sixty elements and the requirement of invariance of the rules under that set is a huge condition. There are three kinds

of rotations which leave Δ globally invariant. The interested reader is referred to [9] where that question is rather thoroughly dealt with. Let us remind the reader that the three kinds of rotations are the following. The identity being excepted which belongs to all kinds, there are twenty four rotations around a couple of opposite faces, twenty rotations around a couple of opposite vertices and fifteen rotations around a couple of opposite edges.

Why the restriction to rotations around a couple of opposite faces? That restriction is based on the main structure of the simulation which we call the tracks and which we soon study. A track is a finite sequence of tiles of the dodecagrid, all of them belonging to \mathcal{H}_u or all of them belonging to \mathcal{H}_b together with the fact that a side of each tile belongs to a fixed line of \mathcal{H} and that two consecutive elements of the sequence are neighbours of each other. In such a track the elements have a kind of interdependence. Any rotation around vertices or edges break that interdependence. Only a rotation around a face is able to keep the interdependence. As far as a cell does not know whether its neighbour belongs to a track or not, such rotation can be around an arbitrary face. Whence the kind of restriction we consider.

Below, Figure 4 illustrates the set of rotations we consider in the paper.

As already mentioned, there twenty four rotations around a couple of opposite faces which leave Δ globally invariant. That number is easy to get: the set of twelve faces of Δ can be split into six couple of opposite faces, namely:


$$\begin{aligned} 0 - 11 \\ 1 - 9 \\ 2 - 10 \\ 3 - 6 \\ 4 - 7 \\ 5 - 8 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Each line of (1) indicates two faces by their number which are opposite to each other in Δ . By opposite, we mean that the faces are image of each other under the symmetry in the central point of Δ . That property can be checked on Figure 1. Consider two such faces, F_a and F_b . Let C_a, C_b be the centre of F_a, F_b respectively. Then, the centre of Δ is the midpoint of $[C_a C_b]$ and the rotation we consider is a rotation around the line defined by C_a and C_b which leave those faces globally invariant. It is easy to see that for each such axis of rotation, there are four possible rotations leaving the faces globally invariant. Whence the twenty four rotations which are illustrated by Figure 4.

As an example, consider the axis through the centres of faces 1 and 9. It can be checked that once we fix the image of F_0 and that of F_1 under the rotation, the numbering of all the other faces are fixed. In the line devoted to the rotations around the faces 1 and 9, we have four dodecahedrons which are the image of the first one under the four possible rotations around that axis leaving Δ globally invariant. As face 1 is fixed under the rotation, each face contiguous to F_1 in Δ is put on F_0 by such a rotation. The concerned faces are 5, 6, 7, 2 and 0, that latter one defining the identity. If we put F_5 onto F_0 , we necessarily get F_8 in place of F_{11} as can be checked on the figure. Note that F_7 is contiguous to both F_1

and F_8 in Δ so that it must be the same on the image which tells us that F_7 is put onto F_6 : it is a way to fix the considered rotation around F_1 and F_9 . It can be checked that the considered rotation induces the following permutation on the numbering of the faces: 5 1 0 4 10 6 7 2 3 9 11 8, where the position, from 0 to 11 indicates the initial numbering of the faces.

axis through 0 - 11 faces:



axis through 1 - 9 faces:



axis through 2 - 10 faces:



axis through 3 - 6 faces:



axis through 4 - 7 faces:



axis through 5 - 8 faces:




Figure 4 The twenty four rotations around a couple of opposite faces.

We leave to the reader the computations of the rotations induced by all the rotations illustrated by Figure 4.

Those rotations can be generated by two rotations around faces which are contiguous. Figure 5 indicates how to proceed: rotations around faces a and e of the figure produce a rotation around f . Clearly, once we have a rotation around a couple of opposite faces, we can generate all the other rotations round that axis. Figure 5 allows us to gradually obtain all the rotations indicated by

Figure 4. We also leave it to the reader. In [9] we indicate how to gradually generate the rotations around opposite vertices and around opposite edges with the help of two rotations around fixed contiguous faces.




Figure 5 How to generate the rotations around opposite faces thanks to two fixed rotations around contiguous faces. The letter under an arrow indicates the face around which the rotation is performed.

In order to prepare the construction of the rules explained in Section 4, we need to define a simple criterion in order to see the neighbourhood of a tile.




Figure 6 Correspondence between neighbours of neighbouring tiles.

Figure 6 helps us to establish that criterion. In the right-hand side part of the figure, we have the representation of eight tiles which share a common vertex. The figure shows us four of them only, those which belong to \mathcal{H}_u . Those which belong to \mathcal{H}_b are not visible. Two lines ℓ and m , in green and orange respectively on the right-hand side part of the figure, bear sides of those tiles. We fix a numbering of the sides of the tiles which is indicated in the left-hand side part of the figure. Our four tiles have their face 0 on \mathcal{H} and in all of them, face 0 and face 1 have their common side on ℓ , the green line. The faces of a tile which are contiguous with face 0 constitute the **lower crown** while those which are contiguous with face 11 constitute the **upper crown**. It can be seen that faces i with $i \in \{1..5\}$ belong to the lower crown while faces j with $j \in \{6..10\}$ constitute the upper crown.

Denote by **A**, **B**, **C** and **D** as indicated on the left-hand side part of the figure the four tiles which have an edge on both ℓ and m . Let \mathcal{V} be the plane which is orthogonal to \mathcal{H} , cutting it along m , the orange line. Say that those

tiles belong to generation 0 and denote them by \mathbf{L} with $\mathbf{L} \in \{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}\}$. The neighbours of those tiles belong to generation 1. We denote them as \mathbf{L}_i where i is in $\{0..11\}$, indicating a face on which is lying the neighbour. As far as the face of $\mathbf{A}_6, \mathbf{B}_7$ on \mathcal{V} is orthogonal to the face 1 of \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} respectively, \mathbf{A}_6 and \mathbf{B}_7 share a face on that plane so that they can see each other according to Proposition 1. That property is illustrated on the left-hand side part of Figure 6 by the fact that the face 6 of \mathbf{A} and the face 7 of \mathbf{B} are both in blue. Similarly, \mathbf{A}_7 and \mathbf{B}_6 can see each other. Denote that relationship by $\mathbf{A}_7 \ni \mathbf{B}_6$. Here are the similar relationships which can be established :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A}_6 \ni \mathbf{B}_7 & \mathbf{A}_7 \ni \mathbf{C}_6 \\
\mathbf{A}_7 \ni \mathbf{B}_6 & \mathbf{A}_8 \ni \mathbf{C}_{10} \\
\mathbf{C}_6 \ni \mathbf{D}_7 & \mathbf{B}_6 \ni \mathbf{D}_7 \\
\mathbf{C}_7 \ni \mathbf{D}_6 & \mathbf{B}_{10} \ni \mathbf{D}_8
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

We can see that in (2) several neighbours can see two neighbours. Indeed, \mathbf{A} can see both \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C} but it cannot see \mathbf{D} as far as \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{D} are not on the same half-space with respect to \mathcal{V} . From (2), we can see that \mathbf{A}_7 can see both \mathbf{B}_6 and \mathbf{C}_6 . Let s be the side which is shared by the faces 1 of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ and \mathbf{D} . Those tiles are the four tiles around s . Now, if n is the line which bears s , we can see that $\mathbf{A}_7, \mathbf{B}_6, \mathbf{C}_6$ and \mathbf{D}_7 also share a common side which lies on n .

We defined \mathbf{L}_i where \mathbf{L} stands for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ or \mathbf{D} as the neighbours of generation 1 for those tiles. There is room for another generation: indeed, as far as, already noticed, \mathbf{L}_i and \mathbf{L}_j do not see each other when $i \neq j$. But if \mathbf{L}_i and \mathbf{L}_j with $i \neq j$ share an edge σ , they have a common neighbour $\mathbf{N}_{i,j}$: around σ there must be four tiles: $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{L}_j$ and $\mathbf{N}_{i,j}$. Consider, for instance, $\mathbf{L}_{11}, \mathbf{L}_6$ and \mathbf{L}_7 . We can define $\mathbf{N}_{11,6}, \mathbf{N}_{11,7}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{6,7}$. Consider the planes Π_6, Π_7 and Π_{11} containing the faces 6, 7 and 11 of \mathbf{L} respectively. For each one, say Π_i , \mathbf{L}_i is in one half-space defined by Π_i while \mathbf{L}_j and \mathbf{L}_k with $\{i, j, k\} = \{6, 7, 11\}$ are in the other half-space. The intersections of those half-spaces define eight regions of the space which we call **octants**. Each octant contains a tile touching \mathbf{L} as far as that situation is the same as the one we can see with planes giving rise to ℓ and m together with the intersections of the half-spaces that they define. We already know seven tiles for seven octants: \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}_i with i in $\{6, 7, 11\}$, $\mathbf{N}_{6,7}, \mathbf{N}_{6,11}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{7,11}$. There is an eighth tile: a common neighbour to those last three tiles, $\mathbf{N}_{6,7,11}$. That last tile can see all \mathbf{N}_i 's we have defined, but it cannot see neither the \mathbf{L}_i 's nor \mathbf{L} itself. We say that $\mathbf{N}_{i,j,k}$ with, here, $\{i, j, k\} = \{6, 7, 11\}$ belongs to generation 3.

Now, there is a simple criterion to distinguish those generations: the tiles of generation 1 have a common face with \mathbf{L} ; those of generation 2 share just a single edge with \mathbf{L} while those of generation 3 share just a vertex with \mathbf{L} . We have seen that criterion for the upper crown of \mathbf{L} . For the lower crown, things are a bit different as far as \mathbf{L} has five neighbours belonging to \mathcal{H}_u and one of them belonging to \mathcal{H}_b : generation 1 is still defined by the tiles sharing a face with \mathbf{L} and generation 2 by the tiles which share with \mathbf{L} one edge only. Taking into account the tiles which are in \mathcal{H}_b , we can see that we have all the possible neighbours of \mathbf{L} . Indeed, consider the vertex v belonging to two faces

of the lower crown and to a third one of the upper crown. Let e be the edge joining v to a vertex of the face 0 of \mathbf{L} . Then the three other tiles sharing with \mathbf{L} the edge e only share also v . But, as can be shown on the figure, on each tile, a neighbour of a face of the upper crown of a neighbour of L also shares v . So that the tile of generation 3 with respect to L at such a vertex is a tile of generation 2 with respect to a neighbour of L of generation 1. At last, consider a vertex w belonging to one face a of the lower crown and to two faces of the upper crown. Then \mathbf{L} with its neighbours \mathbf{L}_i of generation 1 also sharing w defines three tiles sharing w . The neighbour \mathbf{N} of generation 2 defined by those \mathbf{L}_i 's is the fourth tile around the edge f shared by the two faces of the upper crown defining w . A similar argument can be formulated for the tile \mathbf{K} sharing the face a with \mathbf{L} so that we get the eight tiles around w . So for such a vertex too, the tiles of generation 3 belong to the generation 2 of a neighbour of L of generation 1. A similar argument holds for a vertex belonging to the face 0 of L : its neighbour of generation 3 for L is a neighbour of generation 2 for the neighbour of L belonging to \mathcal{H}_b . Accordingly we proved:

Proposition 2 *The neighbours of a tile \mathbf{L} whose face 0 is on \mathcal{H} belong to generation 1. The neighbours of the neighbours which share an edge only with \mathbf{L} belong to generation 2. There are neighbours of those of generation 2 which shares a vertex only with \mathbf{L} : they are the neighbours of generation 3. The tiles of generation 3 around \mathbf{L} touch vertices of \mathbf{L} which belong to the upper crown. The tiles of generation 3 of L touching it at its lower crown are neighbours of generation 2 for a neighbour of L belonging to \mathcal{H} .*

At last but not the least, we have to see the connection of neighbours of a tile with the distance from a tile to another one. Say that a sequence of tiles $\{T_i\}_{i \in \{0..n\}}$ is a **path** if T_i and T_{i+1} share a face for $0 \leq i < n$. In that situation, we say that n is the **length** of the path. We also say that the path **joins** T_0 to T_n and conversely. The **distance** between two tiles U and V is the length of the shortest path joining U to V . That distance is 0 if and only if $U = V$. A **ball** around T of radius k in the space is the set of tiles whose distance to T is at most k . Those which are at distance k exactly constitute the **sphere** around T of radius k . Note that two consecutive tiles on a path are neighbours of generation 1 for one another according to the definition of a path.

Lemma 2 *Let T be a tile at distance k from a tile A , with $k > 0$. Let U be the tile on a shortest path from A to T which is at the distance $k-1$ from A . Define a numbering of T under which the face 0 of T is the face it shares with U . Then all neighbours T_i of T with $i > 0$ are at the distance $k+1$ from A . Let Π_i be the plane supporting a face i of T belonging to its upper crown. Then a shortest path from A to T is completely contained in the half-space defined by Π_i which contains T .*

Proof of the lemma. We proceed by a complete induction on the length of the path. As the dodecahedron is a convex set, the lemma is true for $k = 0$. Assume that it is true for k . Let T be a tile at the distance $k+1$ from A and let U be a

tile on a shortest path from A to T which is at distance k . Define the face F_0 shared by T and U as the face 0 of T . Let Π_0 be the plane supporting F_0 . That half-space defined by Π_0 which contains U also contains the path from A to U by the induction hypothesis. Let F_j be a face of the upper crown of T and let Π_j be the plane supporting it. If F_j is opposed to F_0 , the line joining the centre of F_0 to that of F_j is a common perpendicular to Π_0 and to Π_j . Accordingly, those planes are not secant, so that the half-space of Π_j containing T also contains the half-space of Π_0 containing U . Consequently, that half-space also completely contains the path from T_0 to U . If F_j is not opposed to F_0 there is a unique edge s joining F_0 to F_j . As s is the intersection of two faces of the lower crown which are, by construction, mutually perpendicular and both perpendicular to F_0 , s is the common perpendicular line to both F_0 and F_j so that Π_0 and Π_j are non-secant. Accordingly we may repeat the previous argument which allows us to conclude that the half-space defined by Π_j which contains T also contains the whole path from A to T .

Let V be a neighbour T_i of T with $i > 0$. Assume that V is at distance h from A with $h \leq k$. Consider a shortest path from A to T_i . As that path and the shortest path we considered from A to T start both of them from A , there is a tile B on both paths such that the part of those paths from A to B is the longest common part of the paths from A to T and from A to V . Let $\{X_i\}$, $\{Y_i\}$ constitute the path from B to T , to V respectively with X_0 and Y_0 being neighbours of B . We know that X_0 and Y_0 cannot see each other. Consider X_1 and Y_1 . We claim that if $X_1 \neq Y_1$ those tiles cannot see each other which is easy to check on Figure 6. Accordingly, unless there are few tiles X_a, X_{a+1}, X_{a+2} and Y_a, Y_{a+1}, Y_{a+2} such that $X_a = Y_a, X_{a+1} \neq Y_{a+1}$ and $X_{a+2} = Y_{a+2}$ and as far as $T \neq V$, the conclusion is that T and V cannot see each other which is a contradiction with our assumption. That proves the lemma. \square

Note that from the proof of the lemma, we can see that the shortest path from a tile to another one may not be unique.

The lemma allows us to better see the relation between spheres \mathcal{S}_k of radius k around the same tile. We can see that \mathcal{S}_{k+2} contains neighbours of generation 2 of tiles belonging to \mathcal{S}_k and that \mathcal{S}_{k+3} contains neighbours of generation 3 of tiles belonging to \mathcal{S}_k . Accordingly, only spheres \mathcal{S}_{k+h} with $h > 3$ have no contact with \mathcal{S}_k .

3 Main lines of the computation

The first paper about a universal cellular automaton in the pentagrid, the tessellation $\{5, 4\}$ of the hyperbolic plane, was [1]. That cellular automaton was also rotation invariant and, at each step of the computation, the set of non quiescent states had infinitely many cycles: we shall say that it is a truly planar cellular automaton. That automaton had 22 states. That result was improved by a cellular automaton with 9 states in [10]. Recently, it was improved with 5 states, see [5]. A bit later, I proved that in the heptagrid, the tessellation $\{7, 3\}$ of the hyperbolic plane, there is a weakly universal cellular automaton

with three states which is rotation invariant and which is truly planar, [6]. Later, I improved the result down to two states but the rules are no more rotation invariant, see [7]. Paper [4] constructs three cellular automata which are strongly universal and rotation invariant: one in the pentagrid, one in the heptagrid, one in the dodecagrid. By strongly universal we mean that the initial configuration is finite, *i.e.* it lies within a large enough circle or sphere. Recently, I succeeded to implement a strongly universal cellular automata in the heptagrid, the tessellation $\{7, 3\}$ of the hyperbolic plane, which improves that latter result: the automaton is rotation invariant and it has seven states, see [8].

In the present paper, we tried to follow the construction of [9]. The construction and the rules are the same as in that paper for the tracks and for the switches but for the convenience of the reader we reproduce it here. But the present paper clearly differs from [9] for what is the implementation of the operations on a register.

As in previous papers, we simulate a register machine, not necessarily using the property that two registers are enough to get the strong universality, a result proved by Coke-Minsky in the sixties, see [11].

The simulation is based on the railway model devised in [12] revisited by the implementations given in the author's papers, see for instance [7]. Sub-section 3.1 describes the main structures of the model. We mainly borrow its content from previous papers for the sake of the reader. In Sub-section 3.2 we indicate the new features used in the present simulation.

3.1 The railway model

The railway model of [12] lives in the Euclidean plane. It consists of **tracks** and **switches** and the configuration of all switches at time t defines the configuration of the computation at that time. There are three kinds of switches, illustrated by Figure 7. The changes of the switch configurations are performed by a locomotive which runs over the circuit defined by the tracks and their connections organised by the switches.

A switch gathers three tracks a , b and c at a point. In an active crossing, the locomotive goes from a to either b or c . In a passive crossing, it goes either from b or c to a .



Figure 7 *The switches used in the railway circuit of the model. To left, the fixed switch, in the middle, the flip-flop switch, to right the memory switch. In the flip-flop switch, the bullet indicates which track has to be taken.*

In the fixed switch, the locomotive always goes from a to b or always from a to c . The passive crossing of the fixed switch is possible. The flip-flop switch is always crossed actively only. If the locomotive is sent from a to b , c by the switch, it will be sent to c , b respectively at the next passage. The memory switch can be crossed actively or passively. Now, the track taken by the locomotive in an

active passage is the track taken by the locomotive in the last passive crossing. Of course, at the initial time of the computation, for the flip-flop switch and for the memory one, the track which will be followed by the locomotive is defined by the implementation.

As an example, we give here the circuit which stores a one-bit unit of information, see Figure 8. The locomotive may enter the circuit either through the gate R or through the gate W .




Figure 8 *The basic element containing one bit of information.*

If it enters through the gate R where a memory switch sits, it goes either through the track marked with 1 or through the track marked with 0. When it crossed the switch through track 1, 0, it leaves the unit through the gate B_1 , B_0 respectively. Note that on both ways, there are fixed switch sending the locomotive to the appropriate gate B_i . If the locomotive enters the unit through the gate W , it is sent to the gate R , either through track 0 or track 1 from W . Accordingly, the locomotive arrives to R where it crosses the switch passively, leaving the unit through the gate E thanks to a fixed switch leading to that latter gate. When the locomotive took track 0, 1 from W , the switch after that indicates track 1, 0 respectively and the locomotive arrives at R through track 1, 0 of R . The tracks are numbered according to the value stored in the unit. By definition, the unit is 0, 1 when both tracks from W and from R are 0, 1 respectively. So that, as seen from that study, the entry through R performs a reading of the unit while the entry through W , changes the unit from 0 to 1 or from 1 to 0: the entry through W should be used when it is needed to change the content of the unit and only in that case. The structure works like a memory which can be read or rewritten. It is the reason to call it the **one-bit memory**.

We shall see how to combine one-bit memories in the next sub-section as far as we introduce several changes to the original setting for the reasons we indicate there.

3.2 Tuning the railway model

We start our presentation with a look on the global aspect of the simulation illustrated by Figure 9. On a part of \mathcal{H} , we have the program: a green quadrangle. It contains as many tracks as there are instructions. The return path used

by the locomotive goes to the next instruction when it incremented a register or when it succeeded to decrement it. In the case when it could not decrement the register, the return path is different as far as it need going to the appropriate instruction.




Figure 9 *A global view on the simulation.*

On another part of the figure, we have two boxes and, attached to each one of them, a long segment of line which represents a register. As two registers are enough to simulate a Turing machine, see [11], our illustration contains two registers only. At last, and not at all the least, segments of line go in between the program and the registers. We can imagine that some of them go in that direction and that the others go from the registers to the program. Those segments represent **paths** we study in the next subsection. They play a key role as far as they convey an information to the register and go back to the program in order to give a feed back which will determine the next operation to perform on the registers.

We first look at the implementation of the tracks in Sub-subsection 3.2.1 and how it is possible to define the crossing of two tracks. In Sub-subsection 3.2.2 we see how the switches are implemented. Then, in Sub-subsection 3.2.3, we see how the one-bit memory is implemented in the new context and then, in Sub-section 3.2.4, how we use it in various places. At last but not the least, we shall indicate how registers are implemented in Sub-subsection 3.2.5.

3.2.1 Paths and tracks

We mentioned the key role played by the paths in the computation. Without them, the locomotive could not perform any operation on the registers. Without them any computation is impossible. Moreover, as can be seen in many papers of the author, that one included, it is not an obvious issue which must always be addressed.

In the present paper, we explain the implementation which allowed us to prove Theorem 1. The present implementation significantly simplifies what is

written in [8].

The tracks are one-way. It is useful to reduce the number of states but it raises a problem as far as a two-way circulation is required in some portions of the circuit. It is a point where the third dimension comes to help us. In many portions, the circuit can be implemented on a fixed plane we call \mathcal{H} , already mentioned in the introduction. Roughly speaking, the traffic in one direction will occur in \mathcal{H}_u while the reverse running will be performed in \mathcal{H}_b . Occasionally and locally, we shall use a plane \mathcal{V} which is orthogonal to \mathcal{H} . Note that if \mathcal{H} is fixed in all the paper, \mathcal{V} may change according to the context where it is mentioned.

A register consists of four sequences of tiles following a line ℓ on \mathcal{H} . In the present paper, the tiles through which the locomotive is running are marked with what we call **milestones**. All tiles of the dodecagrid are blank, denoted by w , finitely many of them being excepted. Among the non-blank tiles, we have the milestones which are blue, denoted by b . Later we say b -, w -tile or -cell respectively. The blank is the quiescent state of our cellular automaton: if a cell is blank and if all its neighbours are blank too, the cell remains blank. We say **tracks** for portions of a path which follow a line ℓ defined by a side of the tiles in \mathcal{H} . Combining several tracks gives rise to paths. On the path, a blue locomotive is running which consists of a single blue cell which moves in between blue milestones. Figure 10 illustrates the constitution of the tracks. On the figure, the lines are followed by the side 1 of the elements of tracks.

The leftmost picture of the figure shows us that an element of a track consists of a white dodecahedron Δ whose face 0 lie on \mathcal{H} and on the faces 6, 9 and 10 of Δ we have three dodecahedrons Δ_6 , Δ_9 and Δ_{10} which are blue. Those dodecahedrons, close to the face opposite to face 11, opposite to face 0, are called the **decoration** of Δ . In general, the locomotive enters an element of the track through its face 5 or 4 and it leaves the element through its face 2. In order to allow variations which we further describe, for instance in Figure 11, the locomotive may also enter through face 1, the exit face being always through face 2.




Figure 10 *Leftmost picture: an element of a track. Middle picture: tracks in \mathcal{H}_u . Rightmost picture, return tracks of the previous ones in \mathcal{H}_b . Note the lines on both picture, in the middle and to right.*

The middle picture of Figure 10 shows us two tracks which belong to \mathcal{H}_u . As clearly seen, the left-hand side track follows a line of \mathcal{H} , in mauve in the pictures, which contains an edge of the face 1 of all the elements constituting that track. A similar remark can be formulated for the right-hand side track. According to the convention we indicated on the way a locomotive may cross an element of a track, the left-hand side track is going down while the right-hand side one is going up. The rightmost picture of the figure shows us tracks which belong to \mathcal{H}_b , their face 0 also belonging to \mathcal{H} . Imagine that the tracks of the middle picture are removed and that we see those tracks of \mathcal{H}_b from above \mathcal{H} as if that plane were translucent. We can see that the image we need is an image of Δ under a symmetry in the plane orthogonal to \mathcal{H} which contains the opposite edges 1.5 and 8.9 of Δ . The numbering of the faces is thus increasing while counter-clockwise turning around face 0 while the numbering is clockwise on Figure 1. Note that in \mathcal{H}_b , the direction of the tracks is opposite to that of the tracks of \mathcal{H}_u which follow the same lines. It is an important feature which allows us to define a two-way path: one direction is performed in \mathcal{H}_u while the opposite one occurs in \mathcal{H}_b . Remember that in both cases, a face of an element of the track is on \mathcal{H} , most often face 0.

Moreover, in most parts of the circuit we shall describe a single locomotive is running on the circuit. In particular, in the case when a two-way track occurs in some part of the circuit, there can never be a locomotive in a track τ in \mathcal{H}_u and another one, at the same time, in the track in \mathcal{H}_b , below τ .

Figure 11 illustrates how pieces of tracks indicated in Figure 10 can be organised into paths. As long as it will be possible, paths for the locomotive will follow a straight line of the tiling which is the trace of the dodecagrid on \mathcal{H} . However, as the locomotive goes from the program to the register and back, such a circuit is organised along a kind of quadrangle Q in the hyperbolic plane as illustrated by the top picture of Figure 11.




Figure 11 *Basic patterns for the paths. To left, a scheme of a path constituting a quadrangle. To right, an arc of a circle, long enough to ensure the connection between two tracks.*

On that picture, we can see two paths for drawing the bottom side of Q . The red one is a segment of a straight line in the hyperbolic plane. The blue one is an arc of a hyperbolic circle. On the model, the arc is longer than the segment. In fact, the arc is much longer: its length is proportional to an exponential function of the radius of the circle supporting the arc. The right-hand side picture of the figure shows us an arc of a circle. Its length is great enough to ensure the connection of two tracks, whatever the lines which they follow. By rotating a convenient piece of the arc, we can see how to connect the sides of Q . The elements with an entry through side 1 play an important role in the realisation of an arc of circle and on the connection between segments of different directions.

We can see that those constructions are flexible enough, so that we have a relative freedom in the construction of the circuit.

3.2.2 The switches

The section follows the implementation described in [8]. We reproduce it here for the reader's convenience. The illustration shows us what we call an **idle configuration**. Such a configuration is a configuration where there is no locomotive within a circle of radius 3 from the central tile: call it the **window**. The left-hand side of Figure 12 shows us such an idle configuration for the passive fixed switch. From what we said in Section 3.2, we know that there is no active fixed switch, so that the illustration of such a switch concerns the passive one only.




Figure 12 *Idle configurations, to left of a passive fixed switch, to right of a fork.*

We can see that the central tile is an ordinary element of the track. As a locomotive may enter through its face 5 or through its face 4, the constitution of the switch is easy: one branch of the switch arrives at face 5 in the central tile while the other branch arrives at face 4. We have a single locomotive in the window around the centre of the fixed switch.

Two important structures are required for implementing the remaining switches : the fork and the controller. We start with the fork and we examine the case of the controller after the implementation of the flip-flop switch.

The right-hand side picture of Figure 12 illustrates the implementation of the **fork** in its idle configuration. That structure receives one locomotive and it yields two ones which leave the structure in different directions. As can be seen on the figure, the central cell differs from an element of the track: its decoration consists of five dodecahedrons which are placed on faces 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11, Δ_{11} being red and Δ_i , $i \in \{6..9\}$ being blue. Moreover, the locomotive enters the tile through its face 2 and the two new locomotives exit through faces 4 and 5.

The flip-flop switch and both parts of the memory switch require a much more involved situation. The global view of an idle configuration is illustrated by Figure 13.




Figure 13 Scheme of the implementation of a flip-flop switch with, to right, a zoom on S. In the zoom, note the crossing x of a leaving track by the deviated route to S.

The locomotive arrives at a segment of a straight line by C where a fork sits. Accordingly, two locomotives leave C , one of them towards L , the other towards R . At L a controller sits and, on the figure, it let the locomotive go further on the segment of straight line. Note that the path from C to L is also a segment of a straight line on the figure, which is conformal to the implementation. Now, the structures which are later involved make the length of that segment to be huge. At R too a controller is sitting but, on the figure, it kills the locomotive which is thus prevented to go outside the switch. On the way from C to R the locomotive meets another fork at A . The fork sends one of the new locomotives to R where it is stopped in the situation illustrated by the figure and the other is sent to S . There a fork is sitting too which sends two locomotives, one of them to L , the other to R . When they reach their goal, through another face of the controller, the locomotives change the configuration of the controller which is sitting there. The controller which let

the locomotive go will further stop it while the one which stopped it will further let it go. Accordingly, after the passage of a locomotive and after a certain time, the configuration of the flip-flop switch is that we described in Sub-section 3.1. We may arrange the circuit so that a new passage of the locomotive at that switch will happen a long time after the change of function of its controllers is achieved.

We have now to clarify the implementation of the controller. It is illustrated by Figure 14.




Figure 14 *The idle configuration of the controller. To left, the track in \mathcal{H}_u ; to right, in \mathcal{H}_b , the controller and its access by a locomotive.*

Here again, the central cell in \mathcal{H}_b is not an element of the track. Its decoration consists of four blue dodecahedrons which are placed on the faces 6, 9, 10 and 11 of the tile.

Figure 13 requires the explanation of the zoom: three tracks about the point **S** which is supposed to behave like a fork. However, the configuration of the tracks abutting the central tile of a fork is different. It is the reason for which the track arriving to **S** from **A** is deviated near **S** as indicated in the zoom so that the new configuration is conformal to that of a fork. Note that the pieces constituting the deviation of the track are segments of straight line in Poincaré's disc model. The price to pay is a crossing at **x** on the picture illustrating the zoom.

The crossing happens to be a burden in the hyperbolic plane requiring several complex structures we do not need in the hyperbolic 3D-space. The third dimension offers two possible ways to easily realize a crossing: the bridge or the tunnel. In the present paper, I have chosen the tunnel, illustrated by Figure 15. Two tracks follow a line: a red one going from right to left on the three pictures and a blue line, from bottom to top on the left-hand side picture only.

The leftmost picture of the figure is the standard projection of \mathcal{H}_u on \mathcal{H} , where each dodecahedron is projected within the face it shares with \mathcal{H} . In the picture, the faces of the elements of the track which lie on \mathcal{H} are faces 0. Using the numbering of the tiles of \mathcal{H} , the elements of the track following the blue line, going from bottom to top on the picture are, in this order : sector 3, tiles 21, 8,

3 and 1; the central tile, then, in sector 1, tiles 1, 4, 12 and 33. Six other tiles can be seen : in sector 2, tiles 3, 8 and 20 and, in sector 5, 33, 12 and 4 as the track goes from right to left, following the red line ℓ . Three tiles are missing for that track: tile 1 of sector 5, the central tile and tile 1 of sector 2. Those tiles are not in \mathcal{H}_u but in \mathcal{H}_b , below \mathcal{H} . They are illustrated by the middle picture where ℓ is again represented. It is the part of the crossing track which passes under the track illustrated by the leftmost picture. In the middle picture we can see those elements of track as if \mathcal{H} were translucent. Two additional tiles are indicated in the middle picture: tile 4 of sector 5 and tile 3 of sector 2. Those tiles correspond to the tiles with the same numbers in the same sectors which stand in \mathcal{H}_u . Each of those tiles in \mathcal{H}_u and in \mathcal{H}_b allows a locomotive going upon \mathcal{H} to go below that plane in order to cross the other track. Those elements require the locomotive to enter through another face than face 5 or face 4 and to exit through a face 2.




Figure 15 *The idle configuration of the tunnel.*

The rightmost picture of Figure 15 shows us the projection of the tunnel on the plane \mathcal{V} which is orthogonal to \mathcal{H} , cutting that latter plane along the line ℓ . We represent on \mathcal{V} the tiles which have a face on it only. Those which are on the same side of \mathcal{V} as the central tile in the middle picture are seen in direct projections. Those which are on the other side are seen as if \mathcal{V} were translucent.

Figure 16 illustrates the possible projections of an element of the track depending on whether it is seen upon \mathcal{H} , upon \mathcal{V} or through those planes by transparency, also depending on which face is on \mathcal{H} and which one on \mathcal{V} .

Consider the central tile of the leftmost picture of Figure 15. It is projected on its face 0 on \mathcal{H} and the locomotive goes upward, entering through face 5 and leaving through face 2. Consider the entrance into the tunnel. We can see that the entry of the locomotive through face 1 and its leaving through face 2 cannot be used on both ends of the tunnel: the reason is that, at the entry, the neighbour 6 of the tile below \mathcal{H} would be below face 0 of the tile of the track arriving at the entry over \mathcal{H} : that would stop the locomotive. That problem does not occur for the exit, so that we can use that way of working for the exit. For the entry, we need to change the tile in order to induce rules which would be compatible with the other rules with respect to the rotations we consider.

Accordingly, this time the entry into the tile below \mathcal{H} is through face 7 and the exit still through face 2. That tile differs from a tile of the track by the occurrence of red neighbour on its face 3.

Figure 16 gives various views on the tiles we just mentioned. The first row of the picture indicates the projections over \mathcal{H} of tiles of the track depending on the face which lies on \mathcal{H} : face 0, face 1, face 2 and face 7 for pictures 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively on the first row of the figure. Picture 5 is the projection of the tile of the entry below \mathcal{H} , projected on \mathcal{V} on its face 8, where \mathcal{V} is the plane orthogonal to \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{V} = \ell$. The second row of the figure, pictures 6 up to 10 gives the same tiles viewed through a translucent \mathcal{H} . Picture 11, third row of the figure, illustrates an element of the tunnel below \mathcal{H} seen through a translucent \mathcal{H} .




Figure 16 *Projection of various positions of the element track upon \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{V} and also of elements below \mathcal{H} .*

On the same row, the illustrated tiles are those for the entry into the tunnel: picture 12 for the tile above \mathcal{H} and pictures 13 and 14 for the entry below \mathcal{H} when it is projected on \mathcal{V} , picture 13, on its face 8, and when it is viewed through a translucent \mathcal{H} , picture 14, through its face 7. The last row of the figure illustrates the tiles for the exit from the tunnel. Pictures 15 and 16 illustrate the exit tile below \mathcal{H} : viewed through a translucent face 2 being on \mathcal{H} , while picture 16 shows us the projection on its face 0 over \mathcal{V} . Pictures 17 and 18 illustrate the exit tiles upon \mathcal{H} .

To conclude with the tunnel, the occurrence of a locomotive in the central

tile of the tunnel will not stop a locomotive on the upper way: a locomotive never stops in an element of the track and, more over, in such a crossing, there is a single locomotive in a window around the central point of the crossing: if it is present on one path, it cannot be present on the other one.

We are now in position to deal with the memory switch, active and passive parts.




Figure 17 To left, the active memory switch, to right, the passive one.

First, we deal with the active part. It looks like the flip-flop switch with this difference that there is no fork A in between the path from the initial fork C to R , one of the controllers. Figure 17 illustrates both parts of the memory switch: to left of the figure, the active part of the switch, to right, its passive part.

In the active part of the switch, left-hand side picture of Figure 17, the locomotive arrives to a fork sitting at C . From there two locomotives are sent, one to L , the other to R and the working of the switch at this point is alike that of a flip-flop switch. The difference lies in the fact that the passage of the locomotive does not trigger the exchange of the roles between the controllers. That change is triggered by the passage of a locomotive through the non-selected track of the passive part of the switch. When it is the case, a locomotive is sent from the passive part to the active one. That locomotive arrives at the fork which is sitting at S . The fork creates two locomotives which are sent to L and R in order to change the permissive controller to a blocking one and to change the blocking one into a permissive one.

Let us look at the working of the passive part. The locomotive arrives to the switch through P or through Q . Assume that it is through P . A fork sitting at P sends a locomotive to the fixed switch F which let the locomotive leave the switch. The other locomotive sent by P goes to L . If that side is that of the selected track, the controller sitting at L blocks the locomotive so that no change is performed, neither in the passive switch, nor in the active one. Accordingly, the selected track of the active switch is controlled by a permissive controller

while the corresponding selected track of the passive switch is controlled by a blocking controller. Presently, assume that the side of L is not that of the selected track. It means that L let the locomotive go to T where a fixed switch sends the locomotive to a fork at U . That fork sends a locomotive to the fork S of the active switch and the other locomotive is sent to S of the passive switch. At that point S , a fork sends a locomotive to L and another one to R in order to change the working of both controllers to the opposite task. As a parallel change occurs in the active switch the selected track is redefined in both parts of the switch.

That working raises several remarks. First, the role of the controllers in the active and in the memory switches are opposite. Nevertheless, in both cases, the same programmable controller is used exactly because it is programmable in the way we just described. The second remark is that all the tracks indicated in the pictures are pieces of straight lines of the hyperbolic plane. A last remark is that we used one crossing, two fixed switches, at F and at T and four forks, at P , Q , U and S . Each structure requires some space, at least a disc whose radius is the length of four tiles aligned along a straight line. Consequently, the passive memory switch requires a huge amount of tiles. Again, we may assume that a new passage of the locomotive to the switch happens after the changes has been performed when it is the case they should occur.

3.2.3 The one-bit memory

It is now time to implement the one-bit memory. Figure 18 illustrates the construction for the implementation of Figure 8 in the dodecagrid.




Figure 18 *The idle configuration of the one-bit memory. Note the four crossings in the implementation. Note that the connection from E to R is realized by three segments of straight lines.*

We can see the active memory switch at R and the passive one at E . The dark letters which stand by the blue circle indicate **gates** of the one-bit memory: **W**, **R**, **E**, **b0** and **b1**. We can easily see that if the locomotive enters the unit through the gate **R**, then it leaves the memory through the gate **b0** or through the gate **b1** depending on the information stored in the memory: that information is provided the unit by the positions of the switch at **W** and those at **R** and **E**. Note that the positions at **R** and at **E** are connected by the path from **E** to **R**, see the figure.

When the locomotive enters the memory through the gate **W** where a flip-flop switch is sitting, it goes to **R** through one of both tracks leaving the switch. If it goes through the track marked by **0**, **1**, it arrives to **E** by the track marked with the opposite symbol, **1**, **0** respectively. Indeed, when the locomotive crosses **W**, the passing makes the selected track to be changed so, if it went through one track, after the passage, in particular when the locomotive arrives at **E**, the new selected track at **W** is the track through which the locomotive did not pass. So that the track marked by one symbol at **W** should be marked by the opposite one at **E**. The selection observed at **E** is transferred to **R** thanks to the path connecting **E** to **R**.

As the one-bit memory will be used later, we introduce a simplified notation: in Figure 18, the memory structure is enclosed in a blue circle. At its circumference the gates are repeated by the same symbols. In the next figures, when a one-bit memory will be used, we shall simply indicate it by a disc with, at its border, the five gates mentioned in Figure 18.

3.2.4 From instructions to registers and back

As will be explained in Sub-subsection 3.2.5, when a locomotive arrives at a register in order to perform the operation dictated by the program, it knows only which instruction to perform, whether it is an incrementation or a decrementation. In particular, after performing the operation, the locomotive does not know to which point of the program it must go back. As far as a moving cell which always remains in the same state has no memory, that information concerning the return way must be stored somewhere in the circuit.

To that goal we define two structures \mathbb{D}_I and \mathbb{D}_D for incrementing and decrementing instructions respectively. Each structure consists of as many units as there are instructions of the corresponding type operating on the same register. Accordingly, each register is dotted with specific \mathbb{D}_I and \mathbb{D}_D . We can imagine that the small orange and blue boxes of Figure 9 contain, each one, a copy of \mathbb{D}_I and a copy of \mathbb{D}_D .

First, we consider the case of \mathbb{D}_I . Each unit is based on a one-bit memory which is illustrated by Figure 19. The working of \mathbb{D}_I is the following. An instruction for incrementing the register R is connected through a path to a specific unit of \mathbb{D}_I . The path goes from the program to the gate **W** of that unit. At the initial time, the configuration of \mathbb{D}_I is such that all its unit contain the bit 0: the switches of the one-bit memory are in a position which, by definition defines bit 0. Also, each switch at **A** which is a flip-flop switch selects the path

leading to the register. Accordingly, when the locomotive enters the unit, it will change the flip-flop at **W** and the memory switches at **R** and **E** so that, by definition, the memory contains the bit 1. The locomotive leaves the memory through the gate **E** and it meets the flip-flop switch at **A**. The switch sends the locomotive to the register and, just after it is crossed by the locomotive, it selects the other path, that one which leads back to the instruction which is the next one after the executed instruction.

When the locomotive completed the incrementation of R it goes back to the program. It enters the memory of the first unit through its **R**-gate. If it reads 0, it leaves the memory through **b0** and the path sends it to the next unit. So that the locomotive visits the units of the \mathbb{D}_I attached to R until it finds the unique unit whose one-bit memory is set to 1. So that now, we may assume that entering through **R**, the locomotive reads 1. Accordingly it leaves the unit through **b1** which leads it to **W**. Consequently, the locomotive rewrites the bit, turning it to 0 and again exits through the gate **E**. It again meets the flip-flop switch at **A** which sends the locomotive on the path leading back to the program. That new visit of the flip-flop switch at **A** make the switch again select the track leading to R . Accordingly, when the locomotive leaves \mathbb{D}_I the structure recovered its initial configuration. Accordingly, that scheme allows us to correctly simulate the working of the units of \mathbb{D}_I .




Figure 19 The idle configuration of a unit of the structure which memorises the right incrementing instruction. Note the three crossings in the implementation. Also note that the intensive use of sequences of connected segments of straight lines.

Secondly, we examine the structure of \mathbb{D}_D which plays for the decrementing instruction the role which \mathbb{D}_I plays for the incrementing ones. The structure is more complex for the following reason. An incrementing instruction is always performed which is not necessarily the case for a decrementing instruction. Indeed, if the register contains the value 0, it cannot be decremented. We say

that the register is empty. In that case, the next instruction to be performed is not the next one in the program. It is the reason why the case of an empty register requires to be differently dealt with. Concretely, it means that the return track of the locomotive depends on whether the register was empty or not at the arrival of the locomotive at the register.

Accordingly we need two one-bit memories instead of one. More over, as far as the bit 1 locates the unit of \mathbb{D}_D which will send the locomotive to the right place in the program, the content of the memories should be the same: 0, if the locomotive did not visited that unit at its arrival to \mathbb{D}_D , 1 if it visited the required unit. There are two return tracks after decrementation: the D -track when the decrementation could be performed, the Z -track when the decrementing locomotive found an empty register. We call D -, Z -**memory** the one-bit memory visited by D -, Z -track respectively.

The complication entailed by that organisation make a representation of a unit in a single window hardly readable. It is the reason why it was split into three windows as illustrated by Figure 20.




Figure 20 *The three windows illustrating the idle configuration of a unit of the structure which memorises the right decrementing instruction. Note the small discs accompanied with small italic letters allowing the locomotive to pass from one window to the appropriate one. Also note the sketchy representation of the one-bit memories.*

The D -memory is represented by the left-hand side picture of the figure. A locomotive sent by the program for decrementing a register R arrives at the appropriate unit of the \mathbb{D}_D attached to R by the track marked by D in the picture. As far as the locomotive enters the memory through its gate W , it rewrites its content from 0 to 1. Now, it has to mark the Z -memory which is represented on the right-hand side picture of the figure. To that goal, the track leaving the D -memory through its gate E , goes to the point c , that italic letter marking a small yellow disc close to the border of the window. The track is continued from a small yellow disc close to the border of the right-hand side window marked with the same letter c . Other such discs in the figure are marked by other letters which are pairwise the same in two different windows. From that second c , the track leads the locomotive to the gate W of the Z -memory whose content, accordingly, will be changed from 0 to 1. Leaving the Z -memory through its gate E , the locomotive is lead to a small disc d so that

the corresponding track is continued by the small disc d we find in the middle window of Figure 20. From there, the locomotive is lead to a flip-flop switch sitting at **F** which, in its initial configuration, selects the track leading to R . Now, after the switch is passed by the locomotive, its selection is changed, indicating the track leading to another switch sitting at **A**.

Consider the case when the locomotive returns from the register after a successful decrementation. It one by one visits the units of \mathbb{D}_D , arriving to the unit through its **R**-gate. If it reads 0, the track issued from **b0** of the D -memory leads the locomotive to the **R**-gate of the D -memory of the next unit. So that such a motion is repeated until the locomotive reads 1 in the D -memory. When it is the case, the locomotive leaves the memory through its gate **b1** which leads the locomotive to a small disc a , so that the track is continued from the small disc a we can see in the middle picture of Figure 20. From there, the locomotive arrives to **P**, the passive part of the memory switch whose active part lies at **A**. The locomotive is sent from **P** to a small disc e whose track arriving there is continued by the track issued from the small disc e of the left-hand side picture of the figure. That track leads the locomotive back to the **W**-gate of the D -memory. Consequently, the content 1 of the memory is returned to 0 and leaving the memory through its gate **E**, the locomotive again arrives to the **W**-gate of the Z -memory through the small discs c . Again the **E**-gate of the Z -memory sends the locomotive to the small disc d which, through the other such disc in the middle window, again arrives at **A**. As far as it took much more time for the locomotive to rewrite both memories of the unit than for another locomotive to go from **P** to **A** in order to set the selection of the active switch, the switch at **A** indicates the track corresponding to the branch **Pa** of the passive switch. That track leads to the right decrementing instruction in the program and after that passage of the locomotive, the switch at **F** indicates the path to R : the structure recovered its initial configuration which does not contain which track is selected at **A**.

Presently, consider the case when the locomotive returns from an empty R . It returned through the Z -track and it arrives to the \mathbb{D}_D attached to R . There it visits the units of the structure reading the content of its Z -memory through the track leading to the **R**-gate of that memory in the unit. So that the locomotive visits the units until the single one whose Z -memory contains 1. When it is the case, the locomotive leaves the Z -memory through its gate **b1** which sends it to the **W**-gate of the D -memory through the small disc b . But the continuation happens by b of the middle picture which leads the locomotive to **P**. The passive switch sends the locomotive to e and from there to the **W**-gate of the D -memory. Accordingly, what we saw in a visit through a unit thanks to the D -track occurs once again. Accordingly, the locomotive rewrites the contents of both the D - and the Z -memories, returning them from 1 to 0. Then, the locomotive leaves the Z -memory through its **E**-gate so that, via the disc d , it arrives to **F** where the flip-flop switch sitting there sends it to the switch sitting at **A**. Now, during its trip for the **E**-gate of the Z -memory to the **W**-gate of the D -memory, the locomotive arrived to **P** through the branch **Pb** of that passive switch. Accordingly, the switch selected that track and, in the

meanwhile, sent another locomotive to **A** in order to select the appropriate track, that which leads to *Z* in the program. As the locomotive passed for a second time through **F**, the switch selects presently the track leading to *R*, its initial configuration. Accordingly, the scheme illustrated by the picture performs what is expected.

At last and not the least, we have to look at what happens when the locomotive arrives to the program after performing its operation on the register. As already mentioned, whatever the track arriving to the program, it leads to the appropriate instruction: the next one in the program if the just operated one was an incrementation or a successful decrementation, a specified one if the decrementation was unsuccessful. We have to remember, when we shall discuss the rules that when it leaves a register, whatever the return path, the locomotive must be blue.

3.2.5 Constitution of a register

The implementation of the register requires a special examination. As the computation is supposed to start from a finite configuration, at initial time, each register has only finitely many non blank tiles but at least a few ones more for a reason which will soon be clear. So that incrementation and decrementation necessarily change the length of the register which is always finite.

Our choice is to make the register grow as long as the computation is not completed. The operations of incrementing and decrementing can be implemented by a change of colour at the beginning end of the register: its content is the number of cells of that particular colour. The continuation of the register is made at speed $\frac{1}{2}$ for a reason we soon indicate. It happens independently of the operations performed on the register. As far as the length of the track from the register and back is enormous, the other end of the register is farther and farther from its beginning end. So, to stop the register when the stopping instruction is reached, the program sends a locomotive to all registers. Each locomotive crosses its register at speed 1 which, later, sends a stopping signal at speed 1 too. That condition guarantees that the stopping signal will eventually reach the growing end of the register so that it can stop the continuation.

A register consists of four **strands**, each one being a finite track of the same length along a line ℓ , all of them starting from the same vertex on ℓ . Two strands are in \mathcal{H}_u and the two others are in \mathcal{H}_b . One strand in \mathcal{H}_u contains the content of the register, we denote it by \mathbf{R}_c . That strand is blue, denoted by **b** and the content is blank, denote by **w** as already mentioned. The strand below \mathbf{R}_c is \mathbf{R}_i . It is red, denoted by **r**, and it receives the locomotive which has to perform an incrementation. The other strand in \mathcal{H}_u is red too, again denoted by **r**. That strand, denoted by \mathbf{R}_d , receives the locomotive which has to perform a decrementing instruction. The fourth strand, hence in \mathcal{H}_b , is blue and is the path for the stopping locomotive. We denote it by \mathbf{R}_s . That strand is also the returning path for a successful decrementation. The return path for an incrementation is \mathbf{R}_c . Note that the just described working is very different

from what is described in [9].

The growing end consists of the last tiles of each strands, those tiles being green, denoted by \mathbf{g} . The four green tiles share a side on ℓ . We shall see more precisely how it is performed when we shall study the rules for implementing the simulation. In order to facilitate our explanations, if \mathcal{S} is one of the four strands we depicted, $\mathcal{S}(0)$ denotes its first cell, more generally, $\mathcal{S}(i)$, with $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, denotes the i^{th} element on the strand. As we also need to define the cell which has a side on ℓ and which is continued by \mathcal{S} , we denote that cell by $\mathcal{S}(-1)$. We also need to consider $\mathcal{S}(-2)$ for two strands. We define a numbering for the tiles of \mathcal{S} as illustrated by Figure 6 and as explained in our discussion about the neighbours of a tile. The motion of a locomotive from a face 5 to a face 2 allows us to see that on two strands, \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s , the motion goes on $\mathcal{S}(n)$ by following increasing values of n while on the two other ones, \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d , it goes by following decreasing values of n . However, on \mathbf{R}_c and on \mathbf{R}_s we need to implement too the opposite motion of the locomotive. Clearly, $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$ and \mathbf{R}_s can see $\mathbf{R}_i(n)$ and $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$, but $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$ cannot see $\mathbf{R}_s(n)$ and $\mathbf{R}_i(n)$ cannot see $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$. Also, for each n in \mathbb{N} , $\mathcal{S}(n)$ can see both $\mathcal{S}(n-1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(n+1)$.

4 The rules

Let us remind the reader that cellular automata are a model of massive parallelism. The base of a cellular automaton is a cell. The set of cells is supposed to be homogeneous in several aspects: the neighbours of each cell constitute subsets which have the same structure; the cell changes its state at each tip of a discrete clock according to the states of its neighbours and its own state. The change is dictated by a finite automaton which is the same for each cell. A tessellation is a relevant space for implementing cellular automata. Let T be a tile and let $N(T)$ be the set of its neighbours. In a tessellation, $N(T)$ is the same for any T and two tiles of the tessellation are isomorphic with respect to the geometry of the space on which the tessellation is defined. The dodecagrid is a tessellation of the hyperbolic $3D$ -space. Moreover, there is an algorithm to locate the tiles which is cubic in time and in the size of the code attached to each tile, see [3] for instance. However, we do not need that location system. The main reason is that we work on the basis of \mathcal{H} where there is a linear algorithm to locate the cells, see [3] too, and our incursions in the third dimension does not drive us far from \mathcal{H} . The tunnel and the growth of the registers indicated us how far it can be.

The way the automaton manages the change of states can be defined by a finite set of **rules** we shall call the **program** of the automaton denoted by \mathbb{P} . We organise \mathbb{P} as a **table** displayed at the end of the paper. The table is organised according to the role of the corresponding instructions with respect to the place of the locomotive in the circuit it performs. In Sub-section 4.1 we shall define the format of the rules, what means the invariance we consider in the present paper and how we check it. In Sub-section 4.2 we look at the application of the rules for the tracks, including those for the crossings. In the same sub-section

we do the same for the rules managing the working of the switches, mainly their control structures. In Sub-section 4.3 we study the rules for the register, separately considering the growth of the register, its incrementation and its decrementation, the case of an empty register being included. Sub-section 4.4 investigates the rules for stopping the computation. The rules are displayed by Table 1 at the end of the paper.

4.1 Format of the rules and rotation half-invariance

A cell of the dodecagrid in the cellular automaton we define with the set of rules studied in this section consists of a tile of the tiling, we call it the **support** of the cell, together with the finite automaton defined by the rules of the present section. However, we shall use the words cells and tiles as synonyms for the sake of simplicity. The neighbour of a cell c whose support is Δ is a cell whose support is one of the Δ_i , $i \in \{0..11\}$, where Δ_i shares the face i of Δ . Accordingly, Δ can see each Δ_i and it is seen by that latter cell but Δ_i and Δ_j with $i \neq j$ cannot see each other, as already known. We also say that Δ_i is the i -**neighbour** of Δ . The index of Δ_i refers to a numbering of the faces. We use the one we described in Section 1 with the help of Figure 1. As long as it will be possible, cells in \mathcal{H}_u or in \mathcal{H}_b will have their face 0 on \mathcal{H} . We already fixed the face 1 of the strands in the previous section.

As usual, we call **alphabet** of our cellular automaton the finite set of its possible states. The alphabet of our cellular automaton consists of \mathbf{w} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{g} we already met. We also call \mathbf{w} the **blank** as far as it is the quiescent state of the automaton and we remind the reader that the cells of the dodecagrid are blank, except finitely many of them at whichever time. Let c be a cell of the dodecagrid whose state is s_o and whose support is Δ , and let s_i be the state of its i -neighbour. Let s_n be the **new** state of c , *i.e.* the state taken by c when it is the state associated with s_o and the s_i by the automaton. We write this as follows:

$$s_o \cdot s_0 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7 s_8 s_9 s_{10} s_{11} \cdot s_n \quad (3)$$

and we say that s is a **rule** of the automaton. All rules of the automaton we give in the present section obey the format defined by (3). Of course, s_o and the s_i belong to the alphabet $\mathbb{A} = \{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{g}\}$. From the remark on \mathbb{A} , we can read a rule as a word on \mathbb{A} : it is enough to remove the dots which occur in (3). We also call **neighbourhood** of a rule the sub-word $s_0 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7 s_8 s_9 s_{10} s_{11}$ of (3).

Let \mathcal{R} be the set of rotations around a face of Δ leaving the support of c globally invariant. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $s \in \mathbb{P}$. We call **rotated image of s under ρ** the rule:

$$s_o \cdot s_{\rho(0)} s_{\rho(1)} s_{\rho(2)} s_{\rho(3)} s_{\rho(4)} s_{\rho(5)} s_{\rho(6)} s_{\rho(7)} s_{\rho(8)} s_{\rho(9)} s_{\rho(10)} s_{\rho(11)} \cdot s_n$$

which we denote s_ρ and we also say that s_ρ as a word is a **rotated form** of s .

A rule s is said **rotation half-invariant** if and only if:

$$\forall \rho \in \mathcal{R} (s \in \mathbb{P} \Rightarrow s_\rho \in \mathbb{P}) \quad (4)$$

We say half-invariance as far as invariance refers to the set of all rotations leaving Δ globally invariant. It is important to note that the rotation half-invariance requires the invariance in all rotations around a face of Δ leaving the dodecahedron globally invariant. In (4), \mathcal{R} cannot be replaced by a set of generators. For example, we have seen that the set of rotations around a face leaving a fixed face of Δ invariant defines six generators. Now, if \mathbf{s} is rotation invariant in those generators, it does not involve that it would be invariant under products of those generators. In other words, if g_1 and g_2 are such generators, $\mathbf{s}_{g_1}, \mathbf{s}_{g_2} \in \mathbb{P}$ does not involve that $\mathbf{s}_{g_1 \circ g_2}$ should be in \mathbb{P} as far as \mathbf{s}_{g_1} is generally formally different from \mathbf{s} even if \mathbf{s}_{g_1} were in \mathbb{P} . Accordingly, rotation half-invariance in the case of a cellular automaton in the dodecagrid remains a strong constraint: it requires to check the application of twenty five rotations to each rule of its program. By comparison, the rotation invariance in the heptagrid, for instance, requires seven conditions only. In the Euclidean frame, the rotation invariance for a square grid requires four conditions and for the cube twenty four of them. In some sense, rotation half-invariance in the dodecagrid is a kind of analog of rotation invariance in the cubic tiling of the Euclidean 3D-space. This is why the result of Theorem 1 is significant: the reduction from 5 to 4, despite the relaxation of invariance to half-invariance remains a true effort.

There is a simple way to check rotation half-invariance for the rules of \mathbb{P} . We already noticed that a rule in the format (3) can be read as a word on \mathbb{A} and we have also read \mathbf{s}_ρ as a word for any ρ in \mathcal{R} . If we lexicographically order the rotation forms of \mathbf{s} for all ρ in \mathcal{R} , we can choose the smallest one as representative of all the others. Call that word the **minimal form** of the rotated forms of \mathbf{s} and denote it by $\min_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{s})$. We get the following lemma:

Lemma 3 *Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be two rules of \mathbb{P} . Then \mathbf{v} is a rotated image of \mathbf{u} if and only if their minimal forms are identical.*

Proof. Denote $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{R}}$ the set of all rotated forms of \mathbf{s} . Then, clearly, $\min_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_\rho$ for some $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$. Also note that for two rotations ρ_1 and ρ_2 in \mathcal{R} and for any rule \mathbf{s} , we have $\mathbf{s}_{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \mathbf{s}_{\rho_1 \circ \rho_2}$. As far as \mathcal{R} is a group, we get that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and also if and only if $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}}$. Which proves the lemma. \square

The condition given by the lemma induces a criterion which is still hardly manageable for handy computations. Fortunately, the criterion can easily be performed by an algorithm we leave to the reader. We programmed such an algorithm to check the rotation invariance of the set of rules we define in the paper. Also note that the lemma gives us the way to simplify the presentation of \mathbb{P} : if we strictly apply (4), as far as for each \mathbf{s} in \mathbb{P} and for each ρ in \mathcal{R} \mathbf{s}_ρ should also be in \mathbb{P} , the number of rules in \mathbb{P} is a multiple of twenty five. Accordingly, if in \mathbb{P} we replace all rules which are rotations image of each other by their minimal forms, we get a new set of rules \mathbb{M} whose number of elements is that of \mathbb{P} divided by twenty five. The set of rules we shall present is not exactly \mathbb{M} . Instead of replacing the rules in $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by the minimal form, we take a more understandable element which will appear from our investigations. It is

that set of rules, whose cardinality is that of \mathbb{M} we present and we denote it by \mathbb{T} . Accordingly for two rules u and v in \mathbb{T} , we have that $\min_{\mathcal{R}} u \neq \min_{\mathcal{R}} v$. In that case, we say that u and v are **half-rotationally independent**. If the rules of \mathbb{T} are pairwise rotationally independent, we say that \mathbb{T} is **half-rotationally coherent**. The computation program I devised in establishing \mathbb{T} also checked the half-rotational coherence of that set of rules, displayed by Table 1.

We often considered what we call an idle configuration. In such a condition, the configuration must remain unchanged as long as the locomotive is not in the window which defines the configuration. Such rules are called **conservative**. When the locomotive falls within the window, some cells are changed while the others remain unchanged. The rules which change a cell are called **motion rules**. Among those which do not change a cell, some of them have the locomotive in their neighbourhood, in general for one step of the computation. Although the cell is not changed, we say that it can see the locomotive so that it is a witness of its passage so that we call such rules **witness rules**. They appear to be an intermediate class between the conservative rules to which they belong as far as the new state is the same as the previous one but their neighbourhood is changed so that they are in some sense affected by the motion to which they contribute by their conservative function. In our discussion, we shall make the distinction between conservative and motion rules as long as it will be needed. The rules are numbered to facilitate their references in the text.

4.2 The rules for the tracks and the switches

We have already met the rule which defines the quiescent state: a state which is unchanged if the cell and all its neighbours are in that state. In \mathbb{T} , w is the quiescent state and \mathbb{T} contains the corresponding rule, rule 1 in Table 1.

We start our study of the rules and the construction of the rules by those which manage the tracks. The motion rules are simple as they implement the following abstract scheme:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{WWW} & \text{LWW} & \text{WLW} & \text{WWL} \end{array} \quad (5)$$

To the left of (5), we have the conservative situation, rule 19. Then, the locomotive enters the window, rule 20, and, in the two successive steps, rule 23 and 24 it crosses the window. Then, it witnesses the occurrence of the locomotive in the next element of the track, rule 24 and, which, at the next step, the cell recovers the conservative condition requiring rule 19. The implementation of those rules in the dodecagrid are easy. Figure 10 allows us to check the rules devoted to the tracks in Table 1, namely rules 19 up to 25. Note that rules 29 up to 32 deal with that element which allows a locomotive to go from on tile in \mathcal{H}_a into the tile which hangs below it in \mathcal{H}_b . For that cell, we remark the occurrence of state \mathbf{r} for its neighbour 3.

First, let us look at the conservative rules. They mainly deal with the decorations of the tiles of a track. A cell belonging to the decorations is \mathbf{b} an it is surrounded by white cells so that rule 16 applies. Also, rules 17 and 18 apply: the decoration remains in place during the computation. The rules indicate

that the milestone witnesses the occurrence of the locomotive in the cell. If we look carefully at Figure 10, tiles on faces 6 and 10 do not see each other but, as known from the proof of Proposition 2 they have a common neighbour which is white and which must remain white: whence rules 2 or 3 are needed. Note at that occasion that rules 2 and 3, although there is a single \mathbf{B} -cell in their neighbourhood are not rotation half-invariant. The minimal form of rule 2 is $\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w}$ while that of rule 3 is $\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r}.\mathbf{w}$. Nonetheless, those rules are rotation invariant: in [9], rule 2 only is present. Note that rule 21 is needed for the passive fixed switch when the locomotive arrives at it from the left-hand side. Rules 20 and 21 are not rotation half-invariant but they are rotation invariant. For the tunnel, as far as we need an entry through the face 1 of an element of the track, rule 25 allows that possibility. The special element of the track with a red cell in its decoration requires rule 29 when it is idle. The red cell of its decoration requires rule 28 for that cell. It also requires rules 4 and 5 for a white neighbour. But rules 12 and 13 are needed too for a white neighbour which can also see the blue cell on the face 9 of that element of the track.

Rules 33 up to 39 deal with the controller. Rule 33 prevents a locomotive to enter an element of the track when the element can see a blue cell through its face 0. Rule 34 concerns the cell κ which directly leads to the controller: when the controller is blue the locomotive enters κ . Rule 35: κ can see the locomotive enter the controller when that one is white. Rules 36 up to 39 deal with the controller ξ itself. Rules 36 and 37 are the conservative rules: when ξ is white it remains white, when it is blue, it remains blue. Rule 38 change ξ from \mathbf{w} to \mathbf{B} , while rule 39 performs the opposite transformation. In both cases, the change happens because ξ can see the locomotive in κ through its face 5. Rules 40 and 41 are introduced for technical purposes in the simulating program.

Rules 42 up to 46 deal with the fork. A single locomotive arrives at the fork and two of them leave it. Rule 43 is the conservative rule for the central cell φ of the fork. Rule 44 says that a locomotive arrives at φ which can see it through its face 2. Rule 45 witnesses the occurrence of the locomotive in φ . Rule 46 says that two locomotive leave φ : one of them is seen from the face 5 of φ the other one is seen through its face 4. Rule 42 also is needed: it deals with the \mathbf{B} -cell β which is put on face 6 over φ . When the locomotive is in φ , β which is always \mathbf{B} can see the locomotive through its face 0 and it also can see a decoration of the element of track which is seen by φ through its face 5. Whence rule 42.

Note that there are no special rules for the switches outside those we indicated up to now, even for the fixed switch as far as for that switch, we already mentioned rule 21 used when the locomotive arrives at the switch from its left-hand side.

The main features of the switches are the organization of their needed circuits in the dodecagrid. We have seen that the elements of the track are enough for that purpose. The rules for the fork and for the controller complete the needed set of rules.

4.3 The rules for the register

The present part of \mathbb{T} is the biggest as all the remaining rules are devoted to the registers: it contains 207 rules from the 251 ones of \mathbb{T} .

First, in Sub-subsection 4.3.1 we examine the conservative rules for each strand of a register together with the few rules allowing the motions in the strand described in Sub-section 3.2. Then, in Sub-subsection 4.3.2 we give the rules for the growth of the register. Next, we study the decrementation in Sub-section 4.3.3. After that, Sub-subsection 4.3.4 manages the incrementation. At last, Sub-subsection 4.4 deals with the halting of the computation which concerns the register as a specific strand is devoted to that operation.

4.3.1 The strands of a register

Before looking at each strand separately, we have to look at the implementation we give to the strands.

We use the notations introduced in Sub-subsection 3.2.5. Let us remind the reader that the four strands of a register are \mathbf{R}_c , \mathbf{R}_i , \mathbf{R}_d and \mathbf{R}_s . Two of them, \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_d are in \mathcal{H}_u while the two others, \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_s are in \mathcal{H}_b . Each $\mathcal{S}(n)$ has its face 0 on \mathcal{H} and for each of them, its face 1 has a side on a line ℓ of \mathcal{H} attached to that register. That fixes the numbering as already mentioned. Note that for strands in \mathcal{H}_u the numbering is increasing while clockwise turning around the projection of the cell. For the strands seen through a translucent \mathcal{H} the numbering is counterclockwise increasing. Note that face 0 is the same for $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$ and for $\mathbf{R}_i(n)$ for any n in \mathbb{N} . Similarly, $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$ and $\mathbf{R}_s(n)$ share the same face 0 for the same values of n .

A cell has at least four important neighbours : a cell of the same coordinate belonging to another strand seen from its faces 0 and 1 and two cells of the same strand seen from its faces 5 and 2. We append two decorations to each $\mathcal{S}(n)$: a blue cell on its faces 4 and 6 for \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d , on its faces 3 and 7 on \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s .

The main reason for those additional decorations is to ensure the rotation half-invariance of the rules. Let us now explain why namely those faces are involved. To better understand that, it is necessary to describe how the register is growing. We know that \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d are \mathbf{r} and that \mathbf{R}_s is \mathbf{b} . For what is \mathbf{R}_c , we know that its beginning is \mathbf{w} unless its value c is 0 in which case it is \mathbf{b} in every cell. However, as already mentioned, as far as the growing process is constant, the \mathbf{b} -part of \mathbf{R}_c is much bigger than its \mathbf{w} -beginning, so that close to its \mathbf{g} -end, \mathbf{R}_c is also \mathbf{b} . Let N_t be the coordinate such that, at time t , $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is \mathbf{w} if $n > N_t$ and that it is the colour of its strand if $n < N_t$. For $n = N_t$, $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is \mathbf{g} , as already mentioned. At time $t+1$, each neighbour of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ such that its unique non-blank neighbour is \mathbf{g} becomes \mathbf{b} while $\mathcal{S}(n)$ remains \mathbf{g} . To decide what to do at time $t+2$, it is necessary to closer look at the situation. Two figures help us to see the configuration of the end of the register: Figures 21 and 22.

The former figure is a cut along a plane \mathcal{V} which is perpendicular to ℓ and which contains a face of each $\mathcal{S}(n)$ for some n . On the right-hand side of the figure, we have the representation on \mathcal{H} while, on the left-hand side, we have the

projections of the tiles we can see. Remember the condition we have given for a neighbour S_i of $\mathcal{S}(n)$: S_i must have eleven white neighbours while its single non-blank neighbour is $\mathcal{S}(n)$ itself whose colour is \mathfrak{g} . Which faces of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ satisfy such a condition?




Figure 21 *Cut of the strands of a register along a plane \mathcal{V} , perpendicular to ℓ . Note the correspondence of colours between the left- and right-hand sides of the figure.*

The figure indicates that on all cells, *a priori*, we have all faces except faces 0, and 1, and also face 2 for \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s and face 5 for \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d . Denote by S_i the i -neighbour of $\mathcal{S}(n)$. We have that S_7 and S_8 of each $\mathcal{S}(n)$ can see S_6 and S_{10} respectively on $\mathcal{S}(n-1)$ for \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d , on $\mathcal{S}(n+1)$ for \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s . Moreover, S_3 of each $\mathcal{S}(n)$ can see S_4 on $\mathcal{S}(n-1)$ for \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d or on $\mathcal{S}(n+1)$ for \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s . Moreover, S_3 and S_4 of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ for \mathbf{R}_c , \mathbf{R}_d can see the S_i of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ of the same index i for \mathbf{R}_i , \mathbf{R}_s respectively. Now, on \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s , S_7 of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ can see S_6 of \mathbf{R}_d and \mathbf{R}_i respectively for the same coordinate. If we wish to obtain \mathfrak{g} on $\mathcal{S}(N_t+1)$, we must take advantage of the fact that the S_2 of \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s can see the S_5 of both \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d , similarly for the S_5 of \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d with the S_2 of both \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s . Accordingly, each of those S_i 's have two \mathfrak{b} -neighbours and they all can see a \mathfrak{g} -cell. We can then decide that in such a case the \mathfrak{b} -cell becomes \mathfrak{g} and that the former \mathfrak{g} -cell takes the colour of its strand. We remain with what to do with the other \mathfrak{b} -neighbours of the $\mathfrak{g}\mathcal{S}(N_t)$. From what we just remarked, S_6 or S_7 , and also S_3 or S_4 , it depends on which strand the cell is, is blue and can see another blue neighbour. We can decide that such a \mathfrak{b} -cell remains \mathfrak{b} .

If we do that, what can be said? In that case, we can see that on \mathbf{R}_c or \mathbf{R}_s , an S_6 neighbour of the \mathfrak{g} -cell which is white remains white as far as it can see the \mathfrak{g} -cell and a \mathfrak{b} -decoration on $\mathcal{S}(N_t-1)$. Accordingly, that cell remains white. We have the same argument with S_4 for the same cell. A similar argument holds for S_7 and S_3 on the \mathfrak{g} -cell of \mathbf{R}_i and of \mathbf{R}_d . Accordingly, at time $t+1$ we can decide that the \mathfrak{b} -cells which can see their \mathfrak{g} -neighbour as their unique non-blank neighbour become white. Accordingly, the new cell of the

strand receives the same decoration as its neighbour $\mathcal{S}(N_t-1)$ on the strand. All other neighbours are white outside its neighbours 0, 1, 2, 5 and outside the decorations, neighbours 3 and 7 on \mathbf{R}_c and on \mathbf{R}_s , neighbours 4 and 6 on \mathbf{R}_i and on \mathbf{R}_d .

Those conclusions hold for $\mathcal{S}(n)$ with $n > 0$. For $n = 0$ it is important that the cell knows that it is the first one of the strand. As the cell cannot see the decoration of its neighbours, that information of being the first cell must be given in the decoration. On one hand, \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_i receive one additional blue cell on face 9. On another hand, \mathbf{R}_d and \mathbf{R}_s receives an additional red cell on face 9.

We gather that information on the neighbours of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}(n), n > 0: \\
& \mathbf{R}_c : 0: \mathbf{R}, 1: \mathbf{Y}, 2:\mathbf{B}^*, 3:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{B}^*, 7: \mathbf{B}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_i : 0: \mathbf{B}^*, 1: \mathbf{B}, 2:\mathbf{R}, 4:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{R}, 6: \mathbf{B}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_d : 0: \mathbf{B}, 1: \mathbf{B}^*, 2:\mathbf{Y}, 4:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{Y}, 6: \mathbf{B}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_s : 0: \mathbf{Y}, 1: \mathbf{R}, 2:\mathbf{B}, 3:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{B}, 7: \mathbf{B}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathcal{S}(0): \\
& \mathbf{R}_c : 0: \mathbf{R}, 1: \mathbf{Y}, 2:\mathbf{B}^*, 3:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{W}, 7: \mathbf{B}, 9: \mathbf{B}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_i : 0: \mathbf{B}^*, 1: \mathbf{B}, 2:\mathbf{W}, 4:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{R}, 6: \mathbf{B}, 9: \mathbf{B}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_d : 0: \mathbf{B}, 1: \mathbf{B}^*, 2:\mathbf{Y}, 4:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{Y}, 6: \mathbf{B}, 9: \mathbf{R}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_s : 0: \mathbf{Y}, 1: \mathbf{R}, 2:\mathbf{B}, 3:\mathbf{B}, 5:\mathbf{B}, 7: \mathbf{B}, 9: \mathbf{R}, \text{others: } \mathbf{W};
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note that in (6), the asterisk indicates that \mathbf{B} may be replaced by \mathbf{W} : remember that on \mathbf{R}_c , the value v stored in the register is indicated by v contiguous blank cells starting from $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ which, consequently, is \mathbf{B} if and only if $v = 0$. That possibility also concerns \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d which can see \mathbf{R}_c through their face 0 and 1 respectively. It does not concern \mathbf{R}_s which cannot see \mathbf{R}_c .




Figure 22 *The idle configuration of the growing end of a register at the time when blue cells cover the green ones. To left, in \mathcal{H}_u , to right, in \mathcal{H}_b through a translucent \mathcal{H} . Note the line ℓ , in red in the pictures.*

Figure 22 illustrates the configuration of the end of the register when the \mathbf{g}

-cells are covered by \mathfrak{B} -neighbours. We can see the faces which are covered with a blank cell. The left-hand side part of the figure illustrates \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_d , the strands in \mathcal{H}_u . The right-hand side part of the figure illustrates \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_s which are in \mathcal{H}_b and which are viewed through a translucent \mathcal{H} . The figure applies the convention for colouring the faces of the dodecahedrons we already defined. It can be seen that the cells of \mathbf{R}_c and of \mathbf{R}_s are blue, while those of \mathbf{R}_i and of \mathbf{R}_d are red.




Figure 23 *The idle configuration of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ for $n \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ for \mathbf{R}_c , \mathbf{R}_i , \mathbf{R}_d and \mathbf{R}_s . To left, the strands in \mathcal{H}_u , to right, those which are in \mathcal{H}_b viewed through a translucent \mathcal{H} . Note the line ℓ , in orange in the figures.*

In the present paper, the strands of a register have a different working from that of [9]. \mathbf{R}_c still contains the value c of the register represented by c w-cells from $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ up to $\mathbf{R}_c(n-1)$ when $n > 0$. The cells $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$ are \mathfrak{B} when $c \geq n$. The locomotive arrives at $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ for a decrementation, at $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ for a decrementation. When the operation has been performed, the locomotive exits through \mathbf{R}_c in the case of an incrementation, through \mathbf{R}_s in the case of a decrementation. It is a main difference with [9]. But the stopping locomotive also arrives at $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$. Accordingly, two tracks about $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$: one is used for stopping the computation, the other is used when the locomotive returns to the program after a successful decrementation. When the decrementation cannot be performed, it can be noted by $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ which can see an arriving locomotive at $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$ and \mathfrak{B} in $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$, indicating that $c = 0$. Accordingly, two tracks about $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ as well: one for a decrementing locomotive arriving at \mathbf{R}_d , the other for the returning track when the decrementation cannot be performed. That latter track is the first part of the Z -track leading to the \mathbb{D}_D of the register. The first tile of the Z -track is denoted by $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)z$.

That implementation is illustrated by Figure 23 on which we also can see the decoration of the various $\mathcal{S}(-1)$. In (7), following the conventions of (6), we summarize what is illustrated by Figure 23 as follow, including $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)z$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}(-1): \\
& \mathbf{R}_c : 2, \mathbf{B}^*, 6, 9, 10: \mathbf{Y}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_i : 2: \mathbf{R}, 6,9: \mathbf{B}, 7,10: \mathbf{R}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_d : 2: \mathbf{R}, 6,9: \mathbf{B}, 10:\mathbf{R}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_s : 4: \mathbf{B}, 6,9,10: \mathbf{B}, 7: \mathbf{R}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathcal{S}(-2): \\
& \mathbf{R}_d(-2)z : 7,8,9,11: \mathbf{R}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_s(-2)s : 6,9,10: \mathbf{B}, 8: \mathbf{R}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W}; \\
& \mathbf{R}_s(-2)d : 6,9,10: \mathbf{B}, 11: \mathbf{R}, \text{ others: } \mathbf{W};
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We indicated the cell $\mathbf{R}_d(-2)z$ together with the cells $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)s$ and $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)d$. In fact, two tracks about $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$: one of them is an ordinary track ending the path coming from the \mathbb{D}_D of the register, the other is the first track of the Z -path whose first cell is $\mathbf{R}_d(-2)z$. Also, two tracks about $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$: one of them is the last track of the stopping path coming from the program part of the circuit, its last cell is $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)s$; the other is the first track of the returning path to \mathbb{D}_D after a successful decrementation, its first cell is $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)d$. The justification of the patterns indicated in (7) lies in the rules to which we now turn.

Accordingly, let us look at the rules. We start with the conservative ones which allow the structure to remain unchanged as long as the locomotive is not present. We also append the motion rules for the locomotive running on the strands.

In order to check the rules, it is enough to combine the information given in (6), (7) and (5), knowing that the concerned neighbours are 0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 for (6), we have to consider also neighbours 8 and 11 for (7) and, at last, the concerned neighbours for (5) are 2 and 5. Of course, we have to take into account the direction of the motion, in different directions on \mathbf{R}_d and on \mathbf{R}_s .

Rules 47 up to 69 deal with $\mathcal{S}(-1)$ and rules 70 up to 76 deal with two cells of $\mathcal{S}(-2)$, those which belong to \mathbf{R}_s .

Rules 47 up to 52 manage the motion on $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$: after the conservative rule 47, we have the witness one 48 where the cell can see the arriving locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_i(-1)$. The other rules are also witness rules, except rules 50 and 52 which make the locomotive get out of the cell, a situation which occurs for locomotive which returns after performing an incrementation.

Rules 53 up to 57 deal with $\mathbf{R}_i(-1)$ while rules 58 up to 62 deal with $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$. The first group of rules manages the arrival of an incrementing locomotive, the second one manages the arrival of a decrementing locomotive. Rule 53, 58 are the conservative rules for $\mathbf{R}_i(-1)$, $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$ respectively, in both cases indicating that the cell can see that $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$, $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ respectively is \mathbf{R} .

Rules 77 up to 114 deal with $\mathcal{S}(n)$ when $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have rules both when $n = 0$ and when $n > 0$. When $n = 0$ we can notice the suffixes \mathbf{WBW} and \mathbf{WRWW} for $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$, $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ and $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$, $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$ respectively in the neighbourhood of the corresponding rules. We also notice the prefixes of the same neighbourhoods: \mathbf{RR} for \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s , \mathbf{WB} for \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{BW} for \mathbf{R}_d when $n < c$, where c is the value stored in \mathbf{R}_c . The prefix is \mathbf{BB} for both \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d when $n \geq c$ but we note that property

in other rules.

Rules 77 up to 90 deal with \mathbf{R}_c , considering also the motion of an \mathbf{r} -locomotive toward $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ as far as \mathbf{R}_c is also the return track for a locomotive which performed an incrementation. Note that there are rules for $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$, from 77 up to 80 together with rule 90 and rules for $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$, $n > 0$, from 81 up to 89. Rules 91 up to 98 deal with \mathbf{R}_i with a similar decomposition, rules 99 up to 108 deal with \mathbf{R}_d . Rules 109 up to 114 deal with \mathbf{R}_s : they also describe the motion of a stopping locomotive which is \mathbf{g} . It arrives as a \mathbf{b} -locomotive at $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)$ and then at $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$ but it enters $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$ as a \mathbf{g} -locomotive. The reason for that is simple: the locomotive in \mathbf{R}_s cannot be \mathbf{b} as far as the cells of that strand are already \mathbf{b} . It cannot be \mathbf{r} as far as it would interfere with the motion on \mathbf{R}_c of a locomotive which returns to \mathbb{D}_I after performing the incrementation of the register. Accordingly, we remain with a \mathbf{g} -locomotive: rule 110 make the cell becoming \mathbf{g} as far as it can see the locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$ through its face 5. Rule 111 make the cell return to its \mathbf{b} -state and rules 112 up to 114 deal with the same motion of the \mathbf{g} -locomotive when it crosses $\mathbf{R}_s(n)$ with $n > 0$.

4.3.2 The growing end of the register

We have studied the process in the previous sub-subsection thanks to Proposition 2 and thanks to Figures 23 and 22. We revisit the argument given there on checking the rules devoted to that point.

The rules for the growth of the registers in Table 1 are from 115 up to 126. Rule 115 is the conservative rule for \mathbf{R}_cS and for \mathbf{R}_s while rule 122 is the conservative rule for \mathbf{R}_i and for \mathbf{R}_d . Rule 6 says that a white cell with a single non-blank neighbour which is \mathbf{g} becomes \mathbf{b} . Accordingly, rules 116 and 123 illustrate that situation for \mathbf{g} which, at that occasion, takes the state of its strand, \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{r} respectively. Rule 117 says that a \mathbf{b} -cell whose unique non-blank neighbour is \mathbf{g} becomes \mathbf{w} . Rule 118 says that if the cell can see two \mathbf{b} -cells and if its other neighbours are \mathbf{w} the cell remains \mathbf{b} . If it can see one \mathbf{g} -neighbours and two \mathbf{b} -ones, the others being \mathbf{w} , then the cell become \mathbf{g} : it said by rules 120 and 121: two rules as far as the place of \mathbf{g} among the neighbours is not the same also with respect to the rotations considered in the paper. At last, rule 119 says that if a \mathbf{b} -cell has two non-blank neighbours, one of the \mathbf{g} and the other \mathbf{b} , then the cell remains \mathbf{b} . Moreover, the \mathbf{g} neighbour is seen through face 0.

4.3.3 Decrementation of the register

That operation is the most difficult to solve for the simulation. We formulate a general principle which we shall as far as possible implement in all cases. A blue locomotive arrives at $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ and it goes along $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$ with increasing n as long as it can see \mathbf{w} in $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$ through its face 1. When the \mathbf{w} -cell of $\mathbf{R}_c(c-1)$, where c is the value stored on \mathbf{R}_c , can see the locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_d(c-1)$, it becomes \mathbf{b} which performs the decrementation. At the same time, $\mathbf{R}_d(c)$ turns from \mathbf{r} to \mathbf{g} which

triggers a red locomotive on $\mathbf{R}_s(c)$. That locomotive goes to $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$ where it is sent as a blue locomotive on the return path to \mathbb{D}_D .

We have three different cases: the general case, when the content c of the register is large enough, then when $c = 2$, when $c = 1$ and, not at all the least, when $c = 0$. By large enough we mean that the detection of the first blue cell on \mathbf{R}_c happens on a cell which cannot be seen by $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$. We also require a bit more: that all possible motion rules of the locomotive could be tested on that white portion of the register. The case $c = 2$ is particular as the detection of the first blue cell can be seen from $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$. For the same reason, $c = 1$ is a particular cell. For what is $c = 0$, it is the case when the decrementation cannot be performed which, of course, requires a special treatment. The general principle is depicted by (8).

| \mathbf{R}_d | | | | | | | | \mathbf{R}_c | | | | | | | | \mathbf{R}_s | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| * 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | * 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | * 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 0 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 0 | B | W | W | R | R | R | R | 0 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 1 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 1 | W | B | W | R | R | R | R | 1 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 2 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 2 | W | W | B | R | R | R | R | 2 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 3 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 3 | W | W | W | B | R | R | R | 3 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 4 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 4 | W | W | W | B | R | R | R | 4 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 5 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 5 | W | W | W | R | R | B | R | 5 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 6 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 6 | W | W | W | R | R | G | R | 6 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |
| 7 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 7 | W | W | W | R | R | G | R | 7 | W | W | W | B | B | R | B |
| 8 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 8 | W | W | W | R | R | R | R | 8 | W | W | W | B | B | R | B |
| 9 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 9 | W | W | W | R | R | R | R | 9 | W | W | W | B | R | B | B |
| 0 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 0 | W | W | W | R | R | R | R | 0 | W | W | W | R | B | B | B |
| 1 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 1 | W | W | W | R | R | R | R | 1 | W | W | R | B | B | B | B |
| 2 | W | W | W | W | W | B | B | 2 | W | W | W | R | R | R | R | 2 | W | W | W | B | B | B | B |

(8)

In all cases, the locomotive arrives to the register thanks to a path arriving at $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$ through its face 4. The decoration of that cell is **BWWBRW** where the leftmost occurrence of **B** corresponds to its neighbour 6, as can be seen in (7). The motion of the locomotive on \mathbf{R}_d is managed by rules 101 up to 103 for $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ and by rules 105 up to 107 for $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$ with $0 < n < c$. Rules 99 and 100 are conservative rules for $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ when $n = 0$ and when $n > 0$ respectively. Rule 104 is a conservative rule for a cell $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$ with $0 < n < c$. Rule 109 witnesses the occurrence of the **G**-locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$. Note that **G** is the single state which can be used at that stage of the computation in order to indicate to \mathbf{R}_s that a locomotive must be raised for returning to \mathbb{D}_D .

Rules 126 up to 130 deal with $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)d$. The decoration is given by the suffix **BWWBBRoF** of the neighbourhood the neighbour of those rules. The cell deals with the returning locomotive: it is an **R**-one and it leaves the cell as a **B**-locomotive. The rules simply convey the locomotive to the track, rule 126 being purely conservative. Rule 60 makes the locomotive enter $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$. Rules 128 and 129 convey its crossing of $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$. Rule 60 reminds us that the entry to $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$ is through the face 4 of the cell and rule 137 tells us that an entry through face 5 is ruled out.

Rules 135 and 136 realize the scheme indicated in (8): rule 136 performs the decrementation by transforming the last **w**-cell of \mathbf{R}_c into a **B**-one; rule 136 triggers the signal which will make the returning locomotive start on \mathbf{R}_s . Rule 131

says that $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$ witnesses the \mathbf{B} -locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_d(0)$. Rules 132 and 133 are conservative rules for $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ and $\mathbf{R}_c(n)$ respectively with $n > 0$ in that latter case. Rule 134 witnesses the motion of the returning locomotive in \mathbf{R}_d by $\mathbf{R}_s(n)$ with $n > 0$. Rule 141 triggers the start of the returning locomotive moving on \mathbf{R}_s . Rule 139 keeps the \mathbf{G} -cell unchanged for one step in order that rule 142 might apply which allows the cell to return to its \mathbf{B} -state. The rule indicates that the \mathbf{G} -state in the cell made many \mathbf{W} -neighbours turn to \mathbf{B} : namely neighbours 8, 9, 10 and 11. Rule 143 and 147 manage the motion of the locomotive on the cells $\mathbf{R}_s(n)$ with $n > 0$. Rule 144 also manage the motion, witnessing the occurrence of the \mathbf{G} -cell on \mathbf{R}_d . Rules 149 up to 152 deal with $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$ which welcomes the locomotive and which witnesses its leaving to $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$. The other rules witness the motion of the locomotive which is seen from \mathbf{R}_d and also from \mathbf{R}_i as well. In particular, rule 153 for $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$ witnesses the occurrence of the still red locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$.

Two additional rules are needed when $c = 2$. As indicated by the \mathbf{WRBBW} suffix of the neighbourhoods in rule 154 deal with the cell $\mathbf{R}_s(-2)s$ which remains \mathbf{W} . Rule 155 deals with $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$ and witnesses the occurrence of the locomotive on the first cell of the track leading to \mathbf{DDD} .

Three additional rules manage the case $c = 1$. They deal with the occurrence of the locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ and of that of \mathbf{G} , later, in $\mathbf{R}_i(1)$, rule 157. they also witness the motion of the locomotive from $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$.

At last, the case $c = 0$ is different as far as no decrementation is performed: the cell $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$ which can see both \mathbf{B} in $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ and the \mathbf{B} -locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_i(-1)$ \mathbf{G} occurs in $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ remains \mathbf{W} , rule 49. But \mathbf{G} occurs in $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ as far as the cell also recognizes the impossibility of decrementing, so that it becomes \mathbf{G} in order that \mathbf{R} occurs in $\mathbf{R}_d(-1)$ which triggers the start of a new \mathbf{B} -locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_d(-2)z$, the first cell of the Z -path to \mathbb{D}_D . That behaviour is managed by rules 160 up to 166. Rule 167 triggers that locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_d(-2)z$. The other rules, from 166 up to 169 are witnessing ones.

4.3.4 Incrementation of the register

Presently, we arrive to the implementation of an incrementation of the register. Rules 170 up to 205 or Table 1 manage that operation, eight rules less than for the decrementation.

As indicated in Section 3.2, the incrementation is performed on \mathbf{R}_c although the locomotive arrives at $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ after visiting $\mathbf{R}_s(-1)$. Here too, we follow a general scheme in all cases. It is a bit easier in that case as far as the incrementation is always performed. Note that both \mathbf{R}_c and \mathbf{R}_s can witness the motion of the locomotive which cannot be seen by \mathbf{R}_d as long as it happens in \mathbf{R}_i . When the locomotive goes back through \mathbf{R}_c as an \mathbf{R} -locomotive, \mathbf{R}_s can no more witness it but \mathbf{R}_i goes on that witnessing and \mathbf{R}_d can now see that motion. That general scheme is illustrated by (9). What triggers the incrementation is the fact that the cell $\mathbf{R}_c(c-1)$, where c is the value stored in the register, can see both \mathbf{B} in $\mathbf{R}_c(c)$ and the \mathbf{B} -locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_i(c-1)$.

However, the arrival of the locomotive is different: the path coming from the

\mathbb{D}_I devoted to the register arrives at $\mathbf{R}_c(-2)_a$ which can see $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$ through its face 2 while it seen from that latter cell from its face 5.

Rules 170 up to 188 are devoted to the general case. Rules 170 and 171 witness that $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$ and $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ respectively can see a locomotive leaving the cell and passing through $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ respectively. Rule 172 is the witness rule for $\mathbf{R}_i(n)$ when the locomotive is already in $\mathbf{R}_i(n+1)$ while rule 173 witnesses the locomotive in \mathbf{R}_i through $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$. Rule 174, rule 175 and rule 176 witness the motion of the locomotive along $\mathbf{R}_i(n)$, $n > 0$, from \mathbf{R}_c , from \mathbf{R}_s and from \mathbf{R}_d .

| * 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | * 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 0 W W W W W B B B B | 0 B W R R R R R R R |
| 1 W W W W W B B B B | 1 W B R R R R R R R |
| 2 W W W W W B B B B | 2 W W B R R R R R R |
| 3 W W W W W B B B B | 3 W W R B R R R R R |
| 4 W W W W R B B B B | 4 W W R R B R R R R |
| 5 W W W R R W B B B | 5 W W R R R G R R R |
| 6 W W R W W W B B B | 6 W W R R R R R R R |
| 7 W B W W W W B B B | 7 W W R R R R R R R |
| 8 B W W W W W B B B | 8 W W R R R R R R R |

(9)

Rule 177 deals with the cell $\mathbf{R}_c(c-1)$, where c is the content of the register, for the time when that cell which can see \mathbf{B} in $\mathbf{R}_c(c)$, can also see the \mathbf{B} -locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_i(c-1)$. The rule makes that cell change from \mathbf{W} to \mathbf{R} . Rules 178 up to 181 witness the occurrence of \mathbf{G} in $\mathbf{R}_i(c)$ triggered by rule 136 which is viewed from \mathbf{R}_c , \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_s . Note that rule 136, defined for the decrementation in the general case, also applies here. Indeed, the neighbourhood of a cell belonging to $\mathbf{R}_i(n)$ and to $\mathbf{R}_d(n)$ is the same, which explains the application of that rule in both operations, despite their opposite working. That difference already occurs with rule 182 which makes $\mathbf{R}_c(c)$ turn from \mathbf{B} to \mathbf{W} , realizing the incrementation. The \mathbf{R} occurring in $\mathbf{R}_c(c-1)$ triggers the starting of an \mathbf{R} -locomotive on $\mathbf{R}_c(c-2)$ moving on \mathbf{R}_c towards $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$ where it becomes a \mathbf{B} -locomotive going to \mathbb{D}_I . Rule 82 allows that \mathbf{R} -locomotive to start its motion on \mathbf{R}_c which is controlled by the rules 77 up to 84., rules 77 up to 79 managing the cell $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$. Note that rule 80 witnesses that the \mathbf{R} -locomotive was changed into a \mathbf{B} -one.

Three additional rules from 189 up to 191 are needed for the case $c = 2$. The change is produced by the occurrence of \mathbf{R} in $\mathbf{R}_c(1)$ which is seen by $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ through its face 2, that latter cell being \mathbf{R} too, see rule 190.

In the case when $c = 1$, managed by rules 192 up to 196, we have the situation when the reacting cell of \mathbf{R}_c is $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ and the reaction occurs in $\mathbf{R}_i(1)$ too, whence the suffix \mathbf{WBWW} , rules 192 up to 195.

Eventually, we arrive to the case $c = 0$, rules 197 up to 205. Rule 197 manages the cell $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$ which can see \mathbf{B} in $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ and the locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_i(-1)$. The cell $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ which can also see the \mathbf{B} -locomotive and which can view that $c = 0$ becomes \mathbf{G} , rule 198. Rule 200 keeps \mathbf{G} for one step in order the appropriate rules eliminate the superfluous \mathbf{B} -neighbours of \mathbf{G} . Rule 205 returns $\mathbf{R}_i(0)$ to \mathbf{R} while the previous rules keep \mathbf{B} in $\mathbf{R}_c(0)$ and start a \mathbf{B} -locomotive in $\mathbf{R}_c(-1)$ which goes back to the \mathbb{D}_I associate with that register.

4.4 Stopping the computation

We arrive to the last Subsection of the present section. It is not the least important as far as it deals with the end of the computation. We remind the reader that, by definition, the computation of a cellular automaton has no halting state. In fact, it is usually considered that when two successive configurations are identical, it should be considered as the end of the computation. Indeed, nothing new can appear in a configuration which is constantly repeated cell by cell. Consequently, stopping the computation will consist in realizing a configuration which is endlessly identically repeated. Moreover, such a situation is algorithmically detectable.

We noted that, outside the simulation of the register machine, we decided to construct the register according a potentially non stopping process. It was the growth of the register as defined in Sub-subsection 4.3.2. In that Sub-subsection, we underlined that the speed of the construction was $\frac{1}{2}$: it needs two tips of the clock in order to advance the \mathbf{g} -cells of the register by one cell forward. It is enough for stopping the computation. When the locomotive arrives to the halting instruction of the register machine, the implementation of that instruction consists in sending a locomotive to all \mathbf{R}_s of all registers of the simulated register machine. If we have n registers, k forks will allow us to do that where $2^{k-1} < n \leq 2^k$. The locomotive arrives at \mathbf{R}_s at some time t . We may assume that t is the same for all registers. At time t , the \mathbf{g} -cells are at some distance L from $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$. As far as one step forward of the \mathbf{g} -cells requires two tips of the clock, if the locomotive in \mathbf{R}_s advances at speed 1, it reaches the \mathbf{g} -cells at time $t+2L$. Taking for L the biggest one for all registers, we can then compute the time at which the computation of the automaton halts when we know the time of the halting of the register machine. If that latter one does not halt, no signal is sent to any \mathbf{R}_s so that in that case, the registers are endlessly growing.

Rules 206 up to 254 of Table 1 deal with stopping the computation. We also consider rules 109 up to 114 which manage the first steps of the locomotive on \mathbf{R}_s , starting from $\mathbf{R}_s(0)$. The rules indicate that \mathbf{g} is immediately replaced by \mathbf{b} so that man \mathbf{b} -neighbours of that very temporary \mathbf{g} -cell remain for ever as far as rule 16 applies to them. Consequently, a 'cloud' of immortal \mathbf{b} -cells is raised during that process. Now, as far as the computation is eventually stopped, the number of \mathbf{b} -cells constituting that 'cloud' over \mathbf{R}_s is finite and it belongs to the configuration which is identically endlessly repeated. If the computation is infinite, that phenomenon does not occur as far as no locomotive is sent to the \mathbf{R}_s strands of the registers.

Rules 206 up to 213 deal with cells which can see the \mathbf{g} -locomotive in front of them and of cells of \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}_d which can see it. Remember that the cells of \mathbf{R}_c cannot see those of \mathbf{R}_s . Note that rules 207 up to 210 together with rules 212 and 213 witness the occurrence of still present \mathbf{b} -neighbours of the previously \mathbf{g} -cell. Rule 214 starts a new process. Let N be defined by the fact that, at that time, $\mathbf{R}_s(N)$ contains the \mathbf{g} -cell involved in the growing process. Then, rule 214

transforms the \mathbf{B} -cell contained in $\mathbf{R}_s(N-1)$ into \mathbf{w} . Rule 215 says that a \mathbf{B} -cell before such a \mathbf{w} -cell remains \mathbf{B} and that from now on, a created \mathbf{w} -cell remains \mathbf{w} . Rules 217 and 218 say that the \mathbf{B} - and \mathbf{R} -cells which can see a \mathbf{w} -cell behind them also become \mathbf{w} . Rule 219 says the same for the \mathbf{G} -cell involved in the growing process of the register. Rules 220 up to 225 deal with conservative rules for \mathbf{B} - and \mathbf{R} -cells which should not be turned to \mathbf{w} and those rules also deal with rules changing \mathbf{B} - and \mathbf{R} -cells when they should be transformed.

That process is illustrated by (10). Two possible times of arrival of the \mathbf{G} -locomotive with respect to the \mathbf{G} -end of the register are illustrated. In the right-hand part, the \mathbf{G} -locomotive arrives one time later compared with the left-hand side part.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
- & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
0 & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
1 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
2 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
3 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
4 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
5 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
6 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
7 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
8 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
- & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
0 & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
1 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
2 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
3 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
4 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
5 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
6 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
7 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} \\
8 & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{W}
\end{array}
\tag{10}$$

We can see that the \mathbf{G} -locomotive appears in cell 0 at time 0 in both sides of (10). At the same time, the \mathbf{G} -end occurs in cell 4 but cell 5 is \mathbf{w} in the left-hand side while it is \mathbf{B} in the right-hand side of (10). Accordingly, the difference corresponds to different neighbourhood of the \mathbf{G} -end. The first \mathbf{w} -cell appears on cell 3 at time 3 on the left-hand side of (10) while it appears on cell 4 at time 4 on the right-hand side of (10). The computation is stopped at time 7, 8 in the left-,right-hand side of (10) respectively. In fact, it appears a bit later, as far as four strands are growing in parallel, so that the first occurrence of \mathbf{w} appears on \mathbf{R}_i , \mathbf{R}_d with a delay by one tip of the clock and on \mathbf{R}_c with a delay of two tips of the clock. That delay holds the presence of four \mathbf{B} -cells around ℓ a bit longer.

Indeed, when the \mathbf{G} -cells is turned to \mathbf{w} by rule 219 and also further similar rules as rules 226, 232 and 233 for instance, the growing process is not immediately stopped. As long as there can be four \mathbf{B} -cells surrounding ℓ , new \mathbf{G} -cells occur. Rules 226 up to 254 have \mathbf{w} as their new state: it means that whatever their current state is, their next one is \mathbf{w} . Clearly, at some point the process arrives to a configuration where only three \mathbf{B} -cells lie around ℓ , so that no new \mathbf{G} -cell occur. Let L denote the position of those \mathbf{B} -cells. Starting from that moment, the replacement of \mathbf{B} - and \mathbf{R} -cells goes on for $\mathbf{R}_s(n)$ cells with $n > L$ until the furthest ones are reached by that growing \mathbf{w} -signal at speed 1. When it is the case, no new non-blank cell is created so that starting from that time, the configuration is endlessly repeated identically.

That last point completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

5 Conclusion

There are 254 rules which are half-rotation pairwise independent.

We said that the rotation invariance is a huge constraint. The relaxation to invariance with respect to the rotations leaving a face invariant is still a big constraint, of course lighter than the invariance with respect to all rotations leaving the dodecahedron globally invariant. That relaxation allowed us to get a strongly universal cellular automaton with four states.

Probably, the solution given in the paper is not unique. However, it seems to me that there are less choice under that assumption than under the hypothesis of full rotation invariance leading to the same issue at the price of one more state. Probably, the fact that more flexibility exists in that latter solution explains the reduction to four state by the help of relaxing the rotation invariance as already mentioned. Is it still possible to obtain strong universality with less states? I do not know presently how to do. That does not mean, of course, that it would be impossible. Experience shows that such a reduction requires to change something in the model. The present solution did not change the model presented in [9]. It significantly changed the scenario, in particular in the working of the strands of a register. So, to change something in the model is the way. To change what exactly? That is the question.

Table 1 *Table of the rules for the cellular automaton.*

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| blanks | | | $S(-1), R_s(-2)$ | | | 83 R.RRWBWWBWWWW.W | | |
| 1 | W.WWWWWWWWWWW.W | | $R_c(-1)$ | | | 84 | W.RRWBRWBWWWW.W | |
| 2 | W.BWWWWWWWWWW.W | | 47 W.WWWWWRRWRRW.W | | | 85 | W.RRWBRWBWBWW.W | |
| 3 | W.WBWWWWWWWWWW.W | | 48 W.BWWWWRRWRRW.W | | | 86 | W.RRWBRWBWBWWWW.B | |
| 4 | W.RWWWWWWWWWWWW.W | | 49 W.WWWWWBRWRRW.W | | | 87 | W.RRBBWWBWWWW.W | |
| 5 | W.WRWWWWWWWWWW.W | | 50 B.WWWWWRRWRRW.W | | | 88 | B.RRBBWWBWWWW.B | |
| 6 | W.GWWWWWWWWWWWW.B | | 51 W.WBWWRRWRRW.W | | | 89 | B.RRBBWBWBWWWW.B | |
| 7 | W.WWWWWGWWWWWW.B | | 52 B.WWWWWBRWRRW.W | | | 90 | B.RRBBWWBWBWW.B | |
| 8 | W.BBWWWWWWWWWW.W | | $R_i(-1)$ | | | R_i | | |
| 9 | W.BWBWWWWWWWW.W | | 53 W.WWRWWBRWBRW.W | | | 91 | R.WBWWBRBWBWW.R | |
| 10 | W.BRWWWWWWWWW.W | | 54 W.BWRWWBRWBRW.W | | | 92 | R.BBWWBRBWBWW.R | |
| 11 | W.RRWWWWWWWWW.W | | 55 W.WWRWWBRWBRW.B | | | 93 | R.WBBWBRBWBWW.B | |
| 12 | W.RBWWWWWWWWWW.W | | 56 B.WWRWWBRWBRW.W | | | 94 | R.WBWWBRBWBWW.R | |
| 13 | W.RWBWWWWWWWW.W | | 57 W.WBWWBRWBRW.W | | | 95 | R.WBWWBBBWBWW.R | |
| 14 | W.GBWWWWWWWWWW.W | | $R_d(-1)$ | | | 96 | R.WBRWBRBWBWW.R | |
| 15 | W.GRWWWWWWWWWWW.W | | 58 W.WWRWWBWBWBRW.W | | | 97 | R.WBBWBRBWBWW.W | |
| tracks | | | 59 W.BWRWWBWBWBRW.W | | | 98 | B.WBRWBRBWBWW.W | |
| 16 | B.WWWWWWWWWWW.B | | 60 W.WWRWBWBWBWBRW.B | | | R_d | | |
| 17 | B.BWWWWWWWWWW.B | | 61 B.WWRWWBWBWBWBRW.W | | | 99 | R.BBWWBRBWBWRW.R | |
| 18 | B.WBWWWWWWWWWW.B | | 62 W.WBWWWBWBWBWBRW.W | | | 100 | R.BWWBRBWBWRW.R | |
| 19 | W.WWWWWBWBWBWBW.W | | $R_s(-1)$ | | | 101 | R.BWBWRBWBWRW.B | |
| 20 | W.WWWWWBBWBWBWBW.B | | 63 W.BWWWWBBRWBBW.W | | | 102 | B.BWWBRBWBWRW.R | |
| 21 | W.WWWWBWBWBWBWBW.B | | 64 W.WWWWRBWBWBW.R | | | 103 | R.BWWBBBWBWRW.R | |
| 22 | W.WWRWWBWBWBWBW.W | | 65 W.WWBWBWBWBWBW.B | | | 104 | R.BWRWBRBWBWW.R | |
| 23 | B.WWWWWBWBWBWBW.W | | 66 B.WWWWWBBRWBBW.W | | | 105 | R.BWBWRBWBWWW.B | |
| 24 | W.WWBWWBWBWBWBW.W | | 67 W.WWWWWGBRWBBW.W | | | 106 | B.BWRWBRBWBWWW.R | |
| 25 | W.WBWWWBWBWBWBW.B | | 68 R.WWWWWBBRWBBW.W | | | 107 | R.BWRWBBBWBWWW.R | |
| 26 | W.BWWWWBWBWBWBW.W | | 69 W.WBWRBWBWBWBW.W | | | 108 | R.GBWWBRBWBWRW.R | |
| 27 | B.WRWWWWWWWWWW.B | | $R_s(-2)_d$ | | | R_s | | |
| 28 | R.WWWWWWWWWWW.R | | 70 W.WWWWWRRRRW.R | | | 109 | B.RRBBWWWBWRW.B | |
| 29 | W.WWRWWBWBWBWBW.W | | 71 W.WWWWBWRRRW.R | | | 110 | B.RRBBWBWBWRW.G | |
| 30 | W.WWRWWBBWBWBWBW.B | | $R_s(-2)_s$ | | | 111 | G.RRBBWWWBWRW.B | |
| 31 | B.WWRWWBWBWBWBW.W | | 72 W.WWWWWBWRBBW.W | | | 112 | B.RRBBGWBBWWW.G | |
| 32 | W.WBRRWBWBWBWBW.W | | 73 W.WWWWWBBWRBBW.B | | | 113 | G.RRBBWBWBWWW.B | |
| control | | | 74 B.WWWWWBWRBBW.W | | | 114 | B.RRGBWBWBWWW.B | |
| 33 | W.BWWWWBBWBWBW.W | | 75 W.WBWWWBWRBBW.W | | | growth | | |
| 34 | W.WWBWBWBWBWBW.B | | 76 R.WWWWWBWRBBW.W | | | R_c, R_s | | |
| 35 | B.WWBWWBWBWBWBW.W | | register | | | 115 | G.GGWWWBWWWWW.G | |
| 36 | W.WWWWWBWBWBWBW.B | | motions | | | 116 | G.GGBWBWBWBWBW.B | |
| 37 | B.WWWWWBWBWBWBW.B | | R_c | | | 117 | B.GWWWWWWWWWW.W | |
| 38 | W.WWWWWBBWBWBWBW.B | | 77 W.RRWBWWWBWBW.W | | | 118 | B.BWBWWWWWWWW.B | |
| 39 | B.WWWWWBBWBWBWBW.W | | 78 W.RRRBWWWBWBW.R | | | 119 | B.GWBWWWWWWWW.B | |
| for computer only | | | 79 R.RRWBWWWBWBW.W | | | 120 | B.BBWWGWWWWWW.G | |
| 40 | W.WWWWWBRWBWBW.W | | 80 W.RRBBWWWBWBW.W | | | 121 | B.BBGWWWWWWWW.G | |
| 41 | W.WWWWWBBRWWBWBW.W | | 81 W.RRWBWWWBWBW.W | | | R_i, R_d | | |
| fork | | | 82 W.RRRBWWWBWBW.R | | | 122 | G.GGRWWWWWWWW.G | |
| 42 | B.BBWWWWWWWWWW.B | | | | | 123 | G.GGRWBWBWBWBW.R | |
| 43 | W.WWWWWBBBWBWR.W | | | | | 124 | B.RWBWWWWWWWW.B | |
| 44 | W.WWBWWBBBWBWR.B | | | | | 125 | R.BBRWBGBWWW.W | |
| 45 | B.WWWWWBBBWBWR.W | | | | | | | |
| 46 | W.WWWWWBBBWBWR.W | | | | | | | |

decrementation

general case

return strand

126 W.WWWWWWBWBWR.W
127 W.WWWWWWBWBWR.W
128 W.WWWWWWBWBWR.B
129 B.WWWWWWBWBWR.W
130 W.WWWWWWBWBWR.W

$S(n)$, $n \geq 0$

131 B.BRBWBWBWRWW.B
132 W.RBWBWBWBWRWW.W
133 W.RBWBWBWBWRWW.W
134 B.BRBWBWBWRWW.B
135 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.B
136 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.G
137 W.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
138 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
139 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.G
140 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
141 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.R
142 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
143 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.R
144 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
145 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.R
146 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
147 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
148 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.R
149 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.R
150 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.R
151 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
152 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
153 W.RRBWBWBWRWW.R

$c = 2$

154 W.WRBWBWBWRWW.W
155 W.WRBWBWBWRWW.W

$c = 1$

156 W.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
157 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
158 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.R

$c = 0$

159 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.G
160 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
161 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.G
162 W.WRBWBWBWRWW.R
163 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
164 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
165 R.WRBWBWBWRWW.W
166 W.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
167 W.WRBWBWBWRWW.B
168 W.WRBWBWBWRWW.W
169 B.WRBWBWBWRWW.W

incrementation

general case

170 W.WWBWWWRRRWR.W
171 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
172 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
173 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
174 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
175 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
176 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
177 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
178 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
179 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
180 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
181 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
182 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
183 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
184 R.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
185 W.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
186 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
187 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
188 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R

$c = 2$

189 W.WWBWWWRRRWR.W
190 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
191 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W

$c = 1$

192 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
193 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
194 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
195 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
196 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W

$c = 0$

197 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.B
198 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.G
199 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
200 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
201 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.G
202 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
203 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
204 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
205 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.R

halting

206 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
207 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
208 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
209 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
210 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
211 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
212 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
213 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
214 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
215 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
216 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W

217 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
218 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
219 G.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
220 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.R
221 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
222 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
223 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
224 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.B
225 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W

last G's

226 G.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
227 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
228 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
229 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
230 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
231 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
232 G.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
233 G.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
234 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
235 W.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
236 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
237 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
238 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
239 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
240 R.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
241 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
242 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
243 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
244 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
245 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
246 B.RRBWBWBWRWW.W
247 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
248 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
249 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
250 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
251 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
252 W.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
253 G.BRBWBWBWRWW.W
254 B.BRBWBWBWRWW.W

References

- [1] F. Herrmann, M. Margenstern, A universal cellular automaton in the hyperbolic plane, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **296**, (2003), 327-364.
- [2] M. Margenstern, *Cellular Automata in Hyperbolic Spaces, vol. 1, Theory*, Collection: *Advances in Unconventional Computing and Cellular Automata*, Editor: Andrew Adamatzky, Old City Publishing, Philadelphia, (2007), 422p.
- [3] M. Margenstern, *Cellular Automata in Hyperbolic Spaces, vol. 2, Implementations and Computations*, Collection: *Advances in Unconventional Computing and Cellular Automata*, Editor: Andrew Adamatzky, Old City Publishing, Philadelphia, (2008), 360p.
- [4] M. Margenstern, An Upper Bound on the Number of States for a Strongly Universal Hyperbolic Cellular Automaton on the Pentagrid, **JAC2010** Turku, Finland, (2010), *Proceedings*, Turku Center for Computer Science, 168-179.
- [5] M. Margenstern, A Weakly Universal Cellular Automaton in the Pentagrid with Five States, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, C.S. Calude et al. (Eds.): Gruska's Festschrift, **8808**, (2014), 99-113. s
- [6] M. Margenstern, A weakly universal cellular automaton in the heptagrid with three states, *arXiv:1410.1864v1*, (2014), 27p.
- [7] M. Margenstern, A Weakly Universal Cellular Automaton in the Heptagrid of the Hyperbolic Plane, *Complex Systems*, **27**(4), (2018), 315-354.
- [8] M. Margenstern, A Strongly Universal Cellular Automaton on the Heptagrid with Seven States, *Journal of Cellular Automata*, **15**(5-6), (2021), 471-502.
- [9] M. Margenstern, A strongly universal cellular automaton on the dodecagrid with five states, *arXiv:2104.01561*, (2021), 62pp.
- [10] M. Margenstern, Y. Song, A new universal cellular automaton on the pentagrid, *Parallel Processing Letters*, **19**(2), (2009), 227-246.
- [11] M. L. Minsky, *Computation: Finite and Infinite Machines*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1967.
- [12] I. Stewart, A Subway Named Turing, Mathematical Recreations in *Scientific American*, (1994), 90-92.