

# EUCLIDEAN ALGEBRAS

XINGDE DAI AND WEI HUANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new example of unital commutative  $n$ -dimensional group algebra  $\mathbb{R}_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . The algebra  $\mathbb{R}_n$  and the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  are astonishingly alike. The zero divisor set of the algebra has Lebesgue  $\mu_n$ -measure zero. The formula for the Haar measure is established. Also, the analytic function theory in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ , for  $n = 2k$  that similar to the classical theory in  $\mathbb{C}$  is introduced. This includes the Cauchy-Riemann equations, mean-value theorem and Louisville theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we introduce a new example of unital commutative  $n$ -dimensional group algebra  $\mathbb{R}_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . When  $n = 2$ , the result is the complex numbers system  $\mathbb{C}$ . The algebra  $\mathbb{R}_n$  and the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  are astonishingly alike. We name  $\mathbb{R}_n$  the *Euclidean algebra* because the definition of new multiplication works for *all* Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$  in a natural way. The algebra has zero divisors of Lebesgue  $\mu_n$ -measure zero. The formula for the Haar measure is established. We introduced the analytic function theory in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ , for  $n = 2k$  that similar to the classical theory in  $\mathbb{C}$ . This includes the Cauchy-Riemann equations, mean-value theorem and Louisville theorem. In Section 7 We compare  $\mathbb{R}_n$  with other commutative hypercomplex number systems in literatures.

## 2. MULTIPLICATION IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define a mapping

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* MSC12E99 and MSC13A99.

*Key words and phrases.* Euclidean space and commutative algebras and field theory.

$\mathfrak{g} : \{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  as

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}\vec{e}_1 &= -\vec{e}_n \\ \mathfrak{g}\vec{e}_2 &= \vec{e}_1 \\ \dots &\dots \\ \mathfrak{g}\vec{e}_n &= \vec{e}_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

Let  $I_n$  denote the identity mapping (matrix) on the real  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{g}^n = -I_n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{2n} = I_n$ . The set  $\{\mathfrak{g}^\ell \mid \ell = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  is cyclic, hence it is an abelian group of order  $2n$ . We write  $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}^{2n} = I_n$ . For  $0 < \ell \leq n-1$ , the matrix form for the mapping  $\mathfrak{g}^\ell$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} O & I_{n-\ell} \\ -I_\ell & O' \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $I_{n-\ell}$  is the  $(n-\ell) \times (n-\ell)$  identity matrix,  $I_\ell$  is the  $\ell \times \ell$  identity matrix and  $O$  a zero matrix.

Since  $\mathfrak{g}^{n+k} = -\mathfrak{g}^k$ . The linear span of the group  $\mathfrak{G}_n \equiv \{\mathfrak{g}^\ell \mid \ell = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  has dimension  $n$ . Let  $\mathbb{M}_n$  denote this linear span. The set  $\{\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{M}_n$ , a linear subspace of the  $n \times n$  real matrix space. It is clear that  $\mathbb{M}_n$  is closed under matrix multiplication. Since the group  $\{\mathfrak{g}^\ell \mid \ell = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$  is abelian, the space  $\mathbb{M}_n$  with the matrix multiplication is a commutative algebra of dimension  $n$  with multiplicative identity  $I_n$ . Let  $A$  be an element in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  with  $\det A \neq 0$ . The inverse matrix  $A^{-1}$  exists. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem,  $A^{-1}$  is a linear combination of  $\{I_n, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$ , hence  $A^{-1}$  is also an element of  $\mathbb{M}_n$ .

Define the mapping  $\varsigma$  :

$$\varsigma : \vec{e}_\ell \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^{\ell-1}, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The mapping  $\varsigma$  is a bijection between bases of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{M}_n$ . The mapping  $\varsigma$  extends to an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbb{M}_n$  as linear spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For an element  $\vec{u} = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\varsigma(\vec{u}) = \varsigma\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n u_\ell \vec{e}_\ell\right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n u_\ell \mathfrak{g}^{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{M}_n.$$

For  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}$  as

$$\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} \equiv \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{u})\varsigma(\vec{v})).$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *The Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  equipped with the multiplication  $\otimes$  is a commutative algebra with multiplicative identity  $\vec{e}_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w}$  be elements in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- 1. Associative Property:** By definition we have  $\varsigma(\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}) = \varsigma(\vec{u})\varsigma(\vec{v})$  and  $\varsigma(\vec{v} \otimes \vec{w}) = \varsigma(\vec{v})\varsigma(\vec{w})$ . Also the multiplication in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  satisfies the Associative Law. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}) \otimes \vec{w} &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v})\varsigma(\vec{w})) \\ &= \varsigma^{-1}((\varsigma(\vec{u})\varsigma(\vec{v}))\varsigma(\vec{w})) \\ &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{u})(\varsigma(\vec{v})\varsigma(\vec{w}))) \\ &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{u})\varsigma(\vec{v} \otimes \vec{w})) \\ &= \vec{u} \otimes (\vec{v} \otimes \vec{w}). \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}) \otimes \vec{w} = \vec{u} \otimes (\vec{v} \otimes \vec{w}).$$

- 2. Commutative Property:** Since the multiplication in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  is commutative,  $\varsigma(\vec{u})\varsigma(\vec{v}) = \varsigma(\vec{v})\varsigma(\vec{u})$ , we have

$$\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} = \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{u})\varsigma(\vec{v})) = \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{v})\varsigma(\vec{u})) = \vec{v} \otimes \vec{u}.$$

- 3. Distributive Property:** Based on the facts that  $\varsigma$  and  $\varsigma^{-1}$  are isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{M}_n$  as linear spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ , also the fact that  $\mathbb{M}_n$  is an algebra satisfying the Distributive Law, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w} \otimes (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{w})\varsigma(\vec{u} + \vec{v})) \\ &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{w})(\varsigma(\vec{u}) + \varsigma(\vec{v}))) \\ &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{w})\varsigma(\vec{u}) + \varsigma(\vec{w})\varsigma(\vec{v})) \\ &= \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{w})\varsigma(\vec{u})) + \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{w})\varsigma(\vec{v})) \\ &= \vec{w} \otimes \vec{u} + \vec{w} \otimes \vec{v}. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\vec{w} \otimes (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = \vec{w} \otimes \vec{u} + \vec{w} \otimes \vec{v}.$$

- 4. Multiplicative Identity:** The multiplicative identity in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  is  $I_n = \mathfrak{g}^0$  and the corresponding element in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\varsigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}^0) = \vec{e}_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$ . We have

$$\vec{u} \otimes \vec{e}_1 = \vec{e}_1 \otimes \vec{u} = \varsigma^{-1}(I_n\varsigma(\vec{u})) = \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{u})) = \vec{u}.$$

The Theorem 2.1 is proved.  $\square$

We call this algebra the *Real Euclidian Algebra*. It is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}_n$ . The multiplication table for  $\otimes$  is

$\otimes$	$\vec{e}_1$	$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_3$	...	$\vec{e}_{n-2}$	$\vec{e}_{n-1}$	$\vec{e}_n$
$\vec{e}_1$	$\vec{e}_1$	$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_3$	...	$\vec{e}_{n-2}$	$\vec{e}_{n-1}$	$\vec{e}_n$
$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_4$	...	$\vec{e}_{n-1}$	$\vec{e}_n$	$-\vec{e}_1$
$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_4$	$\vec{e}_5$	...	$\vec{e}_n$	$-\vec{e}_1$	$-\vec{e}_2$
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
$\vec{e}_{n-2}$	$\vec{e}_{n-2}$	$\vec{e}_{n-1}$	$\vec{e}_n$	...	$-\vec{e}_{n-5}$	$-\vec{e}_{n-4}$	$-\vec{e}_{n-3}$
$\vec{e}_{n-1}$	$\vec{e}_{n-1}$	$\vec{e}_n$	$-\vec{e}_1$	...	$-\vec{e}_{n-4}$	$-\vec{e}_{n-3}$	$-\vec{e}_{n-2}$
$\vec{e}_n$	$\vec{e}_n$	$-\vec{e}_1$	$-\vec{e}_2$	...	$-\vec{e}_{n-3}$	$-\vec{e}_{n-2}$	$-\vec{e}_{n-1}$

For  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}_n$ , if there is no confusion in the context, we write  $\vec{x}\vec{y}$  for  $\vec{x} \otimes \vec{y}$  and  $\vec{x}^m$  for the product of  $m$ -multipliers  $\vec{x} \otimes \vec{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{x}$ . We reserve the notation  $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$  for the dot product. For  $\vec{a}_m, \dots, \vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_n$  the polynomial is well defined:

$$p(\vec{x}) = \vec{a}_m \vec{x}^m + \vec{a}_{m-1} \vec{x}^{m-1} + \cdots + \vec{a}_1 \vec{x} + \vec{a}_0.$$

### 3. REMARKS

We have some notes in order.

- (1) The algebra  $\mathbb{R}_n$  is the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard basis  $\{\vec{e}_k \mid k = 1, \dots, n\}$  and the basis follows the multiplication table (2.1). In particular,  $\vec{e}_1$  is the multiplicative identity 1. As a Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , for  $\vec{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\vec{a} = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$  in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ , the distance is

$$|\vec{x} - \vec{a}| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - a_j)^2}.$$

Also, the Lebesgue measure  $\mu_n$  is naturally defined in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ .

- (2) Let  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}_n$ , define the norm of  $\|\vec{x}\|$  as

$$\|\vec{x}\| \equiv |\det \varsigma(\vec{x})|.$$

Then by the matrix multiplication properties, for  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}_n$

$$\|\vec{x} \otimes \vec{y}\| = |\det \varsigma(\vec{x}) \det \varsigma(\vec{y})| = \|\vec{x}\| \|\vec{y}\|$$

- (3) For  $n \geq 2$ , the group  $\mathfrak{G}_n$  is

$$\mathfrak{G}_n = \{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^2, \dots, \mathfrak{g}^n = -1, \mathfrak{g}^{n+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}^{2n} = 1\}.$$

When  $n = 2$ , the part between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $-1$  as well as the part between  $\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}$  and 1 reduced into empty set. So  $\mathfrak{G}_2 = \{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^2, \mathfrak{g}^3, \mathfrak{g}^4\} =$

$\{\mathfrak{g}, -1, -\mathfrak{g}, 1\}$ . This is the group  $\{i, -1, -i, 1\}$  where  $i$  is the imaginary unit in  $\mathbb{C}$ . So  $\mathbb{C}$  is a natural member of  $\mathbb{R}_n$ .

- (4) Let  $\vec{u} = [u_1, \dots, u_n] \in \mathbb{R}_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} &= [u_1, \dots, u_n] \\ &= \|\vec{u}\| \left[ \frac{u_1}{\|\vec{u}\|}, \dots, \frac{u_n}{\|\vec{u}\|} \right] \\ &= \|\vec{u}\| [\cos \theta_1, \dots, \cos \theta_n],\end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_k, k = 1, \dots, n$  is the angle between  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{e}_k$ .

For  $n = 2$ , we have  $\zeta(\mathbb{R}_2) = \mathbb{M}_2$ .

$$\mathbb{M}_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Also,  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} = a^2 + b^2$ . So an element  $[a, b] \in \mathbb{R}_2$  is a zero divisor iff  $a = b = 0$ . i.e.  $\mathbb{R}_2$  has no non-trivial zero divisors. Hence  $\mathbb{R}_2$  is a 2-dimensional commutative division algebra. By the Frobenius theorem (1878) [4] the algebra  $\mathbb{R}_2$  must be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} = [u_1, u_2] &= \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} \left[ \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}}, \frac{u_2}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}} \right] \\ &= \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} [\cos \theta_1, \cos \theta_2],\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the case  $n = 2, \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_1$ . This is the formula  $z = |z|(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$  in complex numbers.

- (5) Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}_n$  and  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  be the  $n$  row vectors in the matrix  $\zeta(\vec{v})^\tau$ , the transpose matrix of  $\zeta(\vec{v})$ . It is clear we have

$$|\vec{v}_j| = |\vec{v}|, \forall j \leq n.$$

Then by the multiplication table we have

$$\vec{u}\vec{v} = \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} = [\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}_n] = \vec{u}\zeta(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}\vec{u},$$

the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}$  is  $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}_j$ , where “ $\cdot$ ” is the dot product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}_n$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} = \vec{u}\vec{v} = \vec{v}\zeta(\vec{u}).$$

## 4. ZERO DIVISORS

An element  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}_n$  is a zero divisor if and only if  $\zeta(\vec{x})$  is a zero divisor in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  if and only if  $\det(\zeta(\vec{x}))$ , a homogeneous polynomial in  $n$  variables  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  has value zero. Let  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$  denote the set of zero divisors in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ . For a polynomial  $p$ , we will write  $\mathfrak{Z}(p)$  for  $\{\vec{x} \mid p(\vec{x}) = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p(\vec{x}) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-zero polynomial on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\mu_n(\mathfrak{Z}(p)) = 0$ .*

Here  $\mu_n$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* We prove the Lemma 4.1 by induction on the dimensions  $n$ .

For  $n = 1$ . Let  $p(\vec{x})$  be a polynomial of degree  $m \geq 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . It's clear  $\mathfrak{Z}(p)$  is a finite set hence has Lebesgue measure zero.

Assume the statement is true for all  $n = 1, \dots, k - 1$ . Consider  $n = k$ .

Let  $p(\vec{x}) = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a non-zero polynomial of degree  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define

$$p_{|x_1=t}(\vec{x}) \equiv p(t, x_2, \dots, x_k).$$

This is a function in  $k - 1$  variables  $x_2, \dots, x_k$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the collection of all points  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the properties that the function  $p_{|x_1=t}(\vec{x})$  is not a zero function. Apply the induction assumption to  $p_{|x_1=t}$ , we have

$$\mu_{k-1}(\mathfrak{Z}(p_{|x_1=t})) = 0, \forall t \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Let  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $p_{|x_1=t}(\vec{x}) \equiv 0$ . So  $(x_1 - t)$  is a factor of  $p$ . The polynomial has at most finite factors. Hence the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{C}$  is a finite set. So

$$\mu_{k-1}(\mathfrak{Z}(p_{|x_1=t})) = 0, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.}$$

By Fubini's theorem

$$\mu_k(\mathfrak{Z}(p)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_{k-1}(\mathfrak{Z}(p_{|x_1=t})) dt = 0.$$

The induction completes. The Lemma 4.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu_n$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then*

- (1) *The set of zero divisors in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$ , is a closed ideal.*
- (2)  *$\mu_n(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}) = 0$ .*
- (3) *The open set  $\mathbb{R}_n \setminus \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$  is a group.*

(4)  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$  is star-shaped in the sense that if  $\vec{x} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$ , then  $\{t\vec{x} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{G}_n$  denote the group  $\mathbb{R}_n \setminus \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$ .

*Proof.* 1. It is clear that  $\det(\varsigma(\vec{u}))$  is a polynomial so continuous. Therefore the set  $\{\vec{u} \mid \det(\varsigma(\vec{u})) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$  is closed. This implies that

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\vec{u} \mid \det(\varsigma(\vec{u})) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$$

is closed.

2. Let  $\vec{x} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$ . Then  $\vec{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a zero for the polynomial  $\det(\varsigma(\vec{x}))$ . By Lemma 4.1, the conclusion follows.

3. The set  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$  is closed. So its complement  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is open. Let  $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{G}_n$ . Then  $\varsigma(\vec{a}), \varsigma(\vec{b}) \in \mathbb{M}_n$ ,  $\det \varsigma(\vec{a}) \neq 0$  and  $\det \varsigma(\vec{b}) \neq 0$ . This implies  $\det(\varsigma(\vec{a})\varsigma(\vec{b})) \neq 0$ , or  $\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} \notin \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is closed under multiplication  $\otimes$ . Notice that  $\varsigma(\vec{a})^{-1} \in \mathbb{M}_n$ . Let  $\vec{c}$  denote the element  $\varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{a})^{-1})$  in  $\mathbb{R}_n \setminus \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_n} = \mathbb{G}_n$ . We have

$$\vec{a} \otimes \vec{c} = \vec{c} \otimes \vec{a} = \varsigma^{-1}(\varsigma(\vec{a})^{-1}\varsigma(\vec{a})) = \varsigma^{-1}(I_n) = \vec{e}_n.$$

So  $\vec{c}$  is the inverse to  $\vec{a}$ .  $\mathbb{G}_n$  is a group. Theorem 4.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Example 4.1.** Zero divisors  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_4}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_4$ . Let  $\vec{u} = [u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4] \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , then  $\vec{u} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_4}$  if and only if  $\det(\varsigma(\vec{u})) = (u_1^2 - u_3^2 + 2u_2u_4)^2 + (u_4^2 - u_2^2 + 2u_1u_3)^2 = 0$  if and only if

$$(I) \begin{cases} u_1 = s \\ u_2 = t \\ u_3 = -s + \sqrt{2}t \\ u_4 = -\sqrt{2}s + t \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad (II) \begin{cases} u_1 = s \\ u_2 = t \\ u_3 = s - \sqrt{2}t \\ u_4 = \sqrt{2}s - t \end{cases}$$

where  $s$  and  $t$  are free real variables.

## 5. THE HAAR MEASURE ON $\mathbb{G}_n$

Let  $E$  be a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  and  $\mu_n$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $\nu_n(E)$  as

$$\nu_n(E) \equiv \int_E \frac{1}{|\det \varsigma(\vec{x})|} d\mu_n(\vec{x}).$$

**Theorem 5.1.**  $\nu_n$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{G}_n$  and it is unique up to a scalar multiplication.

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{G}_n$  and  $E$  be a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , then  $\vec{a}E = E\vec{a} \subset \mathbb{G}_n$ . We will show that  $\nu_n(\vec{a}E) = \nu_n(E)$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_n(\vec{a}E) &= \int_{\vec{a}E} \frac{1}{|\det \varsigma(\vec{x})|} d\mu_n(\vec{x}) \\ &= \int_E \frac{1}{|\det \varsigma(\vec{a}\vec{y})|} d\mu_n(\vec{a}\vec{y}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a}\vec{y} &= \vec{y}\varsigma(\vec{a}). \\ d\mu_n(\vec{a}\vec{y}) &= |\det \varsigma(\vec{a})| d\mu_n(\vec{y}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_n(\vec{a}E) &= \int_E \frac{1}{|\det(\varsigma(\vec{a})\varsigma(\vec{y}))|} d\mu_n(\vec{y}\varsigma(\vec{a})) \\ &= \int_E \frac{|\det \varsigma(\vec{a})|}{|\det \varsigma(\vec{a})| |\det \varsigma(\vec{y})|} d\mu_n(\vec{y}) \\ &= \int_E \frac{1}{|\det \varsigma(\vec{y})|} d\mu_n(\vec{y}),\end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\nu_n(\vec{a}E) = \nu_n(E).$$

□

## 6. ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$

In this section we will introduce the analytic function theory in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$ , which is similar to the analytic function theory in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be an open region in  $\mathbb{R}_n$ , and  $f$  be a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ , that is, for a point  $\vec{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \Omega$ ,

$$f(\vec{x}) = [f_1(\vec{x}), \dots, f_n(\vec{x})]$$

where  $f_j(\vec{x}), j = 1, \dots, n$ , are *real* valued functions in  $n$  *real* variables  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Define  $\vec{w} = \vec{e}_2 \in \mathbb{R}_n$  and  $\vec{w}^0 = \vec{e}_1$ . It is clear  $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{G}_n$  since  $\det(\varsigma(\vec{w})) \neq 0$ . By the multiplication table,  $\vec{w}^{-j} = -\vec{w}^{n-j}$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . We have

$$f(\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\vec{x}) \vec{w}^{j-1}.$$



We say a function  $f(\vec{x})$  is *differentiable* in  $\Omega$  if the limit

$$\lim_{\vec{\delta} \rightarrow 0, \vec{\delta} \in \mathbb{G}_n} \frac{f(\vec{a} + \vec{\delta}) - f(\vec{a})}{\vec{\delta}} = f'(\vec{a}) \quad (\text{or } \frac{df}{d\vec{x}}(\vec{a}))$$

exists for each point  $\vec{a} \in \Omega$ .

In this definition, the variable  $\vec{\delta} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \vec{w}^{j-1}$  is approaching 0 while remains in  $\mathbb{G}_n$ . Notice that for  $x_j \neq 0$ , the vectors  $x_j \vec{w}^{j-1}$  are in  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , since  $\det \varsigma(\vec{w}^{j-1}) \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ . So in the above definition of differentiation, one can take the special path along  $x_j \vec{w}^{j-1}$  with  $x_j \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\vec{a}) &= \lim_{\vec{\delta} \rightarrow 0, \vec{\delta} \in \mathbb{G}_n} \frac{f(\vec{a} + \vec{\delta}) - f(\vec{a})}{\vec{\delta}} = \lim_{x_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + x_j \vec{w}^{j-1}) - f(\vec{a})}{x_j \vec{w}^{j-1}}, \\ f'(\vec{a}) &= \vec{w}^{-j+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\vec{a}). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_n$  be an open region and  $f$  be a function with domain  $\Omega$ . We call  $f(\vec{x}) = [f_1(\vec{x}), \dots, f_n(\vec{x})]$  an analytic function on  $\Omega$  if

- (1)  $f$  is differentiable at each point  $\vec{x} \in \Omega$ .
- (2) The second order partial derivatives of  $f_m(\vec{x}), \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  are continuous in  $\Omega$ .

It is clear  $\frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$  by the Clairaut's Theorem.

To introduce the next results, we set  $n = 2k$ . We have:

$$(6.1) \quad f'(\vec{a}) = \vec{w}^{-j+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\vec{a}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2k,$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\vec{a}) = \left[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{2k}}{\partial x_j} \right].$$

For any given  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\vec{a}) &= \vec{w}^{-i+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a}) = \vec{w}^{-i-k+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i+k}}(\vec{a}), \\ -\vec{w}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a}) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i+k}}(\vec{a}), \\ \begin{bmatrix} O & -I_k \\ I_k & O \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{a}) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i+k}}(\vec{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $f$  is analytic on  $\Omega$ , we have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{m+k}}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{i+k}} \end{cases} \quad \forall m, i, 1 \leq m, i \leq k.$$

So we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Given a positive even integer  $n = 2k$  and an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{2k}$ . For any analytic function  $f = [f_1, \dots, f_{2k}]$  on  $\Omega$ , we have*

(1)  *$f$  satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{m+k}}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{i+k}} \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq m, i \leq k.$$

(2) *For each  $f_m, f_{m+k}$ ,  $1 \leq m, i \leq k$ ,*

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_{i+k}^2} = 0,$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k}^2} = 0.$$

(3) *Each  $f_m$  is a harmonic function in the sense that  $f_m$  has continuous second order partial derivatives and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i^2} = 0.$$

*Proof.* We will prove the Equation (6.3) only. The proof to the Equation (6.4) is similar to this. Let  $m \leq k$ . By the Cauchy-Riemann Equations (6.2) we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k}} \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{i+k}} = -\frac{\partial f_{m+k}}{\partial x_i} \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k} \partial x_i} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_{i+k}^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_i \partial x_{i+k}} \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_{i+k}^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k} \partial x_i} - \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_i \partial x_{i+k}} = \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k} \partial x_i} - \frac{\partial^2 f_{m+k}}{\partial x_{i+k} \partial x_i} = 0.$$

□

**Corollary 6.1.** *Consider the polynomial function in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$*

$$\begin{aligned} p(\vec{x}) &\equiv \vec{a}_m \vec{x}^m + \vec{a}_{m-1} \vec{x}^{m-1} \cdots + \vec{a}_1 \vec{x} + \vec{a}_0 \\ &= [p_1(\vec{x}), \cdots, p_{2k}(\vec{x})], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vec{a}_m, \vec{a}_{m-1}, \cdots, \vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{2k}$ . Then each  $p_j(\vec{x}), j = 1, \cdots, 2k$  is a harmonic polynomial in the sense that they are polynomials and harmonic functions.

The following Example 6.1 shows that, the requirement of an even dimension in Theorem 6.1 is necessary.

**Example 6.1.** *Consider  $\mathbb{R}_{2k-1}, k > 1$  and the function  $f$  given by*

$$f(\vec{x}) \equiv \vec{x}^2.$$

The domain of  $f$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{2k-1}$ . It is left to the readers to verify that  $f$  is analytic and

$$f'(\vec{x}) = 2\vec{x}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x}) &= [f_1, \cdots, f_{2k-1}] = \sum_{m=1}^{2k-1} f_m \vec{w}^{m-1} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} x_j \vec{w}^{j-1} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} x_i \vec{w}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} x_j \vec{w}^{j-1} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k-1} x_i x_j \vec{w}^{i+j-2} \\ f_m &= \sum_{\substack{i+j-1=m \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq 2k-1}} x_i x_j - \sum_{\substack{i+j-1=m+2k-1 \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq 2k-1}} x_i x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\left( \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq 2k-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\ell^2} \right) x_i x_j = \begin{cases} 2 & i = j; \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq 2k-1} \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_\ell^2} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq 2k-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\ell^2} \left( \sum_{\substack{i+j-1=m \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq 2k-1}} x_i x_j - \sum_{\substack{i+j-1=m+2k-1 \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq 2k-1}} x_i x_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq 2k-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\ell^2} \left( \sum_{\substack{2j-1=m \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2k-1}} x_j^2 - \sum_{\substack{2j-1=m+2k-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2k-1}} x_j^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that the set  $\{j \mid 2j-1=m, 1 \leq j \leq 2k-1\}$  is empty if  $m$  is even. and  $\{j \mid 2j-1=m+2k-1, 1 \leq j \leq 2k-1\}$  is empty if  $m$  is odd. )

$$= \begin{cases} m+1 & m \text{ is odd;} \\ -m-2k & m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2k-1} \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_\ell^2} = \begin{cases} m+1 & m \text{ is odd} \\ -m-2k & m \text{ is even} \end{cases} \neq 0.$$

So  $f_m$  is not a harmonic function.

Next we introduce an analytic function theory in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$ , similar to the one in the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . We show that in two examples (Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3) that such a generalization is valid.

Let  $f = [f_1, \dots, f_{2k}]$  be an analytic function defined on an open region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{2k}$ . Let  $\vec{a} \in \Omega$  and  $r > 0$  such that the ball  $b(\vec{a}, r) \subset \Omega$ .  $\partial b(\vec{a}, r)$  is the boundary of the ball. Let  $\nu$  be the probability measure for the sphere. By Theorem 6.1 each  $f_m, m = 1, \dots, 2k$  is a harmonic function. It is well known that [1] for a harmonic function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$u(\vec{a}) = \int_{\partial b(\vec{a}, r)} u(\vec{x}) d\nu.$$

Apply this mean value theorem to each  $f_m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{a}) &= [f_1(\vec{a}), \dots, f_{2k}(\vec{a})] \\ &= \left[ \int_{\partial b(\vec{a}, r)} f_1(\vec{x}) d\nu, \dots, \int_{\partial b(\vec{a}, r)} f_{2k}(\vec{x}) d\nu \right] \\ &= \int_{\partial b(\vec{a}, r)} f(\vec{x}) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

So we have Theorem 6.2, which is the Mean Value Theorem for analytic functions in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{2k}$  be an open region and the ball  $b(\vec{a}, r) \subset \Omega$  with center  $\vec{a} \in \Omega$  and radius  $r > 0$ .  $\partial b(\vec{a}, r)$  is the boundary of the ball and  $\nu$  is the probability measure for the boundary. For an analytic function  $f = [f_1, \dots, f_{2k}]$  defined on  $\Omega$ , we have*

$$f(\vec{a}) = \int_{\partial b(\vec{a}, r)} f(\vec{x}) d\nu.$$

We call an analytic function an *entire function* on  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$  when it is analytic on  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_{2k}$ . A function  $f$  is *bounded* in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$  if there exists a positive number  $M$  such that

$$|f(\vec{x})| \leq M, \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{2k}.$$

The next Theorem 6.3 is the *Louisville Theorem* for Entire Functions in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** *A bounded entire function in  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$  is a constant function.*

*Proof.* Let  $f = [f_1, \dots, f_{2k}]$  be an entire function on  $\mathbb{R}_{2k}$  with a bound  $M$ .

$$|f(\vec{x})| \leq M, \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{2k}.$$

Then for each  $m \leq 2k$

$$|f_m(\vec{x})| \leq M, \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{2k}.$$

Notice that  $f_m$  is harmonics. By the Louisville Theorem for the harmonics functions each  $f_m$  is a constant function. Therefore  $f$  is a constant function. This proves Theorem 6.3.  $\square$

## 7. HYPERCOMPLEX NUMBERS

In this section we will justify  $\mathbb{R}_n$  in relation to the hypercomplex number system. “A hypercomplex number is a number having properties departing from those of the real and complex numbers.” ([9], van der Waerden (1985)). For commutative hypercomplex number systems, the most common commutative examples are the abelian group algebra  $K[G]$  where  $K$  is a field and  $G$  a finite abelian group, the Davenport’s 4-dimensional commutative hypercomplex number system[3], and the Jacobi’s algebra  $J_{\otimes}^3$ . [5]

The algebras  $\mathbb{R}_3$  and  $J_{\otimes}^3$  are identical. Shlomo Jacobi discovered his 3-dimensional algebra  $J_{\otimes}^3$  in 2014. His colleagues complete the unfinished manuscript after he passed away.

If we take  $K = \mathbb{R}$  and  $G = \mathfrak{G}_n$  as in Section 2, then the real linear span,  $\text{span}(\mathfrak{G}_n)$  is the real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The group multiplication  $\otimes$  will be extended as multiplication in the linear span and the identity  $\vec{e}_1$  will be the multiplicative identity in the group algebra  $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{G}_n]$  which is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}_n$ . We name  $\mathbb{R}_n$  the *Euclidean algebra* because this definition of multiplication works for *all* Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{R}$  in a natural way. In our notation, the complex number system  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{G}_2] = \mathbb{R}_2$ , where the cyclic group  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  is  $\{i, i^2 = -1, i^3 = -i, i^4 = 1\}$ .

Let  $A$  be the Davenport’s hypercomplex number system[3]. It has a linear basis  $\{1, \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}\}$ . An element  $\vec{u}$  in  $A$  is in the form

$$\vec{u} = a + b\vec{i} + c\vec{j} + d\vec{k}.$$

The multiplication table is described as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{i}\vec{j} &= \vec{j}\vec{i} = \vec{k}; \\ \vec{j}\vec{k} &= \vec{k}\vec{j} = -\vec{i}; \\ \vec{k}\vec{i} &= \vec{i}\vec{k} = -\vec{j}; \\ \vec{i}^2 &= \vec{j}^2 = -\vec{k}^2 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

In  $A$ , one has  $\vec{u}^2 = \pm 1$  for  $\vec{u} = 1, \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$ . An element in  $\mathbb{R}_4$  has the form

$$\vec{v} = a_1\vec{e}_1 + a_2\vec{e}_2 + a_3\vec{e}_3 + a_4\vec{e}_4.$$

To compare  $\mathbb{R}_4$  with  $A$ , we want to find all solutions that satisfies  $\vec{v}^2 = \pm 1$ , or equivalently:

$$(a_1\vec{e}_1 + a_2\vec{e}_2 + a_3\vec{e}_3 + a_4\vec{e}_4)^2 = \pm 1.$$

which is

$$\begin{cases} a_1^2 - 2a_2a_4 - a_3^2 = \pm 1 \\ 2a_1a_2 - 2a_3a_4 = 0 \\ 2a_1a_3 + a_2^2 - a_4^2 = 0 \\ 2a_1a_4 + 2a_2a_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

The solutions to the above system of equations are:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = \pm 1 \\ a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} a_3 = \pm 1 \\ a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = 0 \end{cases},$$

which means there are only 4 solutions for  $\vec{v}$ :  $\{\pm\vec{e}_1, \pm\vec{e}_3\}$ . However, they are linearly dependent, hence can not form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}_4$ . So,

**Theorem 7.1.** *It is impossible to find a new basis  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_4$  such that  $\vec{v}_1^2 = \vec{v}_2^2 = \vec{v}_3^2 = \vec{v}_4^2 = \pm 1$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{R}_4$  is not algebraically isomorphic to the Davenport's algebra  $A$ .*

The algebra  $\mathbb{R}_4$  is a new example of commutative hypercomplex number system. We believe the following statement is true. *For each natural number  $n \geq 3$ , it is not possible to find a basis  $\{\vec{b}_j \mid j = 1, \dots, n\}$  for the algebra  $\mathbb{R}_n$  with properties that*

$$\vec{b}_j^2 = \pm 1, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

## 8. THE HYPERCOMPLEX NUMBER SYSTEM $\mathbb{R}_4$

In this last section we will list the basic facts of the 4-dimensional hypercomplex number system  $\mathbb{R}_4$ .

- (1)  $\mathbb{R}_4$  is a real Euclidean space with basis  $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3, \vec{e}_4\}$ . An element  $\vec{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4], v_i \in \mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_4$  has the form

$$\vec{v} = v_1\vec{e}_1 + v_2\vec{e}_2 + v_3\vec{e}_3 + v_4\vec{e}_4.$$

The distance between  $\vec{u} = [u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4]$  and  $\vec{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$  is

$$|\vec{u} - \vec{v}| = \sqrt{(u_1 - v_1)^2 + (u_2 - v_2)^2 + (u_3 - v_3)^2 + (u_4 - v_4)^2}.$$

(2) The multiplication table (2.1) for this basis becomes

$\otimes$	$\vec{e}_1$	$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_4$
$\vec{e}_1$	$\vec{e}_1$	$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_4$
$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_2$	$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_4$	$-\vec{e}_1$
$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_3$	$\vec{e}_4$	$-\vec{e}_1$	$-\vec{e}_2$
$\vec{e}_4$	$\vec{e}_4$	$-\vec{e}_1$	$-\vec{e}_2$	$-\vec{e}_3$

(3) By Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} &= \vec{v} \otimes \vec{u} && = \\
&= \vec{v} \zeta(\vec{u}) = \vec{u} \zeta(\vec{v}) \\
&= [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4] \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \\ -u_4 & u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ -u_3 & -u_4 & u_1 & u_2 \\ -u_2 & -u_3 & -u_4 & u_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4] \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \\ -v_4 & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ -v_3 & -v_4 & v_1 & v_2 \\ -v_2 & -v_3 & -v_4 & v_1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Define

$$\|\vec{u}\| = |\det \zeta(\vec{u})|.$$

Then

$$\|\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}\| = \|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|.$$

(5) By Example 4.1, the zero divisors of  $\mathbb{R}_4$  are the union of 2 planes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_4} &= \{[s, t, -s + \sqrt{2}t, -\sqrt{2}s + t] \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
&\cup \{[s, t, s - \sqrt{2}t, \sqrt{2}s - t] \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

(6) Let  $E$  be a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{G}_4 = \mathbb{R}_4 \setminus \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathbb{R}_4}$ . By Theorem 5.1, up to a constant multiplier, the Haar measure  $\nu_4$  is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_4(E) &= \int_E \frac{1}{|\det \zeta(\vec{u})|} d\mu_4(\vec{u}) \\
&= \int_E \frac{1}{(u_1^2 - u_3^2 + 2u_2u_4)^2 + (u_4^2 - u_2^2 + 2u_1u_3)^2} d\mu_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_4$  is the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .



## REFERENCES

1. Axler, S., Bourdon, P. and Ramey, W., Harmonic Function Theory, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag New York, 2001
2. Barile, Margherita; Moslehian, Mohammad Sal; and Weisstein, Eric W., “Group Algebra.” From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/GroupAlgebra.html>
3. Davenport, C. M. A., Commutative Hypercomplex Algebra with Associated Function Theory, Clifford Algebras with Numeric and Symbolic Computations (Ed. R. Ablamowicz, P. Lounesto, and J. M. Parra). Boston, Birkhäuser, pp. 213-227, 1996
4. Frobenius, F., Über lineare Substitutionen und bilineare Formen, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 84:1-63 1878
5. Jacobi, S., On a novel 3D hypercomplex number system, arXiv:1509.01459v1, 2015
6. Kantor, I. L.; Solodovnikov, A. S., Hypercomplex numbers, Springer-Verlag Berlin, New York, 1989
7. Olariu, S, Complex numbers in n dimensions, ELSEVIER, 2002
8. Palais, R.S., The Classification of Real Division Algebras, American Mathematical Monthly 75(4):366-368, 1968
9. van der Waerden, B. L., A History of Algebra from Al-Khwarizmi to Emmy Noether, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985.

XINGDE DAI, THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE, CHARLOTTE, NC 28223, USA

*Email address:* `xdai@uncc.edu`

WEI HUANG, WELLS FARGO BANK

*Email address:* `wei.huang@wellsfargo.com`